# CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

# PLAN COMMISSION

# April 10, 2023 5:30 p.m. Council Chambers, Room #115 Hybrid Zoom Link:

https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/83731482648?pwd=Y29KTjNFVS9U UWdjTVQwbTV0WIA2dz09

Meeting ID: 837 3148 2648 Passcode: 465848

CITY OF BLOOMINGTON PLAN COMMISSION (Hybrid Meeting) City Council Chambers – Room #115 April 10, 2023 at 5:30 p.m.

Virtual Link:

https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/83731482648?pwd=Y29KTjNFVS9UUWdjTVQwbTV0WIA 2dz09

Meeting ID: 837 3148 2648 Passcode: 465848

Petition Map: <u>https://arcg.is/r5vPX</u>

ROLL CALL

MINUTES TO BE APPROVED: March 6, 2023

### REPORTS, RESOLUTIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS:

### PETITIONS TABLED:

SP-24-22 Cutters Kirkwood 123 LLC 115 E Kirkwood Ave Parcel: 53-05-33-310-062.000-005 Request: Major site plan approval to construct a 4-story building with 3 floors of residential units over a ground floor parking garage and retail space in the MD-CS zoning district. The upper floors will consist of 15 dwelling units for a total of 38 beds. <u>Case Manager: Karina Pazos</u>

## **CONSENT AGENDA:**

# SP-09-22 Bloomington Redevelopment Commission 617 N Madison Street Request: Petitioner is requesting an extension of the site plan granted under case SP-09-22 on March 14, 2022. Case Manager: Eric Greulich

## PETITIONS:

## PUD/DP-24-21 Robert V Shaw

N Prow Road: 3500 block of N Hackberry Street Parcel: 53-05-20-200-013.025-005, 53-05-20-200-013.026-005 Request: Petitioner requests Final Plan and Preliminary Plat amendment for Ridgefield PUD and Subdivision Section V. <u>Case Manager: Jackie Scanlan</u>

\*\*Next Meeting May 15, 2023 Last Updated: 4/7/2023 Auxiliary aids for people with disabilities are available upon request with adequate notice. Please call <u>812-349-3429</u> or e-mail <u>human.rights@bloomington.in.gov</u>.

### **Core SVA Bloomington Plato 2 LLC** SP-09-23

2038 N Walnut Street

Request: Major site plan approval to allow the construction of a Mixed-Use Building with 1500 sq. ft. of commercial space and 181 dwelling units and 426 bedrooms in the Mixed-Use Student Housing (MS) zoning district. Also requested approval of the Affordable Housing and sustainable Development Incentives.

Case Manager: Eric Greulich

ZO-12-23 UDO Chapter 3, Use Regulations, Amendments related to Chicken Flocks: UDO Chapter 4, Development Standards & Incentives – Amendments related to Table 04-10 and Maximum Parking Standards. Also requested is a waiver of the 2<sup>nd</sup> hearing.

Case Manager: Jackie Scanlan

# BLOOMINGTON PLAN COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

# CASE #: SP-09-22 DATE: April 10, 2023

Location: 617 N Madison St / 422 W 10th St

PETITIONER:	City of Bloomington Redevelopment Commission 401 N. Morton Street Bloomington, IN
CONSULTANT:	Ashley Thornberry, Axis Architecture and Interiors 618 E. Market Street Indianapolis, IN

**REQUEST:** The petitioner is requesting an extension of the site plan approval granted under case #SP-09-22 on March 14, 2022.

BACKGROUND:	
Area:	a portion of 0.95 acres
<b>Current Zoning:</b>	MD-ST (Mixed-Use Downtown - Showers Technology)
<b>Comprehensive Plan</b>	
Designation:	Downtown
Existing Land Use:	Vacant
Proposed Land Use:	Office
Surrounding Uses:	North – Vacant
E.	South – Office
	East – Parking Lot
	West – Vacant

**REPORT:** The property is currently zoned Mixed-Use Downtown with a Showers Technology Park Character Area Overlay (MD-ST) and is located at the southwest corner of the intersection of Madison Street and Maker Way. All surrounding properties are also zoned MD-ST. The site is on the north end of a large vacant lot that extends south to 10<sup>th</sup> Street. The properties to the north and west are also vacant. The Monroe County Government Center is to the south, and The Mill and a parking lot are to the northeast and east, respectively.

The Plan Commission approved this vacant site for a new 3-story, 21,000 square foot office building. The building consists of two floors of office lease space and a smaller third floor with outdoor terrace area. No on-site parking is required or proposed.

The petitioner is requesting an extension of the original site plan approval given. The UDO states that the approval of a major site plan shall be effective for a maximum period of one year unless, upon petition by the developer, the Plan Commission grants an extension pursuant to Section 20.06.040(h)(1). That section allows for the decision-making body to grant an extension of up to one year, following a written request that explains reasonable cause for such extension, prior to the expiration date. The final approval authority shall determine whether or not there is reasonable cause for the requested extension.

**CONCLUSION:** The petitioner has been working through the final design and construction plans in an effort to meet the budget allocated for the project. The additional time will allow them to

finalize all of the plans to move forward with the final permitting and construction of the new building. There have not been any changes to the UDO that would impact the design of the building or project in any way and an extension of the request is reasonable.

**RECOMMENDATION**: The Department recommends the Plan Commission approve the request for extension of the site plan approval with the following condition:

1. The approval granted on March 14, 2022 shall be effective through March 14, 2023.





For reference only; map information NOT warranted.



**Dimension Mill Inc.** 642 N Madison Street Bloomington, IN 47404

# Petitioner's Statement

To: Scott Robinson, Director, Planning and Transportation

From: John Fernandez (The Mill of Behalf of City of Bloomington Redevelopment Commission)

Date: February 27, 2023

On March 14, 2022, the Bloomington Plan Commission unanimously approved Petitioner's proposed Major Site Plan to build one building in the Mixed-Use Downtown Showers Technology Character Area (MD-ST) (**Case #: WP-09-22**).

Petitioner is requesting an amendment of approval, extending by six months the expiration date for the previously approved Major Site Plan (20.06.040(h)(3)(C).

Following the approval of Petitioner's Major Site Plan, the City's project team and its consultants made diligent efforts to complete the building design and construction documents in anticipation of breaking ground on the new building within one year of the Plan Commission's approval. Design efforts were paused temporarily as the project team considered design modifications in response to increasing construction costs estimates resulting from the inflationary pressures impacting the construction industry. The project team subsequently reinitiated the design process and its consultants are finalizing the construction documents in anticipating taking the project to construction bid phase. The updated project schedule anticipates a construction start in the early Q3 2023.

Respectfully, the Petitioner is requesting approval of this amendment of the previously approved Major Site Plan.

John Fernandez

John Fernandez Senior Vice President Innovation & Strategic Partnerships <u>The Mill</u> Bloomington's home for innovators.

M +1 202 420 8594 | O +1 812 250 9714 john@dimensionmill.org

Pat East Executive Director pat@dimensionmill.org 317.965.2155 (c) Anne McCombe

Chair of the Board amccombe@metrostarsystems.com 812.320.0254 (c) DIMENSIONMILL.ORG

Ø dimension\_mill
 ✓ dimension\_mill
 ✓ DimensionMill



# Site Exhibit from SP-09-22

 TRADES DISTRICT TECH CENTER | Landscape Area Exhibit

 1/28/2022
 1" = 20"





# BLOOMINGTON PLAN COMMISSION STAFF REPORT Location: N. Prow Road; 3500 block of N. Hackberry Street

<b>PETITIONER:</b>	Robert V. Shaw 2005 S Rogers St #59 Bloomington, IN
CONSULTANTS:	William Riggert Bledsoe, Riggert, James, and Cooper 1351 W Tapp Rd Bloomington, IN

**REQUEST:** The petitioner is requesting a final plan amendment and a primary plat amendment for Ridgefield PUD and Subdivision Section V.

Area:	8.57 acres
<b>Current Zoning:</b>	Planned Unit Development
<b>Comprehensive Plan</b>	Neighborhood Residential
Designation:	
<b>Existing Land Use:</b>	Vacant
<b>Proposed Land Use:</b>	Dwelling, Single Family & Dwelling, Duplex
Surrounding Uses:	North – Dwelling, Single-Family
_	West – Dwelling, Single-Family & State Road 37 / Interstate 69
	East – Dwelling, Single-Family & Park
	South – School

**CHANGES SINCE DECEMBER 2022 HEARING:** The petitioner is proposing to remove the pedestrian connection on the southeast portion of the site to Bloomington High School North to the south, after consultation with the School Corporation. The Department is amenable to this change, as the topography in the previously approved location is quite steep.

The issue of required subdivision waivers was discussed extensively at the last hearing. As a reminder, the petitioner received PUD Final Plan and Primary (Preliminary) Plat approval for Section V in 2008 with case number PUD-47-07. The petitioner did not go forward with building the entirety of Section V. Though the petitioner made changes to the design from PUD-47-07, such as number and location of lots and location of the tree preservation easement, the petitioner did not want to change or amend the non-compliant road design. The Plan Commission asked to see a drawing of code-compliant road design. The petitioner submitted a conceptual site plan showing alternative vehicular access design for parcels in the southwest and southeast corners of the petition site. In the southwest corner, the eyebrow was removed, and a shared driveway is proposed for lots 41, 42, and 35. In the southeast corner, the cul-de-sac was removed, and each unit was given a separate driveway. The conceptual design contains the same number of dwelling units as the design that contains the eyebrow and cul-de-sac. The petitioner continues to request the original design, which requires subdivision waivers to be approved, as neither eyebrows nor culs-de-sac are allowed by the Unified Development Ordinance. The Department recommends that the subdivision waivers not be approved, and that the alternative design submitted by the petitioner should be approved, with a limit on the number of driveways, as shown in the attached exhibit.

Of note, the petitioner is also working with Engineering staff to address other concerns raised in the December 2022 hearing related to safe pedestrian ramp design. A couple of those issues might require slight changes in the property lines of the adjacent parcels. The Department has spoken to

**CASE #: PUD/DP-24-21** 

**DATE: April 10, 2023** 

the petitioner's representative, and it is understood that significant changes may require a re-filing of the primary plat. They elected to go forward.

The petitioner has agreed to direct construction traffic to enter the site from the west. This has been done on previous petitions with posted signage, and a condition of approval has been added to that effect.

There were questions raised at the hearing about drainage to the neighborhood to the east. The petitioner did not submit anything specifically addressing that question. However, City Departments, as well as City of Bloomington Utilities will review a grading permit before this site is constructed, and work with the petitioner to minimize negative drainage impact on surrounding properties.

**REPORT** (*new or amended information since December bolded and italicized*): The property is located on the east side of N. Prow Road, just north of Bloomington High School North. This petition site is part of the wider Ridgefield PUD, and is the last uncompleted portion of the PUD and subdivision. This proposal is for the section of Ridgefield that would connect N. Hackberry Street to N. Wintersweet Drive in Section V. Property to the north of Section V contains Meadows Hospital, property to the east contains existing portions of the Ridgefield subdivision, property to the west across N. Prow Road contains existing single-family homes, and Bloomington High School North (BHSN) is immediately to the south.

