

kBloomington Common Council-Regular Session Minutes
Bloomington Council Chambers, City Hall, 401 North Morton Street, Bloomington, Indiana
Wednesday, April 22, 2026 at 6:30pm

Call to Order [6:30pm]

Council Vice President Sydney Zulich called the meeting to order.

1. Roll Call: (*indicates participation via Zoom) [6:31pm]

Council Members:

Isak Nti Asare* (arrived at 6:31pm)	At-Large, Council President
Courtney Daily (arrived at 6:33pm)	District 5, Council Parliamentarian
Matt Flaherty*	At-Large
Isabel Piedmont-Smith	District 1
Dave Rollo	District 4
Kate Rosenbarger	District 2
Andy Ruff	At-Large
Hopi Stosberg	District 3
Sydney Zulich	District 6, Council Vice President

City staff, officials, and guests present:

Nicole Bolden	City Clerk
Larry Allen	Council Administrator/Attorney
Allison Thurmond Quinlan*	FlintlockLAB
Anna Killion-Hanson	Housing and Neighborhood Development, Director
Dana Kerr	Legal Department, Assistant City Attorney
Justin Chang	Reedy Financial Group, Manager

1. Agenda Summation [6:32pm]

Zulich summarized the agenda.

2. Approval of Minutes [6:35pm]

There were no minutes for approval.

3. Reports [6:36pm]

3.1. Councilmembers:

Daily referenced a Redevelopment Commission (RDC) meeting where the Bunger Robertson property was opened to request for proposals. She was disappointed with the decision though it did not preclude a potential land swap with the Capital Improvement Board (CIB) for the Convention Center project. With a land swap, the affordable housing units at Seminary Pointe could be saved.

Piedmont-Smith reported about several conferences including Heading Home’s “Housing Problem Solving,” which had partnered with the National Alliance to End Homelessness. She attended a national conference in Seattle called “Affordable Homes, Stable Communities.” A third conference she attended was Heading Home’s “Regional Housing Summit in Bloomington.” She gave examples of the topics discussed. Piedmont-Smith spoke against President Donald Trump’s war with Iran. She then spoke in favor of a land swap with the CIB.

Meeting Date: April 22, 2026

Stosberg discussed a recent Plan Commission meeting where several Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) updates were proposed.

Rosenbarger said the land swap for the Seminary Pointe properties on South College Avenue was ideal; it was a very walkable location, and that the Bunger Robertson property could be subdivided.

Rollo supported the land swap because it would be a benefit to the community.

Zulich spoke in favor of the land swap. She noted there were already groups booking the new Convention Center into 2027 and expected that many more would do the same.

3.2. The Mayor, City Clerk, City Offices, and City Boards and Commissions:

Police Chief Mike Diekhoff presented the FLOCK Report. He discussed license plate reader (LPR) technology, and the implementation of FLOCK cameras, and the protection of civil liberties, with strong policy and strict access. He described the LPR cameras, including four mobile trailers with LPR and gunshot detection technology that were deployed around the city. The technology captured a time-stamped photo of license plates as they traveled on public roads. Demographics, vehicle registration, names, address, and facial recognition were not captured. It did not assign risk scores or crime predictions. Diekhoff said access was limited to sworn officers and data analysts in the Bloomington Police Department (BPD). Every search required an active case or event number and a stated reason why the search was made. There were system audits every sixty days to ensure compliance. Data retention was set at thirty days and then permanently deleted unless entered as evidence in a criminal case. He commented on policy violations, discipline, and noted that BPD was not part of the national FLOCK network and highlighted cases where LPRs supported investigations. A contract for eleven LPR cameras and operating system was signed in February 2024 for \$40,650 with an annual renewal of \$36,500. The renewal was not done for the current year and the contract was canceled. There were four downtown cameras contracted in September 2024 for \$15,000 with subsequent years for \$12,000. For the four mobile trailers, there was a current agreement signed in October 2025 for \$90,000 for two trailers. The total cost to date was \$236,829.68. He spoke in favor of the technology.

