committee- 6-0-2
final- 7-0

RESOLUTION 91-10

RECOMMENDATIONS OF MAYOR FOR DISTRIBUTION OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUNDS

WHEREAS, the City of Bloomington, Indiana, is eligible for Community Development Block Grant funds in the amount of $690,000 for fiscal year 1991 pursuant to the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended; and for reprogramming $50,000 in fiscal year 1990 funds; for a total of $740,000; and

WHEREAS, the national objectives of the program are as follows:

1. The primary objective of the Community Development Program is the development of viable urban communities, including decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities principally for persons of low and moderate income; and

2. The elimination of slums and blight and the prevention of blighting influences and the deterioration of property and neighborhood and community facilities of importance to the welfare of the community, principally for persons of low and moderate income; and

3. The elimination of conditions which are detrimental to health, safety, and public welfare, through code enforcement, demolition, interim rehabilitation assistance, and related activities; and

4. The conservation and expansion of the Nation's housing stock in order to provide a decent home and suitable living environment for all persons, but principally those of low and moderate income; and

5. The expansion and improvement of the quantity and quality of community services, principally for persons of low and moderate income, which are essential for sound community development and for development of viable urban communities; and

6. The reduction of the isolation of income groups within communities and geographical areas and the promotion of an increase in the diversity and vitality of neighborhoods through the spatial deconcentration of housing opportunities for persons of lower income and the revitalization of deteriorating or deteriorated neighborhoods to attract persons of higher income; and

7. The alleviation of physical and economic distress through the stimulation of private investment and community revitalization; and

WHEREAS, a proposed statement of community development objectives and projected use of the funds has been advertised; and

WHEREAS, said statement and projected use of funds reflects programs recommended by the Mayor with input from the Citizen's Advisory Committee, the Human Resources Commission, and the Redevelopment Commission consistent with local and national objectives of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I: The following programs be approved as follows:

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS FISCAL YEAR 1991

SOCIAL SERVICE PROGRAMS	FUNDING
Guardian Ad Litem	$6,000
Dental Care Action, Inc.	$6,000
Public Health Nursing	$20,000
Rape Crisis Center, Middle Way House	$1,500
Project FIND, SCCMHC	$5,000
CAP Self Sufficiency Program	$10,000
Area 10 Agency on Aging	$3,500
Big Brothers/Big Sisters	$3,000
Counseling Services	$5,000
MCUM Daycare	$4,000
BDLC Scholarship Fund	$3,000
Amethyst House	$3,000
YMCA/Walnut Woods	$3,500
Middle Way House	$8,500
Shelter Inc. (Operations)	$12,500
Hoosier Hills Food Bank	$9,000
SUBTOTAL	$103,500
HOUSING PROGRAMS	
Housing Solutions Matching Grant	$35,000
Summer Paint Program	$2,000
Housing Rehabilitation	$100,000
CAP Emergency Home Repair	$55,000
SUBTOTAL	$187,500
PHYSICAL IMPROVEMENTS	
Amethyst House	$25,000
MCUM	$20,000
Downtown Curb and Sidewalk	$15,000
Neighborhood Curb and Sidewalk	$15,000
Engineering Services	$20,000
Acquisition	$75,000
Walker St. Drainage & Street Reconstruction, Phases II and III	$53,500
Elm St. Sidewalk a & Street Reconstruction	$36,000
Hillside Dr. Acquisition & Reconstruction	$40,000
Adams St. Engineering	$7,000
SUBTOTAL	$311,000
ADMINISTRATIVE	
Administration of Redevelopment Dept.	138,000
GRAND TOTAL	740,000

SECTION II. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana upon this 6th day of March, 1991.

John Fernandez, President
Bloomington Common Council

SIGNED AND APPROVED by me upon this 7th day of March, 1991.

Tomilea Allison, Mayor
City of Bloomington

ATTEST:

Patricia Williams, Clerk
City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

The City of Bloomington is eligible for a Community Development Block Grant of $690,000 from the Department of Housing and Urban Development for fiscal year 1990 and a reprogramming of $50,000 from fiscal year 1990. This resolution outlines programs recommended for the $740,000 funding by the Mayor with input from the Citizen's Advisory Committee, the Human Resources Commission, and the Redevelopment Commission. General program areas include: Social Service Programs, Physical Improvements, Housing Programs, Neighborhood Improvements, Engineering, Legal and Administrative Services.

Signed copies to:
Mayor
Human Resources
Redevelopment
31 Receiving agencies/depts

Back up
Res 91-10

MEMORANDUM

91-10

February 19, 1991

To: City Council
From: Glenda Morrison
Subject: Community Development Block Grant Funding for 1991.

Attached please find Resolution 91-10 containing the 1991 CDBG funding recommendations of the Citizen's Advisory Committee, the Redevelopment Commission and the Mayor.

The total amount of CDBG funding for 1991 is $740,000; ($690,000 in new funds and $50,000 in reprogrammed 1990 money).

As has been the case in years past, Bloomington has allocated the maximum 15% of CDBG funds available under the law to the support of various social service agencies and programs.

In an effort to illuminate the decision making process we have included copies of the minutes of the various CAC and Redevelopment Commission meetings. Additionally, we have provided a brief summary of the highlights of the 1991 funding recommendations.

Social Services

A total of $103,500 was available for social services this year. As a result, three new programs were recommended for Fiscal Year 1991. The first was Middle Way House's Rape Crisis Center. This program applied separately from Middle Way's Domestic Violence Program. Secondly, the FIND Project, sponsored by the South Central Community Mental Health Center, provides support services to persons with AIDS, ARC and HIV positive men and women as well as their spouses, families and care givers. Lastly, the Bloomington Developmental Learning Center received a recommendation for its Scholarship program for the first time this year.

In keeping with CDBG policy, the committees have attempted to reduce funding to well established programs and those programs with existing and effective fundraising capabilities so as to enable the City to fund newer programs as they come into existence.

Physical Improvements

Two major street projects, Walker St. and Hillside Drive, were allocated funds to either start work or continue projects already in progress. Several of the smaller street projects that were in the proximity of either Hillside or Walker were put off for next year due to the nature of the overall plans for those areas. The smaller projects will be funded in future years as the major projects come closer to completion.

Three social service agencies came in with applications for Physical Improvement funds. Amethyst House applied for money to use as a down payment for the purchase of the house on S. Rogers they presently occupy. MCUM applied for funding to replace the windows in their daycare and administration buildings and in the emergency shelter. Housing Solutions applied for money to fund a program that in cooperation with Workingmen's Federal will provide down payment and closing cost assistance to income eligible home buyers.

These recommendations, made by the Citizen's Advisory Committee, have been reviewed and approved by the Redevelopment Commission and the Mayor without change.

