ORDINANCE 84-67

January 1st

TO AMEND THE 1984 SALARY ORDINANCE MAXIMUM RATE OF PAY FOR THIS POSITION WORKING SHOP FOREMAN

BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA THAT:

SECTION I. From and after the 1st day of January, 1984, pursuant to I.C. 36-4-7-3, the following classified position, salary, and pay schedule for the Fleet Maintenance Department shall be incorporated into Section I of Ordinance 83-41 for Appointed Officers and Employees of the City of Bloomington.

SECTION I.

FLEET MAINTENANCE

Working Shop Foreman

\$8.48/hr. \$8.58/hr.

July 1st

SECTION II. The rate shown as salary for the position listed above is the maximum rate.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall be retroactive and in full force and effect from and after the 1st day of January, 1984.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 19 day of December, 1984.

icia 9. Shoss Patricia Gross, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

Williame rate cua Patricia Williams City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, upon this day of December, 1984.

Patricia Wiltran Patricia Williams

SIGNED AND APPROVED by me upon this 19th day of December, 1984.

Iemilia alleen

Tomilea Allison, Mayor City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

This ordinance amends the 1984 salary ordinance; which retroactively corrects the pay rate for the position of Working Shop Foreman under Fleet Maintenance, pursuant to State Board of Accounts Direction. 12/21/84 Argued copies. 1. Researces 3. legal 2. controller 4. Fluctman

5. auditor

**** កភាពា CUDTTTTCA

ANAKORDINANG	GE CERTIFICATIO	VARAA		
In accordance with IC 18-7-4-508	8, I hereby cer	tify that t	ne attached	• • •
Ordinance Number 84 -65, is a true and complete copy of Plan Commission				
Case Number $MP-70-84$ which was	given a recomm	endation of	approval	• • •
by a vote of 9 Ayes, 1 Nays,	and <u>0</u> Absten	tions by the	Bloomington	-
City Plan Commission at a public		inothy	r 19 ,1984. A. Muel 19 Director	Joran .
Date: November 26		im Mueller, lan Commiss:	Secretary	:
Received by the Common Council (Office this 26	_day of _Nov	ember,	1984.
Patricia Williams, City Cherk		n an	• and the	
Appropriation Ordinance #	Fiscal Impact Statement # Ordinance		Resolution#	
Type of Legislation:	· ·	н н н		
AppropriationEnd ofBudget TransferNew ProSalary ChangeBondingZoning ChangeInvestmNew FeesAnnexat	nents	Short-Terr		<u>t-of-</u> wa y
If the legislation directly affe by the City Controller: <u>Cause of Request</u> : Planned Expenditure		· • · · · · · · ·	an a	- · ·
Unforseen Need				
Funds Affected by Request:				а. Алтан (1996)
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of yea Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(4			5	
Projected Balance	\$		3	
	Signature of Controller			
Will the legislation have a majo liability or revenues? Yes		isting City	appropriation	s, fiscal
If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. D create new revenue and it will already receiving city services. If the legislation will have a m on City costs and revenues will significant additional expenditu (Continue on second sheet if new	oes not create not cost the cit major fiscal imp be and include ires in the futu	new lots, so ty any more bact, expla- factors wh:	it will not because it is in briefly wha ich could lead	t the effec to
	a			

Agency	submitting legislation	n Planning Depar	tment
1			
By	Timothy Muelle	Dat	e <u>November 26, 1984</u>

ł.