CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

1

PLAN COMMISSION

October 10, 2022 5:30 p.m. Council Chambers, Room #115 Hybrid Zoom Link:

https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/86964380257?pwd=bzQ0R3BDVWVSamRCQkJJZWF NY1FQdz09

Meeting ID: 869 6438 0257

Passcode: 293274

CITY OF BLOOMINGTON PLAN COMMISSION (Hybrid Meeting) October 10, 2022 at 5:30 p.m.

PLAN COMMISSION (Hybrid Meeting) City Council Chambers – Room #115

Virtual Link:

https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/86964380257?pwd=bzQ0R3BDVWVSamRCQkJJZWFNY 1FQdz09

Meeting ID: 869 6438 0257

Passcode: 293274

Petition Map: https://arcg.is/1yKSam1

ROLL CALL

MINUTES TO BE APPROVED: March 14, 2022 (re-approve) and September 12, 2022

REPORTS, RESOLUTIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS:

PETITIONS CONTINUED TO: November 14, 2022

PUD/DP-24-21 Robert V Shaw

N Prow Road: 3500 block of N Hackberry Street Request: Petitioner requests Final Plan and Preliminary Plat amendment for Ridgefield PUD and Subdivision Section V. <u>Case Manager: Jackie Scanlan</u>

SP-24-22 Cutters Kirkwood 123 LLC 115 E Kirkwood Ave Request: Major site plan approval to construct a 4-story building with 3 floors of residential units over a ground floor parking garage and retail space in the MD-CS zoning district. The upper floors will consist of 15 dwelling units for a total of 38 beds. <u>Case Manager: Karina Pazos</u>

PETITIONS:

SP-27-21 Michael Cordaro (Johnson Creamery) 335 W 8th Street 335 W 8th Street Request: Petitioner is requesting an extension of the site plan approval granted under case #SP-27-21 on October 18, 2021. Case Manager: Eric Greulich

 SP-06-22
 Strauser Construction Co., Inc.

 3000 & 3070 S Walnut St.
 3000 & 3070 S Walnut St.

 Request:
 Major site plan approval to construct a 9 building self service

 Storage facility with 10 new vehicle parking spaces.
 Case Manager: Karina Pazos

**Next Meeting November 14, 2022

Last Updated: 10/7/2022

ZO-40-22 Monroe County Government

Northeast Corner of I-69 and Fullerton Pike Request: Map amendment (rezone) of one roughly 87.12 acre parcel from Mixed-Use Employment (ME) to Mixed-Use Institutional (MI). <u>Case Manager: Jackie Scanlan</u>

CASE #: SP-27-21 DATE: October 10, 2022

PETITIONER:	Michael Cordaro (Johnson Creamery) 400 W. 7 th Street, Bloomington
CONSULTANTS:	Ratio Architects, LLC. 101 S. Pennsylvania St., Indianapolis
	Smith Design Group 2755 E Canada Drive, Bloomington

REQUEST: The petitioner is requesting an extension of the site plan approval granted under case #SP-27-21 on October 18, 2021.

BACKGROUND:	
Area:	1.3 acres
Current Zoning:	Mixed Use Downtown (MD) – Downtown Core Overlay
Comp Plan Designation:	Downtown
Existing Land Use:	Surface parking
Proposed Land Use:	Multi-family Residential Building
Surrounding Uses:	North – Office
	West – Office
	East – Showers Building/B-Line Trail
	South – Office

REPORT: The property is located on the north side of 7th Street and extends north to 8^{th} Street and is within the Downtown Core Downtown Character Overlay of the Mixed-Use Downtown (MD) zoning district. Surrounding land uses include offices to the north, west, and south with the Showers office building and B-Line Trail to the east. The property currently contains a surface parking area that is used by tenants of the Johnson Creamery office building that fronts on 7th Street.

The Plan Commission approved a site plan (SP-27-21) for this site on October 18, 2021, to allow for the construction of a new residential building with 60 dwelling units, 74 bedrooms, and an interior parking area with 18 spaces. One of the conditions of approval with that approval involved the vacation of an alley that runs through a portion of the site. The petitioner has petitioned the Common Council for the alley vacation and is still working through the alley vacation process. Since that process has taken longer than anticipated, it has delayed initiating the permitting process for the project.

The UDO states that the approval of a major site plan shall be effective for a maximum period of one year unless, upon petition by the developer, the Plan Commission grants an extension pursuant to Section 20.06.040(h)(1). That section allow for the decision making body to grant an extension of up to one year, following a written request that explains reasonable cause for such

extension, prior to the expiration date. The final approval authority shall determine whether or not there is reasonable cause for the requested extension.

CONCLUSION: The petitioner is continuing to work through the alley vacation request and this has delayed the initiation of the project and permit approval process. The Department believes this is a reasonable cause for the extension of the approval.

RECOMMENDATION: The Department recommends the Plan Commission approve the request for extension of the site plan approval with the following condition:

1. The approval granted on October 18, 2021 shall be effective through October 18, 2023.

т 630.712.2400 peerlesscap.com

Peerless

September 2, 2022

Joseph Patrick Director of Development Peerless Development

Petitioner's Statement – Request for Extension to the Plan Commission Site Plan Approval for 335 West 8th Street, Bloomington, Indiana

The intent of this Petitioners Statement is to provide a brief summary of the circumstances surrounding this proposed development after the Plan Commission approval which unavoidably created a delay in the start of construction. Based on these events, we are requesting a 1-year extension to the Plan Commission approval, allowing us to begin construction before October 18, 2023.

The proposed development at 335 W Eighth Street previously approved by the Plan Commission on October 18, 2021. As part of the Recommendation for approval of this project, a Condition was noted that we provide "Verification of the east/west alley vacation must be submitted prior to issuance of a grading permit".

Subsequent to this meeting, and after significant research at the City and County level by multiple parties involved, we were unable to find evidence of the formal vacation of the east/west alley. At that point, we were informed by the Plan Commission that in order for the project to proceed as approved, we would be required to submit a new request for the vacation of the east/west alley in question. This new alley vacation request would need to be reviewed by the Department of Public Works first and then formally approved by the City Council.

In parallel to this approval process with the DPW and City Council, we were also in negotiations with the City of Bloomington Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) and the Housing and Neighborhood Development Department (HAND) regarding the repair and refurbishment of the Johnson Creamery Smokestack, which was partially located within the east/west alley in question. While the smokestack refurbishment work was not formally part of the proposed development at 335 W. 8th St., it was inevitably linked to the property and on the minds of all parties involved at the City. We were informed by the City Council that a decision on the requested alley vacation could not be made until the discussions regarding the final scope of refurbishment work on the smokestack were agreed upon by the HPC, HAND, and the Developer. This process required the commissioning of a new Engineering Study to evaluate the condition of the smokestack and its compliance with current

т 630.712.2400 peerlesscap.com

Peerless

building codes. This also initiated the process of the HPC requesting to designate the existing Johnson Creamery Building and Smokestack as a local Historic District. The approved scope of refurbishment work was finally resolved on April 1, 2022 with the issuance of a COA for the smokestack partial demolition and refurbishment.

Once the COA was received, we began the alley vacation process in earnest, starting with the DPW and City Council. Initially, there was a quite a bit of confusion about the extent of the east/west alley in question by all parties (considering that it no longer continues eastward beyond the B-Line trail and terminated at the formerly vacated Madison St.), which required a number of revisions to the legal description provided in the application. Once the application was finalized, we were scheduled for initial reading on the City County agenda in May. It was at this point that a request from the City Staff for concessions / donations from the Developer in exchange for the vacation of the alley was introduced. Negotiations on this topic took a considerable amount of time and ultimately delayed the review and consideration of the alley vacation request by the DPW and the City Council. As part of these negotiations, in mid-July, it was suggested that the Developer dedicate ROW to the City in exchange for the vacation of the existing east/west alley; effectively shifting the alley ROW south approximately 16'. This ROW dedication/vacation exchange request is still in progress at this time, with the hope of getting back in front of the City Council yet in the fall of 2022. At this time, this would appear to be the last major entitlement hurdle required before the proposed development can continue the review and approval process, in anticipation of beginning construction in late 2022 or early 2023.

Based on the overall duration of the surrounding circumstances summarized in the statement above, we believe it is apparent that this is a unique development, requiring unique solutions to the hurdles encountered along the way. With this understanding, we are requesting what might be noted as a unique consideration from the Plan Commission, in the form of a 1-year extension to the Plan Commission approval, allowing us to begin construction before October 18, 2023.

We appreciate the opportunity to work in the Bloomington community and would like to thank the Commission for its consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Joseph Patrick Director of Development Peerless Development

CASE #: SP-27-21 DATE: October 18, 2021

PETITIONER:	Michael Cordaro (Johnson Creamery) 400 W. 7 th Street, Bloomington
CONSULTANTS:	Ratio Architects, LLC. 101 S. Pennsylvania St., Indianapolis
	Smith Design Group 2755 E Canada Drive, Bloomington

REQUEST: The petitioner is requesting major site plan approval to allow for the construction of a 5-story building with 51 dwelling units in the Mixed-Use Downtown (MD) zoning district.

BACKGROUND:	
Area:	1.3 acres
Current Zoning:	Mixed Use Downtown (MD) – Downtown Core Overlay
Comp Plan Designation:	Downtown
Existing Land Use:	Surface parking
Proposed Land Use:	Multi-family Residential Building
Surrounding Uses:	North – Office
	West – Office
	East – Showers Building/B-Line Trail
	South – Office

REPORT: The property is located on the north side of 7th Street and extends north to 8th Street and is within the Downtown Core Downtown Character Overlay of the Mixed-Use Downtown (MD) zoning district. Surrounding land uses include offices to the north, west, and south with the Showers office building and B-Line Trail to the east. The property currently contains a surface parking area that is used by tenants of the Johnson Creamery office building that fronts on 7th Street. There is a 12' wide platted alley along the west side of this property that runs north/south and connects 7th Street to 8th Street. There is an underground culvert and 15' wide easement that runs through the northeast corner of this property that contains a 48" storm water pipe that serves the overall storm water drainage system for the portions of the City upstream of this area.

The Plan Commission recently approved a site plan (SP-11-20) for this site in 2020, however due to difficulties encountered regarding the relocation of the existing box culvert and concerns about the stability of the historic smokestack, the petitioner has had to redesign the proposed project.

The petitioner is requesting major site plan approval to allow for the construction of a new residential building with 60 dwelling units, 74 bedrooms, and an interior parking area with 18 spaces. There will be 44 studio units, 6 one-bedroom units, 6 two-bedroom units, and 4 3-bedroom units. The interior parking garage would be accessed from a drive cut on the alley on the west side and by an entrance on the south side of the building. The petitioner is proposing a 1,000 square foot fitness area along the ground floor of the 8th Street frontage and a large outdoor

plaza area, two dwelling units, and lobby along the ground floor facing the B-Line.

This petition is proposing to utilize two sections of the UDO. The first is Section 20.04.110 (d)(2)(A) Sustainable Development Incentives that allows for an additional floor of building height, not to exceed 12'. The second is to utilize Section 20.04.060(g) Adjustments to Minimum Parking Requirements to allow for a reduced on-site parking requirement. More information is discussed on those two aspects later in the report.

This petition is considered a Major Site Plan since it contains more than 50 dwelling units and is required to be reviewed by the Plan Commission.

SITE PLAN REVIEW:

Non-Residential Uses on the First Floor: This site is not required to have ground floor non-residential uses. They have proposed a 1,000 square foot fitness area along the ground floor of the 8th Street frontage and a large outdoor plaza area, two dwelling units, and lobby along the ground floor facing the B-Line.

Dimensional Standards: The build-to-range is 0 to 5 feet and a minimum of 70% of the building must be at the build-to-range. As a result of the underground culvert and easement which prohibits any portion of the building to be constructed in that area and difficulties encountered with relocating the culvert, the petitioner has requested a variance (V-16-21) from the minimum 70% of the building required to be at the build-to-line. There is a 10' minimum building setback required along the B-Line Trail and the building meets that requirement with the approximately 40' setback shown.

The maximum height in the DCO is 4 stories, not to exceed 50 feet. The proposed building is 5 stories and 60 feet tall. The petitioner is proposing to utilize the Sustainable Development Incentives in Section 20.04.110(d) that allow for an additional story, but not to exceed 12'. The UDO states that projects seeking to utilize the incentives shall demonstrate compliance with at least four of the six qualifying criteria. The petitioner has stated that they intend to meet sections (ii) Light Colored Hardscaping, (iii) Covered Parking, (iv) Cool or Vegetated Roof, and (v) Solar Energy. More information on the specific details related to compliance with each of those requirements is contained in their petitioner statement and supporting exhibits. The Department finds that this petition has demonstrated compliance with the four sections of the Sustainable Development Incentives listed and is eligible for the additional height as outlined.

Parking: Based on the bedroom and unit count, the site is required to have 45 on-site parking spaces. The site plan shows 26 parking spaces, 18 spaces will be created under this building and there will be 8 surface parking spaces. The petitioner is proposing to utilize Section 20.04.060(g) of the UDO that allows for adjustments to the minimum number of parking spaces required based on five different factors. The petitioner is proposing to utilize Section #2 (Proximity to Transit) and Section #5 (Modification of Minimum Parking Requirement Based on Parking Study) of that provision to allow for a reduction of required on-site parking spaces.

Section #2 allows for a 15% reduction if there is a fixed transit station within ¹/₄ mile. There is a fixed transit stop at 7th and Morton which is within a ¹/₄ mile of this site. This 15% reduction allows for a reduction of 7 parking spaces from the 45 required spaces, which would reduce the

number of required spaces to 38.

Section #5 allows for a further modification to the minimum number of spaces based on the submittal of a parking demand study. The petitioner has prepared a parking demand study and that is included in the packet. The study discusses the use of shared cars within units as well as an expected decrease in cars for residents of the studio and one-bedroom units, and the use of specific car sharing programs. It should also be noted that the City recently finished construction of a new public parking garage immediately adjacent to this project at the Trades District Garage. The Public Works Department has indicated that there are spaces available for long term lease and the petitioner has initiated communication with the City to secure long term leases for residents. Given the information presented in the Parking Demand Study and the location and availability of on-street parking spaces, and spaces available within a public parking garage immediately adjacent to this property, the Department finds a further reduction of 12 parking spaces appropriate and that the proposed 26 parking spaces should be approved at this location for this project.

Since the petitioner is partially relying on a car sharing program to justify the request for a reduction in the minimum number of on-site parking spaces required, the Department recommends that a minimum number of parking spaces within the on-site garage be set aside for vehicles in the ride sharing program. The petitioner has agreed to set aside 3 spaces within the garage for vehicles in the program and a condition of approval has been proposed to that effect.

Access: There are two proposed vehicular accesses to the parking garage, one off of the alley on the west and one on the south side of the garage. There will not be any drive cuts on 8th Street for this project. There are no setback standards for driveways along an alley and each driveway width does not exceed the maximum 24' in width that is allowed.

