To Amend the Bloomington Zoning Maps from RE to RS RE: 3621 Leonard Springs Road (John McHenry)

WHEREAS, the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS,

the Plan Commission has considered this case, ZO-32-85, and recommended that the petitioner, JOHN MCHENRY, be granted an amendment to the Bloomington Zoning maps and requests that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 that the zoning SECTION I. be changed from RE to RS for property located at 3621 Leonard Springs Road, and more further described as:

A part of the Southeast Quarter of Section Thirteen (13), Township Eight (8) North, Range Two (2) West, bounded and described as follows; to-wit: Commencing at a point that is one hundred eight (108) rods North and One hundred sixty (160) rods West of the Southeast corner of the Southeast Quarter of said Section, Town and Range; thence running South along and with the center line of the Leonard Springs Road for a distance of Ten (10) rods (165 feet); thence East Thirty two (32) rods (528 feet) thence North Ten (10) rods (165) feet thence west Thirty two rods (32) (528 feet) and to the place of beginning. Containing Two (2) acres, more or less.

SECTION II. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this <u>5th</u> day of <u>June</u>, 1985.

atricia a. Shon

ATRICIA GROSS, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

Susan Fernandes

Susan Fernandes, Deputy Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 6th day of June, 1985.

usen Hernandla

Susan Fernandes, Deputy Clerk

Grofos Segued Cipico: 1. Manung 2. Rettitusters

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 6 day of fund, 1985. Jonilia allison TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor

City of Bloomington

## SYNOPSIS

Approval of this ordinance would change the zoning on the property from RE to RS, allowing the petitioner the opportunity to subdivide into up to 8 lots.

## \*\*\*\*ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION\*\*\*\*

In accordance with IC 18-7-4-508,	I hereby co	ertify that the attached	in a second s
Ordinance Number 85- , is a true	and complet	te copy of Plan Commissi	on
Case Number ZO-32-85 which was gi	· ·		
		* *	
by a vote of <u>9</u> Ayes, <u>0</u> Nays, an			
City Plan Commission at a public h	earing held	198, April 29, 198	5.
		Timothy a. Mu	
Date: April 29	1985	Planning Director Tim Mueller, Secretary	
	-	Plan Commission	
Received by the Common Council Off	ice this 1	day ofMay	, 1985.
		· .	
Patricia Williams, City Clerk			
	iscal Impac		
Appropriation Ordinance #S	Statement # Ordinance	Resolution	#
Type of Legislation:	'I'U Inance		
Appropriation End of Pr	ogram	Penal Ordinance	
Budget Transfer New Progr	_	Grant Approval	· · · · ·
Salary Change Bonding		Administrative Chang	
Zoning Change <u>XX</u> Investmen New Fees Annexatio		_ Short-Term Borrowing	
New Fees Annexatio		Other	
RE to RS		······································	· · · · ·
by the City Controller: <u>Cause of Request</u> : Planned Expenditure		Emergency	
Unforseen Need	•	Other	······································
Funds Affected by Request:			•
Fund(s) Affected		,	
Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date	\$	\$	
Revenue Expected for Rest of year		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Appropriations to Date			
Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-	.)	<u></u>	
	\$	. \$	:
- · · ·	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
·	Signature c	of Controller	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Will the legislation have a major	impact on f	existing City appropriat	ions, fisca
	No_X	and drey appropriat	
LIADILITY OF REVENUES? Yes			
If the legislation will not have a		al impact, explain bries not in the corporate li	
If the legislation will not have a	property is or fiscal f and includ s in the fu	s not in the corporate li mpact, explain briefly le factors which could 1	mits. what the ef ead to
If the legislation will not have a reason for your conclusion. The If the legislation will have a maj on City costs and revenues will be significant additional expenditure	property is or fiscal f and includ s in the fu	s not in the corporate li mpact, explain briefly le factors which could 1	mits. what the ef ead to
If the legislation will not have a reason for your conclusion. The If the legislation will have a majon City costs and revenues will be significant additional expenditure	property is or fiscal f and includ s in the fu sary)	s not in the corporate li mpact, explain briefly le factors which could l ture. Be as specific a	mits. what the ef ead to

By Tim Mueller Date 5/1/85