

ORDINANCE 88 - 53

To Amend the Zoning Maps from RS to SM
RE: 919, 925, 929-1017 W. 2nd Street

(Daniel Grossman, Lyander Honeycutt and City Plan Commission)

WHEREAS, the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission has considered these cases, ZO-59-88, Zo-61-88 & ZO-71-88, and recommended that the petitioners, Lyander Honeycutt, Daniel Grossman and City Plan Commission, be granted an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and request that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 that the zoning be changed from RS to SM for property located at 919, 925, & 929-1017 W. 2nd Street and more particularly described as follows:

Part of the Northeast quarter of Section 5, Township 8 North, Range 1 West, described as follows:

Beginning at a point at the Northeast corner of Seminary Lot 60, thence South 330 ft. along the east line of said Seminary Lot 60, thence West 435 ft. 4 in., thence North 330 ft. and to the north line of Seminary Lot 60, thence east 435 ft. 4 in. along the north line of Seminary Lot 60 and to the point of beginning. Containing 3.29 acres more or less.

SECTION II. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 2 day of Nov, 1988.



PAM SERVICE, President
Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:


PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana upon this 3 day of Nov, 1988.


PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 4 day of Nov, 1988.

Tomilea Allison

TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor
City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

Daniel Grossman proposes a medical offices facility at 929-1017 W. 2nd St. Lyander Honeycutt proposes 7 multi-family residential units at 191 W. 2nd St. The property located between these two sites was included in the rezoning request for continuity (925 & 929 W. 2nd St.) and the owners have no plans at this time for changing the use of the currently residentially used sites.

Signed Copies 11-3-88
Planning
Petitioner

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****

In accordance with IC 36-7-4-605 I hereby certify that the attached Ordinance Number 20-61-88 20-59-88 is a true and complete copy of Plan Commission Case Number 20-71-88 which was given a recommendation of approval by a vote of 7 Ayes, 0 Nays, and 0 Abstentions by the Bloomington City Plan Commission at a public hearing held on October 3, 1988.

Timothy A. Mueller
Planning Director

Date: 10/12/88

Tim Mueller, Secretary
Plan Commission

Received by the Common Council Office this 12 day of October, 1988.

Patricia Williams
Patricia Williams, City Clerk

Appropriation Ordinance # _____ Fiscal Impact Statement # _____ Resolution# _____
Ordinance

Type of Legislation:

Appropriation _____	End of Program _____	Penal Ordinance _____
Budget Transfer _____	New Program _____	Grant Approval _____
Salary Change _____	Bonding _____	Administrative Change _____
Zoning Change <u>X</u>	Investments _____	Short-Term Borrowing _____
New Fees _____	Annexation _____	Other _____

RS to SM

If the legislation directly affects City funds, the following must be completed by the City Controller:

Cause of Request:

Planned Expenditure _____	Emergency _____
Unforeseen Need _____	Other _____

Funds Affected by Request:

Fund(s) Affected _____		
Fund Balance as of January 1	\$ _____	\$ _____
Revenue to Date	_____	_____
Revenue Expected for Rest of year	_____	_____
Appropriations to Date	_____	_____
Unappropriated Balance	_____	_____
Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-)	_____	_____
Projected Balance	\$ _____	\$ _____

Signature of Controller _____

Will the legislation have a major impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal liability or revenues? Yes _____ No X

If the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason for your conclusion.

These sites are already receiving city services.

If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effect on City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)

Agency submitting legislation Planning Department

By Timothy Mueller Date 10/12/88

