

May 11, 2018 1:30 – 3:00 p.m. Council Chambers (#115)

- I. Call to Order
- II. Approval of the Minutes* a. April 13, 2018
- III. Communications from the Chair
- IV. Reports from Officers and/or Committees
 - a. Citizens Advisory Committee
 - b. Technical Advisory Committee
- V. Reports from the MPO Staff
 - a. I-69 Update
 - b. Quarterly Tracking Reports FY 2018 Third Quarter
- VI. Old Business
- VII. New Business
 - a. FY 2018-2020 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments*
 - (1) Monroe County Vernal Pike Connector 1702957
 - (2) **INDOT** Bridge Deck Overlay SR 37 4.05 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR and Clear Creek, Southbound Lane1702627
 - (3) **INDOT** Bridge Deck Overlay SR 37 4.05 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR and Clear Creek, Northbound Lane1800730
 - (4) **INDOT** Concrete pavement restoration SR 37 to 0.38 mile E of I-69 (end of concrete) 1800968
 - (5) **INDOT** Concrete pavement restoration SR 46 from 0.44 miles W of I-69 to I-69 1800971
 - (6) **INDOT** HMA Overlay, preventative maintenance SR 446, 7.83 miles N of SR 58 (Chapel Hill road) to 0.98 miles S of SR 46 (E Moore's Pike) 1801087
 - (7) **INDOT** Bridge thin deck overlay SR 37 3.65 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR, Northbound Lane 1801171
 - (8) **INDOT** Bridge thin deck overlay SR 37 3.65 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR, Southbound Lane 1801172
 - b. Draft FY 2019 2020 Unified Planning Work Program
 - c. Complete Streets Advisory Group Nominations
- II. Communications from Committee Members (non-agenda items)
 - a. Topic Suggestions for Future Agendas
 - b. Other Non-Agenda Items
- III. Upcoming Meetings
 - a. Technical Advisory Committee May 23, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. (McCloskey Room)
 - b. Citizens Advisory Committee May 23, 2018 at 6:30 p.m. (McCloskey Room)
 - c. Policy Committee June 8, 2018 at 1:30 p.m. (Council Chambers)

Adjournment

*Action Requested / Public comment prior to vote (limited to five minutes per speaker). Auxiliary aids for people with disabilities are available upon request with adequate notice. Please call <u>812-349-</u> <u>3429</u> or e-mail <u>human.rights@bloomington.in.gov</u>.



Policy Committee minutes are transcribed in a summarized outline manner. Audio recordings are on file with the City of Bloomington Planning & Transportation Department.

<u>Policy Committee in Attendance:</u> Sarah Ryterband, Isabel Piedmont-Smith, Brad Wisler, Lisa Ridge, Geoff McKim, Kevin Tolloty, Kent McDaniel, Tony McClellan, Jason Banach, Adam Wason, Margarate Clements

Staff: Anna Dragovich, Scott Robinson

Others:

- I. Call to Order
- II. Approval of the Minutes*
 - a. March 9, 2018

.**Ryterband moved for approval of the March 2018 minutes. McKim seconded the motion. The motion was approved with correction to Isabel Piedmont-Smith's name by voice vote of 10:0.

- III. Communications from the Chair
- IV. Reports from Officers and/or Committees
 - Citizens Advisory Committee Ryterband said they have postponed the approval of the work program pending information the committee hopes to get. This will be looked at again at the upcoming meeting.
 - Technical Advisory Committee Andrew Cibor, of TAC, said TAC recommended approval of Bloomington Transit studies, but deferred action for TIP amendments and future potential things related to the rural transit route study and the southwest Monroe County corridor study.
- V. Reports from the MPO Staff
 - a. Scott Robinson, interim Assistant Director of Planning & Transportation, introduced Anna Dragovich, the new MPO Transportation Planner
 - b. I-69 Update: Dragovich went through some highlights regarding I-69. Tapp Rd. is still on track to be finished in May. Walnut St. is currently closed but is on schedule to open the weekend of April 20th.
 - c. FY 2018 2021 Transportation Improvement Program Administrative Amendment: Dragovich explained the proposed amendment, saying that if funding is being changed to a project that is less than 20%, it can go through an administrative approval process. This process includes sending an email out to Policy Committee about the amendments with 3 days to reject or approve the amendments. This process was used for the Tapp & Rockport Rd. projects, the Pedestrian Safety and Accessibility Signalized Intersections project, and the Sare Rd. Multi-Use project.
- VI. Old Business
 - a. None.

VII. New Business

a. Draft FY 2019 – 2020 Unified Planning Work Program: Dragovich explained what Work Programs consist of and how often they are created and amended. In FY 2019, Staff is budgeting \$226,149 federal dollars in PL money, which is funding that can only be used for planning purposes. The same amount will be budgeted for this for 2020 because it is unclear how much will be received for 2020. At the end of each fiscal year, there is a little bit of money that is unspent that goes into a pool to be budgeted back for following years. The local match is \$66,537. The total funding amount is \$665,373. The emphasis areas are Title XI Program management, Ladders of Opportunity program, Performance Based Planning Measures and Targets, Programming of Highway Safety and Improvement Program funds. Dragovich then reviewed the various drafted elements of the budget. The finalized document will be ready in June and Staff is looking for input.

Piedmont-Smith asked for clarification on \$665,000 vs. \$664,000 for budgeted over the two years.

Dragovich explained that there was some money they were still looking for a place for in the Work Program.

Piedmont-Smith asked why the budget for the town of Ellettsville contract service agreements is so high.

Robinson said in the past, the MPO has offered the opportunity for traffic counting by the City, the County, and the town of Ellettsville to be reimbursed. Ellettsville is just requesting more funds for their traffic counts. The town of Ellettsville may not have the same infrastructure as the county and the City of Bloomington.

Piedmont-Smith asked if this was the same plan as the last one.

Dragovich clarified that the Planning Emphasis Areas (PEAs) have gone unchanged and that this is normal.

Piedmont-Smith asked if there were significant changes in comparison to the last plan.

Robinson explained that from year-to-year there will not be many changes in the work program. The only time you would see big changes in this plan would be when the Metropolitan Transportation Plan is updated. Funding allocations and areas of focus are generally consistent.

- b. FY 2017 2018 Unified Planning Work Program Amendment*
 (1) Bloomington Transit Route Optimization Study
 - (2) Bloomington Transit Grimes Lane Maintenance Assessment Study

Lew May, Bloomington Public Transit, explained that he was at the March meeting and briefly explained the two planning studied they're proposing.

Lisa Ridge asked Staff how much funds were available for these studies.

Dragovich estimated \$496,000.

Ridge asked when people were notified that this money was available for studies.

Robinson answered it was announced in February and it was incorporated into Work Program budgets with placeholders.

Clements asked what these excess funds can be used for besides a public transportation planning studies.

Robinson said that this money needs to be spent in ways that are eligible according to state and federal standards and have to be within the PEAs.

Ryterband said she is pleased Bloomington Transit is doing this study because the new hospital is going to make a big impact on transportation as well as the state facility on Curry Pike. She also commented that this will help the underserved in the community.

There was clarification from Robinson that the Bloomington Transit Program's studies could be voted on today and for the two others proposed, Staff is seeking a recommendation. Because these are reimbursement funds, these are time sensitive.

Cibor, from TAC, said TAC discussed the Bloomington Transit Study and it was recommended for approval. There was discussion about the other two studies and they were presented for the 2019-2020 Work Program, not the current one, which is why they were deferred.

(3) Rural Transit Route Optimization Study: Chris Meyers, Area 10 Agency on Aging, explained they have never conducted a route optimization study. There are limited resources available to rural transit. There are 5 demand response routes and 3 point deviation routes. She explained the different population the rural transit served and that they are asking for \$50,000 federal money as well as a \$10,000 local match.

McDaniel asked if the county has already approved the local match.

Meyers responded yes.

Ryterband asked if there is any way this study could be aligned with the Bloomington Transit Route Optimization Study.

Meyers said that they serve different populations and it needs to be addressed separately.

McKim reiterated that rural transit plays a different role than the City transit and its importance. He explained this would be useful to rural transit itself, but it would also be strategically useful for advocating for more funding for rural transit at the General Assembly. He asked for the support of his colleagues for this study.

Clements asked how Bloomington Transit can support rural transit and if the funds asked for will be sufficient for what the study wants to accomplish.

Meyers said that yes, the proposed amount is on-par with similar studies and seems like a costeffective amount to achieve the desired results. (4) Southwest Monroe County Corridor Study: Dragovich explained that Monroe County has proposed a study to evaluate existing travel conditions and seek recommended actions to improve transportation through southwestern MPO. The study focus would be surrounding intersection State Route 45 and Curry Pike/Leonard Springs and Liberty Drive, including the intersections of State Route 45 & 48. It would also assess the feasibility of Tapp Rd. to Airport Rd as well as Kirby Rd. realignment to State Route 45. Additionally the area of Leonard Springs/Fullerton Pike intersection and Fullerton Pike to I-69 would be considered. The budget proposal maximum of this study would be \$50,000 federal, a \$10,000 local match.

Ridge commented that the West side is very congested. It is a good time for the West side to be looked at in a broader view. There will be an increase in traffic even after the current construction is over. She urged everyone to support this study.

McKim added that the State methadone clinic will also be on the West side on Liberty Dr. and 2^{nd} St.. He voiced his support to look at all the new traffic patterns and congestion on the West side.

Ryterband asked if the funding is adequate for the study.

Ridge answered yes.

Ryterband asked how this fits in with the two mile fringe area of what has been developed and what will be developed. She asked if these will be looked at in conjunction.

Ridge said yes, this needs to be considered. Having an interstate built will change everything.

*McDaniel motioned for approval of all 4 amendments of studies to the Work Program. Ryterband seconded. Approved by voice vote of 11:0.

Piedmont-Smith asked if the committee will get a report of the studies.

Dragovich answered yes and that Staff hopes to give more frequent updates regarding these studies.

Ryterband noted there will be a public participation portion to these studies. She asked Ridge and Wason to address the public unrest about construction and road closures on the south side of the MPO.

Ridge said they have been continuously meeting with the Monroe County Commissioners, the Mayor, City of Bloomington staff, and County staff. They are working diligently to coordinate these projects and I-69 played a role in this. Relief is coming in May, with some roads opening up. She explained the funding of the bridge project on Country Club Rd and how that has played a role in decisions made. She explained the incentive pay allocated to certain projects.

Adam Wason, Public Works, said that things are moving along and that he appreciates the relationship with INDOT. They are doing everything in their power to limit the congestion and overlaps from these projects across the County, City, and state.

Ridge said it was anticipated that Walnut and Rhohrer intersection should be done by end-of-day Tuesday. At Walnut St. Pike and Rhohrer Rd., there will not be a full closure of the intersection

in the future, only restrictions. There will be weekly updates for these projects and social media posts to keep the public updated.

Barge added that this year, the City and County should collaborate on a site or an app for people to communicate travel and road issues to one place.

Clements said that its frustrating as a citizen to see money going towards these studies and yet being in the situation with all of the overlapping projects now.

VIII. Communications from Committee Members (non-agenda items)

- a. Topic Suggestions for Future Agendas
- IX. Upcoming Meetings
 - a. Technical Advisory Committee April 25, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. (McCloskey Room)
 - b. Citizens Advisory Committee April 25, 2018 at 6:30 p.m. (McCloskey Room)
 - c. Policy Committee May 11, 2018 at 1:30 p.m. (Council Chambers)

Adjournment

*Action Requested / Public comment prior to vote (limited to five minutes per speaker).

Auxiliary aids for people with disabilities are available upon request with adequate notice. Please call <u>812-349-3429</u> or *e-mail <u>human.rights@bloomington.in.gov</u>*.

Х.



MEMORANDUM

To: BMCMPO Policy Committee

From: Patrick Martin Senior Transportation Planner

Date: May 4, 2018

Re: I-69, Section 5 Update – INDOT/Local Agency Coordination Meeting Summary

The two-page summary sheet dated May 1, 2018, attached to this memorandum and weekly public news releases distributed to the BMCMPO Citizens Advisory Committee highlight the current and rapidly evolving construction work as the I-69 corridor maintains a substantial completion (90% complete) goal of August 31, 2018.

- Fullerton Pike Interchange work is nearly complete.
- Tapp Road The interchange will open by May 30th.
- 2nd Street/Bloomfield Rd. Lane-restricted traffic will switch to the south side of the bridge on May 7th. Construction on the MSE walls will continue. Lane restrictions will cease by July 4th.
- 3rd Street Lane-restricted traffic will switch to the south side of the bridge on May 15th. Construction on the MSE walls will continue until August 2018. Signal replacement shall occur in August 2018.
- Walnut Street The interchange reopened in mid-April after complete pavement removal and reconstruction.
- Mainline corridor sound wall installation shall achieve completion this week.
- Sample Road Construction on the east side approaches/ramps will reach completion by mid-May.
- Chambers, Little Indian, Jordan bridge deck work remains ongoing. Chambers Pike will open by July 4th.
- Liberty Church interchange paving begins in mid-May.

Please contact us at your earliest convenience if you have any questions or require any additional information.

