Bloomington Historic Preservation Commission Showers City Hall McCloskey Room Thursday January 11, 2018 5:00 PM Agenda

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. ROLL CALL

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES A. December 14, 2017

IV. CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS

Staff Review

A. COA 17-91

105 N. College Avenue: Courthouse Square Historic District Petitioner: Tracy Gates Installation of a 1" high density urethane sign with foam letters above The Inkwell storefront. Letters will be stud-mounted to aluminum composite panels that will be attached to the existing 4'x20' bulkhead above the storefront.

B. COA17-92

905 S. Madison Street: McDoel Historic District Petitioner: Third Sun Solar, on behalf of Andrew Barker Installation of 30 roof-mounted solar panels affixed to the roof on 4" stand-offs from roof surface. The panels will follow the pitch of the existing roof.

Commission Review

A. COA 17-93

208 S. Rogers Street: Greater Prospect Hill Historic District Petitioner: Doug Wissing, on behalf of Prospect Hill, LLC. Construction of two dormers on the East and West faces of the roof. Installation of two Quaker vinylclad solid core windows in the East facing dormer.

B. COA 17-94

520 W. Howe Street: Greater Prospect Hill Historic District Petitioner: Doug Wissing, on behalf of Prospect Hill, LLC. Renewal of previously approved COA-1-15. Construction of a second floor rear addition to an existing gabled-ell.

C. COA 17-95

330 S. Madison Street: Greater Prospect Hill Historic DistrictPetitioner: Reg LandRemoval of deteriorated, not-in-use brick chimney stack before the installation of a new roof surface.

D. COA 17-96

208 N. Walnut Street (Faris Building): Courthouse Square Historic District Petitioner: Kayla Maldonado Installation of a wooden sign above the door of Cup & Kettle Tea. The sign will be 40" tall and 80" wide. Lettering on the sign will be plexiglass and backlit with LED lights.

E. COA 18-01

105-111 South Walnut Street: Courthouse Square Historic District Petitioner: Daniel Oh, on behalf of OEI, Inc.

Repair, maintenance, and restoration of the existing original materials/fabric, which may include the following: cleaning of masonry (tuck pointing and painting as necessary) and siding, restoration of awnings, repair/restoration of existing windows, repair and painting of wood, cleaning and restoration of stone and metal structures, and/or repair of any wood rot, soffit, molding, and trim with replacements when necessary, such that the historical integrity of the structure is preserved.

V. DEMOLITION DELAY

A. Demo Delay 17-22

1209 S. Pickwick Drive Petitioner: Loren Wood Retroactive request for full demolition.

B. Demo Delay 17-23

1355 W. Allen Street Petitioner: David Whaley Full demolition.

VI. NEW BUSINESS

BHPC Elections - Chairman, Vice-chairman

VII. COURTESY REVIEW

- VIII. OLD BUSINESS
 - IX. COMMISSIONER COMMENTS
 - X. PUBLIC COMMENTS
 - XI. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- XII. ADJOURNEMENT

Bloomington Historic Preservation Commission Showers City Hall McCloskey Room Thursday December 14, 2017 5:00 PM MINUTES

I. CALL TO ORDER

Meeting was called to order at 5:00pm by Chairman, John Saunders.

II. ROLL CALL

Commissioners

Leslie Abshier – arrived at 5:15 pm. Flavia Burrell Jeannine Butler Sam DeSollar Jeff Goldin Lee Sandweiss John Saunders

Advisory

Duncan Campbell Derek Richey – arrived at 5:05 pm. Deb Hutton

Staff

Alison Kimmel Philippa Guthrie Jacqueline Scanlan Rachel Ellenson Doris Sims

Guests

Nicholas Carder Sib Sheikh Brian Chelius David Rhodes Matt Ellenwood Daniel Oh Deborah Myerson Susan Dyer David Howard Linda Williamson

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Jeannine Butler made a motion to approve November 9, 2017 minutes. **Jeff Goldin** seconded. **Motion carried 5/0/1** (Yes/No/Abstain).

IV. CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS

Staff ReviewA. COA 17-89401 N. Morton Street: Showers Brothers Furniture Factory Complex

Petitioner: City of Bloomington Installation of a brick colored conduit line on the south face of the City Hall Showers building.

Rachel Ellenson gave presentation. See packet for details.

B. COA 17-90

525 W. 3rd Street: Prospect Hill Petitioner: Chris Sturbaum, on behalf of Patrick and Glenda Murray Reconstruction of brick step surrounds and installation of 5 new limestone steps.

Rachel Ellenson gave presentation. See packet for details.

Commission Review A. COA 17-86 2321 N. Fritz Drive: Matlock Heights Petitioner: David Rhodes Removal and replacement of aluminum windows with Insignia windows.

Rachel Ellenson gave presentation. See packet for details.

Leslie Abshier asked if the current windows would be replaced with the same size windows. **Rachel Ellenson** stated they would be the same size.

Jeannine Butler stated she liked the idea of the picture window.

Duncan Campbell stated he did not like the idea of replacing original fabric with vinyl windows.

Jeff Goldin made a motion to approve COA 17-86. Jeannine Butler seconded. Motion carried 7/0/0.

B. COA 17-87
416 E. 4th Street: Restaurant Row
Petitioner: Sib Sheikh
Retroactive request for approval to install trellising over the uncovered portion of the patio facing 4th Street.

Rachel Ellenson gave presentation. See packet for details.

Derek Richey asked if there were final plans for it. **Sib Sheikh** stated it is done, other than some greenery that is going to be added.

Deb Hutton asked if the deck was allowed to be on top of the sidewalk. **Sib Sheikh** stated they received Board of Public Works approval for it.

Sam DeSollar asked if the work was done with a building permit. **Sib Sheikh** stated it was. **Sam DeSollar** asked how it was attached. **Sib Sheikh** stated he was not positive, but it was approved by the building department inspectors.

Leslie Abshier asked if the covered porch was original. Rachel Ellenson stated it was not.

Jeff Goldin asked why this was not brought to the commission's attention if the petitioner received a building permit. **Sib Sheikh** stated it was added by his contractor. The covered porch received approval from the commission about a year ago.

Deb Hutton stated she feels the trellis hides the house.

Duncan Campbell asked if the commission would have approved this the first time around. The retroactive requests are frustrating for this reason.

Leslie Abshier stated she agrees with Duncan and Deb. She understood the functionality of it, but it is challenging when looking at it from a historic view.

Jeannine Butler made a motion to approve COA-17-87. Jeff Goldin seconded. Motion carried 6/1/0 (Yes/No/Abstain)

C. COA 17-88

202 E. 6th Street: Monroe Carnegie Library Petitioner: Monroe County Historical Society In-kind replacement of asphalt shingle roof of building addition. Installation of solar panels on new roof of the addition.

Rachel Ellenson gave presentation. See packet for details.

Derek Richey asked when the addition to the building was completed. Linda Williamson stated 1997.

Sam DeSollar asked what the height of the panels would be above the roof. **Rachel Ellenson** stated 2-3 inches.

Jeff Goldin made a motion to approve COA 17-88. Lee Sandweiss seconded. Motion carried 7/0/0.

V. DEMOLITION DELAY

A. Demo Delay 17-19 608 N. Dunn Street Petitioner: Matt Ellenwood Full demolition

Rachel Ellenson gave presentation. See packet for details.

David Howard stated the foundation is not stable, the walls constantly move and the limestone is deteriorating.

Jeff Goldin asked if the future plans fit into the city's development plan and would be affected by the proposed changes. **Matt Ellenwood** stated this property does not fall within the area of the proposed changes.

Deb Hutton asked if there are any other historic materials in the house other than the limestone. **David Howard** stated the house has been constantly remodeled due to necessary upkeep related to it being a student rental.

Jeff Goldin asked if there was going to be commercial on the first floor. **Matt Ellenwood** stated yes. The zoning is for mixed-use.

Derek Richey commented this house is one of the last remaining houses that shows this neighborhood was once a working-class neighborhood. He recommends not approving the demolition.

Jeff Goldin stated he has been in this house many times and it is not in good condition. He will support this demolition. The commission needs to pick their battles.

Jeannine Butler stated the plan for post-demolition was the ugliest building she has ever seen.

Sam DeSollar stated he agrees with Jeff Goldin.

Duncan Campbell stated the only choice the commission has is to designate it as a single designation or let it go. He doesn't like the plans for the property but he doesn't believe the house would stand for designation.

Jeff Goldin moved to release Demolition Delay 17-19. Jeannine Butler seconded. Motion carried 7/0/0.

B. Demo Delay 17-20 403 E. 20th Street Petitioner: Matt Ellenwood Full demolition

Rachel Ellenson gave presentation. See packet for details.

Jeff Goldin asked if the petitioner considered moving the house and donating. **David Howard** stated the materials would be donated to restore. He did not think it was worth moving.

Steve Wyatt stated this house is very movable, but the process takes about 6 months for finding a site, approvals and moving.

Jeff Goldin stated there is going to be a push for student housing in this area, so he would agree with the release of the permit.

Jeff Goldin released Demolition Delay 17-19. Leslie Abshier seconded. Motion carried 7/0/0.

C. Demo Delay 17-21 1901 S. Rogers Street Petitioner: Deborah Myerson, on behalf of South Central Indiana Housing Opportunities Full demolition

Derek Richey asked if the zoning changed on this property. **Deborah Myerson** stated it changed from residential to multi-family.

Duncan Campbell asked what the cross-street was. Rachel Ellenson stated Rogers and Rockport.

Derek Richey stated the house has been sitting vacant for quite some time. He is excited to see affordable housing go in, not student apartments.

Jeannine Butler stated if this was not intended for low-incoming housing, she would put up more of a fight to keep this house.

Sam DeSollar commented he liked how the petitioner put together her presentation and included the contributing structures in the neighborhood.

Leslie Abshier stated the house is not notable enough to individually designate. She asked the petitioner if materials could be saved. **Deborah Myerson** stated since limestone is so abundant in the area, there is not a high chance, but possibly the windows and doors could be saved.

Jeff Goldin moved to release Demolition Delay 17-20. Sam DeSollar seconded. Motion carried 7/0/0.

VI. NEW BUSINESS

VII. COURTESY REVIEW

VIII. OLD BUSINESS

A. Trojan Horse Exploratory Work Update – Dan Oh, OEI, Inc.

Dan Oh gave presentation on 103-111 S. Walnut Street and 100 E. Kirkwood Avenue. **Dave Walker** stated the aluminum siding that has been covering the original siding has been doing a good job at preserving the original materials underneath it.

Jeff Goldin asked how long the replacement windows have been there. **Dave Walker** stated he moved to Bloomington in 1980 and the windows were present when he arrived.

Dan Oh stated they are going to come back with a modern, sustainable material that would fit the era of this building.

B. SHAARD Resurvey Update – Steve Wyatt
 Steve Wyatt gave the commission an update of the SHAARD resurvey. This completes two-thirds of the entire survey. It was also stated that he did not think he would meet the February deadline. Philippa Guthrie asked if they had a new deadline to propose. Steve Wyatt said they thought maybe spring. Philippa Guthrie stated she would look at the contract to see when the deadline for completion was.

IX. COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

Philippa Guthrie stated the city has withdrawn its proposal of demolishing the Kiln.

X. PUBLIC COMMENTS

XI. ANNOUNCEMENTS

A. Commission elections - John

John Saunders stated Jeff would consider being Chair and Leslie would consider being Vice Chair. **Duncan Campbell** asked whether there wasn't supposed to be a nominating committee that proposes a slate.

Philippa Guthrie stated she would look up the rules for nomination. She then did so during the meeting and reported that there is no requirement of a nominating committee, although the Commission could create one if it wanted to. The Commission agreed that the nominations are going to happen the first meeting in January.

B. Batman House update.

Rachel Ellenson stated the work has been completed. She is going to see the owner tomorrow to have her sign paperwork.

XII. ADJOURNEMENT

Meeting was adjourned at 6:50 p.m.

SUMMARY

COA 17-91 (Staff Review)

105 N. College Avenue: Courthouse Square Historic District Petitioner: Tracy Gates

Contributing

IHSSI #: 105-05-23016

c. 1930



Background: The building located at 105 N. College Avenue is a contributing, slightly altered neoclassical commercial structure in good condition. The building is part of the Courthouse Square Historic District and is within the Courthouse Square Overlay.

Request: Installation of a 1" high density urethane sign with foam letters above The Inkwell storefront. Letters will be stud-mounted to aluminum composite panels that will be attached to the existing 4'x20' bulkhead above the storefront.

Guidelines:

Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation:

Standard 2: The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize property shall be avoided.

Courthouse Square Historic District Design Guidelines

- 4. Guidelines for Signage and Awnings
 - A. Signage, General I. Care should be taken with the attachment of signage to historic buildings.
 - II. The scale of signage should be proportion to the façade, respecting the building's size, scale and mass, height, and rhythms and sizes of windows and door openings.
 - III. Obscuring historic building features such as cornices, gables, pilasters, or other decorative elements with new signs is discouraged.
 - IV. Use of materials such as wood, stone, iron, steel, glass, and aluminum is encouraged as historically appropriate to the building.
 - V. In situations where signage is directly attached to historic fabric, it should be installed in a manner which allows for updates and/or new tenant signage without additional drilling into stone, brick, or even mortar. If signage or signage parts must be attached directly to the building, it should be attached to wood or to mortar rather than directly into stone or brick. It is encouraged that signage be placed where signage has historically been located.
 - VI. Signage which is out of scale, boxy or detracts from the historic façade is discouraged.
 - VII. Care should be taken to conceal the mechanics of any kind from the public right of way.
 - *B. Wall Signs* I. Building-mounted signage should be of a scale and design so as not to compete with the building's historic character.
 - II. Wall signs should be located above storefront windows and below second story windows.
 - III. Signs in other locations will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

Recommendations: Staff approves of the sign installation project as proposed. The design of the sign is compatible with surrounding storefront signs and the new sign will be bolted into an existing bulkhead that previous tenants used for storefront signage mounting.

