CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

PLAN COMMISSION

February 6, 2023 5:30 p.m. Council Chambers, Room #115 Hybrid Zoom Link:

https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/81821286059?pwd=MTVySTZRWX ZoQkxQb3lU0EsvTTN0dz09

Meeting ID: 818 2128 6059 Passcode: 640465

CITY OF BLOOMINGTON PLAN COMMISSION (Hybrid Meeting) City Council Chambers – Room #115 February 6, 2023 at 5:30 p.m.

Virtual Link:

https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/81821286059?pwd=MTVySTZRWXZoQkxQb3IUOEsvTTN 0dz09

Meeting ID: 818 2128 6059 Passcode: 640465

Petition Map: <u>https://arcg.is/15qna8</u>

ROLL CALL

MINUTES TO BE APPROVED: January 9, 2023

REPORTS, RESOLUTIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS:

Appointments

PETITIONS TABLED:

SP-24-22 Cutters Kirkwood 123 LLC 115 E Kirkwood Ave Parcel: 53-05-33-310-062.000-005 Request: Major site plan approval to construct a 4-story building with 3 floors of residential units over a ground floor parking garage and retail space in the MD-CS zoning district. The upper floors will consist of 15 dwelling units for a total of 38 beds. <u>Case Manager: Karina Pazos</u>

PETITIONS CONTINUED TO: March 6, 2023

DP-53-22 Robert Lee & Mariam Ehteshami 1225 E Maxwell Lane Parcel: 53-08-04-100-071.000-009 Request: Primary Plat approval to allow a two-lot subdivision of 0.4 acres in the Residential Small Lot (R3) zoning district. Case Manager: Gabriel Holbrow

PETITIONS:

PUD/DP-24-21 Robert V Shaw

N Prow Road: 3500 block of N Hackberry Street Parcel: 53-05-20-200-013.025-005, 53-05-20-200-013.026-005, … Request: Petitioner requests Final Plan and Preliminary Plat amendment for Ridgefield PUD and Subdivision Section V. <u>Case Manager: Jackie Scanlan</u>

**Next Meeting March 6, 2023

Last Updated: 2/3/2023

Auxiliary aids for people with disabilities are available upon request with adequate notice. Please call <u>812-349-3429</u> or e-mail <u>human.rights@bloomington.in.gov</u>.

BLOOMINGTON PLAN COMMISSION STAFF REPORT Location: N. Prow Road; 3500 block of N. Hackberry Street

PETITIONER:	Robert V. Shaw 2005 S Rogers St #59 Bloomington, IN
CONSULTANTS:	William Riggert Bledsoe, Riggert, James, and Cooper 1351 W Tapp Rd Bloomington, IN

REQUEST: The petitioner is requesting a final plan amendment and a primary plat amendment for Ridgefield PUD and Subdivision Section V.

Area:	8.57 acres
Current Zoning:	Planned Unit Development
Comprehensive Plan	Neighborhood Residential
Designation:	
Existing Land Use:	Vacant
Proposed Land Use:	Dwelling, Single Family & Dwelling, Duplex
Surrounding Uses:	North – Dwelling, Single-Family
	West – Dwelling, Single-Family & State Road 37 / Interstate 69
	East – Dwelling, Single-Family & Park
	South – School

CHANGES SINCE DECEMBER 2022 HEARING: The petitioner is proposing to remove the pedestrian connection on the southeast portion of the site to Bloomington High School North to the south, after consultation with the School Corporation. The Department is amenable to this change, as the topography in the previously approved location is quite steep.

The issue of required subdivision waivers was discussed extensively at the last hearing. As a reminder, the petitioner received PUD Final Plan and Primary (Preliminary) Plat approval for Section V in 2008 with case number PUD-47-07. The petitioner did not go forward with building the entirety of Section V. Though the petitioner made changes to the design from PUD-47-07, such as number and location of lots and location of the tree preservation easement, the petitioner did not want to change amend the non-compliant road design. The Plan Commission asked to see a drawing of code-compliant road design. The petitioner submitted a conceptual site plan showing alternative vehicular access design for parcels in the southwest and southeast corners of the petition site. In the southwest corner, the eyebrow was removed, and a shared driveway is proposed for lots 41, 42, and 35. In the southeast corner, the cul-de-sac was removed, and each unit was given a separate driveway. The conceptual design contains the same number of dwelling units as the design that contains the eyebrow and cul-de-sac. The petitioner continues to request the original design, which requires subdivision waivers to be approved, as neither eyebrows nor culs-de-sac are allowed in the Unified Development Ordinance. The Department believes that the subdivision waivers should not be approved, and that the alternative design submitted by the petitioner should be approved, with a limit on the number of driveways, as shown in the attached exhibit.

Of note, the petitioner is also working with Engineering staff to address other concerns raised in the December 2022 hearing related to safe pedestrian ramp design. A couple of those issues might require slight changes in the property lines of the adjacent parcels. The Department has spoken to

CASE #: PUD/DP-24-21

DATE: February 6, 2023

the petitioner's representative, and it is understood that significant changes may require a re-filing of the primary plat. They elected to go forward.

The petitioner has agreed to direct construction traffic to enter the site from the west. This has been done on previous petitions with posted signage, and a condition of approval has been added to that effect.

There were questions raised at the hearing about drainage to the neighborhood to the east. The petitioner did not submit anything specifically addressing that question. However, City Departments, as well as City of Bloomington Utilities will review a grading permit before this site is constructed, and work with the petitioner to minimize negative drainage impact on surrounding properties.

REPORT (*new or amended information since December bolded and italicized*): The property is located on the east side of N. Prow Road, just north of Bloomington High School North. This petition site is part of the wider Ridgefield PUD, and is the last uncompleted portion of the PUD and subdivision. This proposal is for the section of Ridgefield that would connect N. Hackberry Street to N. Wintersweet Drive in Section V. Property to the north of Section V contains Meadows Hospital, property to the east contains existing portions of the Ridgefield subdivision, property to the west across N. Prow Road contains existing single-family homes, and Bloomington High School North (BHSN) is immediately to the south.

The site received original PUD approval in 1994. The petitioner received PUD Final Plan and Primary (Preliminary) Plat approval for Section V in 2008 with case number PUD-47-07. The petitioner received Secondary (Final) Plat approval for Phase I of Section V in 2009 with case PUD-08-09 and that portion has been platted. The petitioner has built 14 homes in the 25-lot Phase I area since that time.

