ORDINANCE 82-80

To Amend the Bloomington Zoning Maps, Dated June 7, 1982

WHEREAS, the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission has considered this case, ZO-36-82, and recommended that the petitioner, John Barnes, Larry Canada, and Kevin Burke be granted an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and request that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

Section I. Through the authority of IC 18-7-4 that the zoning be changed from RE to BA for property located at the intersection of Gordon Pike and South S.R. 37, more particularly described as follows:

A part of the west half of the Northwest quarter of Section Twenty-one (21) Township Eight (8) North, Range One (1) West bounded and described as follows, to wit: Beginning at a point that is 2444 feet North of the Southeast corner of the said West half of the said Northwest quarter, thence running North 0 degrees 06 minutes East for a distance of 147 feet, and to the North line of the said West half of the said Northwest quarter: thence running North 89 degrees 09 minutes West over and along the said North line of the said Northwest quarter; thence running North 89 degrees 09 minutes West over and along the said North line of the said Northwest quarter for a distance of 283 feet, thence running South 0 degrees 06 minutes West for a distance of 147 feet, thence running South 89 degrees 09 minutes East for a distance of 283 feet and to the place of beginning. Containing in all 0.91 acres, more or less.

And; Beginning at a point that is 2294 feet North of the Southeast corner of the said West half of the said Northwest quarter; thence running North 0 degrees 06 minutes East for a distance of 150 feet; thence running North 89 degrees 09 minutes West for a distance of 283 feet; thence sunning South 0 degrees 06 minutes West for a distance of 150 feet; thence running South 89 degrees 09 minutes East 283 feet and the the place of beginning. Containing in all one (1) acre, more or less.

And; Beginning at a point that is 282.94 feet West of the Northeast corner of the West half of the said Northwest quarter, thence South 89 degrees 09 minutes West for 283.0 feet, thence South 2 degrees 18 minutes 25 seconds East for 297.0 feet, thence North 89 degrees 48 minutes 05 seconds East for 283.0 feet, thence North 2 degrees 18 minutes 25 seconds West which bearing is on the same line as the back of platted lots but considered by original survey to be North 0 degrees 06 minutes East for a distance of 297.0 feet and to the place of beginning. Containing in all 1.93 acres, more or less. Said land being a part of a larger parcel 5.78 acres in area; with the remaining 3.85 acre area being excluded from this rezoning. a fifth SECTION II: This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this <u>19</u> day of <u>January</u>, 1983

rD Katherine Dilcher, President

Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 20 day of Tanage, 1983.

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clark

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 24 day of \overline{Anuar}

milea alliser

Tomilea Allison, Mayor City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

This ordinance would rezone 3.84 acres from Re (residential estate) to BA (business arterial). Property is located at the southwest corner of the intersection of Gordon Pike and South S.R. 37. Petitioner, John Barnes, proposes to place a custom cabinet shop on a portion of this parcel. Petitioners Kevin Burke and Larry Canada have no proposed BA use at this time. The rezoning will allow the full range of BA uses on the entire rezoned site.

1983.

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION++++

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	. I hereby ce	rtify that the	attached
Ordinance Number 82- 80, is a true and	-		
Number <u>ZO-36-82</u> , which was given	•		
by a vote of Ayes: 7, Nays: 0, Abst		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Commission on November 22,		•	
-Nate: <u>November 26</u> , 1982.		other A. B	Mus On
		Mueller, Direc ning Departmen	
Received by the Common Council Office of	on this	day of	, 1982.
	- 0.	a	
	PATRIC	CIA WILLIAMS	, City Clerk
FISCAL	IMPACT STATE		
Appropriation Ordinance #	Ordinance a		Resolution #
Type of Legislation:			
Appropriation End of Prog Budget Transfer New Program	ram	Penal Ordin	
Salary Change Bonding	···	Grant Appro Administrat	ive Change
Zoning Change Investments New Fees Annexation		Short-Term Other	Borrowing
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • 	
If the legislation directly affects C City Controller:	ity funds, f	the following	must be completed by the
ause of Request:		•	
Planned Expenditure		Emergency	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Funds Affected by Request:	• •		•
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1	\$		\$
Revenue to Date		······································	· ·
REVENUE EXDECTED FOR REST OF TEAP =			
Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date	·		
	·	······································	
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance			<u> </u>
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-)	\$ Signature of	of Controller	<u> </u>
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance			<u><u></u></u>
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-)	act on exist		\$ opriations, fiscal liabi-
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major imp	eact on exist	ing City appr	
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major imp lity or revenues? Yes <u>No X</u> If the legislation will not have a ma	oact on exist	ing City appr impact, explai	n briefly the reason for ity limits and,
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major imp lity or revenues? Yes <u>No X</u> If the legislation will not have a ma your conclusion. This area of proposed rezoning is	oact on exist	ing City appr impact, explai	n briefly the reason for ity limits and,
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major imp lity or revenues? Yes <u>No X</u> If the legislation will not have a ma your conclusion. This area of proposed rezoning is	oact on exist	ing City appr impact, explai	n briefly the reason for ity limits and,
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major imp lity or revenues? Yes <u>No X</u> If the legislation will not have a ma your conclusion. This area of proposed rezoning is	act on exist jor fiscal not within the vided will be r	impact, explai e encorporated c ecessary at this ct, explain br ors which coul	n briefly the reason for ity limits and, time. iefly what the effect on d lead to significant
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major imp lity or revenues? Yes <u>No X</u> If the legislation will not have a ma your conclusion. This area of proposed rezoning is therefore services not already prov	act on exist jor fiscal not within the vided will be r	impact, explai e encorporated c ecessary at this ct, explain br ors which coul	n briefly the reason for ity limits and, time. iefly what the effect on d lead to significant
Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major imp lity or revenues? Yes <u>No X</u> If the legislation will not have a ma your conclusion. This area of proposed rezoning is therefore, Services not already prov A	act on exist jor fiscal not within the vided will be r fiscal impac nclude facto . Be as spo an Commissio	cing City appr impact, explai e encorporated c eccessary at this ect, explain br brs which coul ecific as poss	n briefly the reason for ity limits and, time. iefly what the effect on d lead to significant