The property is required to have one pedestrian entrance for any primary building façade along a public street and one pedestrian entrance per 100 feet of building frontage along the B-Line Trail. There will be pedestrian access to the building from an entrance on the north side of the building from 8th Street and a large plaza area and additional entrance from the east side of the building along the B-Line trail. The site has approximately 150' of frontage along the Trail and is required 2 pedestrian entrances. There are two pedestrian entrances shown along the B-Line Trail façade and this requirement has been met. The UDO outlines specific design features for the pedestrian entrances.

Bicycle Parking: The UDO requires bicycle parking be provided at a minimum of 20% per number of vehicular spaces provided or one space per 5 bedrooms, whichever is more. Based on the 74 bedrooms, there would be 15 bicycle parking spaces required. Since there are more than 25 dwelling units, a minimum of one-half of the bicycle parking spaces must be covered and one-quarter of the spaces must be long-term Class I facilities. The petitioner has shown several areas for bicycle parking around the north side of the building. Bicycle parking should also be provided along the B-Line trail façade as well. Compliance with this section will be reviewed prior to issuance of a grading permit. A condition of approval has been proposed to that effect.

Architecture/Materials: The building will be finished in primarily brick with masonry accents and cast stone or stone elements. These are all permitted materials in the DCO. The overall building features a base element that is separated from the upper floors by a masonry accent

band. There is also a masonry cap along the top of the building to define that area as well. Additional architectural review comments are provided in a memo from Schmidt & Associates that is included in the packet. This petition meets the Architectural standards outlined in the UDO.

Façade Articulation: The UDO requires that building facades shall incorporate exterior horizontal belt course design elements for the building base, middle, and cap. In addition, exterior vertical banding is required to visually define walls and modules. The proposed building meets these requirements with varied design elements and differences in building materials to define the base, middle, and cap of the building. Vertical modules have been shown along the building and include the use of different materials for the recessed modules. The maximum allowed length of the façade modules is 65' and a minimum 20'. The 8th Street side is only 55' wide and therefore meets the maximum façade modulation length standards.

Upper Floor Façade Stepback: BMC 20.02.060(a)(5) requires that the first three stories of building façade in the DCO character area must comply with the build-to-range and that the portions of the building façade facing the street above three stories shall step back from the lower story vertical façade/wall plane a minimum of 15 feet. The submitted elevations and site plan show compliance with this requirement.

Void-to-Solid Percentage: The DCO sets a minimum first floor void-to-solid requirement of 60%, consisting of transparent glass or façade openings, for facades facing a street or the B-Line. The proposed building facades along both of these frontages meet this requirement with 85% void-to-solid shown along the 8th Street frontage and 60% shown along the B-Line Trail frontage.

Streetscape: Street trees and pedestrian-scaled lighting are required along the 8th Street frontage. One street light is required along the 8th Street frontage and has been included as a condition of approval.

Pedestrian Facilities/Alternative Transportation: A new sidewalk and tree plot will be installed along the 8th Street frontage and has been shown to be designed to meet the light hardscaping requirements discussed previously. The retail space on the ground floor features an open plaza area that merges with the B-Line trail to create a seamless interaction area. Any work to the B-Line trail area must be coordinated with the Parks Department and City prior to construction.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN: The Comprehensive Plan designates this property as Downtown. The Downtown designation "is a mixed use, high intensity activity center serving regional, community-wide, and neighborhood markets. Bloomington must strive to improve downtown as a compact, walkable, and architecturally distinctive area in the traditional block pattern that serves as the heart of Bloomington while providing land use choices to accommodate visitors, business, shoppers and residents.' Land use policies for this area state that:

Goal 4.1 Maintain Historic Character. Encourage redevelopment that complements and does not detract from the Downtown's historic, main street character. The building has been designed to complement and mirror the design of the historic Showers Building and Johnson Creamery Building.

Goal 2.3 Resilent Public Spaces: Ensure public spaces are of high quality, engaging, and active. The design of this building and plaza space along the B-Line Trail actively engages with the trail and creates a quasi-public space. The design of the building also complements the B-Line Trail with the stepbacks and extended awning along the Trail.

Goal 3.2 Built Environment and Green Space: Drive increased efficiency and reduced environmental impacts in the built environment. The incorporation of the four elements of the Sustainable Development Incentives will reduce the heat island effect of the petition as well as provide solar energy to reduce the building's energy demands. These items directly further some of the stated goals of the Comprehensive Plan to improve the site design of buildings in the built environment.

SITE PLAN REVIEW: The Plan Commission shall review the major site plan petition and approve, approve with conditions, or deny the petition in accordance with Section 20.06.040(g) (Review and Decision), based on the general approval criteria in Section 20.06.040(d)(6)(B) (General Compliance Criteria).

20.06.040(d)(6)(B) General Compliance Criteria

- i. Compliance with this UDO
- ii. Compliance with Other Applicable Regulations
- iii. Compliance with Utility, Service, and Improvement Standards
- iv. Compliance with Prior Approvals
- v. Consistency with Comprehensive Plans and Other Applicable Plans
- vi. Consistent with Intergovernmental Agreements
- vii. Minimization or Mitigation of Adverse Impacts
- viii. Adequacy of Road Systems
- ix. Provides Adequate Public Services and Facilities
- x. Rational Phasing Plan

PROPOSED FINDING: The petition meets all of the UDO requirements with the exception of the percentage of the building required to be at the build-to-line. If a variance from that standard is not approved, then the proposed elevations and site plans must be revised and approved. No problems have been identified with meeting all stormwater and utility connections. No adverse impacts on adjacent properties has been identified. The site is adjacent to existing public roads and alleys and will be easily accessed, with Bloomington Transit service immediately nearby also. The petition is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan which encourages infill development and reuse of underutilized properties. No phasing is planned.

CONCLUSION: This petition meets all of the UDO requirements for the Downtown Core Downtown Character Overlay. This project provides a high quality building along the B-Line Trail and the placement of the plaza space along the B-Line Trail facade allows for the visitors to the building to actively engage between the Trail and building. The development provides housing immediately adjacent to the developing Trades District employment area. The incorporation of the Sustainable Development Incentives provides several environmentally friendly design features for this project as well. **RECOMMENDATION**: The Department recommends approval of SP-27-21 with the following conditions of approval:

- 1. A total of 15 bicycle parking spaces are required and will need to be shown on the site plan before issuance of a grading permit.
- 2. One street light and street trees not more than 40' from center are required along the 8th Street frontage and will need to be shown on the site plan before issuance of a grading permit.



City of Bloomington Bloomington Environmental Commission

MEMORANDUM

Date:	October 18, 2021
То:	Bloomington Plan Commission
From:	Bloomington Environmental Commission
Subject:	SP-27-21: Johnson Creamery Apartments 335 W. 8 th Street

The purpose of this memo is to convey the environmental concerns and subsequent recommendations for conditions of approval for this development petition. The Environmental Commission's (EC) objective is that the results of our review and suggestions will lead to enhancement of the ecosystem services provided, and the climate-change mitigation attributes of the site.

The EC commends the Petitioner for incorporating green building features in the structure and for eliminating the parking lot adjacent to 8th St and the B-Line Trail. To become a greener and more walkable community, these sorts of actions ought to be applauded for their positive impact on our environment. We hope to see future projects follow the example set.

Comments

1.) LANDSCAPE PLAN

The Landscape Plan needs to be finalized with the grading permit. Some plant species will need to be changed (example is Ulmus x 'Frontier'), and the amount of pervious surface needs to be calculated to determine the quantity of interior plants.

Recommended Conditions of Approval

1.) Revise the Landscape Plan prior to the Grading Permit issuance.





For reference only; map information NOT warranted.

Scale: 1'' = 100'

Peerless Development 105 South York Street Suite 450 Elmhurst, IL 60126

Peerless

October 4, 2021

Petitioner's Statement – 335 West 8th Street, Bloomington, Indiana

The proposed development at 335 W Eighth Street is approximately 45,000 square feet, five story apartment building. The site is part of the larger Johnson Creamery property and will be located on the northern end of the site where a parking lot currently exists. It is bound by 8th street to the north, the B-Line to the east and an alley to the west. The existing Johnson Creamery building, and associated smokestack will not be altered as part of this project. The first level of the building will consist of approximately (18) covered parking spaces for residents along with the main entrance lobby for residents and service / mechanical areas, an amenity space and 2 residential units. Floors 2-5 will consist of all residential units. The total unit count is approximately 60 units – 44 studios, 6 one-bedroom units, 6 two-bedroom units, and 4 three-bedroom units.

The architecture of the building is meant to reference the character of the surrounding area and the properties' industrial historical character. The exterior will contain masonry accents, and other façade materials that appear industrial in nature, color, and design. Due to an existing city-owned box culvert that begins on the Northwest part of the site and continues to run diagonally South and East, the property façade facing 8th street will mirror the movement of the culvert to avoid it altogether. Our previous design of this property anticipated relocation of this box culvert, however, the complex logistics, its proximity to the existing smokestack, and the final costs associated with this relocation work proved to be practically unfeasible. The exterior of the building will be a mix of masonry with stone accents, metal siding and fiber cement panels. The north 8th street facade features the main building entry and will present to the street a primarily elevation of storefront glazing at the ground floor level with fiber cement and metal panel accents above. Starting at the fourth level, the building façade steps back to scale down the building along the street that is within 15' of the 8th street property line. For the upper two levels, the exterior materials switch to a fiber cement panel system to further scale the building back. The east façade faces the B-Line and is slightly stepped in response to the angle of the B-Line fronting the site. The façade closest to the historical smokestack is clad in masonry to create a visual connection with the existing building and a harmonious backdrop for the smokestack. The stepping of the façade, along with variation in material and residential balconies create depth and interest along this primary façade to architecturally engage B-Line. Here, the façade is a combination metal siding and a wood grained panel that to provide a mix of industrial and natural materials. The south and west facades front the rest of Johnson Creamery property and the alley, respectively. These elevations are a bit quieter, but with the same mix of materials to create a cohesive property.

Peerless Development 105 South York Street Suite 450 Elmhurst, IL 60126



Standard Variances

Dimensional Standards: The standard Build-to-Range in the MD-DC is that a minimum of 70% of the Building Façade should fall at a maximum distance of 0-5' from the lot line. Peerless is asking for a variance to allow the building to be set back diagonally from 8th Street on account of trying to avoid the box culvert. This wouldn't conform to the standard in the MD-DC code. This variance is being requested on account of a development hardship.

The maximum height in the DCO is 4 stories, not to exceed 50 feet. The proposed building is 5 stories and approximately 60 feet tall. We are proposing to utilize the Sustainable Development Incentives in Section 20.04.110(d) in order to allow for a taller structure.

To meet the requirements of the sustainable development incentives and allow for increased height, the project will include the following sustainable elements into the design:

1. Light Colored Hardscaping – through the use of concrete work and pavers, more than 80% of the horizontal hardscaping will have an SRI of greater than 29.

2. Covered Parking – On site parking will provide at least 75% under roof cover with a combination of photovoltaic solar panels, vegetation, and membrane surfaces with an SRI higher than 32.

3. Cool or Vegetated Roof – The roof of the proposed project will be a combination of photovoltaic solar panels, vegetation, and low slope roofing materials with an initial SRI of greater than 82. This will constitute more than 70% of the total roof area of the project.

4. Solar Energy – A solar photovoltaic system will cover more than 35% of the roof area of the proposed project.

Parking: The residential units we are proposing would require approximately 45 on-site parking spaces. We are proposing to utilize Section 20.04.060(g) of the UDO that allows for adjustments to the minimum number of parking spaces required based on five different factors. We are proposing to utilize Section #2 (Proximity to Transit) and Section #5 (Modification of Minimum Parking Requirement Based on Parking Study) of that provision to allow for a reduction of required on-site parking.

Section #5 allows for Modification of Minimum Parking Requirements based on a Parking Study. To understand the parking needs for the building, we contracted the firm Desman to perform a parking analysis. In the study, it was recommended based on the projected auto ownership for rental unit analysis they performed to utilize the following ratios of parking spaces per Dwelling Unit Type (the difference being the number of bedrooms) found on page 3. Desman recommended utilizing the following ratios: studio use .5, 1 BR use .90, 2-BR use 1.35, 3-BR

Peerless Development 105 South York Street Suite 450 Elmhurst, IL 60126



use 1.35. Based on this, the recommended number of required parking spaces is reduced by 4.10 parking spaces to 40.90 on-site parking spaces.

Section #2 allows for a 15% reduction if there is a fixed transit station within ¼ mile. There is a fixed transit stop at 7th and Morton which is within a ¼ mile of this site. This 15% reduction allows for a reduction of 6 parking spaces from the reduced amount of 40.90 spaces to 35 (34.77) on-site parking spaces.

The second part of the study Desman provided allowed for a further modification to the minimum number of spaces (Section #5). In their study, they have provided evidence on ways to reduce the required parking amount by implementing a car sharing program. The program requires a partnership with a car sharing company like Zip Car, and by implementing such program, the evidence suggests that we can eliminate between 16 to 20 spaces. Peerless would like to utilize a car sharing program for one space in our garage and thereby eliminate 16-20 spaces based on the research by Desman. This reduction would reduce the number of required on-site spaces to 15-19 spaces.

We look forward to partnering with the City of Bloomington on this project.

Sincerely,

Michael Cordaro Founder + Principal



MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 23, 2021

- TO: Joseph Patrick Peerless Development
- FROM: Gerald Salzman
- RE: Parking Study 335 W. 8th Street Development Bloomington, IN

The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize the findings of a parking study conducted by DESMAN for the multi-family residential development known as the 335 W. 8th Street in downtown Bloomington. The project site is adjacent to the City Hall and less than 1000 feet from the County Courthouse Square. There is a public parking garage within easy walking distance and a transit stop adjacent to the site. The project will consist of approximately, 60 apartment units and 18 on-site parking spaces which are designed to appeal to University students.

A parking analysis was conducted to determine the potential parking demand for the development based on the Bloomington Indiana Unified Development Ordinance. The site is located within the MD Zoning District.

Assumptions

The projected land use for the development is shown in **Table 1**.

Table 1 – Land Use

Land Use	Number	Units
Studio	44	Dwelling Units
1 Bedroom	6	Dwelling Units
2 Bedrooms	6	Dwelling Units
3 Bedrooms	4	Dwelling Units
Total	60	

Source: Peerless

The minimum parking requirements for the given land uses shown in **Table 1** were derived from the City of Bloomington's Unified Zoning Ordinance, Chapter 20.10, Table 04-9 which specifies the minimum number of permitted parking spaces by land use. An allowance for proximity to transit per Chapter 20.04.060 (B) was applied. The summary of minimum parking requirements for the uses listed in **Table 1** can be found in **Table 2**.

Page 1 of 3



21

			Parking	Parking
Land Use	Number	Units	Ratio	Spaces
Studio	44	Dwelling Units	0.50	22
1 Bedroom	6	Dwelling Units	1.00	6
2 Bedrooms	6	Dwelling Units	1.50	9
3 Bedrooms	<u>4</u>	Dwelling Units	2.00	<u>8</u>
Subtotal	60			45
Transit Proximity Reduction			0.15	<u>-9</u>
Total	60			<u>-9</u> 36

Table 2 – Minimum Number of Permitted Parking Spaces by Land Use According to UDO

Source: Bloomington, Indiana - Code of Ordinances- Title 20 - UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE- Chapter 20.04.110.