The remaining area that is undeveloped contained 38 units in the 2008 petition. It now contains 30 units, a mix of single-family dwellings and duplex units.

The Planning and Transportation Department contacted the petitioner in late 2020 with a number of issues that needed to be resolved at the site.

The grading permit for this location expired in 2018, and the associated erosion control bond was also expired. While most of the grading on site was completed, the Department received complaints that there was earth-moving at the site that exceeded the threshold for the requirement of a grading permit. The Department confirmed that earth movement had occurred with no grading permit.

The Department did an analysis of the built portions of Section V and identified deficiencies. Those included street tree issues, as well as a number of issues with the construction of the public sidewalks. The petitioner was given a list of deficiencies in December 2020.

The petitioner's 2008 Final Plan is expired. A grading permit cannot be obtained in a PUD until there is an approved Final Plan. Additionally, the petitioner's 2008 Primary (Preliminary) Plat for those portions that are unbuilt is expired. A new approval is required, so that a Secondary (Final) Plat can be approved and the lots created.

The petitioner started work on the identified sidewalk deficiencies in 2022 without a newly approved plan from the Engineering Department. A proposal to correct the deficiencies was required and received on November 28, 2022. Engineering staff has reviewed the proposal and conveyed comments to the petitioner.

The petitioner is requesting PUD Final Plan approval for the unbuilt portions of Ridgefield PUD Section V, as well as a Primary (Preliminary) Plat Amendment to the existing Subdivision Plat for Ridgefield PUD Section V. The changes from the original 1994 approval and the currently proposed petition are substantial, and therefore, the petitioner must meet a number of current Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) code requirements. Two hearing are required for the subdivision petition because waivers are required for the desired design.

FINAL PLAN AMENDMENT and PRIMARY PLAT AMEMDMENT:

Parcel Development Standards: The Ridgefield PUD permits 5,000 square foot lot minimums, with 20 foot front yard setback minimums. Side yard setbacks are a minimum of 6 feet, with a combined setback of 15 feet, regardless of stories. Lot width is referred to as 'typically 50 feet' and some lots under 50 feet have been allowed in the PUD. The petitioner has re-arranged the parcels from the 2008 petition, and removed 8 units from the proposal. The dimensional standards shown for the developable lots in the Final Plan amendment are compliant with the Outline/Preliminary Plan of the PUD.

'Tracts 1 and 2' shown south of Lots 13 and 14 are not separate tracts, but were added to Lots 13 and 14 through a lot line adjustment (C17-359), which do not create new parcels. The secondary plat will need to be corrected to reflect that. A condition of approval has been added.

It appears that the areas surrounding the paired homes are common area lots. They need to be noted as such. A condition of approval has been added.

Road Design: The petitioner has elected to largely utilize the 2008 designed primary plat for this petition related to road design, though there are a number of items that differ from the required current UDO standards. The first is the width of the right-of-way. The other portions of this PUD utilize a 50 foot right-of-way, as was standard in 2008 for this type of road, but the current UDO requires 60 feet of right-of-way, via the Transportation Plan requirements. The petitioner has worked with the City Engineer on the design of the roadway, and although the width of the right-of-way will not meet UDO standards, the width of the pavement will, at 28 feet. The Transportation Plan allows for the Department Director to approve the 50 foot width.

The UDO does not allow for culs-de-sac or eyebrow design. The petitioner proposes to leave both the eyebrow shown at the southwest portion of the development and the new cul-de-sac, Bradley Court, at the southeast portion of the development. The petitioner may be able to re-design the site to meet current code, but would like to utilize the previously approved design to maximize the number of parcels without a re-design. The Department recommends that the Plan Commission does not approve the design request, and asks for a re-design before the second required hearing in January. *After the December 2022 hearing, the petitioner has shown that a design is possible.* The roads in Section V are intended to be public, which means the maintenance responsibility will be the City's once the roads are built to City standards. Culs-de-sac are difficult to clear of snow, as well as roads containing eyebrows. They also present connectivity issues for pedestrians. The

petitioner has already re-designed a portion of this section (discussed below) in order to gain larger, more marketable parcels. The Department would like to see the site re-designed to meet current UDO requirements, as well. Otherwise, a waiver from the standards related to eyebrow and culde-sac inclusion will be required. *The petitioner is requesting subdivision waiver approval to allow the eyebrow and cul-de-sac to remain, though an alternative design was submitted*.

Environmental/Tree Preservation: The approved landscape plan associated with the 2008 Final Plan for the petition site includes a large area of tree preservation on the south side of lots 33-40, adjacent to BHSN. The staff report for that petition read the following:

"An existing fence line separates several large trees from the remainder of the lots. The petitioner proposes to preserve this area and the majority of the trees, while cleaning out invasive and exotic species and planting new trees. Proposed are several new large canopy trees that will be planted between existing trees. The remainder of the area will be filled in with a mix of smaller canopy or native ornamental trees. This area would then be preserved with a tree preservation and landscaping easement."

Unfortunately, some of the trees have been removed. The petitioner is showing an amended 'tree preservation easement' in that area that is not as deep as the 2008 area, but now extends north to the new N. Winter Sweet Drive connection, in an area previously designed for developable lots. The Department has concerns that the area to the south needs to be filled in to the intended density of the original approval, and will add a condition of approval to insure that is done. *The petitioner has proposed to plant 7 trees in the tree preservation easement area. The area needs to be shown as an easement on the secondary plat. A condition of approval has been added.*

There were a number of other trees that were highlighted for preservation through the 2008 approval that have since been removed. Some are still shown on the landscape plan for this petition, but are on private property not owned by the petitioner. The Department requests an updated and accurate landscape plan to be submitted and approved by staff before the January hearing. *The petitioner has submitted a new landscape plan*.

- The original plan showed 4 specimen trees in the undeveloped portion of Section V. Only one remains on the new landscape plan. If the others still exist, the Department recommends adding them back to the plan.
- The tree buffer shown south and east of the paired homes has been removed. The Department recommends adding it back to the plan.
- The missing street trees in the developed portion of Section V are no longer shown. The Department recommends adding them back to the plan.
- Proposed street trees along Prow Road are no longer shown. Existing street trees along Prow Road are no longer shown. Because subdivision requires one street tree for every 40 feet of linear frontage, the location of existing and proposed street trees need to be shown. The Department recommends adding them back to the plan.
- Buffer yards on the rear of lots 7-12 that can no longer be confirmed, as they have been sold to private owners, were removed from the plan.