PPM/pm

LOCAL AGENCY COORDINATION MEETING



MEETING DESCRIPTION:INDOT LOCAL AGENCY COORDINATION MEETINGMEETING DATE & TIME:May 1, 2018; (Minutes from April 17, 2018)MEETING LOCATION:6550 Connaught Rd Room #1, Bloomington, IN

ATTENDEES:

NAME	ORGANIZATION	PRESENT	NAME	ORGANIZATION	PRESENT
			Sandra Flum	INDOT	
Anna Dragovich	City of Bloomington		James Culbertson	INDOT	
Pat Martin	City of Bloomington		Pete Jerrell	Walsh	
Adam Wasson	City of Bloomington		Jason Rhoades	HNTB	
Ben Ayers	Monroe County				
Lisa Ridge	Monroe County				
Paul Satterly	Monroe County				

MEETING AGENDA:

ITEM	ТОРІС	OWNER	STATUS
1 - PROJEC	T SCHEDULE	aller generation	
1.1	Overall Project Schedule Completion - August 2018	Jerrell	0
	Zone #1 – Fullerton Pike, Tapp Rd, 2 nd St, 3 rd St, Vernal Pike, Mainline		
	Notes: <u>Fullerton</u> majority is completed, checking condition of the former through streets and complete sidewalk on west side (no change)		
	Tapp deck poured Tuesday 10th, ramp work on west side have two more weeks of		
3	work before paving; open by June		
	2 nd MSE wall in SE quadrant complete and ready to backfill, curbs/sidewalks start		•
1.2	week of 23 rd , about 5/4 for traffic switch; working on NW ramp to gain time	Jerrell	0
	3 rd MSE walls on south side are up, paving week of 23rd, about two until traffic		
	switch		
-	Vernal patching complete, path work still too wet, planning for next week		
	Mainline soundwall complete in 2 weeks, working on outside drainage work, plan		
	surface paving CSX to south around 5/7		
	Zone #2 – Walnut, Sample, Mainline		
	Notes: <u>Prow/Acuff</u> opened last week, sidewalks not done yet		
1.3	Walnut plan to open 4/19 end of day, coordinate with county project Sample working east side ramps and approaches for next two weeks	Jerrell	0
	Mainline paving from Kinser to north up to Sample in two weeks, median drainage	× .	
	this week, Arlington to Kinser drainage is complete		
	Zone #3 – Chambers, Little Indian, Jordan, Liberty Church, Mainline		
	Notes: Chambers deck pour in three weeks, barrier wall next week		
	Little Indian deck work ongoing		
1.4	Jordan deck work ongoing	Jerrell	0
	Liberty Church interchange paving in two weeks		
	Mainline Rock excavation in NB for another three weeks, an additional zone 3		
	paving crew comes in early May, scheduled to open June		

LOCAL AGENCY COORDINATION MEETING



2 – MOT	DISCUSSIONS		
2.1	Signal at 3 rd St. – INDOT will replace signal per plan and will continue to maintain as necessary. Signal has antiquated equipment that needs to be repaired weekly. Notes: Remains on project radar	Bunselmeier	0
22	SE Wayport Site Distance – DLZ reviewing site distance issue Notes: County has approved lowering speed limit to 30 mph from gas station to roundabout	Bunselmeier	° 0
23	SE Wayport Curb & Gutter – As-built information provided to DLZ and FDC has been created. DLZ working on re-design. Construction will be performed once new plans are approved.	Jerrell	0
2.7	Sharon Drive Path work		
ITEM	ΤΟΡΙΟ	OWNER	STATU
-			JIMIO
3 - MISC	ILLANEOUS		SIATO
3 - MISC 3.1	Transfer Agreements – Status	Flum	0
		Flum Jerrell	
3.1	Transfer Agreements – Status Edge of Pavement & GIS Data for City of Bloomington Notes: survey received, will be sent to Laura; other design priorities has this on		0
3.1	Transfer Agreements – Status Edge of Pavement & GIS Data for City of Bloomington Notes: survey received, will be sent to Laura; other design priorities has this on hold currently Kinser Permanent Closure Signs – James asked if they could be pulled. Monroe County had no issue. INDOT will remove signs, east side has been pulled, west still	Jerrell	0

Ja

A



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Busy week for construction and traffic on I-69 Section 5

Motorists urged to use alternate routes to ensure timely travel

BLOOMINGTON, Ind. (May 2, 2018) – Work continues at a brisk pace throughout the I-69 Section 5 construction zone, but this week there will be an added element: a significant increase in traffic due to Indiana University commencement and end of semester student travel.

INDOT has one piece of advice for visitors coming into the Bloomington area and students leaving. If you want to control your travel times and ensure timely arrivals and departures, stay off of SR 37 and avoid the construction zone as much as possible.

There are three alternatives available for travel between Bloomington and Indianapolis:

- In terms of avoiding potential congestion, the most effective route from Bloomington to the Indianapolis International Airport is SR 46 west to Spencer, then SR 67 north to Ameriplex Parkway, which is just south of I-465. Ameriplex Parkway is clearly marked and is a direct entrance to the airport.
- The bridge work that closed SR 135 south of Morgantown last year is finished, so it is another alternative available to motorists. From Bloomington take SR 46 east to Nashville, then SR 135 north to Indianapolis. Or, take SR 135 north to Morgantown and SR 252 west to reconnect with SR 37 north in Martinsville.
- In addition, the route of SR 46 east to Columbus and I-65 north to Indianapolis is also available. Bridge work just west of Columbus, where signals allow one lane of traffic to cross at a time, could add some travel time to this alternate route.

As work has progressed in the northern end of the construction zone, a number of access points east of SR 37 that were open last year have been closed to traffic, most notably Pine Blvd. and Old SR 37/Turkey Track east. Motorists who choose to use county roads attempting to avoid construction (not recommended) are urged to follow roadway signage and not rely on mapping apps or memory. Access back onto the highway from the county roads is very limited.

Work continues on the 2nd Street and 3rd Street bridges, so cross town movements will be congested, especially during morning and afternoon peak travel periods. Motorists are encouraged to use other east-west connections, such as Fullerton Pike, Vernal Pike and SR 46 whenever possible. If using the 2nd and 3rd Street bridges, please allow additional travel time to ensure timely arrivals.



As the volume of construction activity and traffic increases this week, motorists are urged to reduce their speed, pay attention to changing traffic conditions, be patient and drive with no distractions. Planning ahead and allowing additional travel time will ensure all motorists, whether guests, students or local residents, reach their destinations in a timely fashion.

###

Contact: Andy Dietrick I-69 Section 5 (812) 727-5796 adietrick@indot.in.gov



MEMORANDUM

To:	BMCMPO Policy Committee
From:	Patrick Martin Senior Transportation Planner
Date:	May 4, 2018
Re:	Quarterly Project Tracking – 3rd Quarter, Fiscal Year 2018

The MPO conducted its latest Quarterly Project Tracking meeting for programmed Transportation Improvement program projects on April 18, 2018 (see attachment). All projects continue moving within their scheduled timelines. Several projects of significant note listed below.

Key Changes/Milestones:

- Fullerton Pike Phase II & Gordon Pike Bridge Construction inspection negotiations are underway. Work on a utility reimbursement agreement is additionally underway. The project has a scheduled contract letting date of July 11, 2018.
- Tapp Road & Rockport Road Intersection Improvement The City of Bloomington is waiting for a pre-construction meeting and a formal Notice to Proceed after a successful contract award.

The next Quarterly Project Tracking meeting is July 2018.

Please contact us at your earliest convenience if you have any questions or require any additional information.

PPM/pm

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

FY 2018 – Third Quarter

Project Name and DES#: Fullerton Pike Phase 2 1500523

ERC Name and Phone#: Lisa Ridge, Public Works Director, 812.349.2555

Local Public Agency: Monroe County

District: Seymour

PROJECT COST	PREVIOUS	NEW	FEDERAL/LOCAL SPLIT	OF NEW TOTALS
FROJECT COST	TOTALS	TOTALS	APPROVED FEDERAL	LOCAL MATCH
a. preliminary engineering	\$641,835	\$641,835		\$641,835
b. right of way	\$561,225	\$652,434		\$652,434
TOTAL (PE & RW, lines a+b)	\$1,203,060	\$1,294,269		\$1,294,269
c. railroad				
d. construction (including inflation)*	\$2,582,634	\$2,924,552	\$2,339,642	\$584,910
Inflation rate used:				
e. credits (if applicable)				
f. construction engineering	\$322,825	\$365,569	\$282,636	\$82,933
g. contingency				
TOTAL (all construction, lines c-f)	\$2,905,459	\$3,290,121	\$2,622,278	\$667,843
Total All Phases	\$4,108,519	\$4,584,390	\$2,622,278	\$1,962,112
Federal Fund % 57				
Local Funding % 43				

Schedule							
	Estimated Start Date or Scheduled Start Date	Start Date or Scheduled Start Date Status	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Date	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Status	Proposed Change to Completion Date		
Project Authorized	6/25/08	Complete	6/14/13	Complete			
Final Environmental Document Approval	8/22/08	Complete	3/30/15	Complete			
Authorize Funds for PE	6/25/15	Complete	6/26/15	Complete			
Start Plan Development	6/26/15	Complete	6/26/15	Complete			
Prelim. Field Check	12/15/15	Complete	3/21/16	Complete			
Hearing Certification	1/29/15	Complete	1/29/15	Complete			
R/W Clear	2/16/18	In Process	2/16/18	Complete	2/16/18		
Stage 3 Final Plans	2/16/18	In Process	2/16/18	Complete	2/9/18		
Final Tracings			4/2/18	Complete	4/2/18		
Railroad Coordination	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Ready for Contracts	5/2/18		5/2/18	In Process			
Letting	7/11/18		7/11/18				
Contract Award	9/1/18		9/1/18				

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

al Audit	0/1/20		0/1/20		
Permits					
Permit Type	Permits Required? (Y/N)	Estimated Completion Date	Date Applied	Date Approved	Date Expires
401	Y	3/30/18	Dec 8, 2017	Mar 29, 2018	Mar 29, 2020
404	Y	~4/16/18	Dec 8, 2017	~Apr 2018	~Apr 2020
DNR	Y	12/31/17	Oct 4, 2017	Oct 31, 2017	Oct 31, 2019
Rule5	Y	~4/16/18	Mar 9, 2018	~Apr 2018	~Apr 2020
FAA	N	-	-	-	-
Flood Protection	N	-	-	-	-

Is this project compliant with the MPO Complete Streets Policy?

- ⊠ Yes □ No
- □ Not Applicable

<u>Other Comments: (</u>*ie:* an update which summarizes any issues identified, significant accomplishments since the initial project description submittal or since the last quarterly tracking meeting as well as any new details on project implementation and the preferred design solutions as they pertain to fulfilling the project parameters detailed by the project description.)

- ROW, 11 Parcels, 1 Relocation

- o Buying, 11 Parcels Cleared
- Relocation I parcel vacated, cleared
- o ROW Clear Certification Issued 2/16/2018
- NEPA, Additional Information
 - o AI Approved 3/20/2018
- Utility Coordination
 - Utility Coord Certification Submitted 3/30/2018
- Stage 3 Plans Submission Made 2/9/2018
- Final Tracings Submission Made 4/2/2018
- 401 Permit Approved 3/29/2018, 404 Permit Anticipated This Week
- Rule 5 NOI Submitted 3/9/2018, NOS Anticipated Next Week

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

FY 2018 - Third Quarter

Project Name and DES#: Fullerton Pike Phase 2 1600419 Gordon Pike Bridge

ERC Name and Phone#: Lisa Ridge, Public Works Director, 812.349.2555

Local Public Agency:

Monroe County

District: Seymour

PROJECT COST	PREVIOUS	NEW	FEDERAL/LOCAL SPLIT OF NEW TOTALS		
PROJECT COST	TOTALS	TOTALS	APPROVED FEDERAL	LOCAL MATCH	
a. preliminary engineering					
b. right of way					
TOTAL (PE & RW, lines a+b)					
c. railroad					
d. construction (including inflation)*	\$2,912,933	\$2,245,648	\$1,796,518	\$449,130	
Inflation rate used:					
e. credits (if applicable)					
f. construction engineering	\$364,100	\$280,706	\$224,565	\$56,141	
g. contingency					
TOTAL (all construction, lines c-f)	\$3,277,033	\$2,526,706	\$2,021,083	\$505,271	
Total All Phases	\$3,277,033	\$2,526,706	\$2,021,083	\$505,271	
Federal Fund % 80					
Local Funding % 20					

Schedule							
	Estimated Start Date or Scheduled Start Date	Start Date or Scheduled Start Date Status	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Date	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Status	Proposed Change to Completion Date		
Project Authorized	6/25/08	Complete	6/14/13	Complete			
Final Environmental Document Approval	8/22/08	Complete	3/30/15	Complete			
Authorize Funds for PE	6/25/15	Complete	6/26/15	Complete			
Start Plan Development	6/26/15	Complete	6/26/15	Complete			
Prelim. Field Check	12/15/15	Complete	3/21/16	Complete			
Hearing Certification	1/29/15	Complete	1/29/15	Complete			
R/W Clear	2/16/18	In Process	2/16/18	Complete	2/16/18		
Stage 3 Final Plans	2/16/18	In Process	2/16/18	Complete	2/9/18		
Final Tracings			4/2/18	Complete	4/2/18		
Railroad Coordination	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Ready for Contracts	5/2/18		5/2/18	In Process			
Letting	7/11/18		7/11/18				
Contract Award	9/1/18		9/1/18				

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

al Audit	0/1/20		0/1/20		
Permits					
Permit Type	Permits Required? (Y/N)	Estimated Completion Date	Date Applied	Date Approved	Date Expires
401	Y	3/30/18	Dec 8, 2017	Mar 29, 2018	Mar 29, 2020
404	Y	~4/16/18	Dec 8, 2017	~Apr 2018	~Apr 2020
DNR	Y	12/31/17	Oct 4, 2017	Oct 31, 2017	Oct 31, 2019
Rule5	Y	~4/16/18	Mar 9, 2018	~Apr 2018	~Apr 2020
FAA	N	-	-	-	-
Flood Protection	N	-	-	-	-

Is this project compliant with the MPO Complete Streets Policy?

- ⊠ Yes □ No
- □ Not Applicable

<u>Other Comments: (</u>*ie:* an update which summarizes any issues identified, significant accomplishments since the initial project description submittal or since the last quarterly tracking meeting as well as any new details on project implementation and the preferred design solutions as they pertain to fulfilling the project parameters detailed by the project description.)

