ORDINANCE 87-51

To Grant Outline Plan Approval

RE: Gifford Road East of Park Square Drive Superior Partnership

WHEREAS,

the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS,

the Plan Commission has considered this case, PUD-61-87, and recommended that the petitioner, Superior Partnership, be granted outline plan approval and PUD designation and request that the Common Council consider their petition for outline plan approval and PUD designation on certain property;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal code, that an outline plan be approved and that the property located at Gifford Road East of Park Square Drive be designated a Planned Unit Development. The property is further described as follows:

A part of the Southwest quarter of Section One (1), Township Eight (8) North, Range Two (2) West, bounded as follows: Beginning at a point near the Southwest corner of said Section 1, where the center line of the pike road (on or near the West line of said Section 1 intersects the North line of the right of way of the Illinois Central Railway) and running thence North nine hundred eighty-two (982) feet on and along the center line of said pike road to the center line of the pike road running east, thence east two hundred forty three (243) feet, this being the starting point and the northwest corner of the tract of land hereby conveyed, thence running east from said point along and with the center of said pike road, a distance of three hundred six (306) feet, thence running south six hundred seven and sixty-seven hundredths (607.67) feet to the northern right of way line of the Illinois Central Railway, thence running in a southwesterly direction along and with the said right of way line a distance of three hundred seventy (370) feet, thence running North eight hundred sixteen and seventy five hundredths (816.75) feet to the place of beginning, containing five (5) acres, more or less.

SECTION II. The Outline Plan, as recommemded by the Plan Commission, shall be as attached hereto and made a part hereof.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 4 day of November, 1987.

TIMOTHY MAYER, President

Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington,

Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 4 day of November, 1987

Tahrina Williams
PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this $\overset{4}{4}$ day of

November, 1987.

TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

Superior Partnership proposes 60 units on 5 acres on Gifford Road just east of its intersection with Park Square Drive. It would be similar in nature to the adjacent Hickory Grove Apartments.

3.grua' copus: 11/4/81 pehtionu planning

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****

	, I nereby	certify that the attached	
Ordinance Number , is a true	e and compl	ete copy of Plan Commission	·.
Case Number PUD-61-87 which was	given a rec	ommendation of approval	
by a vote of $\frac{7}{2}$ Ayes, $\frac{0}{2}$ Nays, a	and 0 Abs	tentions by the Bloomington	•
City Plan Commission at a public	hearing he.	Planning Director	
Date: September 25, 1987		Tim Mueller, Secretary Plan Commission	
Received by the Common Council O	ffice this	3 day of October,	1987.
Patricia Williams, City Clerk			
Appropriation Ordinance #	Fiscal Impa Statement or Ordinance		· .
Type of Legislation:	ordinance		
Appropriation End of I Budget Transfer New Pros Salary Change Bonding Zoning Change Investme New Fees Annexati	ents	Penal Ordinance Grant Approval Administrative Change Short-Term Borrowing Other	
Planned Unit Development - outli	ne plan		
Cause of Request: Planned Expenditure Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request:		Emergency	
Fund(s) Affected			
	\$	\$	
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date			
Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/	/-)		
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+,	/ <u>-)</u>	. \$	
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+,	/ <u>-)</u>		
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date	/-) \$ Signature r impact on	\$ of Controller_	
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+, Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes	Signature r impact on No X a major fis	\$ of Controller existing City appropriation scal impact, explain briefly	s, fisc
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+, Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. This property is outside th If the legislation will have a major on City costs and revenues will I significant additional expenditure.	Signature r impact on No X a major fishe city's ajor fiscal be and inclures in the first	\$ of Controller existing City appropriation scal impact, explain briefly corporate limits. impact, explain briefly whande factors which could lead	s, fisc
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+, Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion.	Signature r impact on No X a major fishe city's ajor fiscal be and inclures in the first	\$ of Controller existing City appropriation scal impact, explain briefly corporate limits. impact, explain briefly whande factors which could lead	s, fisc
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+, Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. This property is outside th If the legislation will have a major on City costs and revenues will I significant additional expenditure.	Signature r impact on No X a major fishe city's ajor fiscal be and inclures in the first	\$ of Controller existing City appropriation scal impact, explain briefly corporate limits. impact, explain briefly whande factors which could lead	s, fisc

Timothy Mueller-Planning Director Date September 24, 1987

Ву___

SEPTEMBER 14, 1987

BLOOMINGTON PLAN COMMISSION STAFF REPORT PUD-61-87 SUPERIOR PARTNERSHIP GIFFORD RD. east of PARK SQ. DR.

Requested is planned unit designation and outline plan approval with waiver of second hearing for a proposed 60 unit RL/PUD on five acres off of Gifford Rd. just east of its intersection with Park Square Dr. The property is directly adjacent to the recently completed RL/PUD known as Hickory Grove Apartments and would be developed in a similiar fashion by the same developer.

Sixty units are proposed on the five acre tract with access from a new cut to Gifford Rd. 35ft. ROW dedication from center of Gifford will be provided. Internal access between the two adjacent projects would be provided by connecting parking lots. The internal private drive is proposed at 24ft. with parking areas located off of the drive. The theme of the original development would be continued with jogging paths provided around the perimiter of the site and preservation of existing trees and vegetation where possible. Sidewalks will be provided along Gifford Rd. as well as internally along the private drive and parking areas. Staff would note that sidewalk along the internal drive adjacent to the proposed retention area is not provided. A walk should be included here to provide a continious walk out to Gifford.

Storm water detention will be provided on-site. The plan identifies several potential detention areas, with full engineering deffered to development plan stage. Staff would note that one of the detention areas is located partially in the Gifford Rd. ROW. Storm water detention will not be allowed in the public ROW so any detention in this area must be redesigned to keep it out of the ROW.

In general the plan as submitted is viewed as appropriate for the area. The original phase of this project has been well received and this extension of the development with 60 additional units should be equally well done. Staff would recommend approvall with waiver of second hearing for this RL/PUD outline plan. Fully engineered plans with specific attention to storm drainage detention will be required with development plan submittal.

STAFF REPORT PUD-74-87 Superior Partnership October 19, 1987

Proposed is development plan approval of the Hickory Grove Apartments, Phase II, approved in outline form in September. The 60 unit project (12 units/acre) will be an extension of the first phase and will add pool and community center. The plan conforms completely to approved outline plans. Pending completion of review of engineering details, including sotrm water detention, staff recommends approval (one hearing required for development plans).