Piedmont-Smith asked which contract was not renewed and Diekhoff said the LPR contract had expired and the city was in the process of terminating the other contracts. Staff was looking at similar technology that was not tied to the FLOCK network. Asare asked about the procurement process. Margie Rice, Corporation Counsel, said that sole-sourced contracts were under the purview of the Legal Department and the Controller since it was for a product with unique capabilities, and the city could not request bids from multiple companies. Asare was interested in broader oversight with those types of contracts. Rollo supported the cancellation of the contracts due to numerous cases of abuse and misuse, and privacy violations by FLOCK. He cautioned against a creeping network of surveillance and asked if policing was generally headed that route. Diekhoff understood the concerns; it was the previous council who encouraged technology to assist with solving the staffing shortage. He noted that Indiana University had the most cameras in the county. Stosberg asked about the assessment of other services and Diekhoff said he was discussing options with the mayor. Asare asked how many investigations involved LPR queries and if it was routine. Diekhoff said it was mainly when there were no leads to follow up on. He spoke about the 9000 queries in the previous year though the same query could have been run multiple times. Specific details on queries were discussed.

Zulich extended the time for reports by twenty minutes without objection.

Meeting Date: April 22, 2026

Piedmont-Smith noted that data-sharing had stopped on April 15th, and asked if FLOCK Safety still had access to the data or if it was stored by FLOCK. Diekhoff said no, access was turned off and to his knowledge, the data was not accessible to FLOCK. Piedmont-Smith asked if the cameras could be

turned off since there was evidence of misuse by FLOCK around the nation. Diekhoff said yes and that power could be disconnected too. He said the mayor did not want any FLOCK cameras operating in the city. There was discussion on transparency in the procurement and reporting processes, and having data on the transparency portal. Asare asked about the sixty-day audits and policy violation tracking. Diekhoff said there had been no disciplinary actions to date though there were minor issues with training. Asare asked if outside queries were tracked. Diekhoff said yes, BPD was notified to review the query for following protocol. There was discussion on examples of queries like Amber Alerts or Silver Alerts. No outside agency received a “hit” when the sharing feature was turned off including IU and the Monroe County Sheriff.

Rollo moved and Daily seconded to suspend the rules to allow public comment for the FLOCK report. There was brief discussion on time limits. Rollo amended his motion to set a maximum of twenty minutes with three minutes per speaker, and Daily seconded the amendment. The motion received a roll call vote of Ayes: 9, Nays: 0, Abstain: 0.

Sam Dixon thanked the council for Resolution 2026-04 and spoke against FLOCK and similar technology. Chris Doran appreciated that the FLOCK contracts would be canceled, and spoke against the cameras and mass surveillance. Kevin Keough commented on data security and audits, alerts about intrusion, and in favor of skilled personnel using the LPR technology. Bryce Greene noted problems with mass surveillance technology and the misconception by Diekhoff that the data could not be accessed by outside entities. Rebecca Stoops was grateful that the contracts were canceled, but was frustrated that other companies would be considered. She noted that companies broke laws and contracts, as part of their business model. Maria Bull commented on the difference between FLOCK cameras and cell phones which could be turned off. She said there was an element of fear that needed to be considered. Kevin Ratcliffe had hoped to speak while the mayor and police chief were still at the meeting but they had left. Seaforth Breeze had a FLOCK camera facing their home which brought psychological impacts. They commented on the temptation of misuse of the technology. Seth Muchler said it had been difficult to obtain public records from the Legal Department regarding the FLOCK contracts. Miles [unknown] believed banning the LPR technology was best and expressed disappointment that the mayor and police chief had left the meeting. Hali Tauxe said it was hard to get information about the FLOCK contracts and appreciated the disabling of all FLOCK cameras and limiting mass surveillance and including the public in decisions on things like LPRs.

3.3. Council Committees:

Piedmont-Smith reported from the Committee on Council Processes (CCP) and the rules allowing discussion on legislation at first reading, which was new procedurally.

3.4. Public:

Daryl Rubel spoke about pot holes and other problems with roads. Bryce Greene commented on the land swap between the city and the CIB, and Seminary Pointe apartments. Sam [unknown] discussed data, privacy, safety, and storage. Zac Muller talked about an incident where a young girl was hit while riding her bike on the intersection of Morningside Drive and Smith Road, and urged council to take action. Seaforth Breeze supported the land swap between the city and CIB and affordable housing. Christopher Emge, Greater Bloomington Chamber of Commerce, spoke about recent events and invited all to the Bloomington Rainbow Leadership Network, an initiative focused on connecting Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer artificial intelligence professionals and business owners. He commented on home ownership and unforeseen costs. Joshua Stockton expressed

Meeting Date: April 22, 2026

disappointment that the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) was not in the Monroe County Public Library (MCPL), Indiana Room. *Clerk’s note: The UDO is codified in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code and is available to the public at the MCPL.*

4. Appointments to Boards and Commissions [8:06pm]

On behalf of Interview Committee C, Piedmont-Smith moved and Rollo seconded to appoint Reynard Cross to seat C-2 on the Historic Preservation Commission, and to appoint Rebecca Payne to seat C-3 on the Commission on Sustainability and Resilience. The motion received a roll call vote of Ayes: 8, Nays: 0, Abstain: 0. (Rosenbarger out of the room)

5. Legislation for First Reading [8:08pm]

There was no legislation for first reading.