APPLICATION FORM CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS Staff - RKE Dec. 7, 2617
Case Number: COA 17-91
StartICIAL $Dec. 7, 2617$ Image: Condition of the startCase Number: Condition of the startImage: Condition of the startDate Filed:Image: Staff ressideScheduled for Hearing: Staff resside

Address of Historic Property:
Tracy Gates Petitioner's Name:
105 N. College Petitioner's Address:
812-322-3499/ manager@inkwellbtown.com Phone Number/e-mail:
Abodes Owner's Name:
940 Clarizz Blvd. Apt. 25, Bloomington, IN Owner's Address:
812-333-3333 Phone Number/e-mail:

Instructions to Petitioners

The petitioner must attend a preliminary meeting with staff of the Department of Housing and Neighborhood Development during which the petitioner will be advised as to the appropriateness of the request and the process of obtaining a Certificate of Appropriateness. The petitioner must file a "complete application" with Housing and Neighborhood Department Staff no later than seven days before a scheduled regular meeting. The Historic Preservation Commission meets the second Thursday of each month at 5:00 P.M. in the McCloskey Room. The petitioner or his designee must attend the scheduled meeting in order to answer any questions or supply supporting material. You will be notified of the Commission's decision and a Certificate of Appropriateness will be issued to you. Copies of the Certificate must accompany any building permit application subsequently filed for the work described. If you feel uncertain of the merits of your petition, you also have the right to attend a preliminary hearing, which will allow you to discuss the proposal with the Commission before the hearing during which action is taken. Action on a filing must occur within thirty days of the filing date, unless a preliminary hearing is requested.

Please respond to the following questions and attach additional pages for photographs, drawings, surveys as requested.

A "Complete Application" consists of the following:

1. A legal description of the lot. 105 North College Are, &

2. A description of the nature of the proposed modifications or new construction:

Building. the Sign n or attache of See 3. A description of the materials used. FORM N000

4. Attach a drawing or provide a picture of the proposed modifications. You may use manufacturer's brochures if appropriate.

5. Include a scaled drawing, survey or geographic information system map showing the footprint of the existing structure and adjacent thoroughfares, Geographic Information System maps may be provided by staff if requested. Show this document to Planning Department Staff in order to ascertain whether variances or zoning actions are required.

6. Affix at least three photographs showing the existing full facade at each street frontage and the area of modification. If this petition is a proposal for construction of an entirely new structure or accessory building, include photographs of adjacent properties taken from the street exposure.

If this application is part of a further submittal to the Board of Zoning Appeals for a Conditional Use or development standard variance, please describe the use proposed and modification to the property which will result.

Inkwell Bakery & Cafe 105 N College Ave

1" high density urethane sign foam letters cut to shape and painted off white as shown. Overall dimensions of graphics are 36" x 88".

Letters will be stud-mounted to satin finish, black, aluminum composite panels mounted on 4' x 20' bulkhead of storefront.



SUMMARY

COA 17-92 (Staff Review)

905 S. Madison Street: McDoel Historic District Petitioner: Third Sun Solar, on behalf of Andrew Baker

Non-contributing

IHSSI #: 105-055-53062

c. 1924, 1978



Background: The residence located at 905 S. Madison is a non-contributing, severely altered California Bungalow constructed c. 1924. The house is part of the McDoel Historic District and is zoned RC-Residential Core.

Request: Installation of 30 roof-mounted solar panels affixed to the roof on 4" stand-offs from rood surface. The panels will follow the pitch of the existing roof.

Guidelines:

Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation:

Standard 2: The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize property shall be avoided.

Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation – Guidelines on Sustainability for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings:

Solar Technology

Recommended:

- Considering on-site, solar technology only after implementing all appropriate treatments to improve energy efficiency of the building which often have greater life-cycle cost benefit than on-site renewable energy.
- Analyzing whether solar technology can be used successfully and will benefit a historic building without compromising its character or the character of the site or the surrounding historic district.
- Installing a solar device in a compatible location on the site or on a non-historic building or addition where it will have minimal impact on the historic building and its site.
- Installing a solar device on the historic building only after other locations have been investigated and determined infeasible.
- Installing a low-profile solar device on the historic building so that it is not visible or only minimally visible from the public right-of-way: for example, on a flat roof and set back to take advantage of a parapet or other roof feature to screen solar panels from view; or on a secondary slope of a roof, out of view from the public right of way.
- Installing a solar device on the historic building in a manner that does not damage historic roofing material or negatively impact the building's historic character and is reversible.
- Installing solar roof panels horizontally flat or parallel to the roof to reduce visibility.

Not Recommended:

- Installing on-site, solar technology without first implementing all appropriate treatment's to the building to improve its energy efficiency.
- Installing a solar decide without first analyzing its potential benefit or whether it will negatively impact the character of the historic building or site or the surrounding historic district.
- Placing a solar device in a highly visible location where it will negatively impact the historic building and its site.
- Installing a solar device on the historic building without first considering other locations.
- Installing a solar decide in a prominent location on the building where it will negatively impact its historic character.
- Installing a solar device on the historic building in a manner that damages historic roofing material or replaces it with an incompatible material and is not reversible.
- Removing historic roof features to install solar panels.
- Altering a historic, character-defining roof slope to install solar panels.
- Installing solar devices that are not reversible.
- Placing solar roof panels vertically where they are highly visible and will negatively impact the historic character of the building.

McDoel Historic District Guidelines

Solar Panels / Energy Retrofits

- McDoel supports alternative energy and sustainability goals within the district.
 - Preferred:
 - Locate solar panels on the house roof at same pitch as the existing roof.
 Position close to the roof surface and as inconspicuously as possible.
 Alternatively place solar panels in the backyard or on the garage roof.
 - Acceptable:
 - Install at elevations not significantly above the roof surface.

Recommendations: Staff approves of the solar panel installation project as proposed. The solar panels will only be visible from the public right-of-ways during the winter when vegetation does not conceal them. The roof surface will remain as is and the installation of the solar panels will not detract from the overall historic integrity of the historic district. The Executive Committee of McDoel Gardens was consulted regarding this project and there is unanimous support for the solar panel installation.





APPLICATION FORM CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

Case Number: <u>COA 17 - 92</u>	
Date Filed: 12 - 19 - 17	
Scheduled for Hearing: Staff	

Address of Historic Property: 905 S. Madison
Petitioner's Name: Third Sun Solar
Petitioner's Address: 762 W. Union St Athens OH 45701
Phone Number/e-mail: 740-331-4984
Owner's Name: Andrew J. Baker
Owner's Address: 905 Madison
Phone Number/e-mail: 812-336-0323, aj baker & Indiana, edu

Instructions to Petitioners

The petitioner must attend a preliminary meeting with staff of the Department of Housing and Neighborhood Development during which the petitioner will be advised as to the appropriateness of the request and the process of obtaining a Certificate of Appropriateness. The petitioner must file a "complete application" with Housing and Neighborhood Department Staff no later than seven days before a scheduled regular meeting. The Historic Preservation Commission meets the second Thursday of each month at 5:00 P.M. in the McCloskey Room. The petitioner or his designee must attend the scheduled meeting in order to answer any questions or supply supporting material. You will be notified of the Commission's decision and a Certificate of Appropriateness will be issued to you. Copies of the Certificate must accompany any building permit application subsequently filed for the work described. If you feel uncertain of the merits of your petition, you also have the right to attend a preliminary hearing, which will allow you to discuss the proposal with the Commission before the hearing during which action is taken. Action on a filing must occur within thirty days of the filing date, unless a preliminary hearing is requested.

Please respond to the following questions and attach additional pages for photographs, drawings, surveys as requested.

A "Complete Application" consists of the following:

1. A legal description of the lot. parcel #= 53-08-05-401-075. 000-009

2. A description of the nature of the proposed modifications or new construction:

roof-mounted Solar electric system, Consists of 30 solar panels affixed to the roof on stand-offs (approx 4 " off roof surface following the lines of the roof.)

3. A description of the materials used. Solar panels, Stand-offs, wring (see plan sets for details)

4. Attach a drawing or provide a picture of the proposed modifications. You may use manufacturer's brochures if appropriate.

5. Include a scaled drawing, survey or geographic information system map showing the footprint of the existing structure and adjacent thoroughfares, Geographic Information System maps may be provided by staff if requested. Show this document to Planning Department Staff in order to ascertain whether variances or zoning actions are required.

6. Affix at least three photographs showing the existing full facade at each street frontage and the area of modification. If this petition is a proposal for construction of an entirely new structure or accessory building, include photographs of adjacent properties taken from the street exposure.

If this application is part of a further submittal to the Board of Zoning Appeals for a Conditional Use or development standard variance, please describe the use proposed and modification to the property which will result.

\frown	<u> </u>
THIRD SUN 762 W. UNION ST. 762 W. UNION ST. 7400 249-4533 www.thirdsunsolar.com PROJECT: BAKER, ANDREW 905 MADISON ST BLOOMINGTON, IN 47403 JDB NUIABER: JDB NUIA	SHEET TITLE: SHEET NUMBER: T1.0 SHEET NUMBER: T1.0 SHEET SIZE: T1.0 SHEET SIZE: SHEET SI
SITE SPECIFICATIONS BUILDING DISCRIP: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE BUILDING TYPE: RESIDENTIAL LANDSCAPE: SUBURBAN UTILTY: DUKE ENERGY INDIANA AHJ: OCCUPANCY CATEGORY: II EXPOSURE CATEGORE 2014 INDIANA FILECTRIC CODE 2014 INDIANA RESIDENTIAL CODE (AMD 2012) UNDERWRITERS LABORATORIES (UL) STANDARDS OSHA GFR 150/269	BAKER, ANDREW BAKER, ANDREW BOS MADISON ST, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47403 30 REC 290 - 8.7 KWSTC CT MANGER, ADAM BROWN BHONE: 740-249-4535 ext. 134 PHONE: 740-740-750 ext. 134 PHONE: 740-740 ext. 134 PHONE: 740-740 ext. 134 PHONE: 740-740 ext. 134 PHONE: 740-740 ext. 144 PHONE: 740-740 ext. 144 PHONE
SCOPE OF WORK ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT (30) REC REC23OTTC (561 s4-ft) (1) SOLAREDGE SETGOOH-US INVERTER (30) SOLAREDGE SETGOOH-US INVERTER (30) SOLAREDGE SETGOOH-US INVERTER (30) SOLAREDGE SETGOOH-US INVERTER (30) SOLAREDGE SETGOONECT (D6222URB) (2) EXT. AC DISCONNECT (D6222URB) (2) EXT. AC DISCONNECT (D6222URB) (2) EXT. AC DISCONNECT (D6222URB) (2) EXT. AC DISCONNECT (D6222URB) (2) SUNMODO EZ MOUNT - COMP (42) SUNMODO EZ MOUNT - COMP	
	 attraction control status correst variable correst, variable control correst, var