There are two rather unfortunate prospects on a national level that would, in time, have a direct effect on the local CDBG process. The funding levels for 1992 are expected to decrease by about 9%; this is the President's recommendation at present. The second issue is the proposal to move CDBG activities to the States to administer. While governors are showing support of this proposed move, mayors of entitlement cities see the cities and the process itself suffering from such a move.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss any of the funding allocations in more detail, please call me at 331-6401.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Physical Improvement Sub-Committee

Public Hearing, January 10, 1991
Summarization

Those Present

Citizen's Advisory Committee:
Loretta Godfrey, Bruce Houghtelin, Nelda Anderson, Donn Hall, Sonja Johnson, David Walter (Redevelopment Commission), Jim Regester (City Council), Bill Riggert (Engineering), Craig Berndt, (Planning), Jeanne Walters, Mike Hoff, and Rick Avers.

Staff:
Glenda Morrison, Pat Bookwalter, Mike Denunzio, Nancy Brinegar, and Lea McKendry.

The Public Hearing was called to order by Bruce Houghtelin at 7:00 p.m.

Glenda Morrison made a few remarks about the process for the evening and how decision-making would progress from there. Any conflict of interest would prevent a member from voting regarding a compromised agency. The approximate amount for CDBG funding is between $476,000 and $513,000.

Presentations for the various physical improvements requests were made by the following persons:

Amethyst House, Mindy Caron, Mike Davis
CAP Emergency Home Repair, Bruce Jennings
Housing Solutions Matching Grant, Jerry Hays
(Housing Solutions Needs Assessment, Jerry Hays (withdrawn)
MCUM Windows, Mari Reinhold
Summer Paint Program, Glenda Morrison
Housing Rehabilitation, Glenda Morrison
Downtown Curb & Sidewalk, Glenda Morrison
Neighborhood Curb & Sidewalk, Glenda Morrison
Redevelopment Administration, Glenda Morrison
Engineering Services, Bill Riggert

Acquisition, Mike Denunzio
-The Redevelopment Department has two specific projects identified for the requested funds, besides others that were mentioned in the public hearing. The two projects identified by Redevelopment are: Warehouse at Miller & Highland ("House on Stilts") and House at Seventh & Elm Streets.

The remaining projects are street projects and were explained by Bill Riggert. They were grouped together by physical proximity rather than in agenda order.

Walker Street Drainage & Sidewalk, Phase II & III
Euclid Street Engineering and Sidewalk & Street Reconstruction
Buckner Street Engineering and Street & Sidewalk Reconstruction
Smith Street Engineering and Street & Sidewalk Reconstruction

-It was brought up that on Walker Street, south of Second Street, the private sector has purchased and prepared property for some type of construction and also built complexes. Perhaps this part of the Walker Street project could be postponed so that the private sector could bear part or all of the financial burden of reconstruction and drainage.
Bill pointed out that the Walker Street portion of these projects is key to getting the drainage problems solved in that area.

Allen Street Sidewalk & Drainage Phase II and Street & Drainage Phase III

Adams Street Engineering
-This project would require the cooperation of the County as well as the city.

Hillside Drive Acquisition & Reconstruction
Southern Drive Sidewalk Construction
Henderson Street Sidewalk
-Engineering bids are out for the CDXVI funding of the Hillside Design Phase. The decision regarding which engineering firms to use for this project will be made at the end of the month. The Hillside Design should be completed 120 days after the bids are let.

Elm Street Sidewalk & Street Reconstruction
-This project would not only improve the area for residents and participants in the Westside Community Center, but would also be considered a local match for Federal funding earmarked for the rehabilitation of the Westside Community Center. Norm Merrifield also spoke in behalf of this project and the encouragement it would give to the Westside Community Center.

The next meeting was scheduled for Monday, January 14, 5:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:45 p.m.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Physical Improvement Sub-Committee

January 14, 1991
Summarization

Those Present

Citizen's Advisory Committee:
Charlotte Barnard, Loretta Godfrey, Bruce Houghtelin, Nelda Anderson, Donn Hall, Sonja Johnson, David Walter (Redevelopment Commission), Jim Regester (City Council), Bill Riggert (Engineering), Craig Berndt, (Planning), Jeanne Walters, Mike Hoff, and Rick Avers.

Staff:
Glenda Morrison, Pat Bookwalter, Mike Denunzio, Dee McEntire, and Lea McKendry.

The Public Hearing was called to order by Bruce Houghtelin at 5:30 p.m.

Initially, Bruce asked if anyone would like to act as chairperson. When no one volunteered, Bruce took the floor in the interest of progress.

Bruce first suggested that any project that anyone was interested in throwing away should be named and discussed to make the best use of time.

David Walter named the following for disposal: Housing Solutions Matching Grant (Glenda asked that we not do this), both Euclid Street proposals, both Buckner Street proposals, Smith Street drainage & reconstruction, Walker Street, and Southern Street sidewalk.

Bruce began to recap the proposals for removal from consideration and several people came in late. Donn Hall commented that he did not want to see the Southern Drive proposal scrapped because there are several young children living in that area and walking to school there. The traffic moves very rapidly on Southern. Jeanne Walters suggested that she would be willing to let that project go because it is only two blocks long. Hall responded that the length of the street is not the consideration, but the fact that there are many single family homes with young children who are not adequately protected by the current facilities. Jim Regester asked if we are currently working on the Southern Drive connection. The answer was affirmative and clarification was made regarding the Henderson & Hillside projects which are already funded. Clarification was then made regarding the Henderson & Hillside projects and their impact on the drainage situation for Southern Drive. Glenda mentioned a conference last week in which the Redevelopment Department rethought the validity of undertaking the Southern Drive sidewalk project at this time. The projected reconstruction of Hillside and its related drainage upgrade would most likely affect the design of the Southern Drive sidewalk project. It was further discussed whether a partial funding of the project would enable right-of-way purchases to begin. It was agreed that Southern Drive would be left in at this time for a possible partial funding.

The disposed project proposals were recapped. Those thrown out entirely by acclamation: Euclid St reconstruction and engineering, Buckner St reconstruction and engineering, and Smith St reconstruction. Those left in question: Housing Solutions Matching Grant, Smith St engineering, Walker St, and Southern St sidewalk.

Jeanne Walters suggested that the Allen Street project be removed for two reasons: the sidewalks seem to be in relatively good shape (compared to much of the city in worse shape) and the impetus of RCA traffic and the related

community concerns are not as strong as they have been. Bill Riggert commented on the route that the RCA vehicles have been asked to take in entering and leaving the RCA complex.

