To Awand the Bloomington Zaning Maps, Dated June 7, 1978

WHEREAS, the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted a new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission has considered this case, ZO/PCD-10-81, and recommended that the petitioner, Walnut Park Development, be granted an amendment to the Bloomington zoning maps and request that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 18-7-4 that the zoning be changed from BA and RL with RF and UF overlay to 25% RL, 75% BA and ML with RF and UF overlay not being altered, for property located at N.W. corner of South Walnut Street and Country Club Drive:

BA & RL to BA:

Sec. 1

A part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 9, Township 8 North, Range 1 West, Monroe County, Indiana described as follows:

Commencing at the Southwest corner of the aforesaid Southwest quarter section, thence on the South line of said quarter section North 89° 10' 37" East 723.22 feet to a point, said point being marked by a one inch disk labeled Survey Point and being located in Country Club Drive; thence North 17° 34' 32" West 167.17 feet; thence North 2° 21' 38" East 692.69 feet; thence North 89° 52' 02" East 240.00 feet to the real point of beginning; thence continue North 89° 52' 02" East 625.34 feet to a point on the West right-of-way line of Old State Road 37; thence South along said West right-of-way line on a curve to the right having a radius of 924.95 feet and a chord bearing South 7° 01' 30" West 352.23 feet; thence South 18° 12' 00" West along said West right-of-way line 139.98 feet; thence South 89° 10' 37" West 531.57 feet; thence North 0° 49' 23" West 488.80 feet to the poing of beginning and containing 6.65 acres more or less.

RL to ML:

A part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 9, Township 8 North, Range 1 West, Monroe County, Indiana described as follows:

Commencing at the Southwest corner of the aforesaid Southwest quarter section, thence on the South line of said quarter section North 89° 10' 37" East 723.22 feet to the real point of beginning, said point being marked by a one inch disk labeled Survey Point and being located in Country Club Drive; thence North 17° 34' 32" West 167.17 feet; thence North 2° 21' 38" East 692.69 feet; thence North 89° 52' 02" East 240.00 Teet; thence South 0° 49' 23" East 848.80 feet; thence South 89° 10' 37" West 230.27 feet to the point of beginning and containing 5.04 acres more or less. Ų

## To Remain RL:

A part of the Southwest Quarter of Section 9, Township 8 North, Range 1 West, Monroe County, Indiana, described as follows:

Commencing at the Southwest corner of the aforesaid Southwest quarter section, thence on the South line of said quarter section North 89 10' 37" East 723.22 feet to a point, said point being marked by one inch disk labeled Survey Point and being located in Country Club Drive; thence on and along said Country Club Drive and on the South line of said quarter section North 89° 10' 37" East 230.27 feet to the real point of beginning; thence North 0° 49' 23" West 360.00 feet; thence North 89° 10'37" East 531.57 feet to the West ot beginning; thence North 0 49' 23" West 360.00 fee thence North 89 10'37" East 531.57 feet to the West right-of-way line of old State Road 37; thence South 12' 00" West along said West right-of-way line 18 199.92 feet; thence along said West right-of-way line on a curve to the left having a radius of 2,321.83 feet and a chord bearing South 15° 56! 55" West 178.60 feet to a point on the South line of said quarter section, said point being marked by a one inch disk labeled survey point; thence South 89° 10' 37" West along a 10' 37" West along said South line 414.87 feet to the point of beginning and containing 3.89 acres more or less.

SECTION II. Through the authority of IC-18-7-4 and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal Code that an outline plan be approved and that all of the property on the Northwest corner of South Walnut Street and Country Club Drive be designated a Planned Commercial Development (PCD), more particularly described as follows:

A part of the Southwest quarter of Section Nine (9), Township Eight (8) North, Range One (1) West, Monroe County, Indiana, described as follows:

Commencing at the Southwest corner of the aforesaid Southwest quarter section, thence on the South line of said quarter section North 89 degrees 10 minutes 37 seconds East 723.22 feet to the real point of beginning, said point being marked by a one inch disk labeled Survey Point and being located in Country Club Drive; thence on and along said Country Club Drive and on the South line of said quarter section North 89 degrees 10 minutes 37 seconds East 645.14 feet to a point on the Westerly right of way of Old State Route No. 37, said point being marked by a one inch disk labeled Survey Point; thence on said Westerly right of way with a curve to the right, said curve having a radius of 2321.83 feet and a chord bearing North 15 degrees 56 minutes 55 seconds East 178.60 feet, for an arc distance of 178.64 feet to a 5/8" iron pin; thence continuing on said right of way North 18 degrees 12 minutes East 339.90 feet to a 5/8" iron pin, thence continuing on said right of way with a curve to the left, said curve having a radius of 924.95 feet and a chord bearing North 07 degrees 01 minutes 30 seconds East 352.23 feet, for an arc distance of 354.39 feet to a point, said point being referenced by a 5/8" iron pin being South 89 degrees 52 minutes 02 seconds West 1.00 feet distance therefrom; thence leaving said right of way South 89 degrees 52 minutes 02 seconds West 865.34 feet to a point in the center of Clear Creek, said point being referenced by a 5/8" iron pin being North 89 degrees 52 minutes 02 seconds East 18.00 feet distart therefrom; thence on and along said center of Clear Creek South 02 degrees 21 minutes 38 seconds West 692.69 feet to a point, said point being referenced by a 5/8" iron pin being East 26.00 feet distant therefrom; thence continuing on and along said center of Clear Creek South 17 degrees 34 minutes 32 seconds East 167.17 feet to the point of beginning' containing 15.579 acres, more or less.