		www.thirdsunsolar.com
S/SE5000H-US/SE00H-US/SE00H-US/	2600	PROJECT:
Construction Construction<		BAKEK, ANUKEW 905 MADISON ST
Statistication with HD-Wave technology Construction		BLOOMINGTON, IN 47403
Main formation of oper determination of the main formation of the main formation of the main formation of the main formation of the main formation of the main formation of the main formation of the main formation of the main	32	
Contribution Contribution<	70	100 NUMBER: 17-0187
Unify Montong, Jahande Pretericio, Namenia Creates, Lingenia Charlense, Namenia Creates, Lingenia Charlense, Namenia Creates, Lingenia Charlense, Namenia Inter Ser (Name Inter Ser (Namenia Namenia Inter Ser (Name Inter Ser (Namenia Namenia Inter Ser (Namenia Namenia Int		
Merrar Constrained Constrained <thconstrained< th=""> <thconstrained< th=""> <thc< th=""><th></th><th>PROJECT DETAILS: & 7 M/Victor 7 6 MM/ AC</th></thc<></thconstrained<></thconstrained<>		PROJECT DETAILS: & 7 M/Victor 7 6 MM/ AC
Instructioned characteristic from Vibrage Maximum from Vibrage View View Maximum from Corrent Schwing Xdwig 5 30 40 Maximum from Corrent Schwing Xdwig 5 30 40 Maximum from Corrent Schwing Xdwig 5 30 40 Maximum from Corrent Schwing Xdwig 9 30 40 Maximum from Corrent Schwing Xdwig 99 32 40 Maximum from Corrent Schwing Red Schwing Red	Н	
Moment Contract Cally Maximum read Current Salty Maximum Read Salty Maximum Read Salty Maximum Read Salty Maximum Salty Maximu	1440	ENGINEERING APPROVAL:
Maximum Inset Current 340V 65 305 315 305 305 Namen Inset Current Current Namen Inset Current Current Current Namen Current Current Current Namen Current Current Current Namen Current Current Current Current Namen Current		
Merr Professor Consol fault Indidictor Consol fault Indidictor Consol fault Indidictor Consol fault Indidictor Consol fault Indidictor Consol fault Indidictor Consol fault Indidictor Merrinal Organization Selection Consol fault Indidictor Consol fault India Consol	20	
Answire Description Description Answire Description 9 9 9 2 Answire Description 0 9 9 2 0	Adc	
Might Imme Foreen Contemption 9 Might Imme Foreen Contemption -0.5 REF=SUSTAINING POWER OUTLAT (OPTIONAL) -0.5 SELF=SUSTAINING POWER OUTLAT (OPTIONAL) 120 Maintern Onzert Valege -0.00 Maintern Standarduk -0.00 Maintern Maintern Maintern -0.01 Maintern Maintern Maintern -0.01	*	
SEEF-SUSTAINING POWER OUTLET (OPTIONAL) 120 Mainten Outset Voltage 1300 Mainten Outset Voltage 1305 Mainten Outset Voltage 10174; Just 2000 Mainten Station 01242; Candin Vict Extended Station 012000 Mainten Konthance 10174; Just 2013 Mainten Konthance 01275; Candin Vict Extended Maintended 01275; Candin Vict Extended Maintended 01275; Candin Vict Extended Maintended 01275; Candin Vict Extend	% M	
Mammun Grant Montes 120 Mammun Grant Montes 1 Mammun Matter 1 Matter		
Internal Outer with 6(2) Total Content on Markets Total Content on Markets Andomatics on Interfaces RS-65, Ethernet, 2gRee (notrocal), Celluter (potrocal) Supported Communities on Interfaces RS-65, Ethernet, 2gRee (notrocal), Celluter (potrocal) Supported Communities on Interfaces RS-65, Ethernet, 2gRee (notrocal), Celluter (potrocal) Supported Communities on Interfaces RS-65, Ethernet, 2gRee (notrocal), Celluter (potrocal) Supported Communities on Interfaces RS-65, Ethernet, 2gRee (notrocal), Celluter (potrocal) State Outload Outload State Outload, 112 Automatic Rapid Shuddennet State Outload, 112 Outload, 112 Ministrica Outload, 112 More State State Outload, 112 Outload, 113 State Outload, 112 Interface State Outload, 112 Interface State Outload, 113 Interface State Outload, 114 State	> ^	1
Supported Convertion RSA65, Efferent, 2igRee (notional) Supported Convertion Microsoft (212) Revenue Goald Dist, MiSI (2122) Optionul* Revenue Goald Dist, MiSI (2122) Automatic Rapid Shutdrenn Statey UL174, UL150916, SAC (222), Sandian MiSI (Saccoffing to TLi, MiCT Statey UL174, UL120915, SAC (222), Sandian MiCL Connecton Statedords UL1242, UL120915, SAC (224, Sandian MiCL) Restaurce and Biology Statego UL1242, UL13014, Statego Context Conduct State UL1242, UL13014, Statego Restaurce and Biology Statego UL1242, Conduct / 146, MiG Restaurce and Biology Statego UL1242, Conduct / 146, MiG Restaurce and Biology Statego UL1242, Conduct / 146, SAG Restaurce and Biology Statego UL1242, Statego Restaurce and Biology Statego UL1242, Conduct / 146, MiG Restaurce and Biology Statego UL1242, Statego Restaurce and Biology Statego UL1242, Statego Restaurce and Biology Statego UL1242, Statego Restaurce and Biology Statego UL		
Revenue Crade Data, MOS C12.20 Optional Revenue Crade Data, MOS C12.20 Optional Revenue Crade Data, MOS C12.20 Automatic Rapid Shutdown upen ACGrid Disconnect Steley Steley UL1245, UL12695, GAI C223, Canadian ACG according to T1, MOT Steley Generectors Standard, Generectors Standard, Connectors Standard, C	potionall	
Regist Shuttoom upon ALGrid Disconnuen ALGrid Disconnue ALGrid Disconnuen ALGrid Disconnue ALGrid Disconnuen ALGrid Disconnuen ALGrid Disconnue Disconnue ALGRID DISCONDI DISCONNUE ALGRID DISCONNUE ALGRID DISCONNUE ALGRID DISC		Suffer Thm E.
Safety UL1242, UL12091, GAX CP2.3, Canadian ACI, according to T.I., MO7 Gried Connection Standards EEEE Standards Gried Connection Standards EEEE Standards Distribution EEEE Standards Contract Conduct Standards EEEE Standards Distribution EEEE Standards Contract Conduct Standards EEEE Standards Contract Conduct Standards 0.35-17. Conduct V.A.6. MOG Conduct Conduct Star 0.35-17. Conduct V.A.6. MOG Differencement with Safety Switch 0.37-37. Conduct V.A.6. MOG Differencement Safety Switch 0.37-37. Safety Switch Differencement Safety Switch 0.37-37. Safety Switch Differencement Safety Switch 0.37-37. Safety Switch Differencement Safety Switch 0.31-37. Safety Switch Differencement Safety Switch 0.31-37. Safety Switch Differencement Safety Switch 0.31-32. Safety Switch Differencement Safety Switch 0.31-32. S	connect	INVERTER DATASHEET
Construction TECEPART Interaction Construction TECEPART Interaction INSTALLATION SECTEDATIONS TECEPART Interaction Construction TECEPART Interaction Construction TECEPART Interaction Construction 0.55-17 Conduct Vacation Construction 0.75-17 Conduct Vacation Determinement with Safety Switch 0.75-17 Conduct Vacation Determinement 0.75-17 Conduct Vacation Conduct Vacation 0.75-17 Conduct Vacation Determinement 0.75-17 Conduct Vacation Determinement 0.75-17 Conduct Vacation Determine 0.75-17 Conduct Vacation Conduct Vacation 0.75-17 Conduct Vacation Conduct 0.75-10 Conduction Conduct 0.75-10 Conduction Conduct 0.75-10 Conduction Conduct 0.75-10 Conduction	ng to T.I.L. M-07	
INSTITUTION SPECIFICATIONS 0.35-% Condut / 34.6 MMG Compact Conduct Sets / Mid Stange 0.75-% Condut / 34.6 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.6 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.6 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.6 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.6 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.6 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.6 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.6 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.6 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.6 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.6 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.6 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.7 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.7 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.7 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.7 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Conduct / 34.7 MMG Defension with Safety Switch 0.75-% Cond		SHEET NUMBER:
Chematic National Section Secti		D2.0
Dimensions with Safety Switch IUX/SAL (Sec 8) 45(5) x 370 x 174 Vereight with Safety Switch 23 3 / 11.5 Note 23 3 / 11.5 Cooling 31 / 11.5 Octo -21 / 11.5 Octo -21 / 11.5 Octo -21 / 11.5 Octo -21 / 11.5		
Note <15	in/mm Ib/le	SHEET SIZE: A MICLID (17, 00 V 11, 00 MICLIFE)
Coording Temperature Range	dBA	MADIE (11,000 × 11,00 (MCLICS)
	tion) ⁽⁵⁾ *F/*C	REVISIONS
srk with power optimizers		Description Date Int Rev
Record-breaking efficiency		JAL 10/25/17
		KLI TI/10/1/ CI A
High reliability without any electrolytic capacitors WQVE WQVE		
		DRAFT: CHRIS IONES
B Soluttaen hertrologien. Ins. All refer enement. Solution: Den Allertage Jay. All refer enement. Solution: Pre Solution:		REVIEWED:
A transmission of adjusted statements of adjusted statements of adjusted statements of adjusted statements and a version of adjusted statements of adjusted statements of adjusted statements of adjusted statements and adjust a version of adjusted statements of adjusted statements of adjusted statements of adjusted statements adjusted statements of		

solar <mark>edge</mark>	Z solar <mark>edge</mark>		SolarEdge Power Optimizer Module Add-On for North America	ptimizer America			762 W. UNION ST. 762 W. UNION ST. 71HENS, OH 45701 (740) 249-4533 www.thirdeursolar.com
SolarEdue Power Ontimizer		L 200 /	r320 / r400 / r403				PROJECT:
Module Add-On For North America		P300 (for 60-cell modules)	P320 (far high-power 60-cell modules)	P400 (for 72 & 96-cell modules)	P405 (for thin film modules)		BAKER, ANDREW 905 MADISON ST
	Rated Input DC Power ⁴³	300	320	400	405	M	BLOOMINGTON, IN 47403
P300 / P320 / P400 / P405	Absolute Maximum input Voltage (Voc at lowest temperature)		48	08	125		IDB NUTABER:
	MPPT Operating Range Maximum Short Circuit Current (ISC)	10	8-48	S-80	12.5 - 105	Vdc	17-0187
	Maximum DC Input Current		10	12.63		_	PROJECT DETAILS:
	-1-1		5.99 2.56			x x	8.7 kWstc, 7.6 kW AC
	. 1.0	Overveltage Category III OUTPUT DURING OPERATION (POWER OPTIMIZER CONNECTED TO OPERATING SOLAREDGE INVERTER)	II JECTED TO OBFRATING SOL	A DEDGE INVEDTED			
	Maximum Output Current		IECIED IO OFERAIING JUL	ARCUSE INVERTER)		1	ENGINEERING APPROVAL:
	Maximum Output Voltage	Maximum Output Voltage 60 55 V	60		85	Vdc	
		wer	NECIED FROM SOLAREDGE	INVERTER OR SOLA	AREDGE INVERTER C	EF)	
	optimizer		1			Vdc	
	STANDARD COMPLIANCE						
255mm	Safety		FCU PARTLA CLASS B, IECOLOUD-P-2, IECOLOUD-P-3 IEC62109-1 (Class II safety), UL1741	e-4, IECO1000-6-5			
	RoHS	_	Yes				
	INSTALLATION SPECIFICATIONS Maximum Allowed System Voltage	IONS	nvot			Lide	
	Compatible inverters		All SolarEdge Single Phase and Three Phase inverters	hree Phase inverters			
	Dimensions (W x L x H)	128 X 152 X 27.5 5 V 5 07 V 1 00	128 × 152 × 27.5 / 12 5 × 5 07 × 1 08	128 × 152 × 35 / 5 × 5 07 × 1 27	128 × 152 × 50 /	mm / in	
	Weight (including cables)	09L		1	1	gr/lb	
	Input Connector Output Wire Tune / Connector		MC4 Compatible Double Inculated: MC4 Compabile	le Compañila		1 1	SHEET TITLE:
	Output Wire Length		0.95 / 3.0	1.2/3.9		m/ft	OPTIMIZER DATASHEET
11	Operating Temperature Range Protection Bather	4	-40 - +85 / -40 - +185			*c/*F	
	Relative Humidity Branch Strowendst Anders of University		0-100			36	SHEET NUMBER: D.2 1
							4
	PV SYSTEM DESIGN USING A SOLAREDGE INVERTER ^{ID}	SINGLE PHASE	THREE PHASE 208V		THREE PHASE 480V		SHEET SIZE:
	Minimum String Length		10		18		ANSI B (17.00 X 11.00 INCHES)
PV power optimization at the module-level	Maximum String Length	25	25		50		REVISIONS
 Up to 25% more energy 	Maximum Power per String	5250	6000		12750	w	Description Date Int Rev
 Superior efficiency (99.5%) 	Parallel Strings of Different Lengths or Orientations	engths	Yes				ORIGINAL 10/25/17 CJ 0
 Mingates all types of module mismatch losses, from manufacturing tolerance to partial shading Flexible extern design for maximum scare indication 	²⁰ It is not allowed to mix P405 with P300/P403(P703) is one string	confrontin one string.					RL1 11/10/17 CJ A
 Fast installation with a single bolt 							
 Next generation maintenance with module-level monitoring 							
 Module-level voltage shutdown for installer and firefighter safety 							DPAFT.
							CHRIS JONES
USA - CANADA - GERMANY - ITALY - FRANCE - JAPAN - CHINA - AUSTRALIA - THE NETHERLANDS - UK - ISRAEL WVV	www.solaredge.us	Day red	BOLAREDGE, the Sources, legs, OPTIMIZED BY SOLAREDGE	at			REVIEWED:
	allo transmission of their reso	12/21	inc. All other cooking is menorized here I to change without notice.	0 M			

BOLT SLOT			Our roof mounting system is water tight and durable for any composite/shake roof!	762 W. UNION ST.
		Contraction of the second s	No.	PROJECT: (740) 249-4533 www.thirdsunsolar.com BAKER, ANDREW 905 MADISON ST
	Í)			BLOOMINGTON, IN 47403 JOB NUMBER: 17-0187
	Serrated L Foot allows for rail mounting on either side.	Versatile aluminum base for multiple configurations.	Flashing designed to redirect water flow.	PROJECT DETAILS: 8.7 kWstc, 7.6 kW AC ENGINEERING APPROVAL:
ł	Ez Mount L-Foot Kit for Shingle Roofs	it for Shingle Roofs		
> 4	Patent #: US 8122648B Kit# K10068-001	0		
m Rail 84" 8.3 lbs.	All kits come complete with the following parts:	he following parts:		
ear Anodized 124" 12.27 lbs.		1-Al Shoe	1-Lag Bolt SS 5/16x4	
ker Anodized 164" 16.22 lbs. m fail 164"	1. Flashing	Pare A0005001 1-EL Foot Pare A0005001 1-EL Foot 1-EL Foot	4	SHEET TITLE: RACKING DATASHEET SHEET NUMBER:
lear Anodized 206" 20.37 lbs.	Also available:		🕴 1-Flange Nut 3/8"	D3.0 SHEET SIZE:
ription Length Weight				ANSI B (17.00 X 11.00 INCHES)
ack Anodized 84" 8.3 lbs.		A.	I	REVISIONS
lack Anodized 124" 12.27 lbs. m Rail 124"		2		URIGINAL 1U/25/1/ U/ U RL1 11/10/17 CI A
lack Anodized 164" 16.22 lbs. m Rail 164"		2	1	
lack Anodized 206" 20.37 lbs.	SUMMO 190	1905 E 5th St., Ste. A Vancouver, WA 98661 Phone: 360-844	Phone: 360-844-0048 www.summodo.com	DRAFI: CHRIS JONES
				REVIEWED:

Helio Standard Rail

Summodo's newly launched Helio Standard Rail <u>2X 1/4 BOLT</u> is a costeffective rail especially designed for its strength and versatility. **Calculated Span Chart on page 16**

With two convenient 1/4" slot and a 3/8" slot available, the Helio standard rail can handle a variety of components such as mounts, rail splices, micro-inverters, clamps, and a variety of wire and grounding products.

Manufactured in 4 stock sizes in clear or black anodized. Sunmodo can also supply rail at any lengths.

Sunmodo Rail is extruded 6065-T5 aluminum.