- ROW, 11 Parcels, 1 Relocation

- o Buying, 11 Parcels Cleared
- Relocation I parcel vacated, cleared
- o ROW Clear Certification Issued 2/16/2018
- NEPA, Additional Information
 - o AI Approved 3/20/2018
- Utility Coordination
 - o Utility Coord Certification Submitted 3/30/2018
- Stage 3 Plans Submission Made 2/9/2018
- Final Tracings Submission Made 4/2/2018
- 401 Permit Approved 3/29/2018, 404 Permit Anticipated This Week
- Rule 5 NOI Submitted 3/9/2018, NOS Anticipated Next Week

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

FY 2018 Third Quarter

Project Name and DES#: Curry Pike/Woodyard Road and Smith Pike Intersection Improvement Des #1700733

ERC Name and Phone#: Lisa Ridge, 812.349.2555

Local Public Agency: Monroe County

District: Seymour

PROJECT COST	PREVIOUS	NEW	FEDERAL/LOCAL SPLIT	OF NEW TOTALS
PROJECT COST	TOTALS	TOTALS	APPROVED FEDERAL	LOCAL MATCH
a. preliminary engineering	200,000	323,383		323,383
b. right of way	200,000	200,000		200,000
TOTAL (PE & RW, lines a+b)	400,000	523,383		523,383
c. railroad				
d. construction (including inflation)*	1,800,000	1,800,000	1,620,000	180,000
Inflation rate used:				
e. credits (if applicable)				
f. construction engineering	150,000	150,000	135,000	15,000
g. contingency				
TOTAL (all construction, lines c-f)		1,950,000	1,755,000	195,000
Total All Phases		2,473,383	1,755,000	718,383
Federal Fund % 75%				
Local Funding % 25%				

Schedule							
	Estimated Start Date or Scheduled Start Date	Start Date or Scheduled Start Date Status	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Date	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Status	Proposed Change to Completion Date		
Project Authorized	5/9/2017		5/9/2017				
Final Environmental Document Approval	9/6/2017		10/21/2017				
Authorize Funds for PE	NA		NA				
Start Plan Development	5/9/2017		9/6/2017				
Prelim. Field Check	2/3/2018		2/27/2018				
Hearing Certification	7/1/2018		7/1/2018				
R/W Clear	10/21/2017		7/23/2018				
Stage 3 Final Plans	2/27/2018		10/25/2018				
Final Tracings	9/30/2020		9/30/2020				
Railroad Coordination	NA	NA	NA				
Ready for Contracts	10/25/2018		9/2/2020				
Letting	9/02/2020		11/11/2020				
Contract Award	11/11/2020		12/11/2020				

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

nal Audit	12/31/2022		12/30/2024		
Permits					
Permit Type	Permits Required? (Y/N)	Estimated Completion Date	Date Applied	Date Approved	Date Expires
401	No				
404	No				
DNR	No				
Rule5	Yes				
FAA	No				
Flood Protection	No				

Is this project compliant with the MPO Complete Streets Policy?

⊠ Yes □ No

□ Not Applicable

<u>Other Comments: (</u>*ie:* an update which summarizes any issues identified, significant accomplishments since the initial project description submittal or since the last quarterly tracking meeting as well as any new details on project implementation and the preferred design solutions as they pertain to fulfilling the project parameters detailed by the project description.)

Scope/fee justification for preliminary engineering was approved by County Legal Department on 4/16/2018 and will be presented to the RDC Board on 4/18/2018.

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

FY 2018 – Third Quarter

Project Name and DES#: Tapp Road & Rockport Road Intersection Improvement 0901730

ERC Name and Phone#: Andrew Cibor, Transportation and Traffic Engineer, 812.349.3423

PROJECT COST	PREVIOUS	NEW	FEDERAL/LOCAL SPLIT OF NEW TOTALS				
FROJECT COST	TOTALS	TOTALS	APPROVED FEDERAL	LOCAL MATCH			
a. preliminary engineering	540,505.00	540,505.00	421,200.00	119,305.00			
b. right of way	248,000.00	248,000.00	198,400.00	49,600.00			
TOTAL (PE & RW, lines a+b)	788,505.00	788,505.00	619,600.00	168,905.00			
c. railroad							
d. construction (including inflation)*	3,414,503.00	2,539,951.70	1,917,521.36	622,430.34			
Inflation rate used:							
e. credits (if applicable)							
f. construction engineering	512,175.00	512,175.00	352,315.00	159,860.00			
g. contingency							
TOTAL (all construction, lines c-f)	3,926,678.00	3,052,126.70	2,269,836.36	782,290.34			
Total All Phases	4,715,183.00	3,840,631.70	2,889,436.36	951,195.34			
Federal Fund % 75%							
Local Funding % 25%							

Schedule						
	Estimated Start Date or Scheduled Start Date	Start Date or Scheduled Start Date Status	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Date	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Status	Proposed Change to Completion Date	
Project Authorized	05/31/2009	Completed				
Final Environmental Document Approval	5/10/2010	Completed	10/12/2016	100%		
Authorize Funds for PE	12/17/2009	Completed				
Start Plan Development	5/10/2010	Completed	05/01/2017	100%		
Prelim. Field Check	04/06/2015	Completed	08/17/2015	100%		
Hearing Certification	01/25/2016	Completed	09/26/2016	100%		
R/W Clear	01/29/2016	Completed	10/13/2017	100%		
Stage 3 Final Plans	08/17/2015	Completed	10/13/2017	100%		
Final Tracings	11/13/2017	Completed	11/27/2017	100 %		
Railroad Coordination	07/05/16	Completed	10/13/2017	100 %		
Ready for Contracts	12/20/2017	Completed	12/20/2017	100 %		
Letting	03/14/2018	Completed	03/14/2018	100 %		
Contract Award	06/07/2018		06/07/2018			

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

nal Audit	06/07/2010		6/07/2010		
Permits					
Permit Type	Permits Required? (Y/N)	Estimated Completion Date	Date Applied	Date Approved	Date Expires
401	Ν				
404	Ν				
DNR	Ν				
Rule5	Y		06/15/2017	06/23/2017	06/19/2022
FAA	Ν				
Flood Protection	Ν				

Is this project compliant with the MPO Complete Streets Policy?

X Yes No Not Applicable

<u>Other Comments:</u> (*i.e.:* an update which summarizes any issues identified, significant accomplishments since the initial project description submittal or since the last quarterly tracking meeting as well as any new details on project implementation and the preferred design solutions as they pertain to fulfilling the project parameters detailed by the project description.)

- Utility relocation underway.
- Crider & Crider, Inc. was the low bidder for the construction phase. Project costs updated to reflect bid amount. Construction cost includes \$143,050.00 for placement of a new 24" waterline and Firehydrant. This local cost is non-participating and covered by CBU.

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call **812.349.3530** for questions or additional information.

FY 2018 3rd Quarter

Project Name and DES#: 2nd/Bloomfield Multimodal Safety Improvements, Des No 1601851

ERC Name and Phone#: Neil Kopper 812.349.3423

PROJECT COST	PREVIOUS	NEW	FEDERAL/LOCAL SPLIT	OF NEW TOTALS
FROJECT COST	TOTALS	TOTALS	APPROVED FEDERAL	LOCAL MATCH
a. preliminary engineering	\$281,751.04		\$104,331	\$177,420.04
b. right of way	\$80,000			\$80,000
TOTAL (PE & RW, lines a+b)	\$361,751.04		\$104,331	\$257,420.04
c. railroad				
d. construction (including inflation)*	\$1,293,717.77		\$711,608	\$550,341.77
Inflation rate used:				
e. credits (if applicable)				
f. construction engineering	\$143,000		\$50,491	\$92,509
g. contingency				
TOTAL (all construction, lines c-f)	\$1,436,717.77		\$793,867.00	\$642,850.77
Total All Phases	\$1,798,468.81		\$898,198.00	\$900,270.81
Federal Fund % 49.9				
Local Funding % 50.1				

Schedule						
	Estimated Start Date or Scheduled Start Date	Start Date or Scheduled Start Date Status	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Date	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Status	Proposed Change to Completion Date	
Project Authorized	10/14/16	Complete	10/14/16	Complete		
Final Environmental Document Approval	12/1/17	Complete	12/22/17	Complete		
Authorize Funds for PE		Complete		Complete		
Start Plan Development	5/1/17	Complete	6/1/17	Complete		
Prelim. Field Check	10/25/17	Complete	10/25/17	Complete		
Hearing Certification	NA	NA	NA	NA		
R/W Clear	1/1/18	Complete	8/24/18	Not Complete		
Stage 3 Final Plans	7/24/18	Not Complete	8/24/18	Not Complete		
Final Tracings	9/24/18	Not Complete	10/8/18	Not Complete		
Railroad Coordination	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Ready for Contracts	11/7/18	Not Complete	11/7/18	Not Complete		
Letting	1/16/19	Not Complete	1/16/19	Not Complete		
Contract Award	1/16/19	Not Complete	2/16/19	Not Complete		
Final Audit	2/16/19	Not Complete	6/30/20	Not Complete		

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call **812.349.3530** for questions or additional information.

Permits					
Permit Type	Permits Required? (Y/N)	Estimated Completion Date	Date Applied	Date Approved	Date Expires
401	Ν				
404	Ν				
DNR	Ν				
Rule5	Ν				
FAA	Ν				
Flood Protection	Ν				

Is this project compliant with the MPO Complete Streets Policy?

- ⊠ Yes □ No
- □ Not Applicable

<u>Other Comments: (</u>*ie:* an update which summarizes any issues identified, significant accomplishments since the initial project description submittal or since the last quarterly tracking meeting as well as any new details on project implementation and the preferred design solutions as they pertain to fulfilling the project parameters detailed by the project description.)

PE contract executed with Parsons Brinckerhoff, FMIS requested on 3/6/2017. Consultant received Notice to Proceed (NTP) on 4/12/17. CE was approved on 12/22/17. Geotech report submitted to INDOT on 3/15/18 Updated plans were distributed to utilities on 4/2/18. Working on Stage 3.

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call **812.349.3530** for questions or additional information.

FY 2018 3rd Quarter

ERC Name and Phone#: Neil Kopper, 812-349-3423

PROJECT COST	PREVIOUS	NEW	FEDERAL/LOCAL SPLIT OF NEW TOTALS		
FROJECT COST	TOTALS	TOTALS	APPROVED FEDERAL	LOCAL MATCH	
a. preliminary engineering	\$209,770		\$155,801	\$53,969	
b. right of way	\$115,000			\$115,000	
TOTAL (PE & RW, lines a+b)	\$324,770		\$155,801	\$168,969	
c. railroad					
d. construction (including inflation)*	\$996,000		\$706,800	\$289,200	
Inflation rate used:					
e. credits (if applicable)					
f. construction engineering	\$149,400		\$119,333	\$30,067	
g. contingency					
TOTAL (all construction, lines c-f)	\$1,145,400		\$826,133	\$319,267	
Total All Phases	\$1,475,170		\$981,934	\$488,236	
Federal Fund % 66.8					
Local Funding % 33.2					

Schedule						
	Estimated Start Date or Scheduled Start Date	Start Date or Scheduled Start Date Status	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Date	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Status	Proposed Change to Completion Date	
Project Authorized						
Final Environmental Document Approval	5/11/2017	С	4/15/2018	U	6/15/2018	
Authorize Funds for PE	07/01/2016					
Start Plan Development	03/01/2017	С	5/11/2017	С		
Prelim. Field Check	5/11/2017	С	2/12/2018	С		
Hearing Certification	NA					
R/W Clear	6/15/2018	L	3/15/2019	L		
Stage 3 Final Plans	2/12/2018	L	6/21/2019	L		
Final Tracings	6/21/2019	L	8/5/2019	L		
Railroad Coordination	NA					
Ready for Contracts	8/5/2019	L	9/4/2019	L		
Letting	9/4/2019	L	11/14/2019	L		
Contract Award	11/14/2019	L	TBD	L		
Final Audit	TBD	L	TBD	L		

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call **812.349.3530** for questions or additional information.

Permits					
Permit Type	Permits Required? (Y/N)	Estimated Completion Date	Date Applied	Date Approved	Date Expires
401	Ν				
404	Ν				
DNR	Ν				
Rule5	Y	6/1/2019	Pending		
FAA	Ν				
Flood Protection	Ν				

Is this project compliant with the MPO Complete Streets Policy?

□ Yes□ No⊠ Not Applicable

<u>Other Comments:</u> (*ie: an update which summarizes any issues identified, significant accomplishments since the initial project description submittal or since the last quarterly tracking meeting as well as any new details on project implementation and the preferred design solutions as they pertain to fulfilling the project parameters detailed by the project description.*)

PE contract executed with Eagle Ridge, NTP on 5/11/2017. Plan sheets and environmental documents are underway.

Additional 50-year title work is underway to define the scope of work for right of way and the environmental document.

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call **812.349.3530** for questions or additional information.

