



**Policy Committee Meeting Minutes**  
**May 10, 2013 Council Chambers 115, City Hall**

*Policy Committee minutes are transcribed in a summarized outline manner. Audio recordings are on file with the City of Bloomington Planning Department. \*\*Technical difficulties –an incomplete audio recording was produced for this meeting. See DVD for complete recording.\*\**

**Policy Committee:** Jason Banach (IU Real Estate) Andrea Roberts (Public Works), Richard Martin (County Plan Commission, Kent McDaniel (BT), Iris Kiesling (County Commission), Andy Ruff City Council), David Sabbagh (MPO-CAC), Cheryl Munson (County Council), Tony McClellan (INDOT- Seymour), and Bill Williams (County Highway).

**Others:** Adrian Reid (City Engineering), Mary Jo Hamman (Michael Baker), and David Butts (INDOT).

**MPO Staff:** Josh Desmond, Anna Dragovich, Scott Robinson, and Jane Weiser

- I. **Call to Order** – Mr. McDaniel called the meeting to order and introductions were made.
- II. **Approval of Minutes** – There were no minutes to approve.
- III. **Communications from the Chair** -- None
- IV. **Reports from Officers and/or Committees**
  - A. **Citizens Advisory Committee** -- None
  - B. **Technical Advisory Committee** – Mr. Reid said the last LPA meeting had been held. Next there will be a coordination meeting between INDOT and LPAs.
- V. **Reports from the MPO Staff**
  - A. **MTP Task Force** – Mr. Desmond said that staff met with the consultant yesterday. We have over 500 total respondents. A good portion of those have filled out the entire survey. Those results are being roughed into the model. Staff was more concerned with reaching all demographic areas than just how many total surveys were done. Mr. Martin noted that the County is beginning an Area Plan for the area around Bloomington. He suggested the City and County share information (and/or consultants) to avoid duplication of effort and other possible complications. Mr. Desmond said yes.
  - B. **TIP Administrative Modification** – Ms. Dragovich said that Monroe County requested to move construction funding from 2013 to 2014 in order to maintain a July letting date. The Administrative Modification process was followed. There were no objections. The modification was approved.
  - C. **Draft FY 2014-2017 TIP** – Mr. Desmond said the last TIP that we did was 2012-2015. The new TIP will keep us on the same schedule as INDOT. Most of the projects are carryovers from the previous TIP. There are not a lot of new projects. There are changes in funding types, amounts or project schedules. The proposed TIP is fiscally constrained as required. He explained the changes from the previous TIP versus the new TIP. (See report for details) MAP 21 has resulted in a 6% total loss of funding. Since the last census our urbanized area population has gone up compared to other urbanized areas in the state, so we get a bigger piece of the formula but there is less money to divide so we still have a net loss. Any new awards from Transportation Alternatives or HSIP funds in this document are only illustrative. Those are subject to change. We are updating our HSIP process and creating the TA process based on new legislation. A couple of changes will be made to the document before you based on a few transcription errors that were pointed out by Mr. Williams. Those will be corrected.

Mr. Martin asked how we will prioritize where money is spent since some new things will come to light and new projects are added. Will we re-evaluate that window when we have more information or will

we make any kind of binding commitment to these projects at this point? Mr. Desmond said that in the plan we try to put together blocks of projects over certain blocks of time. The TIP is supposed to be consistent with what is recommended in that plan. There won't be a 1:1 correspondence between the plan and TIP. Priorities may change. Mr. Martin said that we would take our priorities as an MPO from the LPAs. Mr. Desmond said yes, essentially. Mr. Martin said that we discussed some projects that were going to be necessary when Section 5 of I-69 is constructed. Mr. Stark mentioned that there would likely be some supplemental money to help with those things. Will we treat that activity like we treat any other planning agency when they make a request and feed it into the TIP as a request? Mr. Desmond said he assumed we would. Mr. McDaniel noted that Indiana University was not listed as an eligible agency. Mr. Desmond said he would add that.

**VI. Old Business** – Mr. McDaniel asked the people in the room to introduce themselves.

**VII. New Business**

**A. Fiscal Year 2014 UPWP Amendment\*** -- Mr. Desmond presented the amendment to recapture some of the unspent funds from the 2011 -2012 Work Program. There are also Planning Emphasis Areas (PEAs) that INDOT and FHWA agree that the MPOs should be addressing during the Work Program that year. Staff did run this draft by the CAC and the TAC. Both voted unanimously to endorse the program. The PEAs for the 2014 UPWP are Red Flag Investigations as part of Planning & Environmental Linkages\*, Quarterly Project Tracking\*, Americans with Disabilities Act Transition Plans (Phase II)\*, Functional Classification Review, and MPO Change Order Process\*\*. The PEAs with asterisks are projects already underway or part of our processes. The only new item is the Functional Classification Review. This will entail reviewing our current classifications of streets and roads to see that they are classified correctly and in line with the federal classification system. He requested adoption at this meeting. Ms. Roberts noted that some names on the committees were incorrect. Ms. Munson said she liked the use of highlighting. **\*\*\*Mr. Martin moved approval the Unified Planning Work Program as presented in the packet with the provision that the identification of committee members be updated to reflect current status. Mr. Ruff seconded. The motion was approved by unanimous voice vote.**

**VIII. Communications from Committee Members (non-agenda items)**

**A. Topic Suggestions for Future Agendas** – Mr. Martin suggested updating MPO members about what is going on in a little more elaborate way than we are doing now. Mr. Desmond agreed. Mr. Martin suggested that the MTP subcommittee could make a presentation themselves.

**IX. Upcoming Meetings**

- A. Technical Advisory Committee – May 22, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. (McCloskey Room)**
- B. Citizens Advisory Committee – May 22, 2013 at 6:30 p.m. (McCloskey Room)**
- C. Policy Committee – June 14, 2013 at 1:30 p.m. (Council Chambers)**

**Adjournment**

*\*Action Requested / Public comment prior to vote (limited to five minutes per speaker)*

These minutes were approved by the Policy Committee at their regular meeting held on, June 14, 2013 (ALD)