The site received original PUD approval in 1994. The petitioner received PUD Final Plan and Primary (Preliminary) Plat approval for Section V in 2008 with case number PUD-47-07. The petitioner received Secondary (Final) Plat approval for Phase I of Section V in 2009 with case PUD-08-09 and that portion has been platted. The petitioner has built 14 homes in the 25-lot Phase I area since that time.

The remaining area that is undeveloped contained 38 units in the 2008 petition. It now contains 30 units, a mix of single-family dwellings and duplex units.

The Planning and Transportation Department contacted the petitioner in late 2020 with a number of issues that needed to be resolved at the site.

The grading permit for this location expired in 2018, and the associated erosion control bond was also expired. While most of the grading on site was completed, the Department received complaints that there was earth-moving at the site that exceeded the threshold for the requirement of a grading permit. The Department confirmed that earth movement had occurred with no grading permit.

The Department did an analysis of the built portions of Section V and identified deficiencies. Those included street tree issues, as well as a number of issues with the construction of the public sidewalks. The petitioner was given a list of deficiencies in December 2020.

The petitioner's 2008 Final Plan is expired. A grading permit cannot be obtained in a PUD until there is an approved Final Plan. Additionally, the petitioner's 2008 Primary (Preliminary) Plat for those portions that are unbuilt is expired. A new approval is required, so that a Secondary (Final) Plat can be approved and the lots created.

The petitioner started work on the identified sidewalk deficiencies in 2022 without a newly approved plan from the Engineering Department. A proposal to correct the deficiencies was required and received on November 28, 2022. Engineering staff has reviewed the proposal and conveyed comments to the petitioner.

The petitioner is requesting PUD Final Plan approval for the unbuilt portions of Ridgefield PUD Section V, as well as a Primary (Preliminary) Plat Amendment to the existing Subdivision Plat for Ridgefield PUD Section V. The changes from the original 1994 approval and the currently proposed petition are substantial, and therefore, the petitioner must meet a number of current Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) code requirements. Two hearing are required for the subdivision petition because waivers are required for the desired design.

# FINAL PLAN AMENDMENT and PRIMARY PLAT AMEMDMENT:

**Parcel Development Standards:** The Ridgefield PUD permits 5,000 square foot lot minimums, with 20 foot front yard setback minimums. Side yard setbacks are a minimum of 6 feet, with a combined setback of 15 feet, regardless of stories. Lot width is referred to as 'typically 50 feet' and some lots under 50 feet have been allowed in the PUD. The petitioner has re-arranged the parcels from the 2008 petition, and removed 8 units from the proposal. The dimensional standards shown for the developable lots in the Final Plan amendment are compliant with the Outline/Preliminary Plan of the PUD.

'Tracts 1 and 2' shown south of Lots 13 and 14 are not separate tracts, but were added to Lots 13 and 14 through a lot line adjustment (C17-359), which do not create new parcels. The secondary plat will need to be corrected to reflect that. A condition of approval has been added.

# It appears that the areas surrounding the paired homes are common area lots. They need to be noted as such. A condition of approval has been added.

**Road Design:** The petitioner has elected to largely utilize the 2008 designed primary plat for this petition related to road design, though there are a number of items that differ from the required current UDO standards. The first is the width of the right-of-way. The other portions of this PUD utilize a 50 foot right-of-way, as was standard in 2008 for this type of road, but the current UDO requires 60 feet of right-of-way, via the Transportation Plan requirements. The petitioner has worked with the City Engineer on the design of the roadway, and although the width of the right-of-way will not meet UDO standards, the width of the pavement will, at 28 feet. The Transportation Plan allows for the Department Director to approve the 50 foot width.

The UDO does not allow for culs-de-sac or eyebrow design. The petitioner proposes to leave both the eyebrow shown at the southwest portion of the development and the new cul-de-sac, Bradley Court, at the southeast portion of the development. The petitioner may be able to re-design the site to meet current code, but would like to utilize the previously approved design to maximize the number of parcels without a re-design. The Department recommends that the Plan Commission does not approve the design request, and asks for a re-design before the second required hearing in January. *After the December 2022 hearing, the petitioner has shown that a design is possible.* The roads in Section V are intended to be public, which means the maintenance responsibility will be the City's once the roads are built to City standards. Culs-de-sac are difficult to clear of snow, as well as roads containing eyebrows. They also present connectivity issues for pedestrians. The

petitioner has already re-designed a portion of this section (discussed below) in order to gain larger, more marketable parcels. The Department would like to see the site re-designed to meet current UDO requirements, as well. Otherwise, a waiver from the standards related to eyebrow and culde-sac inclusion will be required. *The petitioner is requesting subdivision waiver approval to allow the eyebrow and cul-de-sac to remain, though an alternative design was submitted.* 

**Environmental/Tree Preservation:** The approved landscape plan associated with the 2008 Final Plan for the petition site includes a large area of tree preservation on the south side of lots 33-40, adjacent to BHSN. The staff report for that petition read the following:

"An existing fence line separates several large trees from the remainder of the lots. The petitioner proposes to preserve this area and the majority of the trees, while cleaning out invasive and exotic species and planting new trees. Proposed are several new large canopy trees that will be planted between existing trees. The remainder of the area will be filled in with a mix of smaller canopy or native ornamental trees. This area would then be preserved with a tree preservation and landscaping easement."

Unfortunately, some of the trees have been removed. The petitioner is showing an amended 'tree preservation easement' in that area that is not as deep as the 2008 area, but now extends north to the new N. Winter Sweet Drive connection, in an area previously designed for developable lots. The Department has concerns that the area to the south needs to be filled in to the intended density of the original approval, and will add a condition of approval to insure that is done. *The petitioner has proposed to plant 7 trees in the tree preservation easement area. The area needs to be shown as an easement on the secondary plat. A condition of approval has been added.* 

There were a number of other trees that were highlighted for preservation through the 2008 approval that have since been removed. Some are still shown on the landscape plan for this petition, but are on private property not owned by the petitioner. The Department requests an updated and accurate landscape plan to be submitted and approved by staff before the January hearing. *The petitioner has submitted a new landscape plan.* 

- The original plan showed 4 specimen trees in the undeveloped portion of Section V. Only one remains on the new landscape plan. If the others still exist, the Department recommends adding them back to the plan.
- The tree buffer shown south and east of the paired homes has been removed. The Department recommends adding it back to the plan.
- The missing street trees in the developed portion of Section V are no longer shown. The Department recommends adding them back to the plan.
- Proposed street trees along Prow Road are no longer shown. Existing street trees along Prow Road are no longer shown. Because subdivision requires one street tree for every 40 feet of linear frontage, the location of existing and proposed street trees need to be shown. The Department recommends adding them back to the plan.
- Buffer yards on the rear of lots 7-12 that can no longer be confirmed, as they have been sold to private owners, were removed from the plan.

• The detention pond seed mix information and instructions need to be added to the plan. A condition of approval to address the landscape plan issues has been added.

**Detention Pond:** There is a detention pond shown on lot 43 of the 2008 approval, as well as the current proposal. The parcel needs to be shown as common area on the Secondary Plat, and corrections need to be made to the pond to City of Bloomington Utilities standards. A condition of approval will be added. *The label for the detention pond has been removed and it has not been* 

# shown as a common area. A condition of approval has been added.

**Connectivity:** A pedestrian easement is shown connecting the development to BHSN, through the tree preservation area. More information on how that will be done safely and sensitively is needed. *Per the petitioner's representative, MCCSC is not interested in a pedestrian connection at this location because they do not have pedestrian facilities immediately on their adjacent property. Additionally, Engineering staff has taken a close look at the proposed location, and construction of a PROWAG and ADA compliant sidewalk would be very difficult because of existing slope and the intent of tree preservation. The pedestrian connection is no longer planned. A condition of approval to remove the connection on the secondary plat has been added.* 

**Lighting:** No street lighting is proposed, but a plan must be submitted and approved by the Engineering Department, as well as submitted to the Board of Public Works as a component of the secondary plat proposal.

# *No proposed findings of fact were included in December, so all below are new.* Section 20.06.040(d)(6)(B) General Compliance Criteria (Final Plan and Primary Plat)

- i. Compliance with this UDO
- ii. Compliance with Other Applicable Regulations
- iii. Compliance with Utility, Service, and Improvement Standards
- iv. Compliance with Prior Approvals

**PROPOSED FINDING:** This petition is in compliance with development standards of the original PUD as amended, such as lot design standards. For those items not detailed in the PUD, the petition must meet UDO requirements. The petition will be in compliance with the PUD and UDO requirements once all conditions of approval are met. The site will require City of Bloomington Utilities approval related to stormwater infrastructure, which will be reviewed before a grading permit is issued.

# Section 20.06.070(E)(4) Approval Criteria for Rezoning to a Planned District (PUD)

- (A) Is consistent with the purpose of this UDO and the purpose of Section 20.02.050 (Planned Unit Development (PUD) District ); and
- (B) The petitioner has demonstrated that the proposed rezoning is compatible with surrounding development or can be made compatible with surrounding development through commitments or conditions; and
- (C) Any portion of the PUD zoning district to be occupied by multifamily, mixed-use, or industrial development shall provide a greater level of internal connectivity and connectivity to surrounding developments than would be required by this UDO if the project were not being developed in a PUD zoning district; and
- (D)Each multifamily, mixed-use, or nonresidential principal structure in the PUD zoning district shall provide a greater level of design quality than would be required by this UDO if the project were not being developed in a PUD zoning district; and
- (E) At least one of the following criteria are met;

- (i) The proposed PUD zoning district will include construction of a substantial open space, recreational, entertainment, or cultural amenity that will be open to and usable by the general public, and that would not otherwise be required by this UDO. Reconfiguration of open space required by this UDO does not satisfy these criteria;
- (ii) The proposed PUD zoning district will protect a significant ecological, natural, historical, architectural, or archeological resource that was not already protected from development by this UDO or by state or federal law. Avoidance of designated floodplains or wetland areas, or the provision of additional buffers around such areas, does not satisfy these criteria; or
- (iii) The proposed PUD zoning district provides affordable housing beyond the amounts that the petitioner would have been required to provide in order to earn a Tier 1 or Tier 2 affordable housing incentive under Section 20.04.110(c)(5) by either:
  - Income-restricting at least 10 percent more of the dwelling units at or below the income levels required to earn a Tier 1 or Tier 2 incentive, or
  - (2) Income restricting the same number of dwelling units required to earn a Tier 1 or Tier 2 affordable housing incentive, but limiting incomes to at least 10 percent lower AMI level than would have been required to earn a Tier 1 or Tier 2 incentive.20.04.110(c)(5)

**PROPOSED FINDING**: This petition is not rezoning the property to PUD, but is developing the last portion of an existing PUD, while amending the total number of lots.

20.06.040(d)(6)(D) Additional Criteria Applicable to Primary Plats and Zoning Map Amendments (Including PUDs)

(i) Consistency with Comprehensive Plan and Other Applicable Plans The proposed use and development shall be consistent with and shall not interfere with the achievement of the goals and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan and any other adopted plans and policies.

**PROPOSED FINDING**: The proposed plat will add additional housing opportunities, which is a key component of the Comprehensive Plan.

# (ii) Consistent with Intergovernmental Agreements

The proposed use and development shall be consistent with any adopted intergovernmental agreements and shall comply with the terms and conditions of any intergovernmental agreements incorporated by reference into this UDO.

PROPOSED FINDING: No known intergovernmental agreements affect this petition.