Subject to a 25 foot North right of way for Country Club Drive, said right of way being measured from the centerline of the existing roadway.

## SECTION III.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approved by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 15th day of April , 1981.

<u>109-2-2</u> I. Towell, President fred Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST: Nora M/ Connors, City Plerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 16th day of April , 1981.

nnors, Noya M. Co eity Clark

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this alst day of April

. 1981.

encis 2 ancis X. McCloskey, Mayor City of Bloomington

## SYNOPSIS

This ordinance would rezone 75% of the property located at the NW corner of S. Walnut Street and Country Club Drive from RL and BA to BA and ML, leaving the remaining 25% RL. The entire parcel will be designated a Planned Commercial Development, an outline plan will be approved, and the RF and UF overlays will not be affected. The petitioner is Walnut Park Development, and a retail/ commercial center is planned for the site.

## \*\*\*\*ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION\*\*\*\*

	000-0			
	CERTIFICATION			
In accordance with IC 18-7-4-				
Ordinance Number 81-40, is a tr	ue and complete	e copy of Pla	n Commission	
Case Number <u>ZO/PCD-10-81</u> , which				¢.
APPROVAL, by a vote of Ayes:	<u>6</u> , Nays:	3_, Abstent	ions: <u>0</u> ,	-
by the Bloomington City Plan Commi				•
MARCH 23 , 1981.				
	Level of	lay a. Mi	seller_	•
Date: MARCH 26 , 1981.	Tim Muelle	miseeretange	<b>··</b> ··	· · · ·
	Plan Commis			
Received by the Common Council Off	ice this $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$ of	lay of My	urch, 1981.	
$\mathbb{V}$	,			•
Nova M. Connors, City Clerk				
Nora M. Connors, City Clerk				
	***	.1	4 4	
Fiscal I	npact Statement			•
Appropriation Ordinance #	Ordinance #	80-39	Resolution #	· · · ·
Type of Legislation:	,	•		_
Appropriation End of Prog		Penal Ordin	ance -	· ·
Budget Transfer New Program Salary Change Bonding	n	Grant Appro		
Zoning Change XXX Investments	5	Administrat Short-Term	Borrowing	<u> </u>
New Fees Annexation	·	Other PLANN	ED COMMERCIAL DEVE	LOPMENT
	• <sup>•</sup> •••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
If the legislation directly affects (	City funds, th	e following	must be complete	ed by the
Gity Controller:		9	•	••• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
use of Request:			•	•
Unforeseen Need		Emergency _		
Univiescen neeu	+ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Funds Affected by Ponyoct.	•	Other	·	
Funds Affected by Request:	•	Uther		
Fund(s) Affected	\$	Uther	5	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date	\$	Uther	\$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year	<u>\$</u>	Uther	\$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance	\$		\$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-)	<u>\$</u>		\$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-)	\$  \$		\$ \$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-)	\$ Signature of		\$ \$	
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance		Controller		
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date	Dact on existi	Controller		cal liebi-
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major implity or revenues? Yes NoX	pact on existi	Controller ng City appr	opriations, fis	• •
Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major im lity or revenues? Yes No XX If the legislation will not have a major im your conclusion. The site is not in th	pact on existi X ajor fiscal in e City. Annexa	Controller ng City appr pact, explaition is antic	opriations, fis n briefly the re ipated since it is	eason for con-
<pre>Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major im lity or revenues? Yes No XX If the legislation will not have a m your conclusion. The site is not in th tiguous and will be served. Upon ar revenues as well as service costs will </pre>	pact on existi X ajor fiscal in The City. Annexa Inexation and co	Controller ng City appr pact, explai tion is antic mpletion, sig	opriations, fis n briefly the ro ipated since it is nificant property	eason for con- tax
<pre>Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major im lity or revenues? Yes No X If the legislation will not have a m your conclusion. The site is not in th tiguous and will be served. Upon ar revenues as well as service costs wi borne by the developer.</pre>	pact on existi X ajor fiscal in he City. Annexa inexation and co 11 accrue to th	Controller ng City appr pact, explai tion is antic mpletion, sig e City. All	opriations, fisc n briefly the ra ipated since it is nificant property capital costs are	eason for con- tax to be
<pre>Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major im lity or revenues? Yes No XX If the legislation will not have a m your conclusion. The site is not in th tiguous and will be served. Upon ar revenues as well as service costs wi borne by the developer. If the legislation will have a major</pre>	pact on existi (X) ajor fiscal im the City. Annexa inexation and co 11 accrue to th fiscal impact	Controller ng City appr pact, explaition is antic mpletion, sig e City. All	opriations, fise n briefly the re ipated since it is nificant property capital costs are	eason for con- tax to be