Conclusion

Based on the above analysis and the City's Unified Development Ordinance, the 335 W. 8th Street Development would require 36 spaces. Based on discussions with the development team, we believe that the location of the site in downtown and the specific orientation of the project within the market, it is likely that the actual demand will be substantially lower. Given the location of the site in proximity to the available public parking garage we believe that the actual demand will be substantially lower. Any demand from tenants exceeding the 18 spaces provided as well as any visitor demand can be accommodated in the City garage. Given the low auto ownership anticipated, we recommend that at least one car share space be provided in the City garage. If auto use by tenants remains low, additional car share spaces may be desirable. Auto use characteristics should be reviewed after move in to determine the number of spaces needed for similar projects going forward.

335 W 8th STREET PLANNING COMMISSION APPLICATION



RATIO



335 W 8th STREET - PLANNING COMMISSION APPLICATION | SEPTEMBER 15, 2021 **2**

CONCEPTUAL RENDERING

RATIO



335 W 8th STREET - PLANNING COMMISSION APPLICATION | SEPTEMBER 15, 2021 **3**

CONCEPTUAL RENDERING





25 4 **335 W 8th STREET - PLANNING COMMISSION APPLICATION** | SEPTEMBER 15, 2021

RATIO



335 W 8th STREET - PLANNING COMMISSION APPLICATION | SEPTEMBER 15, 2021 **5**

7



335 W 8th STREET - PLANNING COMMISSION APPLICATION | SEPTEMBER 15, 2021 6 2

CONCEPTUAL RENDERING

RATIO



CONTEXT 28 ►

335 W 8th STREET - PLANNING COMMISSION APPLICATION | SEPTEMBER 15, 2021

RATIO



335 W 8th Street - Planning Commission Application | September 15, 2021

SITE PLAN

29 ∞



335 W 8th street - planning commission application | september 15, 2021 9 8

LANDSCAPE PLAN



-BLINETRAIL D 3 6 4 (\neg) 6 П ALLEY

(1) RESIDENT ENTRY + BIKE PARKING

LEGEND

(2) FENCED RESIDENT AMENITY PATIO

3 PARKING

NEW 8TH STREET SIDEWALK AND VEGETATED BUFFER

(4)

ORNAMENTAL AND TREE PLANTINGS

6 OUTDOOR FITNESS

31 10 335 W 8th STREET - PLANNING COMMISSION APPLICATION | SEPTEMBER 15, 2021



UNIT MATRIX

Total SF accounts for one studio that is a non-standard size (532 SF) *c+...l.

37,138 45,672

NRSF GSF

irs and elevators

tness and lobby space

					33	335 W 8th Unit Matrix	:h Unit I	Matrix						
Floor	Amenity	SF***	Studios SF/unit [*]		1 bd	SF/unit 2 bd	2 bd	SF/unit 3 bd		SF/unit	Support	Support SF/unit** Corridor SF/unit	Corridor	SF/unit
1	-	1615		0	0	0	2	812	0	0	Ţ	1080	1	535
2	0	0	1	1 512	2	720.5	1	810	1	1320	1	546	1	780
m	0	0	1	1 512	2	720.5	-	810	1	1320	1	546	1	780
4	0	0	1.	1 512	1	752	-	810	1	1320	1	546	1	780
5	0	0	1:	1 512	1	752	1	810	1	1320	1	546	1	780
TOTAL	1	1615	44	t 22608	9	4386	9	4864	4	5280	5	3264	5	3655
BEDS			44	-+	9		12		12					

÷.	INIAL BEUS
En	TOTAL LINITS

*Studio Lotal S	**Includes stai	***Includes fit	



1000 SF 44 @ 512 SF 6 @ 752 SF 6 @ 810 SF 4 @ 1320 SF 60

RATIO

18 600 SF

BUILDING PROGRAM

PARKING SPACES RES. LOBBY RES. FITNESS STUDIO UNITS 1 BR UNITS 2 BR UNITS 3 BR UNITS TOTAL UNITS

32 **CONCEPT PLAN - LEVEL 1** 11 **335 W 8th STREET - PLANNING COMMISSION APPLICATION | SEPTEMBER 15, 2021**

0 2 Bedroom Studio oibut2 olbutz Studio Studio Studio olbut2 ophas eted 3 Bdrm Studio Studio Studio 1 Bdrm 1 Bdrm

44 @ 512 SF 6 @ 752 SF 6 @ 810 SF 4 @ 1320 SF 60 1000 SF

PARKING SPACES RES. LOBBY RES. FITNESS STUDIO UNITS 1 BR UNITS 2 BR UNITS 3 BR UNITS TOTAL UNITS

RATI

18 600 SF

BUILDING PROGRAM

CONCEPT PLAN - LEVELS 2 & 3 33 12 **335 W 8th STREET - PLANNING COMMISSION APPLICATION | SEPTEMBER 15, 2021**

0 2 Bedroom Studio oibut2 olbutz Studio Studio Studio olbut2 oppnas Studio Studio 1 Bdrm 3 Bdmn Studio

1000 SF 44 @ 512 SF 6 @ 752 SF 6 @ 810 SF 4 @ 1320 SF 60

PARKING SPACES RES. LOBBY RES. FITNESS STUDIO UNITS 1 BR UNITS 2 BR UNITS 3 BR UNITS TOTAL UNITS RATI

18 600 SF

BUILDING PROGRAM

CONCEPT PLAN - LEVEL 4 & 5 34 13 **335 W 8th STREET - PLANNING COMMISSION APPLICATION | SEPTEMBER 15, 2021**



EAST ELEVATION







NORTH ELEVATION




37 16 335 W 8th STREET - PLANNING COMMISSION APPLICATION | SEPTEMBER 15, 2021

WEST ELEVATION







335 W 8th street - planning commission application \mid september 15, 2021 17 $\bigotimes_{\mathbf{N}}$

SOUTH ELEVATION







335 W 8th street - planning commission application | september 15, 2021 18 $\overset{1}{6}$

CONCEPTUAL RENDERING









.

٦













Location: 3000 & 3070 S Walnut St

PETITIONER:	Strauser Construction Co. 453 S. Clarizz Blvd. Bloomington, IN 47401
CONSULTANT:	Smith Design Group c/o Don Kocarek 2755 E. Canada Dr., Suite 101 Bloomington, IN 47401

REQUEST: The petitioner is requesting a major site plan approval to construct a 3-building self-service storage facility with six bicycle parking spaces and 15 new vehicle parking spaces.

BACKGROUND:	
Area:	5.13 acres
Current Zoning:	MC (Mixed-Use Corridor)
Comprehensive Plan	
Designation:	Neighborhood Residential
Existing Land Use:	Vacant and Commercial – Fitness center, large
Proposed Land Use:	Storage, self-service
Surrounding Uses:	North – Dwelling, multifamily and single-family (attached)
	South – Contractor's yard and Club or Lodge
	East – Storage, self-service
	West – Dwelling, single-family (attached)

REPORT: The property is currently zoned Mixed-Use Corridor (MC), is located on the west side of South Walnut Street, and contains two parcels located at 3000 and 3070 South Walnut Street. The properties to the east and south are also zoned MC. The properties to the north and west are zoned Residential Multifamily (RM). Currently, the westernmost parcel, located at 3070 South Walnut Street, contains Force Fitness, a 10,000 square-foot fitness center. The other parcel contains a driveway and sidewalk that connects to the Force Fitness parking lot.

The petitioner is requesting major site plan approval for three new self-service storage buildings that will be located on both parcels in this property. All three buildings will contain storage units accessed from interior hallways and each building will provide a loading area for trucks to drive inside and load or unload storage items via garage doors facing the interior of the property.

In the MC zoning district, a Self-Service Storage use is permitted with use-specific standards. Under the use-specific standards, the UDO states that if a site is adjacent to a Residential zoning district, loading docks cannot be installed on the side of the facility facing the residentially zoned land, a permanent screen shall be required along all property boundaries and shall conform to landscaping and screening requirements in Section 20.04.080(m) (Screening), and public access shall only be permitted between 6:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. Furthermore, Section 20.04.080(m) (Screening) requires screening for sites if the loading areas are visible from a public street. The petitioner is proposing the three loading areas to be located where they are not visible from public open space, public trails, public streets, or from adjacent properties, to the maximum extent practicable.

MAJOR SITE PLAN REVIEW 20.06.050(a)(2)(C)(ii): Major site plan approval is required for developments that contain more than 15,000 square feet of gross floor area. This proposed site plan will create 38,107 square feet of gross floor area.

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS & INCENTIVES 20.04: The following UDO standards are required to be reviewed for all activities that require New Development approval.

Dimensional Standards:

- Setbacks: The MC zoning district requires a minimum of 15 feet of front building setback, minimum of seven feet for side and rear building setbacks, and a front parking setback minimum of 20 feet behind the primary structure's front building wall. The proposed site plan demonstrates compliance with setbacks.
- **Height:** The maximum height in the MC zoning district is four stories not to exceed 50 feet. All the proposed buildings will be 1-story in height. Each proposed building complies with the maximum height requirement.
- **Impervious Surface Coverage:** The maximum impervious surface coverage in the MC zoning district is 60% and the minimum landscape area is 40%. The petitioner has stated the proposal to have 40% impervious surface coverage and 60% landscape area. The proposal meets the impervious surface coverage and landscape requirements.

Environmental: The parcel on the west side of the property has a 75' riparian buffer along the southern portion of the lot, and the parcel on the east side of the property has a 35' riparian buffer along the southern portion of the lot. The 35' riparian buffer was designed after variance SP-UV-40-12 was granted.

There is a floodplain that runs along the southwest corner of the site. An existing detention basin was designed east of the floodplain to accommodate stormwater drainage for the entire site.

Parking: The maximum vehicle parking requirement for a large fitness center use is 2.5 spaces per 1,000 square feet of gross floor area. The maximum vehicle parking requirement for a self-service storage use is 2.85 spaces per 1,000 square feet of gross floor area of indoor sales/leasing/office space. The fitness center has 10,000 square feet of gross floor area so the maximum vehicle parking requirement for that use is 25 spaces. The self-service storage facility is proposed to have 38,107 square feet of gross floor area but only has minimal hallway space for rental kiosks, so the maximum vehicle parking requirement for that use is 2.85 spaces. The site currently has 35 parking spaces, including two ADA accessible parking spaces, and the petitioner is proposing 15 new parking spaces, for a total of 50 parking spaces on the site. Eight of those parking spaces are proposed to be added onto the southern end of the existing parking lot. The remaining 7 proposed parking spaces would be in a parking lot between the two proposed buildings north of the drive. The proposal does not meet the maximum vehicle parking requirement but the petitioner has received a variance, V-39-22, from the parking maximum for the proposed parking design.

Bicycle Parking/Alternative Transportation: Each development subject to Section 20.04.03(l) of the UDO shall provide a minimum of six bicycle parking spaces or the number of bicycle parking spaces required in Table 04-13: Minimum Bicycle Parking Requirements, whichever is more. In the MC zoning district, bicycle parking spaces are required at two percent of the provided vehicle parking for employment uses, and at five percent of the provided vehicle parking for

commercial uses. The self-service storage is an employment use and the existing fitness center is a commercial use. In this case, six bicycle spaces are required because calculations based on Table 04-13 only total three bicycle spaces for the entire site. The proposal includes six bicycle parking spaces.

This development will also improve the pedestrian facilities along South Walnut Street. Proposed right-of-way is 90 feet for South Walnut Street, which has a General Urban street typology. No new right-of-way will be dedicated with this project, but facilities will be improved. The Transportation Plan calls for a 10-foot wide sidewalk and 8-foot wide treeplot. The sidewalk and treeplot will need to be improved along the parcel that abuts South Walnut Street to meet those requirements. The proposal includes a 10-foot wide sidewalk and a treeplot ranging from nine feet to 12.31 feet. The petitioner is proposing to remove 1.25 feet of the existing asphalt south of the driveway to allow for the treeplot width to be nine feet. The proposal meets the treeplot and sidewalk requirements.

Landscaping:

Street Trees – A minimum of one canopy tree shall be planted per 40 feet of property that abuts a public right-of-way. The proposal consists of five canopy trees which meets the requirement. The City's Urban Forester will need to be consulted about the species of street trees from the UDO which can be utilized with this development.

Buffer Yards – Type 2 and Type 3 buffer yards are required along part of the north and west sides of the property because the adjacent uses are multifamily and single-family (attached). The proposal includes a buffer Type 3 along the west side and along part of the north side, and the eastern portion of the north side of the property that faces a multifamily apartment complex is shown to have a buffer Type 2. The proposal meets the buffer yard requirements.

Parking Lot Landscaping – The UDO requires parking lot perimeter areas to contain a minimum of one tree per four parking spaces, with at least 75 percent of the required trees to be large canopy trees from the permitted plant species list, and must be planted within 10 feet of the parking lot edge. The proposal meets the parking lot perimeter tree requirements.

The UDO requires parking lot perimeter areas to contain a minimum of three shrubs per one parking space with shrubs from the permitted plant species list that grow to a minimum height of 4 feet, and must be planted within 5 feet of the parking lot edge. The proposal meets the parking lot perimeter shrub requirements.

The UDO requires parking lots with 12 or more parking spaces to provide one landscape bumpout, island, or endcap per every 10 parking spaces, and the width and length of each must be equal to the width and length of the adjacent parking space. In addition, each landscape bumpout, island, or endcap must contain at least one large canopy tree, or two large canopy trees if the area is equal to the width and length of two parking spaces. These areas must be installed lower than the parking surface and any parking areas with curbing must incorporate gaps to allow stormwater run-off to enter for natural treatment and filtration. These areas must be installed to control vehicular circulation and define major drives, and shall be placed at intervals of no more than 10 consecutive spaces. The proposal meets the landscape bumpout requirements.

Mixed-Use and Nonresidential Landscaping – The minimum landscape area on site or areas not covered by impervious surfaces, excluding the buffer yard areas, shall be planted with the

following interior plantings:

- 1. A minimum of nine large canopy trees, three evergreen trees, and three medium or small canopy trees per acre. A minimum of 75 percent of the required trees shall be canopy trees;
- 2. A minimum of 27 shrubs per acre. One ornamental tree may be substituted for every four shrubs; however, substitution shall not exceed 50 percent of the required shrubs;
- 3. And, shrubs and ornamental trees along foundation walls of structures shall be planted no closer than two feet and eight feet respectively from the foundation wall.

The proposal meets the interior landscaping requirements.

Existing vegetation may be permitted and count towards required landscaping requirements based on the values listed in the UDO if the existing vegetation is in good health and can be found on the permitted species list. A compliant landscape plan has been included.

Access: This proposed development will derive access from the existing driveway access point along South Walnut Street. The driveway will be shared between the existing fitness center and the proposed self-service storage facility. South Walnut Street has a road classification of Primary Arterial, which requires that no entrance or drive is installed within 150 feet of any intersecting street nor within 100 feet of another driveway entrance. The existing driveway does not meet the required separation distance from the driveway south of this property and a variance was previously granted for the drive cut to remain. The petitioner is proposing to maintain the driveway and internal sidewalk as is. The petitioner is proposing to install a new internal sidewalk for the south self-service storage building.