Much discussion then ensued about the RCA traffic flow. Jeanne Walters mentioned that east of Fairview there are sidewalks on both sides of the street and west of Fairview on one side. Given the parking available in that area for the rental houses on the north side of Allen, she also felt that the tenants would probably rather have the current parking situation rather than sidewalks which would substantially reduce their parking. Bruce Houghtelin asked if anyone was against throwing out the Allen St proposals. Since there was no response to keep these two proposals, they were thrown out.

Rick Avers asked about the project Frank Ragan mentioned that would aid the Westside Center. Elm Street was the answer. Rick then added that he had that project for $36,000.

Bruce then asked if there were any other proposals that anyone wanted thrown out. It was suggested that Walker Street should not be thrown out, but substantially cut. Various suggestions were made: $75,000; $100,000; $120,000; $150,000. It was suggested that we continue to try to work with the private sector to find out about their plans for development in that area; and perhaps putting in $10,000 to help defray the cost of drainage to meet the capacity engineering has designed as adequate. It was then asked whether $75,000 would be a reasonable number to fund the project or whether that number would be so low as to impair any progress. It was agreed by the group that $75,00 would be an adequate starting figure.

It was suggested that the Summer Paint Program should be dropped since it is not performing as anticipated, and since this was also suggested by Glenda Morrison as a possible program to drop. Some discussion ensued regarding the possibility that this program might be revived with the aid of college students and a little work on the part of the organizers. Suggestions were made that this program should be funded at some minimal level to keep the hope of continuation alive. It was agreed that $2,000 would be an adequate sum to place in this line item.

Since the housing rehabs are so behind, it was suggested that this program be substantially cut. Since housing rehab is the mainstay of this program, it was argued that it not be cut too drastically. Figures from $100-150,00 were suggested. It was agreed that $100,000 was adequate considering the amount accomplished for the year.

Amethyst House was suggested as the next project for consideration. It was agreed that it was a good program and beneficial to the city, as well. Glenda suggested that this proposal does answer some concern about the National Affordable Housing Act and the necessary local funding to receive funds from that act. It was asked whether it is necessary for Amethyst House to receive all of the funds requested. There is some flexibility, but the offer was made to the city based on the $30,000 figure. With the current budget they can only afford a small payment each month. This is a no interest loan, so that there will be no more interest incurred by making payments over a longer period. Nelda Anderson pointed out that many of these men may be being charged rent for several months before they are actually employed and therefore Amethyst House cannot count on the funds from their clients to make up the difference to higher payments. Jeanne Walters asked how much CDBG money has already gone into this facility to increase its value and whether the asking price of the property might actually be renegotiated. Glenda pointed out that the property had previously benefitted from CDBG funds in physical improvements, but the appraisal had come in higher than the $60,000 asking price. The city was willing to come to $60,000 so that the property would be affordable to the Amethyst House. It was agreed to hold this project for further discussion after a total budget amount was agreed on.

It was questioned at this point whether the group was aiming for a midpoint between the two stated figures or whether the plan should be made for the lowest figure. It was agreed that the plan should be made to meet the lower figure.

The MCUM project was the next one up for discussion. A suggestion was made that the project be partially funded by this program and partially supported by "in kind" donations. Pat Bookwalter pointed out that this process was not within the acceptable parameters of the contract to be signed. All work on the project must be performed at prevailing wage in order to participate in the contract. May do one building by one method and another building on the contract, but these two methods may not be mixed. A case in point was the previous year's request for $3,000 that fell into overruns bringing the project total to $8,950 due to exactly this kind of work. Suggestions were made to lower the cost of the project, ie, not replacing all windows with new windows, doing only the worst of the windows, funding only part of the requested buildings. The point was made that to lower the project funds would necessitate some of the work remaining unfinished. Pat pointed out that this too could not be allowed and comply with the contract. David Walters pointed out that they may be asking for more than is needed to complete the job in the first place. To replace the windows with vinyl clad windows negates the necessity of painting to complete the project. To replace windows in a block structure (which two of these are) negate the need for trim, since the window itself fills the opening completely. It was suggested that the project could be funded at a given price and MCUM could decide what they feel is the most important part of the project to complete at this time. The comment was made that it is probably necessary for the group to tell MCUM which buildings it is funding. to insure that the buildings are done as requested. It was agreed that the project would be funded at $20,000 and the funding would be for the main building and the emergency shelter.

Curb & Sidewalk proposals were the next ones for discussion. The group asked what was actually funded by the two line items. Patsy Leake's request would be something covered by the Downtown line item; Neighborhood curb & sidewalk covers projects like First & Rogers Street. Pat also mentioned that the majority of projects fall into the neighborhood category and that line item should be fully funded. The actual downtown area is designated as the area between Second and Tenth Streets and Rogers Street and Indiana Avenue. Craig Berndt mentioned that he couldn't believe that the downtown area as defined could actually be serving predominantly the low and the low to moderate income levels. It was brought up that in the 1980 census figures this was precisely, though surprisingly, the case.

It was mentioned at this point that if the funding remains where it is the current total is $433,000. It was therefore suggested that both of the sidewalk programs remain at $15,000 each, engineering retains $20,000, and acquisition be dropped to $100,000. Leaving rehab at $100,000 and putting CAP at $50,00 would keep the total named. The Housing Solutions Matching Grant was suggested as one possibility for a reduction in funds. $30,00 was the named figure. It was asked whether the Federal Home Loan bank would still underwrite the program. Glenda said she felt it was quite likely that they would still support the program at $30,000. David Walters suggested that with each home assist coming in at approximately $5,700, six properties could be underwritten at $35,000; this is half the request. It was agreed that the Housing Solutions Matching Grant would be funded at $35,000.

Elm Street was seen as a very necessary and desirable project. Rick Avers wrote in Elm for $36,000. It was unanimously agreed that Elm should be funded at $36,000.

Hillside Drive Reconstruction and Acquisition came up as the next topic. The suggested figures were $36,000 and $40,000. The main objection to putting too much more money into this project at this time is the feasibility of performing any work while the Walnut Street project is under construction.

The other point made was that any monies received would be a start on the project and would be used to the extent that they are given. $40,000 was the agreed upon figure.

Sonja Johnson asked for a clarification of the engineering services to be obtained with the proposal for Adams Street. These funds would complete the necessary drainage engineering for Adams from Seventh to Eighth Streets & Vernal Pike intersection. This would complete the Adams Street engineering. $7,000 was the agreed upon price.

The Henderson Street proposal was briefly discussed and it was decided to throw the project out entirely for this year.

At this point the committee had reached its goal of $465,000, however some projects had been set aside for a second-look earlier.

It was agreed that the Smith Street project did not deserve a further look at this time and that the funds could be better used elsewhere right now.

