To Amend the Bloomington Zoning Maps from RS to RS/PUD and Grant Outline Approval for the PUD

RE: 1200 Block E. Hillside Drive (Nosco Construction)

WHEREAS,

the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS,

the Plan Commission has considered this case, RS/PUD-55-85 and recommended that the petitioner, NOSCO CONSTRUCTION, be granted an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and requests that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 that the zoning be changed from RS to RS/PUD for property located at 1200 E. Hillside Drive, and more accurately described as follows:

A part of the Northeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 9, Township 8 North, Range 1 West; and a part of the Northwest quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 10, Township 8 North, Range 1 West, bounded and described as follows, to-wit | Commencing at a point on the North lineof Section 10, 470 feet East of the Northwest corner of said Section, running thence South 547 feet to a stone, thence West 790 feet, more or less to a stone, thence North 147 feet to the South line of the John Lake property, thence West 10 feet, thence north 400 feet more or less to the North line of Section 9, thence East 800 feet more or less to the place of beginning, containing 10 acres, more or less, except four acres more or less, out of the Northwest corner of the above described tracts, bounded as follows, to-wit: Commencing at a point in the line drawn from a point in the North line of Section 10, Township 8 North, Range 1 West, 290 feet East of the Northwest corner of said Section 10, and running Southwesterly on a curve to the West forll degrees and 24 minutes, which beginning point of said four acre tract is 25 feet south of the said North line of said Section 10, thence Southwesterly on said curve line to a point 599 feet, measuring on said cured line from said North line of said Section 10, thence South 81 degrees West 180 feet to the West line of said ten acres above described herein, thence North along said West line of said ten acres 400 feet to the North line of said Section 9, thence East on said North line to a point Il feet West of the Northeast corner of said Section 9, thence South 25 feet to a point, thence East and parellel with the North line of said Section 9 and 10 and 25 feet therefrom to the place of beginning of the boundary of said four acres.

Also conveying the following described parcel:

A part of the Northwest quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section Ten (10), Township Eight (8) North, Range One (1) West, in Monroe County, Indiana, bounded and described as follows, to-wit: Beginning at a point which is Ninety-eight (98) feet East and Twenty-five (25) feet South of the Northwest corner of said Section; runing thence North Eighty-eight (88) degrees Thirty (30) minutes East for a distance of One Hundred Ninety-two (192) feet over and along the South right of way line of Hillside Drive; running thence Southwesterly over and along an Eleven (11) degree Twenty-four (24) minute curve to the right for a distance of Three Hundred Fifty-eight and Eighty-three hundredths (358.83) feet, thence North one (1) degree Thirty (30) minutes West for a distance of Two Hundred Eighty-five and twenty-one hundredths (285.21) feet and to the place of beginning. Containing Seventy-five Hundredths (0.75) acre, more or less.

Including: Lots 29, 30 and 31 of Huntington Park Addition in Township 8 North Range 1 West; Northwest quarter of Section 10.

SECTION II: Through the authority of IC 37-7-4 and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal Code, that an outline plan be approved and that the above described parcels be designated a planned unit development.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon the day of day. 1985.

PATRICIA A. GROSS, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 8 day of Que, 1985.

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 9 day of Aug., 1985.

Junilea allison, Mayor City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

Approval of this ordinance would designate the area in the 1200 Block of E. Hillside Drive (described in the above legal description) as a RS/PUD. Approval would also confer outline plan approval for the site.

1. Politioners sep Bynum. To mys
2. Planning
3. Engineering
4. Jack
5. Felt trone

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****

In accord	lance with IC 18-7-4-508, I h	ereby certify that the attached
Ordinance	Number 85-, is a true and RS/PUD-55-85	complete copy of Plan Commission
Case Numb		a recommendation of approval
by a vote	of 10 Ayes, 0 Nays, and _	Abstentions by the Bloomington
City Plan	Commission at a public hear	ing held on July 15, 1985.
		Planning Director
Date:	July 3 ,1985	Application of the second of t
Received	by the Common Council Office	this 3 day of July , 1985.
<i>(</i>).	of the common codiners of the	tills, 150 .
Patricia	Williams, City Clerk	•
	Fisc	al Impact
Appropria		ement # Resolution#
Type of I	Ordi egislation:	nance
Appropria		
Salary Ch	ansfer New Program ange Bonding	Grant Approval Administrative Change
Zoning Ch		
New Fees	Annexation	Other
	RS to RS/PUD	
	gislation directly affects C ty Controller:	ity funds, the following must be completed
Cause of	Request:	
Planned E Unforseen	xpenditure	EmergencyOther_
Funds Aff	ected by Request:	
Fund(s) A	ffected	
Fund Bala	nce as of January l \$	\$
Revenue t		``
	xpected for Rest of year tions to Date	
Unappropr	iated Balance	
Effect of	Proposed Legislation($+/-$)	
Projected	Balance \$	\$
		nature of Controller
Will the liability	legislation have a major imp or revenues? Yes No_	act on existing City appropriations, fiscal
	gislation will not have a ma	or fiscal impact, explain briefly the
	roperty currently has city se	rvices.
If the le	gislation will have a major osts and revenues will be an	fiscal impact, explain briefly what the ef
	nt additional expenditures i on second sheet if necessar	n the future. Be as specific as possible.
÷ .		
ncy submit	ting legislation Planning	g Department
By Tim	othy Mueller	Date July 3, 1985