	Part Number	Description	Length	Weight
	100 FF FOCT	Standard Clear Anodized		0.0 11-0
-ciear"	420144-084	Aluminum Rail 84"	\$	6.5 IDS.
		Standard Clear Anodized	10.00	11 20 00
	AZU144-124	Aluminum Rail 124"	124	12.2/ IDS.
		Standard Clear Anodized		
	A20144-164	Aluminum Rail 164"	164"	16.22 lbs.
		Standard Clear Anodized	1000	
a.	902-44T02A	Aluminum Rail 206"	907	20.37 lbs.
"Black"	Part Number	Description	Length	Weight
	V3-101.00-00-000	Standard Black Anodized	UN UN	0.0 11-0
~	NG-400-44T07H	Aluminum Rail 84"	5	-501 0-0
	N3-171-171-00	Standard Black Anodized	"A.C.F	-41 26 68
	NO 477 447074	Aluminum Rail 124"		-501 /7-71
	18-A31-AA10CA	Standard Black Anodized	"121	16 22 14-
	NO-407-44T074	Aluminum Rail 164"	101	-501 77-07
	V9-200-14 1004	Standard Black Anodized	"000	20 27 IL-
	NG-007-44-T074	Aluminum Rail 206"	007	-501 / 0:07

-Page 12-

THIRD SUN SOLAR 762 W. UNION ST. 762 W. UNION ST. 762 W. UNION ST. 71400 249-4533 71400 249-4533 71400 249-4533 71400 249-4533 7440 249-4533 7440 249-4533 7440 249-4533 7400 249-750 7400 249-750 7400 249-750 7400 249-750 7400 249-750 7400 249-750 7500 749-750 7500 7400 7400 749 7500 7400 7400 7400 7500 7500 7500 7500 7500 7500 7500 75	ENGINE RING APPROVAL:	SHEET TITUE: SHEET NUMBER: SHEET NUMBER: SHEET NUMBER: B4.0 SHEET SIZE: ANSI B (17.00 X 11.00 INCHES) ANSI B (17.00 X	REVIEWED:
are. It is n lugs. is metal ance and WEEB	Torque 7.1. Ibs. for terminal screw 10.1. Ibs. for mardware w Penetrox-A on threads on threads	MG and the second secon	
WEEB-LUG The WEEB Lup consists of a WEEB (Washer, Electrical Equipment Bond), lay-in lug, and hardware. It is WEEB-LUG The WEEB Lup consists of a WEEB (Washer, Electrical Equipment Bond), lay-in lug, and hardware. It is used with one solid or stranded copper wire (14AWG to 64WG), to 10AWG) to provide a continuous ground on roof or ground mounted solar systems. Unlike traditional lay-in lugs, the WEEB Lug does not reque surface preparation on rail or module to install. The WEEB Lug is specialized teeth embed into anodized aluminum, galvarized steel, or any electrically conductive metal to establish a gas tight electrical connection. The in-placed Lug assures minimum condact resistance and horizontal to the tang for easy access when mounted under a PV module. The low profile of the WEEB Lug allows it to be installed in a variety of positions.	Is Hardware 14 inch hardware 14 inch hardware 14 inch hardware 14 inch hardware 18 14 inch hardware M8 or 5/16 inch hardware 13 M8 or 5/16 inch hardware 10 Included 10 M8 or 5/16 inch hardware 10 Included 10 Included 10 Included 10 Included 10	torund conductor allowance: WIG or two 10 AWG, two 12 A 467 by Intertek ETL	1
WEEB (Washer, Electrical Equi ad copper wire (14AWG to 6AM id on roof or ground mounted s in esurface preparation on rail o mounting hardware. When the anodrized aluminum, galvanized cal connection. The tim-plated L cal connection is clamped by a 1/ r access when mounted under. a variety of positions.	LXWXH LXWXH 1.00°×0.71°×0.47 ⁻ 1.00°×0.37°×0.47 ⁻ 1.00°×0.71°×0.47 ⁻ 2.1.00°×0.71°×0.47 ⁻ 2.1.00°×0.71°×0.47 ⁻		
WEEB-LUG WEEB-LUG The WEEB Lug consists of a WEEB (Washer, Elect used with one solid or standed coper wire (14AW to provide a continuous ground on nof or ground the WEEB Lug does not require surface preparation installed using stainless steel mounting hardware. V specialized teeth embed into anoidized aluminum, g to establish a gas tight electrical connection. The tin protection against cornesion. Copper wire is clampe horizontal to the tang for easy access when mounte Lug allows it to be installed in a variety of positions.	Catalog Item # WEEB-LUG-0.7 30020110 WEEB-LUG-0.7AS 30020110 WEEB-LUG-0.7AS 30020111 WEEB-LUG-8.0 30020111 WEEB-LUG-8.1AS 30020115 WEEB-LUG-8.2MS 30020115 WEEB-LUG-8.2MS 30020115	Customer Service Department Catatom Park, NH 03053 1-800-346-4175 1-800-347-4175 1-800-346-4175 1-800-346-4175 1-800-347-5296 (member)	













SUMMARY

COA 17-93

208 S. Rogers Street: Greater Prospect Hill Historic District Petitioner: Doug Wissing, on behalf of Prospect Hill, LLC.

Contributing

IHSSI #: 105-055-26450

c. 1900



Background: The residence located at 208 S. Rogers Street is a slightly altered, T-Plan Cottage in good condition that was constructed c. 1900. The house is located in the Greater Prospect Hill Historic District and is within the Downtown Edges Overlay.

Request: Construction of two shed roof dormers on the East and West faces of the roof. Installation of two Quaker vinyl-clad solid core windows in the East facing dormer. Installation of a Quaker vinyl-clad solid core window in the South facing dormer to match the window in the North facing dormer in appearance and dimensions.

Guidelines:

Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation:

Standard 2: The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize property shall be avoided. *Greater Prospect Hill Historic District Design Guidelines*

IV. Guidelines for New Construction Materials – Recommended

• Building materials, whether natural or man-made, should be visually compatible with surrounding historic buildings.

Fenestration – Recommended

- Windows and doors should be arranged on the building so as not to conflict with the basic fenestration patter in the area.
- Window openings should reflect the basic proportionality and directionality of those typically found on surrounding historic buildings.

VI. Guidelines for Existing Structures

- Retain historical character-defining architectural features and detailing, and retain detailing on the public way façade such as brackets, cornices, dormer windows, and gable end shingles.
- Prioritize the retention of the roof's original shape as viewed from the public way façade. Chimneys may be removed unless they are an outstanding characteristic of the property.

Recommendations: Staff recommends approving the project as proposed. Staff does not feel the addition of the dormers and the addition of two windows in the East-facing dormer will not detract from the overall historic integrity of the house or surrounding historic district. The design of the dormers is compatible with surrounding houses and the windows throughout the rest of the house are not original. Staff also recommends approval of the new window installation in the existing South facing dormer because it will create a more symmetrical fenestration pattern and the design of the window will be compatible with windows found throughout the rest of the house.
APPLICATION FORM CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

Case Number: COA 17 - 93	DEC 2 1 2017
Date Filed: Dec. 21, 2017 BY	RU D
Scheduled for Hearing: January 11, 2018	RKE
Address of Historic Property: 208 S. Rosers St. Petitioner's Name: Daug Wissin Petitioner's Address: POBX 1683, Bloominfon, 4 Phone Number/e-mail: 812 3602706, dauglaswis Owner's Name: Prospect Hill, LLC Owner's Address: Strue	47402 Sginail.co
Phone Number/e-mail:	

Instructions to Petitioners

The petitioner must attend a preliminary meeting with staff of the Department of Housing and Neighborhood Development during which the petitioner will be advised as to the appropriateness of the request and the process of obtaining a Certificate of Appropriateness. The petitioner must file a "complete application" with Housing and Neighborhood Department Staff no later than seven days before a scheduled regular meeting. The Historic Preservation Commission meets the second Thursday of each month at 5:00 P.M. in the McCloskey Room. The petitioner or his designee must attend the scheduled meeting in order to answer any questions or supply supporting material. You will be notified of the Commission's decision and a Certificate of Appropriateness will be issued to you. Copies of the Certificate must accompany any building permit application subsequently filed for the work described. If you feel uncertain of the merits of your petition, you also have the right to attend a preliminary hearing, which will allow you to discuss the proposal with the Commission before the hearing during which action is taken. Action on a filing must occur within thirty days of the filing date, unless a preliminary hearing is requested.

n Ban

Please respond to the following questions and attach additional pages for photographs, drawings, surveys as requested.

A "Complete Application" consists of the following:

Sel attacher 1. A legal description of the lot. 2. A description of the nature of the proposed modifications or new construction: Dormer A, description of the materials used. 3. lay 1° cladsol Quale Windon Vec 4. Attach a drawing or provide a picture of the proposed modifications. You may use

 Attach a drawing or provide a picture of the proposed modifications. You may use manufacturer's brochures if appropriate.

5. Include a scaled drawing, survey or geographic information system map showing the footprint of the existing structure and adjacent thoroughfares, Geographic Information System maps may be provided by staff if requested. Show this document to Planning Department Staff in order to ascertain whether variances or zoning actions are required.

6. Affix at least three photographs showing the existing full facade at each street frontage and the area of modification. If this petition is a proposal for construction of an entirely new structure or accessory building, include photographs of adjacent properties taken from the street exposure.

If this application is part of a further submittal to the Board of Zoning Appeals for a Conditional Use or development standard variance, please describe the use proposed and modification to the property which will result.



Rachel Ellenson <ellensor@bloomington.in.gov>

208 S. Rogers dormer

4 messages

Douglas Wissing <douglaswissing@gmail.com> To: Rachel Ellenson <ellensor@bloomington.in.gov> Wed, Dec 20, 2017 at 3:41 PM

Rachel--

I have applied for a permit to add two small dormers and a window to a small garret bedroom at 208 S. Rogers St. The dormers are designed to make this affordable 1-BR studio residence more livable. Designer Jon Racek and I have endeavored to develop plans that are compatible with the neighborhood design guidelines.

Am hoping the HPC will support the project. To give you a heads-up, am attaching the plans and a letter to Planning with supporting docs for the permit. Please let me know if I can answer any questions.

All best,

Doug

Douglas A. Wissing Architectural Resource Consultants P.O. Box 1683 Bloomington, IN 47402 (812) 360-2706 douglaswissing@gmail.com www.arcbloomington.com

2 attachments

208SRogersPermitLtr.pdf 6987K

208SRogers Dormer - 11.28.16.pdf 114K

Rachel Ellenson <ellensor@bloomington.in.gov> To: Douglas Wissing <douglaswissing@gmail.com> Wed, Dec 20, 2017 at 3:50 PM

Hi Doug,

Thank you for giving me a heads up on the project. You will need to submit a Certificate of Appropriateness to the HPC for review because the house is located within the Greater Prospect Hill Historic District. This is a separate application from the planning department request you have already submitted.

I have included the link for the COA application, which can either be printed and filled out or there is a hyperlink for a fillable form that you can email back to me. Either way, I am happy to help with the application in any way that I can and I look forward to reviewing the project. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Thanks,

Rachel Ellenson

https://bloomington.in.gov/neighborhoods/preservation/coa [Quoted text hidden]

--

The of the observation of the ob	COVER	S Rogers Project number 04 Date 11/27/16 A0	Checked by















SUMMARY

COA 17-94

520 W. Howe Street: Greater Prospect Hill Historic District Petitioner: Doug Wissing, on behalf of Prospect Hill, LLC.

Notable

IHSSI #: 105-055-54215

c. 1905



Background: The residence located at 520 West Howe Street is a notable, slightly altered gabledell house constructed c. 1905. The house is a part of the Greater Prospect Hill Historic District and is zoned RC-Residential Core.

Request: Renewal of approved COA-1-15: "Construction of a second floor rear addition to an existing gabled-ell per the plans and specifications submitted and reviewed at the February 12th Preservation Commission meeting." All of the plans and specifications for the addition will remain the same.

Project Outline:

- Construction of a shed roof dormer on the North face of the roof.
- Installation of a skylight window in the dormer.
- Construction of a gable dormer on the NE corner of the roof.

Guidelines:

Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation:

Standard 2: The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize property shall be avoided.

Greater Prospect Hill Historic District Design Guidelines:

IV. Guidelines for New Construction

Materials-Recommended

• Building materials, whether natural or man-made, should be visually compatible with surrounding historic buildings.

Fenestration - Recommended

- Windows and doors should be arranged on the building so as not to conflict with the basic fenestration patter in the area.
- Window openings should reflect the basic proportionality and directionality of those typically found on surrounding historic buildings.
- VI. Guidelines for Existing Structures
 - Retain historical character-defining architectural features and detailing, and retain detailing on the public way façade such as brackets, cornices, dormer windows, and gable end shingles.
 - Prioritize the retention of the roof's original shape as viewed from the public way façade. Chimneys may be removed unless they are an outstanding characteristic of the property.

Recommendations: Staff recommends approving the project as proposed. The BHPC previously approved the work and because nothing is going to change, Staff is supportive of approving the project again. The addition of the dormer will not detract from the overall historic integrity of the house or the surrounding historic district.

APPLICATION FORM CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

D IS M IS A	
Case Number: $COA - 17 - 94$	75
Case Number: COA-17-94 Date Filed: December 22, 2017 Scheduled for Hearing: January 11, 2018	17
Scheduled for Hearing: January 11, 2018	••••

Address of Historic Property: 520 W. Howe Street Bloomington, IN 47403	
Petitioner's Name: Doug Wissing	
Petitioner's Address: P.O. Box 1683 Bloomington, IN 47402	
Phone Number/e-mail: 812-360-2706, douglaswissing@gmail.com	n
Dwner's Name: Prospect Hill, LLC.	
Dwner's Address: Same	
Phone Number/e-mail: Same	

Instructions to Petitioners

The petitioner must attend a preliminary meeting with staff of the Department of Housing and Neighborhood Development during which the petitioner will be advised as to the appropriateness of the request and the process of obtaining a Certificate of Appropriateness. The petitioner must file a "complete application" with Housing and Neighborhood Department Staff no later than seven days before a scheduled regular meeting. The Historic Preservation Commission meets the second Thursday of each month at 5:00 P.M. in the McCloskey Room. The petitioner or his designee must attend the scheduled meeting in order to answer any questions or supply supporting material. You will be notified of the Commission's decision and a Certificate of Appropriateness will be issued to you. Copies of the Certificate must accompany any building permit application subsequently filed for the work described. If you feel uncertain of the merits of your petition, you also have the right to attend a preliminary hearing, which will allow you to discuss the proposal with the Commission before the hearing during which action is taken. Action on a filing must occur within thirty days of the filing date, unless a preliminary hearing is requested.