It was asked if the Acquisition proposal could be cut, since there are only specific plans for the property on Elm Street. Glenda mentioned that both of the projects have long been requested by neighborhood residents. The Elm Street house might have more current significance than the "house on stilts", but they both have merit. It was also suggested that the money necessary to remove the buildings may have been overstated and the property might be prepared for construction at a lower than anticipated cost. It was agreed that the Acquisition proposal would be dropped to $75,000.

It was agreed that the Southern Drive project needs reassessing after the results of the remaining Hillside engineering project. This is a proposal that needs to be addressed for the good of all those who live there.

Jeanne Walters asked if an additional $5,000 would in anyway assist the Hillside Drive project. Her suggestion was to remove the $5,000 from the Amethyst project, since Amethyst would not be substantially hurt; and if Hillside could be helped with the additional money this would put Hillside a little closer to its completion.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:00 p.m.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Physical Improvement Sub-Committee

February 13, 1991
Summarization

Those Present

Citizen's Advisory Committee:
Charlotte Barnard, Bruce Houghtelin, David Walter (Redevelopment Commission), Jim Regester (City Council), and Craig Berndt, (Planning).

Staff:
Glenda Morrison and Mike Denunzio.

The Public Hearing was called to order by Bruce Houghtelin at 5:30 p.m.

Bruce suggested that the group adopt their originally proposed ranges for additional funds with the exception of adding $5,000 to CAP Emergency Home Repair. His suggested recommendations for the additional funds were:

Walker Street	$15,000
Hillside Drive	15,000
CAP E.H.R.	5,000
	$35,000

Charlotte made a motion to accept these suggestions, Craig seconded the motion. It was unanimously agreed.

Glenda realized that the total should correctly be $33,500 and the amounts were changed as follows:

Walker Street	$12,500
Hillside Drive	15,000
CAP Emergency Home Repair	5,000
	$33,500

These changes were unanimously agreed without further discussion.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:55 p.m.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Social Service Sub-Committee

Public Hearing, January 8, 1991
Summarization

Those Present

Citizen's Advisory Committee:
Patsy Leake, Gene Stokes (Housing Authority), Steve Sharp (Police Department), Nancy Seltz, David Carrico, Sue Wheeler (Human Resource Department), Pat Gross (Redevelopment Commission), Linda Parker, Jerry Hays.

Human Resource Commission:
Carole Blemker, Carmen Miracle, Fran Moore, Wendy Perry, Gal Shifron, Emily Wade.

Staff:
Glenda Morrison, Pat Bookwalter, Mike Denunzio, Nancy Brinegar, and Lea McKendry.

The Public Hearing was called to order by Carmen Miracle at 7:00 p.m.

Glenda Morrison made a few remarks about the process for the evening and how decision-making would progress from there. Glenda also reminded all those present that any CAC member sitting on the board of any agency would be barred from votes on that agency's fund request. Any other conflict of interest would also prevent a member from votes regarding the compromised agency. Glenda presented the Priorities emerging from COMPASS Focus Groups as a possible aid in decision-making. Glenda also stressed that these are only preliminary guidelines.

Patsy Leake asked if there was a definite amount to be allocated. Glenda responded that there is not a definite figure, but the figure may be up to $92,500.

Carmen Miracle asked that each agency's representative give their name and relationship to the agency before their presentation.

The following persons presented for the named agencies:

Kathleen Boggess, Guardian Ad Litem
-Guardian Ad Litem recruits, trains, and supervises volunteers who advocate in court and with the Welfare Department for the interests of abused and neglected children.

Rosie Neff, Dental Care Center
-The Dental Care Center provides quality, comprehensive and affordable dental care and dental education to any person wanting these services.

Health Services Bureau, Cathleen Miller, R.N.
-The Health Services Bureau coordinates medical care for low-income working people in Monroe County.

Rape Crisis Center, Toby Strout
-Middle Way House provides around-the-clock advocacy for victims of rape, incest, and sexual assault.

Find (Friends InDeed), Sydney Anderson
-Project FIND provides confidential support services for persons who are HIV-positive, persons with ARC, persons with AIDS, their companions/spouses, and families or other primary caregivers.

CAP Self-Sufficiency Program, Pauline Dyer
-The Self-Sufficiency Program assists low-income families in overcoming multiple problems keeping the family in poverty and in making the transition from dependency on public assistance to self-reliance through economic self-sufficiency.

Area 10 Agency on Aging/Assistance to the Homebound Elderly, Karen Darwish, Rita Copper
-The Homebound Elderly project directly assists the frail, low-income, homebound elderly in maintaining self-sufficiency and encouraging independent living in their homes for as long as possible.

Big Brothers/Big Sisters, Steve Philbeck
-Big Brothers/Big Sisters matches adult volunteers with children from single-parent families to serve as friends and role models.

Counseling Services, Connie Krueger
-Counseling Services provides counseling to individuals, couples, and families on a sliding fee scale, based on income.

MCUM Summer Daycare, Meri Reinhold
-MCUM provides subsidized daycare for low-income families (target single-parent) whose income falls under the federal poverty level guidelines and those at risk for abuse and neglect (As identified by the Welfare Department).

BDLC, Inc. Scholarship Fund, Mark Muskovich
-BDLC provides child care for children, infant through kindergarten ages.

Amethyst House, Mindy Caron
-Amethyst House provides assistance to chemically dependent men in attaining independent living with a twenty-four hour supervised program and professional counseling services.

YMCA/Walnut Woods, Marlene Vass
-YMCA/Walnut Woods Summer day camp provides a safe, welcoming environment to school-age youth of low-income and at-risk families who may otherwise be home alone. The program features outdoor education, crafts, non-competitive games, field trips, swimming and a values program.

Middle Way House, Melanie Greene
-Middle Way House provides around-the-clock crisis intervention for battered women and their dependent children who live within the Bloomington city limits.

Shelter, Inc. Toddler Program, Thayer Caskey
-Shelter, Inc. will provide respite child care for infants and toddlers in Shelter.

Shelter, Inc. Shelter Operations, Thayer Caskey
-Shelter, Inc. provides food and shelter for 18, primarily single, homeless people at Helene's House and in addition for nine homeless families at scattered site locations.

Hoosier Hills Food Bank, Amy Robinson
-Hoosier Hills Food Bank collects, stores, and distributes donated food to 64 area non-profit organizations which feed the needy.

The floor was opened for public comment on community need and current program performance.

Kathleen Bogess commented that the COMPASS Guidelines as printed do not accurately represent the concerns of the committee on which she sits. Peg Stice, director of the United Way, reiterated that the handout is a preliminary summary of the COMPASS Focus Groups.

Thayer Caskey made the point that no one agency can exist without the other agencies.

Toby Strout made the point that the discrepancy between the needs of the agencies and the needs which are met by public funds are great and she feels it is important for the public to be aware of the discrepancy.

Fran Moore reminded everyone to use the CDBG rating system to help to prepare for the upcoming meetings and rate the agencies.

