To Amend the Bloomington Zoning Maps, Dated June 7, 1978

WHEREAS, the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

the Plan Commission has considered this case, ${\rm ZO/DP\text{--}37\text{--}83}$, and recommended that the petitioner, STEPHEN S. RIGGINS, be granted WHEREAS, an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and request that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 18-7-4 that the zoning be changed from BL to RH for property located at the northeast corner of Henderson and Thornton, and more particularly described as follows:

A part of the Northwest quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 9, Township 8 North Range 1 West, also known as part of Barclay Gardens, as recorded in the Monroe County Recorder's Office and more particularly described as follows:

Lot 19 and the south portion of Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 South of the North line of Lot 19 extended East for 400 feet, containing 5.56 acres more or less.

SECTION II. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the common council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this of day of

KATHERINE DILCHER, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

PATRICIA

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this Mth. day of _______, 1983.

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 1th day of 1983.

> OMILEA ALLISON, Mayor City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

This rezoning would allow the development of a single-family subdivision on the 5.56 acre vacant tract of land. The 1976 Miller Drive Neighborhood Plan advocated residential use in this area and this rezoning is in line with the Plan.

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****

In accordance with I	C 18-7-4-508	, I hereby cert	ify that the attac	hed
Ordinance Number 83- ZO/DP-37-8		e and complete	copy of Plan Commi	ssion
Case Number		given a recomme	endation of appro	val
by a vote of <u>11</u> Aye	s, <u>0</u> Nays,	and <u>0</u> Abstent	ions by the Bloomi	ngton
City Plan Commission	at a public	hearing held o	n June 6 ,	1983.
			imothy a. V	MUDDOOR
Date: June 9			m Mueller, ngeriees	-0- 48000
but. Sume			an Commission	ry.
Received by the Comm	on Council O	ffice this	day of June	, 1983.
Tateina Will	lane	•	·	
Patricia Williams, C	ity Clerk			
		Fiscal Impact	-	
Appropriation Ordina	nce #	Statement #	Resolut	ion#
Type of Legislation:		Ordinance		
zyp- oz zogrożaczon.				
Appropriation		Program	Penal Ordinance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Budget Transfer Salary Change	New Prop Bonding		Grant Approval Administrative Ch	
Zoning Change XXX	Investment		Short-Term Borrow	-
New Fees	Annexat	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Other	B
*				
by the City Controll Cause of Request:				•
Planned Expenditure Unforseen Need			EmergencyOther	
Funds Affected by Re	quest:			
Fund(s) Affected				
Fund Balance as of Ja Revenue to Date	anuary 1	\$	\$	
Revenue Expected for	Rest of year	-	<u> </u>	
Appropriations to Date	•			
Unappropriated Balance	ce			
Effect of Proposed Le	egislation(+,	<u>/-)</u>		
Projected Balance		S	\$	
		Signature of	Controller	_
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	•
Will the legislation liability or revenue:			sting City appropr	iations, fiscal
If the legislation wareason for your conc		a major fiscal	impact, explain b	riefly the
· · ·				
If the legislation we on City costs and reversignificant additions (Continue on second effect on City revenues. Which will increase City repact on City costs, since the costs of the costs of the costs of the costs.	venues will lal expenditures of the control of the	pe and include res in the futuressary) This reser plans to deverage. There	factors which coulte. Be as specific ezoning would have velop a 34 lot subd should not be any	d lead to c as possible. a positive ivision, significant
Agency submitting legisla	ationC	City Plan Commi	ssion	·····
By Tim Mueller		Dat	e 6/9/83	