# CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

November 7, 2016 @ 5:30 p.m. COUNCIL CHAMBERS #115 CITY HALL

# CITY OF BLOOMINGTON PLAN COMMISSION November 7, 2016 @ 5:30 p.m.

City Hall Council Chambers - Room #115

### ROLL CALL

### MINUTES TO BE APPROVED: October

REPORTS, RESOLUTIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS: 1. 2017 meeting schedule

### PETITION CONTINUED TO FEBRUARY 13, 2017:

 PUD-30-16
 Regency Consolidated Residential LLC

 2182 W. Tapp Rd.
 PUD amendment to allow multifamily residences on Parcel I of the Woolery PUD.

 Case Manager: Eric Greulich

# **ITEMS FOR THE CONSENT AGENDA:**

 PUD-32-16
 Simon Property Group

 2894 E 3<sup>rd</sup> St
 PUD final plan amendment for a signage package.

 Case Manager: Eric Greulich

### PETITIONS:

- ZO-22-16
   Douglas McCoy

   900-902 E Cottage Grove
   900-902 E Cottage Grove

   Rezone from Institutional (IN) to Residential High-Density (RH).
   Case Manager: Jackie Scanlan
- PUD-31-16
   Patterson Point, LLC

   323 and 455 S. Westplex Ave.

   PUD final plan approval for four mixed use buildings and one multi-family building.

   Case Manager: James Roach
- SP/UV-33-16 Secretly Canadian Distribution 1461 W Bloomfield Rd Site plan approval for an addition to an existing office to allow a 14,888 sq. ft. warehouse. Also requested is a use variance to allow a warehouse in a Commercial Arterial zoning district. *Case Manager: Eric Greulich*

\*\*Next Meeting November 7, 2016

Last Updated: 11/4/2016

Auxiliary aids for people with disabilities are available upon request with adequate notice. Please call <u>812-349-3429</u> or e-mail <u>human.rights@bloomington.in.gov</u>.

City of Bloomington Plan Commission

# Memo

То:	Plan Commission Members				
From:	James Roach, AICP, Development Service Manager				
Date:	November 4, 2016				
Re:	2017 Plan Commission Meeting Dates				

Proposed dates for the 2017 Plan Commission meeting. Please note that this includes a return to a January meeting date.

- · 1/9/2017
- · 2/13/2017
- · 3/6/2017
- · 4/17/2017
- · 5/8/2017
- · 6/12/2017
- · 7/10/2017
- · 8/14/2017
- · 9/11/2017
- · 10/2/2017
- · 11/6/2017
- · 12/13/2017

Proposed dates for the Plan Commission monthly work sessions are as follows:

- · 12/20/2016
- · 1/24/2017
- · 2/21/2017
- · 3/21/2017
- · 4/11/2017
- · 5/23/2017
- · 6/20/2017
- 7/05/0047
- · 7/25/2017
- · 8/22/2017
- · 9/5/2017
- · 10/24/2017
- · 11/14/2017
- · 12/11/2017

# BLOOMINGTON PLAN COMMISSION STAFF REPORT LOCATION: 900-902 E. Cottage Grove Avenue

PETITIONER:	Douglas M. McCoy			
	P.O. Box 3071, Bloomington			

CONSULTANT: Michael L. Carmin 116 W. 6<sup>th</sup> Street Suite 200, Bloomington

**REQUEST:** The petitioner is requesting to rezone 0.22 acres from Institutional (IN) to Residential High-Density Multifamily (RH).

# BACKGROUND:

Area:	0.22 acres
Current Zoning:	IN
GPP Designation:	Public/Semi-Public/Institutional
Existing Land Use:	Dwelling, Multi-Family
Proposed Land Use:	Dwelling, Multi-Family
Surrounding Uses:	North – Indiana University (Office)
Surrounding Uses:	North – Indiana University (Office) West – Dwelling, Single-Family and Dwelling, Multi-Family East – Indiana University (Parking) South – Indiana University (Office)

**REPORT:** The property is located at 900-902 E. Cottage Grove Avenue. The property is zoned Institutional (IN). Surrounding land uses to the north, south, and east are office buildings and parking associated the School of Informatics on the Indiana University campus. The adjacent uses to the west are Dwelling, Multi-Family and Dwelling, Single-Family. The site has been developed with a seven unit apartment building and accessory parking.

The petitioner is requesting to rezone the property from Institutional (IN) to Residential High-Density Multifamily (RH). The rezone is requested because 'Dwelling, Multi-Family' is not an approved use in the IN zone and that is the current and historic use of the property. The apartment building on the property was built in the 1960s. It contains six 2-bedroom apartments and one 3-bedroom apartment. The use is considered lawfully non-conforming, as it was in place prior to the zoning code. This is more colloquially referred to as a 'grandfathered' use. The current density on the property exceeds that which would be allowed by the UDO if the property was zoned RH. The property has limited parking on the west and east sides of the building. No plan for development on the site has been submitted at this time or is anticipated.

Indiana University, adjacent property owner on three sides, submitted an objection to the rezone request. The letter is included in this packet.

Petitioner requests waiver of a second hearing.

**GROWTH POLICIES PLAN:** This property is designated as 'Public/Semi-Public/Institutional.' The GPP notes that 'Public/Semi-Public/Institutional' is designed to provide adequate land to support compatible government, non-profit and social service land use activities. These use areas are distributed community-wide and encompass schools, including Indiana University. This property is surrounded on three sides by Indiana University property.

**INDIANA UNIVERSITY BLOOMINGTON MASTER PLAN:** This portion of Woodlawn Avenue is part of the Woodlawn and Tenth Street Neighborhood in the Master Plan. The petition site is planned as part of a new mixed-use academic building with frontage on Woodlawn Avenue and 10<sup>th</sup> Street. The petition site, along with the property to the south, are identified as 'Buildings Recommended for Demolition' in order to allow room for the future development. The Master Plan promotes Woodlawn Avenue as an important pedestrian corridor leading into campus. The Master Plan contains future designs for both the east and west sides of Woodlawn Avenue.

# **ISSUES:**

**Surrounding Zones and Uses:** The property is surrounded on three sides by Institutional (IN) zoned property that is owned and operated by Indiana University. The surrounding IN parcels are part of the larger Indiana University campus. All of the properties on the east side of Woodlawn Avenue from East Atwater Avenue to East 17<sup>th</sup> Street are zoned IN. The properties directly west of the petition site, on the west side of Woodlawn Avenue, are zoned Residential Core (RC) and contain a single-family residence and a two-unit multi-family residence. There is no RH zoning immediately adjacent to the petition site, or in the surrounding area. The nearest Residential Multifamily (RM) zoning, which is a less dense multifamily zoning, is approximately 360 feet southwest of the petition site, fronting on East 10<sup>th</sup> Street.

Approval of the rezone would create a non-contiguous island of RH zoning on one property.

**Density:** The current apartment building has six 2-bedroom apartments and one 3bedroom apartment for a total of 4.96 DUEs on the property, which is equal to 22.55 units per acre. The parcel is .22 acres, which would allow for 3.3 DUEs if the property was zoned RH, or 15 units per acre. The current development exceeds the density that would be allowed if the property was zoned RH. The existing building, and density, can remain on the property in its current state because it is lawfully non-conforming. If the building were removed, a replacement building could not contain the density that currently exists on the site.

**CONCLUSION:** Staff does not promote rezoning a property to a non-contiguous zone for the purpose of matching the zoning to the existing use. Rezoning the property to a district different than all neighboring property is 'spot zoning' and does not further the goals of either the GPP or the Indiana University Master Plan. The use can continue to operate on the property in its current state as a lawfully non-conforming use without the rezone.

**RECOMMENDATION**: Staff recommends approval of the waiver of the second hearing and denial of this petition.





# **AMENDED PETITIONER'S STATEMENT**

Douglas M. McCoy petitions the City of Bloomington to rezone property located at 900-902 E. Cottage Grove Avenue, Bloomington, Indiana from Institutional (IN) to Residential High-density Multi-family (RH).

Current Zoning: Institutional

Proposed Zoning: RH Multi-family

# Real Estate:

All that part of Lot number One Hundred and Twenty-two (122) in University Park, the same being a subdivision of a part of the Northeast Quarter of Section 33, Township 9 North, Range 1 West, in said County and State, as is included in the following boundaries, to wit: Beginning at the northwest corner of said Lot; running thence East one hundred and thirty-two (132); thence South sixty-six (66) feet; thence West one hundred thirty-two (132); thence North sixty-six (66) feet to the place of beginning.

Parcel: 013-49660-00

Acreage: 0.20 acres

# Current and Proposed Development:

The real estate is improved with a single building consisting of six 2-bedroom apartments and one 3-bedroom apartment. The property is fully developed at this time and no changes are planned at this time. The apartment building was constructed in 1968 and has been in continuous use as a multi-family rental since the construction of the building. Petitioner seeks to continue the multi-family use.

# Surrounding Uses:

The surrounding property northeast and southwest is zoned institutional. The properties consist of Indiana University classroom and office buildings to the north, east and south. West of the property is zoned RC and consists of a mixture of single family rental properties and multi-family rental properties. The neighborhood west of the property is predominantly residential rental properties.

Indiana University was notified of the intent to file the zoning petition and received mailed notice as an adjacent property owner.

# Vehicular Access.

The property is the southeast corner of the intersection of E. Cottage Grove Avenue and N. Woodlawn Avenue. On-site parking is provided on the east and west sides of the building with direct access to Woodlawn Avenue and E. Cottage Grove Avenue.

# Permitted Uses:

The IN zone permits, among other listed permitted uses, fraternity and sorority houses, government offices and operations, group homes, community and public building uses, including: library, museum, parking structures, places of worship, post office and recreation center. Also permitted are schools, universities and colleges, and utility facilities. Multi-family uses, apartment rentals, are not a permitted use in the IN zone.

The RH zone has certain overlapping uses with the IN zone, including: group homes, community center, park, utility substation and transmission facility, but adds multi-family dwelling uses not identified as permitted uses in the IN zone.

# Petitioner's Intent:

Petitioner requests rezoning to RH as the appropriate zone for the current and historic use of the property since 1968 as a seven apartment, multi-family dwelling.

### Process:

Petitioner requests waiver of a second Plan Commission hearing on this Petition.

Michael L. Carmin, Attorney for Petitioner, Douglas M. McCoy

399425 / 23866-1









# INDIANA UNIVERSITY

REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT Bloomington

October 31, 2016

Bloomington Plan Commission City of Bloomington 401 North Morton Street Bloomington, IN 47404

Re: Indiana University - objection to rezone petition of 900-902 East Cottage Grove

Dear Commissioners:

Indiana University hereby submits its support to the City staff's position that the rezone petition for 900-902 East Cottage Grove be denied. First, the Growth Policies Plan contemplated that Institutional Zoning would be preserved in this area, which is primarily property owned by Indiana University. Second, this petition would be a clear case of "spot zoning" as all other properties in the immediate area are zoned Institutional. Third, Indiana University has recently invested significant resources in the area, including the improvement of Woodlawn Avenue and the current construction of a new \$40 million building, Luddy Hall, immediately across the street from the subject site. Therefore, Indiana University is concerned with the potential outcomes that a rezone would create for this site and supports the City staff's recommendation of a denial of the rezone petition.

Kind regards,

Jason R. Banach University Director of Real Estate

cc: Mike Carmin Christy Langley James Roach

# BLOOMINGTON PLAN COMMISSION STAFF REPORT LOCATION: 323 and 455 S. Westplex Ave.

PETITIONER:	Patterson Pointe, LLC 5005 N. SR 37, Bloomington				
COUNSEL:	Bynum Fanyo and Associates, Inc. 528 N. Walnut Street, Bloomington				

**REQUEST:** The petitioner is requesting PUD Final Plan approval for four mixed use buildings and one multi-family building, including 33,000 square feet of non-residential space and 188 apartments.

# BACKGROUND:

Area:	7.54 acres
Current Zoning:	PUD
GPP Designation:	Community Activity Center and Adams Street/Patterson Drive
	Subarea
Existing Land Use:	Vacant
Proposed Land Use:	Mixed use, Multi-family
Surrounding Uses:	North – Commercial (Westplex PUD)
	West – Patterson Pointe Senior Residence – multi-family
	East – Commercial, School
	South – The Dillon Multi-family

**REPORT:** The Patterson Pointe PUD was created in 2010 (PUD-29-09). The PUD is approximately 18.32 acres in its entirety and bounded by W. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street to the north, S. Adams Street to the east, and the Landmark PUD to the south and west. The property had been used for many decades as the location of the Rogers Group and later Rogers Building Supply. The primary use of the property was a concrete product manufacturing operation but the property had been vacant for 4 years prior to creation of the PUD. Since creation of the PUD, several parts have received PUD Final Plan approval and have been developed, including The Dillon apartments, Patterson Pointe Senior Residence and the Academy of Science and Entrepreneurship.

In 2010, the Plan Commission and City Council approved a PUD District Ordinance and Preliminary Plan to redevelop this property. The PUD can be broken down into three main areas. Area C contains the Academy of Science and Entrepreneurship and has been fully built out. The northern 4.93 acres, Area A, includes the entire frontage along 3<sup>rd</sup> St. and has not yet been developed. The southern 11.36 acres, Area B, has been partially developed with multi-family housing.

This petition includes plans to develop all but the western part of Area A, A1, and the last remaining lot in Area B, Lot 2.