David Carrico voiced his concern that the Community Kitchen did not submit an application and wondered if it would be possible for them to do so now. He pointed out that it seems to be a weak agency while doing so much for so many. His concern is for the many people who may be affected by the loss of CDBG funds.

The next Social Service Sub-committee meeting of the CAC is scheduled for Tuesday, January 15, 5:30 p.m. in the Police Department Training Room.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:00 p.m.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Social Service Sub-Committee

January 15, 1991
Summarization

Those Present

Citizen's Advisory Committee:
Patsy Leake, Steve Sharp (Police Department), Nancy Seltz, Keith Dayton, David Carrico, Sue Wheeler (Human Resource Department), Pat Gross (Redevelopment Commission), Peter Kraak, Linda Parker, Jerry Hays.

Human Resource Commission:
Carole Blemker, Carmen Miracle, Wendy Perry, Gal Shifron, and Devonia Stein.

Staff:
Glenda Morrison, Mike Denunzio, Nancy Brinegar, and Lea McKendry.

The Public Hearing was called to order by Glenda Morrison at 5:40 p.m.

Glenda Morrison welcomed all who came and made a few remarks about the process for the evening. Glenda also reminded all those present that any CAC member sitting on the board of any agency would be barred from votes on that agency's fund request. Any other conflict of interest would also prevent a member from voting regarding the compromised agency. The question of how much this Sub-Committee was allowed to allocate came up. The response was that $92,500 should be the planning amount and provisions could be made for allocating any more money that might be received.

Suggestions were taken regarding the method that the group would choose to select agencies to receive funding and how the amount to fund those agencies would be chosen. It was ultimately agreed that any agency not receiving votes for funding would be dropped and from that point one person's figures would be used as a starting point for discussion.

Questions regarding one agency submitting more than one proposal were addressed. The general consensus was: it is natural for an agency to attempt to secure as much funding as possible for their programs; the applications were program-centered, not agency-centered; it has been done in the past and left unquestioned; this matter should be addressed in a planning meeting for next year's funding applications. The decision was made to treat each application as a separate application.

At this point in the meeting the members stated their name, place of work and any boards on which they served which might cause a conflict of interest. (This list is attached.)

The question was raised whether or not there had been some agreement by the Mayor to the Rape Crisis Center that the group should consider. The response was that in a nutshell there had been some misinterpretation of the money and support given. The commitment given was for the city to provide start-up funding.

The funds received from FEMA were added to the list of agencies on the board to provide more information to the sub-committee. They are: MCUM Day Care-$15,000; Middle Way House-$8,000; Shelter, Inc.-$8737; Hoosier Hills Food Bank-$10,000.

The point was made that in an effort to make the best use of the available funds, the group should first look at the basic needs cited by the CDBG. In the opinion stated health care, housing, and food should be the first on the list to be considered. Those agencies which most directly serve those needs were named as Hoosier Hills Food Bank, Public Health Nursing, and Shelter, Inc.

The point was made that on the Counseling Services application it was stated that only two agencies in the city work on a sliding fee scale. This seems to be incorrect.

There was a request to group those programs together which come from a single agency. They were named as: Shelter, Inc. and Shelter Toddler Program; Guardian Ad Litem and Counseling Services; Middle Way House and Rape Crisis Center. Those programs which

were new last year were named as: Guardian Ad Litem, Counseling Services and YMCA/Walnut Woods. Those not currently receiving funds are: Rape Crisis Center, FIND, Shelter Toddler Program, and BDLC.

The question was brought up regarding other funds that might be available for early childhood care. The only funds that might be available are Title XX Funds which are already over-allocated to our region. The state in recent years has said that it is basically the responsibility of the locality to care for children.

One question was raised about the ability of agencies to raise other funds for the operation of their programs and the feeling was that the agencies should take more responsibility for the funds they use in the programs.

Much discussion ensued about the relative merits of all of the child care proposals and their operation. It was discussed whether the program was affordable; whether the population served was the population targeted; and whether the program would be better able than another to raise its own funds. It was also stated that two of the programs made the point that they feed some very hungry kids.

The Shelter Inc. Toddler program was brought up and clarification of the program was requested. The program was understood to be a "respite, baby-sitter service". In the mind of the speaker this does not meet the basic criteria the group is trying to pursue and one that the speaker was willing not to fund at all. It was agreed by the group that this would be appropriate and that no funds should be allocated to this program.

Self-Sufficiency came up next. Its relative merits and problems came up. It was brought up that this agency has been very heavily funded in the past and in an effort to make the agencies more self-reliant, it was suggested that the funding amount be reduced.

The possibility of signalling to a program that their funds might be dropped entirely by the next year was discussed. It was discussed that agencies had been told previously that the funds from the CDBG should be considered temporary and useful in starting their programs, not for the continual support of the agency. The original idea of these funds was to provide seed money for new agencies.

It was agreed that an absent member's figures would be used as a starting point for the discussion.

Health Services Bureau, it was stated, remains the only agency which continues to serve the population it serves. It was felt and generally agreed that to fund that agency at any level lower than last year's amount would be a disservice to the agency and the population it serves. Health Services Bureau it was agreed would receive a recommendation for $20,000.

Shelter, Inc was the next agency whose name came up. The range of figures offered were from $5,000 to $17,000. It was agreed to put it in the middle at $12,500 and leave it open to further discussion at a later time.

It was felt that everyone could agree easily on an amount for the Hoosier Hills Food Bank. The funding levels named were $7,500 and $8,000. The group agreed to go with $7,500 for a start.

At this time the group went to the top of the list to begin the process anew.

Guardian Ad Litem, it was agreed, would get a recommendation for $6,000. Dental Care would receive a recommendation for $6,000. Health Services was previously discussed.

There were a few people who questioned whether the Rape Crisis Center should receive any funds. The difference in the populations served by Rape Crisis and Middle Way are not seen as distinctly as in other agencies making two program requests. It was also indicated that in the case of an actual rape crisis the service would probably still be provided from the Middle Way House. The general consensus of the group is that Rape Crisis Center should receive no funds and the Middle Way House should receive $10,000. The point was made that it would look very bad to the public if the Rape Crisis Center is not funded at all. It was then agreed to give $10,000 to the Middle Way House and $0 the Rape Crisis Center.

The FIND program was discussed as a possible throw away since counseling is available elsewhere. It was also pointed out that the FIND program offers many more services than just counseling. The point was made that there is not any agency locally that serves this population. The actual use for these funds was discussed and how the level of funding might affect the program. After some discussion the figure was left at $2,500 with many dissenting comments.

Since Self-sufficiency had been previously discussed, it was skipped at this time.