FY 2018 3rd Quarter

Project Name and DES#: Winslow Rd. Multiuse Path 1500383

ERC Name and Phone#: Neil Kopper 812.349.3423

PROJECT COST	PREVIOUS	NEW	FEDERAL/LOCAL SPLIT OF NEW TOTALS				
FROJECT COST	TOTALS	TOTALS	APPROVED FEDERAL	LOCAL MATCH			
a. preliminary engineering	187,965		\$120,000	\$67,965			
b. right of way	\$150,000			\$150,000			
TOTAL (PE & RW, lines a+b)	\$337,965		\$120,000	\$217,965			
c. railroad							
d. construction (including inflation)*	\$750,000		\$500,000	\$250,000			
Inflation rate used:							
e. credits (if applicable)							
f. construction engineering	\$112,500		\$90,000	\$22,500			
g. contingency							
TOTAL (all construction, lines c-f)	\$862,500		\$590,000	\$272,500			
Total All Phases	\$1,200,465		\$710,000	\$490,465			
Federal Fund % 59.1							
Local Funding % 40.9							

Schedule						
	Estimated Start Date or Scheduled Start Date	Start Date or Scheduled Start Date Status	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Date	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Status	Proposed Change to Completion Date	
Project Authorized						
Final Environmental Document Approval	5/11/2017	С	4/15/2018	U	6/15/2018	
Authorize Funds for PE	07/01/2016					
Start Plan Development	03/01/2017	С	5/11/2017	С		
Prelim. Field Check	5/11/2017	С	2/12/2018	С		
Hearing Certification	NA					
R/W Clear	6/15/2018	L	3/15/2019	L		
Stage 3 Final Plans	2/12/2018	L	6/21/2019	L		
Final Tracings	6/21/2019	L	8/5/2019	L		
Railroad Coordination	NA					
Ready for Contracts	8/5/2019	L	9/4/2019	L		
Letting	9/4/2019	L	11/14/2019	L		
Contract Award	11/14/2019	L	TBD	L		
Final Audit	TBD	L	TBD	L		

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call **812.349.3530** for questions or additional information.

Permits							
Permit Type	Permits Required? (Y/N)	Estimated Completion Date	Date Applied	Date Approved	Date Expires		
401	Y	6/1/2019	Pending				
404	Υ	6/1/2019	Pending				
DNR	Ν						
Rule5	Υ	6/1/2019	Pending				
FAA	Ν						
Flood Protection	Ν						

Is this project compliant with the MPO Complete Streets Policy?

 \Box Yes

🗆 No

⊠ Not Applicable

<u>Other Comments: (</u>*ie:* an update which summarizes any issues identified, significant accomplishments since the initial project description submittal or since the last quarterly tracking meeting as well as any new details on project implementation and the preferred design solutions as they pertain to fulfilling the project parameters detailed by the project description.)

PE contract executed with Eagle Ridge, NTP on 5/2/2017. Plan sheets and environmental documents are underway.

Additional 50-year title work is underway to define the scope of work for right of way and the environmental document.

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call **812.349.3530** for questions or additional information.

FY 2018 3rd Quarter

Project Name and DES#:	E. Rogers Rd Multiuse Path	DES#:1500382

ERC Name and Phone#: Neil Kopper, 812-349-3423

PROJECT COST	PREVIOUS	NEW	FEDERAL/LOCAL SPLIT OF NEW TOTALS		
FROJECT COST	TOTALS	TOTALS	APPROVED FEDERAL	LOCAL MATCH	
a. preliminary engineering	\$175,155		\$60,000	\$115,155	
b. right of way				\$0	
TOTAL (PE & RW, lines a+b)	\$175,155		\$60,000	\$115,155	
c. railroad					
d. construction (including inflation)*	\$410,000	\$567,900	\$325,000	\$242,900	
Inflation rate used:					
e. credits (if applicable)					
f. construction engineering	\$60,000	\$83,100	\$48,000	\$35,100	
g. contingency					
TOTAL (all construction, lines c-f)	\$470,000	\$651,000	\$373,000	\$278,000	
Total All Phases	\$645,155	\$826,155	\$433,000	\$393,155	
Federal Fund % 52.4					
Local Funding % 47.6					

Schedule						
	Estimated Start Date or Scheduled Start Date	Start Date or Scheduled Start Date Status	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Date	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Status	Proposed Change to Completion Date	
Project Authorized						
Final Environmental Document Approval	5/11/2017	С	4/15/2018	U	6/15/2018	
Authorize Funds for PE	07/01/2016					
Start Plan Development	03/01/2017	С	5/11/2017	С		
Prelim. Field Check	5/11/2017	С	2/12/2018	С		
Hearing Certification	NA					
R/W Clear	6/15/2018	L	3/15/2019	L		
Stage 3 Final Plans	2/12/2018	L	6/21/2019	L		
Final Tracings	6/21/2019	L	8/5/2019	L		
Railroad Coordination	NA					
Ready for Contracts	8/5/2019	L	9/4/2019	L		
Letting	9/4/2019	L	11/14/2019	L		
Contract Award	11/14/2019	L	TBD	L		
Final Audit	TBD	L	TBD	L		

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call **812.349.3530** for questions or additional information.

Permits							
Permit Type	Permits Required? (Y/N)	Estimated Completion Date	Date Applied	Date Approved	Date Expires		
401	Ν	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
404	Ν	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
DNR	Y	6/1/2019	Pending				
Rule5	Y	6/1/2019	Pending				
FAA	Ν	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Flood Protection	Ν	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Is this project compliant with the MPO Complete Streets Policy?

□ Yes□ No⊠ Not Applicable

<u>Other Comments:</u> (*ie: an update which summarizes any issues identified, significant accomplishments since the initial project description submittal or since the last quarterly tracking meeting as well as any new details on project implementation and the preferred design solutions as they pertain to fulfilling the project parameters detailed by the project description.*)

PE contract executed with Eagle Ridge, NTP on 5/11/2017. Plan sheets and environmental documents are underway.

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Patrick Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

FY 2018 3rd Quarter (January thru March 2018)

Project Name and DES#: Jackson Creek Trail, DES 1500398

ERC Name and Phone#: Roy Aten, Senior Project Manager, 812-349-3591

PREVIOUS	NEW	FEDERAL/LOCAL SPLIT OF NEW TOTALS					
TOTALS	TOTALS	APPROVED FEDERAL	LOCAL MATCH				
\$400,000	\$400,000	\$311,602	\$88,398				
\$250,000	\$250,000	\$155,801	\$94,199				
\$650,000	\$650,000	\$467,403	\$182,597				
		,					
\$1,800,000	\$1,800,000	\$1,440,000	\$360,000				
\$270,000	\$270,000	\$216,000	\$54,000				
\$2,070,000	\$2,070,000	\$1,656,000	\$414,000				
\$2,720,000	\$2,720,000	\$2,123,403	\$596,597				
Federal Fund % 78.07							
	TOTALS \$400,000 \$250,000 \$650,000 \$1,800,000 \$270,000 \$2,070,000	TOTALS TOTALS \$400,000 \$400,000 \$250,000 \$250,000 \$650,000 \$650,000 \$650,000 \$650,000 \$1,800,000 \$1,800,000 \$270,000 \$270,000 \$2,070,000 \$2,070,000	TOTALS TOTALS APPROVED FEDERAL \$400,000 \$400,000 \$311,602 \$250,000 \$250,000 \$155,801 \$650,000 \$650,000 \$467,403 \$1,800,000 \$1,800,000 \$1,440,000 \$1,800,000 \$1,800,000 \$1,440,000 \$270,000 \$270,000 \$216,000 \$2,070,000 \$2,070,000 \$1,656,000				

Schedule					
	Estimated Start Date or Scheduled Start Date	Start Date or Scheduled Start Date Status	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Date	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Status	Proposed Change to Completion Date
Project Authorized					
Final Environmental Document Approval		03/27/2018	03/03/2019		
Authorize Funds for PE	07/10/2017	01/15/2017	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		01/15/2017
Start Plan Development		03/27/2018			
Prelim. Field Check			11/22/2018		
Hearing Certification					
R/W Clear	06/18/2020		06/19/2020		
Stage 3 Final Plans	06/18/2020		06/19/2020		
Final Tracings	06/18/2020		08/03/2020		
Railroad Coordination			NA		
Ready for Contracts					
Letting	11/11/2020				
Contract Award			9		
Final Audit					

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Patrick Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

Permits					
Permit Type	Permits Required? (Y/N)	Estimated Completion Date	Date Applied	Date Approved	Date Expires
401	Yes				
404	Yes				χ.
DNR					
Rule5	Yes				
FAA a					
Flood Protection					

Is this project compliant with the MPO Complete Streets Policy?

🗆 Yes

🖾 No

□ Not Applicable

<u>Other Comments:</u> INDOT-LPA fully executed on 10/31/17. RFP for design completed in October, currently negotiating contract with Butler, Fairman & Seufert, Inc. for design. Anticipate awarding contract at the 01/23/17 Board of Public Works. Request FMIS in early February. Issue NTP for Design in February.

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

FY 2018 – 3rd Quarter

Project Name and DES#: 1700974 School Zone Enhancements

ERC Name and Phone#: Neil Kopper 812-349-3423

PROJECT COST	PREVIOUS	NEW	FEDERAL/LOCAL SPLIT OF NEW TOTALS		
FROJECT COST	TOTALS	TOTALS	APPROVED FEDERAL	LOCAL MATCH	
a. preliminary engineering	\$85,852.10		\$0.00	\$85,852.10	
b. right of way	\$0.00			\$0.00	
TOTAL (PE & RW, lines a+b)	\$85,852.10		\$0.00	\$85,852.10	
c. railroad					
d. construction (including inflation)*	\$500,000.00		\$410,000.00	\$90,000.00	
Inflation rate used:					
e. credits (if applicable)					
f. construction engineering	\$70,000.00		\$60,684.00	\$9,316.00	
g. contingency					
TOTAL (all construction, lines c-f)	\$570,000.00		\$470,684.00	\$99,316.00	
Total All Phases	\$655,852.10		\$470,684.00	\$185,168.10	
Federal Fund % 72					
Local Funding % 28					

Schedule					
	Estimated Start Date or Scheduled Start Date	Start Date or Scheduled Start Date Status	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Date	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Status	Proposed Change to Completion Date
Project Authorized	12/12/17	12/12/17	12/12/17	100%	
Final Environmental Document Approval	2/12/18	2/12/18	10/2/19	0%	
Authorize Funds for PE	1/11/18	1/11/18	1/11/18	100%	
Start Plan Development	6/1/18		7/19/19	0%	
Prelim. Field Check	n/a			n/a	
Hearing Certification	n/a			n/a	
R/W Clear	6/19/19		7/19/19	0%	
Stage 3 Final Plans	6/1/18		7/19/19	0%	
Final Tracings	7/19/19		9/2/19	0%	
Railroad Coordination	n/a			n/a	
Ready for Contracts	10/2/19		10/2/19	0%	
Letting	12/11/19		12/11/19	0%	
Contract Award					
Final Audit					

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

Permits					
Permit Type	Permits Required? (Y/N)	Estimated Completion Date	Date Applied	Date Approved	Date Expires
401	Ν				
404	Ν				
DNR	Ν				
Rule5	Ν				
FAA	Ν				
Flood Protection	Ν				

Is this project compliant with the MPO Complete Streets Policy?

□ Yes□ No⊠ Not Applicable

Other Comments: (*ie:* an update which summarizes any issues identified, significant accomplishments since the initial project description submittal or since the last quarterly tracking meeting as well as any new details on project implementation and the preferred design solutions as they pertain to fulfilling the project parameters detailed by the project description.)

Contract with Shrewsberry for PE, effective date 12/12/17 NTP issued 1/11/18 Subcontract between Shrewsberry and Green 3 LLC executed 2/12/18

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

FY 2018 – 3rd Quarter

Project Name and DES#: 1700976 Crosswalk Improvements

ERC Name and Phone#: Neil Kopper 812-349-3423

PROJECT COST	PREVIOUS TOTALS	NEW TOTALS	FEDERAL/LOCAL SPLIT OF NEW TOTALS		
			APPROVED FEDERAL	LOCAL MATCH	
a. preliminary engineering	\$100,000		0	\$100,000	
b. right of way	0		0	0	
TOTAL (PE & RW, lines a+b)	\$100,000		0	\$100,000	
c. railroad					
d. construction (including inflation)*	\$500,000		\$410,000	\$90,000	
Inflation rate used:					
e. credits (if applicable)					
f. construction engineering	\$70,000		\$60,684	\$9,316	
g. contingency					
TOTAL (all construction, lines c-f)	\$570,000		\$470,684	\$99,316	
Total All Phases	\$670,000		\$470,684	\$199,316	
Federal Fund % 70					
Local Funding % 30					

Schedule					
	Estimated Start Date or Scheduled Start Date	Start Date or Scheduled Start Date Status	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Date	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Status	Proposed Change to Completion Date
Project Authorized					
Final Environmental Document Approval Authorize Funds for PE					
Start Plan Development					
Prelim. Field Check					
Hearing Certification					
R/W Clear					
Stage 3 Final Plans					
Final Tracings					
Railroad Coordination					
Ready for Contracts					
Letting	12/09/2020				
Contract Award					
Final Audit					

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

Permits						
Permit Type	Permits Required? (Y/N)	Estimated Completion Date	Date Applied	Date Approved	Date Expires	
401						
404						
DNR						
Rule5						
FAA						
Flood Protection						

Is this project compliant with the MPO Complete Streets Policy?

□ Yes□ No⊠ Not Applicable

<u>Other Comments: (</u>*ie:* an update which summarizes any issues identified, significant accomplishments since the initial project description submittal or since the last quarterly tracking meeting as well as any new details on project implementation and the preferred design solutions as they pertain to fulfilling the project parameters detailed by the project description.)