Please respond to the following questions and attach additional pages for photographs, drawings, surveys as requested.

A "Complete Application" consists of the following:

1. A legal description of the lot.

A description of the nature of the proposed modifications or new construction:
See previously submitted COA application for COA-1-15. The plans have not changed and the original
COA application was approved by the BHPC on February 12, 2015. This COA application is to approve
a renewal of originally approved COA-1-15.

3. A description of the materials used. See original application submission for COA-1-15.

4. Attach a drawing or provide a picture of the proposed modifications. You may use manufacturer's brochures if appropriate.

5. Include a scaled drawing, survey or geographic information system map showing the footprint of the existing structure and adjacent thoroughfares, Geographic Information System maps may be provided by staff if requested. Show this document to Planning Department Staff in order to ascertain whether variances or zoning actions are required.

6. Affix at least three photographs showing the existing full facade at each street frontage and the area of modification. If this petition is a proposal for construction of an entirely new structure or accessory building, include photographs of adjacent properties taken from the street exposure.

If this application is part of a further submittal to the Board of Zoning Appeals for a Conditional Use or development standard variance, please describe the use proposed and modification to the property which will result.

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

Issued by

The

Bloomington Historic Preservation Commission

ADDRESS 520 West Howe Street: Greater Prospect Hill Historic District.

For the following work:

Construction of a second floor rear addition to an existing gabled-ell per the plans and specifications submitted and reviewed at the February 12th Preservation Commission meeting (attached).

A copy of the complete approved plans may be obtained from the City of Bloomington, 401 N. Morton, Department of Department of Housing and Neighborhood Development under case number <u>COA-01-15</u> This Certificate is effective for two years following the date of issue. Exterior work outside the scope of this approval is not permitted and subject to fines outlined in Municipal Code, Title 8, Chapter 8.16.020.

John Saunders Chairman

Bloomington Historic Preservation Commission

Approved February 12, 2015



Monroe County Building Department

501 N. MORTON ST RM 220-B Bloomington, IN 47404 (812) 349-2580

BUILDING PERMIT

Permit Date: 05/04/2015 Address: 520 HOWE ST W Parcel #: 015-11450-00 Permit #: 20150445

Lot #: N/A

Subdivision:

Township: PR-5

Scope of Work: RESIDENTIAL ADDITION

Square Footage Finished: 400

Unfinished:

Owner: WISSING, DOUG

,

Telephone:

Contractor: TO BE DETERMINED

A permit to crect and/or modify a structure upon payment of a fee of \$131.00 is hereby granted. Receipt number: 36933

0

The undersigned hereby certifies that the statement and drawings submitted are true and correct, agrees to perform the work covered by the permit in conformity with the applicable laws, regulations, and ordinances; and to comply with, and conform to, the deed and plat restrictions of the lot herein named. The undersigned here by acknowledges that it is illegal to occupy any new or romodeled structure prior to the issuance by the Monroe County Building Department of a CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY AND COMPLIANCE for the structure and that a civil penalty of up to Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250.00) per day for each violation which exists may be levied against the undersigned and/or the responsible party. The undersigned hereby further agrees that he/she will not occupy the structure prior the issuance by the Monroe County Building Commissioner of a CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY AND COMPLIANCE for the project. IF ANY CHANGES OR DEVIATIONS ARE WADE FROM THE ORIGINAL APPLICATION A NEW PERMIT WILL BE REQUIRED.

Owner/Agent: indrod Jim Gerstbauer

Monroe County Building Commissioner

Approved by State Board of Accounts for Monroe County, 2001



Petitioner's Statement to City of Bloomington Planning and Transportation Department Hearing Officer regarding 520 W. Howe St. Variance Request

I am requesting a side-yard setback variance for a proposed dormer on a 1-BR, 1-BA house at 520 W. Howe St. in Prospect Hill. The late-19th-century house is approximately 900 square feet in size. The proposed dormer, approximately 400-sf in size, is designed to convert underutilized attic space into one small garret bedroom, a 34-bath, and a mechanical/storage room.

The variance is required because the side-yard setback is approximately 6'2" from the cast property line, less than the 10' setback standard.

Following preliminary guidance by planner Patrick Shay, designer Jon Racek and I designed the dormer to stay within the house's original footprint, meet all of the Greater Prospect Hill Historic District Guidelines, and provide an attractive addition to the residence and the neighborhood.

Greater Prospect Hill Historic District and Historic Preservation Commission Review

The Prospect Hill neighbors on the Greater Prospect Hill Historic District design review committee supported the proposed dormer design.

Comments made by the GPHHD design review committee members:

"It is a well thought out design. It does not affect the character of the house from the street view. I can only offer my encouragement. I am always pleased to see home owners contribute to our neighborhood."

-John Vitello

"I see this in keeping with the neighborhood's feel and think it should receive staff approval." ---Margaret Fette

"Surprising how similar the solutions to these two cases are. With tight lots and many houses of similar design, i.e., 'Gable ells" I guess its no wonder that the solutions should look so similar.

I think this project will fit into the neighborhood context very nicely.

I'd like to reiterate that its important that these first few projects set good examples, and I think they do, to demonstrate that our 100 year old houses can evolve and remain relevant to current life styles.

How many ways can a Gable ell grow?" -Patrick Murray (responding to CoAs for two Gabled-L projects, 520 W. Howe and 600 W. 4th)

"I agree with both Margaret and John and the changes to this property are reasonable and within the parameters of the guidelines."



l agree with Patrick's comments, that this project fits well within the guidelines and is a good example for future projects. —Jeff Goldin

Following the GPHHD design review committee's recommendation, the City of Bloomington Historic Preservation Commission unanimously voted yes for the Certificate of Appropriateness certificate.

Prospect Hill Compact Urban Form and Dormers

The variance is required because the house's side-yard setback is approximately 6'2" from the east property line, less than the 10' setback standard.

The proposed dormer for this Gabled-L cottage is patterned on numerous Gabled-L dormers that citizens have built across the Prospect Hill, both historically and recently. Reflecting Prospect Hill's traditional compact urban form, many of the neighborhood's dormered houses have less than a 10' side-yard setback.

Here are a few random examples of the form among the many Gabled-L dormers in the Prospect Hill and Westside neighborhoods. Photos are provided:

Carr house, 600 W. Howe. Next door to 520 W. Howe, this vintage Gabled-L has a dormer that houses a small bedroom, 34-bath and storage.

Fawcett house, 729 W. Howe. This recent remodel of a vintage Gabled-L included a large dormer addition.

Sturbaum house, 327 W. Jackson. This vintage Gabled-L was remodeled with a large dormer addition.

Murphy house, 727 W. 3rd St. This vintage Gabled-L was remodeled with a large dormer addition, as well as an expanded footprint addition and garage/workshop.

Gold house, 300 S. Fairview. This vintage Gabled-L has a historic dormer and a more recent remodeling.

O'Dea house, 305 S. Fairview. This vintage Gabled-L was remodeled with a large dormer addition, as well as an expanded footprint addition.

Roska house, 339 S. Euclid Ave. This vintage Gabled-L has a historic dormer.

Vernon house, 921 W. Howe. This vintage Gabled-L has a large contemporary dormer.

Development Standards Variance Criteria

The approval of this variance will not be injurious to the neighborhood and community's public health, safety, morals, and public welfare. This proposed adaptive re-use will enhance this historic structure, help insure its future sustainability, and provide esthetic and historic value to the neighborhood and community.

The proposed dormer will not adversely affect adjacent property: Firstly, the proposed addition is completely within the structure's existing footprint. Secondly, per the GPHHD design guidelines, the dormer will not even be visible from the streetscapes, both on the front (south) and the alley (west). Thirdly, the changes to the gable on the east side are minor. Lastly, the dormer's north-facing rear façade is modest in scale and congruent with historic styles.

Because of Prospect Hill's historical compact urban form, strict application of the UDO side-yard setback will result in practical difficulties in this area, where the house lots are particularly tight. This proposed small dormer will require a variance to relieve the practical difficulties.

Conclusion

The 520 W. Howe dormer will convert this small cottage's underutilized attic space into a small bedroom, bath, and an accessible storage/mechanical room. The design is modest in scale, and congruent with historic neighborhood forms and esthetic guidelines. The improved house will enhance the historic Prospect Hill environment and provide a sustainable home for generations to come.

I respectfully request a side-yard setback variance to proceed with the dormer project.

I appreciate your consideration.

All best,

Douglas Wissing

213 S. Jackson St. Bloomington, IN 47404 douglaswissing@gmail.com (812) 360-2706

520WHowePlanningVarianceLtr.docx

APPLICATION FORM CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

Case Number:
Date Filed:
Scheduled for Hearing:

Address of Historic Property: 520 W. Hone St
Potitioner's Name: Daus WISSMY
Petitioner's Address: PoBr 1683, Blunth, W 47402 Phone Number/e-mail: 812) 360 2706 longlas WISSC Ogman com
Phone Number/e-mail (8/2) B60 2706 longlas WISSC Ognal con
Spra 0
Owner's Name:
Owner's Address:
Phone Number/e-mail:

Instructions to Petitioners

The petitioner must attend a preliminary meeting with staff of the Department of Housing and Neighborhood Development during which the petitioner will be advised as to the appropriateness of the request and the process of obtaining a Certificate of Appropriateness. The petitioner must file a "complete application" with Housing and Neighborhood Department Staff no later than seven days before a scheduled regular meeting. The Historic Preservation Commission meets the second Thursday of each month at 5:00 P.M. in the McCloskey Room. The petitioner or his designee must attend the scheduled meeting in order to answer any questions or supply supporting material. You will be notified of the Commission's decision and a Certificate of Appropriateness will be issued to you. Copies of the Certificate must accompany any building permit application subsequently filed for the work described. If you feel uncertain of the merits of your petition, you also have the right to attend a preliminary hearing, which will allow you to discuss the proposal with the Commission before the hearing during which action is taken. Action on a filing must occur within thirty days of the filing date, unless a preliminary hearing is requested.

Please respond to the following questions and attach additional pages for photographs, drawings, surveys as requested.

Α "	Complete Application" consi	ists of	the followin	ig:			
1	A legal description of the lot	¥3	015-1	1145	0-00		
2.	A description of the nature of t Addus a dorman	he pro	oposed modif	fications	or new cons	ruction:	
	5						
		and the second					
		A Contention					
3.	A description of the materials Hardeparte. Qual	uscd.	Vingl-	clad	Solid	Core u	undonts
		Contraction of the second seco					
		onter antico					
		Contraction of the second				()	
		and some star					
4.	Attach a drawing or prov	ide a	picture of	the prov	oosed modi	fications	You may use

 Attach a drawing or provide a picture of the proposed modifications. You may use manufacturer's brochures if appropriate.

5. Include a scaled drawing, survey or geographic information system map showing the footprint of the existing structure and adjacent thoroughfares, Geographic Information System maps may be provided by staff if requested. Show this document to Planning Department Staff in order to ascertain whether variances or zoning actions are required.

6. Affix at least three photographs showing the existing full facade at each street frontage and the area of modification. If this petition is a proposal for construction of an entirely new structure or accessory building, include photographs of adjacent properties taken from the street exposure.

If this application is part of a further submittal to the Board of Zoning Appeals for a Conditional Use or development standard variance, please describe the use proposed and modification to the property which will result.



HOWE STREET RENOVATION

520 Howe Street Bloomington IN



	Sheet List
Sheet Number	Sheet Name
D01	DEMO PLAN, GROUND
A01	GROUND FLOOR PLAN
A02	ATTIC FLOOR PLAN
A03	ROOF PLAN
A04	SOUTH ELEVATION
A05	NORTH ELEVATION
A06	WEST ELEVATION
A07	EAST ELEVATION
A08	BUILDING SECTION
A09	BUILDING SECTION
A12	INTERIOR ELEVATIONS
A10	BUILDING SECTION
A11	BUILDING SECTION
A13	SCHEDULES
A14	PERSPECTIVE
A15	PERSPECTIVE
A16	PERSPECTIVE
A17	PERSPECTIVE

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

COVER SHEET

Project number	Project Number	
Date	1/4/15	A00
Drawn by	Author	
Charled hy	Checker	Scala


























Room Finish Schedule							
Name	Number	Area	Base Finish	Ceiling Finish	Floor Finish	Wall Finish	
STORAGE	201	160 SF	WD TRIM	PTD GWB	WD FLRING	PTD GWB	
BEDROOM	202	191 SF	WD TRIM	PTD GWB	WD FLOORING	PTD GWB	
BATH	203	155 SF	TILE	PTD GBW	TILE	PTD GWB	
CLST	204	43 SF	WD TRIM	PTD GWB	WD FLRING	PTD GWB	

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

SCHEDULES

Project number Project Number Date Drawn by Charled hu

Author

Checker Scale

1/4/15

A13











SUMMARY

COA 17-95

330 S. Madison Street: Greater Prospect Hill Historic District Petitioner: Reg Land

Contributing

IHSSI #: 105-055-54198

c. 1920



Background: The residence located at 330 S. Madison is a slightly altered, pyramid roof cottage that was constructed c. 1920. The house is located within the Greater Prospect Hill Historic District and is zoned RC-Residential Core.

Request: Removal of a deteriorated, no longer in use chimney stack before the installation of a new roof surface. A COA is not required for the installation of the new roof because the materials will be in kind.

Guidelines:

Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation:

Standard 2: The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize property shall be avoided. *Greater Prospect Hill Historic District Design Guidelines:*

VI. Guidelines of an Existing Structure

B. Changes to the Public Way Façade

- Retain historical character-defining architectural features and detailing, and retaining on the public way façade such as brackets, cornices, dormer windows, and gable end shingles.
- Prioritize the retention of the roof's original shape as viewed from the public way façade. Chimneys may be removed unless they are an outstanding characteristic of the property.
- C. Removal of Original Materials
 - Retain historical character-defining architectural features and detailing, and retain detailing on the public way façade such as brackets, dormer windows, and gable end shingles.
 - Avoid removing or altering historic material or distinctive architectural features, like those listed. If materials are original and in good shape, means with which to keep them intact should be explored. If the existing material cannot be retained because of its condition, document the material and its condition and apply for a COA. If the desire is to restore or renovate to a certain design or style, provide a replacement plan and apply for a COA.