Area A is proposed to include four 4-story mixed use buildings. Two buildings along 3<sup>rd</sup> St. will be 3-stories along 3<sup>rd</sup> street and 4-stories fronting the parking lot in the rear. The lower level of these buildings include structured parking. This plan includes a building known as Building #5 behind the other buildings. This building was not conceptually shown on the PUD Preliminary Plan. The petitioners would like the Plan Commission to consider approving this building a minor deviation from the Preliminary Plan. The proposed buildings in Area A include a mix of 1, 2, 3 and 4 bedroom apartments with a total of 72 apartments, 205 bedrooms, 71.5 DUEs, approximately 33,000 square feet of non-residential space plus 5,400 square feet of site serving office space, and 187 parking spaces for the residential and commercial uses. Parking is a combination of a parking lot, structured parking under the buildings and a parking boulevard.

- Four 1-bedroom units
- Twenty five 2-bedroom units
- Twenty one 3-bedroom units
- Twenty two 4-bedroom units

Lot 2 of Area B includes one multi-family building. This building is mostly designed to appear as townhouses per the requirements of the PUD. While the PUD Preliminary Plan envisioned 6 buildings and surface parking in an interior courtyard, the petitioners now propose a single building with a structured parking garage. This building includes a mix of studio, 1, 2, 3, and 4 bedroom units, 106 units, 272 bedrooms, 94.22 DUES, and 310 parking spaces in the garage. While the 310 parking spaces exceeds the maximum of 0.9 parking spaces per bedroom outlined in the PUD, these spaces will be used by the tenants of both Area A and Lot 2 of Area B.

- Six studio units
- Twenty eight 1-bedroom units
- Seventeen 2-bedroom units
- Sixteen 3-bedroom units
- Thirty nine 4-bedroom units

The PUD prohibited 5 bedroom apartment. This Final Plan also includes the extension of Westplex Ave. from south of the creek to connect with 3<sup>rd</sup> Street and the construction of Dolimah Ave. from Isaac Dr. to Milieu Dr. between Lot 2 and the Academy. It also includes the final restoration plans for the creek that was daylighted as part of earlier phase of development.

# PUD REVIEW ISSUES:

**Heights/Densities/Lot Coverage:** The Final Plan meets all density, impervious surface coverage and height requirements as outlined in the PUD District ordinance.

**Architecture:** Included in the packet is both the original schematic architecture from the PUD as well as the current proposal. Materials include brick, cementitious siding and

panels, limestone, split-faced and ground-faced block, and EIFS only used as detailing. Cementitious materials are only permitted as secondary materials on Area A on facades facing 3<sup>rd</sup> or Patterson. A materials breakdown in needed to determine compliance.

Area B was laid out to include buildings with a townhouse design. The buildings were not required to be townhouses, but to have the appearance of townhouses. The other Buildings on Area B developed as the Dillon and Patterson Pointe Senior Housing are all stacked flats with the appearance of townhouses. The proposed building in Area B is 4 stories in height and includes a vertical orientation of modules and first floor entries to have the appearance of townhouses. However, due to more than 18 feet of grade change on Lot 2, many of these units' front doors are sunken below street grade. In some cases a retaining wall of up to 10 feet in height is proposed to catch the grade of the street while still keeping the units at the same floor grade. This is a function of the fact that this is a single building with interior hallways serving stacked flats instead of multiple building or true townhouses. The Plan Commission must determine if this design is in keeping with the PUD.

**Parking Boulevard:** This PUD contained an unusual and innovative parking scenario between the building and the street. The petitioners referred to this plan as a "parking boulevard" because the parking was separated from 3<sup>rd</sup> and Patterson by a narrow landscaped strip. The purpose of the parking boulevard was to provide convenient parking in front of the buildings to serve the non-commercial space that feels like street parking, without creating the same conflicts for traffic on adjacent streets as street parking. The parking boulevard included angled parking spaces and a one way access aisle with an entry on 3<sup>rd</sup> and an exit on Patterson.

In 2010, the petitioner presented three different parking plans to the City Council. These three version are included in the packet. The initial version was similar to the plan presented with this petition. The second version changed the orientation of the parking, pushing the parking spaces closer to the street and moving the access aisle closer to the buildings. This plan also provided for a "straight" connection between parts of Area A west of Westplex Ave. and the remainder to the east of Westplex Ave. with a single access point onto 3<sup>rd</sup> west of Westplex Ave. The third version was a more traditional street parking plan that included back-out on-street parking on Patterson and 3<sup>rd</sup>. The City Council did not specifically approve any of these plans, but instead provided for them to be "alternatives" that should be further evaluated by the Plan Commission at the time of the PUD Final Plan for Area A.

The petitioner's plan is most closely similar to the parking boulevard shown to the Plan Commission in 2010. With this, they have a single entry on 3<sup>rd</sup> street and an exit onto Patterson. The parking spaces are closer to the building and sidewalk. The parking boulevard does not extend across Westplex Ave as there are no current plans to develop Area A1 to the west of Westplex.

**Transportation Issues:** The site plan generally conforms to the PUD parameters, however a few primary concerns have been identified by Staff that require more information and detail before a final determination can be made.

- The pedestrian crossing of the parking boulevard near the 3rd/Adams/Patterson intersection is of high importance. The aisle vertical alignment should be designed to encourage low vehicular speeds and high crosswalk visibility. Due to the grade of the aisle a raised crosswalk may not be practical but significant grade breaks should be provided to help achieve these goals.
- The alignment of the parking boulevard egress access point onto Patterson is at a more acute angle than desired. Side view mirrors will not be effective at this angle and it would be challenging for drivers to turn their heads far enough to see and judge conflicting traffic. The landscaping and streetscape design in this vicinity needs to be carefully considered to not limit appropriate sight distances.
- The median in the center two-way left-turn lane on W 3rd Street in the vicinity of the angle parking access aisle ingress point may create more concerns than it is addressing. The median limits left-turn storage lengths so that queued vehicles may spill back into through traffic on 3<sup>rd</sup> St. Additionally, prohibition of left-turns into the angled parking access aisle will likely encourage U-turns at the 3rd/Westplex intersection which may be less desirable than left-turns into the access aisle.
- The parking boulevard access aisle and parking shall be maintained and operated as a private facility. Part of the access aisle is shown in the right-of-way. This should either be moved out of the right-of-way or the petitioner should request an right-of-way encroachment from the Board of public Works. A pedestrian easement shall be required for the sidewalk facilities along the W 3rd St and S Patterson Dr frontages.
- The PUD required pedestrian crossing enhancements at the 3rd/Adams/Patterson intersection. The proposed improvements need a more robust design. Intersection corners, pedestrian ramps, pedestrian pushbutton and countdown signal head locations, and signal details need to be provided.
- A more thorough Safety Study is requested to document crash records in the vicinity of the 3rd St/Westplex intersection. A comparison to traffic counts and crash records at the 3rd St/Johnson Ave intersection may be helpful given some operational similarities to the proposed 3rd/Westplex intersection. It would be desirable to evaluate and document the anticipated traffic volumes of the Westplex intersection in comparison to traffic signal warrant thresholds, the necessity of two northbound lanes on Westplex at W 3rd St, and the safety implications of permitting left-turns at the 3rd/Westplex intersection.
- All retaining walls and steps must be removed from the right-of-way around Lot 2 and the sidewalk must meet PROWAG requirements.

In addition to the aforementioned concerns, additional design details are being reviewed and coordinated with the petitioner.

**Building #5:** Proposed "building #5": is located behind the building that fronts on 3<sup>rd</sup> and Patterson. This building meets height and density requirements and include 5,400 square feet of first floor site serving office space, but is shown in an area where no building was

initially proposed. The Plan Commission must determine if this building's location is still in keeping with the spirit of the PUD.

**Creek Restoration:** This Final Plan includes the reconstruction of what was once a piped creek. On this site, 640 feet of the creek was opened up to the sky, or "daylighted." The reconstructed creek will provide greenspace, water quality treatment and an amenity to the development. With PUD-14-11, a riparian corridor reconstruction plan and included a facilities maintenance plan were approved. PUD-14-12 later deferred the full restoration of the creek until development of Area A, which is coming with this PUD Final Plan.

The proposed creek restoration differs significantly from the plan approved in the 2011 PUD Final Plan for the construction of Isaac Dr. That plan included the preservation of existing trees, systematic grading and soil and slope construction, removal of invasive spaces, planting of new trees, shrubs and grasses, and the creation of water quality basins for stormwater quality. In addition to the on-site riparian corridor work, the petitioner also reached an agreement with the upstream property owner to repair excessive erosion in the creek corridor immediately downstream of a box culvert outfall.

The current plan changes the nature of the restoration. The original plan included culverts under Westplex Ave. and the drive to the parking lot on A with an abutment and wing walls to be designed to feel like a bridge. The petitioner now proposes an at-grade crossing with land that slopes down to the bottom of the channel and longer pipes under the crossings. In addition, the middle section of the creek is designed more like a detention pond with an outlet structure in the downstream section. Please see the Environmental Commission report for more details.

**Streets and Sidewalks:** This Final Plan includes the design of Westplex Ave north from Isaac to 3<sup>rd</sup> and Dolimah Ave. from Isaac Dr. to Milieu Dr. between Lot 2 and the Academy. Dolimah includes on-street parking, street trees and bump-outs at intersections.

The existing combined curb and sidewalk along 3<sup>rd</sup> and Patterson will be removed and replaced with a wide plaza and sidewalk on the opposite side of the parking boulevard. This is consistent with the PUD. This sidewalk must be placed in a pedestrian easement to ensure that it is open to the public in perpetuity. This plan also includes a pedestrian crossing of the parking boulevard to access the bus stop and pedestrian crosswalks at the southwest corner of 3<sup>rd</sup> and Patterson. The petitioner continues to work with staff on the design of this corner and the pedestrian improvements.

In compliance with the PUD Preliminary Plan, the petitioners have provided a pedestrian bridge across the creek from the front door of Building 5 on Lot 2 of Area B to the parking lot behind Area A. The preliminary plan initially showed a through pedestrian route from this bridge to the far southern side of the PUD, but this was not enacted with the development of the remainder of Area B. Staff believes the street network can accommodate pedestrian needs from the south to north sides of the development. The PUD initially envisioned relocating the bus stop on 3<sup>rd</sup> further to the west. Bloomington Transit prefers that the stop stay closer to its existing location near the intersection. This also allows for a single controlled pedestrian crossing point of the parking boulevard at the corner. The plaza between buildings 2 and 3 is currently a placeholder. It will need to be further designed to provide an accessible route from the parking lot behind these buildings to the parking boulevard for both shoppers to access the retail space and residents to access the bus stop.

Finally, the PUD required a 20 foot parking setback from the right-of-way of Westplex Ave. This is not currently shown on the plan.

**Traffic Signal:** The PUD included a commitment to install a traffic signal at the intersection of "Old" 3<sup>rd</sup> St. and Patterson Dr. With approval of the Patterson Park PUD to the southwest, the Council and the Plan Commission required a traffic signal at the intersection of Adams St. and Patterson Dr. At the time of that PUD approval, it was determined that that signal would take the place of the traffic signal originally required with this PUD. This Final Plan does not include a traffic signal.

**Signage:** Signage designs have not been submitted with this Final Plan. Signage approved for the PUD matches closely the UDO standards, but deviates in a couple of places. In particular, the PUD allows the multi-family use in Area B to be included on a multi-tenant center sign within Area A. Future signage must meet the PUD District Ordinance and the UDO.

**Utilities:** A utility plan has been submitted to CBU and is under review.

**Stormwater:** A stormwater plan has been submitted to CBU and is under review. Final approval of the stormwater plan is required prior to release of any permits.

**Bicycle Parking:** More detail is required on bicycle parking for the development including the location of the Class-1 spaces and covered spaces.

**ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS:** The Bloomington Environmental Commission (EC) has made 4 recommendations concerning this development.

- 1.) The Petitioner should address the list of issues and questions aforementioned regarding the stream restoration plan.
- 2.) The Petitioner shall revise the landscape plan.
- 3.) The Petitioner should apply green building practices to create high performance, low-carbon footprint structures, and provide the infrastructure/space for recyclable-material collection.

4.) The Petitioner should commit to salvaging, recycling, and reusing all possible construction materials not needed for construction.

# Items for further discussion:

- Changed buildings
- Architecture/townhouse design
- Stream design
- Off-site parking for Area A on Lot 2 of Area B
- Parking boulevard and safety

**RECOMMENDATION:** Staff recommends that PUD-31-16 be continued to a second hearing.

# **MEMORANDUM**

Date:	October 27, 2016
To:	Bloomington Plan Commission
From:	Bloomington Environmental Commission
Through:	Linda Thompson, Senior Environmental Planner
Subject:	PUD-31-16, Patterson Pointe, Lots 1 & 2 323, 455 S. Westplex Avenue

The purpose of this memo is to convey the environmental concerns and recommendations of the Environmental Commission (EC) with the hope that action will be taken to enhance the environmental integrity of this proposed plan. The EC is aware there are practically no environmental features left on this heavily developed site; therefore the EC recommends that the site design include as many new environmentally beneficial features as possible and certainly not less than the original Preliminary Plan proposed. The Petitioner's request is for approval of the Final Plan for the Patterson Pointe Planned Unit Development, which is not consistent with the original District Ordinance.

This plan shows a larger overall building footprint than the original preliminary plan and the riparian buffer restoration is significantly smaller. It now has one additional building along with added surface parking, which reduces the amount of greenspace originally planned. The EC does not find this acceptable.