LPA expects to start design in CY 2019

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

FY 2018 – 3rd Quarter

Project Name and DES#: 1700736, Sare Rd Multiuse Path and Intersection Improvements

ERC Name and Phone#: Neil Kopper 812-349-3423

PROJECT COST	PREVIOUS TOTALS	NEW	FEDERAL/LOCAL SPLIT OF NEW TOTALS		
		TOTALS	APPROVED FEDERAL	LOCAL MATCH	
a. preliminary engineering	\$250,000	\$339,322	\$270,491.00	\$68,831.00	
b. right of way	\$144,000			\$144,000.00	
TOTAL (PE & RW, lines a+b)	\$394,000	\$483,322	\$270,491.00	\$212,831.00	
c. railroad					
d. construction (including inflation)*	\$1,450,000		\$1,160,000.00	\$290,000.00	
Inflation rate used:					
e. credits (if applicable)					
f. construction engineering	\$217,500		\$174,000.00	\$43,500.00	
g. contingency					
TOTAL (all construction, lines c-f)	\$1,667,500		\$1,334,000.00	\$333,500.00	
Total All Phases	\$2,061,500	\$2,150,822	\$1,604,491.00	\$546,331.00	
Federal Fund % 75					
Local Funding % 25					

Schedule						
	Estimated Start Date or Scheduled Start Date	Start Date or Scheduled Start Date Status	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Date	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Status	Proposed Change to Completion Date	
Project Authorized		3/27/2018	3/27/2018	Complete		
Final Environmental Document Approval	4/27/2018		10/26/2018			
Authorize Funds for PE		3/27/2018	3/27/2018	Complete		
Start Plan Development		3/27/2018	3/27/2018			
Prelim. Field Check		3/27/2018	9/7/2018			
Hearing Certification	8/26/2018		9/26/2018			
R/W Clear	10/29/2018		8/23/2019			
Stage 3 Final Plans	9/7/2018		8/23/2019			
Final Tracings	8/26/2019		10/7/2019			
Railroad Coordination	6/1/2018		8/23/2019			
Ready for Contracts	10/7/2019		11/6/2019			
Letting	11/6/2019		1/15/2020			
Contract Award	1/15/2020		2/17/2020			
Final Audit	2/19/2021		2/20/2023			

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

Permits						
Permit Type	Permits Required? (Y/N)	Estimated Completion Date	Date Applied	Date Approved	Date Expires	
401	Y	8/23/2019				
404	Y	8/23/2019				
DNR	Y	8/23/2019				
Rule5	Υ	8/23/2019				
FAA	Ν					
Flood Protection	Ν					

Is this project compliant with the MPO Complete Streets Policy?

⊠ Yes □ No

□ Not Applicable

<u>Other Comments: (</u>*ie:* an update which summarizes any issues identified, significant accomplishments since the initial project description submittal or since the last quarterly tracking meeting as well as any new details on project implementation and the preferred design solutions as they pertain to fulfilling the project parameters detailed by the project description.)

Notice to proceed for design was received on 3/27/2018. Topographical survey is underway and is expected to be complete by 6/1/2018.
BMCMPO QUARTERLY PROJECT UPDATE FORM

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

FY 2018 – 3rd Quarter, January thru March, 2018

Project Name and DES#: 1700735 B-Line Extension

ERC Name and Phone#: Roy Aten, 812-349-3591

Local Public Agency: City of Bloomington

PROJECT COST	PREVIOUS	NEW	FEDERAL/LOCAL SPLIT OF NEW TOTALS		
PROJECT COST	TOTALS	TOTALS	APPROVED FEDERAL	LOCAL MATCH	
a. preliminary engineering	\$250,000		0	\$250,000	
b. right of way	\$630,000		0	\$630,000	
TOTAL (PE & RW, lines a+b)	\$880,000		0	\$880,000	
c. railroad					
d. construction (including inflation)*	\$1,250,000		\$1,000,000	\$250,000	
Inflation rate used:					
e. credits (if applicable)					
f. construction engineering	\$187,500		\$150,000	\$37,500	
g. contingency					
TOTAL (all construction, lines c-f)	\$1,437,500		\$1,150,000	\$287,500	
Total All Phases	\$2,317,500		\$1,150,000	\$1,167,500	
Federal Fund % 50					
Local Funding % 50					

Schedule					
	Estimated Start Date or Scheduled Start Date	Start Date or Scheduled Start Date Status	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Date	Estimated Completion or Scheduled Completion Status	Proposed Change to Completion Date
Project Authorized					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Final Environmental Document Approval Authorize Funds for PE	3				
Start Plan Development	01/17/2018	01/17/2018			
Prelim. Field Check					
Hearing Certification					
R/W Clear					
Stage 3 Final Plans	05/29/2020				
Final Tracings	08/02/2020				
Railroad Coordination					
Ready for Contracts	09/02/2020				
Letting	11/10/2020				
Contract Award					
Final Audit					

BMCMPO QUARTERLY PROJECT UPDATE FORM

Please fill out all forms <u>completely</u> and return to Pat Martin at <u>martipa@bloomington.in.gov</u> or call 812.349.3423 with questions or additional information.

Permits							
Permit Type	Permits Required? (Y/N)	Estimated Completion Date	Date Applied	Date Approved	Date Expires		
401							
404							
DNR							
Rule5							
FAA							
Flood Protection							

Is this project compliant with the MPO Complete Streets Policy?

- □ Yes
- 🗆 No
- ☑ Not Applicable

Other Comments:

INDOT-LPA fully executed October 2017. PE selected, Aztec Engineering. PE NTP issued 01/17/2018.



To: BMCMPO Policy Committee
From: Anna Dragovich
Date: May 4, 2018
Re: FY 2018-2021 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Amendments

BACKGROUND

Both Monroe County and INDOT staff have requested amendments to the FY 2018 – 2021 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). A public comment period was open starting April 6 and will end on May 7. No public comments were received at the writing of this memo. If comments are received by the May 11 Policy Committee meeting, staff will inform the members.

A couple of questions were raised by the TAC and CAC regarding the Vernal Pike Connector project. We answered their questions at their meetings on April 25.

- 1. Does INDOT or FHWA have any issues amending a locally funded project in to their STIP? FHWA ultimately has no issue with including this project in to the TIP and encouraged MPO staff to coordinate with INDOT on this question. Conversations with INDOT lead us to the thinking that this project is of regional significance and should be included into the MPO TIP for transparency. INDOT has no issue including this project into their STIP.
- 2. Why put a non-MPO project into the TIP? What is the threshold and value gained from putting a project like this in the TIP?

The main reason we are asking the MPO committees to vote for this project to be amended into the TIP is transparency. Since the project is using a large amount of local funds for preliminary engineering and right-of-way through the Monroe County Redevelopment Commission and construction will include a new road connection to a major community destination over an existing railroad, MPO staff feel it is important for the public and MPO committees to have knowledge of this project. These reasons and consultation with INDOT lead us to the thinking that this project is of regional significance and should be included in the MPO TIP.

This last question spurred a longer conversation centered on where to draw the line when putting projects in the TIP. Should the MPO put non-MPO funded projects in the TIP when they are only in the urbanizing area, when projects are using only local funds and state funds, when projects are only using local funds? The answers are not clear. MPO staff has no guidance to answer these questions and discern which projects go in the TIP and which do not. Transparency is a good reason for projects to go into the TIP and the TIP is a good channel for sharing information, however, is it the best channel when it is certainly not the only channel? This discussion concluded in the need for staff to have guidance on this topic should a project like this ever arise again in the future.

PROJECT INFORMATION

Monroe County requests one amendment and INDOT requests seven amendments to the FY 2018-2021 TIP. Project details are in the following pages.

Vernal Pike Connector Road – New roadway & bridge preliminary engineering, right-of-way acquisition and construction (DES#1702957)

This project will fund a new Roadway from Vernal Pike southward to the new segment of Profile Parkway/Gates Drive including a new bridge over the Indiana Rail in Monroe County. The roadway will include a sidewalk and multi-use path.

Vernal Pike Connector Road (DES#1702957)							
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	Local Match	Total		
PE	2019	N.A.	\$0	\$1,095,000	\$1,095,000		
RW	2021	N.A.	\$0	\$1,045,000	\$1,045,000		
Totals			\$0	\$2,140,000	\$2,140,000		

Figure IVernal Pike Connector Road Location



The Indiana Department of Transportation requests two amendments to the FY 2018-2021 TIP. The proposed amendments include:

SR37 - 4.05 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR and Clear Creek Southbound Lane (SBL) (DES#1702627). This
project will fund preliminary engineering and construction of a bridge thin deck overlay.

SR 37 - 4.05 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR and Clear Creek SBL (DES#1702627)						
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	State Match	Total	
PE	2019	NHPP	\$96.000	\$24,000	\$120,000	
CN	2021	NHPP	\$893,648	\$223,412	\$1,117,060	
Totals			\$893,744	\$247,412	\$1,237,060	

SR37 - 4.05 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR and Clear Creek Northbound Lane (NBL) (DES#1800730). This project will fund preliminary engineering and construction of a bridge thin deck overlay.

SR 37 - 4.05 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR and Clear Creek NBL (DES#1800730)						
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	State Match	Total	
PE	2019	NHPP	\$96,000	\$24,000	\$120,000	
CN	2021	NHPP	\$853,388	\$21,3347	\$1,066,735	
Totals			\$949,388	\$23,7347	\$1,186,735	



Figure 2: Bridge Deck Overlay Project on SR 37

SR45 - I-69 to 0.38 miles E of I-69 (End of concrete) (DES#1800968). This project will fund construction for concrete pavement restoration (CPR).

SR45 - I-69 to 0.38 miles E of I-69 (End of concrete). (DES#1800968)							
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	State Match	Total		
PE	2019	NHPP	\$8,000	\$2,000	\$10,000		
CN	2021	NHPP	\$1,074698	\$268,675	\$1,343,373		
Totals			\$1,082,698	\$270,675	\$1,353,373		

Figure 3Concrete Pavement Restoration Location for SR 45



SR46 – *0.44 miles W of I-69 to I-69 (DES#1800971)*. This project will fund preliminary engineering and construction for concrete pavement restoration (CPR).

SR46 – 0.44 miles W of I-69 to I-69 (DES#1800971)							
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	State Match	Total		
PE	2019	NHPP	\$8,000	\$2,000	\$10,000		
CN	2021	NHPP	\$827,347	\$206,837	\$1,034,184		
Totals			\$835,347	\$208,837	\$1,044,184		

Figure 4: Concrete Pavement Restoration Location for SR 46



SR446 - 7.83 miles N of SR 58 (Chapel Hill Road) to 0.98 miles S of SR 46 (E. Moores Pike) (DES#1801087). This project will fund preliminary engineering and construction of an HMA overlay for preventative maintenance.

SR 446 - 7.83 miles N of SR 58 to 0.98 miles S of SR 46 (DES#1801087)						
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	State Match	Total	
PE	2019	STP	\$88,000	\$22,000	\$110,000	
CN	2021	STP	\$2,307,354	\$576,839	\$2,884,193	
Totals			\$2,395,354	\$598,839	\$2,994,193	



Figure 5: Location of HMA Overlay Project on SR 446

SR37 - 3.65 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR Northbound Lane (NBL) (DES#1801171). This project will fund preliminary engineering and construction of a bridge thin deck overlay.

SR 37 - 3.65 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR NBL (DES#1801171)						
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	State Match	Total	
PE	2019	NHPP	\$16,000	\$4,000	\$20,000	
CN	2020	NHPP	\$158,023	\$39,506	\$197,529	
Totals			\$174,023	\$43,506	\$217,529	

SR37 - 3.65 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR Southbound Lane (SBL) (DES#1801172). This project will fund preliminary engineering and construction of a bridge thin deck overlay.

SR 37 - 3.65 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR SBL (DES#1801172)						
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	State Match	Total	
PE	2019	NHPP	\$16,000	\$4,000	\$20000	
CN	2020	NHPP	\$158,023	\$39,506	197529	
Totals			\$174,023	\$43,506	\$217,529	

Figure 5: Bridge Deck Overlay Project Location on SR 37



Requested Action

Approve the proposed amendments in to the FY 2018-2021 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).



FY 2018-2021 Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

Mail: Bloomington/Monroe County MPO 401 N. Morton Street, Suite 130 Bloomington, Indiana 47402 Email: martipa@bloomington.in.gov Fax: (812) 349-3530

Section 1: Local Public Agency Information



City of Bloomington Monroe County Town of Ellettsville Indiana University Bloomington Transit Rural Transit INDOT

Employee in Responsible Charge (ERC): Phone: Email: Lisa Ridge 812.349.2555 ljridge@co.monroe.in.us

Section 2: Verification

I hereby certify that the information submitted as part of this form is complete and accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify that the project complies with the BMCMPO Complete Streets Policy.

Employee in Responsible Charge (ERC).

February 26, 2018

Section 3: Project Information

- A. Project Name: Vernal Pike Connector Road
- B. Is project already in the TIP? Yes No
- C. DES # (if assigned): 1702957
- D. Project Location (detailed description of project termini): A new connector road from Vernal Pike to the new segment of Profile Parkway/Gates Drive that will include a bridge over the existing Indiana Railroad.
- E. Please identify the primary project type (select only one):
 - Bicycle & Pedestrian Bridge Road – Intersection

\boxtimes	Road – New/Expanded Roadway Road – Operations & Maintenance
	Koad – Operations & Maintenance
	Road – Reconstruction/Rehabilitation/Resurfacing
	Sign
	Signal
	Transit

- F. Project Support (local plans, LRTP, TDP, etc.):
- G. Allied Projects: I69 Project with INDOT, Profile Parkway Extension
- H. Does the Project have an Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) component? Yes No If yes, is the project included in the MPO's ITS Architecture? Yes No
- I. Anticipated Letting Date: FY2022

Section 4: Financial Plan

Identify all anticipated costs for all phases of the project, including any costs anticipated in years beyond the scope of this TIP. All phases must incorporate a four percent (4%) per year inflation factor per BMCMPO policy. All CN phases must include an appropriate amount of funding for construction inspection in addition to project construction costs.

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	Local	\$	\$ 1,095,000	\$	\$	\$
PE		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Local	\$	\$		\$ \$1,045,000	\$
RW		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
CE		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
CN		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:	\$	\$1,095,000		\$1,045,000	8

Note: Fiscal Year 2018 begins on July 1, 2017, and ends on June 30, 2018.

Section 5: Complete Streets Policy

A. Select one of the following:

Compliant - This project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it involves the new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for any phase of project implementation. Additional Information items 1-8 (below) must be submitted for Compliant projects.