# (iii) Minimization or Mitigation of Adverse Impacts

(1) The proposed use and development shall be designed to minimize negative environmental impacts and shall not cause significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Examples of the natural environment include water, air, noise, stormwater management, wildlife habitat, soils, and native vegetation.

- (2) The proposed use and development shall not result in the excessive destruction, loss or damage of any natural, scenic, or historic feature of significant importance.
- (3) The proposed use and development shall not result in significant adverse fiscal impacts on the city.
- (4) The petitioner shall make a good-faith effort to address concerns of the adjoining property owners in the immediate neighborhood as defined in the pre-submittal neighborhood meeting for the specific proposal, if such a meeting is required.

**PROPOSED FINDING**: The Department has added a condition of approval to include tree buffering between the undeveloped portions of Section V and the neighborhood to the east in order to limit negative impacts.

# (iv) Adequacy of Road Systems

- (1) Adequate road capacity must exist to serve the uses permitted under the proposed development, and the proposed use and development shall be designed to ensure safe ingress and egress onto the site and safe road conditions around the site, including adequate access onto the site for fire, public safety, and EMS services.
- (2) The proposed use and development shall neither cause undue traffic congestion nor draw significant amounts of traffic through residential streets.

**PROPOSED FINDING**: There are no road capacity issues anticipated at this location.

# (v) Provides Adequate Public Services and Facilities

If the petition involves phases, each phase of the proposed development shall contain all of the required streets, utilities, landscaping, open space, and other improvements that are required to comply with the project's cumulative development to date and shall not depend upon subsequent phases for those improvements.

**PROPOSED FINDING**: This is the final phase of development for Ridgefield, and specifically Section V. All streets, street trees, utilities, easements, and other improvements will be inspected and approved with this phase once construction is complete.

# (vi) Rational Phasing Plan

If the petition involves phases, each phase of the proposed development shall contain all of the required streets, utilities, landscaping, open space, and other improvements that are required to comply with the project's cumulative development to date and shall not depend upon subsequent phases for those improvements.

**PROPOSED FINDING**: This is the final phase.

# 20.06.060(b)(3)(F) Subdivision Waivers

Waivers from any standards within Chapter 5 shall be reviewed according to the following criteria:

i. The granting of the subdivision waiver shall not be detrimental to the public safety, health, or general welfare, or injurious to other property; and

ii. The conditions upon which the request for a Subdivision Waiver are based are unique to the property; and

iii. The Subdivision Waiver shall not in any manner vary the provisions of the development standards, Comprehensive Plan, or Transportation Plan.

**PROPOSED FINDING:** The petitioner is requesting to waive the standard that prohibits eyebrow design in 20.05.050(j)(5)(N), as well as Table 05-5 that only allows cul-de-sac design in limited use for commercial/employment subdivisions. The petitioner received approval for a similar design in 2008, but did not finish construction. The petitioner has submitted a design that meets both the eyebrow and cul-de-sac limitations of the UDO, but prefers to request the waiver. The Department recommends denial of the waiver request.

CONCLUSION: The Department has been working with the petitioner for some time to address the issues at the petition site, so that the development can be completed and the residents can receive City services. The Department looks forward to the site being brought into compliance and completed. Final Plan approval is the first step in the process of resolving this enforcement case. While completing the roadway connection is important and the petition was created under a previous code, the Department wants to encourage code-compliant design for any new public facilities being built, and therefore has discouraged the use of the new cul-de-sac and eyebrow design, and ultimately recommended denial of the subdivision waiver. Compliance with the UDO would require reconfiguration that deviates from the design desired by the petitioner, but the petitioner has shown that compliance is possible.

**RECOMMENDATION**: The Department recommends that the Plan Commission approve the Final Plan and Primary (Preliminary) Plat Amendment to Section V of the Ridgefield subdivision and deny the subdivision waiver request, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The approved design is that design submitted by the petitioner showing no eyebrow and no cul-de-sac, included in this packet (titled Alternative Roadway Design) except that the parcel in the southeast portion of the development which was previously shown as a cul-de-sac shall have a maximum of six driveway cuts.
- 2. The petitioner shall submit a grading permit application packet for, at a minimum, the developed portion of Section V, within 30 days of this approval.
- 3. The petitioner shall submit to the Engineering Department a Public Improvements bond estimate within 30 days of this approval, and will submit the required bond within 10 days of the approval of said estimate.
- 4. The petitioner has agreed to instruct construction traffic to enter the site from the west, off of Prow Road. Signage to that effect (minimally, one at the east end of to-be-constructed Wintersweet Drive and one at Prow Road) will be placed on the site during construction.
- 5. 'Tracts 1 and 2' shall be corrected and shown as parts of Lots 13 and 14 on the secondary plat.
- 6. The petitioner shall identify all common area lots around the paired homes on the secondary plat.

- 7. The petitioner shall identify the tree preservation area as an easement on the secondary plat, with all required language included on said plat.
- 8. An amended landscape plan shall be submitted before a grading permit will be issued that addresses the following issues:
  - All four specimen trees shown in the 2008 plans for the undeveloped portion of Section V need to be shown on the plan. If they have died, please submit proof to the Department.
  - The tree buffer originally shown on the 2008 plans south and east of the paired homes needs to be added to the plan.
  - Missing street trees in the developed portion of Section V need to be added to the plan.
  - Existing and proposed street trees along Prow Road, in order to meet one tree for every 40 linear feet of frontage, need to be added to the plan.
  - The landscape plan shall be updated to match the approved road design.
- 9. Label Lot 43 as a detention pond, as well as a common area lot.
- 10. Remove the pedestrian connection easement to Bloomington High School North on the secondary plat.
- 11. Any previous conditions related to on-street parking in Section V are removed, and onstreet parking for the roads in Section V will be governed by the Bloomington Municipal Code regulations.







600

400

By: scanlanj 30 Jul 21 200 0 200

For reference only; map information NOT warranted.

20









# Petitioner Impervious Analysis



**Original Layout** 

amount of pavement and sidewalk 21,542.98 sf or 0.49 acres



New Exhibit Layout

amount of pavement and sidewalk 24,174.50 sf or 0.55 acres This configuration increased impervious area by 2,631.52 sf









FENCE EXHIBIT JOB No. 6015 0 7,5 15 30 SCALE 1"=30'







BRCJchill.com

Aerials of fence line along southern l<del>0</del>t line and area with no trees for reference





# Bledsoe Riggert Cooper James

LAND SURVEYING . CIVIL ENGINEERING . GIS

November 28, 2022

Jackie Scanlan, AICP Development Services Manager City of Bloomington Planning and Transportation Department Shower Building Suite 130 401 N. Morton St Bloomington, Indiana 47404

via email: <a href="mailto:scanlanj@bloomington.in.gov">scanlanj@bloomington.in.gov</a>

Re: Ridgefield Subdivision North Prow Road: 3500 Block of N. Hackberry Street Petitioner's Statement

Dear Jackie,

On behalf of our client, Robert Shaw, we respectfully request to be placed on the December 2022 Plan Commission agenda for consideration of Final Plan approval, Preliminary Plat amendment, and waiver requests for Ridgefield PUD and Subdivision Section V.

This is the final phase of the Ridgefield Subdivision. Located east of Prow Road along the northern edge of the Bloomington North High School campus, this portion of the subdivision is bounded by churches and single-family residences to the west; the Bloomington Meadows facility and single-family residences to the north; and duplexes to the east. Within this 8.57 acre section, we are proposing 14 single family home sites ranging from 0.21 to 0.33 acres; a 0.80 acre tree preservation easement; a pedestrian access easement with a walkway to the high school campus; and two condominium parcels consisting of 0.98 acres with six units and 2.00 acres with ten units respectively. Utilities for this phase will be extended from those constructed in Phase 1. Water will be extended northeast along Winterstreet Drive to connect to the existing 12" water main constructed under a previous section of Ridgefield. The stormwater detention basin constructed in Phase 1 was sized to accommodate the development of Phase 2. We propose to continue the use of the 50' right-of-way with 5' sidewalks as presented in the preliminary plat. However, we are widening the street width from 20' to 28' to accommodate on street parking.

Our request to amend the preliminary plat includes reducing the number of single-family lots along the east side of Hackberry Street in Phase 1 by one; reducing the number of lots in Phase 2 by seven; and reconfiguring the tree preservation easement to allow for wider, more accommodating, single-family home sites.

In addition, we request a waiver from the subdivision development standards to allow for an eyebrow type cul-de-sac to be placed at the intersection of Hackberry Street and Wintersweet Drive and a cul-de-sac to be placed at the end of Bradley Court. These cul-de-sacs were presented on the preliminary plat in 2008 and are consistent with the balance of the Ridgefield Subdivision. They are essential to provide street access and to allow for full development of the southwest and southeast corners of this final phase of the subdivision.

We are also working to address the outstanding public improvement deficiencies within Phase 1 that were outlined by staff. As requested, we have prepared engineered plans for select sidewalk and ramp replacement and installation of detectable warning elements on ramps to ensure compliance with ADA Guidelines and the Public Right-of-Way Accessibility Guidelines (PROWAG). We are submitting these plans for your review as well as staff's review. Once we have staff acceptance of the plans, we will prepare engineer's estimates for the associated public improvements and erosion control measures. We will then obtain and submit the respective bonds so a grading permit can be released to allow us to proceed with the corrective measures. It should be noted that we are committed to implementing the approved street lighting plan and addressing any remaining street tree deficiencies.

Ridgefield Subdivision Petitioner's Statement November 28, 2022 Page 2 of 2

We respectfully request your positive consideration of our requests for this final phase of the Ridgefield PUD.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns or if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,

1:5th

William S. Riggert, PE Principal

ec: Robert Shaw

xc: File - Project 6015



# RIDGEFIELD SUBDIVISION SECTION V PHASE 2 FOR BOB SHAW

GA09 W099

# SHEET INDEX

SHEET NO.	DESCRIPTION
C100	TITLE SHEET
C201	STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION - NOTES
C202	STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION - PLAN
C203	STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION - DETAILS
C301	SITE PLAN
C401	GRADING PLAN
C501	UTILITY PLAN
C601	LANDSCAPE
C701	ROAD PROFILES R-1
C702	ROAD PROFILES R-2
C703	ROAD PROFILES R-3, R-4
C704	SANITARY SEWER PROFILE S-1 (FOR REFERENCE)
C705	SANITARY SEWER PROFILE S-2
C706	SANITARY SEWER PROFILE S-3
C707	FORCE MAIN (FOR REFERENCE)
C801	DETAILS
C802	SPECIFICATIONS



		STUNTY STUNY	NO. 870231	THE POLINE AND	11-28-2022	); v	/ \ , >	CERTIFIED	
		BY DATE							
		ΒY							
ISSUE:	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	REVISIONS							
DATE	11-28-2022								

HECL #6012 KIDGELIEFD 2018DIAI2ION 2ECLION A LHV2E 3

Bedace Nuger Corps Jame	A DATA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	Manual State     Manual State       Manual State	Deam By GBM Declard By WSR Created By WSR C201
SAMPLE INSPECTION FORM:	Commentation of the second sec		
SOIL DESCRIPTIONS		<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text>	
NTION PLAN NOTES	Entry - La Concuencia Concourtir and a Conceptibility of a Conc	<section-header><section-header><text><text></text></text></section-header></section-header>	PROJECT LOCATION MAP
CONSTRUCTION/STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN NOTES	<ul> <li>Hen I. Concrete Contractor</li> <li>Hence on A protote Contractor</li> <li>Hence on A p</li></ul>	<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text>	<ul> <li>Before the detail on Stormmanter Pollution Prevention Plane - Details for additional multimension requirements.</li> </ul>
CONSTRUCTION/STORM		191 201 − 100 − 1	






























# CASE #: SP-09-23 DATE: April 10, 2023

<b>PETITIONER:</b>	Core SVA Bloomington Plato 2, LLC 1643 N. Milwaukee Ave, Chicago, IL
CONSULTANTS:	DLR Group 333 Wacker Drive, Chicago, IL

**REQUEST:** The petitioner is requesting major site plan approval to allow the construction of a mixed-use building with a 1,500 square foot commercial space and 172 dwelling units in the Mixed-Use Student Housing (MS) zoning district. Also requested is approval of the use of the Sustainable Development incentives and Affordable Housing incentives.