Recommendations: Staff recommends approving the removal of the chimney stack from the roof of 330 S. Madison. Staff feels that the chimney stack is a secondary feature to the current house, as it has lost a substantial amount of its original historic integrity and the chimney is no longer a central component of the historic feel of the structure. Staff has been in contact with Mark Dollase, Vice-President of Preservation Services at Indiana Landmarks, regarding his opinion on the removal of the chimney because Mark originally reviewed this project during the fall. Mark agrees that the chimney is a secondary component to the overall feel of the house and is supportive of its removal based on the level of structural degradation.

APPLICATION FORM CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

CERTIFICATE OF APP	ROPRIATENESS
Case Number: COA 17 - 95 Date Filed: December 22, 2017 Scheduled for Hearing: January 11, 2018	DEC 2 2 2017 BY: RILE

Address of Historic Property:	Street, Bloomington, IN 47403
Petitioner's Name: Reg W. Land	s
Petitioner's Address:	Bloomington, IN 47403
Phone Number/e-mail: 317-250-6655/	rland44@sbcglobal.net
_{Owner's Name:} Reg W. Land	
Owner's Address: 330 S. Madison Street, Bl	oomington, IN 47403
Phone Number/e-mail: 317-250-6655/r	

Instructions to Petitioners

The petitioner must attend a preliminary meeting with staff of the Department of Housing and Neighborhood Development during which the petitioner will be advised as to the appropriateness of the request and the process of obtaining a Certificate of Appropriateness. The petitioner must file a "complete application" with Housing and Neighborhood Department Staff no later than seven days before a scheduled regular meeting. The Historic Preservation Commission meets the second Thursday of each month at 5:00 P.M. in the McCloskey Room. The petitioner or his designee must attend the scheduled meeting in order to answer any questions or supply supporting material. You will be notified of the Commission's decision and a Certificate of Appropriateness will be issued to you. Copies of the Certificate must accompany any building permit application subsequently filed for the work described. If you feel uncertain of the merits of your petition, you also have the right to attend a preliminary hearing, which will allow you to discuss the proposal with the Commission before the hearing during which action is taken. Action on a filing must occur within thirty days of the filing date, unless a preliminary hearing is requested.

Please respond to the following questions and attach additional pages for photographs, drawings, surveys as requested.

A "Complete Application" consists of the following:

1. A legal description of the lot. McPheeters PT, Lot 10

2. A description of the nature of the proposed modifications or new construction: Remove brick chimney stack before new roof is installed. The chimney is on the south side of the house and has very limited street visibility from Madison Street and none from Prospect Street. The chimney has lost nearly all of its masonry tuckpointing. The cost to rebuild will be excessive. The house now has a new furnace and water heater, both of which are directly ventilated. The chimney now serves no purpose.

3. A description of the materials used.

The opening will be filled with new plank decking and covered with shingles by the roofing company when the new roof is installed.

4. Attach a drawing or provide a picture of the proposed modifications. You may use manufacturer's brochures if appropriate.

5. Include a scaled drawing, survey or geographic information system map showing the footprint of the existing structure and adjacent thoroughfares, Geographic Information System maps may be provided by staff if requested. Show this document to Planning Department Staff in order to ascertain whether variances or zoning actions are required.

6. Affix at least three photographs showing the existing full facade at each street frontage and the area of modification. If this petition is a proposal for construction of an entirely new structure or accessory building, include photographs of adjacent properties taken from the street exposure.

If this application is part of a further submittal to the Board of Zoning Appeals for a Conditional Use or development standard variance, please describe the use proposed and modification to the property which will result.













SUMMARY

COA 17-96

208 N. Walnut Street (Faris Building): Courthouse Square Historic District Petitioner: Kayla Maldonado

Notable

IHSSI #: 105-055-23067

c. 1895



Background: The property located at 208 North Walnut Street is a slightly altered, Italianate style commercial storefront building that was constructed c. 1895. The building is located in the Courthouse Square Historic District and is part of the Courthouse Square Downtown Overlay.

Request: Installation of a wooden sign above the door of Cup & Kettle Tea. The sign will be 40" tall and 80" wide. Lettering on the sign will be plexiglass and backlit with LED lights.

Guidelines:

Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation

Standard 2: The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

Courthouse Square Historic District Design Guidelines

- 4. Guidelines for Signage and Awnings
 - A. Signage, General
 - 1. Care should be taken with the attachment of signage to historic buildings.
 - 2. The scale of signage should be in proportion to the façade, respecting the building's size, scale and mass, height, and rhythms and sizes of windows and door openings.
 - 3. Obscuring historic building features such as cornices, gables, pilasters, or other decorative elements with new signs is discouraged.
 - 4. Use of materials such as wood, stone, iron, steel, glass, and aluminum is encouraged as historically appropriate to the building.
 - 5. In situations where signage is directly attached to historic fabric, it should be installed in a manner which allows for updates and / or new tenant signage without additional drilling into stone, brick, or even mortar. If signage or signage parts must be attached directly to the building, it should be attached to wood or to mortar rather than directly into stone or brick. It is encouraged that signage be placed where signage has historically been located.
 - 6. Signage which is out of scale, boxy or detracts from the historic façade is discouraged.
 - 7. Care should be taken to conceal the mechanics of any kind from the public right of way.
 - B. Wall signs
 - 1. Building-mounted signage should be of a scale and design so as not to compete with the building's historic character.
 - 2. Wall signs should be located above storefront windows and below second story windows.

Recommendations: Staff recommends approving the design and installation the sign as proposed. Staff does not feel the sign detracts from the historic integrity of the building and the sign is compatible with other designs of signage in the Courthouse Square Historic District. The sign will be attached to the building in a way that will not damage the front face of the stone columns and will use pre-existing holes. The conduit line will be minimally invasive where it is attached to the top portion of the window frame.

APPLICATION FORM CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

Case Number: COA 17 - 96 Date Filed: December 27, 2017 Scheduled for Hearing: January 11, 2018	DEC 27 2017 DEC 27 2017 BY: RKE
Sentence for free fig. $\underline{-36676000} \neq 10 \pm 2005$	

Address of Historic Property: 208 N. Walnut Street (Faris Building)	
Petitioner's Name: Kayla Maldonado	
Petitioner's Address: 2605 S Kendall Dr Bloomington, IN 47403	
Phone Number/e-mail: 812-320-7512 / cupandkettletea@gmail.com	
Owner's Name:	
Owner's Address: 320 W. Eighth Street, Suite 200	
Phone Number/e-mail: 812-332-0053 ron.walker@cfcproperties.com	

Instructions to Petitioners

The petitioner must attend a preliminary meeting with staff of the Department of Housing and Neighborhood Development during which the petitioner will be advised as to the appropriateness of the request and the process of obtaining a Certificate of Appropriateness. The petitioner must file a "complete application" with Housing and Neighborhood Department Staff no later than the Wednesday before a scheduled regular meeting. The Historic Preservation Commission meets the second Thursday of each month at 5:00 P.M. in the McCloskey Room. The petitioner or his designee must attend the scheduled meeting in order to answer any questions or supply supporting material. You will be notified of the Commission's decision and a Certificate of Appropriateness will be issued to you. Copies of the Certificate must accompany any building permit application subsequently filed for the work described. If you feel uncertain of the merits of your petition, you also have the right to attend a preliminary hearing, which will allow you to discuss the proposal with the Commission before the hearing during which action is taken. Action on a filing must occur within thirty days of the filing date, unless a preliminary hearing is requested.

Please respond to the following questions and attach additional pages for photographs, drawings, surveys as requested.

A "Complete Application" consists of the following:

1. A legal description of the lot. Please see attached.

2. A description of the nature of the proposed modifications or new construction: Installation of a sign above the door of Cup & Kettle Tea to help attract customers on an automobile heavy main arterial roadway in downtown Bloomington. The sign will be 40" tall and 80" wide.

3. A description of the materials used.

The background will be made from wood and the lettering will be made out of plexiglass. The lettering will also be back lit with LED lights.

4. Attach a drawing or provide a picture of the proposed modifications. You may use manufacturer's brochures if appropriate.

5. Include a scaled drawing, survey or geographic information system map showing the footprint of the existing structure and adjacent thoroughfares, Geographic Information System maps may be provided by staff if requested. Show this document to Planning Department Staff in order to ascertain whether variances or zoning actions are required.

6. Affix at least three photographs showing the existing full facade at each street frontage and the area of modification. If this petition is a proposal for construction of an entirely new structure or accessory building, include photographs of adjacent properties taken from the street exposure.

If this application is part of a further submittal to the Board of Zoning Appeals for a Conditional Use or development standard variance, please describe the use proposed and modification to the property which will result.

Exhibit B

Faris Building LEAGAL DESCRIPTION

Part of In Lot Two Hundred Twenty-nine (229) in the City of Bloomington, Indiana, bounded and described as follows, to-wit: Commencing 92 feet North of the Southwest corner of said In Lot, running thence North 40 feet; thence East 66 feet; thence South 40 feet; thence West 66 Feet to the place of beginning.

Also a part of In Lot Number Two Hundred Thirty (230) in the City of Bloomington, Indiana, bounded and described as follows, to-wit: Commencing 92 feet North of Southwest corner of said lot, running thence East 4 feet; thence North 40 feet to an alley; thence West 4 feet; thence South 40 feet to the place of beginning.

Also, all the right, title, and interest held by the grantor in and to the North half of the North wall of the brick building situated immediately South of and adjacent to the real estate above described as being part of In Lot Number Two Hundred Twenty-nine (229), such interest being set out in a deed from Robert G. Hardy to Charles Ross and recorded in Deed Record No. 46, page 326, in the Recorder's Office of Monroe County, Indiana.







SUMMARY

COA 18-01

105-111 S. Walnut Street: Courthouse Square Historic District Petitioner: Daniel Oh, OEI, Inc.

Contributing

IHSSI #: 105-055-23017

c. 1900



Background: The building located at 105-111 South Walnut Street is a severely altered commercial storefront with second story apartments that was constructed c. 1900. The building is located within the Courthouse Square Historic District and is part of the Courthouse Square Overlay. The second story is no longer used as apartments and has been converted to be an extension of the Trojan Horse Restaurant on West Kirkwood Avenue. The first floor continues to be business rental space.

Request: Repair, maintenance, and restoration of the existing original materials/fabric, which may include the following: cleaning of masonry (tuck pointing and painting as necessary) and siding, restoration of awnings, repair/restoration of existing windows, repair and painting of wood, cleaning and restoration of stone and metal structures, and/or repair of any wood rot, soffit, molding, and trim with replacements when necessary, such that the historical integrity of the structure is preserved.

Guidelines:

Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation

Standard 2: The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

Courthouse Square Historic District Design Guidelines

E. General Guidelines

- Deteriorated materials and / or features, whenever possible, should be repaired rather than replaced or removed.
- New materials should, whenever possible, match the material being replaced in physical properties and should be compatible with the size, scale, color, material, and character of the property and its environment.
- Surface cleaning shall use the mildest method possible. Sandblasting, wire brushing, power washing or other similar abrasive cleaning methods may not be permitted.
- 2. Guidelines for Rehabilitation and Maintenance
 - a. Primary Facades
 - The selection of construction materials should be appropriate to the storefront assemblage. New materials are permissible especially when they mimic historic fabric in use and material.
 - b. Upper Façade Windows
 - The original window design, elements and features (functional and decorative) and the arrangement of window openings should be preserved and repaired using recognized preservation methods, rather than replaced...Deteriorated or missing window elements and features (functional and decorative), should be replaced with material and elements that match the original in material, color, texture, size, shape, profile, configuration, and detail as closely as technically and economically feasible.
 - Retrofitting existing frames and sash to allow for the insertion of an additional pane of insulating glass for storm window applications may be allowed in the alteration does not visually detract from the historic fabric of the original window.
 - If it is demonstrated that original windows cannot be repaired, they should be replaced with windows that match the original in material, detail, profile, and dimension. If using the same material is not technically or economically feasible, the Commission may consider the use of replacement windows. The Commission may require the retention of some original windows, preferably in situ, to provide documentation of original conditions. Enlarging or reducing window openings for the purpose of fitting stock window sash or air conditioners will not be allowed.
 - The number and arrangement of window panes in the sash design shall not be changed from the original.
 - Some of these buildings have already lost their original windows or they have been filled over time. It is preferred that replacement windows for these properties be based on documentary evidence of the original windows.
 - c. Exterior Walls, General
 - Existing character-defining elements and features (decorative and functional) of exterior walls including masonry, wood, architectural metals, architectural

details, and other character-defining features should be retained and repaired using recognized preservation methods, rather than replaced or obscured.

• When character-defining elements and features (decorative and functional) of exterior walls cannot be repaired, they should be replaced with materials and elements which match the original or building period in material, color, texture, size, shape, profile and detail of installation.

Recommendations: Staff recommends approval of the restoration project as proposed. The restoration work is going to bring the building back to a more historic appearance, making it more compatible with other buildings in the historic district. The proposed work is going to be conducted using historic preservation best practices and the project will ultimately beautify a corner of one of the most visited historic districts in Bloomington.