• The detention pond seed mix information and instructions need to be added to the plan. A condition of approval to address the landscape plan issues has been added.

Detention Pond: There is a detention pond shown on lot 43 of the 2008 approval, as well as the current proposal. The parcel needs to be shown as common area on the Secondary Plat, and corrections need to be made to the pond to City of Bloomington Utilities standards. A condition of approval will be added. *The label for the detention pond has been removed and it has not been*

shown as a common area. A condition of approval has been added.

Connectivity: A pedestrian easement is shown connecting the development to BHSN, through the tree preservation area. More information on how that will be done safely and sensitively is needed. *Per the petitioner's representative, MCCSC is not interested in a pedestrian connection at this location because they do not have pedestrian facilities immediately on their adjacent property. Additionally, Engineering staff has taken a close look at the proposed location, and construction of a PROWAG and ADA compliant sidewalk would be very difficult because of existing slope and the intent of tree preservation. The pedestrian connection is no longer planned. A condition of approval to remove the connection on the secondary plat has been added.*

Lighting: No street lighting is proposed, but a plan must be submitted and approved by the Engineering Department, as well as submitted to the Board of Public Works as a component of the secondary plat proposal.

No proposed findings of fact were included in December, so all below are new. Section 20.06.040(d)(6)(B) General Compliance Criteria (Final Plan and Primary Plat)

- i. Compliance with this UDO
- ii. Compliance with Other Applicable Regulations
- iii. Compliance with Utility, Service, and Improvement Standards
- iv. Compliance with Prior Approvals

PROPOSED FINDING: This petition is in compliance with development standards of the original PUD as amended, such as lot design standards. For those items not detailed in the PUD, the petition must meet UDO requirements. The petition will be in compliance with the PUD and UDO requirements once all conditions of approval are met. The site will require City of Bloomington Utilities approval related to stormwater infrastructure, which will be reviewed before a grading permit is issued.

Section 20.06.070(E)(4) Approval Criteria for Rezoning to a Planned District (PUD)

- (A) Is consistent with the purpose of this UDO and the purpose of Section 20.02.050 (Planned Unit Development (PUD) District); and
- (B) The petitioner has demonstrated that the proposed rezoning is compatible with surrounding development or can be made compatible with surrounding development through commitments or conditions; and
- (C) Any portion of the PUD zoning district to be occupied by multifamily, mixed-use, or industrial development shall provide a greater level of internal connectivity and connectivity to surrounding developments than would be required by this UDO if the project were not being developed in a PUD zoning district; and
- (D)Each multifamily, mixed-use, or nonresidential principal structure in the PUD zoning district shall provide a greater level of design quality than would be required by this UDO if the project were not being developed in a PUD zoning district; and
- (E) At least one of the following criteria are met;

- (i) The proposed PUD zoning district will include construction of a substantial open space, recreational, entertainment, or cultural amenity that will be open to and usable by the general public, and that would not otherwise be required by this UDO. Reconfiguration of open space required by this UDO does not satisfy these criteria;
- (ii) The proposed PUD zoning district will protect a significant ecological, natural, historical, architectural, or archeological resource that was not already protected from development by this UDO or by state or federal law. Avoidance of designated floodplains or wetland areas, or the provision of additional buffers around such areas, does not satisfy these criteria; or
- (iii) The proposed PUD zoning district provides affordable housing beyond the amounts that the petitioner would have been required to provide in order to earn a Tier 1 or Tier 2 affordable housing incentive under Section 20.04.110(c)(5) by either:
 - (1) Income-restricting at least 10 percent more of the dwelling units at or below the income levels required to earn a Tier 1 or Tier 2 incentive, or
 - (2) Income restricting the same number of dwelling units required to earn a Tier 1 or Tier 2 affordable housing incentive, but limiting incomes to at least 10 percent lower AMI level than would have been required to earn a Tier 1 or Tier 2 incentive.20.04.110(c)(5)

PROPOSED FINDING: This petition is not rezoning the property to PUD, but is developing the last portion of an existing PUD, while amending the total number of lots.

20.06.040(d)(6)(D) Additional Criteria Applicable to Primary Plats and Zoning Map Amendments (Including PUDs)

(i) Consistency with Comprehensive Plan and Other Applicable Plans The proposed use and development shall be consistent with and shall not interfere with the achievement of the goals and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan and any other adopted plans and policies.

PROPOSED FINDING: The proposed plat will add additional housing opportunities, which is a key component of the Comprehensive Plan.

(ii) Consistent with Intergovernmental Agreements

The proposed use and development shall be consistent with any adopted intergovernmental agreements and shall comply with the terms and conditions of any intergovernmental agreements incorporated by reference into this UDO.

PROPOSED FINDING: No known intergovernmental agreements affect this petition.

(iii) Minimization or Mitigation of Adverse Impacts

(1) The proposed use and development shall be designed to minimize negative environmental impacts and shall not cause significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Examples of the natural environment include water, air, noise, stormwater management, wildlife habitat, soils, and native vegetation.

- (2) The proposed use and development shall not result in the excessive destruction, loss or damage of any natural, scenic, or historic feature of significant importance.
- (3) The proposed use and development shall not result in significant adverse fiscal impacts on the city.
- (4) The petitioner shall make a good-faith effort to address concerns of the adjoining property owners in the immediate neighborhood as defined in the pre-submittal neighborhood meeting for the specific proposal, if such a meeting is required.

PROPOSED FINDING: The Department has added a condition of approval to include tree buffering between the undeveloped portions of Section V and the neighborhood to the east in order to limit negative impacts.

(iv) Adequacy of Road Systems

- (1) Adequate road capacity must exist to serve the uses permitted under the proposed development, and the proposed use and development shall be designed to ensure safe ingress and egress onto the site and safe road conditions around the site, including adequate access onto the site for fire, public safety, and EMS services.
- (2) The proposed use and development shall neither cause undue traffic congestion nor draw significant amounts of traffic through residential streets.

PROPOSED FINDING: There are no road capacity issues anticipated at this location.

(v) Provides Adequate Public Services and Facilities

If the petition involves phases, each phase of the proposed development shall contain all of the required streets, utilities, landscaping, open space, and other improvements that are required to comply with the project's cumulative development to date and shall not depend upon subsequent phases for those improvements.

PROPOSED FINDING: This is the final phase of development for Ridgefield, and specifically Section V. All streets, street trees, utilities, easements, and other improvements will be inspected and approved with this phase once construction is complete.