There is an existing public transportation route in front of the parcel adjacent to South Walnut Street. The UDO requires that transit facilities include benches, shelters, or other similar transit stop amenities, and that such facilities be built to meet the requirements of the Bloomington Public Transportation Corporation. The petitioner is proposing to install a bench, and will work out desired details with Bloomington Transit. A condition has been added.

Architecture: The three new buildings will be finished with a mix of split-faced block, cement board siding with reveals, steel canopies, and metal awnings and trim to match the materials of the fitness center. Cementitious siding and split-faced block are permitted primary finish materials. The UDO requires that a primary exterior finish material cover at least 20 percent of a façade. Metal is a permitted secondary finish material and must cover up to 20 percent of a façade. All 12 facades meet these standards.

The UDO requires that all facades incorporate at least three design elements every 40 feet to break up monotony. The proposal includes metal awnings, change in building façade heights by at least five feet, and wall elevation projections by at least three percent of façade widths.

The UDO requires that all facades visible from any roadway shall consist of at least one primary and one secondary color, shall repeat either texture or color horizontally, and shall repeat variations in texture and color at least every 30 feet vertically. The proposal meets these design standards.

The UDO requires sloped roofs (those greater than 3:12 pitch) visible from any roadway to have overhanging eaves, extending no less than two feet past the supporting walls, or flat roofs (those less than 3:12 pitch) to include a parapet on supporting walls. The proposal includes parapets on supporting walls.

51

The UDO requires the sides of a building that are not visible from a street to incorporate similar material finishes and architectural detail to the facades that are visible. The proposal meets this design standard.

The UDO requires a primary pedestrian entrance for every façade facing a street. The pedestrian entry shall contain at least three architectural details. The intent for the primary pedestrian entry is that there is a prominent indication of where to enter the building. The proposal includes a primary pedestrian entrance for every façade facing a street. Building 1 incorporates pilasters, building address and name, and a variation of a buttress entryway through use of a metal canopy. Buildings 2 and 3 include the same design elements, however these elements are placed on different projecting walls. Moving the pedestrian entry for building 3 would require grading because the grade change on that building corner is significant, and grading along that corner could negatively impact the riparian buffer. Additionally, the proposed design for the location of the entryways are close to the accessible vehicle parking space and the internal sidewalk. Efforts to meet the intent of the standard have been made to the maximum extent possible.

The UDO requires all first-story windows on the primary façade of a primary structure to be transparent and not make use of dark tinting or reflective glass. The proposal meets this standard, and will be verified at the time of review for the issuance of a certificate of zoning compliance for the building permits.

The UDO requires street address displays to consist of Arabic numerals (e.g., 1, 2, 3...) no less than eight inches in height, shall be placed above all exterior entrances visible from a public street, private drive, or parking lot, and shall contrast with the color of the surface on which they are mounted, consisting of reflective materials to be clearly visible and identifiable from the street. The proposal meets this standard for building 1 but must incorporate the address display for buildings 2 and 3 as they have facades visible from a public street and/or private drive. A condition has been added.

Lighting: Mixed-use and nonresidential uses bordered by any R1, R2, R3, R4, or RHM zoning district shall be allowed a total light output of not more than 40,000 lumens per acre. Provided, regardless of parcel size, the allowance shall be sufficient to provide a maximum of 2,500 lumens per entryway with motion detector activated lighting counted as one-half lumens. The parking lot shall be designed to achieve no greater than the minimal illuminance levels for the given land use as recommended by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA RP-33: Lighting for Exterior Environments). However, a parking lot shall also be designed to achieve a minimum illuminance level of one lux. The proposal does not include exterior lighting on the proposed three new buildings or the parking lots. The self-service storage use allows for public access between 6:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. The petitioner may need to incorporate adequate lighting depending on the proposed hours of business and the current lighting on the site. A condition to include specs for lighting on the site has been added.

SITE PLAN REVIEW: The Plan Commission shall review the major site plan petition and approve, approve with conditions, or deny the petition in accordance with Section 20.06.040(g) (Review and Decision), based on the general approval criteria in Section 20.06.040(d)(6)(B) (General Compliance Criteria).

20.06.040(d)(6)(B) General Compliance Criteria

- i. Compliance with this UDO
- ii. Compliance with Other Applicable Regulations
- iii. Compliance with Utility, Service, and Improvement Standards
- iv. Compliance with Prior Approvals

PROPOSED FINDINGS:

This development will meet all applicable standards in the UDO, except for those with previous variances. This development is in compliance with city regulations including utility, service, and improvement standards. This development is in compliance with other applicable regulations. This development is in compliance with prior approvals.

CONCLUSION: This petition meets all requirements of the UDO except those mentioned in the conditions and those that have received variances. The petition will add interior self-service storage units within three new buildings. Although, the City Comprehensive Plan designation of Neighborhood Residential does not align with this use, this development will be providing a storage facility with architectural variations to break up the monotony in the design, as well as improve the pedestrian facilities along South Walnut Street with an amenity near residential that is often used for residential storage. The scale of this development is appropriate for the neighborhood.

RECOMMENDATION: The Planning and Transportation Department recommends that the Plan Commission adopt the proposed findings and approve SP-06-22 with the following conditions:

- 1. The petitioner must receive a grading permit before earth moving.
- 2. The petitioner must incorporate street address displays for buildings 2 and 3 before a building permit will be issued.
- 3. The petitioner must clarify what the hours of business will be and present lighting specs of existing and proposed lighting on the site that meet the standards before a grading permit will be issued.
- 4. The petitioner shall work with Bloomington Transit on BT's desired upgrades to the existing adjacent transit facility and an agreement must be met before a recommendation for final occupancy will be issued.
- 5. This site plan review does not approve signage. A sign permit will need to be applied for.



May 27, 2022

Stephen L. Smith, Founder

City of Bloomington Plan Commission City of Bloomington Planning & Transportation Department Showers Building Suite 130 401 N Morton St Bloomington, Indiana 47404

Dear Karina and Members of the Plan Commission,

For your consideration Strauser Construction Co., Inc. is submitting to you this petition for Major Site Plan Approval for 2 parcels containing 5.13-acre property located at 3000 and 3070 S. Walnut Street. The site is zoned Mixed-Use Corridor (MC).

The surrounding properties consist of a mix of attached single-family houses, multi-family residential apartment to the north and west, and a contractor facility with an outdoor storage area to the south. The site includes the 10,000-sf building occupied by Force Fitness gym facility which will remain. The property has a 75' riparian buffer on the south side. The site is accessed from Walnut Street to the west.

The proposed project consists of 3 new self-storage buildings with access to the storage units from interior hallways. The buildings range in size from 8,500 sf to 16,500 sf. All 3 buildings have restroom facilities and are climate controlled.

Per the city's 2019 Transportation Plan guidance the street frontage along Walnut Street will have a new 10' wide sidewalk and a tree plot between the new sidewalk and existing road pavement edge. The project as designed has 60% landscape area and 40% impervious area. Total site is 5.11 AC and impervious area is 2.06 AC

Drainage from the site will flow via storm sewer system to the existing drainage basin on the west side of the property that was built in the previous project. The basin was designed to accommodate the entire property when developed.

There is an existing sanitary main on site that will remain in place, and another sanitary main that will be relocated as part of the project. The design will be coordinated with CBU. The existing watermain under the drive will remain in place.



The architectural design on the project will be an aesthetic to match the existing fitness building on the west side of the site while utilizing primary façade materials allowed in the current UDO. The buildings will be a mix of split-face CMU masonry, cement board siding with reveals, steel canopies and metal awnings/trims. The building façade will utilize set-backs, differing parapet heights, steel canopies and metal awnings to bring depth to the façade and variation on each elevation.

Construction is anticipated to begin in September of 2022, and to be complete during the summer of 2023.

Thank you for your consideration of this petition. Please feel free to reach out to me with any questions.

Thanks,

Don Kocarek Smith Design Group, Inc. 812-336-6536 Ext. 7 dkocarek@smithdginc.com















LANDSCAPE NOTES 1) лит илих, ваятлась ист и имого ника в согламот в былых. 10 лити илих саятлась и кака 10 лити илих и пользона и кака 10 лити илих и пользона и кака 10 лити илих и пользона и кака 10 видство в заят от натора пак. 10 верство в заят от натора пак.

E ELECTRIC HANDHOLE ELECTRIC TRANSFORMER SANITARY MANHOLE

T STEAM WANHOU

STEAN WETER

STEAM WULT

10/04/2022 SCALE: 1"=20' LANDSCAPE PLAN

SHEET

07°F13

LANDSCAPE TABLES

S					
ξ	QΤΥ	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE	
		TREES			
LT	2	LIRIODENDRON tullpfera	Tulip Tree	2" Coliper	
TA	1	TIUA americana	Basswood	2" Coliper	
QR	2	QUERCUS rubra	Northern Red Oak	2" Coliper	
		DSCAPE PLANT TA METER (NEW PAR		KING L	ОТ
ŝ	È	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE	D-VALUE
		TREES			
OF	1	CORNUS florida	Flowering Dogwood	2" Coliper	
IT	3	LIRIODENDRON tulipfera	Tulip Tree	2" Coliper	
TA	2	TLIA gmericana	Basswood	2" Collper	<u> </u>
OR	3	OUERCIIS nibro	Northern Red Ook	2" Coliper	
				2 Culper	
		SHRUBS			
co	18	CEPHALANTHUS occidentalis	Buttonbush	3 Gallon	
IG	18	ILEX glabra	Inkberry	3 Gallon	
		POTENTILLA fruticosa	a 11 at 11	3 Gallon	
PF					
va LA	12	VIBURNUM ocerifolium OSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC		3 Gallon KING L	от
va LA	12	VBURNUM ocertfolium DSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAME	Mapleleaf Viburnum BLE FOR PAR	3 Gallon KING L	
va LA PE	12 NI RI	WBURNUM acertfolium DSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAME TREES	Modeled Volumnum BLE FOR PAR PARKING LO' COMMON NAME	3 Gallon KING L I) SIZE	
VA LA PE	12 NI RI	VBURNUM acertifolium DSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAME TREES CORPUS florida	Mapleleaf Viburnum BLE FOR PAR PARKING LO'	3 Gallon KING L T) SIZE 2* Caliper	
VA PE	12 NI RI	VBURNUM acerifatium DSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAME TREES CORNUS florida LIRICOENDORON tulipfera	Mapleted Vournum BLE FOR PAR PARKING LO' COMMON NAME Flowering Dogwood Tulip Tree	3 Gallon KING L T) SIZE 2* Caliper 2* Caliper	
	12 NI E 1 2 2	VBURNUM coeffolum DSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAME INEES CORNUS Kerlda LIRCORDORCH tulpfero TLA emericana	Mapieleef Viburnum BLE FOR PAR PARKING LO' COMMON NAME Flowering Dogwood Tulip Tree Basseood	3 Gallon KING L Γ) SIZE 2* Colloer 2* Colloer 2* Colloer 2* Colloer	
VA LA PE Ž	12 NI RI E	VBURNUM acertifalum DSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAME TRES CORRUS forlids LUBODDORRN Hulpfera TILA americana QUERDUS name	Mapleted Vournum BLE FOR PAR PARKING LO' COMMON NAME Flowering Dogwood Tulip Tree	3 Gation KING L Γ) SIZE 2* Caliper 2* Caliper 2* Caliper 2* Caliper 2* Caliper	
	12 NI E 1 2 2	VBURNUM coeffolum DSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAME INEES CORNUS Kerlda LIRCORDORCH tulpfero TLA emericana	Mapieleef Viburnum BLE FOR PAR PARKING LO' COMMON NAME Flowering Dogwood Tulip Tree Basseood	3 Gallon KING L Γ) SIZE 2* Colloer 2* Colloer 2* Colloer 2* Colloer	
	12 NI E 1 2 2 2	VBURNUM acertifalum DSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAME TRES CORRUS forlids LUBODDORRN Hulpfera TILA americana QUERDUS name	Mapleleof Viburnum BLE FOR PAR PARKING LO' COMMON NAME Flowering Dogwood Tulip Tree Bosswood Northern Red Oak	3 Gation KING L Γ) SIZE 2* Caliper 2* Caliper 2* Caliper 2* Caliper 2* Caliper	
	12 NI E 1 2 2 2	VBURNUM ocertotum DSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICA. NAME TREES CORVUS fords LUCCODORON Lulpfera TLJ americana OCREDIS nare Granwoclacus dolco	Mapleleof Viburnum BLE FOR PAR PARKING LO' COMMON NAME Flowering Dogwood Tulip Tree Bosswood Northern Red Oak	3 Gation KING L Γ) SIZE 2* Caliper 2* Caliper 2* Caliper 2* Caliper 2* Caliper	
VA LA PE LA CF LT TA GD	12 NI CRI 2 2 1	VBURNUM ocentrolum VBURNUM ocentrolum DSCARPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAME TRES BOTANICAL NAME TRES CORFUS findes LIA comercione OURDOUT Information OURDOUT Information OURDOUT Information OURDOUT Information Services Services COPPLANTINES occidentatis	Hepieles' Viburnum BLE FOR PAR 3 PARKING LO' COMMON NAME Powering Dogwood Tufty Tree Besswood Northern Red Ook Kentucky Coffee Tree	3 Gatton KING L (r) SIZE 2* Caliper 2* Caliper 2* Caliper 2* Caliper	
VA LA PE S CF LT TA GD CO	12 NI CRI 2 2 1 8	VBURNUM scentrolum SSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAME TREES CORRUS for folds LIRCOENCRN Lufefrer TLA american GUERUS name GUERUS name GUERUS docto SVRUS GEPHULANTUS occidentalis LIX gebra	Vapited Vourum BLE FOR PAR PARKING LO' COMION NAVE Resering Dogood Tulp Tree Bosmood Northern Red Ook Kentucky Coffee Tree Buttoehunh	3 Gallon KING L (r) SIZE 2* Collper 2* Collper 2* Collper 2* Collper 2* Collper 3 Gallon	OT D-VALUE
VA PE S OF LT A GD CO IG	12 NI CRI 5 1 2 2 2 1 8 16	VBURNUM ocentrolum SSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAME TREES CORRUS for Inde UNICOLORIS Inderes GURRUS doice SVRUBS GEPHULANTUS occidentals LKX gabra	Hepieted Viburnum BLE FOR PAR PARKING LO COMMON NAME Country Dogecod Tuble Tree Bosewood Northern Red Ool Retrucky Coffee Tree Buttonbunh Inkherry	3 Gatton KING L () SIZE 2" Caliper 2" Caliper 2" Caliper 2" Caliper 3" Caliper 3" Gatton 3 Gatton	
VA LA PE LT TA GD CO IG PF VA LA	12 NI CRI 2 2 2 1 1 8 16 21 6	VBURNAM acentrolum SSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAME TREES CORRUS for the LINCOLORORS huffere TLA american GUERUS name GUERUS name GUERUS doice SWR05 GUERUA MUSS doice SWR05 DESCAPE PLANT TA	Hegeleer Vournum BLE FOR PAR OR PAR S PARKING LO' COMMON NAME Fowering Bogwood Tuily Free Bossewod Northern Red Gok Kentualy Coffee Tree Buttoeluum Iniberry Bruby Coffee Tree Buttoeluum BLE FOR BUN	3 Gaton KING L Γ) SIZE 2° Calper 2° Calper 2° Calper 2° Calper 3° Calper 3° Calper 3° Calper 3° Calper 3° Calper 3° Calper 3° Calper	D-VALU
VA LA PE S S OF LT A GD CO IC PF VA LA E	112 NI CRI 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	VBURNUM acentralum VBURNUM acentralum SSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAVE TRES CORPUS fordis LURODORON lufteron TLA omericano GURENCI nadva GUREN	Hapited Vourum Hapited Vourum BLE FOR PAR PARKING LO' COMMON NAME Preseffig Dogood Tufp Tree Bosseed Northern Red Oak Kentudy Coffee Tree Buttonkum Inderry Switchy Congental Hapited Vourum BLE FOR BUN DS	3 Gaten KING L (1) SIZE 2° Caliper 2° Caliper 2° Caliper 2° Caliper 3° Gaten 3 Gaten 3 Gaten	
VA LA PE LT TA GD CO IG PF VA LA	112 NI CRI 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	VBRRNM accentratum VBRRNM accentratum SSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICA, NAME THEES CORRUS fordia URCOMORN fulgetre THA american GURRUS fordia CORRUS	Hepsteel Vournum BLE FOR PAR OR PAR S PARKING LO' COMMON NAME Flowering Bogwood Tuily Free Bossewod Northern Red Gok Kentualy Coffee Tree Buttonkum Hubberry Brubby Conguedeli Buttonkum BLE FOR BUN	3 Gaton KING L Γ) SIZE 2° Calper 2° Calper 2° Calper 2° Calper 3° Calper 3° Calper 3° Calper 3° Calper 3° Calper 3° Calper 3° Calper	
VA LA PE M CF LT A R GD CO IG PF VA LA E M M	112 NI CRI CRI 2 2 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	VBURNUM acentrolum SSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICAL NAME TREES CORRUS for for the URCODORON huferre TLA americana GUERUS name GUERUS name GUERUS doice SWUBS GEPHUANTUS occidentals LEX gebre POTENTLA fundicose VBURRWM acentrolum SSCAPE PLANT TA CCAPES AND ISLAN BOTANICAL NAME TREES	Hapited Vourum Hugeted Vourum BLE FOR PAR OR	3 Gaten KING L (1) SIZE 2' Calper 2' Calper 2' Calper 2' Calper 3 Gaten 3 Gaten	
VA LA PE S S OF LT A GD CO IC PF VA LA E	112 NI CRI CRI 2 2 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	VBRRNM accentratum VBRRNM accentratum SSCAPE PLANT TA METER (EXISTINC BOTANICA, NAME TREES CORRUS fordis LINCOMPOSITION CORRUS fordis LINCOMPOSITION CORRUS diston SRIBS COPMANDARY Societation LEX gener POTINILA Indicase VB/RRNM accentratum DSCAPE PLANT TA DCCAPS AND ISLAN BOTANICA NAME	Hapited Vourum Hapited Vourum BLE FOR PAR PARKING LO' COMMON NAME Preseffig Dogood Tufp Tree Bosseed Northern Red Oak Kentudy Coffee Tree Buttonkum Inderry Srubby Conguetal Hapited Vourum BLE FOR BUN DS	3 Gaten KING L (1) SIZE 2° Caliper 2° Caliper 2° Caliper 2° Caliper 3° Gaten 3 Gaten 3 Gaten	D-VALUE