APPLICATION FORM CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

	DECEIVED JAN 0 3 2018 BY: RICE
Case Number: COA 12-01	DU JAN 0 3 2010
Date Filed: January 3, 2018	BY: RKE
Scheduled for Hearing: January 11, 2013	

Address of Historic Property:105 - 111 S. Walnut St., Bloomington	IN 47408
Petitioner's Name: OEI, Inc. (Daniel Oh)	
Petitioner's Address: PO Box 1611, Ames, IA 50010	
Phone Number/e-mail: 515-735-2220	9
Owner's Name: OEI, Inc. (Daniel Oh)	
Owner's Address: PO Box 1611, Ames, IA 50010	
Phone Number/e-mail: 515-735-2220, daniel.oh@oeiinc.com	

Instructions to Petitioners

The petitioner must attend a preliminary meeting with staff of the Department of Housing and Neighborhood Development during which the petitioner will be advised as to the appropriateness of the request and the process of obtaining a Certificate of Appropriateness. The petitioner must file a "complete application" with Housing and Neighborhood Department Staff no later than seven days before a scheduled regular meeting. The Historic Preservation Commission meets the second Thursday of each month at 5:00 P.M. in the McCloskey Room. The petitioner or his designee must attend the scheduled meeting in order to answer any questions or supply supporting material. You will be notified of the Commission's decision and a Certificate of Appropriateness will be issued to you. Copies of the Certificate must accompany any building permit application subsequently filed for the work described. If you feel uncertain of the merits of your petition, you also have the right to attend a preliminary hearing, which will allow you to discuss the proposal with the Commission before the hearing during which action is taken. Action on a filing must occur within thirty days of the filing date, unless a preliminary hearing is requested.

Please respond to the following questions and attach additional pages for photographs, drawings, surveys as requested.

A "Complete Application" consists of the following:

1. A legal description of the lot. 013-33360-00 Original Plat Pt Lot 124 (southern portion)

2. A description of the nature of the proposed modifications or new construction:

Repair, maintenance, and restoration of the existing original materials/fabric, which may include the following: cleaning of masonry (tuck pointing and painting as necessary) and siding, restoration of awnings, repair/restoration of existing windows, repair and painting of wood, cleaning and restoration of stone and metal structures, and/or repair of any wood rot, soffit, molding, and trim with replacements when necessary, such that the historical integrity of the structure is preserved in accordance with Department of Interior standards. Other repair and restoration work as necessary, which may include weather proofing, sealing and standard insulation, and protective measures to preserve the longevity of the building. Please see the attached drawings for additional and more detailed information about work that may be performed in the course of the repair/restoration.

3. A description of the materials used. Material to match and properly coordinate with existing fabric.

4. Attach a drawing or provide a picture of the proposed modifications. You may use manufacturer's brochures if appropriate.

5. Include a scaled drawing, survey or geographic information system map showing the footprint of the existing structure and adjacent thoroughfares, Geographic Information System maps may be provided by staff if requested. Show this document to Planning Department Staff in order to ascertain whether variances or zoning actions are required.

6. Affix at least three photographs showing the existing full facade at each street frontage and the area of modification. If this petition is a proposal for construction of an entirely new structure or accessory building, include photographs of adjacent properties taken from the street exposure.

If this application is part of a further submittal to the Board of Zoning Appeals for a Conditional Use or development standard variance, please describe the use proposed and modification to the property which will result.

HPC Review

The project was on the agenda of the Bloomington Historic Preservation Commission, Thursday, August 10, 2017; B. COA-17-57. Progress on the project was reviewed at the HPC meeting on December 14th and it was agreed that the plan is in keeping with the district guidelines.

Façade as is—prior to restoration



Lower level floor plan; retail/office





Façade as complete—after restoration



104



Location map within Courthouse Square Historic District - subject indicated by red arrow

Historical Photographs



Important details of the bay windows, wood framing, brick walls and stone trim can be seen in this photo.







Photograph from prior to removal of aluminum siding (2017)

The South Walnut Street buildings storefront were built as a series of small shops as indicated on the Sanborn map. The four bay windows, centered over the original storefront doors and glass, are unique for Bloomington. Restrooms for the restaurant are behind the two bay windows on the left side of the photograph. It is possible to see some of the original bay window sash behind a cupboard door in the men's room.

Photographs since removal of siding




105-111 South Walnut Street

1. The aluminum siding has been removed from one wall section and the south portion of the bay window. The soffit has been removed to expose a sub-soffit of plywood. The historic photo shows a plain soffit and fascia with small molding trim at the inside corners, so this may still exist beneath the exposed sub-fascia.

The brick has a beige paint coating, which may be the same coating that the stucco received.
 Mortar joints on the brick are eroded, appearing to be half an inch or more recessed behind the

face of the brick. In some places, the mortar joint has been completely filled.

4. A surprise is a row of ashlar limestone laid on top of the steel beam and under the brick.

5. The wood furring strips are in good condition, showing no signs of rot or termites.

6. The original wood window frame and sash are intact. The wood sill may have been trimmed back when the siding was installed. We may expect to find that all of the windows were left in place when the aluminum siding was installed.

- 7. The vertical car siding has peeling paint and some signs of rot from moisture. The drip edge between the vertical siding and the horizontal band board was trimmed flush when the siding was installed.
- 8. A steel channel rests on the limestone cap and looks very good with a fresh coat of paint.



Additional Notes – November 6, 2017

- 1. Siding has been removed from the remainder of the second story of 103-111 South Walnut. The wood bay windows are still intact and the brick appears to be in good condition. It appears that the original windows were left in place when compared to the historic photographs.
- 2. The brick has been painted in all locations, but the coating is failing and may contain lead based paints. Removal of the paint coating will be necessary to perform tuck pointing.





The damage to the brick in the center of the building corresponds to the location of the projecting sign in the historic photograph. Note that the far right window has a vertical muntin on the upper and lower sash, whereas the next two bay windows do not have this muntin. Because the roof membrane terminates with the fascia, removal of the metal covering will be delayed till spring.



This photograph shows the condition of the wood trim and siding at the bay windows. It is a very simple trim consisting of "car siding" below and simple boards for the casing of the windows. Depending upon the condition of the wood, replacement with new materials may be considered to avoid lead paint issues. The sash and frames of the windows appears to be in good condition. It is recommended that the windows be removed for restoration off-site during the winter months.

If the glass is intact, it should be preserved. However, because there are restrooms behind these windows, a black-out panel should be installed before the windows are reinstalled in the building.

The two aluminum framed plate glass windows should be replaced with new windows similar to the historic windows as part of the restoration work on the façade.

The brick can be cleaned of paint coatings with paint removal products such as "Peel-Away" or similar paint removal products available at local paint stores. The brick will require tuck pointing with a lime mortar. Painting of the brick may be necessary to prevent moisture migration to the interior.

38 BLOOMINGTON CITY - 1/4 Notes 9/2016 2017-FIELD REVIEW: 2017/18 - NO CHANGE 9/8/2016 KS/ES 225/2016 2016-TRENDING: 16/17 - APPLIED 1.25 MARKET FACTOR, KS/H 222/16 17/2016 TF: STATE TF DISTRICT 004 - BC- 17/2016 TF: STATE TF DISTRICT 004 - BC- DOWNTOWN ORIGINAL 225/2013 2013-77ENDING: 2013/2014 TRENDING CHANGED EFF YR FROM 1985 TO 1991. 0226/13 KS/SJ	SISSIZO12 2012 - REASSESSMENT: 2012 PAY 2013 TRENDING APPLY MARKET FACTOR TO COMMERCIAL BLDG ONLY: 5-25-2012 KSJLR 121/12011 2012 - REASSESSMENT: 2012 PAY 2013 CORRECT BLDG FROM 100% WALL TYPE 2 2013 CORRECT BLDG FROM 100% WALL TYPE 2 2013 CORRECT BLDG FROM 100% WALL TYPE 2 100% WALL TYPE 1 AND CHANGE BLDG GRADE FROM D+2 TO C AND EFF AGE FROM 1975 TO 1985. 10-21-2011 KSLR	ISCELLANEOUS: TROJJ Plexis Conv. Note 10/21/20 Ming - correct building 00 to 1975. Nexus LR 00 to 1975. Nexus LR age	Parcei Acreage 0.08 81 Legal Drain NV 0.00 82 Public Roads NV 0.00 83 UT Towers NV 0.00 91/92 Acres Farmland 0.08 70tal Acres Farmland 0.08 Farmland Value 0.00 Measured Acreage 0.00 Avg Farmland Value 50 Cassified Value 50 Homesite(s) Value 50 Homesite(s) Value 50 Homesite(s) Value 50 91/92 Value 50 CAP 1 Value 50 Supp. Page Land Value 50 CAP 1 Value 50 CAP 2 Value 50 CAP 2 Value 5130,400 CAP 3 Value 5130,400
429, Other Retail Structures 3 fransfer of Ownership 8 Doc ID Code Book/Page Sale Price 0 MI 1990/2493 \$0 0 MI 1978/1964 \$0 1 0 MI 1978/1964 \$0 1 1 0 MI 1900/20298 \$0 1 1 VID / 1900/20298 \$0 1 1	mercial 2014 2013 e subject to change) 2014 2013 AA AA AA 65/15/2014 06/03/2013 Indiana Cost Mod 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 \$130,400 \$130,400 \$130,400 \$130,400 \$130,400 \$130,400 \$130,400 \$130,400 \$130,400 \$130,400 \$130,400 \$553,600 \$5363,500 \$3559,600 \$359,600 \$359,600 \$359,600 \$359,600 \$359,600 \$359,600 \$359,600 \$359,600 \$350,800 \$359,600 \$359,600 \$359,600 \$359,600 \$359,600 \$350,800 \$359,600 \$350,800 \$359,600 \$359,600 \$350,800 \$350,800 \$350,800 \$350,800 \$350,800 \$350,800 \$350,800 \$350,800 \$350,800 \$350,800 \$350,800 \$350,800 \$350,800 \$350,800 <t< th=""><th>Infl. % Res Market Value 0% 0% 1.0000 \$130,420 8130,420 \$100,210 \$100,2100,210 \$100,210,210\$100,2100,2100 \$100,2100 \$100,2100\$100</th></t<>	Infl. % Res Market Value 0% 0% 1.0000 \$130,420 8130,420 \$100,210 \$100,2100,210 \$100,210,210\$100,2100,2100 \$100,2100 \$100,2100\$100
100 E 5th ST Trans Date Owner 11/08/1990 OEI INC 07/03/1978 OH, MOON SANG & D 07/03/1970 USHAKOW, WLATER 01/01/1900 UNKNOWN	 In Progress values are not certified 2017 2016 AA AA AA 03/30/2017 05/02/2016 Indiana Cost Mod Indiana Cost Mod Indiana Cost Mod Indiana Cost Mod Indiana 	1.0000 1.0000 5130,400 5130,400 5361,400 5361,400 5361,400 5491,800 5491,800 5491,800 5491,800 5491,800 5400 5401,800 5401	Factor Rate 1 1.00 \$38
OEI INC Ownership OEI INC PO BOX 175 BLOOMINGTON, IN 47402-0175 Legal CRIGIMAL PLAT PT LOT 124		1.0000 Equalization Factor \$130,400 Equalization Factor \$130,400 Land \$0 Land Res (1) \$0 Land Res (1) \$0 Land Res (1) \$0 Land Res (1) \$130,400 Imp Non Res (2) \$361,400 Imp Non Res (1) \$0 Imp Non Res (2) \$491,800 Total \$0 Total Res (1) \$0 Total Res (1) \$0 Total Res (1) Land Pricing Soil Act	S Act Method ID Act Method ID Front. S 0 0 S 0 0 Source N/A C
53-05-33-310-056.000-005 General Information Parcel Number 53-05-33-310-056.000-005 53-05-33-310-056.000-005 Local Parcel Number 013-3380-00 Tax ID: Tax ID: Routing Number 33.46 -0091.000	Property Class 429 Other Retail Structures Year: 2017 Location Information Monroe Townshin	Township BLOOMINGTON TOWNSHIP District 005 (Local 005) BLOOMINGTON CITY-BLOOMING School Corp 5740 MONROE COUNTY COMMUNITY Neighborhood 53005076-005 38 BLOOMINGTON CITY - DOWN Section/Plat Location Address (1) 100 E 5th ST Bloomington, IN 47404	Zoning Subdivision Lot Market Model Market Model Alaracteristics Characteristics Characteristics Characteristics Characteristics Tropography Flood Hazard Level Level Level Characteristics Tropography Flood Hazard Level Characteristics Tropography Revealk Mile Stroets or Roads All Streets or Roads All Streets or Roads All Streets or Roads Characteristics Characteristics Characteristics Flood Hazard Level Characteristics Charact

53-05-33-310-056.000-005 OEI INC	AC	100 E 5th ST		429, Other Retail Structures	tructures		38 BLO	38 BLOOMINGTON CITY	N CITY -	2/4
General Information			20.	0		ないの形式	oor/Use C	Floor/Use Computation	(1)	
Occupancy C/I Building Pre. Use	Dining/Lounge			15	Pricing Key	ey	GCM	GCM	GCM	GCM
Description C/I Building C 01 Pre. Framing	ng Wood Joist				Use		DINING U	UTLSTOR	DINING	GENRET
Story Height 2 Pre. Finish	Finished Open			1s Fill Pier Hang)	Use Area		2394 sqft	266 sqft	2261 sqft	399 sqft
Type N/A				Đo	Area Not in Use	in Use	0 sqft	0 sqft	0 sqft	0 sqft
SB	1 0			151	Use %		%0.08	10.0%	85.0%	15.0%
Wall Type 1(306')	1(306') 1(309')			1s En Suis Hann)	Eff Perimeter	eter	306'	306'	306'	306'
2660 saft	26				PAR		12	12	12	12
2394 sqft			(i i	# of Units / AC	:/ AC	5	5	-	5
inkler			2660)		Avg Unit szjdpth	szjdpth	7	7	7	٣
- Blumhina PFS/CI	Ronfing			51 33	Floor		8	В	+	٢
1 JT #	Built Un Tile Metal			1s Fritebrag Hang)	Wall Height	iht	ъ	ზ	10'	10'
	Achhalt		133'2s	133'2s Fr 15'	Base Rate		\$100.91	\$46.33	\$129.25	\$119.83
				3 #5	Frame Adj	ij	(\$9.02)	(\$7.88)	(\$5.82)	(\$8.99)
	GCK Adirietmente			1s FriftsweidHano)	Wall Height Adj	ght Adj	(\$1.67)	\$0.00	(\$3.34)	(\$6.68)
	current T Evi Shoot T Inculatio			(n) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	Dock Floor	or	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ahiep				Roof Deck	×	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
					Adj Base Rate	e Rate	\$90.22	\$38.45	\$120.09	\$104.16
					BPA Factor	tor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Aron Value			53'	Sub Total (rate)	al (rate)	\$90.22	\$38.45	\$120.09	\$104.16
nescubnou	Alea				Interior Finish	inish	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
					Partitions		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
					Heating		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
					A/C		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
			2	20	Sprinkler		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Special Features	Other Plumbing		Building Co	Building Computations	Lighting		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	S0.00
Description Value Description	on Value	Sub-Total (all floors)	\$783,743	Garages	\$0 Unit Finish/SR	sh/SR	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Racquetball/Squash	\$0	Fireplaces	\$0 GCK Adj.		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Theater Balcony	\$0	Sub-Total (building) \$1	\$809,343 S.F. Price	e	\$90.22	\$38,45	\$120.09	\$104.16
		Plumbing	\$25,600	Quality (Grade) \$1	\$809,344 Sub-Total	le				
		Other Plumbing	\$0	Location Multiplier	0.94 Unit Cost	_	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Special Features	\$0	Repl. Cost New \$	\$760,782 Elevated Floor	Floor	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Exterior Features	\$0		Total (Use)		\$215,987	\$10,228	\$271,523	\$41,560
		「「「「「「「」」」」	Summary of Improvements	ovements	SP. 10 March 19					
Description Elicity Height Construction	Construction Grade Ruit	Eff Eff Co I Vear Age nd	Base LCM	Adj Size	RCN Norm	Remain. Value	Abn Ohs	PC Nbhd	Mrkt	Improv Value
1: C/I Building C 01 0% 2	U	1991 26 A	0.94		\$760,782 62%	\$289,100	0% 100%	% 1.00 1.2500		\$361.400
)	-								