(vi) Rational Phasing Plan

If the petition involves phases, each phase of the proposed development shall contain all of the required streets, utilities, landscaping, open space, and other improvements that are required to comply with the project's cumulative development to date and shall not depend upon subsequent phases for those improvements.

PROPOSED FINDING: This is the final phase.

20.06.060(b)(3)(F) Subdivision Waivers

Waivers from any standards within Chapter 5 shall be reviewed according to the following criteria:

i. The granting of the subdivision waiver shall not be detrimental to the public safety, health, or general welfare, or injurious to other property; and

ii. The conditions upon which the request for a Subdivision Waiver are based are unique to the property; and

iii. The Subdivision Waiver shall not in any manner vary the provisions of the development standards, Comprehensive Plan, or Transportation Plan.

PROPOSED FINDING: The petitioner is requesting to waive the standard that prohibits eyebrow design in 20.05.050(j)(5)(N), as well as Table 05-5 that only allows cul-de-sac design in limited use for commercial/employment subdivisions. The petitioner received approval for a similar design in 2008, but did not finish construction. The petitioner has submitted a design that meets both the eyebrow and cul-de-sac limitations of the UDO, but prefers to request the waiver. The Department recommends denial of the waiver request.

CONCLUSION: The Department has been working with the petitioner for some time to address the issues at the petition site, so that the development can be completed and the residents can receive City services. The Department looks forward to the site being brought into compliance and completed. Final Plan approval is the first step in the process of resolving this enforcement case. While completing the roadway connection is important and the petition was created under a previous code, the Department wants to encourage code-compliant design for any new public facilities being built, and therefore has discouraged the use of the new cul-de-sac and eyebrow design, and ultimately recommended denial of the subdivision waiver. Compliance with the UDO would require reconfiguration that deviates from the design desired by the petitioner, but the petitioner has shown that compliance is possible.

RECOMMENDATION: The Department recommends that the Plan Commission approve the Final Plan and Primary (Preliminary) Plat Amendment to Section V of the Ridgefield subdivision and deny the subdivision waiver request, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The approved design is that design submitted by the petitioner showing no eyebrow and no cul-de-sac, included in this packet. The parcel in the southeast portion of the development which was previously shown as a cul-de-sac shall have a maximum of six driveway cuts.
- 2. The petitioner shall submit a grading permit application packet for, at a minimum, the developed portion of Section V, within 30 days of this approval.
- 3. The petitioner shall submit to the Engineering Department a Public Improvements bond estimate within 30 days of this approval, and will submit the required bond within 10 days of the approval of said estimate.
- 4. The petitioner has agreed to instruct construction traffic to enter the site from the west, off of Prow Road. Signage to that effect (minimally, one at the east end of to-be-constructed Wintersweet Drive and one at Prow Road) will be placed on the site during construction.
- 5. 'Tracts 1 and 2' shall be corrected and shown as parts of Lots 13 and 14 on the secondary plat.
- 6. The petitioner shall identify all common area lots around the paired homes on the secondary plat.

- 7. The petitioner shall identify the tree preservation area as an easement on the secondary plat, with all required language included on said plat.
- 8. An amended landscape plan shall be submitted before a grading permit will be issued that addresses the following issues:
 - All four specimen trees shown in the 2008 plans for the undeveloped portion of Section V need to be shown on the plan. If they have died, please submit proof to the Department.
 - The tree buffer originally shown on the 2008 plans south and east of the paired homes needs to be added to the plan.
 - Missing street trees in the developed portion of Section V need to be added to the plan.
 - Existing and proposed street trees along Prow Road, in order to meet one tree for every 40 linear feet of frontage, need to be added to the plan.
 - The landscape plan shall be updated to match the approved road design.
- 9. Label Lot 43 as a detention pond, as well as a common area lot.
- 10. Remove the pedestrian connection easement to Bloomington High School North on the secondary plat.
- 11. Any previous conditions related to on-street parking are removed, and on-street parking for the roads in Section V will be governed by the Bloomington Municipal Code regulations.







By: scanlanj 30 Jul 21

For reference only; map information NOT warranted.

Updated Landscape Plan for February



Alternative Roadway Design



Petitioner Impervious Analysis



Original Layout

amount of pavement and sidewalk 21,542.98 sf or 0.49 acres



New Exhibit Layout

amount of pavement and sidewalk 24,174.50 sf or 0.55 acres This configuration increased impervious area by 2,631.52 sf

Staff Driveway Design The least impervious option 17





Planning and Transportation Review December 2020 of Compliance with 2008 Plan¹⁸

BRCJ Southern Lot Line Exhibit





JOB No. 6015





Bloomington • Bedford • Paoli

1351 West Tapp Road - Bloomington, Indiana 47403 - pt 812.336.8277

BRCJclvll.com

Aerials of fence line along southern lto line and area with no trees for reference





Bledsoe Riggert Cooper James

LAND SURVEYING . CIVIL ENGINEERING . GIS

November 28, 2022

Jackie Scanlan, AICP Development Services Manager City of Bloomington Planning and Transportation Department Shower Building Suite 130 401 N. Morton St Bloomington, Indiana 47404

via email: scanlanj@bloomington.in.gov

Re: Ridgefield Subdivision North Prow Road: 3500 Block of N. Hackberry Street Petitioner's Statement

Dear Jackie,

On behalf of our client, Robert Shaw, we respectfully request to be placed on the December 2022 Plan Commission agenda for consideration of Final Plan approval, Preliminary Plat amendment, and waiver requests for Ridgefield PUD and Subdivision Section V.

This is the final phase of the Ridgefield Subdivision. Located east of Prow Road along the northern edge of the Bloomington North High School campus, this portion of the subdivision is bounded by churches and single-family residences to the west; the Bloomington Meadows facility and single-family residences to the north; and duplexes to the east. Within this 8.57 acre section, we are proposing 14 single family home sites ranging from 0.21 to 0.33 acres; a 0.80 acre tree preservation easement; a pedestrian access easement with a walkway to the high school campus; and two condominium parcels consisting of 0.98 acres with six units and 2.00 acres with ten units respectively. Utilities for this phase will be extended from those constructed in Phase 1. Water will be extended northeast along Winterstreet Drive to connect to the existing 12" water main constructed under a previous section of Ridgefield. The stormwater detention basin constructed in Phase 1 was sized to accommodate the development of Phase 2. We propose to continue the use of the 50' right-of-way with 5' sidewalks as presented in the preliminary plat. However, we are widening the street width from 20' to 28' to accommodate on street parking.

