ORDINANCE 88 - 6

To Amend the Zoning Maps from RE to RS and Grand Outline Plan Approval RE: Moores Pike (Howard Young, Hyde Park)

WHEREAS,

the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS,

the Plan Commission has considered this case, PUD-79-87, and recommended that the petitioner, Howard Young, be be granted an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and request that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 that the zoning be change from RE to RS for property located on Moores Pike, and more particularly described as follows:

A part of the Northeas quarter and a part of the Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 11, Township 8 North, Range 1 West, Second Principal Meridian, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at an iron pipe marking the northeast corner of the northeast quarter of Section 11; thence North 88 degrees 08 minutes 51 seconds West along the north line of said northeast quarter 980.39 feet to the point of beginning; thence leaving said north line south 0 degrees 28 minutes 19 seconds West 1059.44 feet; thence South 38 degrees 24 minutes 43 seconds West 552.83 feet to a point on the west line of the northeast quarter of the northeast quarter of said section; thence North 0 degrees 28 minutes 19 seconds East along said west line 1503.63 feet to a point on the north line of said northeast quarter; thence south 88 degrees 08 minutes 51 seconds East along said north line 340.00 feet to the point of beginning, containing in said exception 10 acres, more or less.

SECTION II. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal Code, that an outline plan be approved and that the above designated proeprty be designated a Planned Unit Development.

SECTION III. The Outline Plan, as recommended by the Plan Commission, shall be as attached hereto and made a part hereof.

SECTION IV. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this $\underline{\mathcal{K}}$ day of <u>March</u>, 1988.

PAM SERVICE, President Bloomington Common Council

AT FEST:

HUnius Williams, PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Morroe County, Indiana, upon this $\underline{3}$ day of \underline{Maych} , 1988.

PARICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

1938.

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this _4_ day of March,

Olesen TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

This 10 acre parcel on the south side of Moores Pike and adjacent to Hyle Park's eastern property line would be an addition to the multifanily component of Hyde Park. Density would be up to 8 units/acre with access via an extension of Wilson Street from Sare Road to Moores Pice.

sugnud copy : 3/5/88 Planning Petitional

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****

×. ..

| In accordance with IC 18-7-4-50 | 08, I hereby | certify that the attached |
|--|--|---|
| Ordinance Number , is a tr | rue and comple | ete copy of Plan Commission |
| PUD-79-87 Case Number which was | s given a rec | mmendation of approval |
| by a vote of <u>6</u> Ayes, <u>0</u> Nays, | , and <u>l</u> Abs | tentions by the Bloomington • |
| City Plan Commission at a publi | ic hearing he | ld on February 1, 1988 |
| | | I marting a. Madeline |
| Date: 2/4/88 | | Planning Director Tim Mueller, Secretary |
| | , | Plan Commission |
| | | |
| Fatricia Williams | | |
| Patricia Williams, City Clerk | | |
| A | | |
| Appropriation Ordinance # | | Kesolution# |
| Type of Legislation: | | |
| | Program | Penal Ordinance |
| | - | |
| | | |
| | | |
| RE to RS with outline plan ap | proval | |
| Cause of Request: Planned Expenditure Unforseen Need | | Emergency Other |
| Funds Affected by Request: | | |
| Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l | \$ | \$ |
| Revenue to Date | | |
| Revenue Expected for Rest of ye Appropriations to Date | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | |
| Effect of Proposed Legislation(| (+/-) | |
| Projected Balance | \$ | ð |
| | | · \$ |
| | | of Controller |
| | Signature | of Controller |
| Will the legislation have a maj liability or revenues? Yes | Signature or impact on | of Controller |
| liability or revenues? Yes | Signature or impact on No_X | of Controller existing City appropriations, fiscal |
| liability or revenues? Yes | Signature or impact on No X ye a major fis | of Controller existing City appropriations, fiscal scal impact, explain briefly the |
| <pre>liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not hav reason for your conclusion. This property is not within If the legislation will have a on City costs and revenues will</pre> | Signature or impact on No X we a major fis the city's in major fiscal be and inclu- cures in the fi | of Controller existing City appropriations, fiscal scal impact, explain briefly the neorporated limits. impact, explain briefly what the eff |
| <pre>liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not hav reason for your conclusion. This property is not within If the legislation will have a on City costs and revenues will significant additional expendit</pre> | Signature or impact on No X we a major fis the city's in major fiscal be and inclu- cures in the fi | of Controller existing City appropriations, fiscal scal impact, explain briefly the neorporated limits. impact, explain briefly what the effect of the factors which could lead to |
| <pre>liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not hav reason for your conclusion. This property is not within If the legislation will have a on City costs and revenues will significant additional expendit</pre> | Signature or impact on No X we a major fis the city's in major fiscal be and inclu- cures in the fi | of Controller existing City appropriations, fiscal scal impact, explain briefly the ncorporated limits. impact, explain briefly what the eff de factors which could lead to tuture. Be as specific as possible. |

Agency submitting legislation Planning Department

By Timothy Mueller

Date 2/4/88