BACKGROUND:		
Area:	2.04 acres	
Zoning:	Mixed-Use Student Housing (MS)	
<b>Comp Plan Designation:</b>	Neighborhood Residential	
Existing Land Use:	Vacant	
<b>Proposed Land Use:</b>	Mixed Use	
Surrounding Uses:	North – Hotel	
	West – Miller Showers Park	
	East – Multifamily residences	
	South – Mixed-Use	

**REPORT:** This property is located at 2038 N. Walnut Street and is zoned Mixed-Use Student Housing (MS). Surrounding zoning includes Mixed-Use Student Housing (MS) to the north, east, and south with the property to the west zoned Parks and Open Space (PO). The surrounding properties to the east and south have been developed with student housing, a hotel (Hampton Inn) to the north, and Miller Showers Park to the west. This site is currently vacant and was previously used for a restaurant which has since been removed.

The petitioner is requesting major site plan approval to allow the construction of an eight-story mixed-use building with 1,560 square feet of commercial space, 172 dwelling units and 463 bedrooms. There will be 19 studios, 5 one-bedroom units, 87 two-bedroom units, 14 three-bedroom units, 12 four-bedroom units, and 35 five-bedroom units. A total of 264 parking spaces will be provided within the building. On-site parking also includes 11 electric vehicle charging stations and 90 bicycle parking stalls. A 10' wide concrete sidewalk with a minimum 8' wide tree plot is required along the street frontage and is shown on the site plan. There is a shared access drive into this property from Walnut Street that also provides access to the Hampton Inn and the apartments to the east. The parking area within this proposed building will be accessed from the east side of the building from the existing shared access drive.

The petitioner is requesting to be able to utilize the Sustainable Development Incentives outlined in Section 20.04.110(d)(2)(B) by achieving a Silver Certification by the Home Innovation National Green Building Standard (NGBS) Green rating system. The approval of the Incentives would allow for an additional floor, not to exceed 12', for the building. The petitioner is also requesting to utilize the Affordable Housing Incentives through the "Payment-in-Lieu" option and has outlined compliance with that requirement in their petitioner statement. The approval of the Affordable Housing Incentives would allow an additional floor to be added, not to exceed 12'. The approval of both incentives would also allow the petitioner to not be restricted in the size of the floor plate allowed.

**MAJOR SITE PLAN REVIEW 20.06.050(a)(2)(C)(ii):** Major site plan approval is required for developments that contain more than 50 dwelling units. This proposed site plan will involve the construction of 172 dwelling units and therefore triggers major site plan review.

**DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS & INCENTIVES 20.04:** The following UDO standards are required to be reviewed for all activities that require New Development approval.

# **MS Dimensional Standards:**

**Building setbacks:** The minimum front building setback is 15' from the existing right-ofway along Walnut Street and 15' from the side and rear property lines. The proposed building meets all of the setback requirements.

**Front parking setback (minimum):** The minimum front parking setback is 20 feet behind the primary structure's front building wall or for parking within a building the parking area must be 20' back from the front facade. All of the parking will be within the building and has been shown to be set back 20' from the front building wall.

**Side/Rear parking setback (minimum):** There are no exterior parking lots and there are no setbacks from side or rear property lines applicable for parking within a building.

**Minimum Landscape Area:** The minimum landscape area required is 30% and they are proposing 30%, which meets this minimum standard.

**Primary structure height:** The maximum height allowed in the MS district is six (6) stories not to exceed 75 feet. The petitioner is proposing to utilize the Sustainable Development incentives and Affordable Housing incentives in order to be allowed two additional stories, not to exceed 12' each. The proposed building is eight stories and 75' tall as measured at the midpoint of average finished grade. If the Sustainable Development and Affordable Housing Incentives are approved, the building would not exceed the maximum number of stories allowed.

**Environment:** The property does not have any naturally occurring environmentally sensitive areas. There are no known sensitive environmental features.

Steep Slopes: No naturally occurring steep slopes are present.

**Siltation and erosion prevention:** An erosion control plan has been submitted as part of the proposal and will be approved with the grading permit. A grading permit will be required before development can begin on the property. There are no expected siltation or erosion control issues expected with this proposal.

**Drainage:** A grading and drainage plan has been submitted. A series of catch basins and stormwater detention ponds are shown on the north side of the building that will be planted with a native rain garden seed mix. A copy of the stormwater detention and overall utility plans have also been submitted to City of Bloomington Utilities for their review. There are no drainage issues expected with this proposal.

Riparian Buffer: There are no riparian buffers on the site.

Karst Geology: There are no known karst features on the site.

Wetlands: No wetlands were identified on the site.

Tree and forest preservation: There is no closed canopy on the site.

Lake Watershed: There are no watershed issues on the site. Floodplain: The property is not within a regulated 100-year floodplain.

## Access and Connectivity:

**Driveways and access:** There is a shared access drive into this property from Walnut Street that also provides access to the Hampton Inn to the north and the apartments to the east. The parking area within this proposed building will be accessed from the east side of the building from the existing shared access drive. There will not be any new drivecuts on Walnut Street. The existing access drive meets the 100' separation requirement from another entrance. The driveway is 24' wide and meets the maximum width allowed. No changes are required for the existing driveway.

**Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities:** Walnut Street is classified as a General Urban typology and requires a 10' wide concrete sidewalk with an 8' tree plot. The petitioner has shown the required 8' tree plot and 10' sidewalk. A portion of the sidewalk may encroach onto the private property and a pedestrian easement is required for any portions of the sidewalk not located in public right-of-way, this has been included in a condition of approval. There are some underground utility lines within the tree plot that may prevent some of the required street trees from being installed within the tree plot, these must be shown behind the sidewalk if not able to be installed within the tree plot. The location of the existing and proposed utility lines is still being reviewed by CBU and this will be resolved with the grading permit.

There is an existing sidewalk along the north side of the existing shared access drive and a new sidewalk and tree plot with street trees will be constructed along the south side of the shared access drive to be consistent with a typical cross section of a public street. A sidewalk system has been shown around the entire building and connects to the two main entries into the building, one on the west side of the building connecting to Walnut Street and one at the northeast corner of the building.

Based on the 463 bedrooms, the UDO requires one bicycle parking space per 5 bedrooms which equals 93 bicycle parking spaces required. There are 90 stalls shown and an additional 3 bicycle parking spaces must be shown. Bicycle parking, at a minimum of 6 spaces, is also required for the commercial portion of the building. A condition of approval has been included.

**Public Transit:** A bus stop is not requested at this development site since there is a bus stop on the property immediately to the south.

**Parking and Loading:** Based on the proposed bedroom count and utilization of incentives, the minimum number of on-site parking spaces required is 145 spaces and the maximum is 333 spaces. The petitioner is proposing 264 parking spaces inside the building which meets the minimum number of spaces required and does not exceed the maximum. Since there are more than 50 parking spaces, the UDO requires a minimum of 1 electric vehicle charging station for every 25 spaces, therefore a minimum of 11 electric vehicle charging stations are required and have been included.

### Site and Building Design:

**Material:** The building features a predominantly masonry base with masonry columns extending vertically along selective modules of the building. The remainder of the building is finished primarily in cementitious cladding with metal panels used as a secondary material. These are all listed as permitted materials.

**Exterior Facade:** The petitioner has incorporated a series of recessed modules, raised parapets, awnings and canopies, and transparent glass throughout the four facades. The proposed façade elevations all meet the requirements of the UDO. Compliance with the architectural standards of the UDO has been outlined on pages A2-4, A2-5, and A2-6 of the petitioner's exhibits.

**Patterns:** A series of different elements and finishing materials have been incorporated within the modules to provide horizontal and vertical design elements and pattern. There are three different colors used on the facades.

Eaves & Roof: The buildings will utilize a flat roof with parapets which is allowed.

**360-Degree Architecture:** All four sides of the building show similar architecture and design elements. The building therefore meets the 360-degree architecture requirements.

**Pedestrian Entry:** Pedestrian entrances have been shown on the west side of the building facing Walnut Street and on the northeast corner of the building. There are two pedestrian entries on the west side of the building, one at each corner, with sidewalk connections to Walnut Street provided.

Windows on Primary Facades: All proposed windows on the building are shown to be transparent and therefore are in compliance.

Anti-monotony Standards: There is only one building proposed for this lot so these standards do not apply.

Landscape, Buffering, and Fences: The petitioner has submitted a landscape plan for the property showing compliance with the UDO standards. Specific quantities for each species must be delineated on the final landscape plan, however all of the proposed species are listed as approved species and the overall quantities for the required diversity of landscaping meet code minimums. Street trees are shown along Walnut Street as required, however final utility plans are still being reviewed by CBU and the installation of street trees within the tree plot may not be possible due to the presence of existing underground water and sanitary lines. Any street trees not able to be installed in the tree plot as a result of a conflict with a public utility line must be installed behind the sidewalk or utilize a smaller tree, within code allowances.

**Outdoor Lighting:** A lighting and photometric plan will have to be submitted which shows that the site meets UDO requirements for maximum light trespass and fixture types. No deviations from the lighting code are expected. A condition of approval has been added.

**Incentives:** The proposal is seeking Tier I Affordable Housing incentives through the 'paymentin-lieu' option. The petitioner will contribute \$20,000 each for 15% of the total bedrooms proposed at the site. For 463 beds, that rounds to 70 beds, for a total of \$1,400,000. That number will be adjusted if the bed count changes. The Tier 1 Affordable Housing incentive allows one additional floor, not to exceed 12 feet. The proposal is also seeking Sustainable Development Bonus, and proposes to achieve a Silver Certification by the Home Innovation National Green Building Standard (NGBS) Green rating system. The Sustainable Development Bonus allows one additional floor not to exceed 12 feet for projects already meeting the Tier 1 Affordable Housing incentive standards.

**SITE PLAN REVIEW:** The Plan Commission shall review the major site plan petition and approve, approve with conditions, or deny the petition in accordance with Section 20.06.040(g) (Review and Decision ), based on the general approval criteria in Section 20.06.040(d)(6)(B) (General Compliance Criteria).