Our request to amend the preliminary plat includes reducing the number of single-family lots along the east side of Hackberry Street in Phase 1 by one; reducing the number of lots in Phase 2 by seven; and reconfiguring the tree preservation easement to allow for wider, more accommodating, single-family home sites.

In addition, we request a waiver from the subdivision development standards to allow for an eyebrow type cul-de-sac to be placed at the intersection of Hackberry Street and Wintersweet Drive and a cul-de-sac to be placed at the end of Bradley Court. These cul-de-sacs were presented on the preliminary plat in 2008 and are consistent with the balance of the Ridgefield Subdivision. They are essential to provide street access and to allow for full development of the southwest and southeast corners of this final phase of the subdivision.

We are also working to address the outstanding public improvement deficiencies within Phase 1 that were outlined by staff. As requested, we have prepared engineered plans for select sidewalk and ramp replacement and installation of detectable warning elements on ramps to ensure compliance with ADA Guidelines and the Public Right-of-Way Accessibility Guidelines (PROWAG). We are submitting these plans for your review as well as staff's review. Once we have staff acceptance of the plans, we will prepare engineer's estimates for the associated public improvements and erosion control measures. We will then obtain and submit the respective bonds so a grading permit can be released to allow us to proceed with the corrective measures. It should be noted that we are committed to implementing the approved street lighting plan and addressing any remaining street tree deficiencies.

Ridgefield Subdivision Petitioner's Statement November 28, 2022 Page 2 of 2

We respectfully request your positive consideration of our requests for this final phase of the Ridgefield PUD.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns or if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,

1:5th

William S. Riggert, PE Principal

ec: Robert Shaw

xc: File - Project 6015

Petitioner's Final Plan and Prima? Plat



RIDGEFIELD SUBDIVISION SECTION V PHASE 2 FOR BOB SHAW



SHEET INDEX

SHEET NO.	DESCRIPTION
C100	TITLE SHEET
C201	STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION - NOTES
C202	STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION - PLAN
C203	STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION - DETAILS
C301	SITE PLAN
C401	GRADING PLAN
C501	UTILITY PLAN
C601	LANDSCAPE
C701	ROAD PROFILES R-1
C702	ROAD PROFILES R-2
C703	ROAD PROFILES R-3, R-4
C704	SANITARY SEWER PROFILE S-1 (FOR REFERENCE)
C705	SANITARY SEWER PROFILE S-2
C706	SANITARY SEWER PROFILE S-3
C707	FORCE MAIN (FOR REFERENCE)
C801	DETAILS
C802	SPECIFICATIONS





CONSTRUCTION/STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN NOTES B1. Description of potential pollutant sources associated with the construction

Bit Definition of placeman points access associations must be the first advantage of platfast caused by construction would be soil suppended in some water runoff. braid, oils, and other fluids associated with the construction equipment could pessibly runoff as well. C. Trash associated with human activity, including construction materials. d. Waste concrete left in the concrete washout.

. 82. Sequence describing stormwater quality measure implementation relative to land disturbing activities: Temporary seeding shall occur as necessary and spelled out in the activation.

B3. Stable construction entrance locations and specifications: Existing asphalt and stone pavement remain intact. Refer to sheet C203 for construction drive specifications, and B18 for conctruction drive maintenance.

34. Sediment control measures for sheet flow areas: A combination of silt fence and existing grass surfaces are proposed to control erosion from sheet flow areas.

85. Sediment control measures for concentrated flow areas: Concentrated flow accurs at the tail end of the outlet culverts and in channels. Stabilization will continue to be maintained with rip rap outfails to dissipate runoff.

86. Storm sever inlet protection measure location and specifications: Inlets will be protected as shown on the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan.

Runoff control measures: Runoff rates and volumes will be controlled by the existing Detention Basin located to the south of the Building.

B8. Storm water outlet protection specifications: The pipe that outlet into grass swales will utilize rip rap outfall appropriate to the pipe size and discharge, which have been installed in the previous phases of work and shown on the Stormwater Politizing Drawning Man.

89. Grade Stabilization structure locations and specifications: Erosion control fabric and riprap armoring will be used to stabilize problem areas. These areas will be assessed on a case by case basis.

B10. Location, dimensions, specifications and construction details of each storm water quality measure: Each element to be implemented as a part of this plan is shown on the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan and Stormwater Pollution Prevention Detail sheet. Details are provided and are to be adhered to.

811. Temporary surface stabilization methods appropriate for each season: Temporary surface stabilization will be required on any bare area that is scheduled to remain inactive for more than 7 days. Refer to the 'Seasonal Soil Protection Chart', shown on this sheet.

B12. Permanent surface stabilization specifications: Refer to Stormwater Pollution Prevention Details.

Prevention butchil: 13.1 Material handling and relial prevention grain. All materials in rolls will be handled par the requirements of the MEGS bases. The constractor what have an emergence prevention of the mean set of the mean set of the mean set of the mean set of the set of the mean set of the mean set of the mean set of the mean set of the set of the mean set of the mean set of the mean set of the mean set of the set of the mean set of the mean set of the mean set of the mean set of the prevent is a set of the mean set of the mean

B14. Monitoring and maintenance guidelines for each proposed pollution prevention massure. Self-monitoring inspections must be conducted by a qualified individual source and the self-monitoring inspection source and the project site. The monitoring self-monitoring inspection reports at any time, and they must be provided to the MSG Coordinator within 48 hours.

B15. The project site owner must post, near the project main entrance, or near the

15. The project side owner musk pool, near the project final ordinate intrance, or near the project field office: A copy of the completed NOI letter to IDIM, Complete I and the project size owner or designated contact persons, and the Location of the construction plan, if one is not stored on size.

B16. Erosion & Sediment controls specifications for individual building lots: Refer to Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan - Details for Typical individual lot Erosion Control Protection

Section C - Post Construction Component

C1. Description of pollutants and their sources associated with the proposed land use: The main post identified by the IDIM and DNR. construction pollutants will come from the existing drives and lots. The pollutants may include car and truck heal, cit, anti-freeze, suspended solids, nitrogen, phosphorus, copener, lead, and inc.