Area 10 was next on the list. Some question about the agency itself and its operation were discussed. After some discussion it was agreed to fund the agency. The figures of $3,500; $4,000 and $5,000 were mentioned. It was left at $3,500 due to the limited funds available.

The increase in funds received by the Big Brothers/Big Sisters program was the reason stated for decreasing or denying funds to BB/BS. It was ultimately agreed that they should not be cut out entirely from the funding. $3,000 was the agreed upon level.

Dollar figures were thrown out, but there was no further discussion offered on the Counseling Services program. The agreed upon figure was $5,000.

MCUM was not discussed in any great detail since it, too, had been previously discussed. The figure was nearly immediately decided at $4,000.

BDLC was next. The discussion was limited but figures thrown out were zero and "a little". The point was brought up that this program serves infants, while the other two programs do not. It was also pointed out that the BDLC program is extremely expensive and probably out of reach for the average low-income person. The BDLC program, it was pointed out, puts the children in a range of income levels and is in a target neighborhood. It was further pointed out that the suggested $3,000 would fund only one child for the year's funding. The agreed upon funding level was $3,000.

Amethyst House program came up next. It was pointed out that the Amethyst House program is the most expensive program, per person, of all of the funding requests. It was further pointed out that this program, unlike many, is a program that includes housing and that the population served is a very tough one. Some other points made were: the program is a very structured one; children, unlike the people served at the Amethyst House, did not get themselves into the situation they are in; and, Amethyst House usually has 13 people being served with a waiting list. Glenda made the point that they have received a substantial physical improvement recommendation as well. Glenda also noted that MCUM had received a physical improvement recommendation. It was agreed to fund Amethyst House at $3,000.

YMCA/Walnut Woods Day Camp was next. The point was made that the YMCA has more potential to raise funds than other agencies because of the "very wealthy people who use the Y's services". The point was made that the Walnut Woods Camp also mainstreams the children with all economic levels. The figures offered were: $4,000; $3,500 and $3,000. It was agreed to leave the funding at $4,000.

The Shelter, Inc. program came up next. Questions were asked about the possibility of Shelter losing houses that they operate. All the facilities used by the Shelter are donated and are not owned by the Shelter; all will eventually revert back to their respective owners. This means that fewer families can be housed at one time. Shelter's funding was suggested at these levels: $5,000; $10,000; $12,500; and $17,000. It was agreed that the funding would be $10,000.

At this point in an effort to bring the figures into line with the projected budget, many figures were suggested to be moved, or rearranged. They are: drop Dental's funding to $5,000(like last year) in an effort to show that we encouraging other funding sources; and take $500 from Area 10 and put it into Hoosier Hills Food Bank. All of these ideas were accepted. The suggestion was made and ignored to reduce funding to the Self-Sufficiency program. It was suggested that since funding from United Way for the Health Services agency has increased it would be similarly appropriate to reduce the CDBG funding of that agency. This suggestion was also ignored.

It was determined at this point that there was $2,500 that needed to be cut from the funding amounts to meet the projected budget level. In an effort to look more fairly at the situation those agencies whose funding was the same or down from last year were named. Those funded the same were named as: Guardian Ad Litem, Dental Care Center; Health Services, Self-Sufficiency, Counseling Services, and Amethyst House. Those whose funding is increased are: Shelter, Inc. and Hoosier Hills Food Bank. It was also pointed out that the total funding to the Middle Way House has increased by $500 over last year. Those programs whose funding level is lower are: Area 10 Agency, Big Brothers/Big Sisters, MCUM Day Care, and YMCA/Walnut Woods Day Care. Those agencies which are new and are receiving a funding recommendation are: FIND, and BDLC.

It was agreed to cut YMCA to $3,500 or $3,000 to keep it in line with the other two day care programs. $3,000 was the agreed upon figure.

It was agreed to drop Hoosier Hills from $7,500 to $6,500, which would still be an increase from last year's funding.

It was argued that to leave Rape Crisis Center without funding at all was not right for a well-informed community. It was agreed that the Rape Crisis Center would be funded at $1,500 and Middle Way House would be funded at $8,000.

The group was reminded of the meeting of the February 4 Redevelopment Commission Meeting. The meeting will be held in the Council Chambers of the Municipal Building at 7:00 p.m.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:30 p.m.

COMMITTE MEMBERS' CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Glenda Morrison, Director, Redevelopment Department

Carmen Miracle, Human Resources Commission, Middle Way Volunteer

Carole Blemker, Human Resources Commission, Employed by Public Health Nursing (which works closely with Health Services Bureau)

Sue Wheeler, Director, Human Resources Department (HRD is in receipt of Area 10 grant)

Nancy Brinegar, Redevelopment Department, Personal interest in FIND

Peter Kraak, Citizens Advisory Committee, Pastor, Hoosier Hills Food Bank Boardmember

Mike Denunzio, Redevelopment Department

Nancy Seltz, Citizens Advisory Committee, Retired

Devonia Stein, Human Resources Commission, Employed at I.U.

Linda Parker, Citizens Advisory Committee, Big Sister for BB/BS

David Carrico, Citizens Advisory Committee, Employed at Mental Health Center, Helene's House Boardmember

Gal Shifron, Human Resources Commission, Employed at Mental Health Center, BDLC Boardmember

Pat Gross, Redevelopment Commission, Employed at local architectural firm

Jerry Hays, Citizens Advisory Committee, Employed at Workingmen's

Patsy Leake, Citizens Advisory Committee, Self-employed

Keith Dayton, Citizens Advisory Committee, AFL/CIO rep for the United Way

Wendy Perry, Human Resources Commission, Employed by the MCCSC, Middleway and BDLC Boardmember

Steve Sharp, Citizens Advisory Committee, Chief of Police

Lea McKendry, Redevelopment Department

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Social Service Sub-Committee

February 12, 1991
Summarization

Those Present

Citizen's Advisory Committee:
Nancy Seltz, Keith Dayton, David Carrico, Peter Kraak, Jerry Hays.

Human Resource Commission:
Carmen Miracle, Gal Shifron, Emily Wade and Fran Moore.

Staff:
Glenda Morrison, Mike Denunzio, and Nancy Brinegar.

The meeting was called to order at 5:30 p.m.

At the last Social Service Sub-Committee meeting a final cut was made to bring the funding recommendations to $92,500. The figures from that earlier discussion were retained to give the members a starting point if more funds became available. The retained figures are:

Dental Care Action, Inc.	$1,000
FIND/SCCMHC	2,500
Area 10 Agency on Aging	500
MCUM Day Care	1,000
YMCA/Walnut Woods	1,000
Shelter, Inc.	2,500
Hoosier Hills Food Bank	1,000
Total	$9,500

It was suggested that these figures be the starting point for further discussion regarding the additional $11,000 to be allocated. A motion was made to pass these as they are written and then find places to put the additional $1,500. This motion received no second. It was then agreed to take these items into consideration individually and determine what the group consensus was. Each item remained the same except the YMCA/Walnut Woods, which was reduced to $500 due to the available fund-raising possibilities in their membership.