	NΤ	ERIOR LANDSCA	PING PLANT	ΓABLE
kεγ	017	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE
		TREES		
AC	3	AMELANCHIER canadensis	Shadblow Serviceberry	2" Caliper
CF	3	CORNUS florida	Flowering Dogwood	2" Coliper
GD	6	GYMNOCLADUS dioica	Kentucky Coffee Tree	2" Coliper
LT	4	URIODENDRON tulipfero	Tulip Tree	2" Caliper
NS	3	NYSSA sylvatica	Black Tupelo	2" Caliper
QR	4	QUERCUS rubra	Northern Red Oak	2" Caliper
PS	3	PINUS strobus	White Pine	6 Hgt.
PV	3	PINUS virginiana	Virginia Pine	6' Hgt.
		SHRUBS		
IG	13	ILEX glabra	Inkberry	3 Gallon
PF	10	POTENTILLA fruticosa	Shrubby Cinquefoli	3 Gallon
IV	11	ITEA virginica	Virginia Sweetspire	3 Gallon
CO	4	CEPHALANTHUS occidentalis	Buttonbush	3 Gallon
LA	NI	SCAPE PLANT TA	BLE FOR	
	JFI	FER YARD		
KΕΥ	۹T	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE
		TREES		

Tulip Tree

Columnar Sweet Gu White Pine Virginia Pine Basswood Bur Ook

Northern Red Ook

2" Caliper

2 Caliper 6 Hgt. 6 Hgt.

2" Caliper 2" Caliper

LT 6 LIRIODENDRON tulipfero

 L1
 6
 LIRGORRIJON Kalphere

 LSS
 2
 LOUDAMBAR styreciflue Stender S

 PS
 7
 PNUS strobus

 PV
 7
 PNUS strobus

 FV
 7
 PNUS strobus

 FV
 7
 PNUS strobus

 GM
 6
 QUERCUS macrocompo

 GR
 7
 QUERCUS rubro

Walnut Street Storage Landscape Require Streetscape Landscape Walnut Street R/W =195', 5 trees required

Parking lef Perinetter: 1 Tres pre parking space required, 27% large trees Shards per parking tool: Fagues; 24 Anitor required, and 2 trees required Meet Parking leb-1 Bagues; 15 Anitor Router, and 3 Trees required North Parking leb-1 Bagues; 15 Anitor Router, and 3 Trees required North Parking leb-1 Bagues; 15 Anitor Router, and 3 Trees required Statistic Parking Leb-2 Bagues; 15 Anitor Router, and 3 Trees required Statistic Parking Leb-2 Bagues; 15 Anitor Router, and 3 Trees required Statistic Parking Leb-2 Bagues; 15 Anitor Router, Bagues; 15 Leves required Statistic Parking Leb-2 Bagues; 15 Anitor Router, 15 Leves required Statistic Parking Leb-2 Bagues; 15 Anitor Router, 15 Leves required Statistic Parking Leb-2 Bagues; 15 Anitor Router, 15 Leves required; 16 Leves

Landscape Bumpout, Islands and Endcaps 59 Total Spaces, 6 Bumpout, Islands and Endcaps with 1 large canopy tree required in each island. 3 rolding islands are from the previous project and 1 new tree added to 1 existing island. 3 new islands and 1 endcap added with 1 large canopy tree in each.

Buffer yards West Buffer Yard West buffer yard was installed in previous project

North Buffer Yard 556 If of buffer type 3 along single family residential. 200' of buffer yard was installed with the Force Fitness project

These project 35% of buffer year type 3 required 1 decidious. The per news 700 and 6° opaque fence used. 6° fence is located where the existing displated fence to school. 11 decidious trees required. 1647 of felding year type 2 required adjacent to Multifumily aquartment 1 decidious to the per every 25' and 2 wengteen three per every 25' 2 decidious testes required. and 14 evergrees trees required.

Interfor glandings (Call Sin 2: 2235005) (Call Sin 2: 2235005) Mindings, Vidia and Parenet - 45:9001 Marge anotypense, Sovergene three, and Sin edition or mail Canapy trees per are of parvious area 15 large canopy trees regarder, 6 energine three required, 6 medium/and ther required 16 Jacobs per area, 75: Jacobs regarder 16 Jacobs per area, 75: Jacobs per area, 75:

LANDSCAPE NOTES 1) наяти напази, авятнатова кат е легиза и нятива 11 от 11 наявила наяти повето в такальной 2) на цивската все на ознато знача, когае а иники от «то глипа все со тако. Заче нали 3) на почтот повета на експозана и то инитико означе но ная 4) на точтот то иноскоте на по то на станова со тако наяти 4) и точтот повета на експозана и то инитико означе но наяти 4) и точтот по иноскоте пла.





NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

















SECTION 02420

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION & EROSION CONTROL PART 1 - CENERAL

- 1.01 RELATED WORK
- AFILU MWRK Section 02310 Rough Grading Section 02320 Finish Grading Section 02930 Sadding Section 02910 Protection for Existing Trees
- 1.02 REFERENCES
- REFERVES to The lister issue of the following form a part of this section to the extent indicated hereindrer. In Indians Storm Weter Quality Manual published by the Indiana Department of Environmential Management October 2007 edition. (SIRM) 2. Indians Data Start of 15–5–7 Section 7. 3. 2014 Indians Department of Transportation Standard Specifications (IROD/SIS). 4. City of Wetomington Managing Code (IRMC) Section 20.04.030 (d).
- 1.01 LOCAL URSECTION A then the servit as Johns that Poststein of a local mentionality, the service is allower that Poststein and the service of a local imagest, review, coprove, reject or report on post-tion of the service of the section of the service of the touchout the service yand segredce the section of the standard and segregation section of the section of the standard or the service services section of the section of the standard and segregation section. Section of requirements one more stringent.
- PART 2 PRODUCTS
- 2.01 MATERIALS
 - Aggregates for use in conjunction with erosion control measures shall be in accordance with the section of the INDOTSS indicated

 - and be in accordance with the section of the IRIODISS indicated at follow: 1. cocordance with Section 904.031 table (a) 1. cocordance with Section 904.031 table (a) 2. Ray ray for outlet protection methods what be in accordance with Section 904.044 table (b) of the IRIODISS 100 conduct on the IRIODIS of the IRIODISS indicated and be in a coplication with areasion control measures and be in a coplication with areasion control measures and be in a coplication with areasion control measures and be in a coplication of the IRIODISS indicated and table (c) in the IRIODISS indicated in the IRIODISS in the IRIODISS in the IRIODISS intervinteres in the IRIODISS in the
 - as follows: 1. Corrugated Polyethylene Drainage Tubing and Smooth Wall Polyethylene Pipe shall be in accordance with Section 907.17 and 907.21 of the INDOTSS. C. Geotextile
 - Geotextile
 Geotextiles for use under rip rap shall be in accordance with Section 918.02 of the INDOTSS.
 Silt Fence shall conform to the minimum physical properties as shown on the table below.

Physical Property	Woven fabric	Non-woven fabri
Filtering efficiency	85%	85%
Tensile strength at 20% elongation:		
Standard strength	30 lbs/linear in.	50 lbs/linear in.
Extra strength	50 lbs/linear in.	70 lbs./linear in.
Slurry flow rate	0.3 gal/min/sq.ft.	4.5 gal/min/sq.ft.
Water flow rate	15 gal/min/sq.ft.	220 gal/min/sq.ft
UV resistance	70%	85%
Post Spacing	7 feet	5 feet

- E. Temporary Gravit Construction Entrances 1. Construction entrances and be installed using materials 1. Encoden Control Biolatist 2. Foreion control biolatist and fur reinforcement and be the type facilities and surf reinforcement and be the type facilities of the processing of the processing American Centrol and approach by Okent's Representations All ergodic control biolatists and be made of biologicable

- All ereditic control summaries methods. C. Temporary Seeding 1. Orcas provides these time periods: fotow events there are periods. Write wheat or nys 9/51 to 10/30 Write wheat or nys 9/51 to 10/30 Annual regress 3/1 to 5/1, 6/1 to 5/1 Buckeneet 4/51 to 6/1 Com (broadcest) 5/11 to 8/10
- H. Mulching Material . Mulching material may be straw or hay, Excelsior blankets, paper mat, straw mat or aspen wood cellulose fiber mulch
- PART 3 EXECUTION
- 3.01 SCHEDULING/SEQUENCING
- ScheDunkySkubeNonk E Existing vegetation I. If existing vegetation must be cleared, it shall be retained and protected until the area must be disturbed.

 A buffer strip of existing vegetation must be maintained around the perimeter of the site to reduce off-site erosio
- B Durotio ration 1. The extent and duration that bare soil is exposed to crosion by wind and water should be minimized. Clearing and grading operation shall be scheduled to reduce the amount of disturbed area to the absolute minimum needed for immediate construction activity.
- Immediate continuction activity. C. Stabilization 1.4.4 disturbed ground set in active for seven or more dops shall be tabilized appropriately for the season. Steep stopes must be stabilized immediately as remaining more than seven dops attal be stabilized immorprover or brands more than the stabilized by immorprove the Errolin for no piles that all be in existence for less than seven dops shall be controlled by placing straw boles or set for one horizon.

3.02 INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE

- A. All installation of erosion control devices and maintenance shall be in accordance with Section 205 on the NBOTSS and Section 7 of the SMOM.
 B. Temporary gravel construction entrance
 1. Remove withing sequetation and topsoil from entrance area.
 2. Install a cultert pipe under the drivel if necessary to maintain proper public road derivage.

- 3. Compact subgrade soil prior to placing stone. 4. Place #2 stone to the dimensions indicated on the plan and in the Temporary Gravel Construction Entrance Detail. 5. Inspect entrance pad daily and after storm events or heavy
 - Inspect entrance pad aonly and arter summers were were summers and newry use.
 Reshope pad as needed for drainage and runoff control.
 Top drass with clean stone as needed.
 Immediately remove mud and sediment tracked or washed onto public roads by bruthing or sweeping. Flushing should only be used if the water is conveyed into a sediment trap or

 - be used if the water is conveyed into a sediment trap or 8. Regris, on yoken noda powerneh immediately; femporary Ulversion Ditch. 1. Remove bury, trees, stumps, and dehte from note of deviation. 2. deviation. 3. deviation and the day deviation of the sediment of the stable and possible grades towards the outlet. 3. Construct diversion in accordance with the temporary Deviation Ditch Detail and at the location indicated on the
 - Diversion Dish Detail and at the location indicated on the phane. In the phane of the phane of the phane of the phane of the phane south of the phane of the phane of the phane of the phane southermat of the phane of the phane of the phane of the phane 6. Overfill and compact ridge to design height phane Di percent. 1. Lores sufficient area doing the diversion to permit clean-out and regrating. We splate the final phane data tests than 15 days. I were standing with the Phane balance spectra theory eventi. New your share the phane standard theory south term and the phane standard term of the phane standard term of the phane standard term the direction with the Phane standard term of the phane standard term term of the phane standard term of the phane standa

 - event. 10 Remove sediment from the channel and reinforce the ridge

 - Renors sediment from the channel and reinforce the ridge an read-tion and mode necessary project immediates.
 Bank and and mode recencery project immediates.
 Bank and and the second secon

 - to prevent overhow eater from undercutting the dom as it, re-metrs the channel. 4. Piace filter medium on the up-sizes side of the dom and over the entire face of the dams pt to these of the vertices the entire face of the dams pt to these of the vertices 5. Inspect check doms and the channel within 24 hours after each storm event, and report any damage immediately. 6. If algorithms are therein dams, install or prop-filter and the dams to match the dams and the theory of the dam to match the dams and the randoms filter height of the dam to match the over the flow from displacing addiment.