C2. Sequence describing stormwater quality messure implementation: Refer to the Specifications sheet for the sequence. The detention pond and sediment traps shall be instabled at the biggining of the construction process. The main stormwater quality messure is the implementation of the biolitation vegetation. This vegetation will be seeded immediately following frail grading.

and or decost memory automic and up donal, C.D. Conception of property and proceedings on the section of the s

C4. Location, diminsions, specifications and construction details of each storm water quality measure: Reference the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan for the location of Ecologic Control Measures, Reference Stormwater Pollution Details heet for Erosion Control measure Details, and the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan - Notes for specifications and soli formation.

revenues ream-reads to specifications and sea information. C.S. biscrigtion of maintenance guidelines for proposed post construction water quality measures: Maintenance of the Temporary storm water protection devices or measures should not be removed with the straft ca has been abilited with ground cover that is signically growing with 90% or greater cover over the soil sufficience that growing the base here established. Additionally, the owner must imper-te project area periodically if ther the project completion to insure that resion has not occurred and be projected to base the resultation and source and a bear of country and be projected to any thin any problem mass as found necessary.

dredged if siltation occurs. Detention pond banks must be kept vegetated. Curb Iniets shall be kept cleared.

n: tion Pond must be dredged after construction to design depth and furth

Statement to Contractor:

The plans constitute the minimum measures necessary to be in compliance. Should adverse weather of excessive traffic give ine to additional protective measures being needed, the contractor should be prayed to use good judgement and implement those measures addity and effectively to insure that toom water and ediment to not know the dub of the first needed to be appendix toom water and ediment to not know the dub of the first needed to be appendix toom and the dub of the dub

PROJECT LOCATION MAP

Section B - Construction Component

24

LAND SURVEYING • CIVIL B 1351 West Tapp Road Bloomington, Indiana 47403

BLOOMINGTON BEDFORD

STURIT I

NO. 870231 STATE OF MOLANA ONAL COMMUNICATION NO. 870231

histr'

RIDGEFIELD SUBDIVISION

BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA

 $\left(+ \right)$

Issue Date

C201

SECTION V PHASE 2

FOR

BOB SHAW

BRCJ Project No: 6015 STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION

PLAN - NOTES

SCALE: 1" = 50'

Issue

11-28-2022 ISSUED FOR PERMIT

Rev. # Rev. Description:

Drawn By: GBM Designed By: GBM Checked By: WSR

REVISION SCHEDULE

ww.brcicivil.con

PAOL

Phone: 812-336-8277

SAMPLE INSPECTION FORM: EROSION CONTROL PLAN RIDGEFIELD SUBDIVISION PHASE 4 PART OF SE Quarter of Section 20 T9N, R1W, Monroe County, Indiana

EROSION CONTROL MONITORING REPORT IDEM RULE 5

For the Week of ______ to _____ Check Applicable Weekly_____ Rainfall______ Weather Conditions Temp (F) _____ Precipitation ______

Comments of previous Observations / Recommendations:

SEASONAL SOL PROTECTION CHART

JAN FEB WAR APR MAY JUN JULY AUG SEPT OCT NOV DEC

П

PROJECT VICINITY MAP

KENTUCKY BLUEDRASS 100 LBS./ACRE: CREEPING RED FESCUE 100 LBS./ACRE; PLUS 2 TONS STRAM MUCH/ACRE, OR ADD ANNUAL RYEDRASS 20 LBS./ACRE FEMILIZE AS RECOMMENED BY SOL TEST, F TESTING IS NOT DONE, APPLY 400-600 LBS./ACRE OF 12-12-12 AWAINSES, OR EDUMLENT,

IBS/ARGE FERILIZ: AS INCOMPANY AND A CONTRACT ANALYSIS, OR LUNARY FERILIZZR. SERVICE AND A CONTRACT ANALYSIS, OR LUNARY FERILIZZR. SERVICE (J.3. IBS/1000 S0. FT.) FERILIZZR AS RECOMMENDED BY SOL TEST, F TESTING IS NOT DONE, FERILIZZR. SERVICE AND INFORMATION AND A CONTRACT AND A FERILIZZR. SERVICE AND A CONTRACT AND A CONTRA PERILUZ PS 000 UBS/ADRE OF 12-12-12 ARTIGO PERILUZ PS 000 UBS/ADRE OF 12-12-12 ARTIGO S, 0100 S, 07 WHENT OR PT 2 BURGES/ADRE OF 300 USE 17 IF TERM S NOT DONE, PERILUZ AS DECOMPODED BY 000 USE 17 IF TERM S NOT DONE, PERILUZ AS DECOMPODED BY 000 USE ARTIGO S NOT DONE, PERILUZ AS DECOMPODED BY

FERTUZER. D = ANNUAL RYGERASS 40 LBS./ACRE (1 LB./1000 SQ. FT.) * IRRIGATION NEEDED DURING JUNE, JULY, AUGUST AND SEPTEMBER ** INCREASE SEEDING APPLICATION BY 505

 \Box

Observations and Comments

Recommendations this day:

STABILIZATION

3 nds

MONROE COUNTY

PERMANENT SEEDING *

DORMANT SEEDING ++ TEMPORARY

SEFONO

SOIL DESCRIPTIONS

<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

permeability. This soil is in capability subclass IIIe and woodland suitability subclass 1o.

This call in equality undersite the an evolution stability undersite. Its call of the equality of the equation of the equation

Unter the handf addition in the an influence are then you than when the mostly output addition of a dear sector and the sector and the provide the sector and the sector and the sector and the sector and the provide the sector and the provide the sector and the provide the sector and the provide the sector and the provide the sector and the provide the sector and the provide the sector and the provide the sector and the sector

trider soil is in capability subclass IIIe and woodland suitability subclass 10. Urban not assigned to interpretive groupings.

CrB

SOIL MAP

۲ CrC

CtB

CtC

Ua

Wr

OVERALL

PROJECT

LOCATION

The following was preserved to address the respiratements of the National Pollutant contrained to Thimsensystemic IMPGS descript Neuro Table for Some Nation Distributes and the National National National National National Section 2014 (Contraction Activity and data in permit for this project in: The receition and sub-times correspond to the Contraction & Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Technical Review and Comment Form as administered by the Induana Department of Environment Management (DDM).

The Contractor shall be completely and solely responsible to ensure that the Construction & Storm water Pollution Prevention Plan is implemented and maintained throughout the project. Section A - Construction Plan Elements

41. Plan index showing locations of required items: Refer to the Stormwa follution Prevention Plan, for legend and application of Construction/Stor follution Prevention Methods.

A2. 11 X 17 inch plat showing building lot number/boundaries and road layout/names: This drawing is included with this submittal.

A3. Narrative describing project nature and purpose: The purpose of this project i to develop 30 single family residential building sites on 8.76 acres. This area also contains 16 Condominum units over 3.00 acres.

