ORDINANCE 86 - 16

To Amend the Zoning Maps from MQ to ML/PCD RE: 2200 Vernal Pike (Larry Neidigh)

WHEREAS,

the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code: and

WHEREAS,

the Plan Commission has considered this case, ML/PCD-106- $\delta 5$. and recommended that the petitioner, Larry Neidigh, be granted an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and request tathaat the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT;

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC $1\delta-7-4$ that the zoning be changed from MQ to ML/PCD for property located at 2200 Vernal Pike and more accurately described as follows:

A part of the Northeast quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 31, Township 9 North, RAnge 1 West, Monroe County, State of Indiana, bounded and described as follows, to-wit: Beginning at a point 40 rods south of the northeast corner of said Section 31, running thences west a distance of 200 feet, running thence south a distance of 434 feet, more or less, to the corner of the Bloomington and Spencer Road (also known as the Bloomington and Hensenburg Road), running thence in a southeasterly direction along the center line of said road to a point where said center line crosses the east line of said northeast quarter of said Section 31, running thence north along the easter line of said northeast quarter of said Section 31, to the place of beginning.

SECTION II. Through the authority of IC 18-7-4 and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal Code, that an outline plan be approved and that the above designated property be designated a Planned Commercial Development.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this a day April, 1986.

JAMES REGESTER, President Blodmington Common Council

ATTEST:) Ulianz Matrice PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County Indiana, upon this 3 day of April, 1986.

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

. V/c/86 1. Larry Martigh 2. Plasmag

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 4 day of 4nul, 1986

Jonnella <u>Allison</u>, Mayor City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

Approval of this ordinance would designate the 3 acre parcel located at 2200 Vernal Pike as a PCD. Approval would also confer outline plan approval for the development.