<pre>Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major im lity or revenues? Yes No X If the legislation will not have a m your conclusion. The site is not in th tiguous and will be served. Upon ar revenues as well as service costs wi borne by the developer. If the legislation will have a major City costs and revenues will be and additional expenditures in the futur</pre>	pact on existi <u>X</u> ajor fiscal im- ne City. Annexa inexation and co 11 accrue to th fiscal impact include factor	Controller ng City appr pact, explaition is antic mpletion, sig e City. All , explain but	opriations, fise n briefly the re ipated since it is nificant property capital costs are riefly what the	eason for con- tax to be effect on
<pre>Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major im lity or revenues? Yes No XX If the legislation will not have a m your conclusion. The site is not in th tiguous and will be served. Upon ar revenues as well as service costs wi borne by the developer. If the legislation will have a major</pre>	pact on existi <u>X</u> ajor fiscal im- ne City. Annexa inexation and co 11 accrue to th fiscal impact include factor	Controller ng City appr pact, explaition is antic mpletion, sig e City. All , explain but	opriations, fise n briefly the re ipated since it is nificant property capital costs are riefly what the	eason for con- tax to be effect on
<pre>Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major im lity or revenues? Yes No X If the legislation will not have a m your conclusion. The site is not in th tiguous and will be served. Upon ar revenues as well as service costs wi borne by the developer. If the legislation will have a major City costs and revenues will be and additional expenditures in the futur</pre>	pact on existi <u>X</u> ajor fiscal im- ne City. Annexa inexation and co 11 accrue to th fiscal impact include factor	Controller ng City appr pact, explaition is antic mpletion, sig e City. All , explain but	opriations, fise n briefly the re ipated since it is nificant property capital costs are riefly what the	eason for con- tax to be effect on
<pre>Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major im lity or revenues? Yes No X If the legislation will not have a m your conclusion. The site is not in th tiguous and will be served. Upon ar revenues as well as service costs wi borne by the developer. If the legislation will have a major City costs and revenues will be and additional expenditures in the futur</pre>	pact on existi <u>X</u> ajor fiscal im- ne City. Annexa inexation and co 11 accrue to th fiscal impact include factor	Controller ng City appr pact, explaition is antic mpletion, sig e City. All , explain but	opriations, fise n briefly the re ipated since it is nificant property capital costs are riefly what the	eason for con- tax to be effect on
<pre>Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major im lity or revenues? Yes No X If the legislation will not have a m your conclusion. The site is not in th tiguous and will be served. Upon ar revenues as well as service costs wi borne by the developer. If the legislation will have a major City costs and revenues will be and additional expenditures in the futur</pre>	pact on existi <u>X</u> ajor fiscal im- ne City. Annexa inexation and co 11 accrue to th fiscal impact include factor	Controller ng City appr pact, explaition is antic mpletion, sig e City. All , explain but	opriations, fise n briefly the re ipated since it is nificant property capital costs are riefly what the	eason for con- tax to be effect on
<pre>Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major im lity or revenues? Yes No</pre>	pact on existi <u>X</u> ajor fiscal im- ne City. Annexa inexation and co 11 accrue to th fiscal impact include factor	Controller ng City appr pact, explai tion is antic mpletion, sig e City. All , explain bu s which coul ific as poss	opriations, fise n briefly the re ipated since it is nificant property capital costs are riefly what the	eason for con- tax to be effect on
<pre>Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of January 1 Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of Year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation (+/-) Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major im lity or revenues? Yes No If the legislation will not have a m your conclusion. The site is not in th tiguous and will be served. Upon ar revenues as well as service costs wi borne by the developer. If the legislation will have a major City costs and revenues will be and additional expenditures in the futur ond sheet if necessary)</pre>	pact on existi <u>A</u> ajor fiscal im- ine City. Annexa inexation and co 11 accrue to th fiscal impact include factor e. :Be as spec	Controller ng City appr pact, explaition is antic mpletion, sig e City. All , explain but s which coul ific as poss	opriations, fise n briefly the re ipated since it is nificant property capital costs are riefly what the	eason for con- tax to be effect on