To Amend the Bloomington Zoning Maps from BG to RM re: 311 West 17th Street (Jim Burks)

- WHEREAS the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and
- WHEREAS, the Plan Commission has considered this case, ZO-54-84, and recommended that the petitioner, JIM BURKS, be granted an amendment to the Bloomington Zoning Maps and request that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 18-7-4 that the zoning be changed from BG (General Business) to RM (Residential Medium Density) for property locted at 311 West 17th Street, and more particularly described as follows:

Lot Number 15 in Kenwood Park Addition to the City of Bloomington, Indiana.

SECTION II. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 3 day of October , 1984.

PATRICIA A. GROSS, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 4 day of October, 1984.

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 5 day of October , 1984.

Josnilia allean
TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor
City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

Approval of this ordinance would rezone the property from BG to RM, allowing the petitioner to construct a four-plex on the property.

19/9/89 Ligned capites To: 1. Manning 2. June less

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****

Ordinance Number 84-52, is a true and complete copy of Plan Commission Case Number ZO-54-84 which was given a recommendation of approval by a vote of 9 Ayes, 0 Nays, and 0 Abstentions by the Bloomington City Plan Commission at a public hearing held on September 10 ,1984. Date: September 11 ,1984 Tim Meeling Secretary Plan Commission Received by the Common Council Office this 1 day of September 19 Ordinance Patricia Williams, City Clerk Appropriation Ordinance # Statement # Resolution# Ordinance Type of Legislation: Appropriation	984 .
Date: September 11	
City Plan Commission at a public hearing held on September 10 ,1984. Date: September 11 ,1984 Tim Mpclling Secretary Plan Commission Received by the Common Council Office this \(\) day of September , 19 Tatrica Williams, City Clerk Appropriation Ordinance # Statement # Resolution# Ordinance Type of Legislation: Appropriation End of Program Penal Ordinance Budget Transfer New Program Grant Approval	
Date: September 11 ,1984 Tim Mpellifing Secretary Plan Commission Received by the Common Council Office this \(\) day of \(\) day of \(\) September \(\), 19 Tativa \(\) \(\	
Patricia Williams, City Clerk Piscal Impact Appropriation Ordinance # Statement # Resolution# Ordinance Type of Legislation: Appropriation	
Patricia Williams, City Clerk Fiscal Impact Appropriation Ordinance # Statement # Resolution# Ordinance Type of Legislation: Appropriation End of Program Penal Ordinance Budget Transfer New Program Grant Approval	984 .
Appropriation Ordinance # Statement # Resolution# Ordinance Type of Legislation: Appropriation End of Program Penal Ordinance Budget Transfer New Program Grant Approval	
Type of Legislation: Appropriation End of Program Penal Ordinance Budget Transfer New Program Grant Approval	
Budget Transfer New Program Grant Approval	
Zoning Change XXX Investments Short-Term Borrowing New Fees Annexation Other	
If the legislation directly affects City funds, the following must be comp by the City Controller:	leted
Cause of Request:	
Planned Expenditure Emergency Other	
Funds Affected by Request:	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 \$ \$	
Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-)	
Projected Balance \$ \$	
Signature of Controller	
Will the legislation have a major impact on existing City appropriations, liability or revenues? Yes $No XXX$	fiscal
If the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly th reason for your conclusion. City services are currently provided at this tion.	e
If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what t on City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as poss (Continue on second sheet if necessary)	
ncy submitting legislation	·
ByDate_	