The original PUD intent was to create a "showplace" of sorts for urban stream restoration. With this new proposal, the restored creek is no longer meandering, no longer embodies deeper pools and shallower riffles, and no longer embraces strategically placed limestone boulders and trees for erosion control or stream habitat. The riparian buffers have no trees or shrubs and much less herbaceous plant diversity than originally planned. The plan instead now shows a linear detention pond with native herbaceous plants installed on the sides.

# **ISSUES OF SOUND ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN**

# **1.) STREAM RESTORATION**

The EC recommends that the list of issues and questions below be resolved prior to approving the revised plan.

\* Where is the riffle?

- \* Why is the creek no longer meandering?
- \* Describe weeper pipe.
- \* Describe why the raingardens are on the north side of the creek instead of the south side.

\* Where is the plunge pool on the west side of Wextplex Ave?

\* A 4-inch pipe is not sufficient for under the bridge, and should remain a bottomless arch to preserve the channel floor and allow flow.

\* One of the original 3 raingardens is missing; please explain.

\* NAG SC250 matting is not acceptable in the plunge pools. Consider flexible or articulating tied concrete block mat; concrete revetment mattress or similar type erosion control system.

\* Expand the list of plants prohibited to include the entire UDO Title 20 unacceptable list.

\* Within the Operation and Maintenance in the Stormwater Quality and Stream Restoration Manual, change JF New to Cardno (formally JF New)

\* Describe the removal of pavement and the amended soil to replace it.

\* Describe work to be done on the west side of Westplex Ave., and how wide the riparian buffer will be there.

\* What material will be on the stream floor?

\* Add the original allowable shrub and tree species in all three riparian buffer zones

\* All three riparian zones and the rain gardens need additional choices for acceptable forbs.

\* The large trees that were required to be preserved are no longer shown as preserved.

# 2.) LANDSCAPING

The Landscape Plan needs some revisions. The EC recommends that the riparian buffer be enlarged before revising the Landscape Plan. We also believe that using native plants provides food and habitat for birds, butterflies and other beneficial insects, thus promoting biodiversity and pollination within the city. Furthermore, native plants do not require chemical fertilizers or pesticides and are water efficient once established. For additional suggestions, please see the EC's Natural Landscaping materials at <a href="https://www.bloomington.in.gov/beqi/greeninfrastructure/htm">www.bloomington.in.gov/beqi/greeninfrastructure/htm</a> under 'Resources' in the left column. We also recommend an excellent guide to midwest sources of native plants at: http://www.inpaws.org/landscaping.html.

# 3.) GREEN BUILDING

The EC recommends that the developer design the building with as many best practices for energy savings and resource conservation as possible. Some examples of best practices that go beyond the Building Code include enhanced insulation; high efficiency heating and cooling; Energy Star doors, windows, lighting, and appliances; high efficiency toilets; programmable thermostats in each unit; sustainable floor coverings; and recycled products such as carpet and counter tops. Some specific recommendations to mitigate the effects of climate change and dwindling resources include the following.

<u>Reduce Heat Island Effect</u> The roof material should have a minimum initial Solar Reflective Index (SRI) of 0.65, and an aged index of 0.55. (*SRI* is a value that incorporates both solar reflectance and emittance in a single value to represent a material's temperature in the sun. SRI quantifies how hot a surface would get relative to standard black and standard white surfaces. It is calculated using equations based on previously measured values of solar reflectance and emittance as laid out in the American Society for Testing and Materials Standard E 1980. It is expressed as a fraction (0.0 to 1.0) or percentage (0% to 100%)). If a roof membrane is used, it should be overlaid with a reflective coating or covered with a white, granulated cap sheet.

Energy efficiency Enhance the weather, air, and thermal barriers of the building envelope to

reduce the energy consumption associated with conditioning indoor air to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in our region.

# Charging stations for electric vehicles

Many people are now purchasing electric vehicles (EV), making installation of charging stations a necessity for residents. Therefore the EC recommends that electric charging stations be installed for some of the parking spaces.

# Space for staging recyclable materials prior to pickups

The EC recommends that space be allocated for recyclable-materials collection, which will reduce the facilities' carbon footprint and promote healthy indoor and outdoor environments. Recycling has become an important norm that has many benefits in energy and resource conservation. Recycling is thus an important contributor to Bloomington's environmental quality and sustainability and is expected in a 21<sup>st</sup>-century structure.

Green building and environmental stewardship are of utmost importance to the people of Bloomington and sustainable features are consistent with the spirit of the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO). Additionally, they are supported by Bloomington's overall commitment to sustainability and its green building initiative (<u>http://Bloomington.in.gov/greenbuild</u>). Sustainable building practices are explicitly called for by the Mayors' Climate Protection Agreement signed by former Mayor Kruzan; by City Council Resolution 06-05 supporting the Kyoto Protocol and reduction of our community's greenhouse gas emissions; by City Council Resolution 06-07, which recognizes and calls for planning for peak oil; and by a report from the Bloomington Peak Oil Task Force, *Redefining Prosperity: Energy Descent and Community Resilience Report*.

# 4.) CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION DEBRIS

The EC recommends that construction and demolition debris from the construction of the new buildings be collected for reuse or recycling. This material could be sold to local salvage businesses, given to a resale store for future re-use, or recycled. Very little material should have to be disposed in a landfill.

# **EC RECOMENDATIONS**

1.) The Petitioner should address the list of issues and questions aforementioned.

2.) The Petitioner shall revise the Landscape Plan.

3.) The Petitioner should apply green building practices to create high performance, low-carbon footprint structures, and provide the infrastructure/space for recyclable-material collection.

4.) The Petitioner should commit to salvaging, recycling, and reusing all possible construction materials not needed for construction.







For reference only; map information NOT warranted.



October 24, 2016

City of Bloomington Plan Commission

401 N. Morton Street

Bloomington, Indiana 47403

Re: Patterson Pointe Final Plan Approval

Dear Plan Commission Members:

Our client Patterson Pointe, LLC respectfully request Final Plan approval of their PUD located at the southwest corner of West Third Street and Patterson Drive. The parcels include lots 1 and 2 of the Patterson Pointe Final Plat and are designated as use areas A2 and B respectively.

Use area A2 (lot 1) will have 4 mixed use buildings with ground floor commercial space and multi-family residential uses on floors 2, 3 and 4. Buildings 3 and 4 will also have 57 below grade parking spaces. There are an additional 130 street and surface parking spaces within lot 1.

Building 2 will have 11,177 square feet of commercial space and 27 apartments, building 3 will have 10,776 square feet of commercial space and 20 apartments, building 4 will have 11,122 square feet of commercial space and 18 apartments and building 5 will have 5,237 square feet of commercial space and 7 apartments. The total DUE value of lot 1 is 71.5.

Use area B (lot 2) with building 1 will consist of four floors of apartments and three floors of structured parking providing 310 parking spaces. There will be an additional 55 street and surface parking spaces. There is a total of 106 apartments with a DUE value of 94.22.

Access to the site will be by an extension of Westplex Avenue to West 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, an angled parking boulevard paralleling west 3<sup>rd</sup> Street and S. Patterson Drive in front of buildings 2, 3 and 4, and a connecting drive to W. Isaac Drive which has access to S. Patterson Drive. To reduce the number of conflicting turning movements at West 3<sup>rd</sup> Street into the angled parking area a concrete median will be installed in West 3<sup>rd</sup> street to prohibit west bound U-Turns into the angled parking boulevard while still maintaining west bound left turns into Westplex Avenue.

During the previous construction of West Isaac Drive, 5 water quality structures were installed along the north edge of pavement to collect storm water runoff from lot 2 and West Isaac Drive. These devices remove sediment, trash and oil prior to being discharged into the restored intermittent stream. The runoff from lot 1 will drain to a bioswale south of building 5 for filtering and treatment before being discharge to the restored intermittent stream.

# Pedestrian-friendly, Townhouse-Style Multi Family Design (by CSO Architects)

The design of the Patterson Pointe project strives to fully and completely embrace the direction and intent of the PUD District Ordinance, creating a walkable, pedestrian-friendly, townhousestyle development for this important downtown Bloomington property at West 3rd Street & South Patterson Drive.

The buildings fronting on West 3<sup>rd</sup> Street and South Patterson Drive present very human-scaled, attractively-designed 3 and 4-story facades along very walkable streetscapes with brick pavers, lighting, street furniture and street trees. The first floor retail facades are designed with larger windows, greater detail in the masonry and awnings and varied canopies of both fabric and metal. The (2) upper floors provide high quality, attractive market rate living units with regularly spaced windows and balconies. Enhancing the pedestrian experience, the building facades are broken down into individual brick and limestone façades that are 24' wide, alternating with 10'-18' recessed areas of cement board siding, panels and trim. Thus the 34'-42' regular façade plane modules and recesses, as well as the varied palette of attractive materials, help create a very pleasant, townhouse-like rhythm and appearance to the facades that greatly enhances the pedestrian-friendly walkability of the development.

The development on Parcel B is similar in its new urbanist design approach, incorporating a pleasant variety of façade planes and recesses, enhanced masonry detailing at the first floor, and a varied palette of brick and cement board siding colors to break down the facades to a very human scale. Adding still further to the pedestrian-friendly, townhouse-like design approach, the first floor living units offer individual elevated entrance stoops with individual sidewalk approaches, interspersed with street trees. And the Parcel B building is further broken down by its "2 building" design, which adopts one architectural style on the south half and another distinct but complimentary architectural style on the north half.

All of the buildings incorporate a regular rhythm of operable double-hung windows and an interesting variety of flat and gabled roofs of different orientation, which further breaks down the scale and mass of the buildings, enhances their aesthetics and contributes to the pedestrian-friendly, townhouse-like design of the development as seen from West 3<sup>rd</sup> Street and South Patterson Drive.

Exterior Finish Materials of Construction:

- Brick
- Cementitious siding, panels and trim
- Limestone
- Possibly some split faced and/or ground faced block

- EIFS possible as an accent material in very limited amounts
- Pitched roofs with Asphalt shingles
- Some flat membrane-type roofs

# Landscaping Note:

Area A2 (Lot 1) will include restoration of a natural stream channel as part of this site development project. The stream was previously developed by removing an existing 36" RCP storm culvert that bisects the northern portion of the site. The current project will further propose to create natural over bank areas in a park-like setting. This area will provide water quality enhancement for the existing channel, as well as enhancement for storm water runoff from the development site. Proposed rain garden areas will also be located within the area to reduce the number of point source discharges to the new channel.

After you have had a chance to review our petition please feel free to contact us at any time with questions or clarifications.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey S. Fanyo, PE, CFM

Bynum Fanyo and Associates, Inc.

# LOT #1 (Area A)

	1	B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R	R. Totals	-
Total Apartments		4	25	21	22	72	Units
Total beds		4	50	63	88	205	Beds
DUE		1	16.5	21	33	71.5	
Retail/Amenitie	es:						
Retail						+/- 21,700	sqft
Amenities/Leasing (	Amenities/Leasing Office/Terrace: +/- 10,900						sqft
Total						+/- 32,600	sqft
Parking Requir	ement	s:					
Parking Required fo	r Apartm	ents:	0	.9/Bedroom:	185	Spaces max	- K
Parking Req. for Re				1/250 SF	133	Spaces	_
Total Parking Requi	red for L	ot #1:			318	Spaces	-
Parking Provid	ed:						-
Garage Parking:						57	Spaces
Street & Surface Pa	arking:					130	Spaces
Total Parking Space	es Provid	ed on Lo	ot #1:			187	Spaces
LOT #2 (Area I	3)						
	S 1	B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R	R. Totals	
Total Apartments	6	28	17	16	39	106	Units
Total beds	6	28	34	48	156	Transmitter The Phatematic	Beds
DUE	1.5	7	11.22	16	58.5	94.22	Provence
Parking Requir	ement	s:					_
Parking Required fo	r Apartm	ents:	0	.9/Bedroom:	247	Spaces max	×
Total Parking Requi	red for L	ot #2:			247	Spaces	w.
Parking Provid	ed:						
Floor						Totals	S
1st Floor: Incl. ramp	plus spa	ices und	er ramp:			105	,
2nd Floor 3rd Floor						105 100	
Total Garage Space						310	-
Street & Surface Pa						55	
Total Parking Space	•	ed on Lo	ot			365	Spaces
#2:						Encoder and a second seco	
Total Parking neede	ed (Lot #1	1 + #2)				565	Spaces
and second se						Spaces	
Surplus						-13	Spaces
Total Beds (Lot 1+2) 479 Units							Units
Total Apartments						180	Units
(Lot 1+2)							



BUILDING 2





BUILDING 3





BUILDING 4





Patterson Pointe Bloomington, Indiana





October 24, 2016



Conceptual view Building 2

Patterson Pointe Bloomington, Indiana

**&** 16076





Conceptual view Building 3 and 4

Patterson Pointe Bloomington, Indiana **&** 16076



Schematic Architecture for Area A from PUD District Ordinance



PATTERSON POINTE PUD.