A4. Vicinity map showing project location: Refer to the this Sheet, for the project location map.

A5. Legal Description: Bloomington Township; Monroe County; Pt. SE-1/4, Section 20, T9N, R1W; Latitude-39"12"15"N and Longitude-86"33"02"W. A6. Location of all lots and proposed site improvements: These items are shown on both the Utility Plan, Grading Plan & Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan.

A7. Hydrologic unit code: The HUC for the watershed that this project is in is 05120202010000.

A8. Notation of any State or Federal water quality permits: There appear to be no permits required related to water quality.

A9. Specific points where stormwater discharge will leave the site: 24 inch culvert under Prow Road, which has been previously installed .

A10. Location and name of all wetlands, lakes, and watercourses on/or adjacent to the site: None.

A11. Identify all receiving waters: All stormwater runoff from the project site will travel to Stoute Creek.

A12. Identification of potential discharges to groundwater: There is no identified discharge into ground water noticed on property.

A13. 100 Year Floodplains, floodways, and floodway fringes: No 100 year floodplains floodways, and floodway fringes identified shown on FEMA Community-Panel # 180169 0010 C revised June 17. 1993

A14. Pre-construction and post construction estimate of peak discharge: Based upon the Bational Method for the 10 year storm event, the pre-construction peak discharge rate is 17.6 CFS and the post construction peak discharge rate is 17.2 CFS.

A15. Adjacent land use, including upstream watershed: The landuse adjacent to the North is Hospital. To the East is single family residential, one-third acre tracts. To the North is single family residential 1.5 acre, and to the South is High School.

A16. Locations and approximate boundaries of all disturbed areas: The construction limits are defined by the proposed contours. Disturbance mostly for road and detention pond construction. Natural features will be preserved as much as possible

A17: Identification of existing vegetative cover: The of the property is scrub-woodland and abandoned agricultural lot. A18. Soll map including descriptions and limitations: Refer to the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, for the soil map and descriptions related to the project

A19. Location, size and dimensions of proposed stormwater systems: The Utility Plan, Grading Plan, & Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, show the proposed stormwater systems. Underground 12 - 30 inch HDPE collecting storm from gutter

A20. Plan for any off-site construction activities associated with this project: A offsite sanitary sever and connection is proposed in the Southeast corner. Wate connection will require an eight inch piping system to both State Road 46 to the North and impatience Drive to the South.

Locations of proposed soil stockpile, borrow and/or disposal areas: As izated on Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan C202, a portion of the stockpile as extend into a separate phased area but is currently undeveloped.

A22. Existing site topography at an interval appropriate to show detailed drainage patterns: The existing site topography is depicted on both the Ublity Plan, Grading Plan & Storrmwater Pollution Prevention Plan, respectively.

A23. Proposed final topography at an interval appropriate to show detailed drainage patterns: Proposed final topography is shown on the Grading Plan, and Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

B17. Provide Dust Control throughout the project duration. 818. Refer to each prevention measure detail for construction and maintenance

Temporary Construction Road Maintenance: [Existing Gravel Drives to be Utilized] I inspace Duily. Reshape gravel areas an needed for drainage and runoff control. Tog-dress gravel areas with 70 clean t53 aggrages as needed. Immediately renorm und and sedment stude of on subled ento public rasids. Publing should only be used if the water from the construction drive can be converged into a sedment trap or basis.

Temporary Seeding: Inspect within 24 hours of each rain event and at least once every seven days Monitor for evasion dramage and adequate cover (80 percent density, reseed, fertilie, and apply mulch where necessary. Check for evaluation or moment of mulch and regari mimediately. I if ningen deficiency is apparent, top-dress fail seeded wheat or rys seeding with 30 pounds are are of introgen in Fabrary or March.

Remove deposited sediment when it is causing the filter fabric to bulge or when it reaches one-half the height of the fence at its lowest point. When contributing drainage area has been stabilized, remove the fence and sediment deposits, grade the site to blend with the surrounding area, and stabilize.

Concrete Workhout: Inspect day and after each storm event. Inspect the integrity of the structure as well as check for leaks, spills, and tracking. Concrete should be removed when it reaches 50% of the storage capacity. The platic inter include be rejeated after every cleaning.

Inspect daily.
 Refer to the detail on Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan - Details for additional

SIR Fence: Inspect within 24 hours of a rain event and at least once every seven day if fence fabric tears, starts to decompose, or in any way becomes ineffect regione the affected portion immediately. Note: All regains should meet specifications a outlief within the measure.

ributing

rosion Control Blanket: • Inspect within 24 hours of each rain event and at least once every seven days • Oherk for erosion or displacement of the blanket • If any area show erosion, pull back that portion of the blanket covering the eroded area, also ali and turns, reset the area; register and stagle the blanket.











December 2022 Landscape Plan



















SECTION ONE SITE CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS

I. GENERAL NOTES

- Permits The Contractor shall be responsible for obtaining or verifying that all permits and approvals are obtained from the respective City, County, and State agencies prior to starting construction. Α.
- process and approval are actimated time the netericities (Co, County, and State State). Letters and a careful gilling specific and conclusts and the service in the field by Locations. Are careful gilling specific and conclusts and the service in the field by the Contractive Internet Specific and and an area in the service internet. State States and States and States and and an area in the service internet substrates and states and area in the service internet work and states and an area in the service internet service and states and and states and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and and and states and states and states and states and states and and and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and states and and states and states and states and states and states and stat

- E.
- at least 27 kinows by messed month kurding, moth, curing compand, or other factory forwards 1.5 kino k 1 * 1 VK curing by 1 * or VK curings moderais and 2* WK bases on 7* by 5* 0* VK curings, the Binn and Curing and C

- requirements. Materials and Workmanship For items called for on this plan shall meet the requirements of the 1993 Indiana Department of Transportation Standard ĸ
- ι.
- IL CLEARING, GRUBBING, AND TREE PROTECTION
- profetione measures to be taken, if only, to preserve each frees. There Rediction The Colocator and the improvement for administration of the provider of the taken of the provider of the pr 0
- E.