Not Applicable - This project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-roadway project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, or is a project that uses federal funds for which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. *No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.*

Exempt – The LPA is requesting that this project be exempted from the Complete Streets Policy due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the Complete Streets Policy.
Please provide a detailed explanation of why the project should be exempted. *Additional Information items 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for Exempt projects.*

Justification for Exemption:

B. Additional Information:

 \square

Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Streets Policy. If any items are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific information has not yet been determined." Any required information not provided at the time of this application must be reported to the MPO as soon as it becomes available.

- 1) <u>Detailed Scope of Work</u> Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
- 2) <u>Performance Standards</u> List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
- 3) <u>Measurable Outcomes</u> Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.).
- 4) <u>Project Timeline</u> Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, rightof-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
- 5) <u>Key Milestones</u> identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.).
- 6) <u>Project Cost</u> Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
- 7) <u>Public Participation Process</u> Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.).
- 8) <u>Stakeholder List</u> Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose for being on the list.

Not Applicable - This project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-roadway project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, or is a project that uses federal funds for which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. *No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.*

Exempt – The LPA is requesting that this project be exempted from the Complete Streets Policy due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the Complete Streets Policy. Please provide a detailed explanation of why the project should be exempted. *Additional Information items 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for Exempt projects.*

Justification for Exemption:

B. Additional Information:

Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Streets Policy. If any items are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific information has not yet been determined." Any required information not provided at the time of this application must be reported to the MPO as soon as it becomes available.

- 1) <u>Detailed Scope of Work</u> Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
- 2) <u>Performance Standards</u> List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
- 3) <u>Measurable Outcomes</u> Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.).
- 4) <u>Project Timeline</u> Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, rightof-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
- 5) Key Milestones identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.).
- 6) <u>Project Cost</u> Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
- 7) <u>Public Participation Process</u> Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.).
- 8) <u>Stakeholder List</u> Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose for being on the list.

PROJECT ARE/



1997	1.1	1.1.1	200	100	12341	2000	2352 <i>1</i> /2	- WA	
19	1010)lie	тлг	שהו	111	i di li	1.1	i le li	E
1000		a Broom	ndeto:	Station of	22.000	6. Car	00000000	NO INCOME	1

				42.9			34 N K	⊐£AZ	56556				
		ang	- C	070223.		2010-02				ົ	× 1	n	•
	n.	mi		ca	***	۱n:		1.1		ി	4		1
s								57.5		1.1	ind		

1 k

Application Status: Draft

Project Type: New Project Status Update Date: 11/06/2017

Sponsoring Agency		
Sponsoring Agency		
Name	Monroe County	
Address	100 W. Kirkwood The Courthouse, Room 322	
City	Bloomington	
State	¹ In	
Zip	47404	
f Sponsoring Agency is not in the o email the SPMS administrator i	e list, please click on the icon from the licon to request a Sponsoring Agency be added.	
	to request a Sponsoring Agency be added.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
o email the SPMS administrator i	to request a Sponsoring Agency be added.	
o email the SPMS administrator i Certified Employee in Re Name	to request a Sponsoring Agency be added. esponsible Charge (ERC)	
o email the SPMS administrator i Certified Employee in Re Name Certificate Date	to request a Sponsoring Agency be added. esponsible Charge (ERC) Lisa Ridge	
o email the SPMS administrator i Certified Employee in Re Name Certificate Date ERC Phone	to request a Sponsoring Agency be added. esponsible Charge (ERC) Lisa Ridge 03/15/2017 (812) 349-2555 Ijridge@co.monroe.in.us	
o email the SPMS administrator i Certified Employee in Re Name Certificate Date ERC Phone ERC Email Certify on letterhead that your LP.	to request a Sponsoring Agency be added. esponsible Charge (ERC) Lisa Ridge 03/15/2017 (812) 349-2555	
o email the SPMS administrator i Certified Employee in Re Name Certificate Date ERC Phone ERC Email	to request a Sponsoring Agency be added. esponsible Charge (ERC) Lisa Ridge 03/15/2017 (812) 349-2555 [jridge@co.monroe.in.us	

Planning Area	
Metropolitan Planning Area	
is the project in the Metropolitan Planning Area of MPO?	Yes
Select MPA	ВМСМРО
Name	Patrick Martin
MPO Email	martipa@bloomington.in.gov
Is project within Urbanized area of MPO?	Yes
Select Urban Area	Bloomington
MPO Assigned User (entered by MPO)	
MPO Decision (entered by MPO)	

89- 1 -1-1		1101	國王曰	1. 101	16141	J
Abb	00 12-112-		21.0	377/057		
14 C	NAMOS IN	(a),		1141-11		

ł

Application ID: 3402

Application Status: Draft

Project Type: New Project

Status Update Date: 11/0

ate: 11/06/2017

Project Information

Project Details

* Secondary Work type: Examples include, if your road project contains a bridge in the project area and funds will be requested for both types of infrastructure, please select a bridge work type as your secondary work type. Or if you are requesting federal funds for road reconstruction and are including safety components as a part of the project; please select a safety work type as your secondary work type.

* Functional Class: Click this link http://www.in.gov/indot/2615.htm for assistance.

* Project Location: Example, Bridge over no name creek or From Main St. to Locust Ave., or to Washington Blvd.

* City/County Priority: If you are only submitting 1 application for a new or existing project then select 1 as the Project Priority. If you plan to submit multiple applications for new or existing projects then select the appropriate priority for this application.

*AADT: Click this link http://www.in.gov/indot/2720.htm for assistance.

*Sub District: Click this link http://dolmaps.indot.in.gov/apps/districtmaps/default.asp for assistance.

*Congressional District: Click this link http://www.in.gov/legislative/house_republicans/rd/pdfs/Congressional.pdf_for assistance.

Overall Improvement	Local Road Project	Work Type	New Road Construction
Primary County	Monroe	*Secondary Work Type	New Bridge Construction
INDOT District	Seymour	Road Name	Vernal Pike Connector Road
Sub District	Bloomington Sub	US Congressional DistrictN	District 9
*Functional Class	Minor Collector	City Boundary	Bloomington
Rural/Urban Population	=> 50,000	Transportation System	On Federal Aid
Program Class	Group IV		
*Project Location	Industrial Park Drive Extension/Vern	al Pike Connector Road	
Project Description (Include a Scope of Work)	The project consists of reconstruction and Pike to the south including construction of proposed Profile Parkway extension. The interconnection of roadways serving a co- points related to the construction of the n The proposed typical cross section of the width, curb and gutters, internal storm dra the back of curb. The new roadway will e- with wingwalts. The new bridge will be si- necessary design, permitting, land acquis completion of this federal aid project. The County understands the need to dew qualify for Federal Funds. If approved fo consulting engineering firm to assist with all necessary regulations. The County un- project. (Information was needed in the Safety se submitted. This is a new segment , so the	f a new railroad overpass; thereby con new extensions and connections are r mmercial and industrial area due to the ew Interstate 69 in this area. Vernal Pike Connector Road will cons ainage and 5' wide sidewalks on both s extend over 3 sets of railroad tracks on zed to meet all clearance requirements sition and construction related costs for elop the project to INDOT and Federal r Federal Funds, the County intends to the design and development of this pro- iderstands that INDOT will oversee the gment and ADT segment before this a	necting Vernai Pike to the necessary to facilitate a closure of two access ist of a 36' pavement ides with a 5' buffer from a new overpass bridge a, The scope includes all the development and Standards for all phases to hire an INDOT pre-qualified oject and will comply with a development of this
Latitude	them.)		
	End: 39 10 19		End: 86 34 35
Length of Project (miles)	0.50	Latest AADT (Average Auto Daily Traffic) Count	0
Latest ADTT (Average	0	Year of Latest Count	2017
Daily Truck Traffic) Count *City/County Priority	1	Would the LPA be interested in managing this federal aid proje	

Application Information	
Application ID: 3402 Application Status: Draft	Project Type: New Project Status Update Date: 11/06/2017
Bridge	
Bridge Information	
NBI Number	
New Bridge Location	To be located with extension of Sunrise Greetings Ct to the south over the CSX owned, INRR operated
Name of Feature crossed by bridge	CSX owned and Indiana Railroad operated railroad tracks
Posted Weight Limit	
If yes what is posted weight limit (tons)	

ì

:

	rmation		
Application ID: Application Statu	3402 Js: Draft		Project Type: New Project Status Update Date: 11/06/2017
afety Informatio)n	277	
Safety Infor	rmation		
Posted Speed	(In MPH)	30	
Current Numbe	er of Lanes	2	
Current Roadw	vay Width (feet)	40.00	
If the last three ye Year	ears are not available plea # of Fatalities/in	ise provide the last three ye # of Prope Damage	erty
	# of	# of Prope	erty
Year	# of Fatalities/In	juries Damage	erty e

Estimated Project Sch Special Note: The budget and sch			commitment letter must b	e the schedule and budget used	I in the required quarterly	
eports. Adjustments to that inform	-			ed.		
Fotals and Anticipated Award % S	plit are calculated when t	he application is save	1.			
	Requesting	Total Project	Existing Federal	Federal Funds Being		Anticipated
Phase	Funds For	Cost	Funds	Requested for this	Local Funds	Awarded
	(MM/YYYYY)		(if Applicable)	Application		% Split
Preliminary Engineering	03/2018	\$1,095,000.00	\$0.00	\$876,000.00	\$219,000.00	80.00/20.0
Right of Way	07/2019	\$1,045,000.00	\$0,00	\$836,000.00	\$209,000.00	80.00/20.0
Jtility	07/2019	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$80,000,00	\$20,000.00	80.00/20.0
Railroad	07/2019	\$250,000.00	\$0.00	\$200,000.00	\$50,000.00	80.00/20.0
Construction	12/2021	\$7,400,000.00	\$0.00	\$5,920,000,00	\$1,480,000.00	80.00/20.0
Construction Inspection	12/2021	\$1,110,000.00	\$0.00	\$888,000.00	\$222,000.00	80.00/20.0
	Total	\$11,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$8,800,000.00	\$2,200,000.00	mmmm - e rysky i de

÷,

۲ ۲ Application Information

Application ID: 3402

Application Status: Draft

Project Type: New Project Status Update Date: 11/06/2017

Justification

Justification

Purpose for the project (Explain the deficiency of the pavement if this is a pavement project)

The new extensions and connections are necessary to facilitate interconnection of roadways serving a commercial and industrial area due to the closure of two access points related to the construction of the new Interstate 69 in this area. As a result of these changes, access to existing SR 37 and the future I69 will become extremely difficult for manufacturing and commercial facilities south of Vernal Pike in Monroe County.

Cook Incorporated is in the process of purchasing the former General Electric manufacturing facility. Their renovation of this property over the next few years is to bring in approximately 500+ new employees to this area. Monroe County is enthused with the new employment opportunities, however this will increase the congestion that already exists on SR 48 and the Curry Pike corridor. Adding this connector road into the road network will assist in decreasing the added congestion.

Planning Support

In 1993 this was incorporated in an economic development zone called the Westside Economic Development Area. Monroe County has worked hard to recover from an environmental disaster that developed from the 1950's to the 1970's when Westinghouse Electric Corporation manufactured PCB's in its plant in the heart of this industrial area. It is less of a coincidence and more of a demonstration of the community's fight to recover from this historically significant devastation that the Westinghouse property is now part of a TIF district overseen by the Redevelopment Commission.

Safety Considerations

Industrial, commercial, transit and passenger vehicles will all be affected by this proposed crossing. Currently, 68% of travel to and from the Whitehall Crossing Shopping Center is accessed directly from SR 37. Vehicles will be forced to a primary access point at N. Gates Drive and SR 48 or a secondary access through Johnson Drive at Curry Pike. The proposed Overpass project will allow vehicular traffic access to Vernal Pike directly thereby improving safety and reducing congestion. Specifically, analysis shows approximately 4,600 vehicles per day will be affected by the construction of the overpass.

Economic Development Impact

This area has approximately 650,000 square feet of commercial space and 71 acres of Industrial facilities. Further development of 71 acres of office and retail space is expected with the completion of I69 from Indianapolis to Evansville. The brownfield site itself has been a target of a \$50 million remediation effort, has been available for redevelopment since 2012, and is certified shovel-ready, as part of the Indiana Office of Community and Rural Affairs Site Certified Program. However, without the transportation upgrades from this project, it's redevelopment potential is limited.

Other Considerations

The Monroe County Commissioners are the applicants for this project. Monroe County has appreciated and values the relationship with INDOT during the I69 construction. Monroe County has worked closely with INDOT and their Redevelopment Commission to move this important project forward to provide this important connection. Additionally, adjoining land owners have expressed a willingness to partner in support of the project.

Application ID: 3402	Project Type: New Project
Application Status: Draft	Status Update Date: 11/06/2017
LPA Financial Commitment Letter: Please use LPA letterbead and up application or the application will be sent back. Please include the follow	oad as an allachment to the application. This letter MUST be submitted with the ing in the letter.
application or the application will be sent back. Please include the follow	
application or the application will be sent back. Please include the follow • Financial Plan for Local Match	
 application or the application will be sent back. Please include the follow Financial Plan for Local Match Estimated local contribution by phase and by year Commilment of funds availability Commilment to the project 	

.

t iv Application Information

• ,`

۰.