6. Legislation for Second Reading and Resolutions [8:09pm]

6.1. Resolution 2026-07

A Resolution in Support of County Council Counterparts’ Efforts to Keep the County’s Criminal Justice Buildings Within City Limits and Close Proximity to Social Services

Zulich passed the gavel to Rollo.

Daily moved and Zulich seconded that Resolution 2026-07 be introduced and read by title and synopsis only. The motion received a roll call vote of Ayes: 8, Nays: 0, Abstain: 0 (Rosenbarger out of the room). Clerk Nicole Bolden read the legislation by title and synopsis.

Daily moved and Zulich seconded that Resolution 2026-07 be adopted. Zulich presented the legislation. Daily added supporting details. Piedmont-Smith referenced councils’ letter from October 22, 2025, which unanimously urged county officials to cease consideration of a jail at the North Park location. There was brief clarification on the changes to Resolution 2026-07.

Seth Muchler spoke in favor of renovating the existing facility and gave reasons in support. Jeff Richardson commented on over sixteen years of failed leadership regarding the ongoing jail discussion. Jody Madeira, Monroe County Commissioner, spoke about the long duration of the lawsuit, the seventeen-year delay in taking action, serious human rights violations, location options, and costs. Seaforth Breeze supported Resolution 2026-07 and spoke about the difficulty of walking to the North Park location. Julie Thomas, Monroe County Commissioner, commented on the previous lawsuit, bond process, a purchase agreement for North Park, the county’s due diligence on selecting an appropriate site, and difficulties with the county-owned Thompson property. Jennifer Crossley, Monroe County Council President, said the same discussions about the jail had been going on since 2021 when she became a councilor and noted that some current elected officials had been in office for many years. She looked forward to collaborating with the city. Paul Rousseau commented on the mental health wellbeing of incarcerated individuals. He spoke against North Park and in favor of Resolution 2026-07. Zac Muller stated that the threat of a lawsuit was not new, and an effective solution was necessary. Hali Tauxe supported Resolution 2026-07 and was against North Park.

Zulich stated that the cost of North Park would be \$5000 to each taxpayer in the county. She preferred spending that money on services. Asare asked about land use and permits needed to keep the jail in the city. David Hittle, Planning and Transportation, Director, spoke about expediting the permitting process. There was discussion on timelines, city and county commitments, and collaboration on locations. Stosberg asked if the Thompson location was zoned for a jail. Her

Meeting Date: April 22, 2026

understanding was that it was allowed by conditional use. Hittle would research and confirm. Stosberg said that Crossley had confirmed it was zoned for a jail. There was additional discussion on the Thompson site’s challenges. Crossley reiterated options for moving forward.

Daily said the North Park location was too expensive and provided reasons why it was not ideal. Stosberg commented on previous council’s actions regarding options for the jail, and against North Park which had been unanimously rejected by the Monroe County Council. Ruff spoke about full cost accounting and its impact; perhaps renovating the current site was best. Asare commented on processes and options for the city and county. Zulich said she would appreciate a joint session with council and county elected officials. Rollo commented on expediting the city’s processes and on other locations for a collocated jail campus. It was important to keep a jail close to mental health and addiction services. Rosenbarger appreciated Resolution 2026-07 and said that there was a southeastern block off of 3rd Street and Madison that could be considered for a new jail site.

The motion to adopt Resolution 2026-07 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 9, Nays: 0, Abstain: 0.

Rollo passed the gavel back to Zulich.

6.2. Resolution 2026-08

A Resolution Of The Common Council Of The City Of Bloomington, Indiana, Approving Certain Matters In Connection With The Formation Of A Certain Economic Development Area

Daily moved and Rollo seconded that Resolution 2026-08 be introduced and read by title and synopsis only. The motion was approved by a roll call vote of Ayes: 9, Nays: 0, Abstain: 0. Bolden read the legislation by title and synopsis.

Daily moved and Rollo seconded that Resolution 2026-08 be adopted.