# 20.06.040(d)(6)(B) General Compliance Criteria

- i. Compliance with this UDO
- ii. Compliance with Other Applicable Regulations
- iii. Compliance with Utility, Service, and Improvement Standards
- iv. Compliance with Prior Approvals

**PROPOSED FINDING:** The proposed site plan is compliant with all of the standards of the UDO. There are no prior approvals for this property or other known applicable regulations. No problems have been identified with meeting all stormwater and utility connections.

**CONCLUSION:** The proposed site plan meets all of the requirements of the Unified Development Ordinance, if Incentives for both Affordable Housing and Sustainable Development are allowed. The development of this property will allow a redevelopment opportunity according to current zoning and bring a property that is currently vacant into compliance with current standards. This project will also result in an increase in the City's ability to provide affordable housing through the contribution to the Affordable Housing Fund. There will also be improvements to the Walnut Street frontage and a sustainable building designed to a Silver level certification.

**RECOMMENDATION**: The Planning and Transportation Department recommends that the Plan Commission approve the use of the Sustainable Development and Affordable Housing Incentives and adopt the proposed findings and approve SP-09-23 with the following conditions:

- 1. Silver level certification with the Home Innovation National Green Building Standard (NGBS) Green rating system is required prior to recommendation of issuance of final occupancy.
- 2. A 10' wide concrete sidewalk and 8' wide tree plot with street trees are required along the entire property frontage. Any street trees not able to be installed in the tree plot must be planted behind the sidewalk.
- 3. A pedestrian easement is required for any portions of the sidewalk not located in the rightof-way and must be recorded prior to recommendation of issuance of final occupancy.
- 4. The Payment-In-Lieu contribution must be received prior to recommendation of issuance of final occupancy.
- 5. Catch basins along the front of the building will be planted with a native stormwater seed mixture as shown.
- 6. A lighting and photometric plan must be submitted and approved before issuance of the grading permit.
- 7. Based on the 463 bedroom count and the commercial space, a total of 99 bicycle parking spaces are required, including 6 adjacent to the commercial space.

# Planning and Transportation Department



Created: 4/6/2023 Map By:

City of Bloomington



# Planning and Transportation Department



Created: 4/7/2023 Map By:

# **DLR**GROUP

DLR Group inc. an Indiana corporation 6457 Frances Street, Suite 200 Omaha, NE 68106

April 4, 2023

Mr. Eric Greulich Senior Zoning Planner City of Bloomington Planning and Transportation Department 812-349-3526

Re: 2038 N Walnut St – Hub Bloomington DLR Group Project No. 23-23101-00

Subj: Plan Commission Submission Petitioner's Statement

Mr. Greulich,

Thank you for your assistance through the preliminary phases of the submission process. Based on our previous presentation to the Development Review Committee and the multiple meetings we have had to review the project with you, we are pleased to formally submit the proposed multi-residential housing project at 2038 N Walnut Street.

DLR Group is collaborating with Core Spaces, LLC to provide a new state-of-the-art seven story student oriented mixed-use residential development containing approximately 172 units located mere blocks from the Indiana University Bloomington campus. The new building will house a creative mix of approximately 19 studios, 5 one-bedroom, 87 two-bedroom, 14 three-bedroom, 12 four-bedroom and 35 five-bedroom student apartments with approximately 33 units on a typical residential floor level. The spectacular amenity areas will be located on the lower level, ground floor and rooftop level. The roof terrace of the building will house a state-of-the art pool, hot tub, and other exterior amenity spaces. A marvelous lobby will be developed on the ground floor along with a leasing office. In addition to the residential amenities, approximately 1,560 square feet of commercial space will be provided along Walnut Street as a front facing connection to the community. The residential units will sit above a fully enclosed parking garage of approximately 264 vehicular and 90 bicycle parking stalls.

The new development is bordered by Walnut Street to the west, the Hampton Inn Bloomington to the north, the Walnut Knolls Apartment complex to the east and VERVE Bloomington to the south. The site itself is approximately 2.04 acres and has a grade change of approximately 31'-0" from east to west along the nearly 400' long site. As a result, we are utilizing the slope by carving in a select location for the parking garage structure; however, do the shallow depth of bedrock the excavations are being kept to a minimum due to construction cost considerations. Although the site is approximately 2.04 acres, because of the existing Walnut Knolls Apartment access road and shared access easement, the building is located on south portion of the site where currently there is an existing parking lot and vacant restaurant building. Please find included the overall preliminary drainage plan summary from Kimley Horn regarding both the existing site conditions and proposed conditions.

Core Spaces – Hub Bloomington March 27, 2023 Page 2

The design of the building is inspired by the local context of Bloomington and its region within Indiana. The building incorporates a highly textural and durable stone base paying homage to the local Indiana limestone while the levels above provide a high-quality exterior façade with warm colored cementitious materials. The design, articulation, materials, and patterns are derived from the local zoning design guideline requirements. The project is pursuing the Tier 1 Affordable Incentive by the "Payment in Lieu" process, in the amount of \$1,400,000 based on 15% of 463 bedrooms. The final payment amount will adjust based on the final number of bedrooms. The project will also pursue the Sustainable Developments Incentive by means of Option 2 certifications. The third-party review program will meet the requirements of Silver Certification by the Home Innovation National Green Building Standard (NGBS). The project aims to provide a highly sustainable development that is a harmonious addition to the community of Bloomington.

Sincerely,

**DLR Group** 

Nathan L. Casteel, AIA, LEED AP BD+C Principal





THIS INFORMATION IS CONCI SUBJECT TO ADJUSTIMENTP AND CLIENT, TEMANT AND GA APP ROVALS. NOWARRAWTE KIND ARE GIVEN OR IMPLIED VOT FOR CONSTRUCTION SITE CONTEXT DIAGRAM 00-2322 1-02 HUB AT BLOOMING TON **G-2** DRC SUBMISSION 03.27.2023 landscape architecture urban design CORE



VIEW FROM THE NORTHWEST CORNER

VIEW FROM WALNUT KNOLLS APARTMENT ROAD

III III Productore pre sumerscov Productore pre sumerscov HLB AF (B.COMM67TON 00 2021-02 HLB AF (B.COMM67TON 00 2021-02				G-3	<b>EXISTING SITE PHOTOGRAPHS</b>	NI SHT SUBJEC MOCK	THS INFORMATION IS CONCEPTUAL IN WITHE CAR SUBJECT TO ADJUSTIMENT PENDINGFURTHER IN CONTINUATION AND CLEART, TERMINE MAGENCIES CONTINUATIES CONTINUES CONTINUES CONTINUES CONTINUES CONTINUATIES CONTINUATIES CONTINUES
HUB AT BLOOMING TON 00.2222-1-22 (NO	C C R E			DRC SUBMISSION		24 (DAD)	ARE GIVEN OR IMPLIED OF THE ARCHITECT.
	)		C I C C ncban design	03.27.20.23	AT BLOOMING TON	NOT FO	FOR CONSTRUCTION

		BASE PROJECTZONING COMPLIANCE TABLE			
	BAGE ZONING ALLOWABLE/ REQUIRED	ALLOWABLE W / INCENTIVES	CO DE SECTION	BASE PROJECT	ZONING COMPLIANCE
CANANCE.		MIC MINER THE CALIFORNIA SM			
PALMA (77		DIMENSIONAL STA NDARDS (MINIMUM)			
TOTAL LOT SIZE	SQUARE FEET: 5,000 SF	SQUAREFEET: 88,727 SF	8,727 SF		
	ACRES: 0.115	AC RES: 2.04	5		
LOT W DTH	50.FEET	7000			
LUT CUVENAGE		100% BILLINAS SETRACKS			
GO NT / BI III D-TD-64 NGE)	MONE		TABLE D4.2	,JU-109	COMBILES
ERONIT ALLOCATE OF DILUCTION TO DAVIDED	NOWE		TABLE OF 2	0.00	COMPLEE
	24/04/		TABLE 04.3	2.00	COMPLET
SIDE	15 557		TABLE 04-3	50.00	COMPLET
BEAR BEAR			TABLE DA-3	15'4'	COMPLIES
		OTHER STANDARDS			
FRONT PARKING	20 FEET BEHIND PRIMARY STRUCTURESS FRONT BUILDING WALL		TABLE 04-3	38. <del>6</del>	COMPUES
SIDE PARKING BEAR PARKING	8 FEET		TABLE 04-3 TABLE 04-3	47"-0" @ EAST, 79"-0" @ WEST 18"-0"	COMPLIES
IMPERVIOUS SURFACE COVER AGE (MAX IMUM)	302		TABLE 04-3	69.9% (SEE IMPERVIOUS SURFACE DIAGRAMS)	COMPLIES
LANDSCAPE AREA (MINIMUM)	305		TABLE 04-3	30.1% ISEE IMPERVICUS SUBFACE DIAGRAMS	COMPLIES
	4100		TABLE OF S	formande no morando o o o a sua mode a made	CONTRACTOR
OF ANT INDIVIDUAL COMMENUAL LENA.	- MUM	ALVAIVAN FUJIA	I VIDLE 04-3	35 NOC'T	COMPUES
		1120712011			
		э неченоэтон на накоозе иле питеми з лись час поот ог оке госа и евоима некап, NOT 70 EXCED 12 FET REVOLD THE MAXY PRIMARY STRUCTURE HERHT ESTABUSHED РОКТИЕ 2014/02 DISTRUCTURE HERMIN PRIMARY STRUCTURE HERMIT ESTABUSHED		7 STORIES (6 STORIES BY RIGHT + 1 STORY BY TIER 1 PRODUCTOR A EXCENTION OF A STORY OF A STORY OF A	
PRIMARY STRUCTURE HEIGHT (MAX)	6 STORIES, NOT TO EXCEED 75 FEET	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT BONUS	TABLE 04-3	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT BONUS ALLOWEDI, NOT TO	COMPLIES
		ALDING FOR ON ADDITPANA. PLODE of BALDING HIGGIN, NOT TO EXCED 11 RET. ADDITPANA LOODS VAULES LIMITED TO EXO OF BALDING FOR TO ARACLO FORMART STRUCTURE AND HOODS SHALLSE TO ACT ON TLAST. TO FEEF LIFET FURTHER THAT THAT OF LONGEN STRUCTURE AND HOODS SHALLSE TO ACT ON TLAST.		EDCEED 99' 0''	
ACCESSORY STRUCTURE HEIGHT	20 FEET		TABLE 04-3		
		R. OOR AREA			
RESIDENTIAL FLOOR AREA				138,550 SF	
COMMERCIAL FLOOR AREA				1,560 SF	
OLIVIA MURAL MODILI		UNITS		NA 1767	
DWELLING UNITS				172 UNITS	
RESIDENTIAL BEDROOMS		SWINGPO		463 BEDROOMS	
BIKE PARKING (SEE TABLE)	RESIDENTIAL USE' TU'N OF ONE SPACE PER 5 BEDROOMS, WHICHEVER IS MORE	N/A	TABLE 04-13	SEE BIKE PARKING TABLE	COMPLIES
	CTUDENT UCULONIC OF DORATOON AT	AFFORDABLE AND SENIOR HOUSING			
P ARK ING (SEE TABLE)	118EDROOMS OR MORE: 0.5 SPACES PER BEDROOM	THE IMMINIAM WIMBER OF REQUIRED VEHICLE PARING SPACES FOR MULTIF AMEY RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURES SWUL BE REDUCED BY 55% F THE MULTIFAMEY RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURE QUALIFES FOR THE PFORDMER HOLSING INCENTINS PURSUM TO SECTION	TABLE 04-9	SEE PARKING TABLE	computes
P ARKING DIMENSIONS	ANGLE: 50 DEGREE STALL SIZE: 16' X8'-6'' TWO WAY AISLE: 20'-0''	STACKED PARKING ARRANGEMENTS ARE PERMITTED	TABLE 04-11		COMPLIES

