ORDINANCE 85 - 16

To Amend the Bloomington Zoning Maps from RE to PUD And Grant Outline Approval for the PUD RE: Ruth Kivett-Burns - North Kinser Pike

WHEREAS,

the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS,

the Plan Commission has considered this case, PUD-66-84, and recommended that the petitioner, RUTH KIVETT-BURNS, be granted an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and requests that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 that the zoning SECTION I. be changed from RE to PUD for property located on North Kinser Pike, and more accurately described as follows:

A part of the Northwest quarter of Section 20 Township 9 North Range 1 West Monroe County Indiana more particularly described as follows: Commencing at the Northeast corner of the Northwest quarter of said section 20, thence South along the East line of said Northwest quarter 1088 94 feet to the point of beginning; thence continuing South along said East line 664.92 feet; thence South 89 degrees 29 minutes 47 seconds West 850.00 feet; thence South 0 degrees 35 minutes 36 seconds East 420 feet; thence South 89 degrees 29 minutes 47 seconds West 486.37 feet to the West line of the East half of said Northwest quarter; thence North along the said West line 1084.29 feet; thence North 89 degrees 29 minutes 45 seconds East 1332.02 feet to the point of beginning containing 25 acres more or less.

SECTION II. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal Code, that an outline plan be approved and that the above described parcels be designated a planned unit development.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon the ____ day of May, 1985.

Taticia a. Moss. PATRICIA A. GROSS, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

5/8/85 Sugrad of

6-10-16-14

1,777 - FJAL 102-

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 2 day of May, 1985.

Vatriina Williams, City Clerk

200 B.L

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 1 day of May

Jomilea allison, Mayor

City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

Approval of this ordinance would designate the area on North Kinser Pike directly north of and adjacent to the high school as a PUD. Approval would also confer outline plan approval for the proposed 100 unit 25 acre site.

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****				
In accordance with IC 18-7-4-508	, I hereby ce	rtify that the attached		
Ordinance Number 85-16, is a true	e and complet	e copy of Plan Commission		
Case Number PUD-66-34which was a	given a recom	mendation of approval		
by a vote of <u>ll</u> Ayes, <u>O</u> Nays, a	and <u>0</u> Abste	ntions by the Bloomington		
City Plan Commission at a public	hearing he ld	pn March 25 ,1985 .	AA	
		Planiting Director		
Date: April 11		Tim Mueller, Secretary Plan Commission	—	
Received by the Common Council Of	•		, 1985	
<u>fatricia Williams</u> , City Clerk				
Appropriation Ordinance #	Fiscal Impac			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ordinance	Kesoiution#		
Type of Legislation:				
AppropriationEnd of HBudget TransferNew ProgSalary ChangeBondingZoning ChangeXXNew FeesAnnexati	ents	Penal Ordinance Grant Approval Administrative Change Short-Term Borrowing Other		
Amexae				
If the legislation directly affect by the City Controller: Cause of Request:	ts City fund	s, the following must be a	completed	
Planned Expenditure		Emergency		
Unforseen Need	•	Other		
Funds Affected by Request:				
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date	\$	\$		
Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date				
Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Projected Balance	\$. \$		
	Signature of	f Controller		
Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes		xisting City appropriation	ns, fiscal	
If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. The City limits and won't require any	property is	not located within the corp		
If the legislation will have a ma on City costs and revenues will b significant additional expenditur (Continue on second sheet if nece	e and include es in the fut	e factors which could lead	l to	

Agency submitting legislation Planning Department

By	Tim	Mueller
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

And and a second

5). 1993 Najar

Date 4/11/85