MIXED.USE/MIXED DENSITY STREET FRONT BUILDINGS C 3PD and PATTERSON


Schematic Architecture for Area A from PUD District Ordinance



1

Patterson Pointe Illustrative Architecture Area A and C Schematic Architecture for Area A from PUD District Ordinance





Schematic Architecture for Area A from PUD District Ordinance



### 3

Patterson Pointe Illustrative Architecture Area A and C







Schematic Architecture for Area A from PUD District Ordinance





7

Patterson Pointe Illustrative Architecture Area A and C



NORTH ELEVATION



WEST ELEVATION

EAST ELEVATION



SOUTH ELEVATION



Patterson Pointe Bloomington, Indiana



**\$** 16076





Conceptual view Building 1

Patterson Pointe Bloomington, Indiana

**&** 16076





POWHOUSE APAPTMENTS/ RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS

Schematic Architecture for Area B from PUD District Ordinance



**1** Patterson Pointe Illustrative Architecture Area B Schematic Architecture for Area B from PUD District Ordinance



2 Patterson Pointe Illustrative Architecture Area B



	,						
		1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R	. Totals	
Total Apartments		4	25	21	22	72	Units
Total beds		4	50	63	88	205	Beds
DUE		1	16.5	21	33	71.5	
Retail/Amenitie	es:						
Retail						+/- 21,700	sqft
Amenities/Leasing	Office/	Terrace:				+/- 10,900	sqft
Total						+/- 32,600	sqft
Parking Requi	reme	nts:					
Parking Required for	or Apai	rtments:	0	.9/Bedroom:	185	Spaces max	
Parking Req. for R				1/250 SF	133	Spaces	
Total Parking Requ	ired fo	r Lot #1:			318	Spaces	
Parking Provid	led:						
Garage Parking:						57	Spaces
Street & Surface P	arking:					130	Spaces
Total Parking Space	es Pro	vided on L	ot #1:			187	Spaces
LOT #2 (Area	B)						
	S	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R	. Totals	
Total Apartments	6	28	17	16	39	106	Units
Total beds	6	28	34	48	156	272	Beds
DUE	1.5	7	11.22	16	58.5	94.22	
Parking Requi	reme	nts:					
Parking Required for	or Apai	rtments:	0	.9/Bedroom:	247	Spaces max	
Total Parking Requ	ired fo	r Lot #2:			247	Spaces	
Parking Provid	led:						
Floor						Totals	
1st Floor: Incl. ram	plus :	spaces und	der ramp:			105	
2nd Floor						105	
3rd Floor						100	
Total Garage Spac Street & Surface Pa						310 55	
Stieet & Sunace F	arking.					- 55	
Total Parking Spac #2:	es Pro	vided on L	ot			365	Spaces
<i>π</i> ∠.							
Total Parking need						565	Spaces
Total Parking provi	ded (L	ot #1 + #2)	:			552	Spaces
Surplus						-13	Spaces
Total Beds (Lot 1+2	2)					479	Units
Total Apartments						180	Units
(Lot 1+2)							

## LEVEL 1 PLAN (LOWEST)

CSO Architects



Bldg 2						
Floor	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
1st Floor	-		-	-	-	
2nd Floor	-	3	3	3	9	
3rd Floor	-	3	3	3	9	
4th Floor	-	3	3	3	9	
Total Apartments	-	9	9	9	27	Units
Bldg 3						
Floor	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
1st Floor	-	-	-	-	-	
2nd Floor	2	4	4	-	10	
3rd Floor	2	4	4	-	10	
Total Apartments	4	8	8	-	20	Units
Bldg 4						
Floor	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
1st Floor	-	-	-	-	-	
2nd Floor	-	4	2	3	9	
3rd Floor	-	4	2	3	9	
Total Apartments	-	8	4	6	18	Units
Bldg 5						
Townhouse	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
Units	-	-	-	7	7	
				7	7 1	Units
Total Apartments	-	-	-	/	· · ·	
Total Apartments Total Apartments	- 4	- 25	- 21	22		Units

LOT #2 (Area	в)					
Bldg 1						
Floor	S	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals
1st Floor	-	2	3	2	8	
2nd Floor	2	6	4	4	10	
3rd Floor	2	6	4	5	10	
4th Floor	2	14	6	5	11	
Total Apartments	6	28	17	16	39	106
DUE	1.5	7	11.22	16	58.5	94.22
Total Apartments (Lot 1+2)	6	32	42	37	61	178





Bldg 2						
Floor	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
1st Floor	-	-	-	-	-	
2nd Floor	-	3	3	3	9	
3rd Floor	-	3	3	3	9	
4th Floor	-	3	3	3	9	
Total Apartments	-	9	9	9	27	Units
Bldg 3						
Floor	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
1st Floor	-	-	-	-	-	
2nd Floor	2	4	4	-	10	
3rd Floor	2	4	4	-	10	
Total Apartments	4	8	8	-	20	Units
Bldg 4						
Floor	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
1st Floor	-	-	-	-	-	
2nd Floor	-	4	2	3	9	
3rd Floor	-	4	2	3	9	
Total Apartments	-	8	4	6	18	Units
Bldg 5						
Townhouse	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
Units	-	-	-	7	7	
	-	-	-	7	7	Units
Total Apartments						
Total Apartments Total Apartments	4	25	21	22	72	Units

Bldg 1						
Floor	S	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals
1st Floor	-	2	3	2	8	
2nd Floor	2	6	4	4	10	
3rd Floor	2	6	4	5	10	
4th Floor	2	14	6	5	11	
Total Apartments	6	28	17	16	39	106 Units
DUE	1.5	7	11.22	16	58.5	94.22
Total Apartments	6	32	42	37	61	178 Units

# LEVEL 3 PLAN

🚓 16076



Bldg 2						
Floor	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
1st Floor	-	-	-	-	-	
2nd Floor	-	3	3	3	9	
3rd Floor	-	3	3	3	9	
4th Floor	-	3	3	3	9	
Total Apartments	-	9	9	9	27	Units
Bldg 3						
Floor	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
1st Floor	-	-	-	-	-	
2nd Floor	2	4	4	-	10	
3rd Floor	2	4	4	-	10	
Total Apartments	4	8	8	-	20	Units
Bldg 4						
Floor	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
1st Floor	-	-	-	-	-	
2nd Floor	-	4	2	3	9	
3rd Floor	-	4	2	3	9	
Total Apartments	-	8	4	6	18	Units
Bldg 5						
Townhouse	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
Units	-	-	-	7	7	
onno				7	7	Units
Total Apartments	-	-	-	1	<i>'</i>	0
	- 4	- 25 16.5	- 21	22		Units

Bldg 1							
Floor	S	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
1st Floor	-	2	3	2	8		
2nd Floor	2	6	4	4	10		
3rd Floor	2	6	4	5	10		
4th Floor	2	14	6	5	11		
Total Apartments	6	28	17	16	39	106 Ui	nits
DUE	1.5	7	11.22	16	58.5	94.22	
Total Apartments	6	32	42	37	61	<b>178</b> Ui	nits





· · ·						
Bldg 2						
Floor	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
1st Floor	-	-	-	-	-	
2nd Floor	-	3	3	3	9	
3rd Floor	-	3	3	3	9	
4th Floor	-	3	3	3	9	
Total Apartments	-	9	9	9	27	Units
Bldg 3						
Floor	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
1st Floor	-	-	-	-	-	
2nd Floor	2	4	4	-	10	
3rd Floor	2	4	4	-	10	
Total Apartments	4	8	8	-	20	Units
Bldg 4						
Floor	1 B.R.	2 B.R.	3 B.R.	4 B.R.	Totals	
1st Floor	-	-	-	-	-	
2nd Floor						
	-	4	2	3	9	
	-	4	2 2	3 3	9 9	
3rd Floor			2 2 4			Units
3rd Floor Total Apartments Bldg 5	-	4	2	3	9	Units
3rd Floor Total Apartments Bldg 5	- - 1 B.R.	4	2	3	9	Units
3rd Floor Total Apartments Bldg 5 Townhouse	- - - 1 B.R. -	4	2 4	3 6	9 18	Units
3rd Floor Total Apartments Bldg 5 Townhouse Units	- - 1 B.R. - -	4 8 2 B.R.	2 4 3 B.R.	3 6 4 B.R.	9 18 Totals 7 7 7	
3rd Floor Total Apartments	-	4 8 2 B.R. -	2 4 3 B.R.	3 6 4 B.R. 7	9 18 Totals 7	Units Units Units

#### LOT #2 (Area B) Bldg 1 Totals Floor S 1 B.R. 2 B.R. 3 B.R. 4 B.R. 1st Floor 2nd Floor 3rd Floor 8 -2 3 2 2 10 10 6 4 4 2 6 4 5 4th Floor 2 14 6 5 11 Total Apartments 6 28 17 39 106 Units 16 94.22 DUE 58.5 1.5 7 11.22 16 178 Units Total 6 32 42 37 61 Apartments (Lot 1+2)