Application ID: 3402

Application Status: Draft

Project Type: New Project Status Update Date: 11/06/2017

T	ansportation Alternatives
iie	ct Qualifying Activities
1353	igible, the project must fail under one of the following 11 categories. Check the most appropriate activity(s), complete the appropriate category application
	and upload the form(s) as an attachment to this application. The link to Category application forms is <u>http://www.in.gov/indol/2390.htm</u>
	Facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other non-motorized forms of transportation, including sidewalks, bicycle
	lanes, trails and shared use paths, pedestrian and bicycle signals, traffic calming treatments, lighting and
	improvements to meet ADA requirements.
	Construction, planning, and design of infrastructure-related projects and systems to provide safe routes for
	non-drivers, including children, older adults and individuals with disabilities.
	Conversion and use of abandoned railroad corridors for trails for pedestrians, bicyclists or other non-motorized
	transportation users.
	Construction of turnouts, overlooks and viewing areas. Inventory, control or removal of outdoor advertising.
	Historic preservation and rehabilitation of historic transportation facilities.
	Vegetation management practices within transportation rights-of-way to improve roadway safety, prevent or control
	invasive species and provide erosion control.
	Archaeological activities relating to impacts from implementation of transportation projects eligible under this title.
	Any environmental mitigation activity, including pollution prevention and abatement, mitigation for storm water
	management, and water pollution abatement related to highway construction or highway runoff. Also includes
	measures to reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality or to restore and maintain habitat connectivity.
	Any Infrastructure projects and non-infrastructure activities eligible under the Safe Routes to School Program
	created under SAFETEA-LU.
	The planning, designing, or construction of boulevards and other roadways within the right-of-way of former Interstate System routes or other divided highways.
Þ	ct's Relationship to the Qualifying Activity Criteria
104	es the project meet one or more of the 11 qualifying activities? Describe how at least one category best describes your project. If the project could fall under
the	an one activity, describe how the project relates to each applicable activity.
Ð	et's Relationship to Transportation
ോറ	rtation alternatives are transportation related activities that strengthen the cultural, aesthelic and environmental aspects of the Nation's transportation system.
po	rtation alternatives activities should improve the transportation experience in and through a community. Describe how this project relates to the surface
)0/	talion system (excludes avialion)

Application Information

Application ID: 3402

, · ,

Application Status: Draft

Project Type: New Project Status Update Date: 11/06/2017

load Supporting Documents			
Attachments			
Document Name	Document Type	Description Created By	Created On
Financial Commitment Letter.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/20/2017
NOFA Support Letter from ERC.doc	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/16/2017
Cook Group Support Letter.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/16/2017
Vernal Pike Connector Estimate.pdf	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/14/2017
2017 Monroe County Pavement Asset Management Plan.docx	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/14/2017
Vernal Pike Connector Support Letter	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/14/2017
vy Tech Support Letter.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/14/2017
Vernal Pike and Sunrise Greetings Court.ipg	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Sunrise Greetings Court.jpg	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Overpass Location.jpg	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Gates Drive.jpg	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Letter to Indiana Dept of Transportation from ABB.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Vernal Pike Connector Support from	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
_ett of Support from Heitink.jpg	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Exhibit A- Aerial View.pdf	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Chamber of Commerce Letter of Support.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
ADA Compliance Letter.pdf	ADA Letter	LRIDGE01	11/06/2017
Redevelopment Commission Letter o INDOT.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/06/2017
Monroe County ADA Plan and Pedestrian Network.docx	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/06/2017
Sidewalk Inventory for Monroe	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/06/2017
ADA_Ramp_Inventory.xlsx	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/06/2017



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization TIP Project Form (Updated 01/03/2017)

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

Mai	401 N. PO Bo	ington/Monroe County MPO Morton Street Suite 160 x 100 ington, IN 47402	-OR-	email: fax:	<u>mpo@bl</u> (812) 34	oomington.in 9-3535	1. <u>gov</u>
1. Public	Agency	Information (Fill in all ap	plicable fiel	ds):			
Monroe	County	City of Bloomington	Tow	n of Ellett	sville	xx IN	DOT
Rural Tr	ansit	Indiana University	Bloo	mington 7	Fransit	□	-
Contact Nan	ne (<u>ERC</u>):	Natasha Elmore Phone: 812-5	524-3745	Fa	x:		
Address: 1	85 Agrico l	Lane, Seymour, IN 47274					
Email: neli	nore <u>@indo</u>	ot.in.gov					
2. Projec	t Inforr	nation: (Fill in all applicable	e fields):				
• Proj	ect Name:	DES Number: # <u>1801087</u>					
• Is th	is project a	lready in the TIP?	les	x No			
5		on (detailed description of proje oad) to 0.98 miles S of SR 46 (illustratio	n) <mark>7.83 miles</mark>	<mark>N of SR 58</mark>
• Brie	f Project D	escription: HMA Overlay, Prev	ventive Mai	ntenance			
• Sup	port for the	Project (e.g. Local plans, LRT	P, TDP, etc	.):			
• Alli	ed Projects	(other projects related to this o	one):				

• Does the project have an Intelligent Transportation Systems component?N/A ______ If so, is the project included in the MPO's ITS architecture? ______

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	STP	\$	<mark>88,000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
<mark>PE</mark>	STate	\$	<mark>22,000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	STP	\$	\$		<mark>\$2,307,354</mark>	\$
<mark>CN</mark>	ST		\$		<mark>\$576,839</mark>	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		<mark>\$110,000</mark>		<mark>2,884,193</mark>	\$

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

 Does the project include an acceptable percentage of construction costs set aside for construction engineering or inspections?
 Yes
 No
 x
 N/A

Year of Implementation Cost:

• Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs?

□ No

x Yes

4. Complete Streets

- <u>New Projects</u> If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.
- <u>Existing Projects</u> If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.
- <u>Not Applicable</u> If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.

Complete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:

- x Not Applicable If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. *No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.*
- Compliant The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for **any** phase of project implementation. Additional Information items 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.
- **Exempt -** The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. *Additional Information items* 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.

Reason for exemption:

Additional Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Streets Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific information has not yet been determined."

- 1) **Detailed Scope of Work** Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
- 2) **Performance Standards** List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
- 3) **Measurable Outcomes** Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)
- 4) **Project Timeline** Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
- 5) Key Milestones identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)
- 6) **Project Cost** Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
- 7) **Public Participation Process** Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.).
- 8) **Stakeholder List** Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list.

5. Verification

I hereby certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify the project follows the Complete Streets Policy.



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

Mail:	401 N. PO Boz	ington/Monroe County MF Morton Street Suite 160 x 100 ington, IN 47402	-OR-	email: fax:	<u>mpo@blo</u> (812) 349-	omington.in.gov 3535	<u>7</u>
1. Public Ag	gency	Information (Fill in a	ll applicable field	ls):			
Monroe Co	•	City of Bloomington		of Elletts		xx INDOT	
Rural Tran		Indiana University		nington T			
		Zachary Hicks Phone: 8		Fa	x:		
Address: 185	Agrico I	Lane, Seymour, IN 47274					
Email: zhicks	@indot.	in.gov					
2. Project	Inform	nation: (Fill in all applie	cable fields):				
• Project	Name:	DES Number: <u>#1801172</u>	<u>2</u>				
• Is this	project a	lready in the TIP? [Yes x	No			
		n (detailed description of p andoned RR, South Bound		attach an	illustration) <mark>SR 37 - 03.65</mark> :	<mark>miles S of</mark>
• Brief F	Project D	escription: Bridge Thin De	eck Overlay				
• Suppor	t for the	Project (e.g. Local plans, 1	LRTP, TDP, etc.)	:			
• Allied	Projects	(other projects related to the	his one):				

• Does the project have an Intelligent Transportation Systems component?N/A ______ If so, is the project included in the MPO's ITS architecture? _____

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	STP	\$	<mark>16000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
<mark>PE</mark>	STate	\$	<mark>4,000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	STP	\$	\$	<mark>\$158,023</mark>		\$
CN	ST		\$	<mark>\$39,506</mark>		\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		<mark>\$20,000</mark>	<mark>\$197,529</mark>		\$

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

Year of Implementation Cost:

• Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs?

□ No

x Yes

4. Complete Streets

- <u>New Projects</u> If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.
- <u>Existing Projects</u> If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.
- <u>Not Applicable</u> If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.

Complete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:

- x Not Applicable If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. *No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.*
- Compliant The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for **any** phase of project implementation. Additional Information items 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.
- **Exempt -** The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. *Additional Information items* 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.

Reason for exemption:

Additional Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Streets Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific information has not yet been determined."

- 1) **Detailed Scope of Work** Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
- 2) **Performance Standards** List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
- 3) **Measurable Outcomes** Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)
- 4) **Project Timeline** Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
- 5) Key Milestones identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)
- 6) **Project Cost** Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
- 7) **Public Participation Process** Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.).
- 8) **Stakeholder List** Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list.

5. Verification

I hereby certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify the project follows the Complete Streets Policy.



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization TIP Project Form (Updated 01/03/2017)

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

4 P	Bloomington/Monroe County MPO 01 N. Morton Street Suite 160 O Box 100 Bloomington, IN 47402	-OR-	email: fax:	mpo@bloomington.in.gov (812) 349-3535
1. Public Age	ncy Information (Fill in all a	applicable field	s):	
Monroe CourRural Transit			of Elletts	
Contact Name (E	RC): Zachary Hicks Phone: 812	2-524-3972	Fa	x:
Address: 185 Ag	grico Lane, Seymour, IN 47274			
Email: zhicks@	indot.in.gov			
2. Project In	formation: (Fill in all applicat	ole fields):		
• Project N	ame: DES Number: # <u>1801171</u>			
• Is this pro	oject already in the TIP?	Yes x	No No	
U U	ocation (detailed description of pro ndoned RR, North Bound Lane	oject termini or	attach an	illustration <mark>) 03.65 miles S of SR 45</mark>
Brief Pro	ject Description: Bridge Thin Deck	<mark>c Overlay</mark>		
• Support f	or the Project (e.g. Local plans, LR	RTP, TDP, etc.)	:	
• Allied Pro	ojects (other projects related to this	s one):		

• Does the project have an Intelligent Transportation Systems component?N/A ______ If so, is the project included in the MPO's ITS architecture? ______

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	STP	\$	<mark>16000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
<mark>PE</mark>	STate	\$	<mark>4,000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	STP	\$	\$	<mark>\$158,023</mark>		\$
CN	ST		\$	<mark>\$39,506</mark>		\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		<mark>\$20,000</mark>	<mark>\$197,529</mark>		\$

x Yes

No No

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

 Does the project include an acceptable percentage of construction costs set aside for construction engineering or inspections?
 Yes
 No
 x
 N/A

Year of Implementation Cost:

• Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs?

4. Complete Streets

- <u>New Projects</u> If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.
- <u>Existing Projects</u> If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.
- <u>Not Applicable</u> If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.

Complete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:

- x Not Applicable If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. *No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.*
- Compliant The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for **any** phase of project implementation. Additional Information items 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.
- **Exempt -** The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. *Additional Information items* 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.

Reason for exemption:

Additional Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Streets Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific information has not yet been determined."

- 1) **Detailed Scope of Work** Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
- 2) **Performance Standards** List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
- 3) **Measurable Outcomes** Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)
- 4) **Project Timeline** Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
- 5) Key Milestones identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)
- 6) **Project Cost** Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
- 7) **Public Participation Process** Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.).
- 8) **Stakeholder List** Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list.

5. Verification

I hereby certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify the project follows the Complete Streets Policy.



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization TIP Project Form (Updated 01/03/2017)

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

Mail:	401 N. PO Box	ngton/Monroe County MI Morton Street Suite 160 a 100 ngton, IN 47402	PO -OR-	email: fax:	<u>mpo@bl</u> (812) 349	loomington.in.g 9-3535	<u>ov</u>
1. Public Ag	gency l	Information (Fill in a	all applicable field	ls):			
Monroe Co Rural Trans	sit	 City of Bloomington Indiana University Zachary Hicks Phone: 3 	Bloor	of Ellett nington T	Fransit	xx INDO	ЭТ
	Agrico L	ane, Seymour, IN 47274		<u> </u>	A		
2. Project	Inforn	nation: (Fill in all appli	cable fields):				
• Project	Name:	DES Number: # <u>180073</u>	<u>0</u>				
• Is this j	project al	ready in the TIP?	Yes x	No			
		n (detailed description of j <mark>l RR, Clear Creek, North</mark>		attach an	illustratio	on) <mark>04.05 miles S</mark>	of SR 45
• Brief P	roject De	escription: Bridge Deck O	<mark>)verlay</mark>				
• Suppor	t for the	Project (e.g. Local plans,	LRTP, TDP, etc.)):			
• Allied	Projects	(other projects related to t	this one):				

• Does the project have an Intelligent Transportation Systems component?N/A ______ If so, is the project included in the MPO's ITS architecture? _____

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	STP	\$	<mark>96000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
<mark>PE</mark>	State	\$	<mark>24,000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	STP	\$	\$	<mark>\$853,388</mark>		\$
<mark>CN</mark>	ST		\$	<mark>\$213,347</mark>		\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		<mark>\$120,000</mark>	<mark>\$1,066,735</mark>		\$

x Yes

No No

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

 Does the project include an acceptable percentage of construction costs set aside for construction engineering or inspections?
 Yes
 No
 x
 N/A

Year of Implementation Cost:

4. Complete Streets

• Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs?

- <u>New Projects</u> If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.
- <u>Existing Projects</u> If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.
- <u>Not Applicable</u> If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.

Complete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:

- x Not Applicable If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. *No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.*
- Compliant The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for **any** phase of project implementation. Additional Information items 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.
- **Exempt -** The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. *Additional Information items* 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.

Reason for exemption:

Additional Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Streets Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific information has not yet been determined."

- 1) **Detailed Scope of Work** Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
- 2) **Performance Standards** List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
- 3) **Measurable Outcomes** Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)
- 4) **Project Timeline** Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
- 5) Key Milestones identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)
- 6) **Project Cost** Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
- 7) **Public Participation Process** Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.).
- 8) **Stakeholder List** Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list.

5. Verification

I hereby certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify the project follows the Complete Streets Policy.