 - cross section.
 When the dams are no longer needed, remove the rock and stabilize channel, using an erosion-resistant lining if necessary.
 - stabilize channes using an array and a second structure during Credit Lind Durie. 1. Divert surface water nunoff around the structure during constructions as list can be properly dearted. 2. Excervice the agrice areas subgrade before the design elevation of finished grade to alide for thistoress of rip one to the locations shown on the plans.
 - Controls shown on the plants. S. Compact the subgrade. A Place the geotextife fabric on the compacted subgrade. If more than one place is needed, the upstream place should overlap the dominitream place by one-foot minimum. S. Install rip rop in accordance with the Rock Chute Detail and the rip rop quantity given in the structure data table on
 - the plans. 6. Top of the rip rap chute shall be level with or slightly

 - Go of the rip rap cruce snale series with a waysury below the resident series was a series of the surrounding grade.
 Blend the rip rap chute smoothly to the surrounding grade.
 Construct a small plunge pool within the outlet grade.
 Rip rap aproxes must be level with or lower than the channel grade and should not restrict flow.
 Construct a permanent diversion ridge can either side of the surrounding state.

 - Construct a permanent diversion ridge on either side of the riprop lined dute to collect strom water rund? I and direct its flow into the dute.
 Inspect rock outless 24 hours after storm events and at least every 7 days for store displacement and for erosian at the sides and ends of the gron.
 Make needed regols's immediately: use appropriate size stone, and do not place them above finished grade.
 - F. Inlet Protection
 - et Protection . Stone a. Excavate the basin around the inlet one to two feet deep below the top of casting elevation in accordance with the inlet Protection Detail. b. Stockpile or spread excavated material so that it will not block flow or wash back into the excavation.

 - c. Install weep holes in the inlet so that the pool area

 - mittid weigh index in one mice to that the pool area down and the set of the set of the set of the set of the down and the set of the set of the set of the down and the set of the set of the set of the down and the protection within a float of the set of the down and the protection within a float of the set of storm event; removing sediment and making needed repairs immediately.
 - storm event; removing securities are made and the second s

 - of sediment. . Remove and replace stone if sediment hinders drainage.
 - Once permanent stabilization occurs, removed sediment basin, weep holes, fill basin with soil, compact and grade to finished elevation.
 Silt Fence.
 - Dig an eight-inch deep, four-inch wide trench around the perimeter of the inlet.
 - perimeter of the inlet. b. If using pre-assembled silt fence and posts, drive the posts into the sol, tightly stretching the silt fence and posts by placing a piece of lathe over the fabric and fastening it to the post.

- c. If assembling the sill fence and post on-site, drive the posts into the soil and them secure the sill fence to the factoring it to be post. The source is the source the inlet. f. Backfill the trench with soil material and compact it in place. g. Brace the posts by nailing braces into each corner posts a. Brown the post by posling traces into seek come posts or ultimetry of parets to support fairly. If it down welfer may bepare the structure, set the top of the different likes and the structure, set the top of the different likes and the structure different likes and the different likes a Durb Inlet Protection of Valence 1. Fil UV stabilized geotextile fabric bags approximately [full with washed gravel or aggregate. 2. For inlets located on a slope gradient: a. At a position up stope of the inlet, loy bags tightly in a raw carving up slope from the inlet and away from the b. A fore logit performing capacity, but south to term to monute of earlier and capacity, but south one should not impose the performance of the carbon south one tops should be applied to the carbon south one tops and the carbon south of the carbon south one to be south to person tops and tops for.
 A finded to a traditional begin doing the carb to person tops and the carbon south to person tops and tops for a carbon south one top tops and tops and tops for a carbon south one top tops and tops an storm event. Deposit sediment in area where it will not re-enter the 5. Deposit Securiterit in dred writer to will not re-enter the paved area or storm drains.
 7. Inspect for damage by vehicular traffic and repair if needed
 8. When the contributing drainage areas have been stabilized, remove inlet protection.
- H. Temporary Sediment Trap.
 Divert run-off from non-disturbed areas away from the trap.
 Clear all existing vegetation and topsoil from the
- Less on Exacting regression can copied with the embaniment ore.
 Using compactable material, construct the embankment at the location indicated on the plans and in accordance with the Temporary Sediment Trap Detail.
 Construct the embankment six inches above design elevation

- L construct the embodiment is inches above design elevation to allow for setting.
 5. Excerve a trapezoidad outlet section, from the emboarment.
 5. Excerve a trapezoidad outlet section, a traditional cuttet section, sectioning the fabric up the sales of the outlet section to the top of the emboarment.
 C. Place NBOD reveltment r/p ray in accordance with the detail to credit a dama mass. The gallway cuter must be leaded independent of the section of the top of the dama.
- dom. Concert the upperson food of the increase of the dominant of the dominant of the increase of the dominant of the dominant of the dominant of the section with the approximation of the section of t

- Lemporary Sodiment Trop Deta1. Construct a small plunge pool within the outlet apron. Riprop agrons must be level with or slightly lower than the reserving channel and should not produce an overfall or restrict flow of the water conveyance structure. Stabilize the embowinent and other disturbed areas with and much (anchored in place) or another suitable erosion resistant cover.
- resistant cover.
 13. Inspect within 24 hours of a rain event and at least once
- inspect within 24 nours of a rain event and at least once every seven days.
 Remove sediment when it has accumulated to one-half the design depth.
 Check the embankment for erosion and piping holes and repair beneficial.
- immediately. 16. Check pool area side slopes for erosion and repair
- Replace spilway aggregate facing is the sediment pool does not dewater with 48-72 hours following a storm water runoff

- not develop with 94-7.2 noves unwerny a manufacture event. 2001 Augustation and re if receasing a second second 19. Oncick the splittery depth periodically to ensure a minimum of lifet-depth from the lower point of the splittery crest, and fill ambodynamic to highest point of the splittery crest, and fill 20. Promptly regiones and splitter from, being credit that no stores in the splittery are above design grade. 21. After of distributed ereas have been stabilized, remove the adjoining areas, and stabilizes.

Increase, the three and selection, they due sources internet operation. Series of the selection of the selection. Selection of the selectio Exhibit 3.11-B. TEMPORARY SEEDING REQUIREMENTS Seed Species' Wheat or rye ting Depth Optimum dates** 9/15 to 10/30 Rate/acre Planting 1 to 1% in Spring oats Annual ryegrass 100 lbs 1 in. ¼ in. 3/1 to 4/15 40 Ibs. 3/1 to 5/1 8/1 to 9/1 German millet 40 lbs 1 to 2 in. 5/1 to 6/1 4/15 to 6/1 5/11 to 8/10 Buckwheat Corn (broadcast) 60 Ibs. 300 Ibs. 1 to 2 in. 1 to 2 in. *Perennial species may be used as a temporary cover, especially if the area to be seeded will remain idle for more than a ye **Seeding done outside the optimum dates increases the chances of seeding failure. 3.03 MAINTENANCE & INSPECTIONS

L Sit Fance.
In Sit Fance.
Sit Fance.
The aligne to procide a undersent storage rens.
2. Provide occess to the arcs for molthearce.
3. Locate siti fence outlet at location shown on the plans.
4. Locate baseline statistic storage rest and place a storage sto

Along the entire intension ferces line, dig an edght inch deep by four-inch wide trench.
 Install the silt inner with filter fabric located on the up-alogs also of the encouncils through and the support of the start of the start of the start of the start of the lightly stretching the lattice between the posts are acho divergent of the lower fabric start in the start of the filter fractic start and start in the first of the lower fabric start and start of the lower fabric start in the location of the the tower fabric start of the lower fabric start and start the tower fabric start of the lower fabric start in the lower fabric the tower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the start of the tower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the start of the tower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the start of the tower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the start of the tower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the start of the tower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the start of the tower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the start of the lower fabric start the tower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the start of the lower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the start of the lower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the lower fabric start of the lower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the lower fabric start of the lower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the lower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the lower fabric start is the lower fabric start of the lower fabric start is the lower fabric start is

the tranch and extend it loward be up-slope aids of the 15 Social the trace with transported earth or ground. 10 Engest the staft fence at least every serve days and within 24 hours after each starm event. 13. If fence fabric least, starts to decompose, or in any way the start of the start of the start of the shaft of the immediately. 14. Benow deposited sediment when it is causing the filter fabric to budge or sime it reaches that he shaft of the fabric to budge or sime it reaches that he shaft of the 15. Take care to and undermining the fence during clean act, encore the fance and safetimet deposits, bring the disturbed temporty. Benoting definition are the base stabilized, remoor the fance and safetimet deposits, bring the disturbed temporty.

66

Ś

NOT FOR

CONSTRUCTION

XX/XX/20XX

ET

3000 S. WALNUT BLOOMINGTON,

ELF REET 47401

S

ΞŪ

RA

δÓ

WALNUT STO

DK

6417 11°F13 06/24/2022 SWPP SPECS

2. Perform weahout of concrete trucks offsite or in designated areas only. For onsite weahout, a sign should be hattled adjusent to bue weahout (ability in binm connecte on of the following methods may be used: 1.) Lues of a desput sets of the weahout vester is treated before coming into contact with environment. 2.) Recycle wandow user bard bue contact with environment. 2.) Recycle wandow user bard bue contact with environment. 2.) Recycle wandow user bard bue contact with environment. 2.) Recycle wandow user bard bue contact with environment. 2.) Recycle wandow user bard bue contact with a set of the set on remember of the set of the set of the set on remember of the set of the set of the set on remember of the set of the set on remember of the set of the set

wones out into a barrier that is then removed from site 4.) Concrete Washout Inc. (www.concretewshoutcum) trucks wash out into a dumpster like system and then dry concrete is removed. Use of other methods may be used if approved by the local MS4 or Soil and Water Conservation District. Installation of Concrete Washout Facilities a. Perforbiocated or Design and Installed Systems are

acceptable. b. For prefabricated systems, install and locate according

b. For predictivitied systems, initial and locate according to manufacture recommendations. The encounter a pitt of the second systems, either encounter a pitt of the location of the second systems, the fining should extend to evolve the encounter systems. The fining should extend over the entire encounter systems, the fining should extend over the entire encounter, the profing area with enough natural to extend the fining be second with pins, studies or other takens. e. Place flags, askly fersing or equivalent to provide a barrier to cancellate, exceeds and other traffic. I. Initial sprage that identifies concrete weakout areas. effectively conference on the subjects to the second with the identifiest effectively conference.

p. Post signs directing contractors and supplies to designated locations. Nantemace of accorder evaluation to the second second recommendations for maintenance. In spect daily and after each concrete pour. C. Inspect the inserity of the overall structure including, where applicable, the containment system. In the system for leaks, splits and tracking of soil of the system for leaks, splits and tracking of soil.

by equipment. Inspect the polyethylene lining for follure, including tears and punctures. f. Once concrete wastes harden, remove and dispose of the material

g. Excess concrete should be removed when the washout system

g. Excess concrete should be removed when the walkout systemethes 50 percent of the design coupoils. Use of the system should be discontineed until appropriate measures can be initiated to clean the structure.
h. Report the structure on needed or construct a new system upon removed file solids.
I. Dispose of all concrete in a legal manner. Rause the matterial to size, received or the solids.
I. Dispose of all concrete in a legal manner. Rause the matterial to size the matterial to size the matterial to size the matterial to an intervent of the structure.

The picatic first should be replaced offer every cleaning.
 In the control is extended by splanm should be replaced of the control is extended by the should be replaced the control is extended by splanm and be cleaned. Dispose of all hordineal control and other models used to the control is extended by splanm and be cleaned. Dispose of all hordineal control is and the clustes or hopper replaced.
 Wandoord Procedure:
 Do not low excessive much the clustes or hopper reflar the para.
 De more sources have been and be the clustes of possible before waiting them.
 Remove as much much and possible when waiting out.
 De more takes that the explanem is the project shall.
 Solvents or acids that may be used of the torget plant.

SPILL CLEAR UP Expected construction materials on site may include vehicle lubricants, oils, vehicular fuels, concrete wash-outs, acids, curing compounds, paints, solvents, pesticides, herbicides,

Caring compositions, particle, softeness, prescubes, interfutions, fertilizers. Small spills and leaks of these moterials onto paved areas shall be showled into containers and disposed of in accordance with all Federal, State and Local regulations. Provide receptacles, a spill kit and instructions for use in breakdown situations. At a minimum, the spill kit should include showles, plastic

d) Jetti a both protocol in constructions and a set of the set

Office

4.02

E.

SPILL CLEAN LIP

3 MANTUNACE & HOSECTONS A. The generic contractor is responsible for inspection and determining that evolution control measures are installed as shown on the plans. Inspection of all stams water pollution prevention weekly basis and after every linkh raheful event. Records of inspections made and control measures taken shall be recorded and lengt in a location winer they may be made exclude to the statistical events and the record of the statistical based on the prosecution of the requested. B. Additional evaluation construction. Failure of the visit is constructed and statistical events and the statistical comunication construction. Failure of the device. Failure of any resolution of the visit results.

re-instabilitor of sold whole.
 A. When construction is completed on the area is stabilized, remove evaluation control measures no longer necessary in a moment end of the stability of the stability of the stability of Statistical or definition of the permitted to longer the site per the City UGO. Any sefemic that the tables the site per the UGO and adults be cleared as immediately.
 PART 4. Introduction of the stability of the City UGO. Any sefemic that the tables of the stability of the UGO and adults be cleared as immediately.
 PART 4. Introduction of the stability of the stability of potential polaritism shall take serve validable measure to prevent possible spin. White operations of all loss that and fluid changing stati take place offset a creas set up to prevent possible spin. White operations are on the provide white and fluid changing stati take place offset a creas set up to prevent possible spin. White operations are only the provide white and fluid changing stati take place offset and the stability or differentiation of the statism of the statism of the stability of considered the target on the statism of the statism of the considered the target operations are only the provide values and considered the target on the statism of the

Used ois, beit, entifereze oni other meterials may be considered hardwood and must be diposed of a gapored altex. For disposed like information contact the GRV at 658–233–745.
 Benow them to mit all for disposed of recepting is accordance with all referst. Stele and Local requirements.
 Darian of Iters when hot and disposed or explaining in accordance with all referst. Stele and Local requirements.
 Darian of Iters when hot and dispose of used filters can and greese table property. Daried metal can and filters can be Marinian di acquirement to make the stele of the stele table and the stele management procedures and practices are inglemented on construction projects where a concrete all and advisor acal from demotion

concrete dust and debris result from demol concrete dust and dehra result from emmune activities. b. Suries containing Portland cement concrete or alphat concrete ere generales, auch a from sea aufling, coring, denoting, growing, and hypor-concrete denotificat, or examble consiste. or examble consiste.



Repair surface as indicable in the Special Canditions.

Where necessary, banks may be cut back on slopes which shall not extend lower then 12 inches above the too if plet

TRIDNEH WALL Shall te 7[°] mitimum from the pile exch add. PIPE BEDOING 4[°] No. 11 store on root 6[°] No. 11 store on root 6[°] No. 11 store on root be no. 11 crushed store. All ever-rescueding shall be filed with no. 11 crushed store of Class D concrete.