III. GRADING

- too free largend ond Gener. Set and the state of the set of the se в.
- C.
- D,
- IV. FINISH GRADING AND SEEDING
 - A. Topsoil-Topsoil shall be spread to a minimum depth of 4^{*} over all graded areas. The finish grade shall reflect proposed contours and spot elevations as shown on the grading plan.
 - B. Temporary Seeding- All areas disturbed during construction shall be seeded as soon as possible after construction grading. Seeding shall be applied at a ret of 110 bs/care consisting of 55 lise. Octs or Wheel, and 45 lise. Annual Rye. The seeded areas shall be covered with free strue of a orde of 2 toms/some.
 - strave at a role of 2 lows/core. The same are sovered with free Final Seeding- After Frain grands and topical placement, at areas the role of 100 Seeding- After Frain Seeding and Corese of 45 Dis of Permitting Programs, 20 Bis of entitled common Reflecting Bargensa et al. (2014) and the same and the same and the same and the Permitting Bargensa or opport-agent same (1) and the pure line seeding and the same and the same and the same and the block has factually bargensa or opport-agent same (1) and the seeding and the same and the same and the same and the seeding and the low-same and the same and the seeding and same and the covert and the strate of routions are set of role of the same and the same and the seeding and same and the same and the sequent as follows: c.

400 lbs/acre at time of seeding three 200 lbs/acre applications at 6 month intervals during established period Seeding dated for permanent seeding mixture used in IV-B and IV-C areas are as follows:

- M-C dreas us -Yhon tripated Marcin 1-May 10 August 1 September 15 Seeding may be done from May 10- August 1 If impated, to be done from from May 10- August 1 If impated, to howember 15- February 26 (increase seed application role by 50%) November 15- February 26 (increase seed application role by 50%)
- D. Sodding Steep Slopes - Where finish slopes are steeper than 3:1 sod shall be placed in accordance with sodding item found in Section $IV\!-\!E$ of these
- specifications. Sodiing Where sod is specified for ditches or steep slopes, it shall be stailed or pinned securely with at least 2 stakes or pins not more than 2' apart with the flat side against the slope. Stakes may be wood at least $1/2 \times 3/4 \times 12'$, driven so that the least 1' remains down the top of the sod.

NOTES If any conflicts with Specifications on Plans and current City of BLoomington Utilities Specifications shall have precedence.

- V. WATER PER CITY OF BLOOMINGTON UTILITIES & INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIROMENTAL MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS & SPECIFICATIONS.
 - Particle eral cart or to successful of ULL S & ROMA COMMING OF DIMINIONIAL MANAGUMOT EXCOMPANY, DAVIDS (SUCCESS), Sector 2014, Sec

- questa shall be mound/cruted to conform with XBM 7477. DCTLL=-RKN TRAC ARO FTINGS A Machined-ball (Addim=non Piper AMM C151), who mechanical-piel, bell- and the pipe shall be linker with an expressed this Particular C164/221. Interpretation of the share that the linker preview that Particular C164/221. Interpretation of the share that the linker preview that Particular C164/221. Interpretation of AMM C153, docline-on compact particular controls. Interpretation of the pipe share that the share and the control of the share of the share of the machine of the share of the share of the share of the share of the Particular C164/221. The share of the share of the share of the Particular control of the share of the share of the share of the Particular control of the share of the share of the share of the share of the Particular control of the share of the share of the share of the share of the Particular control of the share of the share of the share of the share of the Particular control of the share of the

- POLYETHYLENE ENCASEMENT
- A Polyethylene encasement sleeves for ductile iron pipe shall be 8-mil linear low-density (LD) polyethylene encasement of 4-mil high density cross-laminated (HDCL), polyethylene encasement material.
- B. The material shall be furnished and installed in accordance with ANSI/AWWA C-105/A21.5, using plastic tie straps or circumferential wraps of adhesive tape providing the pipe with a secure protective enclosure.

- C-105/213, using particle to strongs or clarameteriski wrong of collective to the CONSTRUCT CONS
- VI. STORM SEWER A. Reinfor
- STORE SCREP.
 A. Reforce Concrete Pipe (ICP) Then shown on the Construction Poins shall be in accordance with XMI C=72 Class I unless otherware specified on the in accordance with XMI C=72 Class I unless otherware specified and the Compared within Pipe (XMP) Then then on the Construction Poins and I and Direct Pipe (XMP) Then then on the Construction Poins and I be Interact (VSS) Pipe (XMI VMI VMI

- SANDAY SENER
 All schlary sever system moterials and methods of construction shall, at a minimum, meet the requirements of the City of Bioonington UBRes, the Indiana for water-of Environmental Management, and the CUMMB recommended standards for water-of-biological schlarament, and the CUMMB recommended standards

 Methods

 - Bernstein fieldies.
 Marcha Scottion Reinforced concrete matheties shall conform to ADM C--F9 and mark. The Analy Reinford of the Total & Distribution of the Participation (1996).
 Total and the Administration of the Total & Distribution of the Total and the Administration of the Administration of the Participation of the Administration of AdMinistration of the Administration of Administration of the Administratio of the Administration of th
- D.
- E.
- F.

- L.
- coltain automote finance, minimum thebeses 01.25° for 4° through 12° piec and and the Sequencia, an annualization by Colff The Product Company.
 Fipe joints and conform to the later review of ABMR C-111.
 Fipe joints and conform to the later review of ABMR C-111.
 Fipe joints and conform to the later review of ABMR C-111.
 Fipe joints and conform to the later review of ABMR C-111.
 Fipe joints and conform to the later review of ABMR C-111.
 Fipe joints and the later review of the later review of ABMR C-123.
 Fipe joints and the later review of ABMR C-111.
 Fipe joints and the later review of ABMR C-111.
 Fipe joints and the later review of the later review of ABMR C-123.
 Fipe joints and the later review of the later review of ABMR C-123.
 Fipe joints and the later review of the later review of ABMR C-123.
 Fipe joints and the later review of the later revi

- VI. SANITARY SEWER Continued

 - Interface Control Seal is performed by the Contractor and D. Testile D. Testi



BLOOMINGTON BEDFORD

STUARY STUARY NO. 870231 STATE OF NO. 870231 STATE OF OWALL FROM 12 28-2022 List.

- RIDGEFIELD SUBDIVISION SECTION V PHASE 2 **BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA** FOR
- BOB SHAW BRCJ Project No: 6015

SPECIFICATIONS

Issue:

11-28-2022 ISSUED FOR PERMIT

REVISION SCHEDULE Rev. # Rev. Description: Issue Date

Drawn By: CDG & GBN Designed By: CDG & BG Checked By: WSR

C802



PAOL

- WIL EROSION CONTROL A. Erosion control methods shown on plans shall be implemented prior to moving soil. All work shall be in accordance with IDEM regulations.