Total this page \$361,400

Total all pages \$361,400

114

4 🎆	e													and the second																	and the second		2	le
- 3/4	Value																															のないので	Improv	Value
<u>}</u>	Area																																	
	ue Description															Value																	Å	Dep
E.	on value														Other Plumbing																	ents	ij Size	
ß :	Description														0	Description																Summary of Improvements	Adj	
	ă			•												Ď																ay of Im	FCM	
ST																																Summar	Base	Rate
100 E 5th ST																																	Eff Co	Age nd
																																	r Eff	lt Year
																																	de Year	
	GCM UTLSTOR	401 sqft	0 sqft	15.0%	309'	12	7	7	2	10'	\$60.60	(\$8.09)	(\$2.72)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$49.79	1.00	\$49.79	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$49.79		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,966		uction Gra	Height
	GENRET U	669 sqft	0 sqft	25.0%	309'	12	7	7	2	10'	\$94.21	(\$7.85)	(\$3.34)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$83.02	1.00	\$83.02	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$83.02		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$55,540		ory Constr	ght
mputat	GENOFF	268 sqft	0 sqft	10.0%	309'	12	۲	7	2	10'	\$111.71	(\$6.71)	(\$4.34)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$100.66	1.00	\$100.66	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$100.66		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$26,977		Res Sto	Eligibl Hei
056.000-00 Floor/Use	DINING	1338 sqft	0 sqft	50.0%	309'	12	۲	۲	2	101	\$115.21	(\$5.77)	(\$3.34)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$106.10	1.00	\$106.10	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$106.10		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$141,962			
-310-(Pricing Key Use	Use Area	Area Not in Use	Use %	Eff Perimeter	PAR	# of Units / AC	Avg Unit sz dpth	Floor	Wall Height	Base Rate	Frame Adj	Wali Height Adj	Dock Floor	Roof Deck	Adj Base Rate	BPA Factor	Sub Total (rate)	Interior Finish	Partitions	Heating	A/C	Sprinkler	Lighting	Unit Finish/SR	GCK Adj.	S.F. Price	Sub-Total	Unit Cost	Elevated Floor	Total (Use)		Description	

Total all pages \$361,400

115































Demolition Delay 17-22

1209 S. Pickwick Drive Petitioner: Loren Wood

Contributing

IHSSI #: 105-055-61442

c. 1960



Background: The house located at 1209 South Pickwick Drive was a slightly altered, ranch style house that was constructed c. 1960. Unfortunately, the house was demolished after plans for an addition were determined to be infeasible after severe structural deterioration was found in the existing house. Plans have been implemented to construct a new house on the property.

Request: Retroactive request for full demolition.

Guidelines: According to the demolition delay ordinance, BHPC has 90 days to review the demolition permit application for the time it is forwarded to the Commission for review. Commission staff received the application on December 20, 2017. The BHPC may thus employ demolition delay for 90 days from the date, and may request an additional 30 days if necessary for further investigation. During the demolition delay period, the BHPC must decide whether to apply Local Designation to the property.

Recommendations: Staff recommends denying the retroactive request for full demolition. Based on existing pictures of the structure prior to demolition, it retained enough historic integrity to warrant inclusion in a larger historic district if it were ever proposed for this neighborhood.

*Note: Plans included in the packet are for the original addition work, not for the reconstruction of the house.

















Demolition Delay 17-23

1355 W. Allen Street Petitioner: David Whaley

Contributing

IHSSI #: 105-055-60506

c. 1950



Background: The house located at 1355 West Allen Street is a slightly altered, ranch style building in good condition that was constructed c. 1950

Request: Full demolition.

Guidelines: According to the demolition delay ordinance, BHPC has 90 days to review the demolition permit application from the time it is forwarded to the Commission for review. Commission staff received the application on December 14, 2017. The BHPC may thus employ demolition delay for 90 days from the date, and may request an addition 30 days if necessary for further investigation. During the demolition delay period, the BHPC must decide whether to apply Local Designation to the property.

Recommendations: Staff recommends releasing the demolition delay permit for the demolition of 1355 West Allen Street. Although the house certainly warrants consideration for inclusion in a larger historic district, Staff does not feel the structure warrants stand-alone designation. The house does not represent a significant broader pattern of architectural history in Bloomington and it does not represent significant architectural integrity because portions of the exterior have been altered.

BEC 0 6 25.7	Demolition Ap Monroe County Build 501 N. Morton St Rm 220-B, Ble Phone Number: (812) 349-2580 http://www.co.monroe.in.r	ing Department comington, Indiana 47404) FAX: (812) 349-2967	DECEIVED DEC2=:7 2017 CI7-CE79
		Date:	
Project Address: _	1355 W Allen St Street	Blatw IN City, State	
Township:		Section #:	5
Parcel Number 5.	3-08-05-300-006	000-009 (015-	11650-01)
Subdivision: <u>Ser</u> Applicant Name:	minuary hot# 166 David Whaley	Lot #: Phone	e#: <u>812-360</u> 5430
Property Owner N Address: <u>4791</u> Street	Tame: <u>Deb DAve</u> ESTRd 45 Blgt _N City, State	<u>INVESTMEN</u> <u>IN 47408</u> Phone & Zip	1ts LLC #:812 360 5430
Contractor: (if appl Phone #: <u>Stz</u> ~	icable) DAvid W 360-54,30	hAley	
	Connected to this Structure	Sewer <u>X</u> Water	rOther
WORK BEING PI	ERFORMED:		
information that has been may revoke any permit is ordinances and grant Mo	tifies and agrees as follows: (1) That ap a furnished is conect. (2) If there is any ssued in reliance upon such misrepreser purce County officials the right to enter g potices (4) Is anthorized to make this	misrepresentation in this app nation (3) Agrees to comply v onto the property for the purp	lication, Monroe County

Q

.

202 ι

10/15/03)J/Bldg/Reviews/Forms

143





 Project - DEB DAVE INV-RES DEMO-1355

 Address - 1355 ALLEN ST W

 Parcel - 53-08-05-300-006.000-009

 App # 62654 Twp - PR-05

DULY ENTERED FOR TAXATION

OCT 09 2015

Auditor Monroe County, Indiana

2015014158 QC \$22.00 10/09/2015 03:27:13P 4 PGS Eric Schmitz Monroe County Recorder IN Recorded as Presented

Send tax statements to: 4791 East SR 45 Bloomington, IN 47408

۴.

QUITCLAIM DEED

THIS INDENTURE WITNESSETH, That DEBRA K. WHALEY, of Monroe County, in the State of Indiana, ("Grantor"), QUITCLAIMS AND RELEASES to DEBDAVE INVESTMENTS, LLC ("Grantee"), for and in consideration of the sum of One Dollar (\$1.00) and other valuable consideration, the receipt which is hereby acknowledged, all interest in and to the following described real estate in Monroe County, Indiana, to wit:

TRACT 1

Lot Number Eleven (11) in Happy Hollow Addition, a subdivision of a part of the East half of the Southeast quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section Thirteen (13), Township Nine (9) North, Range One (1) West, in Monroe County, Indiana, as shown by the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book No. 5 page 103, in the office of the Recorder of Monroe County, Indiana.

Parcel No. 53-05-13-203-021.000-004 (012-08010-00) Commonly Known As: 4640 N. Happy Hollow Road, Bloomington, Indiana

TRACT 2

Lot Number 39 in Sweetbriar at Winslow Farm Phase II, as shown on the plat thereof recorded in Plat Cabinet C, Envelope 189, in the office of the Recorder of Monroe County, Indiana.

Parcel No.: 53-08-09-405-052.000-009 (015-70003-39) Commonly Known As: 2214 S. Sweetbriar, Bloomington, Indiana.

TRACT 3

Part of the West half of the Southwest quarter of Section 14, Township 9 North, Range 1 West, Monroe County, Indiana, and more particularly described as follows: Beginning at

a 5/8 inch rebar with cap set North 1,157.95 feet from a stone found marking the Southwest corner of said section; thence continuing North 214.82 feet to a PK nail set in the centerline of Bethel Lane; thence along said centerline the following bearings and distances: North Eighty-nine (89) degrees, Eight (08) minutes, Twenty-six (26) seconds East 165.26 feet; thence North Eighty-eight (88) degrees, Twenty-nine (29) minutes, Twenty-seven (27) seconds East 36.17 feet to a PK nail set; thence South 218.25 feet to a 5/8 inch rebar with cap set; thence West 201.40 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 1.00 acres, more or less.

Parcel No.: 53-05-14-300-004.000-004 (012-17320-00) Commonly Known As: 3000 E Bethel Lane, Bloomington, Indiana.

TRACT 4

A part of Seminary Lot Number One Hundred Sixty-six (166) in the Southwest quarter of Section Five (5), Township Eight (8) North, Range One (1) West, in Monroe County, Indiana, bounded and described as follows, to-wit: Beginning at the Northeast corner of said Seminary Lot #166, said corner being the Northwest corner of a tract of land described as an exception in a deed from William J. and Patricia Nasser and Edward and Rea Krulowitch to the First Merchants National Bank of Michigan City, recorded January 20, 1964 in Deed Record 156, page 106, in the Office of the Recorder of Monroe County, Indiana; thence from said point of beginning and with the East line of Seminary Lot #166 and the West line of the above mentioned exception and running South Five (05) degrees Twenty-eight (28) minutes East for Two Hundred Twenty-two and Sixty-eight Hundredth (222.68) feet; thence leaving the East line of Seminary Lot #166 and running West for One Hundred Nine and Thirty Hundredths (109.30) feet; thence North One (01) degree Forty-seven (47) minutes Thirty (30) seconds West for Two Hundred Twenty-one and Seventy-eight Hundredths (221.78) feet and to the North line of said Seminary Lot #166; thence running East for Ninety-five and Three Hundredths (95.03) feet and to the place of beginning. Containing Fifty-two Hundredth (0.52) of an acre, more or less.

Parcel No.: 53-08-05-300-006.000-009 (015-11650-01) Commonly Known As: 1355 W. Allen Street, Bloomington, Indiana

TRACT 5

A PART OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 9, TOWNSHIP 8 NORTH, RANGE 2 WEST, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, BOUNDED AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT A POINT IN THE CENTERLINE OF GARRISON CHAPEL ROAD, SAID POINT OF BEGINNING BEING 1,441.72 FEET SOUTH AND 1,350.51 FEET EAST OF THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 9; THENCE FROM SAID POINT OF BEGINNING AND WITH THE CENTERLINE OF SAID ROAD AND RUNNING SOUTH 00 DEGREES 42 MINUTES 20 SECONDS EAST FOR 240.55; THENCE LEAVING THE CENTERLINE OF SAID ROAD AND PARALLEL WITH THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION 9 AND RUNNING SOUTH 89 DEGREES 11 MINUTES 30 SECONDS WEST FOR 155.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 00 DEGREES 57 MINUTES 40 SECONDS EAST FOR 240.55 FEET; THENCE NORTH 89 DEGREES 11 MINUTES 30 SECONDS EAST FOR 148.00 FEET AND TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, CONTAINING 0.84 OF AN ACRE, MORE OR LESS.

Parcel No.: 53-09-09-200-045.000-015 (016-29740-01) Commonly Known As: 1820 S. Garrison Chapel Road, Bloomington, Indiana.

There was no search of the public records done in conjunction with this deed.

Dated this 2 day of October, 2015.

STATE OF INDIANA))'SS: COUNTY OF MONROE)

Before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared Grantor, DEBRA K. WHALEY, and acknowledged the execution of the foregoing *Quitclaim Deed* to be its free and voluntary act and deed for the uses and purposes therein expressed, and who, having been duly sworn, stated that any representations therein contained are true.

Witness my hand and Notarial Seal this <u>2</u> day of October, 2015.

My Commission expires:

03/29/2017.

Anita J. Hert, Notary Public

A resident of GREENE County.

Faffirm under the penalties for perjury, that I have taken reasonable care to redact each Social Security Number on this document, unless required by law. Eric P. Slotegraaf

> This Instrument Prepared By: Eric P. Slotegraaf, Attorney at Law SLOTEGRAAF LEGAL, P.C. 200 East 3rd Street Bloomington, IN 47401 Telephone: (812) 332-6000