TRENCH SAFETY SYSTEMG All trench work shall be in compliance with OSHA Port 26 of the lode of Federal Regulations.

Revised 2/11/2011 G.N. Revised 2/13/2006 T.A.

EDGES SAW CUT AND CEALED WITH ASPHALT SEALER

EXISTING ASPHALT

EXISTING BASE ON UNDISTRUBED SUB GRADE

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

XX/XX/20XX

WALNUT STREET SELF STORAGE 3000 S. WALNUT STREET BLOOMINGTON, IN 47401

DK

6417 SHEET 12°F13 06/24/2022 MISCELLANEOUS DETAILS



ōÔ(

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

XX/XX/20XX

WALNUT STREET SELF STORAGE 3000 S. WALNUT STREET BLOOMINGTON, IN 47401

DATE

ВΥ

ана страната и страна

May 27, 2022

VIEW OF BUILDING #2 & BUILDING #3 LOOKING WEST

STRAUSER DESIGN + BUILD, LLC







May 27, 2022

SOUTH WALNUT STORAGE



VIEW OF BUILDING #1 FROM WALNUT STREET



VIEW OF BUILDING #3 LOOKING SOUTHEAST



SOUTH WALNUT STORAGE 3000 S. WALNUT STREET








Page 1 of 5



MEMORANDUM

DATE: August 1st, 2022

TO: Ryan Strauser Strauser Construction Co., Inc.

FROM: Gerald Salzman Maria Berg

RE: Parking Study – Walnut Street Self-Storage, Bloomington, Indiana

Introduction

The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize the findings of a parking study conducted by DESMAN for the mixed-use development in Bloomington, Indiana. The development is located on the south side of Bloomington within the mixed-use corridor (MC) zoning district. The existing development consists of Force Fitness and Performance, a 10,085 SQFT fitness center with chiropractic rehabilitation services and 35 parking spaces. The proposed development includes three self-storage buildings that are 8,508 SQFT, 13,062 SQFT, and 16,537 SQFT in size, as well as an additional 25 parking spaces. This area of Bloomington is on the edge of the city limits and it is expected that most users of the development will drive a personal vehicle. **Figure 1** presents the development plan.



Figure 1: Fitness Center Peak Utilization by Month

Source: Strauser Construction Co., Inc.



Fitness Center Parking Demand

A parking analysis was conducted to determine the existing parking demand for the fitness center and chiropractic rehab. Data obtained from Force Fitness included a peak parking occupancy count, peak utilization data by month, and peak utilization data by hour. Parking utilization or occupancy represents the demand for parking during peak periods. The peak occupancy count and utilization data was based on current trends at the fitness center. Because the fitness center only operates on Saturdays from 8:00AM – 12:00PM and remains closed on Sundays, only the weekday occupancy was relevant to this study.

The data revealed a peak of 34 vehicles at the 6:00AM and 5:00PM hours during the peak month of January. To broaden the analysis, data on fitness centers from the Urban Land Institute's (ULI) publication of Shared Parking 3rd Edition was used to compare monthly trends. **Table 1** presents the peak utilization data by month and the demand associated with each.

Weekday					
Month	Fitness Ce	enter Data	ULI Data		
WOItti	Utilization	Demand	Utilization	Demand	
January	100%	34	100%	71	
February	95%	32	95%	67	
March	80%	27	85%	60	
April	80%	27	70%	50	
May	80%	27	65%	46	
June	70%	24	65%	46	
July	60%	20	65%	46	
August	90%	31	70%	50	
September	85%	29	80%	57	
October	80%	27	85%	60	
November	80%	27	85%	60	
December	70%	24	100%	71	

Table 1: Fitness Center Peak Utilization by Month

Source: Force Fitness and Performance, Bloomington; Urban Land Institute Shared Parking 3rd Edition

As presented in Table 1, the peak count of 34 vehicles in January reflects the peak month, highlighted in red. Every month thereafter is calculated to represent a percentage of this peak, when utilization of the fitness center is lower. The ULI data on fitness centers appeared to be similar in terms of monthly utilization percentages. However, the ULI estimates the number of vehicles to peak at 71 rather than 34, as compared to Force Fitness' data.

To understand the peak time of day, the Institute of Transportation Engineer's (ITE) publication of the Parking Generation Manual 5th Edition was used for comparison in addition to Force Fitness' data and the ULI data. Based on multiple studies of urban/suburban fitness centers, the ITE recommends a parking ratio of 4.73 vehicles per 1,000 SQFT GFA. The ULI recommends a ratio of 7.00. As part of the hard data collection provided by Force Fitness, an additional overlap occurs during the transition period between fitness classes. Patrons are arriving for a fitness class overlap with patrons finishing a fitness class, resulting in a 25% vehicle influx for a period of 15-20 minutes. **Table 2** presents the calculations and comparisons for the peak utilization by hour during the peak month.



10:00PM

Weekday							
Fitness Center Data		ULI Data		ITE Data			
Time	Utilization	Demand	Overlap	Utilization	Demand	Utilization	Demand
6:00AM	100%	34	43	70%	50	0%	0
7:00AM	80%	27	34	40%	28	0%	0
8:00AM	70%	24	30	40%	28	0%	0
9:00AM	90%	31	38	70%	50	0%	0
10:00AM	70%	24	30	70%	50	62%	30
11:00AM	70%	24	30	80%	57	55%	26
12:00PM	40%	14	17	60%	43	44%	21
1:00PM	40%	14	17	70%	50	41%	20
2:00PM	40%	14	17	70%	50	36%	17
3:00PM	70%	24	30	70%	50	41%	20
4:00PM	70%	24	30	80%	57	69%	33
5:00PM	100%	34	43	90%	64	96%	46
6:00PM	85%	29	36	100%	71	100%	48
7:00PM	20%	7	9	90%	64	85%	41
8:00PM	0%	0	0	80%	57	0%	0
9:00PM	0%	0	0	70%	50	0%	0

Table 2: Fitness Center Peak Utilization by Hour

Source: Force Fitness and Performance, Bloomington; Urban Land Institute Shared Parking 3rd Edition; Institute of Traffic Engineers Parking Generation 5th Edition

0

35%

0%

25

0

As presented in Table 2, Force Fitness' data shows that the peak of 34 vehicles occurs during the 6:00AM and 5:00PM hours. The overlap, or the 25% vehicle influx as a result of the fitness class transition period, produces 43 vehicles at its peak time of day. At present, the development is stressed for parking with 35 spaces, and according to Force Fitness, patrons park their vehicles in the grass along the drive entrance when the lot is over capacity. This grass area will be replaced by the proposed development of self-storage units.

The monthly utilization percentages provided by Force Fitness was generally consistent with that of the Urban Land Institute (ULI). Slight variations in the monthly utilization for Force Fitness reflect the nature of this particular fitness center and its chiropractic rehabilitation services. However, the actual demand numbers were slightly lower than the ITE projected demand of 48 vehicles, and significantly lower than the ULI projected demand of 71 vehicles. It is possible that the current number of parking spaces may be limiting customer capacity at this facility, given that pedestrian infrastructure is inconsistent along S Walnut Street and patients seeking rehabilitation services are more likely to drive a vehicle to their destination.

Self-Storage Building Parking Demand

0%

0

The proposed development includes three self-storage buildings at 8,508 SQFT, 13,062 SQFT, and 16,537 SQFT in size, with an additional 25 parking spaces as well. The individual storage units do not each have external garage door access. Rather, one communal garage door with a loading zone is provided to access



the indoor facility at each building. The ITE suggests a parking ratio of 0.10 per 1,000 SQFT GFA for these facilities, and also provides hourly utilization trends. **Table 3** presents the parking demand for the storage facility across all three buildings.

Weekday				
Time	SQFT	Ratio	Utilization	Demand
6:00AM	38,107	0.10	0%	0
7:00AM	38,107	0.10	0%	0
8:00AM	38,107	0.10	14%	1
9:00AM	38,107	0.10	71%	3
10:00AM	38,107	0.10	50%	2
11:00AM	38,107	0.10	79%	3
12:00PM	38,107	0.10	57%	2
1:00PM	38,107	0.10	64%	2
2:00PM	38,107	0.10	64%	2
3:00PM	38,107	0.10	79%	3
4:00PM	38,107	0.10	71%	3
5:00PM	38,107	0.10	100%	4
6:00PM	38,107	0.10	14%	1
7:00PM	38,107	0.10	0%	0
8:00PM	38,107	0.10	0%	0
9:00PM	38,107	0.10	0%	0
10:00PM	38,107	0.10	0%	0

Table 3: Self-Storage Building Peak Utilization by Hour

Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual

As presented in Table 3, the peak hour utilization occurs at 5:00PM with a demand for four parking spaces. The peak for the storage facility occurs at the same peak hour for the fitness center.

Projected Peak Demand

Parking utilization trends along with projections for future growth were used to estimate the future parking demand trends in the development. Generally, a parking facility for a mixed-use development is perceived by its users to be at full operational (effective) *practical capacity* when occupancy levels reach 90%. Once this rate is exceeded, potential parkers find it difficult to locate open spaces and are more likely to continue to search for an available space, creating traffic flow problems, frustrating drivers, and ultimately leading them to park elsewhere.

In addition to the practical capacity, *shared parking* was considered for this development. Shared parking is a tool through which adjacent property owners share their parking lots and reduce the number of parking spaces that each would provide on their individual properties. However, the utilization trends in the previous sections revealed that the peak hour for both the fitness center and storage building occur at 5:00PM. For this reason, shared parking cannot be relied on. Rather, the on-site parking should accommodate the peak overlap for the fitness center and peak demand for the storage building. **Table 4** presented the projected peak demand for the development.



Table 4: Projected Peak Demand

Land Use	Size	Unit	Peak Demand	Peak Overlap
Fitness Center	10,085	SQFT	34	43
Storage Buildings	38,107	SQFT	4	4
Total			38	47

Prepared by DESMAN

As presented in Table 4, a total of 47 parking spaces are needed during the peak month and hour to accommodate users of the development. The proposed plans have a summation of 60 spaces in the development, which will satisfy the parking demand and potentially attract new customers to the development.

Unified Development Ordinance

The Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) governs land use and development throughout the City of Bloomington. The UDO provides a calculation for the maximum number of parking spaces permitted for each land use. Stated in Chapter 20.04.060 Parking and Loading, a self-service storage unit is allowed 2.85 parking spaces per 1000 SQFT GFA of indoor retail space. With 250 SQFT of indoor retail in the main storage building, and 100 SQFT of indoor retail in the remaining two buildings, the number of permitted parking spaces is 13. A large fitness center is permitted to have 2.50 parking spaces per 1000 SQFT GFA, equating to 25 parking spaces for Force Fitness. Together, a maximum of 38 spaces are permitted for the development.

The effectiveness of the UDO can be seen throughout downtown Bloomington—a high-transit, densely populated area relative to the location of the proposed development on S Walnut Street. Generally, reliable public transportation and pedestrian networks increase accessibility and eliminate the barrier to entry. While this area is serviced by Bus Route 1, the pedestrian sidewalks are fragmented. Another consideration is the nature of the two particular land uses, where it is less common for patrons of a storage unit to arrive on foot or public transit, and similarly with the fitness center where chiropractic rehabilitation services are provided.

Conclusion

The proposed mixed-use development includes three self-storage buildings with a cumulative square footage of 38,107 SQFT and 25 parking spaces. The existing development includes a 10,085 SQFT fitness center and chiropractic rehabilitation services with 35 parking spaces. At present, the parking for the existing development is over-capacity with 43 vehicles competing for space during class transition periods. While the data from Force Fitness was relied on for our calculations, the ULI and ITE both project higher demands, suggesting that the existing parking may be inhibiting customers from using the development.

The peak demand for the storage facility is projected at four vehicles and occurs at the same peak hour of the fitness center (5:00PM). The projected demand for the mixed-use development is 47 parking spaces. The proposed 25 spaces in addition to the existing 35 spaces will satisfy this demand, and potentially more as the businesses grow. Due to the low-density of this area of Bloomington, the UDO parking maximum should be reconsidered at this location to allow for customers to access the development.

BLOOMINGTON PLAN COMMISSION STAFF REPORT DA LOCATION: Northeast corner of W Fullerton Pike and S State Road 37

PETITIONER:	Monroe County Government
	100 W. Kirkwood Avenue, Bloomington

REQUEST: The petitioner is requesting a map amendment (rezone) of approximately 87.12 acres from Mixed-Use Employment (ME) to Mixed-Use Institutional (MI) for the purpose of building a new jail facility.

BACKGROUND:	
Area:	87.12 acres
Current Zoning:	Mixed-Use Employment (ME)
Comp Plan Designation:	Employment Center / I-69 and Interchange Focus Area
Existing Land Use:	Vacant
Proposed Land Use:	Jail or Detention Facility
Surrounding Uses:	North – Vacant / Old Quarry
	South – Highway Interchange / Dwelling, Single-family
	(detached)
	East – Quarry
	West – Highway Interchange / Dwelling, Single-family

REPORT: The petition site is 87.12 acres and is one parcel that is located at the northeast corner of the intersection of West Fullerton Pike and South State Road 37 / Interstate 69. The property is zoned Mixed-Use Employment (ME). The property is currently undeveloped. The property was previously zoned Planned Unit Development (PUD) and received approval to sell its top soil for the I-69 corridor project. The property was subsequently reseeded and vegetation exists where the topsoil was removed. The property immediately to the north is also zoned ME and is currently vacant. Surrounding land uses include other commercial offices and manufacturing buildings. Property to the northeast and east is zoned Quarry (Q), is outside of the corporation boundaries, and contains a previously used quarry (northeast) and an active quarry (east). Property to the south is zoned Limited Business (LB) and Agriculture/Rural Reserve (AG/RR), is located outside of the corporation boundary, and appears to contain single-family residences. State Road 37 / Interstate 69 is to the west, with property immediately to the west being zoned Limited Industrial (IL) at the interchange, with the remainder as Single Dwelling Res 3.5 (RS 3.5) which is a large single-family residential development.

The petitioner is in conversation with the property owner and is planning to purchase the property in order to relocate the existing Monroe County Jail facilities to this location. The petitioner has provided that at least 25 acres are needed for the new jail facility and that, though no details have been determined at this time, additional acreage will likely be needed for supportive services that may be moved to be in close proximity to a new jail location.

The petition site is currently zoned ME, which does not allow for the use 'Jail or Detention Facility'. The use is limited in the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO), the zoning code, to either the Mixed-Use Institutional (MI) or Employment (EM) zoning districts. The use is 'Conditional' in both MI and EM. The petitioner is requesting a map amendment to rezone the property to MI.

CASE #: ZO-40-22 DATE: October 10, 2022

The Department has identified a number of areas of concern related to the request, and has communicated those to the petitioner. Responses can be seen in the attached letter from the Monroe County Attorney's office dated August 29, 2022. The Plan Commission, and ultimately the Common Council, will need to weigh a number of factors when analyzing this request, including the areas of concern identified by the Department, which are discussed briefly below. The largest issue, compliance with the Comprehensive Plan, is discussed in more detail in the next section.