After much discussion it was agreed to additionally fund Middle Way House $500 to show support for the agency and its work; and Hoosier Hills Food Bank $1,500 because it most closely matches the CBDG recommendations, its upcoming relocation, and the effect this agency has on other agencies in the community.

The final figures for additional funding were brought to:

Dental Care Action, Inc.	$1,000
FIND/SCCMHC	2,500
Area 10 Agency on Aging	500
MCUM Day Care	1,000
YMCA/Walnut Woods	500
Middle Way House	500
Shelter, Inc.	2,500
Hoosier Hills Food Bank	2,500
Total	$11,000

At the conclusion of the meeting, Keith Dayton expressed his thanks to the city for making the whole 15% available to Social Service agencies. Glenda thanked all the members for their work during this process.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:30 p.m.

PUBLIC HEARING

THE REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA met Monday, February 4, 1991 at 7:00 p.m., in the Municipal Conference Room, 220 East Third Street with President David Walter presiding.

I. ROLL CALL

Commissioners Present: David Walter, Patricia Gross, Doris Sims, and Robert Linnemeier.

Staff Present: Glenda Morrison, Mike Denunzio, Nancy Brinegar, Lea McKendry and Dee McEntire.

Others Present: Ann Vaughan, Meri Reinhold, Toby Strout, Rosie Neff, Barbara Spannuth, Pat Packard, Roberta Kelzer, Jim Quackery, Cathy Miller, Marie Howlan, Shirley Calvert, Jerry Hays, Keith Dayton, Fran Moore, Emily M. Wade, Jeanne Walters, Sydney Anderson, Mike Davis, Connie Kruger, Colleen McPhearson, Charlotte Barnard, William J. Zechner, Frank Scheer, Bruce McDaniel, and Gib Apple.

II. READING OF THE MINUTES - JANUARY 7, 1990.

Robert Linnemeier moved that the minutes be accepted. Patricia Gross seconded. ADOPTED.

III. EXAMINATION OF CLAIMS

Doris Sims moved that the claims from 1/01/91 to 1/03/91 for $29,460.28 and 1/11/91 to 1/15/91 for $34,930.42 be accepted; Patricia Gross seconded. ADOPTED.

***President David Walter suggested the agenda be temporarily set aside to allow the public hearing to progress without delay. The members of the commission agreed.

V. NEW BUSINESS

PUBLIC HEARING:

President David Walter opened the floor for comments from the public regarding either social service or physical improvements funding recommendations contained in the resolution. He requested that anyone speaking introduce themselves, state their affiliation, and refrain from speaking for longer than three minutes. He reiterated that the budget request is based on preliminary figures and that there will be final figures available soon.

Glenda summarized the resolution: It is the resolution for our estimate, which is $617,000 plus $50,000 in program income from this year to be allocated for expenditures in the next year. The attached charts are a part of the recommendations, which show the requests, and the recommendations of the Citizens Advisory Committee and the Human Resources Commission. She also thanked all the members for their help in making the recommendation. The social service allocation figure is $92,500 and the physical improvements allocation figure is $465,000. If more money is allocated, there will be a sincere attempt to reconvene the committees for further recommendations. The social service allocation is 15% or the maximum allowable by law.

Toby Strout spoke in behalf of the Middle Way House. She requested that the recommendations by the CAC not be approved. Her concern is that her agency can not continue to run on the reduced allocation. She is aware that there are needs in all the agencies that aren't being met and that decisions must be made regarding the available funds. She also stated that the Middle Way House meets all the criteria set out by HUD and that those children served by her agency often go on to be abusers if not treated.

Robert Linnemeir made an appreciative comment about the preparation of the minutes from the CAC meetings. His comment was seconded by Doris Sims.

Doris asked why no funds were approved for the Utility Service Grant line item. The decision was made that adequate funding was already available, remaining from last year's allocation.

A question was raised regarding the function of the Housing Solutions Matching Grant program. This program was described for the commissioners by Jerry Hays of Workingmen's Federal Savings and Loan.

RESOLUTION 91-05: Approval of 1991 CAC Funding Allocations. Robert Linnemeier made the motion to adopt the CAC funding recommendations. Patricia Gross seconded the motion. ADOPTED.

The tax abatement is for the current Thomson Consumer Electronics property which is in the city limits. Tax abatement was granted five years ago for equipment. Since machinery tax abatements last for only five years they are here again for machinery tax abatements for five years, as well as property improvements for ten years. Glenda pointed out that the requested tax abatement is based on the Redevelopment Department's estimate of the approximate value of the property. The property tax for the ten years would be around $1.5 million for the ten years. The machinery tax abatement would be approximately $450,000 for the five years.

Five representatives from Thomson Consumer Electronics presented the plans and proposed changes to the property on South Rogers Street. Forty jobs are anticipated to be added to operate the warehouse which will be mainly automated. A final site has not been chosen for the warehouse, therefore, Thomson is applying to both the city and the county for tax abatement.

The state department of commerce has been contacted for possible assistance in the repair and reconstruction of West Allen Street. These changes would make transportation patterns better for leaving the Thomson plant on South Rogers Street.

RESOLUTION 91-06: Approving Tax Abatement for Thomson Consumer Electronics. Patricia Gross made the motion to recommend tax abatement for Thomson Consumer Electronics. Robert Linnemeier seconded the motion. ADOPTED.

Mike introduced this resolution explaining that this resolution is to amend a current contract to alleviate the need to have new appraisal contracts drawn. This contract would be used to appraise the former Howard Johnsons Hotel.

RESOLUTION 91-04: Amending Resolution 90-82 Appraisal Contract. Doris Sims made the motion to adopt this resolution. Patricia Gross seconded the motion. ADOPTED.

IV. OLD BUSINESS

Ann Vaughan reported on the following:
Corporation Counsel reviewed and approved the proposal for advances from the Westside Industry Fund to enable the City to contract for engineering design of the parking lot and to obtain options on property at the Convention Center parking lot location. The proposal is now before the City Council. Two attorneys met with the Director and staff and Ted Rhinehart to discuss what is involved in obtaining easements on Southern Drive in order to open Southern Drive as a through street.

The Legal Department reviewed a subordination to a mortgage agreement, the contract with the Enterprise Foundation, and continued to work on a simpler mortgage document for owner occupied rehab loans.

-Glenda Morrison reported on the following:

Community Development

Pat has continued to work on the Mental Health grants. Construction has begun on the First and Rogers building. Discussions are proceeding on the 202 loan to be build on the Bob Shaw property at Westplex. Pat and I have discussed that we may need to put in $10-15,000 in CDBG sidewalk funds for that project to fill a gap in funding the off-site improvements.

