ORDINANCE 94-14

TO GRANT PUD DESIGNATION AND OUTLINE PLAN APPROVAL Re: 500 & 520 N. Walnut and 515 & 521 N. Washington (CFC, Inc., Petitioner)

WHEREAS, the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission has considered this case, RH/PUD-9-94 and recommended that the petitioner, CFC, Inc. be granted PUD designation and outline plan approval and request that the Common Council consider their petition;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal Code, the property be designated a Planned Unit Development and be approved for an outline plan. The property is located at 500 & 520 N. Walnut and 515 & 521 N. Washington and is further described as follows:

Lots 11, 13, 15, 16, and 18; the Southern 44.68 feet of Lot 17 in Bollman Place Subdivision, and the alley lying between said lots 13 and 15, City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana.

SECTION II. The Outline Plan shall be attached and made a part of this ordinance.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this _____ day of _____, 1994.

> JIM SHERMAN, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, Clerk City of Bloomington

PRESENTED by me to Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this _____ day of _____, 1994.

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, Clerk City of Bloomington

SIGNED AND APPROVED by me upon this ____ day of _____, 1994.

TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

This ordinance grants a PUD designation and outline plan approval for properties located at 500 & 520 N. Walnut and 515 & 521 N. Washington. The properties located at 515 & 521 N. Washington will be single family residences. The property located at 520 N. Walnut will be a two-unit condominium and the property located at 500 N. Walnut will be a single building multi-family condominium which will allow up to 23 units.

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****

				1				
	In accordance with IC 36-	7-4-605 I ł	nereby cer	tify tha	t the att	ached O	rdinanc	e
	Number 94-14 is a true	and comple	ete copy (of Plan	Commissi	on Case	e Numbe	r
	RH/PUD-9-94 which was gi	ven a rec	ommendatic	on of ap	proval b	y a vot	e of _	7
	Ayes, <u>1</u> Nays, and <u>0</u> Abstentions by the Bloomington City Plan Commission							
	at a public hearing held Date: <u>March 22, 1994</u>	on March 2	21, 1994	Timot	hy a.	Miee	ller	Xaliez
*	Date. <u>March 22, 1994</u>		Tim Plan	Mueller, Commiss	becreta ion	ry		
	Received by the Common Co	ouncil Offi	.ce this 🔏	thad day	y of <u>M</u>	larch		. 1
	Patricia Williams, City	Clerk	· ·					
	Appropriation Ordinance #	Fiscal Imp Statement	pact #	R	esolutio	n #		
	· ·	Ordinance						-
	Type of Legislation:						٢	
	Appropriation Budget Transfer Salary Change Zoning Change New Fees	End of Pro New Progra Bonding Investment Annexation	am :s	K	Grant Admin Short	Ordinan Approva istrativ -Term Bo	al Ve Chang orrowin	
4								
	If the legislation dire completed by the City Co	ectly affentroller:	cts City	funds,	the fol	lowing	must b	e
	Cause of Request:							
	Planned Expenditure Unforseen Need			Emergen Other	су			
	Funds Affected by Request							
	Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of Januar Revenue to Date	-	\$			\$		
	Revenue Expected for Rest Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legis	_						_
	Projected Balance		\$			\$		_
		Signature	of Contro	ller				_
								_
	Will the legislation hav fiscal liability or reven	e a major nues? Yes	impact or	n existin No	ng City	appropr	iations	1

If the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason for your conclusion.

If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effect on City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary.)

MEMO

TO: FROM: SUBJECT: PETITIONER: LOCATION: Common Council Planning Department RH/PUD-9-94 CFC, Inc. 500 & 520 N. Walnut and 515 & 521 N. Washington

At its meeting of March 21, the Plan Commission recommended Planned Unit Development designation of the Porticos site, the parking area south to 9th St., and the two residences at 515 & 521 N. Washington. Also recommended is outline plan approval of up to 27 condo units on the aggregate site of 1.14 acres. The portion of the petition calling for rezoning from BG to RH has been withdrawn. The PUD may be approved in the existing BG zone.

The units include two condos in the Porticos and one each in the Washington St. residences. All of the above will be restored in historic character. The balance of the units will be in a new building in the current parking lot area. Bedroom breakdown (total) is projected at 1-4BR, 6-3BR, 18-2BR, and 2-1BR units. The number of units may vary downward if more bedrooms are incorporated, subject to compliance with parking units. The building has parking in the lower level, 11 residential floors, and mechanicals on top. Different height numbers have been cited in the hearings; depending on what's included. The approved plans show a height of 177' from the sidewalk grade at 9th St. to the uppermost cornice of the building (not counting the decorative spires - see exhibit). The taller portion rises from a larger two-story base. Parking is provided in the Porticos garage, under the new structure, and in the backyard of the Washington St. lots. Sixty-five spaces are available (two spaces will become an island for the big tree on the Washington St. lots).

A PUD plan supplants the code's height, bulk, and density requirements in general, subject to certain specific requirements for PUD. These include a maximum density in this context of 25 units/acre, a 30' buffer facing residential zones, a 40% lot coverage maximum, and a five acre minimum lot site. The code enables the Plan Commission to vary these specific requirements "where unique design quality of a development warrant (Section 20.13.01.19)". The proposal complies with density, parking, and other substantive requirements. The Commission's vote included variances from the five acre minimum, 40% coverage, and 30' buffer requirements.

Conditions of the Commission's approval are:

2.

3.

4.

Drainage to Engineering Dept. satisfaction.

The tree in the Washington St. backyard to be preserved utilizing the approach shown on the attached exhibit, specifically designed to staff satisfaction.

Recommendation for approval is contingent upon vacation of an east/west alley between Walnut St. and the north/south alley, within the proposed buildings' footprint.

Recommendation for approval is contingent upon 9th St. being converted to a two-way

configuration, at least from Walnut to the north/south alley; or an equivalent solution to the access problem.

The last condition relates to 9th Street's current status of one-way west. Any traffic approaching from the south on Walnut would circle the block or cut through private driveways. This condition reflects the Commission's opinion that a better circulation pattern must be implemented if the project is to develop. The matter will be discussed by the Traffic Commission on Wednesday, March 23.

5.