PARKING SUMMARY	
P MAKING REQUEED. A 11 OK MORE EDROOMS 3 SPACES FER REDROOMS	222 STALLS (@ 463 BEDROOMS)
 PARKING REQURED WINCENTINE APPROPRIATE AND SENOVE AND SENOVE ANNUM OF PREJURED VENOVE PARKING SENGE SAVLE BE PREJURGED 97 95%.	<b>H8 STALLS</b> (35% LESS STALLS)
ACCESSIBLE PARANG REQUIRED. POR 2010/2015/CESSUBLE PARANG SPICES REQUIRED. AT LEASTONE OF EUROP 6 ACCESSUBLE SWICES ARE TO BE UW ACCESSUBLE.	SSTANDARD STALLS 2 VAN STALLS
ELECTRIC VEHICL, E CHARGING: R 20 CA MADE RARANG SADES PROMEONE DEECATED TO ELECTRE FO REVERT 25 PARANG SPACES PROVIDED	11 STALLS
PARKING PROVIDED: LONGY LEVEL DI LONGY LEVEL DI LONGY LEVEL DI LONGY LEVEL DI	89 STALLS 18 STALLS 18 STALLS 147 STALLS
TOTAL	264 STALLS
<b>BIKE PARKING SUMMARY</b>	MARY
BIKE PARKING REQUIRED:	93 STALLS (@ 1 PER 5 OF 463 BEDROOMS)
10%, OR OVIE SPACE PER 6 BEDROOMS, WHICHEVER IS MORE	47 \$7ALLS (@ 10% OF 483 BEDROOMS)
BIKE PARKNG PROVIDED: LOWEL OI LOWER LE-KEL OI LOWER LE-KEL OI	40 STALIS 12 STALIS 42 STALIS
TOTAL	94 STALLS

	<b>UNIT MATRIX</b>
ĺ	

172 UNITS 463 BEDROOMS
TOTAL UNITS: TOTAL BEDROOMS:

ŝ
Z
ш
$\geq$
ш
_
ENTIT
Z
ш
in
-
Ĩ
Ĩ
ETLI
HEFT LI
SHEET LI

TYPE I SPRINKLERED, NFPA-13 (RESIDENTIAL, RE TYPE IIIA SPRINKLERED, NFPA-13 (RESIDENTIAL) RET ALL HIGH DENSTY RESDENTIAL WITH REFAIL MS: MIXED-USE STUDENT HOUSING

2038 N. WALNUT STREET BLOOMINGTON, N 47404

PROJECT DATA

013-43060-00

ADDRESS: 2 ADDRESS: 2 ADDRESS: 4 ADDRESS: 4

KIML EVHORN SZOEAST 967N ST, SUITE 580 MDIANAPOLE, N 49240 CONTACT: UAED WILLIERSON P: 317236 5210 E: Jand. Wike son@kinfley-from.com

CIVILENGINEER:

**DLR GROUP** 333 WA CKER DRIVE SUITE 850 CHICAGO, IL 60806

ARCHIT ECT:

CONTACT: NATHAN CAS TEEL P:312330.620 E: noss teek@drgroup.com

DEVELOPER: CORE SPACES CONTACT: CLIMA PARAUS PARE P. 947227 4 05 E: oprais@orespaces.com

PROJECT TEAM

SITE DESIGN GROUP 888 S. MICHIGANA VE. UNITPH1 CHICAGO, IL 60005

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT:

CONTACT: MARK JRIK P: 708.234.9612 E: mark j M@sib-design.com

R.2: RESDENTIAL UNITS 5.2: GARAGE B: LEASING OFFICE A, A.2: RESDENTIALAMENTES, COMMERCIA

OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATION:

88,727 SF; 2.04 ACRES

2.32

GROSS SITE ARE A: FAR: ARE A OF DISTURBANCE:

10% AREA OF DISTURBANCE (204 ACRES)

SHEET LIST - ENTITLEMENTS	SHEET NAME

SHEET LIST - ENTITLEMENTS	SHEET NAME	

SHEET LIST - ENTITLEMENTS	SHEET NAME

	ONE WAY AISLE: 20'-0'
CE DIAGRAMS	
AN	
IAN	
AN	
20 TE	
8	

N	
ATIC	
<b>RM</b>	
INFO	
g	
ILDI	
BU	
	7

THS INFORMATIONIS CONCEPTUAL IN INTURE SUBJECT TO ADUS MARY PENDING FURTHER VEGATION AND CARL TEAMIN AND OS VERMENT MERICA PRPRAVILS. NON MRRANTES OR UNANTESS MAN NOD ARE GARN OR INPLED OF THE ARCHTECT.

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

00-2322 1-02

HUB AT BLOOMING TON

G-1 DRC SUBMISSION 03.27.2023

CORE DLRGROUP Kimley Morn Siller



	Kimlaw		INCENTIVE DIAGRAMS	THS INFORMATIONIS CONCEPTUAL IN INTURE CONCEPTUAL IN CONCERNMENT IN CONCERNMENT OF AN INTURE CONCENTRE
)		DRC SUBMISSION 03.27.20.23	HLB AT BLOOMING TON 02 2221-1/2	NRV ARE GREAT OR INFORM OF THE ARCHITECT.



# INCENTIVES INCLUDED:

SUSTAINABLE DEPLLOPMENT BONDS ALLOSSFOR AN ADDITANCH LOS OF BULLINGHEELINF. NOT TO EXCERD 2. FRET. A ADDITANLA FLOORSFALL ES LIAIDED TO SONG & BULLING FLOOPMENT MACK OF MARKING STRUCTURE, MON FLOORSFALL ES LIAIDET TO SUST FLOOR TO THE THAT THAT OF LOWING TO COORS OF BULLING

THE AMOUNT INTO A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF


































EXTERIOR FINISHES MATERIAL TYP NO.

MASONRY GUARDPAIL METAL LOUVER METAL LOUVER CONCRETE MASONRY METAL PANEL CEMENTITIOUS CLADDING CEMENTITIOUS CLADDING CEMENTITIOUS CLADDING CEMENTITIOUS CLADDING BR-01 GR-01 GR-01 M-01 MTL-01 PL-02 PL-02 PL-02

THS INFORMATION IS CONCEPTUAL IN WITHER MORE SUBECT TO JULIS MENT PERMISEURTHER VEHICLE AND CLUIS TRUMM TO SO OF PRIME MARK PRODUKIS. NOW RRANTES OF GULAR WITES OF CULARATTES OF AN ROD ARE GARN OR INPUED OF THE ACHTECT.

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

**BUILDING ELEVATIONS** 00-2322 1-02 HUB AT BLOOMING TON A2-1 DRC SUBMISSION 0327.2023 landscape architecture urban design **DLR**GROUP Kimley »Horn CORE

(1) OVERALL BUILDING ELEVATION - NORTH (A21) SCME 1107 = 1107



THE INFORMATIONIS CONCEPTUAL N WITDER MISS SUBJECT TO JOJUS MENT PENDING FLRTHER VERIENT SUBJECT TO JOJUST TENDING FLRTHER VERIENT APPROVIS. INOUMRANTES OR SURANTESS OF ANY KND ARE SAFEN OR INPUED OF THE ARCHITECT. **BUILDING ELEVATIONS** 00-23221-02 HUB AT BLOOMING TON A2-2 DRC SUBMISSION 0327.2023 landscape architecture urban design CORE

Š

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

EXTERIOR FINISHES NO.

OVERALL BUILDING ELEVATION - SOUTH

BR-01 GR-01 GR-01 M-01 MTL-01 PL-02 PL-02 PL-02

MASONRY GUARDPAIL METAL LOUVER METAL LOUVER CONCRETE MASONRY METAL PANEL CEMENTITIOUS CLADDING CEMENTITIOUS CLADDING CEMENTITIOUS CLADDING CEMENTITIOUS CLADDING

		A2-3 BUILDING ELEVATIONS	THS INFORMATION IS CONCEPTUAL IN WITURE MICE SUBJECT TO ADJUST MIRET PROVING FIRMEN REPORT FOR MID CLIERT, TENNIT MID CONCERNIER REPORT FOR APPROVING. NOWARRATTES OR GURANTEES ON
<b>DLR</b> GROUP Kimley»H	orn Sille and		AND CUERT, TERMANT AND GOVERNIEM A APPROVALS NOWARRANTES OR GUIARA NND ARE GIVEN OR IMPUED OF THE ARCH



PL-03

PL-02

BR-01

BR-01

PL-03 PL-02

PL-02

PL-01

MTL-01

MTL-01

LL03 0:0" (737'-0") •

(1) OVERALL BUILDING ELEVATION - EAST

2 OVERALL BUILDING ELEVATION - WEST

01010

-

15. • 0.

15.-0. 10.-0. 10.-0. 11.-0. 10.-8. 10.-8. 10.-8.

LL03  $\oplus$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}} \\ \longrightarrow_{x \in \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{$ 

12 - 0. + 10. - 0. + 11. - 0. + 10. - 8. + 10. - 8. + 10. - 8. + 10. - 8. + 10. - 8. + 12. - 0. + 12. + 12. - 0. + 12. +

EA IERIUR FINISHES	MATERIAL TYPE	MASONRY
u	NO.	RR-01

L

MATERIAL TYPE		
	MASONRY	
NO.	BR-01	00.01

×	AIL	METAL LOUVER	
MASONRY	GUARDR	METAL LO	
3R-01	3R-01	-V-01	

GUARDRAIL	METAL LOUVER	CONCRETE MAS(	METAL PANEL	CEMENTITIOLIS C
GR-01	LV-01	M-01	MTL-01	010

PL-01 PL-02 PL-03

MELIAL PAVEL CEMENTITIOUS CLADDING CEMENTITIOUS CLADDING CEMENTITIOUS CLADDING

ONRY



NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

00-23221-02

HUB AT BLOOMINGTON

SIII Conditions and the second second

**DLR**GROUP Kimley »Horn

CORE



NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION









TURE STORY THIS INFORMATION IS CONCE SUBJECT TO ADJUSTIMENT PR AND CUENT, TEMANT AND GC APPROVALS. NOWARRANTE NIND ARE GIVEN OR IMPLIED NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION 00-2322 1-02 PERSPECTIVE HUB AT BLOOMING TON A3-2 DRC SUBMISSION 03.27.2023 SIII architecture urban design CORE

## Case # ZO-12-23 Memo

<b>Date:</b> April 10, 2023		Date:	April 10, 2023
	<b>Date:</b> April 10, 2023	Re:	Text Amendments to Unified Development Ordinance: Parking Maximum and Chicken Flock
From: Jackie Scanlan, AICP Development Services Manager			
From: Jackie Scanlan, AICP Development Services Manager		To:	Bloomington Plan Commission

The Planning and Transportation Department proposes an addendum to its annual update and amendment to the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO), Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code.