**CSO** Architects

Patterson Pointe Bloomington, IN | 24 October 2016











RDINANCE REQUIREMENTS Zoning: CA	3								
			PLANT SCHEDUL	E					
Interior Planting Requirement	nts Acres		TREES	QTY	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	CONT	CAL	REMARKS
Trees: 9 canopy trees/acre	6		Ace-x	18	Acer rubrum 'Armstrong'	Armstrong Red Maple	B & B	2*Cal	full, strong central leader, matched
Required: Provided:	18 28 from parkir		Ace-s	18	Acer saccharum 'Legacy'	Legacy Sugar Maple	B & B	2*Cal	full, strong central leader, matched
3 evergreen trees/acre	26 IIOIII parki	ng	Bet-n	3	Betula nigra	River Birch	B & B	2*Cal	full, strong central leader, matched
Required:	6		Gle-s	23	Gleditsia triacanthos inermis 'Shademaster'	Shademaster Thornless Honeylocust	B & B	2*Cal	full, strong central leader, matched
Provided: ium/small canopy trees/acre	6		Lir-t	4	Liriodendron tulipifera	Tulip Tree	B & B	2*Cal	full, strong central leader, matched
Required:	6		Nys-s	3	Nyssa sylvatica	Sour Gum	B & B	2"Cal	full, strong central leader, matched
Provided: Shrubs: 27 Shrubs/acre	6		Pla-o	2	Platanus occidentalis		B & B	2"Cal	full, strong central leader, matched
Shrubs: 27 Shrubs/acre Required:	54	note: voi	Que-b	1	Quercus bicolor	Swamp White Oak	B & B	2*Cal	spring dug, full, strong central leader,
Provided:	228 from parking		Que-r	2	Quercus rubra	Red Oak	B&B	2*Cal	matched spring dug, full, strong central leader, matched
Lot 2 0.57 A Trees: 9 canopy trees/acre			Tax-s	6	Taxodium distichum 'Shawnee Brave'	Shawnee Brave Bald Cypress	B & B	2*Cal	full, strong central leader, matched
Required:	5		Til-c	6	Tilia cordata 'Greenspire'	Greenspire Littleleaf Linden	B & B	2*Cal	full, strong central leader, matched
Provided: 3 evergreen trees/acre	5		Ulm-m	37	Ulmus x Morton Accolade	Morton Accolade Elm	B & B	2*Cal	full, strong central leader, matched
Required:	2		Zel-v	14	Zelkova serrata 'Village Green'	Village Green Sawleaf Zelkova	B & B	2*Cal	full, strong central leader, matched
Provided:	2								
ium/small canopy trees/acre Required:	2		EVERGREEN TREES	QTY	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	CONT	CAL	REMARKS
Provided:	4	replaces	Pin-s	2	Pinus strobus	White Pine	B & B	min. 6' ht.	full, strong central leader, matched,
Shrubs: 27 Shrubs/acre				~	1 100 010000	The sale	S & D	Internet Contraction	symmetrical
Required: Provided	15 8	note: you note: 50/	Tsu-c	5	Tsuga canadensis	Canadian Hemlock	B & B	min. 6' ht.	full, strong central leader, matched.
Street Tree Requirements		1008.00							symmetrical
3rd Street	725 Lf.						•		-
Trees: 1 tree/40 Lf. Required:	18	note: tre	SMALL CANOPY TREES	QTY	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	CONT	CAL	REMARKS
Provided:	18	note: the	Ame-c	6	Amelanchier laevis 'Cumulus'	Cumulus Allegheny Serviceberry	B & B	6' ht.	multi-trunk; matched
Patterson Drive	308 Lf.		Cer-c	5	Cercis canadensis	Eastern Redbud	B & B	6' ht.	multi-trunk, matched
Trees: 1 tree/40 Lf. Required:	8	note: tre	Mal-x	18	Malus x `Adirondack`	Adirondack Crabapple	15 gal		12' tall x 6' wide to avoid overhead wire
Provided:		note: the		-					conflicts
Milieu Street	413 Lf.								
Trees: 1 tree/40 Lf. Required:	10	note: tre	SHRUBS	QTY	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE	HEIGHT	REMARKS
Provided:	10	note: the	Aro-a	15	Aronia arbutifolia 'Brilliantissima'	Brilliant Red Chokeberry	container	24"	space @ 3'-0" o.c.
Westplex Avenue	1024 Lf.	Trees w	Aro-m	14	Aronia melanocarpa 'Autumn Magic'	Autumn Magic Black Chokeberry	container	24"	space @ 5'-0" o.c.
Trees: 1 tree/40 Lf. Required:	26	note: tre	Cor-r	89	Cornus racemosa	Gray Dogwood	container	24"	space @ 6'-0" o.c.
Provided:	18	note: the	Hyd-a	8	Hydrangea arborescens 'Abetwo'	Incrediball Hydrangea	container	24"	space @ 5'-0" o.c.
aac's Drive (west section)	430 Lf.	north an	Hyd-w	101	Hydrangea quercifolia 'Pee Wee'	Pee Wee Oakleaf Hydrangea	container	24"	space @ 3'-0" o.c.
Trees: 1 tree/40 Lf. Required:	11	note: tre	lle-p	17	llex verticillata 'Mr. Poppins'	Mr. Poppins Winterberry	container	24"	space @ 3'-0" o.c.
Provided:	22	note: the	Ite-v	13	Itea virginica 'Henry's Garnet'	Henry's Garnet Sweetspire		24"	space @ 3'-0" o.c.
saac's Drive (east section)	270 Lf.	north an	Jun-v	158	Juniperus virginiana "Grev Owl"	Grey Owl Juniper		18" spread	space @ 3'-0" o.c., allow to mass
Trees: 1 tree/40 Lf. Required:	7	note: tre	Phy-o	97	Physocarpus opulifolius 'Summer Wine'	Summer Wine Ninebark		24"	space @ 5'-0" o.c.
Provided:	7	note: the	Pot-f	36	Potentilla fruticosa 'Goldfinger'	Goldfinger Potentilla		24"	space @ 3'-0" o.c.
Dolimah Avenue Trees: 1 tree/40 Lf.	253 Lf.		Vib-d	18	Viburnum dentatum 'Christom'	Blue Muffin Viburnum		24"	space @ 4'-0" o.c.
Required:	6	note: tre	VID-0	10	Viburium dentatum Crinistom	bide Maliin Vibanan	containei	24	space of 4 "0" o.c.
Provided:	6	note: the	GRASSES	QTY	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE	HEIGHT	REMARKS
Buffer Requirements:			Pan-v	165				neigni	
Site Zone: C	CA .		Pan-v	165	Panicum virgatum 'Cloud Nine'	Cloud Nine Switch Grass	#1 pot		space @ 3'-0" o.c.
Adiacent Zone: C	CG								
No buffers required between	these two uses		GROUND COVERS	QTY	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	CONT	FIELD2	REMARKS
Parking Perimeter Requirem	ents	50% eve	Ast-n	349	Aster novae-angliae	New England Aster	1 gal		space @ 15" o.c., triangular spacing
Parking Edge 1: Trees: 1 tree/4 spaces	24 spaces		lri-v	526	Iris virginica shrevei	Blue Flag	1 gal		space @ 12" o.c., triangular spacing
Required:	6		Rud-s	283	Rudbeckia subtomentosa	Sweet Black-eyed Susan	1 gal		space @ 18" o.c., triangular spacing
Provided:	7								
Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required:	72								
Provided:	90								
Parking Edge 2:	15 spaces		STREAMSIDE ZONE						
Trees: 1 tree/4 spaces			SUITE						
	4		INTERMEDIATE ZON	Ŀ					
Required:	é.								
Required: Provided:	6		FRINGE 70NF						
Required: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required:	45		FRINGE ZONE						
Required: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required: Provided:	45 51		FRINGE ZONE RAINGARDENS						
Required: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required: Provided: Parking Edge 3:	45		RAINGARDENS						
Required: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required: Provided: Parking Edge 3: Trees: 1 tree/4 spaces	45 51								
Required: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required: Provided: Parking Edge 3: Trees: 1 tree/4 spaces Required: Provided:	45 51		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA						
Required: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required: Parking Edge 3: Trees: 1 free/4 spaces Required: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space	45 51 16 spaces 4 4		RAINGARDENS						
Required: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required: Provided: Provided: Trees: 1 tree/4 spaces Required: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required: Required:	45 51 16 spaces 4		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA		REURY D				
Required: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required: Provided: Parking Edge 3: Trees: 1 tree/4 spaces Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Provided: Provided: Parking Edge 4:	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 4		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDIX D				
Required: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required: Provided: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required: Provide	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 48 49 20 spaces		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDIX D				
Required: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Required: Provided: Parking Edge 3: Trees: 1 tree/4 spaces Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Shrubs: 3 shrubs/space Provided: Provided: Parking Edge 4:	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 48 49		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDIX D				
Required: Shrubs: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrube/space Parking Edge 3: Troes: 1 tree/4 spaces Shrubs: 3 shrube/space Parking Edge 4: Parking Edge 4: Trees: 1 tree/4 spaces Parking Edge 4: Trees: 1 tree/4 spaces Parking Edge 4: Shrubs: 3 shrube/space	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 49 20 spaces 5 5		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDIX D				
Required: Provided: Vorvided: Provided: Provided: Provided: Provided: Provided: Provided: Provided: Provided: Provided: Parking Edge 4: Provided: Parking Edge 4: Provided: Parking Starburgs Provided: Parking Starburgs Provided: Provid	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 49 20 spaces 5 5 60		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDIX D				
Required: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrube/space Provided: Parking Edge 3: Troes: 1 treel4 spaces Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrube/space Provided: Provided: Provided: Provided: Provided: Shrubs: 3 shrube/space Parking Edge 4: Provided: Pr	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 49 20 spaces 5 5 60 64		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDIX D				
Required: Provided: Provided: Provided: Provided: Provided: Parting Edge 3: Prost. Provided: Provided: Shruts: 3 shrubulspace Required: Parting Edge 4: Trees: 1 freed spaces Provided: Shruts: 3 shrubulspace Parting Edge 5: Shruts: 3 shrubulspace Provided:	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 48 49 20 spaces 5 5 60 64 12 spaces		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDIX D			NOTES.	
Required: Provid	46 51 16 spaces 4 4 48 49 20 spaces 5 5 60 64 12 spaces 3		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDIX D			<u>NOTES.</u> 1. The detail used	te enguns, 6 a
Required: Provided Provided Parking Edga 3: Porking Parking Edga 3: Provided: Parking Edga 3: Required: Provided: Parking Edga-6: Provided: Provid	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 48 49 20 spaces 5 5 60 64 12 spaces		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDX D			NOTES: This datal used locs in size, ether e	ter energenos f. er engenos statut i
Required Produkt 3 Industry Produkt Pr	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 48 49 20 spaces 5 5 60 64 12 spaces 3 3 3 6		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDIX D			standard fashion.	23
Regards Prodded Prodded Prodded Provides Provides Parting Edge 3 Regards Parting Edge 3 Parting Edge 4 Parting	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 49 20 spaces 5 5 60 64 12 spaces 3 3 3 3 60		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDOX D			NOTES: 1. The local used local scale of the local scale of the local standard facilities of the local scale of the local 2. Do not prune even used relations of the local scale of the local scale of the local scale local scale of the local scale of the lo	argueens except
Regards Shuba 31-mbadded Propaded Propade Providet Provid	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 48 49 20 spaces 5 5 60 64 12 spaces 3 3 3 6		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDIX D			<ol> <li>Do not prune ev</li> </ol>	ergreers except antecape Architect.
Required Provided Productor Provided Pr	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 49 20 spaces 5 5 60 64 12 spaces 3 3 3 3 60		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDOX D			<ol> <li>Do not prune ev</li> </ol>	regrooms except anticage /rchitect.
Regards Shuba 31-mpindede Proposed Proposed Provide: Prov	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 49 20 spaces 5 5 60 64 12 spaces 3 3 3 3 60		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDIX D			<ol> <li>Do not prune ev</li> </ol>	ergreers except antecape Architect.
Required Productor Product	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 4 40 20 spaces 5 5 5 60 4 20 spaces 5 5 8 60 12 spaces 3 3 3 60 5 5 90 5 8 5 60 11 5 5 61 11 6 5 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 8 7		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDOX D			standard fashion. 2. Do not prune ew under direction of L	argeore excel
Recards Divided Shuba: 3 J Provided Property Providet Provide	45 51 16 spaces 4 4 49 20 spaces 5 5 60 64 12 spaces 3 3 3 3 60		RAINGARDENS POOL BERM AREA	JAL, AP	PENDIX D			standard fashion. 2. Do not prune ew under direction of L Bromous of burton is	argeore excel

EVERGREEN TREE PLANTING



Required: Provided 2 2 bs/space lequired: Provided: Provided: Parking Edge 8: 1 tree/4 spaces Required: Provided: 8 spaces  Patterson Pointo Bioomington, Indiana

DRAWING TITLE:

8

1

Ť. į.

### STORM WATER FACILITIES AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL for STORM WATER QUALITY AND STREAM RESTORATION AREA

#### at

PATTERSON POINTE



Owner: Patterson Pointe LLC 5005 North SR 37 Business Bloomington, IN 47408 317.919.2020 Contact: Mark Figg

Prepared by: Smith Neubecker & Associates, Inc. 453 South Clarizz Boulevard Bloomington, IN 47401 812.336.6536 Contact: Steve Brehob

Amended by: Context, LLC 12 South Main Street, Ste 200 Fortville, IN 46040 317.336.6886 Contact: Alyssa Prazeau Bynum Fanyo & Associates, Inc. 528 Walnut Street Bloomington, IN 47404 812.332.8030 Contact: Jeff Fanyo

Current Creek Restoration Plan

#### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The Patterson Pointe PUD will include restoration of a natural stream channel as part of the site development project. The stream will be developed by removing an existing 36" RCP storm culvert that bisects the northern portion of the site and creation of natural over bank areas in a park-like setting. This area will provide water quality enhancement for the existing degraded channel, as well as enhancement for storm water runoff from the development site. Rain garden areas will also be located within the area to reduce the number of point source discharges to the new channel.

#### STREAM CHANNEL RESTORATION BMP

The location of the restoration area is shown on Exhibit A. There are several key components of the stream restoration plan:

- Channel Area
- Streamside zone
- Intermediate Zone
- Fringe Zone
- Raingardens
- Pool Berm
- Plunge Pool
- Weeper Pipe

Exhibit B shows a typical cross section through the channel and raingardens.

Storm water runoff flowing into the site from the existing degraded stream will follow the newly created meandering channel across the site from west to east. The channel will become encapsulated at the eastern edge of the site where it flows back into the existing 36" RCP. Two Pool Berms and an associated riffle will be created in the middle of the channel. The berms will cause ponding of water during low flows, which provide for habitat, as well as extended detention for settlement of suspended particles.

Storm water runoff from development project areas south of the restoration area will be collected in a storm sewer system, which utilizes a weeper pipe to evenly discharge storm water runoff over the area south of the channel. Runoff will then sheet flow through the selected plant materials in the intermediate zone, fringe zone, and streamside zone before entering the stream channel. Native plants for each zone have been selected for the benefits they provide for water quality enhancement, as well as to replicate a natural floodplain area. Exhibit D lists the plant material located in each zone.

#### RAIN GARDEN POST CONSTRUCTION BMP

There are two rain garden features located north of the channel. Their locations are shown on Exhibit A. Rain gardens have been located at storm sewer pipe discharge locations to limit the number of point source discharge locations to the stream channel. Each rain garden will consist of a Plunge Pool at the pipe outlet location to dissipate energy, an infiltration bed with an underdrain pipe, and an emergency overflow weir. Details of the plunge pool are shown in Exhibit C.

Storm water runoff discharged from the storm sewer system within the development area north of the stream channel restoration area will predominantly be directed to the rain gardens. Low flow will infiltrate into the rain garden bottom, be collected by the underdrain pipe, and conveyed to the channel. Higher flows will pond up within the raingarden areas and discharge through the emergency overflow weir. Each rain garden provides and extended detention time, which permits for settlement of suspended particles. Runoff that is routed through the emergency overflow weir will sheet flow through the selected plant material in the intermediate zone, fringe zone, and streamside zone before entering the stream channel.

#### STREAM CHANNEL RESTORATION MONITORING

Stream monitoring reports must be submitted each year and continue for a minimum of three years after work installation is complete. The inspection for the report should be completed between late August and early September. The report shall include the following:

- Pictures of each level of vegetation (intermediate zone, fringe zone, and streamside zone), including areas of success and failure
- Narrative describing the activity accomplished to date
- Number of acres planted
- Number of species planted
- List of species planted on site
- Estimated survival rate (as a percentage and a count) volunteer plants should not be included in survival counts
- Discussions of hydrology
- Plant community development at the site
- Methods and criteria used to evaluate the success of the installation
- Recommendations for corrections of areas that are failing

It is the responsibility of the Owner to prepare the annual monitoring report and to ensure success of the restoration program. These reports are to be submitted to the City of Bloomington Planning Department, as well as to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), as required.

At least six permanent monitoring locations shall be established to evaluate the restoration process. These locations shall quantify trees, shrubs, seed mixes, weed and erosion control and riffle structures. Within a 30-foot radius of each monitoring station, herbaceous species of trees and shrubs should be identified and used to evaluate coverage percentages. An analysis of this vegetation should be provided in the report.

The first year submittal shall include an as-built plan of the initial installation. Monitoring must follow the process presented in the Indiana Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) Forested habitat mitigation/restoration (FHMR) planting guidelines.

#### **Success Criteria for Monitoring**

The following species are not allowed in any quantity in the restoration area and shall be removed promptly after they have been observed:

- Alliaria petiolate (Garlic Mustard)
- Celastrus orbiculatus (Oriental Bittersweet)
- Cirsium arvense (Canada Thistle)
- Elaeagnus umbellate (Autumn Olive)
- Euonymus fortune (Purple Wintercreeper)
- Lonicera japonica (Japanese Honeysuckle)
- Lonicera sp. (Bush Honeysuckle)
- Lythrum salicara (Purple Loostrife)
- Myriophyllum spicatum (Eurasion Water Milfoil)
- Phalaris arundinacea (Reed Canary Grass)
- PHragmites australis (Common Reed)
- Polygonum cuspidatum (Japanese Knotweed)
- Rosa multiflora (Multiflora Rose)
- Sorghum halepense (Johnson Grass)
- Typha sp. (Cattails)
- Vinca sp. (Myrtle)

Native vegetation survival, including planted seed mix, trees, and shrubs, shall have aa 80 percent survival rate within the restoration area. Invasive species listed above or volunteer plants shall not be counted. Bare ground areas shall not exceed 5 percent and shall be repaired promptly when observed.

