#### ORDINANCE #79-53

### AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE BLOOMINGTON ZONING MAPS, DATED JUNE 7, 1978

WHEREAS, the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Indiana, passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978, and

WHEREAS, said Zoning Ordinance and maps are now incorporated in the Bloomington Municipal Code as Title 20 of said Code, and

WHEREAS, the City Plan Commission has considered this case, ZO-42-79 and recommended said Bloomington zoning maps be amended by the rezoning of certain property, and

WHEREAS, the petitioner, KENNETH P. STUART requests that the Common Council of the City of Bloomington consider this petition for the rezoning of said property.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, that the authority of Chapter 174 of the 1947 Acts of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana, as amended, that the zoning be changed from RE-Residential Estate to BA-Business Arterial for the property located at 5228 and 5230 N. Highway 37.

SECTION 1. That the Bloomington Zoning Map of June 7, 1978 be amended to rezone the following described land as follows, to-wit:

A part of the Southwest quarter of the Northeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section Eight (8), Township Nine (9) North, Range One (1) West, Monroe County, Indiana, and described as follows:

Generally that part of said quarter lying on the west side of Old State

Road 37, more specifically described as follows: Beginning at the center of the Southeast quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 8, thence North 300 feet, thence East 122 feet to the right of way of State Road 37, thence South 12 degrees 0' East along State Road 37 right of way 307 feet, thence West 178 feet to the point of beginning. Containing one (1) acre, more or less.

SECTION 2. That this ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 3 day of 1979 by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana.

lea alleson ome

Tomilea Allison, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST: ? Karel Dolnick, City Clerk

Presented by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington this day of ducquest, 1979, at the hour of 1200 m.

Karel Dolnick, City Clerk

This Ordinance approved and signed by me this  $10^{44}$   $Maggarent for 1979, at the hour of <math>100 \, \rho$  m. \_day of

Francis X. Mc Closkey, Mayor City of Bloomington, Indiana

### SYNOPSIS

# ORDINANCE NO. 79-53

# To Amend the Zoning Maps of June 7, 1978

This ordinance, if approved, will change the zoning of the 1 acre site of the existing Bloomington Pottery Company from RE, Residential Estate, to BA, Arterial Business. The petitioner, Kenneth P. Stuart, proposes to build an addition to the Pottery Company Production/Sales facility.

terestation and the second second

	Appropriation Ordinance #	! 	Ordinance #	79-53	Resolution ±
	Type of Legislation:				
	Appropriation Budget Transfer	End of Pro New Progra	gram	Penal Ord Grant App	
	Salary Change	Bonding	·	Administr	ative Change
	Zoning Change <u>x</u> New Fees	Investment Annexation		Short-Ter Other	m Borrowing
		_			
	If the legislation direct City Controller:	ly affects	City funds, th	e followin	g must be completed by t
	Cause of Request:				
	Planned Expenditure Unforeseen Need			Emergency Other	
	Funds Affected by Request:				
	Fund(s) Affected Fund Balance as of Januar	~v 1	5		\$
	Revenue to Date	•		······································	
	Revenue Expected for Rest Appropriations to Date	; of Year	······		
	Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legisl	lation $(+/_)$			-
	Projected Balance		\$		\$
		· .			*,
	• •		Signature of	Controlle	r
-	Will the legislation have a major impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal lillity or revenues? Yes No $\chi$ If the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason your conclusion. The ordinance will permit the proposed construction of an addition to an existing				
	your conclusion.	t the propose	ed construction	of an addi	tion to an existing
•	your conclusion. The ordinance will permi				-
•	your conclusion. The ordinance will permi business. The site is o	utside of the	e corporate lim	its and not	contiguous thereto. Thus
•	your conclusion. The ordinance will permi business. The site is on any revenues generated w	utside of the ill accrue to	e corporate lim	its and not	contiguous thereto. Thus
	your conclusion. The ordinance will permi business. The site is of any revenues generated w service costs will be gen If the legislation will h City costs and revenues w	utside of the ill accrue to nerated. have a major will be and	e corporate lim o jurisdictions · fiscal impact include factor	its and not other than , explain rs which co	contiguous thereto. Thus the city, and no new briefly what the effect ould lead to significant
· · ·	your conclusion. The ordinance will permi- business. The site is of any revenues generated w service costs will be gen If the legislation will H City costs and revenues w additional expenditures	utside of the ill accrue to nerated. have a major will be and	e corporate lim o jurisdictions · fiscal impact include factor	its and not other than , explain rs which co	contiguous thereto. Thus the city, and no new briefly what the effect buld lead to significant
	your conclusion. The ordinance will permi- business. The site is of any revenues generated w service costs will be gen If the legislation will H City costs and revenues w additional expenditures	utside of the ill accrue to nerated. have a major will be and	e corporate lim o jurisdictions · fiscal impact include factor	its and not other than , explain rs which co	contiguous thereto. Thus the city, and no new briefly what the effect buld lead to significant
-	your conclusion. The ordinance will permi- business. The site is of any revenues generated w service costs will be gen If the legislation will H City costs and revenues w additional expenditures	utside of the ill accrue to nerated. have a major will be and	e corporate lim o jurisdictions · fiscal impact include factor	its and not other than , explain rs which co	contiguous thereto. Thus the city, and no new briefly what the effect buld lead to significant
-	your conclusion. The ordinance will permi- business. The site is of any revenues generated w service costs will be gen If the legislation will H City costs and revenues w additional expenditures	utside of the ill accrue to nerated. have a major will be and	e corporate lim o jurisdictions · fiscal impact include factor	its and not other than , explain rs which co	contiguous thereto. Thus the city, and no new briefly what the effect buld lead to significant
-	your conclusion. The ordinance will permi- business. The site is of any revenues generated w service costs will be gen If the legislation will H City costs and revenues w additional expenditures	utside of the ill accrue to nerated. have a major will be and	e corporate lim o jurisdictions · fiscal impact include factor	its and not other than , explain rs which co	contiguous thereto. Thus

By <u>Tim Mueller</u> Date <u>July 13, 1979</u>

I HEREBY MOVE THAT V ORDINANCE \_\_\_\_\_ APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE # 79-53, ENTITLED AN ONDERANCE APPROPRIATION AMENT The Scoring M Zaping Maps, Dited June 7, 1978 (Coming Mr. John June 1997) BE INTRODUCED AND READ FOR FIRST READING BY TITLE ONLY AT THE COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 7-19-79

7. Richardon (Signature)