At its March 2023 hearing, the Plan Commission discussed the annual UDO text amendment update. The Department proposed parking maximums for just under 70 uses that currently have no maximum in Table 04-10. A member of the public appeared at the hearing with concerns about adding maximums, and the Plan Commission voted to remove the parking maximum proposal. More information about that proposal is included below. The Common Council is working on an update to regulations related to chicken flocks, and a Title 20 update needed to be done to align with the proposed changes. No changes to proposed uses or zoning districts are included in this update.

Those petition is as follows:

1. ZO-12-23 | UDO Chapter 3, Use Regulations; UDO Chapter 4, Development Standards & Incentives

# ZO-12-23 UDO Chapter 3, Use Regulations; UDO Chapter 4, Development Standards & Incentives

There is one amendment proposed for Chapter 3 related to the accessory use, 'chicken flock' that is a technical amendment to align Title 20 with Title 7 changes that are being brought forward by the Common Council. The Council is proposing to allow more than one flock per parcel, so the Title 20 amendment changes the reference in the Use-Specific standards from 'one flock' to 'flocks.'

The amendments in Chapter 4 are related to Table 04-10, which addresses the Maximum Parking Standards for uses in the UDO. When the UDO was repealed and replaced after the 2019-2020 Update process, a number of uses were left with 'no limit' as their parking maximum. The Department is proposing to add maximums to those uses that do not currently have maximums in order to align the code with the Comprehensive Plan, provide that all uses have maximums for consistency of regulation, and still allow for the uses to be developed with necessary associated

parking. The limits in Table 04-10 are for surface parking on a site. If a 'parking garage' use is also allowed in the developing zoning district, structured parking can be built. In the Downtown, the Comprehensive Plan prefers structured parking. The Land Development Policy Guidance for the Downtown says on page 86: "Land dedicated to parking should be minimized by building, preferring multi-story parking garages to surface parking lots, and by encouraging active transportation (bicycling and walking)." Additionally, in the Urban Corridor Site Design portion on page 90, the Comprehensive Plan states that "Strategies for parking will become more important in order to avoid large open areas of asphalt."

The Department used a number of resources to arrive at the maximums presented, including the Report described below, as well as researching similar uses in other locations, and looking internally at similar uses. Since March, the Department has re-visited the proposed maximums and altered a few.

The Department utilized American Planning Association's Planning Advisory Service (PAS) Report 510-511, Parking Standards, to compare uses to standards being used by other communities across the country. The Report is from 2002, so general guidance and thinking related to surface parking has shifted in the last two decades, but the numbers are a good guide to determine whether or not the proposed numbers are in the ballpark, and was also useful to suggest items to incorporate. For example, a cemetery regulation is often based on the buildings on the property and their size, not the acreage of the property, so we adjusted our recommendation accordingly.

Jail: The Department was able to find information about 3 of the jails that were identified in Monroe County's RFQ for a New Criminal Justice Center. While the Indianapolis facility houses more than the jail, including the majority of the court system and offices, the other facilities are smaller scale. Under the proposed provision, both Allen County and Lawrence County would be able to build the number of desired vehicular parking spaces.

Square footage	Allowed under	Allowed under	Actual number
	proposed	previous	of spaces
	maximum	maximum*	
242,000	484	413	326 (proposed)
		(1100 bed	
		275 employees)	
64,200	128	45	84 (proposed)
		(120 beds	
		30 employees	
750,000	1500	1,125	2067 (entire site)
(Detention		(3,000 beds	
Center only)		750 employees)	
	242,000 64,200 750,000 (Detention	proposed maximum   242,000 484   64,200 128   750,000 (Detention 1500	proposed maximum previous maximum*   242,000 484 413 (1100 bed 275 employees)   64,200 128 45 (120 beds 30 employees   750,000 (Detention 1500 1,125 (3,000 beds

a0

\*assuming "largest shift" meets BJS inmate-to-correctional officer ratio of 4 to 1

Stadium: The Department looked at 4 stadiums in Indiana to determine how many spaces would be allowable for facilities of comparable size under the proposed regulations. The stadiums listed offer shared parking options with nearby structured parking. However, the proposed maximums allow plenty of opportunity for on-site parking. Indiana University facilities built on State-owned land are not subject to the parking maximums in Title 20. For stadium, we confirmed in the PAS Report that one space per four seats is a standard regulation used.

Location	Number of seats	Allowed under proposed maximum	Actual number of spaces on- site
Victory Field - Indianapolis	12,230	3,057	286
	12,230	5,037	200
Loeb Stadium - Lafayette	7,500	875	0
Kokomo Municipal Stadium - Kokomo	4,000	100	63
Parkview Field - Fort Wayne	8,100	2,025	51

General Uses: For many of the general uses that did not have maximums, we applied our larger typical maximum of 3.3 spaces for every 1,000 square feet of GFA, as the vehicular uses of those sites are similar, such as kennel or pet grooming. This is the maximum that we currently use successfully for office, and our larger retail uses. We propose to utilize the number for some of our manufacturing uses, as they are often larger sites with commuting workers, and the average square footage per employee for manufacturing is very similar to office. For sites that may be uses that are less commute-heavy, we applied the 2.5 spaces for every 1,000 square feet of GFA maximum, such as for an amenity center that would be accessory to a primary use by definition. We utilized a smaller maximum of 1.25 spaces per either 0.5 acres or 1 acre for uses that primarily take place outside of a supportive building, such as quarry or transportation terminal.

Based on the definition of 'parking space', the space for larger vehicles required by some uses, such as semi-trucks are not counted as part of the parking maximum total. So, manufacturing and other uses do not provide for extra space for those vehicles. However, we propose extra allowance for uses that utilize fleets of small vehicles, such as a contractor's yard or police, fire, or rescue station.

The Department believes that adding maximums to the allowable amount of surface parking for all uses is in line with City goals that work to encourage green space and less automobile dependence, while still allowing room for necessary on-site parking for new and redeveloping uses.

20.03.030 Use-Specific Standards

#### Table 03-1: Allowed Use Table

P = permitted use, C = conditional use permit, A = accessory use, T = temporary use, Uses with an \*= use-specific standards apply Additional uses may be permitted, prohibited, or require conditional use approval in Downtown Character Overlays pursuant to Section 20.03.010(e).

Use			Re	side	entia	I				N	lixed	l-Use	•			No Resid	on- lential	Use-Specific Standards
056	R1	R2	R3	R4	RM	RH	RMH	MS	MN	мм	мс	ME	мі	MD	мн	EM	РО	Use-Specific Standards
Utility substation and transmission facility	P*	P*	P*	P*	P*	P*	P*	P*	P*	P*	P*	P*	P*	P*	P*	P*		20.03.030(f)(3)
Wind energy system, large												P*				P*		20.03.030(f)(4)
Wind energy system, small	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	P*	P*	20.03.030(f)(5)
ACCESSORY USES																		20.03.030(g)(1)
Chicken flock	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*		P*	20.03.030(g)(2)
Detached garage	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*								20.03.030(g)(3)
Drive-through										A*	A							20.03.030(g)(4)
Dwelling, accessory unit	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*		A*	A*			20.03.030(g)(5)
Electric vehicle charging facility	А	A	A	A	A	A	A	А	A	A	A	A	A	Α	A	А	A	
Greenhouse, noncommercial	А	A	A	A	A	A	A	Α	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	А	A	
Home occupation	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*			20.03.030(g)(6)
Outdoor retail and display									T*	T*	T*			T*		A*		20.03.030(g)(7)
Outdoor trash and recyclables receptacles					A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*		20.03.030(g)(8)
Recycling drop-off, self-serve					A	A		Α	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	Α		
Swimming pool	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	A*	20.03.030(g)(9)
TEMPORARY USES																		20.03.030(h)(1)
Book buyback								T*	T*	T*	T*		T*	T*				20.03.030(h)(2)
Construction support activities	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	20.03.030(h)(3)
Farm produce sales	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*			20.03.030(h)(4)
Real estate sales or model home	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*		20.03.030(h)(5)
Seasonal sales								T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*			20.03.030(h)(6)
Special event	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*	T*		T*	23.03.030(h)(7)

## 20.03.030 Use-Specific Standards

### (a) Generally

(1) The Use-Specific Standards listed in this Section 20.03.030 apply to those uses listed on the same line of Table 3-1, regardless of whether those uses are shown as Permitted, Conditional, Conditional Accessory, Accessory, or Temporary uses. These Use-Specific standards cannot be modified through the Conditional Use approval process in Section 20.06.050(b) (Conditional Use Permit), but relief may be granted through the Variance process in Section 20.06.080(b) (Variance).

#### (2) Chicken Flocks

One cChicken flocks as defined in the Bloomington Municipal Code Section 7.01.010, may be kept as an accessory use to a permitted principal use, provided that such use is permitted by and complies with all regulations of Title 7 (Animals) of the Bloomington Municipal Code, as amended. The regulations of Title 7 (Animals) of the Bloomington Municipal Code are expressly incorporated into this UDO by reference.

#### (3) Detached Garage Design

- (A) For detached garages accessory to residential uses, exposed or corrugated metal facades are not permitted. The exterior finish building materials used for a detached garage shall comply with the standards in Section 20.04.070(d)(3)(B) (Materials).
- (B) Detached garages and carports shall be located a minimum of 10 feet behind the primary structure's front facade and five feet from side and rear property lines, except for exceptions listed in Section 20.04.020(e)(3) (Exceptions to Setback Requirements).

#### (4) Drive-Through

- (A) In the MM district, all uses, except for financial institutions shall be limited to one drive-through bay. Financial institutions shall be allowed up to three drive-through bays.
- (B) In the MC district, all uses, except for financial institutions shall be limited to two drive-through bays. Financial institutions shall be allowed up to three drive-through bays.

#### (5) Dwelling, Accessory Unit

#### (A) Purpose

These accessory dwelling unit ("ADU") standards are intended to permit the creation of legal ADUs that are compatible with residential neighborhoods while also adding housing options for the City's workforce, seniors, families with changing needs, and others for whom ADUs present an affordable housing option.

#### (B) Generally

- i. This use shall be accessory to a single-family or duplex dwelling that is the principal use on the same lot or parcel.
- ii. Not more than one ADU may be located on one lot.
- iii. ADUs shall not contain more than two bedrooms.
- iv. No more than one family, as defined in Chapter 20.07: (Definitions), shall reside in one accessory dwelling unit; provided, however, that units lawfully in existence prior to the effective date of the ordinance from which this section derives where the number of residents located in one accessory dwelling unit lawfully exceed that provided by the definition of family in Chapter 20.07: (Definitions), may continue to be occupied by the same number of persons as occupied the accessory dwelling unit on that effective date. For purposes of this section, attached ADUs with internal access that were approved under this ordinance shall be considered one dwelling unit.
- v. A request for an ADU shall be required to submit a separate site plan petition with the Planning and Transportation Department if no building permit is processed for the ADU.

#### (d) Minimum Vehicle Parking Requirement

#### (1) Applicability

#### (A) Generally

Each development or land use subject to this section pursuant to Section 20.04.060 shall provide at least the minimum number of vehicle parking spaces required for each land use listed in Table 04-9: Minimum Vehicle Parking Requirements.

#### (B) MD District

Minimum parking requirements do not apply to development in the Courthouse Square Character Area or the Downtown Core Character Area south of 4<sup>th</sup> Street.