#### STREAM RESTORATION CONTINGENCY PLAN

At the end of the first year after installation, the Owner shall replace all plant material as needed to meet the monitoring plan and success criteria requirement percentages listed above. This shall include any plant material that is found to not be true to its botanical name, is not alive, or not in good condition. Any bare ground or erosion control and associated plant cover failures must be corrected and brought into compliance with the original plan promptly after it is observed. Any invasive species listed above found within the restoration area shall be removed.

#### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

Maintenance responsibilities for the stream channel, rain gardens, plunge pool berms, and weeper pipes shall be the responsibility of the Owner. The stream channel shall be inspected on a quarterly basis and after any significant rain event until the vegetation has become established. Reseeding, mowing, or controlled burning of the vegetative cover may be required until the material becomes established. Any such work should be performed by a contractor with experience in establishing and maintenance of native species. Preapproved companies include JF New, Spence Restoration, and Ecologic. Other companies can provide a list of 10 successful native planting and maintenance projects, or they can work directly under one of the preapproved companies. Following establishment of vegetative cover, the stream restoration area and drainage features should be inspected biannually. Accumulated sediment



within the rain gardens, plunge pool, and weeper pipe should be removed and deposited off site in a legal manner. Any erosion of the stream bank, rain gardens, or plant zones shall be repaired and the area reseeded with the appropriate ground cover.

#### **CHANGES IN OWNERSHIP**

This facility plan shall run with the land. Changes in Ownership shall result in the transfer of ownership and maintenance responsibilities. Any changes in ownership shall be documented in this Facilities Plan. It shall be the responsibility of the Seller to notify the City of Bloomington of any change in ownership of the property.

#### **RIGHT OF ENTRY**

The Owner herby gives the City of Bloomington the right of entry over an across the property to inspect the storm water basin.

#### STORMWATER BMP INSPECTION REPORT

General Information			
Stormwater BMP Location:			
Date of Inspection:			
Company Name:			
Street Address:			
City:	State:		Zip Code:
Phone:	Email:		
Inspector Name:			
Vegetative Quality			
Invasive species present:	Y	N	
Species present:			
		_	
Removed:	Υ	Ν	
Methods used:			
		_	
Erosion Present:	Υ	Ν	
Locations and causes:			
		_	
Structural damage:	Y	N	
Location and causes:			
Outlet Clear:	Y []	N	
Floatable debris:	Y	N	
Accumulated sediment:	Y	N	
Oil present:	Y	N	
Trash:	Υ	Ν	

Additional comments/Actions to be taken (provide time frame)



## STREAM RESTORATION AREA



North 0 30' 60' 120'Scale I" = 60'-0"

## **EXHIBIT B**







### **EXHIBIT D**

#### **RAINGARDEN PLANT LIST**

Common Name	Latin Name	Exposure	Flower	Height	Inundation	Salt	Dought
Grasses and Sedges							
Yellow Fox Sedge	Carex annectans var xanthocarpa	Sun - Psun	May-June	1-2 ft	> 24 hours	Yes	Yes
Riverbank Tussock Sedge	Carex emoryi	Sun-Psun	May-June	2 ft	> 24 hours	Yes	Yes
Frank's Sedge	Carex frankii	Sun-Shade	May-July	1-2 ft	> 24 hours	Yes	Yes
Meadow Sedge	Carex granularis	Sun-Shade	May-June	1 ft	2-24 hours	Yes	Yes
Field Oval Sedge	Carex molesta	Sun-Psun	May-July	1-2 ft	> 24 hours	Yes	Yes
Lance-Fruited Oval Sedge	Carex scoparia	sun	May-July	1-2 ft	> 24 hours	Yes	Yes
Fox Sedge	Carex vulpinoidea	Sun-Psun	May-June	1-2 ft	> 24 hours	Yes	Yes
Tufted Hair Grass	Deschampsia caespitosa	Sun	May-June	1-2 ft	2-24 hours	Yes	Yes
Switchgrass	Panicum virgatum	Sun	July-August	3-5 ft	> 24 hours	Yes	Yes
Little Bluestem	Schizachyrium scoparium	Sun	July-August	2-3 ft	2-24 hours	Yes	Yes
Reddish Bulrush	Scirpus pendulus	Sun	June-July	3-4 ft	> 24 hours	Yes	Yes
Prairie Dropseed	Sporobolus heterolepis	Sun	August-Sept	1-2 ft	2-24 hours	Yes	Yes
Wildflowers							
New England Aster	Aster novae-angliae	Sun	Sept-October	3-4 ft	2-24 hours		Yes
Prairie Blazing Star	Liatris pycnostachya	Sun	July-August	3-5 ft	2-24 hours		Yes
Obedient Plant	Physostegia virginiana	Sun	August-Sept	2-3 ft	2-24 hours	Yes	Yes
Mountain Mint	Pycnanthemum virginianum	Sun	July-August	1-2 ft	2-24 hours		Yes
Sweet Black-Eyed Susan	Rudbeckia subtomentosa	Sun-Psun	August-Sept	3-4 ft	> 24 hours	Yes	Yes
Riddell's Goldenrod	Solidago riddellii	Sun	Sept-October	2-3 ft	2-24 hours	Yes	Yes
Smooth Ironweed	Vernonia fasciculata	Sun	August-Sept	3-4 ft	> 24 hours		Yes

### FRINGE ZONE SEED MIX

Common Name	Latin Name	Seed Rate Ounces/Acre
Grasses and Sedges		
Larger Streaw Sedge	Carex normalis	2
Northern Sea Oats	Chasanthium latifolium	8
American Beakgrain	Diarrhena americana	8
Canada Wild Rye	Elymus canadensis	24
Riverbank Wild Rye	Elymus riparius	24
Virginia Wile Rye	Elymus virginicus	32
Bottlebrush Grass	Hystrix patula	6
White Grass	Leersea virginica	2
Switch Grass	Panicum virgatum	6
Prairie Cordgrass	Spartina pectinata	7
Wildflowers		
Side Flowering Aster	Aster lateriflorus	4
Honewort	Cryptotaenia canadensis	6
White Snakeroot	Eupatorium rugosum	1
False Sunflower	Helopsis helianthoides	6
Orange Jewelweed	Impatiens capensis	10
Great Blue Lobelia	Lobelia siphilitica	0.5
Virginia Blue Bells	Mertensia virginica	16
Hairy Sweet Cicely	Osmorhiza claytonii	0.5
Wild Blue Phlox	Phlox divaricata	4
Green Hearted Coneflower	Rudbeckia laciniata	4
Three Lobed Coneflower	Rudbeckia triloba	2
Late Goldenrod	Solidago gigantea	2
	Total ounces/acre	175

#### INTERMEDIATE ZONE SEED MIX

Common Name	Latin Name	Seed Rate Ounces/Acre
Grasses and Sedges		
Larger Straw Sedge	Carex normalis	2
Northern Sea Oats	Chasmanthium latifolium	8
Canada Wild Rye	Elymus canadensis	24
Riverbank Wild Rye	Elymus riparius	24
Bottlebrush Grass	Hydtrix patula	6
White Grass	Leersia virginica	2
Switch Grass	Panicum virgatum	6
Wildflowers		
Panicled Aster	Aster lanceolatus	2
Side Flowering Aster	Aster lateriflorus	2
Boneset	Eupatorium perfoliatum	1
False Sunflower	Heliopsis helianthoides	6
Yellow Jewelweed	Impatiens pallida	24
Great Lobelia	Lobelia siphillitica	0.5
Virginina Blue Bells	Mertensia virginica	10
Wild Blue Phlox	Phlox divaricata	4
Green Headed Coneflower	Rudbeckia laciniata	4
Three Lobed Coneflower	Rudbeckia triloba	2
Cup Plant	Silphium perfoliatum	6
Late Goldenrod	Solidago gigantea	2
	Total ounces/acre	135.5

#### STREAMSIDE ZONE SEED MIX

Common Name	Latin Name	Seed Rate
		ounces/acre
Grasses and Sedges		
Blue Joint Grass	Calamagrostis canadensis	1
Shoreline Sedge	Carex hyalinolepis	4
Lakebank Sedge	Carex lacustris	4
Fox Sedge	Carex vulpinoides	4
Northern Sea Oats	Chasmanthium latifolium	8
Riverbank Wild Rye	Elymus riparius	24
Virginia Wild Rye	Elymus virginicus	48
Fowl Manna Grass	Glyceria striata	2
Soft Rush	Juncus effusus	0.25
Rice Cut Grass	Leersea oryzoides	2
Wool Grass	Scirpus syperinus	0.25
River Bulrush	Scirpus fluviatilis	12
Wildflowers		
Panicled Aster	Aster lanceolatus	4
False Nettles	Boehmeria cylindrica	0.5
Spotted Joe Pye Weed	Eupatorium malulatum	4
Virginina Blue Bells	Mertensia virginica	10
Green Headed Coneflower	Rudbeckia laciniata	12
Cup Plant	Silphium perfoliatum	4
Late Goldenrod	Solidago gigantea	4
Blue Vervain	Verbena hastata	16
	Total ounces/acre	e 164








Previous creek restoration plan



Stephen L. Smith P.E., L.S. Daniel Neubecker LA. "Providing professional land planning, design, surveying and approval processing for a quality environment."

May 17, 2011

Steven A. Brehob, B.S.Cn.T. Jerry Neeley Adams Crossing LLC 525 South Landmark Avenue Bloomington, Indiana

Re; Permission to Make Channel Improvements

Dear Jerry,

We are seeking permission in behalf of Patterson Pointe LLC to make maintenance corrections to the open storm water channel on lot 17 of Landmark Phase VI. The section of channel is from an existing 4' x 7' concrete box culvert to the east line of lot 17. The area is shown on the attached GIS map and copy of the subdivision plat.

A preliminary drawing of the improvements is also attached. The proposal is to place new riprap along the first 30' from the box culvert and to re-shape, seed and place erosion control matting on the remaining 40' of channel. We are seeking your permission subject to our submission and your review of final drawings and subject to our submission of appropriate proof of insurance.

Your signature on this letter will suffice to allow us to proceed through the City review process and complete final designs.

Thank you for you assistance.

Verv tru vours.

Stephen L. Smith Smith Neubecker and Associates, Inc

storation plan revious creek

dams (

453 S. Clarizz Boulevard Bloomington, Indiana 47401 Telephone 812 336-6536 FAX 812 336-0513 www.snainc.com 3













ARCHITECTURE CIVIL ENGINEERING PLANNING

October 24, 2016

City of Bloomington Planning Department And Plan Commission 401 N. Morton Street Bloomington, Indiana 47403

RE: Patterson Pointe (Area A1 and B) Memorandum update to SNA, Inc. Third Street Access Design dated 04-29-11

City of Bloomington Traffic Engineer or To Whom It May Concern:

Parking Blvd Safety Analysis

This memorandum letter serves to update the previously issued memorandum letter from SNA, Inc. issuing a response for condition eight of the approval of the Outline Plan for the Patterson Pointe PUD. We have developed a new plan for the intersection of proposed Westplex Ave. and 3<sup>rd</sup> Street. The new plan will incorporate the following upgrades to the previous design that was proposed in 2011:

- The previous plan incorporated a double stop condition at the intersection of Westplex Ave. and 3<sup>rd</sup> Street. The new proposed plan eliminates the unusual conflicting turning movements by eliminating the two drive access points to Westplex Ave. in close proximity to 3<sup>rd</sup> Street. The intersection will now function as a normal non-signalized intersection with 3<sup>rd</sup> Street similar to the intersection at S. Johnson Ave. and 3<sup>rd</sup> Street.
- 2. The angled parking access point east of Westplex Ave. has been moved east to provide more separation from Westplex Drive. The access point to the angled parking/commercial frontage area will now not be connected to Westplex Drive and be one-way with the outlet onto Patterson Drive.
- 3. Permanent traffic delineators have been added to the west-bound left turning movement from 3<sup>rd</sup> Street into south bound Westplex Ave. to distinguish the left turn into Westplex Ave.

Sincerely, Bynum Fanyo & Associates, Inc.

Daniel Butler, PE, Project Engineer

Copy: BFA File #401645

528 NORTH WALNUT STREET

812-332-8030

BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA 47404 FAX 812-339-2990



Memorandum

Stephen L. Smith () ETES: Daniel Neubecker TEX Steven A. Brehob, B.S.G.T.

To:Patterson Pointe Design File<br/>Bloomington Planning Department<br/>Bloomington Engineering DepartmentFrom:Steve SmithSubject;Third Street Access Design<br/>April 29, 2011

Condition eight of the Plan Commission approval of the outline plan for Patterson Pointe PUD stipulated that the...

"petitioner shall submit a detailed plan for addressing the possibility of dangerous turn movements at the intersection of 3<sup>rd</sup> and Westplex as well as the entrances to the two internal boulevards during peak traffic times...,"

### Third Street and Westplex Drive

The 3<sup>rd</sup> Street and Westplex Drive entrance to Patterson Pointe requires special attention because of the high volume of traffic on Third Street and the unique parallel boulevard design in the PUD along Third Street. Figure 7-19 from <u>Transportation and Land Development</u> illustrates the situation that we are seeking to avoid. The boulevard provides the opportunity for a building forward design that significantly alters and enhances the streetscape in this area. The challenge is to allow for the boulevard design and the benefits that it provides while still maintaining a safe environment.

