To Amend the Zoning Map from RE to RS/PUD RE: 3500 Block Moores Pike and to amend the approved outline plan for the Hyde Park Development. Howard Young

WHEREAS,

the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS,

the Plan Commission has considered this case, RS/PUD-4-87, and recommended that the petitioner, Howard Young, be granted outline plan approval and PUD designation and request that the Common Council consider their petition for outline plan approval and PUD designation on certain property;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal Code, that an outline plan be approved and that the property located at 3500 Block Moores Pike be designated a Planned Unit. The property is further described as follows:

A part of the Northeast quarter of Section Eleven (11), Township Eight (8) North, Range One (1) West, in Monroe County, Indiana bounded and described as follows to-wit: Beginning at a point on the North line of said quarter Section 110 rods West of the Northeast quarter Section; running thence South 32 rods, running thence East 10 rods, running thence North 32 rods to the North line of said quarter section; running thence West 10 rods to the place of beginning. Containg 2 acres more or less.
Also: Beginning at a point 80 rods West of the Northeast corner of said
Northeast quarter of Section 11, Township 8 North, Range 1 West; running thence South 528 feet; thence West 10 rods; running thence North 528 to the North line of said quarter section; running thence East 10 rods to the place of beginning; containing 2 acres, more or less. SECTION II. Through the authority of IC 18-7-4 and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal Code, that an ammendment to the approved outline plan be approved for the Hyde Park Development located on Sare Road South of Moores Pike. This ammendment will allow Single Family development of the previously approved multi-family components of the outline plan, as shown on the attached exhibit.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of BLoomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 18 day of tehrang, 1987.

> TIMOTHY MAYER, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

Presented by me to the Mayor of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 19 day of 12 may 1987.

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 20 day of

February ____, 198___.

Jonulea allison TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

This ordinance will change the zoning on two two(2) acre parcels in the 3500 Block of Moores Pike from RE to RS/PUD and grant outline plan approval. Also this ordinance ammends the approved outline plan for the multi-family components of the Hyde Park development to allow single family lots to be developed as shown on the attached exhibit.

Signed copies to:
Planning
Howard Hours

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****

Ordinance Number 87-8 . is a tr			
	cue and complet	e copy of Plan Commission	
Case Number RS/PUD-4-Which was	s given a recom	mendation of approval	
by a vote of $\frac{7}{2}$ Ayes, $\frac{0}{2}$ Nays,	and 4 Abste	ntions by the Bloomington .	
City Plan Commission at a publi	ic hearing held	on January 12, 1987.	
,	₹ * *	mothy a Muller	
Date: February 5, 1987		Tim Mueller, Secretary Plan Commission	
Received by the Common Council	Office this 5	thday of Leury 1987	
Allina Della 5			
Patricia Williams, City Clerk			
	Fiscal Impac	t	
Appropriation Ordinance #	Statement #_ Ordinance	Resolution#	
Type of Legislation:	Ordinance		
Appropriation End of	Program	Penal Ordinance	
Budget Transfer New Pr		Grant Approval	
Salary Change Bondin		Administrative Change	
Zoning Change Invest New Fees Annexa	ments	Short-Term Borrowing Other	
Change of zone from RE to	RS/PUD		
by the City Controller: Cause of Request:			
Planned Expenditure		Emorgonov	
Unforseen Need		Emergency	
		Offiet	
Funds Affected by Request:		other	
Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected		other	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l	\$		
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date		\$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l		\$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of ye Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance	ar	\$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of ye Appropriations to Date	ar	\$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of ye Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance	ar	\$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of ye Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(ar	\$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of ye Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(ar	\$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of ye Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) \$ Signature of impact on expenses.	\$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of ye Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(Projected Balance Will the legislation have a majulability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have	+/-) \$ Signature of impact on expression impact on	\$ Controller sisting City appropriations, first	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of ye Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(Projected Balance Will the legislation have a majoriability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion.	+/-) \$ Signature of the second or impact on expect on e	\$ Controller sisting City appropriations, final impact, explain briefly the	sca
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January l Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of ye Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(Projected Balance Will the legislation have a majuliability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have	ar	\$ Controller sisting City appropriations, find impact, explain briefly the appropriation briefly what the efactors which could lead to	sca
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of ye Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(Projected Balance Will the legislation have a majoriability or revenues? Yes_ If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. If the Tegislation will have a conclusion city costs and revenues will significant additional expendit	ar	\$ Controller sisting City appropriations, find impact, explain briefly the appropriation briefly what the efactors which could lead to	sca
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of ye Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(Projected Balance Will the legislation have a majoriability or revenues? Yes_ If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. If the Tegislation will have a conclusion city costs and revenues will significant additional expendit	ar	\$ Controller sisting City appropriations, find impact, explain briefly the appropriation briefly what the efactors which could lead to	sca
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of ye Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(Projected Balance Will the legislation have a majoriability or revenues? Yes_ If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. If the Tegislation will have a conclusion city costs and revenues will significant additional expendit	ar	\$ Controller sisting City appropriations, find impact, explain briefly the appropriation briefly what the efactors which could lead to	sca