## **Table 04-9: Minimum Vehicle Parking Requirements**

	All Other Zoning Districts	MD Zoning District					
Dwelling, single-family (detached)							
Dwelling, single-family (attached)	No r	requirement					
Dwelling, duplex [3]							
Dwelling, triplex [3]	0.5 spaces per DU [1]	No requirement					
Dwelling, fourplex [3]							
Dwelling, multifamily [2]	Studio: 0.5 space per DU 1 bedroom: 1 space per DU 2 bedrooms: 1.5 spaces per DU 3 bedrooms: 2 spaces per DU						
Dwelling, live/work	No r	No requirement					
Dwelling, cottage development	1 sp	ace per DU					
Dwelling, mobile home	1						
Manufactured home park	1 space per DU						
Noncommercial urban agriculture	2 sp	aces per lot					
Student housing or dormitory		oms: no requirement ns: 0.5 spaces per bedroom					

#### NOTES:

[1] See Section 20.04.110 (Incentives) for alternative standards.

[2] Minimums shall only apply to multifamily development within or adjacent to the R3 zoning district and all multifamily development in the MD zoning district.

[3] Minimum parking for duplexes, triplexes, and fourplexes only applies in the R1, R2, R3, and R4 districts.

#### (e) Maximum Vehicle Parking Allowance

In no case shall any land use or development subject to this Section 20.04.060 provide more than the maximum number of vehicle parking spaces allowed for each land use listed in Table 04-10: Maximum Vehicle Parking Allowance.

Use	Maximum Vehicle Parking Allowance
RESIDENTIAL USES	
Household Living	
Dwelling, single-family (detached)	
Dwelling, single-family (attached)	No limit
Dwelling, duplex	
Dwelling, triplex	2 spaces per DU
Dwelling, fourplex	
Dwelling, multifamily	125 percent of the <u>potential</u> required minimum, or 1.25 spaces per bedroom, whichever is less <u>. When there is no required minimum</u> <u>number of spaces. the number of spaces listed per DU in Table 04-</u> <u>shall be used in the 125% calculation.</u>
Dwelling, live/work	1 space per DU
Dwelling, cottage development	2 spaces per DU
Dwelling, mobile home	2 spaces per DU
Manufactured home park	2 spaces per DU, plus 1 visitor space per 2 DUs
Group Living	
Assisted living facility	1 space per 6 infirmary or nursing home beds;
Continuing care retirement facility	plus 1 space per 3 rooming units; plus 1 space per 3 D <u>U</u> us
Fraternity or sorority house	0.8 spaces per bed
Group care home, FHAA small	2.5 spaces per 1,000 square feet GFA 1 space per 4 persons design
Group care facility, FHAA large	capacity
Nursing or convalescent home	1 space per 6 infirmary or nursing home beds; plus 1 space per 3 rooming units
Opioid rehabilitation home, small	2.5 spaces per 1,000 square feet GFA
Opioid rehabilitation home, large	<u>2.5 spaces per 1,000 square reet GFA</u>
Residential rooming house	2 spaces; plus 1 space per guest room
Student housing or dormitory	0.75 spaces per bedroom
Supportive housing, small	No limit2.5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Supportive housing, large	
PUBLIC, INSTITUTIONAL, AND CIVI	C USES
Community and Cultural Facilities	
Art gallery, museum, or library	2 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Cemetery or mausoleum	<u>1 space per 4 seats in chapel or assembly area No limit</u>
Club or lodge	1 space per 4 seats in main assembly area, or 5 spaces per 1,000 sq ft. GFA, whichever is greater

3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit

No limit for structured parking

2 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA-for surface parking

#### Bloomington, Indiana – Unified Development Ordinance Effective Date: April 18, 2020 Last Amended Date: January 30, 2023

Community center

Conference or convention center

Use	Maximum Vehicle Parking Allowance
Crematory	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Day-care center, adult or child	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Government service facility	<u>3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit</u>
Jail or detention facility	2 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit
Meeting, banquet, or event facility	4 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Mortuary	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Park	5 spaces per 1 acre plus 2.5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. of site used for recreational equipment area No limit
Place of worship	1 space per 4 seats in main assembly area, or 5 spaces per 1,000 sq ft. GFA, whichever is greater
Police, fire, or rescue station	<u>4 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA plus 1 space per each vehicle used fo</u> police, fire, and rescue No limit
Urban agriculture, noncommercial	<u>1.25 spaces per 1 acre No limit</u>
Educational Facilities	
School, college or university	<u>4 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit</u>
School, public or private	4 spaces per 1,000 sg. ft. GFA No limit
School, trade or business	4 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Healthcare Facilities	
Hospital	1 space per patient bed design capacity
Medical clinic	5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Methadone treatment facility	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Opioid rehabilitation facility	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
COMMERCIAL USES	
Agricultural and Animal Uses	
Kennel	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA <del>No limit</del>
Orchard or tree farm, commercial	1.25 spaces per 1 acre <del>No limit</del>
Pet grooming	<u>3.3 spaces per 1,000 sg. ft. GFA No limit</u>
Plant nursery or greenhouse, commercial	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. of GFA retail sales
Veterinarian clinic	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Entertainment and Recreation	
Amenity center	2.5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit
Country club	2 spaces per golf hole plus 2.5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFANo limit
	Bowling alley: 3 spaces per lane
Recreation, indoor	Theater: 1 space per 4 seats in assembly areas
	All other: 4 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
	Golf course: 2 spaces per golf hole Mini golf course: 1 space per golf hole
Recreation, outdoor	Golf driving range: 1 space per tee box
	All other: 2.5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. of site area used for recreation
Sexually oriented business	5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA

### Table 04-10: Maximum Vehicle Parking Allowance

Bloomington, Indiana – Unified Development Ordinance Effective Date: April 18, 2020 Last Amended Date: January 30, 2023

Use	Maximum Vehicle Parking Allowance
Stadium	<u>1 space per 4 seatsNo limit</u>
Food, Beverage, and Lodging	
Bar or Dance club	4 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Bed and breakfast	1 space per guest bedroom
Brewpub, distillery, or winery	Indoor tasting/seating area: 10 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA; Outdoor tasting/seating area: 5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. of
Hotel or motel	1 space per guest room
Restaurant	Indoor seating area: <u>1549</u> spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA; Outdoor seating area: 5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. of
Office, Business, and Professional Se	rvices
Artist studio or workshop	1 space per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Check cashing	4 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Financial institution	4 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Fitness center, small	43.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Fitness center, large	42.5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Office	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Personal service, small	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Personal service, large	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Tattoo or piercing parlor	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Retail Sales	
Building supply store	2 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Grocery or supermarket	5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Liquor or tobacco sales	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Pawn shop	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Retail sales, small	4 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Retail sales, medium	4 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Retail sales, large	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Retail sales, big box	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Vehicles and Equipment	
Equipment sales or rental	2.85 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA of indoor sales/leasing/ office area plus 1 space per service bay
Transportation terminal	1.25 spaces per 0.5 acres No limit
Vehicle fleet operations, small	1.25 spaces per 0.5 acres plus 3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit
Vehicle fleet operations, large	1.25 spaces per 0.5 acres plus 3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit
Vehicle fuel station	5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Vehicle impound storage	1.25 spaces per 0.5 acres No limit
Vehicle parking garage	No limit
Vehicle repair, major	2.85 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. of indoor sales/leasing/ office area;

#### Table 04-10: Maximum Vehicle Parking Allowance

Bloomington, Indiana – Unified Development Ordinance Effective Date: April 18, 2020 Last Amended Date: January 30, 2023

Use	Maximum Vehicle Parking Allowance
Vehicle repair, minor	plus 1 space per service bay
Vehicle sales or rental	
Vehicle wash	2.5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. of indoor sales/office area plus 1 space per service bay. No limit
EMPLOYMENT USES	
Manufacturing and Processing	
Commercial Laundry	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit
Food production or processing	<u>3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit</u>
Manufacturing, artisan	2.5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit
Manufacturing, light	<u>3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit</u>
Manufacturing, heavy	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit
Salvage or scrap yard	1.25 spaces per 0.5 acres plus 2.5 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA Ne limit
Storage, Distribution, or Warehousing	
Bottled gas storage or distribution	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit
Contractor's yard	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA plus 1 space per each company vehicle up to a maximum of 30 company vehicles 1 parking space per approved building occupancy
Distribution, warehouse, or wholesale facility	3.3 spaces per 1,000 sq. ft. GFA No limit
Storage, outdoor	<u>1.25 spaces per 1 acre No limit</u>
Storage, self-service	2.85 spaces per 1,000 GFA of indoor sales/leasing/office space
Resource and Extraction	
Gravel, cement, or sand production	1.25 spaces per 1 acre No limit
Quarry	1.25 spaces per 1 acre No limit
Stone processing	1.25 spaces per 1 acre No limit
UTILITIES AND COMMUNICATION	
Communication facility	<u>1.25 spaces per 1 acre No limit</u>
Solar collector, ground- or building-mounted	1.25 spaces per 1 acre No limit
Utility substation and transmission facility	1.25 spaces per 1 acre No limit
Wind energy system, large	1.25 spaces per 1 acre No limit
Wind energy system, small	<u>1.25 spaces per 1 acre No limit</u>
ACCESSORY USES	
Chicken flock	No additional parking No limit
Crops and pasturage	No additional parking No limit
Detached garage	No additional parkingNo limit
Drive-through	No additional parking No limit
Dwelling, accessory unit	No additional parkingNo limit
Electric vehicle charging facility	No additional parkingNo limit

#### Use Maximum Vehicle Parking Allowance Greenhouse, noncommercial No additional parkingNo limit Home occupation No additional parkingNo limit Outdoor retail and display No additional parking No limit Outdoor trash and recyclables receptacles No additional parking No limit Recycling drop-off, self-serve No additional parking No limit No additional parking No limit Swimming pool **TEMPORARY USES** Book buyback No additional parkingNo limit Construction support activities No additional parkingNo limit No additional parking No limit Farm produce sales Real estate sales or model home No additional parking No limit No additional parkingNo limit Seasonal sales No additional parkingNo limit Special event

#### Table 04-10: Maximum Vehicle Parking Allowance

DU = dwelling unit sq. ft. = square feet

#### (f) Accessible Parking

- (1) Accessible spaces shall be provided and designed as required to meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Indiana Building Code (IBC).
- (2) Each accessible space shall be located adjacent to an access aisle and as close as reasonably practicable to the building entrance most accessible for persons with disabilities.
- (3) All accessible spaces shall be striped and have vertical signs identifying them as accessible spaces per the Indiana Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.
- (4) Required accessible spaces shall count towards the number of maximum parking spaces permitted, unless the maximum allowed number of parking spaces is 25 spaces or less.

#### (g) Adjustments to Minimum Parking Requirements

The amount of vehicle parking required pursuant to Table 04-9: Minimum Vehicle Parking Requirements, may be adjusted by the factors listed in this Section 20.04.060(g). These adjustments may be applied as part of the calculation of parking requirements and do not require discretionary approval by the City.

#### (1) Shared Parking Facilities

#### (A) Generally

i. When reviewing a shared parking proposal, the City Planning and Transportation Department shall consider any additional reductions in minimum parking requirements that might otherwise apply pursuant to subsections (2) through (5) below, but such additional reductions shall not apply to further reduce the shared parking requirements approved by the City Planning and Transportation Department.