In many aspects this intersection is like most intersections of low volume local roads with a higher volume arterial street. It will be difficult to make left turns from Westplex Drive on to Third Street. The other movements are not difficult but the lower volume road traffic must wait for breaks in traffic on the arterial. This intersection is complicated by the parallel boulevard that lies 30° south of Third Street. Good intersection design is the result of numerous intersection elements. These elements for this intersection include the following;

 Good sight distance is provided with an appropriate profile on Third Street and a 4% grade on the approach street (Westplex Drive). The 4% grade provides a location for the stopped vehicle waiting to turn onto Third Street. The sight line along Third Street for 35 mph (posted at 30 mph) design speed for a vehicle turning left out is 411"

453 S. Charizz Boulevard Bloomington, Indiana 47401 Telephone 812 - 336-6536 FAN 812 - 336-0513 Www.straine.com

3382 RBS Site design Emflie Safety-design memo. 4-29-24 doc

Parking Blvd Safety Analysis



from a point 14.3° from the edge of pavement of Third Street, for a left turning vehicle. The sight triangle to the left is shown on the attached drawing. The 60 degree diagonal parking spaces near each intersection were changed to 45 degree with bumper blocks to keep parked vehicles out of the sight triangle.

- Separate right and left turn lanes for the Westplex Drive approach to Third Street are provided. This allows relatively free flow of the right turn vehicles.
- Intersection radii are a minimum of 25° and the inbound lane of Westplex Drive is 14° so that turning vehicles can stay in their lanes. Right turns from Third Street can turn from the right lane and do not need to swerve out into the left lane or interfere with vehicles on Westplex Drive waiting to turn left. Right turns onto Third Street can safely move into the right lane without encroaching on the left lane. Refer to <u>Transportation and Land Development<sup>1</sup></u> table 7-3. Wheel path analysis supports these dimensions as well.
- A double stop on Westplex Drive is provided to control approaching traffic. The first stop sign and stop bar (approaching the boulevard) is augmented with an informational sign "Do not block cross drive or crosswalk". Cars can then move forward when the space in front of them clears.
  - The extra stop sign design has been implemented on two recent projects. One is a retail store in Jeffersonville and the second a commercial development in Greenwood. In both situations the approach to an arterial street by a local street was controlled by a double stop to accommodate a drive close to the arterial. Copies of these plans are attached. A photograph of the Greenwood site is also attached.
- Adequate distance is provided at the stop sign at Third Street to store one vehicle in the left turn lane and one in the right turn lane.
- Adequate space and turning radii are provided for vehicles entering from Third Street to safely turn onto Westplex and onto the boulevard around waiting vehicles approaching Third Street.
- The boulevard is one way. A stop sign and stop bar is provided as the boulevard approaches Westpex DRive. Informational signage is provided "do not block the street or crosswalk".
- Diagonal parking is provided on the boulevard at an adequate distance so that vehicles do not need to back into Westplex as they are exiting their parking space.
- Pedestrians are accommodated by a raised brick crosswalk across Westplex Drive. The stop bar on the approach protects the crossing. Pedestrians are kept away from the stop at Third Street.

FI4382\_RBS\_Site/design frafric Safety-design iromo -4-29-11.doi:

# Parking Blvd Safety Analysis



### Right Turns To and From the Boulevard

Access to the boulevard is intended to be an easy right and right out for most vehicles seeking to park in front of the retail buildings.

The right turn in from Third Street to the boulevard at the west end of the property is a smooth and uninterrupted movement. Vehicles will slow in Third Street to make the right turn just as they do on any right turn so there is a resulting slowing of Third Street traffic to accommodate the turn.

There are two right out turns from the boulevard: one onto Third Street and the second onto Patterson Drive. These drives approach the thoroughfare at an angle to make a smooth movement for the exiting vehicle. They are a stop condition like any other drive approaching a City Street. Vehicles can only enter the thoroughfare when there is a gap on the thoroughfare. The angle of approach to the thoroughfare is set so that the driver can see approaching vehicles from his left side. Figure 7-36 from <u>Transportation and Land Development<sup>1</sup></u> illustrates the appropriate approach angle to be 55 to 65 degrees. The approach angles are set at 60 degrees in the design.

Lines of sight for the exiting vehicle must be provided and protected. The sight line for 35 mph design speed is 334° along the main road from 14.3° from the edge of main road pavement in the approach lane. These sight lines are shown on attached drawings.

1 <u>Transportation and Land Development</u> 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 2002, Institute of Transportation Engineers

(a) P.23 (74) "Operations" (1997) A statistic property of the balance.

## Parking Blvd Safety Analysis



### Right Turns To and From the Boulevard

Access to the boulevard is intended to be an easy right and right out for most vehicles seeking to park in front of the retail buildings.

The right turn in from Third Street to the boulevard at the west end of the property is a smooth and uninterrupted movement. Vehicles will slow in Third Street to make the right turn just as they do on any right turn so there is a resulting slowing of Third Street traffic to accommodate the turn.

There are two right out turns from the boulevard; one onto Third Street and the second onto Patterson Drive. These drives approach the thoroughfare at an angle to make a smooth movement for the exiting vehicle. They are a stop condition like any other drive approaching a City Street. Vehicles can only enter the thoroughfare when there is a gap on the thoroughfare. The angle of approach to the thoroughfare is set so that the driver can see approaching vehicles from his left side. Figure 7-36 from <u>Transportation and Land Development<sup>1</sup></u> illustrates the appropriate approach angle to be 55 to 65 degrees. The approach angles are set at 60 degrees in the design.

Lines of sight for the exiting vehicle must be provided and protected. The sight line for 35 mph design speed is 334' along the main road from 14.3' from the edge of main road pavement in the approach lane. These sight lines are shown on attached drawings.

1 <u>Transportation and Land Development</u> 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 2002. Institute of Transportation Engineers

en an teach ann an Anna a sun ann an Airteann ann an Airteann an Airteann an Airteann an Airteann an Airteann a An Airteann an A

Eand and an An Anna an Anna a' Anna a'

Parking Blvd
Safety Analysis



Intersection Area of Arterial and Access Drive



Intersection Area of Access Drive and Ring Road

Intersection Area of Ring Road and Parking Aisles

Figure 7–19. Overlapping Conflict Areas Results from Inadequate Throat Lengths and Poor Circulation Design

Parking Blvd	
Safety Analysis	



also served to significantly alter the resulting nature of what was commonly known as the "Bloomington metro area." Their economies are quite different from that of Monroe County, so a profile of the Bloomington area based on the new metro-area definition would give a potentially misleading impression of what this area is actually like.

Thus, the definition used in the present study for the Bloomington area and comparison cities is **the county containing each metro area's central city**. These central-city counties are generally the largest, most economically important counties among multi-county areas comprising larger metros, and they thus capture effectively the essential gualities of each peer city.

Two sets of peer counties are evaluated in the remainder of the report (see Appendix for maps of the two sets of peers). The set below includes 17 metro counties outside of Indiana. Most of these places are relatively similar in size, income, and other respects to Monroe County, though they differ in various details. It should be noted that one area on this list differs quite notably from the rest of the set in several respects. Despite its substantially larger size and higher income and earnings, the Ann Arbor, Michigan, area was included in this comparison group because it has long been perceived as a key economic development competitor of the Bloomington area.

City / State	County	City / State	County
Ann Arbor MI	Washtenaw	Iowa City IA	Johnson
Beilingham WA	Whatcom	Lawrence KS	Douglas
Champaign IL	Champaign	Lexington KY	Fayette
Columbia MO	Boone	Logan UT	Cache
Decatur AL	Morgan	Medford-Ashland OR	Jackson
Fayetteville AR	Washington	Owensboro KY	Daviess
Florence SC	Florence	Sherman-Denison TX	Grayson
Fort-Collins-Loveland CO	Lanmer	State College PA	Centre
Greenville SC	Greenville		

**US Peers** 

The second set of peers includes the central counties containing most of Indiana's major cities. This set comprises a traditional group of "bragging rights" rivals that vary greatly in size and other characteristics; thus, not all are considered direct competitors for economic development. From a starting group of all Indiana metro areas, a few were deleted because their central cities are located in other states or because their economies really should be considered part of the much larger Chicago region. Thus, they don't serve as representative places for comparisons with the Bloomington area. The remaining 11 cities and their counties are shown below.

### Indiana Peers

City	County	City	County
Anderson	Madison	Kokomo	Howard
Columbus	Bartholomew	Lafayette	Tippecanoe
Elkhart	Elkhart	Muncie	Delaware
Evansville	Vanderburgh	South Bend	St. Joseph
Fort Wayne	Allen	Terre Haute	Vigo
Indianapolis	Marion		÷

The Bloomington Economy 2004

Parking Blvd	
Safety Analysis	

Page 7





### 7-44 # 18ANSPORTATION AND LAND DEVELOPMENT



Figure 7–36. Schematic Comparison of the Traditional and Alternative Right-Turn Channelizing Island Parking Blvd Safety Analysis

DRIVEWAY DESI

## Table 7-3. Equivalent Radii and Throat Width

Vehicle Offset from Face of Curb, or Edge of Pavement. Curb Return Radius (ft.) Prior to Turn (ff.) -2 23<sup>b</sup>  $24^{b}$  $21^{4}$ Driveway Entry Width (ft.) as a Function of Offset and Curb Return Radius, Passenger Car, 90º Right-Turn, Forward Speed = 10 mph Vehicle Offset from Face of Curb. or Edge of Pavement Curb Return Radius (ft.) Prior to Turn (ft.) () 23<sup>h</sup> . 4 1S1.8 Ш  $25^{b}$  $23^{b}$ p. 22h  $51_{\rm P}$ 25<sup>b</sup> 

Driveway Entry Width (IL) as a Function of Offset and Curb Return Radius, Passenger Car, 909 Right-Turn "Creep" Forward Speed

<sup>11</sup>An inappropriately wide throat width is required.

<sup>b</sup> A combination of narrower width and longer radius is a better design. In-bound throat width wider than 20 ft, should be avoided.

Source: Adapted from Flora and Keitt [1].

 $23^{\rm b}$ 

1-1

Parking Blvd	
Safety Analysis	









1/2/10 565 PATTERSON BINTE PARALLEC PARKING

Street Parking Alternative Presented to City Council



"Straightened" Parking Blvd Alternative Presented to City Council

PETITIONER:	Simon Property Group 225 W. Washington St., Indianapolis		
CONSULTANT:	American Structurepoint 7260 Shadeland Station, Indianapolis		

**REQUEST:** The petitioner is requesting a PUD final plan approval for a sign package for the College Mall Planned Unit Development.

## BACKGROUND:

Area:	11.09 acres
Current Zoning:	PUD
GPP Designation:	Regional Activity Center
Existing Land Use:	Shopping Mall
Proposed Land Use:	Shopping Mall
Surrounding Uses:	North – Commercial businesses
	West – Commercial businesses
	East – Commercial and Single Family residences
	South – Commercial and Multifamily dwellings

**STAFF REPORT:** The property is located at 3294 E. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street and is zoned Planned Unit Development (PUD). The property originally received rezoning approval under PCD-05-79 and a sign package was later approved under PUD-88-96. An approval was recently granted to allow the demolition of a portion of the north side of the mall and to allow construction of new tenant spaces under PUD-05-15. A sign package was also included in that approval that allowed for the replacement of an existing multi-tenant sign, the placement of a new stand-alone sign for the mall, and the allowance for freestanding signs for two outlots (Longhorn and Panera).

This petition is now requesting approval of another sign package to allow the replacement of an existing multi-tenant sign on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, the placement of 3 new interior signs to direct traffic, 2 new freestanding totem pole style signs next to the building, and projecting signs along a portion of the exterior façade along the new tenant spaces.

Specifically the petitioner is requesting to:

- Replace an existing 35' tall, 276 sq. ft. multi-tenant sign on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street with a 22' tall, 268 sq. ft. multi-tenant sign. This was approved with the original sign package.
- Install 3 new signs along the interior driveways that are 5' tall and 13 sq. ft. each to direct interior traffic flow.
- Replace the entrance signs to the mall on Clarizz Blvd and Buick Cadillac Blvd

that are 6' tall and 24 sq. ft. in with new signs that are 8' tall and 36 sq. ft. These new signs would be identical to the recently approved mall sign that was approved under PUD-05-15.

- Install 2 new 19', 43 sq. ft. "totem pole" style signs adjacent to the mall entrances.
- Allow the use of projecting signs along the exterior tenant spaces for the new portions of the mall.

The proposed replacement of the multi-tenant sign along 3<sup>rd</sup> Street is smaller than the originally approved multi-tenant sign and would fall within what was already approved. No additional review is necessary for this sign. The proposed interior signs are meant to direct interior vehicular traffic through the large mall property and are not directed toward vehicles along the exterior public roads and are necessary to appropriately direct traffic. The "totem pole" signs are adjacent to the building and function more as architectural elements rather than freestanding signs and are not readable from the street. The proposed projecting signs help promote a pedestrian friendly design and are designed to be seen by pedestrians walking along the exterior and not vehicles.

**CONCLUSION:** Overall the amount of signage proposed is appropriate for the large size of the development and property. The proposed new signs along the perimeter are equal to the size of the recently approved mall sign and does not result in an increase in the number of freestanding signs along the exterior. The projecting signs and "totem pole" style signs are strictly interior signs and not viewable from the public streets.

**RECOMMENDATION**: Staff recommends approval of this petition with the following conditions:

1. A sign permit is required prior to the installation of any new signs.





2894 E 3rd	Street				
Plan Commis	sion				
2014 Aerial	Photograph				4
By: greulice					
4 Nov 16	400	0	400	800	1 200 I N

Scale: 1'' = 400'

For reference only; map information NOT warranted.