Dana Kerr, Assistant City Attorney, presented Resolution 2026-08, highlighting five neighborhoods in the legislation with Shasta Meadows being the first to receive an allocation. He discussed Adams Street and the Summit Planned Unit Development (PUD), and the planned network connectivity with new roads. Justin Chang, Reedy Financial Group, detailed a residential Tax Increment Financing (TIF) area. He discussed tax levy limitations by the state and how TIF dollars were set aside from the tax base and applied to the parcels in the TIF area with monies going to the city’s Redevelopment Commission (RDC). He provided additional information on the process and detailed key points.

There was clarification on where the TIF dollars could be spent, which was the Economic Development Area (EDA) as a whole, and on potential future TIF areas. Kerr explained that it was important to see how the area was developed, so future TIF areas could be determined. Additional discussion pertained to the funding of a fire station, and how funds would be allocated. Flaherty asked if the funds would be primarily spent on roads and related infrastructure and Kerr said the city mainly planned on building infrastructure. There was additional discussion on what was considered for the Summit PUD. Travis Vencel, Sullivan Development, said that the Summit PUD required that Sudbury and Adams street be constructed before any unit could be occupied. It was noted that any bonds would have to be approved by council. Piedmont-Smith asked for clarification on Vanguard Street and Kerr explained it was off of Tapp Road and was not a fully developed road. There was discussion on new assessed values, TIF revenues, bonds, current tax laws, general rules and regulations with TIFs and EDAs, and property taxes and caps. Flaherty asked if it was the city or the developer who was to build the roads in the Summit PUD when it was first approved by council. Vencel said that initial discussions always included the need for Adams and Sudbury to be built, including intersections, with city money. Mayor Kerry Thomson said there was not a tangible agreement to pay for the roads at the time, but the roads needed to be built prior to the developer

Meeting Date: April 22, 2026

building in the area. She spoke about the need for housing in the city. Stosberg did not recall there being any discussion about using city funds to build the roads; it was new that evening. There was clarification on TIF revenues, and the portion relating to Shasta, and the city’s agreement to build the roads and utilities.

Rollo asked if the streets would belong to the city and Eric Greulich, Planning and Transportation, Development Services Manager, said yes, but the streets within the PUD had not been designed. Stosberg asked if sidewalks would also be built by the city and Greulich said yes, it would be sidewalks, storm water, sanitary water, curbs, street trees, and more. There was discussion on the process of developing the area. Stosberg asked what the impact of the TIF would be on other taxing units, and Chang said it would be minimal due to a low circuit breaker environment. There would be a slight increase in the net levy. Rollo asked if there was overlap with the consolidated TIF and Kerr said there was a part that overlapped but it would be carved out of the consolidated TIF and into the new area. There was robust discussion on the possibilities of the development and what could be built. Asare asked about the impact from Senate Enrolled Act 1, which affected property taxes, and Chang said the city would be positively impacted from revenue from new residents.

Travis Vencel commented in support of the legislation. Christopher Emge, Greater Bloomington Chamber of Commerce, spoke in favor of the proposal and TIF. Julie Halbig, Regional Opportunity Initiatives ROI, discussed other state dollars that would support infrastructure in the area. Paul Rousseau believed the tax dollars should be used for growth and not the roads.

Rollo said it was crucial to keep infrastructure at pace with development. Rollo was pleased that land for a fire station would be donated by Tapp Road. Flaherty believed there were still open questions about the proposal, and noted that the city had traditionally required that developers build infrastructure. He gave examples across the city. Piedmont-Smith would support the legislation and stated that housing was needed and that the city would have to subsidize the infrastructure in order to develop the land. She appreciated Flaherty pointing out that was a change in policy. Stosberg appreciated Flaherty’s comments. She pointed out that proposals had been canceled when it was determined that the developer would need to build the roads. Rosenbarger said there were many questions on the proposal because the Legal department had not provided a memo explaining many of the details, but she still appreciated the development moving forward. Ruff said he had not supported the PUD in 2024, but had been persuaded by the discussion that evening. He commented on growth in the city and the downsides to growth; there were residents who did not want to see continual population growth in the city. Piedmont-Smith noted that many people commuted to Bloomington and it would be ideal for them to have housing in the city.

The motion to adopt Resolution 2026-08 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 9, Nays: 0, Abstain: 0.

