ORDINANCE 86 - 26

To Amend the Bloomington Zoning Maps From RS to BL RE: Northeast Corner Business Highway 37 & Old Highway 37 (Irvin Rumple)

WHEREAS, the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS,

, the Plan Commission has considered this case, ZO-16-86, and recommended that the petitioner, Irvin Rumple, be granted an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and request that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 18-7-4 that the zoning be changed from RS to Bl (with no change in floodplain zoning) for property located at the Northeast corner of Business Highway 37 and Old Highway 37, and more particularly described as follows:

A part of the Northwest quarter of Section 21, Township 9 North, Range 1 West, Monroe County, Indiana, bounded and described as follows: Beginning at a point that is 909.04 feet East of the Northwest corner of said quarter, said point being on the North line of said Section and the East right-of-way of State Road No. 37, thence leaving said right-of-way and running with said North section line East for 477.09 feet and to the West bank of Griffy Creek, thence running with the West Bank of Griffy Creek south 26 degrees 40 minutes 10 seconds East for 206.23 feet and to a point where said West bank intersects the centerline of Old State Road No. 37, thence leaving said creek bank and running with the centerline of Old State Road No. 37 South 67 degrees 05 minutes 13 seconds West for 574.20 feet and to the intersection of said centerline with the East right-of-way of State Road No. 37, thence leaving said centerline and running with said right-of-way North 04 degrees 15 minutes 44 seconds West for 150.18 feet, thence continuing on said right-of-way North 06 degrees 32 minutes 34 seconds West for 259.77 feet and to the point of beginning. Containing in all 3.57 acres, more or less. Subject to an easement from the centerline of Old State Road 37 for Highway right-of-way.

SECTION II. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this <u>4</u> day of <u>June</u>, 1986.

"Co ame (JAMES REGESTER, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

llang Clerk PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana upon this 5 day of June, 1986.

) Illians IAMS, C) ty Clerk PATRICIA WILLIAMS,

Signed cogeres to : Planning Pelitine

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 11 dya of 986.

nilea allisén TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

The current RS zoning would not allow for development of this 3.57 acre tract at the Northeast corner of Business Highway 37 and Old Highway 37, since residential development is not allowed in floodway zones. The petitioner has requested BL zoning, but no specific proposal has been submitted.

	CE CERTIFICATION	·	
In accordance with IC 18-7-4-508	3, I hereby cert	ify that the attached	
Ordinance Number , is a tru	ie and complete	copy of Plan Commission	
Case Number ZO-16-86 which was	given a recomme	endation of approval	
by a vote of <u>9</u> Ayes, <u>0</u> Nays,		•	-
City Plan Commission at a public	hearing held o	m May 5, 1986 mothy a. Muelle	R _{ause}
Date:May 12, 1986		<u>Planning Director</u> m Mueller, Secretary an Commission	
Received by the Common Council C Attrice Williams, City Clerk	Office this <u>l2t</u>	day of May, 1986	
	Fiscal Impact Statement #	Resolution#	
Type of Legislation:	Ordinance		<u> </u>
AppropriationEnd ofBudget TransferNew ProSalary ChangeBondingZoning ChangeXNew FeesAnnexat		Penal Ordinance Grant Approval Administrative Change Short-Term Borrowing Other	
RS to BL			<u>+</u>
Planned Expenditure Unforseen Need		Emergency Other	
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected		Other	· · ·
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date	<u>\$</u>	Other \$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of yea Appropriations to Date		Other	
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of yea Appropriations to Date	r	Other \$	
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of yea Appropriations to Date	r	Other \$	
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of yea Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+	r	Other \$	
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of yea Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+ Projected Balance	r /-) \$ Signature of	Other\$\$\$\$	
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of yea Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+	r	Other\$\$\$\$	
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of yea Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+ Projected Balance	r	Other\$ \$ Controller sting City appropriations, impact, explain briefly t	fisca
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of yea Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+ Projected Balance Will the legislation have a majo liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion.	r \$ Signature of r impact on exi No_X a major fiscal receiving Cit ajor fiscal imp be and include res in the futu	Other\$ \$ \$ Controller sting City appropriations, impact, explain briefly t y services. act, explain briefly what factors which could lead t	fisca he the ef
Unforseen Need Funds Affected by Request: Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of yea Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+ Projected Balance Will the legislation have a majo liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. The property is currently If the legislation will have a m on City costs and revenues will significant additional expenditu	r \$ Signature of r impact on exi No_X a major fiscal receiving Cit ajor fiscal imp be and include res in the futu	Other\$ \$ \$ Controller sting City appropriations, impact, explain briefly t y services. act, explain briefly what factors which could lead t	fisca he the ef

By Timothy Mueller

.

•

.

Date <u>May 12, 1986</u>