September 29, 2016

Eric Greulich City of Bloomington Planning Department 401 N. Morton Street Bloomington, IN 47404

RE: College Mall- Sign Program

Dear Eric,

On behalf of Simon Property Group, LP, we respectfully request to be placed on the agenda for the City of Bloomington Planning Commission Hearing, scheduled for November 7, 2016 for consideration of the sign program at College Mall.

As you may recall, College Mall is currently undergoing a renovation project that has previously gained Council approval for the modification of a portion of the site signs. As our project continues to progress, we have made the decision to update the remaining project signs to match, so we can maintain a uniform product across the entire mall. We intend to replace and update the existing exterior building entrance wall signs, and install two totem style signs not connected to the building, provide the new exterior tenants the opportunity for a blade sign, and add internal vehicular directional signs off of East 3<sup>rd</sup> Street and College Mall Road.

Because we do not want to block a potential tenant's store signage from a customer, we have elected the totem style sign that provides better visibility from the parking lot without blocking the storefront. With the creation of the lifestyle element, the blade signs will allow our customers ease in locating our tenants. The directional signs for certain large anchor tenants will assist our customers around the property.

The proposal, as shown on the attached exhibits, identifies the locations of the previously approved signs, the signs to be replaced, and the new signs.

We respectfully request your consideration and support for this petition. Attached with this letter of application, please find two copies of the site plan and sign drawings, and the filing fee. We have also included the consent affidavit from the property owner acknowledging our right to submit on their behalf.



Should you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

-all Brett Alexander

Project Manager



College Mall Sign Concepts 9-29-2016
























### PETITIONER: Secretly Canadian 213 S. Rogers Street

### CONSULTANT: Smith Brehob and Associates. 453 S. Clarizz Blvd

**REQUEST:** The petitioner is requesting site plan approval to allow a 15,000 sq. ft. addition to an existing building. Also requested is a use variance to allow a warehouse in a Commercial Arterial (CA) zoning district.

**STAFF REPORT:** This 2.21 acre property is located at 1461 W. Bloomfield Rd. and is zoned Commercial Arterial (CA). The property is currently being used as an outdoor storage yard that was approved under use variance #UV-59-95. Surrounding land uses include single family residences and multi-family dwelling units to the north, business offices and single family residences to the east, industrial and single family residences to the south, and business/professional offices to the west. There are no significant environmental features on this site. There are two existing buildings on the site, one is a manufactured home that will be used for offices and the other is a 6,000 sq. ft. enclosed storage building that will still be used for storage or possibly rented out for another permitted use.

The petitioner operates a recording studio, office, and warehouse on the property to the west and would like to construct an addition to an existing building to allow for more storage capacity. The proposed 15,000 sq. ft. addition would be constructed on the east side of the main building and would expand onto this property. The addition would be used for the warehousing of vinyl records and other material used by the business. The building addition would be predominantly on already disturbed areas of the site.

The petitioner is requesting site plan approval to allow for a 15,000 sq. ft. addition. A use variance to allow a warehouse in the Commercial Arterial district is also being requested. No variances from any development standards are requested.

With this petition there would be new landscaping planted throughout the property to meet code requirements. A 5' wide concrete sidewalk is already in place along the street frontage and is in good condition. There will be some slight modifications to the entrance drive on Bloomfield Rd. to narrow the width and the existing sidewalk ramps will be adjusted to bring them into compliance with current requirements. A rain garden will be installed to meet stormwater detention and water quality requirements and is shown on the east side of the new building. Since the properties to the south and east are zoned Residential Single Family (RS), a Type 2 buffer yard is required and has been shown on the site plan.

### SITE PLAN ISSUES:

**Parking:** No parking is required for this use. The petitioner is proposing to provide 8 parking spaces, including one van accessible space, for the new warehouse and office building to be used by the employees. There will also be 3 parking spaces, including a van accessible space, adjacent to the existing storage building that will also be used for those employees. The site does not exceed the maximum number of parking spaces allowed; one space per employee on the largest shift for the warehouse and 1 space per 300 square feet for the office building.

**Environmental:** There are no environmental features on this property that are subject to any protection standards. There is a small clump of trees along the south property line that will be preserved, but are not considered a wooded area for tree preservation standards.

**Architecture:** The addition to the building for the new warehouse is not subject to the architectural standards of the UDO since it is an "addition" and not stand-alone "new construction". The building will be one story. There will be two entrances to the building with one entrance on the north side and the other on the south side.

**Pedestrian Facilities:** There is an existing 5' wide concrete sidewalk along the entire property frontage and is in good condition. The petitioner will be adjusting the sidewalk ramps along the entrance drive to move them further back from the street and put in the required ramps. A sidewalk connection has also been shown from the street to the front of the warehouse to connect those two components. A determinate sidewalk variance was approved in 2010 under case #V-17-10 for the portion of the property along Peachtree Lane.

**Bike Parking:** A parking area for 4 bicycles has been shown adjacent to the front of the building as required.

**Bus Transit**: As part of this petition Bloomington Transit indicated that it would like to move the current bus stop that is west of this location to a more ideal location in front of this property. The petitioner has shown a new 10'x15' bus stop in front of this site to facilitate transit service in this area.

**GROWTH POLICIES PLAN:** The GPP designates this property as Community Activity Center (CAC). The CAC designation "is designed to provide community-serving commercial opportunities in the context of a high density, mixed-use development." This site does provide a mixture of land uses and is on a primary arterial road, which is appropriate for vehicular traffic and delivery trucks. This petition furthers many goals of the City and the GPP by directing commercial development to existing commercially zoned land and will allow the redevelopment of an under-utilized site. In addition, this petition allows a local business to expand and increase its employment workforce which increases tax revenue while also providing local jobs. While a warehouse does not typically employ a high number of employees, the direct use that it supplements does increase the business's ability to expand its workforce.

**CA DISTRICT INTENT:** Within the UDO is a description of the CA zoning district intent and guidance for the Plan Commission and Board of Zoning Appeals. Staff believes that this proposal meets the intentions for the district.

### BMC 20.02.330 Commercial Arterial (CA); District Intent

### The CA (Commercial Arterial) District is intended to be used as follows:

- Identify locations for higher intensity commercial developments along major thoroughfares.
- Ensure that new developments and redevelopment opportunities incorporate a balances mix of retail, office and multifamily residential uses.

### Plan Commission/Board of Zoning Appeals Guidance:

- Site plan design of retail centers should ensure access to all modes of transportation.
- Redevelopment and expansion of commercial uses should incorporate improvement to access management, signage, and landscaping.
- Encourage proposals that further the Growth Policies Plan goal of sustainable development design featuring conservation of open space, mixed uses, pervious pavement surfaces, and reductions in energy and resource consumption.

**ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS:** The Bloomington Environmental Commission (EC) has made 1 recommendations concerning this development.

1. The Petitioner should apply green building and site design practices to create a high performance, low carbon-footprint structure, and grounds that exhibit our City's commitment to environmental sustainability.

**Staff response**: Although not required, staff encourages the petitioner to incorporate as many green building practices as possible.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Staff finds that this petition provides an ideal reuse of an underdeveloped property. The proposed site plan meets all of the requirements of the Unified Development Ordinance. The granting of this use variance will allow a small business to expand and continue its presence in Bloomington and increase its workforce, while also intensifying the use of an underdeveloped commercial property. The petitioner has worked to screen the property from the residences to the south both through landscaping and a privacy fence.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Based upon the written report, staff recommends forwarding a positive recommendation to the Board of Zoning Appeals. Staff also recommends approval of the site plan with the following conditions:

- 1. A lighting plan has not been reviewed and must be approved prior to issuance of a grading permit.
- 2. Approval from the City of Bloomington Utilities Department is required prior to issuance of a grading permit.

3. Approval contingent upon approval of the use variance request.

# MEMORANDUM

Date:	October 27, 2016
To:	Bloomington Plan Commission
From:	Bloomington Environmental Commission
Through:	Linda Thompson, Senior Environmental Planner
Subject:	SP/UV-33-16, Secretly Canadian warehouse 1461 W. Bloomfield Rd.

The purpose of this memo is to convey the environmental concerns and recommendations of the Environmental Commission (EC) with the hope that action will be taken to enhance the environmental integrity of this proposed Plan. The request is for a Site Plan and a Use Variance to allow a warehouse in a Commercial Arterial zoning district. The EC has no objections to the Use Variance in this location.

### **ISSUES OF SOUND ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN**

### 1.) GREEN BUILDING

The EC understands that the structure proposed is a simple warehouse; nevertheless, we recommend that the developer design the building with as many best practices for energy savings and resource conservation as possible. Some examples of best practices that go beyond the Building Code include enhanced insulation; high efficiency heating and cooling; Energy Star doors, windows, lighting, and programmable thermostats. Some specific recommendations to mitigate the effects of climate change and dwindling resources include the following.

<u>Cool roof</u> The roof material should have a minimum initial Solar Reflective Index (SRI) of 0.65, and an aged index of 0.55. (*SRI* is a value that incorporates both solar reflectance and emittance in a single value to represent a material's temperature in the sun. SRI quantifies how hot a surface would get relative to standard black and standard white surfaces. It is calculated using equations based on previously measured values of solar reflectance and emittance as laid out in the American Society for Testing and Materials Standard E 1980. It is expressed as a fraction (0.0 to 1.0) or percentage (0% to 100%)). If a roof membrane is used, it should be overlaid with a reflective coating or covered with a white, granulated cap sheet.

<u>Energy efficiency</u> Enhance the weather, air, and thermal barriers of the building envelope, including insulating the foundation and floor, to reduce the energy consumption associated with conditioning indoor air to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in our region.

Green building and environmental stewardship are of utmost importance to the people of Bloomington and sustainable features are consistent with the spirit of the Growth Policies Plan and the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO). Additionally, they are supported by Bloomington's overall commitment to sustainability and its green building initiative (http://Bloomington.in.gov/greenbuild). Sustainable building practices are explicitly called for by the Mayors' Climate Protection Agreement signed by former Mayor Kruzan; by City Council Resolution 06-05 supporting the Kyoto Protocol and reduction of our community's greenhouse gas emissions; by City Council Resolution 06-07, which recognizes and calls for planning for peak oil; and by a report from the Bloomington Peak Oil Task Force, *Redefining Prosperity: Energy Descent and Community Resilience Report.* 

### **EC RECOMENDATIONS**

1.) The Petitioner should apply green building and site design practices to create a high performance, low-carbon footprint structure.





## Smith Brehob & Associates, Inc.



Providing professional land planning, design, surveying and approval processing for a sustainable environment.

Stephen L. Smith P.E., P.L.S. Steven A. Brehob, B.S.Cn.T. Todd M. Borgman, P.L.S.

October 3, 2016

City of Bloomington Plan Commission C/o Eric Greulich Planning and Transportation Department 401 N. Morton Street Bloomington, Indiana 47404

Re; Secretly Canadian Distribution Use Variance for Warehouse in CA zone

Dear Eric and the Plan Commission,

We are seeking a use variance to allow warehouse and distribution facility in a CA zone. The property is located at 1461 W. Bloomfield Rd and currently the property is owned by Gerald Sowders and is the site of Sowders Landscape Company.

Secretly Canadian is a local record label founded in 1996 wants to add on to their existing distribution building located at 1499 W. Bloomfield Rd, directly west of this property. Their existing facility includes a warehouse, offices and other associated uses.

Their existing facility approximately 1.2 acres with 2 existing buildings and is zoned PUD. The existing warehouse building that will be is 8200 SF 2 stories. The new site is 2.21 acres with 3 existing buildings; a modular home type structure, an existing warehouse and a small block building. The block building located on the west side of the site will be removed. The modular home type structure located on the North West part of the property is 1507 sf and is used as an office or residence. The existing building on the east part of the site is metal warehouse building and will be used for storage.

Surrounding land uses include residential, warehouse & distribution, concrete manufacturing and office. To the southeast and east are single family residential lots. Directly south of the warehouse addition is a precast concrete manufacturing facility and delivery facility. To the northeast is a physician's office. On the west side of the site is Secretly Canadian's existing warehouse facility.

Access to the site will be at the current location of Sowders Landscape on Bloomfield Rd. The existing entrance will be improved by narrowing and

453 S. Clarizz Boulevard Bloomington, Indiana 47401 Telephone 812 336-6536 www.smithbrehob.com

### Smith Brehob & Associates, Inc.



Providing professional land planning, design, surveying and approval processing for a sustainable environment.

Stephen L. Smith P.E., P.L.S. Steven A. Brehob, B.S.Cn.T. Todd M. Borgman, P.L.S.

adding concrete pavement and curbs. The existing sidewalk crossing at the entrance will also be improved.

The new facility will include a 14,880 SF warehouse addition onto the existing warehouse to the west. There will be 11 total new parking spaces, a loading dock and a truck maneuvering area. A stormwater basin for water storage and treatment will be located on the south side of the site, to the east of the proposed building. Runoff from pavement and roof drains will be directed to the basin. Buffer yards are required along the south and east sides of the property. There are mature trees existing along both these sides. These existing trees will remain for buffer, and additional trees will be added in some areas where trees are being removed or lacking.

The following items are being submitted with this application;

- Petitioners Statement
- Application Form
- Check
- Owner Consent Form
- Site Plans
- Floor Plans
- Elevations

Thank you for your assistance as we move this project towards final approvals and construction.

Sincerely,

Dan Moraul

Don Kocarek Smith Brehob and Associates

cc; file

453 S. Clarizz Boulevard Bloomington, Indiana 47401 Telephone 812 336-6536 www.smithbrehob.com





663 + 838.24 (/) NAE C-301

0