We have started working on another idea: re-using the old Howard Johnson's (formerly Holiday Inn) for low-income housing and social services. The building is in bankruptcy now and could be pu8rchased. We are talking to CAP, public housing authority, potential investors, and others. The city would act as facilitator, not as the developer or purchaser. WE could be involved through Rental Rehab or a HUD grant. We have talked to Pat Phillips who is quite excited.

We have received bids for the Hillside design.

Economic Development

We have requested proposals for engineering services for the convention center parking lot and hope to award that contract at the March meeting.

Two people from Umbaugh were here to work on developing the TIF numbers and projections for a bond issue.

Historic Preservation

The Courthouse Square is now a National Register district. The Redevelopment Department provided half the match for the grant which funded the nomination and Dee was the project administrator.

VI. GENERAL DISCUSSION

Dee provided some information on possible new rehabs and asked the commission their opinion on further study of the rehabs in question.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

Doris made a motion that the meeting be adjourned, Richard Schmalz seconded the motion. The meeting was adjourned at 6:55 p.m.

_____
David Walter, President

_____
Patricia Gross, Secretary

_____
Date

SOCIAL SERVICE AGENCIES

AGENCY	90 CDBG	91 REQUEST	COUNTY	UNITED WAY	CAC	REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION	COUNCIL
HEALTH CARE							
Guardian Ad Litem	$6,000	$10,000	$6,000		$6000	$6000	
Dental Care Action, Inc.	$5,000	$8,000			$6000	$6000	
Health Services Bureau	$20,000	$22,000	$20,000	$98,000	$20,000	$20,000	
Rape Crisis Center, Middle Way House	NA	$10,000			$1,500	$1,500	
FIND, SCCMHC	NA	$6,000			$5,000	$5,000	
SELF SUFFICIENCY							
CAP Self Sufficiency Program	$10,000	$11,200			$10,000	$10,000	
Area 10 Agency on Aging	$4,000	$7,000	$20,000	$7,000	$3500	$3500	
Big Brothers/Sisters	$5,000	$17,397	$8,000	$45,000	$3000	$3000	
Counseling Services	$5,000	$10,000			$5000	$5000	
MCUM Day Care	$3,500	$10,000	$4,500	$34,000	$4000	$4000	
BDLC Scholarship Fund	NA	$20,000			$3000	$3000	

AGENCY	90 CDBG	91 REQUEST	COUNTY	UNITED WAY	CAC	REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION	COUNCIL
SHELTER							
Amethyst House	$3,000	$7,000			$3000	$3000	
YMCA/Walnut Woods	$3,500	$5,500			$3500	$3500	
Middle Way House	$9,500	$20,000	$5,000	$29,000	$8500	$8500	
Shelter, Inc. Toddler Program	NA	$10,000			0	0	
Shelter, Inc.	$9,500	$25,028	$4,500	$35,000	$12,500	$12,500	
FOOD							
Hoosier Hills Food Bank	$5,500	$10,000	$3,500	$30,000	$9,000	$9,000	
Totals		$209,125			$103,500	$103,500	

PHYSICAL IMPROVEMENTS

PROJECT	90 CDBG	91 REQUEST	CAC	REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION	COUNCIL
Social Service Agencies					
Amethyst House	$6,000	$30,000	$25,000	$25,000	
MCUM	$8,950*	$40,000	$20,000	$20,000	
Housing					
Housing Solutions Matching Grant	NA	$47,000	$35,000	$35,000	
Summer Paint Program	$2,358	$10,000	$2,000	$2,000	
Housing Rehabilitation	$223,620	$200,000	$100,000	$100,000	
CAP Emergency Home Repair	$50,000	$72,000	$55,000	$55,000	
Right-of-Way Improvements					
Downtown Curb & Sidewalk	$37,405	$15,000	$15,000	$15,000	
Neighborhood Curb & Sidewalk	$38,202	$15,000	$15,000	$15,000	
Engineering Services	$23,810	$20,000	$20,000	$20,000	
Acquisition	$13,125	$150,000	$75,000	$75,000	
Walker St Drainage & Sidewalk Phase II & III	$176,700	$189,300	$53,500	$53,500	
Euclid St Sidewalk & Street Reconstrution	NA	$59,000	0	0	
Euclid Street Engineering	NA	$7,000	0	0	
Allen St Sidewalk & Drainage Phase II	$20,000**	$21,000	0	0	
Allen St Sidewalk & Drainage Phase III	NA	$54,000	0	0	

PROJECT	90 CDBG	91 REQUEST	CAC	REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION	COUNCIL
Elm St Sidewalk & Street Reconstruction	NA	$34,000	$36,000	$36,000	
Hillside Drive Acquisition & Reconstruction	$55,000 Design Only	$87,000	$40,000	$40,000	
Adams Street Engineering	$12,000	$7,000	$7,000	$7,000	
Buckner St Sidewalk & Street Reconstruction	NA	$54,000	0	0	
Buckner St Engineering	NA	$7,000	0	0	
Southern Drive Sidewalk	NA	$26,000	0	0	
Smith St Reconstruction & Drainage	NA	$66,000	0	0	
Smith St Engineering	NA	$8,000	0	0	
Henderson St Sidewalk	NA	$33,000	0	0	
Total		1,252,300	$498,500	$465,000	
ADMINISTRATION					
Housing Solutions Study	NA	$10,000	0	0	
Administration	$183,962	$130,000	120-130,000	$120-130,000	
Administrative Totals		$140,000	$138,000	$138,000	

City of
Bloomington
Indiana

Post Office Box 100
Municipal Building
Bloomington, Indiana 47402
Telephone 812 331 6406

Office of the
Mayor

91-10

TO: Members of the Common Council

FROM: Mike Davis

SUBJ: Sale of Amethyst House/Use of Proceeds

DATE: March 6, 1991

Pursuant to Council President Fernandez' questions at the Committee meeting of February 28, I want clarify how the administration intends to proceed. It is our intent to sell the old Middle Way House to Amethyst House to secure a permanent location for them in the downtown. Amethyst House is a half-way house for people seeking to recover from substance abuse.

To spend the proceeds from this sale, we will bring requests for additional appropriations to the Council. Additional appropriations would be for one of the following public purposes:

1) For use as matching grant money for federal, state or foundation housing programs targeted for low-income housing projects; and/or

2) For purchase of property for development of low-income housing by a not-for-profit housing organization such as Habitat for Humanity or Housing Solutions.

Use of any of the proceeds from the sale of Amethyst House would be subject to appropriation by the Council. I will be happy to address any further questions you might have at the Council meeting.