6.3. Ordinance 2026-06

To Amend the City of Bloomington Zoning Maps by Rezoning a 6.3 Acre Property from Residential Urban Lot (R4) and Residential Multifamily (RM) within the Transform Redevelopment Overlay (TRO) to Planned Unit Development (PUD) and to Approve a District Ordinance and Preliminary Plan

Daily moved and Piedmont-Smith seconded that Ordinance 2026-06 be introduced and read by title and synopsis only. The motion was approved by a roll call vote of Ayes: 6, Nays: 3 (Rosenbarger, Ruff, Stosberg), Abstain: 0. Bolden read the legislation by title and synopsis.

Daily moved and Rollo seconded that Ordinance 2026-06 be adopted.

Meeting Date: April 22, 2026

Asare moved that the Common Council vote on every Reasonable Condition as a whole. *Clerk’s note: There was not a second to the motion.* There was discussion on actions council could take on the Reasonable Conditions (RCs). Asare withdrew the motion.

Flaherty moved and Asare seconded the adoption of Reasonable Conditions 8, 9, 10, and 12 to Ordinance 2026-06. Flaherty briefly presented the RCs. *Clerk’s note: The RCs were updated and renumbered as listed in the synopses below.*

Reasonable Conditions 8B and 9B Synopsis: This reasonable condition updates the sidewalk minimum width from 5 feet to 6 feet, consistent with Transportation Plan requirements for Neighborhood Residential Streets and UDO requirements for subdivisions and rezones. This change will increase pedestrian comfort and accessibility, especially for two users of wheeled mobility devices to be able to pass each other on a sidewalk. Additionally, for the sidewalks running east-west and north-south in the middle of Block 9, the minimum width is updated to 8 feet in order to support an improved pedestrian and bicyclist experience and an urban form in which these sidewalks will be perceived and experienced as a real “front” for the homes. The Director of Planning & Transportation confirmed via email that none of these changes will reduce the number of developable lots in the Hopewell South PUD. Note: This reasonable condition has been updated since its inclusion in the April 1, 2026 council packet.

Reasonable Condition 10 Synopsis: This reasonable condition requires all streets included in the Street Standards and Street Sections to include a 5 foot tree plot. The Director of Planning & Transportation confirmed via email that this change will not reduce the number of developable lots in the Hopewell South PUD. Block 8 would not require a tree plot on Wylie Street for a portion of the block as necessary if the existing building is preserved, since it may render a tree plot physically difficult or impossible to achieve. This is the same approach the city took in improving the infrastructure on 1st Street within Hopewell, where a brief stretch of monolithic sidewalk (i.e., no tree plot) was built on the north side of the street immediately west of Madison Street due to the existing building and steep grade of the land. Note: This reasonable condition has been updated since its inclusion in the April 1, 2026 council packet, primarily for clarity.

Reasonable Condition 12 Synopsis: The planned right of way dedication of 31 feet from centerline does not need to be updated for this reasonable condition. Rogers Street follows the General Urban Street typology, which includes a 10 foot drive lane, 5 foot bike lane, 5 foot tree plot, and 10 foot sidewalk. The city has already constructed 10 foot sidewalks in the new portion of Rogers Street infrastructure in Hopewell to the north of the PUD location. The physical dimensions of Block 8 along Rogers Street can support this change, including where the existing building is located. This was confirmed via email with both the City Engineer and the Director of Planning & Transportation. A small portion of the building will be close to the sidewalk, which is common in urban settings including at the current Bloomington Police Department headquarters. Note: This reasonable condition has been updated since its inclusion in the April 1, 2026 council packet. It simplified and clarified the reasonable condition and updated it to align with the right of way dedication already planned in the PUD.

Asare called the question and Daily seconded. There was a brief discussion. Asare withdrew the motion of calling the question.

Anna Killion-Hanson, Housing and Neighborhood Development department, Director, said that if the RCs passed, then three American with Disabilities Act (ADA) parking spaces would need to be removed. Stosberg said there was an issue with having a tree plot on Wylie Street because of the twelve-foot setback.

Meeting Date: April 22, 2026

Stosberg moved and Piedmont-Smith seconded to amend RC 10 B to include that front setbacks along Wylie in Block 9 may be decreased as necessary to accommodate the additional right of way dedication.

There were no council questions. There was no public comment.

The motion to adopt Amendment 01 to RC 10 B received a roll call vote of Ayes: 9, Nays: 0, Abstain: 0.

Piedmont-Smith asked if the changes made by the RCs would reduce the number of units. Allison Thurmond-Quinlan, FlintlockLAB, said units may need to be modified but not removed. Flaherty stated that he had not received the feedback that the three accessible parking spaces would be eliminated and he would like to propose an amendment.