<u>Environmental Site Considerations</u>: The site contains large amounts of closed canopy tree areas along the eastern portion of the site, which will need to be preserved with any development. There are also some low-lying areas and karst features. A previous approval at the site has outlined in some detail the areas that require preservation. The petitioner has stated that no disturbance of those areas is envisioned at this time. Additionally, the Department has heard from neighboring property owners with concerns about stormwater that leaves this site now and how that will change and be addressed in future development. The site was also used as an I-69 soil borrow site and may have issues in the future where top soil will need to be replaced on the site in order for required landscaping to be achieved.

<u>Access</u>: The site will derive vehicular access from West Fullerton Pike. The Transportation Plan includes a roadway connection on the west side of the property to connect to the north. A map amendment does not automatically require right-of-way dedication for that roadway, however, the petitioners have been made aware that any future subdivision will trigger dedication and development of that roadway.

There is no transit service adjacent to the site. Bloomington Transit has indicated that no future transit service is planned adjacent to the site. While the map amendment does not raise immediate concerns about transit access for the site, approving a map amendment in order to allow for the particular use, 'jail or detention facility', when no transit access is planned, raises concerns. The petitioner has indicated that they plan to have discussions with Bloomington Transit about future connections.

<u>Site Design</u>: No site plan is required for approval of a map amendment. However, in the case where a map amendment is being requested in order to allow for a particular use, and for one as potentially impactful as a 'jail or detention facility' requiring at least 25 acres for development, a site design may be useful for decision makers to weigh potential impacts. The petitioner is currently working on analysis of the site and does not have a site design to share.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN: The Comprehensive Plan designates this site as 'Employment Center' and it is also part of the 'I-69 and Interchange' Focus Area. The Comprehensive Plan envisions that Employment Centers will allow "Bloomington to keep pace with the changing economy – the main purpose of the district." The Department has concerns about whether or not the rezone is supporting this basic goal of the Employment Center area, as the current zoning, ME, seems to support this goal. MI limits the number and type of uses are the site, and the particular use desired by the petitioner further limits the site in meeting the purpose of Employment Center.

The site is in the 'Employment Center' designation in the Comprehensive plan, as well as part of the 'I-69 and Interchange' Focus Area. The Employment Center description lists the following:

• Professional and business offices, light assembly plants, flex-tenant facilities, and research and development centers.

- Mix of office and light/high-tech manufacturing uses that provide quality employment opportunities.
- Good access to main thoroughfares and transit service

While MI can provide opportunity for the first and second bullet points above, the Department is concerned that rezoning the property for the specific intent of building a large jail does not support these outcomes of the Employment Center designation. Additionally, the existing zoning, ME, may encourage more of the types of uses desired than the MI district. For the third point, West Fullerton Pike offers excellent vehicular access, however, there is currently no transit to this location. The Department encouraged the petitioners to meet with Bloomington Transit to discuss possible future service. There is no planned service at this time.

The Interchange guidance in the Comprehensive Plan lists the following:

- Offer key opportunities as premier entry points into Bloomington.
- While serving regional employment and commercial interests, the overarching context along the corridor should convey a sense of arrival in Bloomington.
- The interchanges must welcome and invite everyone to access the whole community and not simply provide a generic respite along an interstate highway.

The MI zoning district may offer opportunity for these goals to be met. However, the proposed use, jail or detention facility, for which the rezone is sought, raises questions about how the area can be developed to be a premier entry point into Bloomington, as well as how it will serve regional employment and commercial interests. The goal for development of the interchanges is to invite users into the community, and the Department is concerned about rezoning the property in order to allow a 25 acre jail site on an 80+ acre parcel will accommodate that goal.

The Transform theme is recommended for the development of this area in the Comprehensive Plan and says the following:

• Commercial areas to serve the office, research, and light-manufacturing base The MI zoning district could allow for some uses that would satisfy this description, but arguably not more than the ME district. And the desired use, jail or detention center, does not support this. Additionally, MI has no 'Retail Sales Uses' allowed in the Allowed Use Table (Table 3-1) of the Unified Development Ordinance, and the use 'restaurant' is only allowed as an accessory use. The MI zoning district is not designed to allow supportive commercial services.

Zoning Map Amendment: The Plan Commission shall review the zoning map amendment petition and shall forward its recommendation to the Common Council in accordance with Section 20.06.040(g) (Review and Decision), based on the approval criteria in Section 20.06.040(d)(6) Approval Criteria) and the following specific approval criteria:

20.06.040(d)(6)(B) General Compliance Criteria

- i. Compliance with this UDO
- ii. Compliance with Other Applicable Regulations
- iii. Compliance with Utility, Service, and Improvement Standards
- iv. Compliance with Prior Approvals

20.06.040(d)(6)(D) Additional Criteria Applicable to Primary Plats and Zoning Map Amendments (Including PUDs)

- i. Consistency with Comprehensive Plan and Other Applicable Plans
- ii. Consistent with Intergovernmental Agreements

- iii. Minimization or Mitigation of Adverse Impacts
- iv. Adequacy of Road Systems
- v. Provides Adequate Public Services and Facilities
- vi. Rational Phasing Plan

20.06.070(b)(3)(E)(i)(1) Specific Approval Criteria:

- [a] The recommendations of the Comprehensive Plan;
- [b] Current conditions and character of structures and uses in each zoning district;
- [c] The most desirable use for which the land in each zoning district is adapted;
- [d] The conservation of sensitive environmental features;
- [e] The conservation of property values throughout the jurisdiction; and
- [f] Responsible development and growth.

CONCLUSION: The Department has concerns about the map amendment request, and how the request aligns with the Comprehensive Plan, as well as the implications of the desired use and its lack of transit access at this location. This petition is required to be heard at two hearings by the Plan Commission, and no waiver of second hearing was requested by the petitioner.

RECOMMENDATION: The Department recommends the Plan Commission continue ZO-40-22 to the required second hearing in November 2022.







OFFICE OF MONROE COUNTY ATTORNEY 100 W. Kirkwood Avenue, Room 220 Bloomington, Indiana 47404 Telephone: (812) 349-2525 Facsimile: (812) 349-2982 E-mail: legal@co.monroe.in.us DAVID B. SCHILLING E. JEFF COCKERILL LEE F. BAKER MOLLY TURNER-KING

July 28, 2022

City of Bloomington Planning Department 301 N. Morton Street Bloomington, IN 47404

Re: Rezone Request for parcel 53-08-18-300-001.000-009.

Dear Planning Department Staff and Plan Commission Members:

Monroe County is requesting to rezone parcel 53-08-18-300-001.000-009 from ME, Mix-use Employment, to MI, Mixed-use Institutional. This 87.12 acre property is vacant land that is located on Fullerton Pike, bordered by I-69, and is in close proximity to Monroe Hospital. The reason for this request is that an expected use of the property is for the new County Jail facility.

Monroe County Government is required to operate and maintain a Jail, which is not allowed in the ME zone, but is a conditional use in a MI zone. The current jail facility has been operating under a Federal Order regarding its condition since 2009, and in 2019 the County Commissioned a study of the Criminal Justice System, which included the Jail facility. The study showed that the County is not meeting basic constitutional standards, and certainly are not meeting community standards for corrections concerns. In addition, the consultants looked at gaps in our community that lead to incarceration and recommendations to help. The studies can be found here: https://www.co.monroe.in.us/department/board.php?structureid=178

When relocating the jail, considerations about which related Justice components of the County will need to be relocated need to occur. While no decisions have been made, the potential use would include all of our current justice related functions, including the Courts, Probation, and Community Corrections, Prosecutor, and Public Defender space. In addition, other supportive facilities, such as those described in the reports, may be desired for this area. Other than the Jail use, the remaining uses appear to be consistent with the ME and MI designations.

The reconstruction and connection of Fullerton Pike as an east-west vehicular traffic corridor is scheduled to be completed in 2025. This project provides both roadway and multiuse trail access to the site and will include a connection to the Clear Creek Trail.

The County reviewed many sites utilizing the following criteria, accessible, minimum size of 40 acres, buffers to residential neighborhoods, and prioritized areas within the City Limits to allow the potential for Bloomington Transit service. This was the space that best fit the needs of the County.

Sincerely, Jeff Cockerill



INNOVATIVE IDEAS EXCEPTIONAL DESIGN UNMATCHED CLIENT SERVICE

PROJECT MEMORANDUM

August 1, 2022
Jeff Cockerill, Monroe County Attorney
Jeff Hirsch, PLA, LEED AP
New Monroe County Correctional Facility – Anticipated Site Acreage

When selecting a site for a new correctional facility, multiple factors should be considered for determining the acreage necessary to support the development.

Common factors to be considered include:

- Building footprint square footages (main correctional facility, auxiliary buildings such as lawn maintenance storage, fleet maintenance, and ancillary support spaces such as mechanical yard(s), photovoltaic fields, etc.).
 - A one operational level correctional facility is suggested versus a multi-operational level facility due to being more efficient to operate and staff. A one operational level facility building footprint square footage is larger than a multi-level facility.
- Required visitor parking
- Required staff parking including shift change
- Anti- Terrorism Force Protection (ATFP) standoff distance recommendations for perimeter security
- Additional equipment storage (trailers, specialty tactical vehicles, etc.)
- Local zoning setbacks, required buffer yards, landscaping requirements, etc.
- Local drainage requirements for stormwater management and retention/detention
- Secured outdoor recreation area / evacuation yard
- Vehicular circulation / access for tractor trailers, fire department, trash/recycling trucks, transportation vehicles/buses, etc.

In addition to the above, DLZ further considers <u>future expansion</u> of the facility and the associated increased accommodations of parking, stormwater detention, etc.



INNOVATIVE IDEAS EXCEPTIONAL DESIGN UNMATCHED CLIENT SERVICE New Monroe County Correctional Facility Anticipated Site Acreage Page 2 of 2

A site of at least 25 acres should adequately support the anticipated size of the new Monroe County Correctional Facility. Accommodations of possible additional future building facilities to accommodate Courts, Community Corrections/Work Release, Juvenile Detention, Diversion Center, Treatment Facility, etc. will require additional acreage - above the recommended 25 minimum acres for the Correctional Facility.

Copy: Laurie Johnson, PE, Eric Ratts, AIA, NCARB, Scott Carnegie, AAIA - DLZ



OFFICE OF MONROE COUNTY ATTORNEY 100 W. Kirkwood Avenue, Room 220 Bloomington, Indiana 47404 Telephone: (812) 349-2525 Facsimile: (812) 349-2982 E-mail: legal@co.monroe.in.us

DAVID B. SCHILLING E. JEFF COCKERILL LEE F. BAKER MOLLY TURNER-KING

August 29, 2022

City of Bloomington Planning Department 301 N. Morton Street Bloomington, IN 47404

Re: Rezone Request for parcel 53-08-18-300-001.000-009.

Dear Planning Department Staff and Plan Commission Members:

Thank you for your questions, I have included your information and questions in this response.

1. Comprehensive Plan | Employment and Interchanges The Employment description includes

the following:

Professional and business offices, light assembly plants, flex-tenant facilities, and research and development centers.

Good access to main thoroughfares and transit service

Mix of office and light/high-tech manufacturing uses that provide quality employment opportunities

Using the Transform Theme

Commercial areas to serve the office, research, and light-manufacturing base

The section of the Comprehensive Plan that discussed interchanges includes the following:

Offer key opportunities as premier entry points into Bloomington.

While serving regional employment and commercial interests, the overarching context along the corridor should convey a sense of arrival in Bloomington.

The interchanges must welcome and invite everyone to access the whole community and not simply provide a generic respite along an interstate highway.

How is the project supporting the intent and goals from the Comprehensive Plan, as listed above?

RESPONSE: This project supports the intent and goals of the Comprehensive Plan in a number of ways. Governmental Buildings, such as a Jail, and other Justice related services

by their nature invite access to the whole community and are far from "a generic respite along an interstate highway." The purpose of the use is the embodiment of the Transform Theme, this facility is expected to deviate from the norm of warehousing inmates, it is to provide much needed services and programs to allow positive change to those who enter the system. The County is exploring other functions for this site to support not only those who have entered to the system, but to serve those who are on a path that has, historically, ended in interactions with the Law Enforcement. Jails have long been the place where those with mental illness, including substance abuse disorder have been housed. The County is a partner to those who wish to see this end, and in doing so will truly create a premier entry point into the City. This use serves not only regional employment and commercial interests, but civic interests as well, by adding to the workforce, increasing public safety, and increasing the quality of life for community members.

At this point, it is difficult to accurately answer the types of employment that will be on site, but it fairly safe to say that there will be professionals working on the site, but highly doubtful that any manufacturing will occur. However, this projects supports many aspects of the vision statement found on Page 14 of the Comprehensive Plan, particularly the following:

1. Fortify our strong commitment to equality, acceptance, openness, and public engagement

- 2. Deliver efficient, responsive, and forward-thinking local government services
- 3. Meet basic needs and ensure self-sufficiency for all residents
- 4. Fortify our progress toward improving public safety and civility

8. Offer a wide variety of excellent educational opportunities for our residents at every stage of life

2. Road Connection

The Transportation Plan shows a road connection on this property (Neighborhood Connector). We cannot compel right-of-way (ROW) dedication for new ROW with a map amendment.

However any future subdivision will require ROW dedication and construction. Nothing should be built or designed or used as preservation in those areas.

Has site design been done with no disturbance to that area in consideration?

RESPONSE: Site design has not occurred at this point. As far as dedicated right of way is concerned, the County does intend to build a roadway that will accommodate law enforcement and public safety personnel as well as the general public. At this time, the County is not seeking a subdivision, the Site design will take into account the transportation plan's neighborhood connector.

Environmental Site Considerations

There is quite a bit of closed canopy on the site and possibly other environmental features that cannot be disturbed.

The owner has sold much of his topsoil for development of I-69. Future Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) compliance cannot be varied because of this self-created hardship. All

landscaping will be required as the site(s) develop.

Will you have enough area for current and potential future development without disturbance? Have you done a site assessment?

RESPONSE: The County has contracted with DLZ for a site assessment of the property, it should be completed prior to the Plan Commission meeting. In addition the County has contracted for two Phase 1 environmental reviews. In addition, a Phase 2 review has been contracted for, a site reconnaissance review and a Wetland and Jurisdictional water study. One of the attractive feature of this property is the wooded buffer and the County has no intention, at this point, to disturb that area.

Bloomington Transit

You have indicated that you plan to work with Bloomington Transit on access to this site.

Have those discussions occurred?

RESPONSE: No, those discussions have not occured, however, the County has reached out to begin the conversation.

Site Plan

It is very likely that Common Council and/or the Plan Commission will expect some sort of site plan to get an idea of what is planned for the site.

Will you have such a plan before the September Plan Commission hearing?

RESPONSE: No, the County is asking for rezone of the property to determine if it is appropriate to move forward with design for this site. County Officials' are in the process of touring other facilities to help inform the site design.

Let me know if you have any further questions.

Sincerely,

2fl Coch U

Jeff Cockerill