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****

Ordinance Number 86-, is a true and complete copy of Plan Commission SL/PCD-105-85 Case Number which was given a recommendation of approval by a vote of 6_Ayes, _2 Nays, and _0 Abstentions by the Bloomington City Plan Commission at a public hearing held on March 10	In accordance with IC 18-7-4-508,	I hereby cert	tify that t	he attached	
Case Number which was given a recommendation of approval by a vote of		and complete	copy of Pl	an Commission	
City Plan Commission at a public hearing held on March 10 , 1936 Tim Kuller, Secretary Planning Director Planning Director Plan Commission Received by the Common Council Office this 11 day of March, 1986. Huil Williams, City Clerk Appropriation Ordinance #		iven a recomme	endation of	approval	· · ·
March 11 , 1986 Planting Director Planting Director Fin Muller, Secretary Planting Director Planting Director Regeived by the Common Council Office this 11 day of March, 1986.	by a vote of <u>6</u> Ayes, <u>2</u> Nays, a	nd <u>C</u> Abstent	tions by th	e Bloomington	. 6
Planting Diractor Date:	City Plan Commission at a public	hearing held o			00.0
Date: March 11 ,1986 Tim Mueller, Secretary Plan Commission Received by the Common Council Office this 11 day of March,1986. THULL Williams, CityOlerk Patricia Williams, CityOlerk Appropriation Ordinance #				, D	
Plan Commission Preserved by the Common Council Office this 11 day of March, 1986. Multiany_Patricia Williams, CityJelerk Patricia Williams, CityJelerk Appropriation Ordinance #	Date: March 11	1986 T			-
Humm Fiscal Impact Patricis Williams, City Clerk Appropriation Ordinance #Statement #Resolution#Ordinance Type of Legislation: AppropriationNod f ProgramResolution#		P	lan Commiss	ion	
Humm Fiscal Impact Patricis Williams, City Clerk Appropriation Ordinance #Statement #Resolution#Ordinance Type of Legislation: AppropriationNod f ProgramResolution#	Received by the Common Council Of	fice this 11	dav of M	arch .	1986.
Patricia Williams, City/Clerk Appropriation Ordinance #Statement #Resolution#Ordinance Type of Legislation: AppropriationBud of ProgramGrant Approval	D_{\star}		ವಳನು ^ಲ ಿ ಕಲ್ಲ <u>ಿಸಲಾಗಿದ್ದ</u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · ·
Appropriation Ordinance #	Patricia Williams, City Clerk				
Appropriation Ordinance #	J	1973.0 _ 91 910			
Ordinance Type of Legislation: Appropriation End of Program Penal Ordinance Salary Change Bonding Administrative Change Salary Change Investments Short-Term Borrowing MQ to ML/PCD with outline plan approval. If the legislation directly affects City funds, the following must be completed by the City Controller: Cause of Request: Planned Expenditure Emergency Unforseen Need Other Punds Affected S Revenue to Date S Mapropriations to Date S Unappropriated Balance \$ Signature of Controller S Will the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason for your conclusion. This property is not located in the corporate limits. If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the efficient additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Control lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Control lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)				Decolution#	
Type of Legislation: Appropriation End of Program Grant Approval Salary Change Bonding Administrative Change Zoning Change Investments Short-Term Borrowing New Pees Annexation Other				Kesoidtion#	
Budget Transfer New Program Grant Approval Salary Change Bonding Administrative Change Solary Change Investments Short-Ferm Borrowing New Fees Annexation Other MQ to ML/PCD with outline plan approval. If the legislation directly affects City funds, the following must be completed by the City Controller: Cause of Request: Planned Expenditure Emergency Unforseen Need Punds Affected by Request: Fund Salance as of January 1 Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) Projected Balance Signature of Controller Will the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason for your conclusion. This property is not located in the corporate limits. If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effor of City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)	-	OL U LIIGIIC E			
Budget Transfer New Program Grant Approval Salary Change Bonding Administrative Change Solary Change Investments Short-Ferm Borrowing New Fees Annexation Other MQ to ML/PCD with outline plan approval. If the legislation directly affects City funds, the following must be completed by the City Controller: Cause of Request: Planned Expenditure Emergency Unforseen Need Punds Affected by Request: Fund Salance as of January 1 Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) Projected Balance Signature of Controller Will the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason for your conclusion. This property is not located in the corporate limits. If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effor of City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)	Appropriation End of D	*~~***	Donal Ord	inance	
Salary Change Bonding Administrative Change Zoning Change Investments Short-Term Borrowing Mew Fees Annexation Other					Marita de Carlinger
Zoning Change X Investments Short-Term Borrowing MQ to ML/PCD with outline plan approval. If the legislation directly affects City funds, the following must be completed by the City Controller: Cause of Request: Planned Expenditure Emergency Unforseen Need Other Funds Affected by Request: Fund Salfacted for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unapproval Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance § Signature of Controller Will the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason for your conclusion. This property is not located in the corporate limits. If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effect of your conclusion. This property is not located in the corporate limits. If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effect on City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)					
MQ to ML/PCD with outline plan approval. If the legislation directly affects City funds, the following must be completed by the City Controller: Cause of Request: Planned Expenditure					
If the legislation directly affects City funds, the following must be completed by the City Controller: <u>Cause of Request:</u> Planned Expenditure <u>Emergency</u> Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 <u>\$</u> Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Unappropriate Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) Projected Balance <u>\$</u> Will the legislation have a major impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal liability or revenues? YesNoX If the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason for your conclusion. This property is not located in the corporate limits. If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effor on City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)	New Fees Annexati	on	Other		
by the City Controller: Cause of Request: Planned Expenditure	MQ to ML/PCD with outline	plan approv	al.		······································
Planned Expenditure Emergency Unforseen Need Other Funds Affected by Request: S Fund Salance as of January 1 \$ Revenue to Date \$ Revenue to Date \$ Unappropriations to Date \$ Unappropriations to Date \$ Unappropriated Balance \$ Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) - Projected Balance \$ Signature of Controller	by the City Controller:	ts City funds.	, the follo	wing must be c	ompleted
Unforseen Need Other	<u>Cause of Request</u> :				1
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) Projected Balance \$		•			
Fund Balance as of January 1 \$ \$ \$ Revenue to Date \$ \$ \$ Appropriations to Date \$ \$ \$ Unappropriated Balance \$ \$ \$ Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) \$ \$ \$ Projected Balance \$ \$ \$ Will the legislation have a major impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal liability or revenues? Yes	Funds Affected by Request:				
Fund Balance as of January 1 \$ \$ \$ Revenue to Date \$ \$ \$ Appropriations to Date \$ \$ \$ Unappropriated Balance \$ \$ \$ Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) \$ \$ \$ Projected Balance \$ \$ \$ Will the legislation have a major impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal liability or revenues? Yes	Fund(s) Affected	•			
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) Projected Balance \$ Signature of Controller		\$		Ş	-
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) Projected Balance \$ Signature of Controller		·			
Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) Projected Balance Signature of Controller Will the legislation have a major impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal liability or revenues? YesNoX If the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason for your conclusion. This property is not located in the corporate limits. If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effect on City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)					
Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) Projected Balance \$ Signature of Controller		·			
Projected Balance § Signature of Controller		_)		······································	
Signature of Controller				-	
Will the legislation have a major impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal liability or revenues? Yes No X If the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason for your conclusion. This property is not located in the corporate limits. If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effect on City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)	Projected Balance	<u>\$</u>		<u>Ş</u>	
Will the legislation have a major impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal liability or revenues? Yes No X If the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason for your conclusion. This property is not located in the corporate limits. If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effect on City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Signature of	Controller		
<pre>liability or revenues? Yes NoX If the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason for your conclusion. This property is not located in the corporate limits. If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effe on City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)</pre>					
<pre>liability or revenues? Yes NoX If the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason for your conclusion. This property is not located in the corporate limits. If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effe on City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)</pre>				<u> </u>	
<pre>reason for your conclusion. This property is not located in the</pre>			isting City	appropriation	is, fiscal
If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effe on City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)	-		•		.
on City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)	corporate limits.				٠.
	If the legislation will have a ma	e and include	factors wh	nich could lead	i to
ancy submitting legislation Planning Department	significant additional expenditur	es in the futu	ure. Be as	obcorre eo t	possible.
ancy submitting legislation Planning Department	significant additional expenditur	es in the futu	ure. Be as	, operine to t	possipie.
ency submitting legislation Planning Department	significant additional expenditur	es in the futu	ure, Be as	, specific to r	possible.
ancy submitting legislation Planning Department	significant additional expenditur	es in the futu	ure. Be as		DOSSIDIE.
ancy submitting legislation Planning Department	significant additional expenditur	es in the futu	ire. Be as	, Specific et 1	DOSSIDLE.
	significant additional expenditur	es in the futu	ire. Be as	, Specific et 1	possible.

Tim Mueller

By

Bate March 11, 1986