Flaherty moved and Piedmont-Smith seconded to amend RC 9C to Ordinance 2026-06 to add the following sentence: the sidewalk running north-south may be narrowed as necessary to accommodate planned accessible parking spaces.

There were no council questions. Kristen Weida, Indiana Uplands Realtor Association, Chief Executive Officer, commented on homes, costs within the Hopewell PUD, and against permanent affordability. She urged council to pass the proposal without the RCs. There were no council comments.

The motion to amend RC 9C received a roll call vote of Ayes: 9, Nays: 0, Abstain: 0.

There were no council questions on RCs 8, 9, 10, and 12.

Jeff Richardson appreciated council meeting with the RDC; it was one of the best meetings he had attended.

Asare thanked Flaherty, the mayor's office, and Thurmond-Quinlan for their work on the RCs. Flaherty thanked city staff for their feedback on outstanding questions.

The motion to adopt RCs 8, 9, 10, and 12 to Ordinance 2026-06 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 9, Nays: 0, Abstain: 0.

Stosberg moved and Asare seconded to adopt RC 14 to Ordinance 2026-06. Stosberg presented RC 14.

Reasonable Condition 14 Synopsis: This Reasonable Condition 14 is sponsored by Councilmember Stosberg. It requires Lanes be designed for a vehicular speed of 10 miles per hour.

There were no council questions. There was no public comment. There were no council comments.

The motion to adopt RC 14 to Ordinance 2026-06 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 9, Nays: 0, Abstain: 0.

Asare moved and Daily seconded to adopt RC 4 to Ordinance 2026-06. Rosenbarger noted that RC 4 had not been updated; the sponsors were still working on a definition of permanent affordability. Stosberg said the sponsors met with Killion-Hanson to determine wording for silent second mortgages and more. There was a brief discussion on postponing RC 4 or voting that evening.

Piedmont-Smith moved and Rollo seconded to postpone RC 4 to May 06, 2026. The motion received a roll call vote of Ayes: 7, Nays: 2 (Asare, Zulich), Abstain: 0.

Meeting Date: April 22, 2026

Flaherty commented briefly on additional actions he planned to take regarding other RCs. He preferred postponing Ordinance 2026-06 to a future meeting.

Stosberg moved and Rollo seconded to continue Ordinance 2026-06 to the next regular session on May 06, 2026. Stosberg withdrew the motion.

Paul Rousseau discussed land trusts and problems with silent second mortgages. Wendy Goodlet, Habitat for Humanity, spoke about homeownership, creating affordability without restrictions, housing costs outpacing incomes, and housing supply.

There were no council comments.

Stosberg moved and Rollo seconded to continue Ordinance 2026-06 to May 06, 2026. There was no council discussion. The motion received a roll call vote of Ayes: 7, Nays: 2 (Asare, Zulich), Abstain: 0.

6.4. Resolution 2026-05

A Resolution to Initiate a Proposal to Amend Title 20 (Unified Development Ordinance) of the Bloomington Municipal Code in order to Improve Sustainability and Housing Affordability

Clerk’s note: Resolution 2026-05 was referred to the next regular session.

6.5. Resolution 2026-06

A Resolution Directing the Housing and Neighborhood Development Department to Develop a Framework for Long-Term Housing Affordability

Clerk’s note: Resolution 2026-06 was referred to the next regular session.

7. Additional Public Comment [11:33pm]

There was no additional public comment.

8. Council Schedule [11:34pm]


Zulich reviewed the council’s upcoming schedule. Stosberg mentioned the upcoming Fiscal Committee meeting.

9. Adjournment [11:35pm]

Zulich adjourned the meeting.

APPROVED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this day: 14th of October, 2026.

APPROVE:

Signed by:


31826BE6116F4B5...
Signature of Isak Nti Asare, Common Council President

Meeting Date: April 22, 2026

ATTEST:

Signed by:

Nicole Bolden

60DF9211B070448...

Signature of City Clerk Nicole Bolden

Clerk’s Note: The above minutes summarize the motions passed and issues discussed rather than providing a verbatim account of every word spoken. Bloomington Common Council meetings can be accessed on the following websites:

- [Community Access Television Services](#)¹ (CATS)
 - [City of Bloomington YouTube](#)²
- [Background materials and packets](#)³ are available on the Common Council website.

¹ <https://catvstv.net>

² <https://youtube.com/@citybloomington>

³ <https://bloomington.in.gov/council/meetings>