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization TIP Project Form (Updated 01/03/2017)

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

Mail:	Bloomington/Monroe County MPO 401 N. Morton Street Suite 160 PO Box 100 Bloomington, IN 47402		email: fax:	<u>mpo@bloomington.in.gov</u> (812) 349-3535
1. Public Ag	gency Information (Fill in all appl	icable fields)):	
Monroe Co		Town oBloomi		
	(ERC): Zachary Hicks Phone: 812-52		-	
	Agrico Lane, Seymour, IN 47274			
Email: zhicks	@indot.in.gov			
2. Project	Information: (Fill in all applicable f	ields):		
• Project	Name: DES Number: # <u>1702627</u>			
• Is this p	project already in the TIP?	s x] No	
	E Location (detailed description of project bandoned RR and Clear Creek, South Bo		ttach an	illustration) <mark>04.05 miles S of SR 45</mark>
• Brief P	Project Description: Bridge Deck Overlay			
• Suppor	rt for the Project (e.g. Local plans, LRTP,	TDP, etc.):		
• Allied	Projects (other projects related to this one	e):		

• Does the project have an Intelligent Transportation Systems component?N/A ______ If so, is the project included in the MPO's ITS architecture? ______

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	STP	\$	<mark>96000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
<mark>PE</mark>	State	\$	<mark>24,000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	STP	\$	\$	<mark>\$893,648</mark>		\$
CN	ST		\$	<mark>\$223,412</mark>		\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		<mark>\$120,000</mark>	<mark>\$1,117,060</mark>		\$

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

 Does the project include an acceptable percentage of construction costs set aside for construction engineering or inspections?
 Yes
 No
 x
 N/A

Year of Implementation Cost:

• Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs?

x Yes

No No

4. Complete Streets

- <u>New Projects</u> If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.
- <u>Existing Projects</u> If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.
- <u>Not Applicable</u> If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.
Complete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:

- x Not Applicable If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. *No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.*
- Compliant The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for **any** phase of project implementation. Additional Information items 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.
- **Exempt -** The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. *Additional Information items* 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.

Reason for exemption:

Additional Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Streets Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific information has not yet been determined."

- 1) **Detailed Scope of Work** Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
- 2) **Performance Standards** List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
- 3) **Measurable Outcomes** Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)
- 4) **Project Timeline** Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
- 5) Key Milestones identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)
- 6) **Project Cost** Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
- 7) **Public Participation Process** Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.).
- 8) **Stakeholder List** Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list.

5. Verification

I hereby certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify the project follows the Complete Streets Policy.



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

	Mail:	401 N. PO Boz	ington/Monroe Cou Morton Street Sui x 100 ington, IN 47402		-OR-	email: fax:	<u>mpo@blo</u> (812) 349-	<u>omington.in</u> 3535	.gov
1. Pub	lic Ag	gency	Information ()	Fill in all app	licable fiel	ds):			
		unty	City of Bloor	-	Town	n of Ellett	sville	xx INI	TOC
🗌 Rura	al Trans	sit	🗌 Indiana Univ	ersity	Bloo	mington T	ransit		
Contact	Name ((<u>ERC</u>) B	randi Fischvogt Ph	one: 812-52	24-3961	Fa	x:		
Address	: <u>185 .</u>	Agrico I	Lane, Seymour, IN	47274	_				
Email:	bfischy	ogt <u>@in</u>	dot.in.gov						
2. Pro	oject l	nforn	nation: (Fill in a	ll applicable	fields):				
•]	Project	Name:	DES Number: # <u>1</u>	1 <u>800968</u>					
•	Is this p	project a	lready in the TIP?	Y	es :	x No			
			n (detailed descript <mark>of concrete).</mark>	ion of projec	et termini oi	attach an	illustration) <mark>SR 37 - I-6</mark>	9 to 0.38 mile
•	Brief P	roject D	escription: Concret	e Pavement I	Restoration				
•	Suppor	t for the	Project (e.g. Local	plans, LRTP	P, TDP, etc.):			
•	Allied I	Projects	(other projects rela	ted to this on	ne):				

• Does the project have an Intelligent Transportation Systems component?N/A ______ If so, is the project included in the MPO's ITS architecture? _____

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	STP	\$	<mark>8,000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
<mark>PE</mark>	State	\$	<mark>2,,000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	STP	\$	\$	<mark>\$1,074,698</mark>		\$
<mark>CN</mark>	ST		\$	<mark>\$268,675</mark>		\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		<mark>\$10,000</mark>	<mark>\$1,343,373</mark>		\$

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

 Does the project include an acceptable percentage of construction costs set aside for construction engineering or inspections?
Yes
No
x
N/A

Year of Implementation Cost:

• Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs?

□ No

x Yes

4. Complete Streets

- <u>New Projects</u> If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.
- <u>Existing Projects</u> If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.
- <u>Not Applicable</u> If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.

Complete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:

- x Not Applicable If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. *No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.*
- Compliant The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for **any** phase of project implementation. Additional Information items 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.
- **Exempt -** The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. *Additional Information items* 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.

Reason for exemption:

Additional Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Streets Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific information has not yet been determined."

- 1) **Detailed Scope of Work** Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
- 2) **Performance Standards** List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
- 3) **Measurable Outcomes** Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)
- 4) **Project Timeline** Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
- 5) Key Milestones identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)
- 6) **Project Cost** Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
- 7) **Public Participation Process** Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.).
- 8) **Stakeholder List** Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list.

5. Verification

I hereby certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify the project follows the Complete Streets Policy.



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization TIP Project Form (Updated 01/03/2017)

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

Mail:	401 N. PO Box	ngton/Monroe County Morton Street Suite (x 100) ngton, IN 47402		R-	email: fax:	<u>mpo@blo</u> (812) 349-	oomington.in.gov -3535	
1. Public Ag	gency]	Information (Fill	in all applicabl	le fields	5):			
Monroe CoRural Tran	2	City of BloominIndiana Univers	· _		of Elletts iington T		xx INDOT	
Contact Name	(<u>ERC</u>) B	randi Fischvogt Phone	e: 812-524-39	61	Fay			
Address: 185	<u>Agrico L</u>	ane, Seymour, IN 47	274					
Email: bfisch	vogt <u>@in</u>	dot.in.gov						
2. Project	Inforn	nation: (Fill in all a	pplicable fields	s):				
• Project	Name:	DES Number: # <u>180</u>	0971					
• Is this j	project al	lready in the TIP?	Yes	x[No			
	Location to I-69.	n (detailed descriptior	n of project term	nini or a	attach an	illustration) <mark>SR 46 from 0.44 r</mark>	niles W
• Brief P	roject De	escription: Concrete P	avement Restor	ration				
• Suppor	t for the	Project (e.g. Local pla	ans, LRTP, TDI	P, etc.):				
• Allied	Projects	(other projects related	to this one):					

• Does the project have an Intelligent Transportation Systems component?N/A ______ If so, is the project included in the MPO's ITS architecture? ______

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	NHPP	\$	8,000	\$	\$	\$
<mark>PE</mark>	State	\$	2,,000	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	NHPP	\$	\$	\$827,347		\$
CN	ST		\$	\$206,837		\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		<mark>\$10,000</mark>	<mark>\$1,034,184</mark>		\$

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

 Does the project include an acceptable percentage of construction costs set aside for construction engineering or inspections?
Yes
No
x
N/A

Year of Implementation Cost:

• Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs?

□ No

x Yes

4. Complete Streets

- <u>New Projects</u> If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.
- <u>Existing Projects</u> If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.
- <u>Not Applicable</u> If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.

Complete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:

- x Not Applicable If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. *No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.*
- Compliant The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for **any** phase of project implementation. Additional Information items 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.
- **Exempt -** The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. *Additional Information items* 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.

Reason for exemption:

Additional Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Streets Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific information has not yet been determined."

- 1) **Detailed Scope of Work** Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
- 2) **Performance Standards** List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
- 3) **Measurable Outcomes** Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)
- 4) **Project Timeline** Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
- 5) Key Milestones identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)
- 6) **Project Cost** Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
- 7) **Public Participation Process** Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.).
- 8) **Stakeholder List** Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list.

5. Verification

I hereby certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify the project follows the Complete Streets Policy.



WHAT ARE COMPLETE STREETS?

In short, Complete Streets are streets for everyone. They are designed and operated to enable safe access for all users of all ages and abilities. Complete Streets make it easy for grandparents, school children and everyone in between to cross the street, walk to businesses and bicycle to work or school. They allow buses to run on time and make it safe for people to walk to and from bus stations.

Creating Complete Streets means transportation agencies must change their approach to community roads. By adopting a policy, communities direct their transportation planners and engineers to <u>routinely design and</u> <u>operate the entire right of way to enable safe access for all users and ensure that the transportation project is suitable to the adjacent land use.</u>

WHAT DOES A COMPLETE STREET LOOK LIKE?

There is no singular design prescription for Complete Streets; each one is unique and responds to its community context. A Complete Street may include: sidewalks, bike facilities, special bus lanes, comfortable and accessible public transportation stops, frequent and safe crossings, median islands, curb extensions, pedestrian signals, narrower travel lanes, roundabouts, and more. A Complete Street in a rural area will look different from a Complete Street in a highly urban area, but both are designed to balance safety and convenience for everyone using the road.

BMCMPO POLICY BACKGROUND

Transportation planning activities present multifaceted challenges in the decision-making process for Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) because of competing interests, priorities, and policies that arise from its broad membership. However, many believe transportation planning has failed by using automobile-centric assumptions and methodologies. Critics point to sprawl, obesity, crash related fatalities, oil dependency, and other negative results from transportation planning which has traditionally focused only on automobiles. While this belief is common among different professions, changing this transportation planning paradigm is difficult.

The BMCMPO strives to achieve transparency, innovation, and public involvement in all its activities. However, BMCMPO staff recognized that a growing frustration with transportation planning has existed with area citizens for some time. The reasons vary, but often are associated with a nontransparent decisionmaking process, a disconnect between the spatial context of the built environment and the transportation network, and a deficiency of transit and non-motorized modes of transportation. The BMCMPO took measures in 2002 to help ensure that adequate opportunities to participate exist for citizens. However, more work needed to be done to improve the transportation planning process. The Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization (BMCMPO) adopted a Complete Streets Policy on January 9, 2009. The Policy empowers and directs citizens, public officials, planners, engineers, and architects to use an interdisciplinary approach to adequately accommodate all users of a corridor into the design and construction of roadway projects. This Policy originated from a webinar presented by the American Planning Association (APA) in 2007 to the BMCMPO membership. Members of the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC), a subcommittee of the BMCMPO, took great interest in the Complete Streets movement and presented the APA webinar to its membership on January 30, 2008. From here BMCMPO staff worked closely with the CAC to develop and adopt the first Complete Streets Policy in Indiana. This grass-roots citizens' effort, together with leadership by BMCMPO staff, has resulted in a model policy for other communities to use and demonstrates the culmination of an outstanding community initiative.

Since its adoption, this Policy has been used as an example for other communities to consider. It has been a major catalyst across the state that has encouraged other MPOs and local governments to adopt their own Complete Streets Policy. The Policy has been presented at state and regional conferences. It received an award at the 2009 Indiana MPO Conference for Outstanding MPO Planning Project. BMCMPO staff testified on the effectiveness of the Policy before the Indiana House of Representatives Roads and Transportation Committee as it considered a Complete Streets Policy for the State (HB1182).

At its outset, the policy was a major catalyst and a shining example of good policy making. However, much has advanced in terms of forward thinking complete streets policy language and content. This has led to the desire for an evaluation of the existing policy. Additionally, the Complete Streets Policy states that: "the BMCMPO shall, at a minimum, evaluate this policy prior to the adoption of the Long Range Transportation Plan. This evaluation shall include recommendations for amendments to the Complete Streets Policy and subsequently be considered by the Citizens Advisory Committee, Technical Advisory Committee, and Policy Committee".

WHAT WILL THE UPDATE PROCESS LOOK LIKE?

We as an MPO have a chance to once again be a model for other communities. Nearly ten years after its initial adoption, an evaluation of this policy is long overdue. Therefore, BMCMPO staff is recommending the formation of a Complete Streets Policy Advisory Group that would be tasked with evaluating and making recommendations for amendments as well as any subsequent work required to update the policy.

I anticipate that the process will occur in two phases. The first phase will consist of the advisory group being tasked with the evaluation of the Complete Streets Policy. We will look at what is working with the policy, what isn't working and where we want to be. We will look at the content, implementation and impact of the policy in order to develop a better understanding of the merit, worth and utility of the policy. We will then look at possible courses of action as a result of those findings. All of this will then be presented to the committees of the MPO with the purpose of settling on an agreed upon course of action. The second phase will consist of carrying forward and fine tuning the agreed upon course of action.

REQUESTED ACTION

Please nominate two individuals who you think will best represent your committee. Ideal candidates will be able to commit to advisory group meetings throughout the development of the policy.



Complete Streets Policy Adopted: January 9, 2009

I: Purpose

This Complete Streets Policy is written to empower and direct citizens, elected officials, government agencies, planners, engineers, and architects to use an interdisciplinary approach to incorporate the needs of all users into the design and construction of roadway projects funded through Bloomington and Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization.

The Complete Streets¹ concept is an initiative to design and build roads that adequately accommodate all users of a corridor, including pedestrians, bicyclists, users of mass transit, people with disabilities, the elderly, motorists, freight providers, emergency responders, and adjacent land users. This concept dictates that appropriate accommodation(s) be made so that all modes of transportation can function safely and independently in current and future conditions. A Complete Streets policy can be adapted to fit local community needs and used to direct future transportation planning. Such a policy should incorporate community values and qualities including environment, scenic, aesthetic, historic and natural resources, as well as safety and mobility. This approach demands careful multi-modal evaluation for all transportation corridors integrated with best management strategies for land use and transportation.

(A) <u>Goals</u>: The goals of this Complete Streets Policy are:

- 1) To ensure that the safety and convenience of all users of the transportation system are accommodated, including pedestrians, bicyclists, users of mass transit, people with disabilities, the elderly, motorists, freight providers, emergency responders, and adjacent land users;
- To incorporate the principles in this policy into all aspects of the transportation project development process, including project identification, scoping procedures and design approvals, as well as design manuals and performance measures;
- 3) To create a comprehensive, integrated, and connected transportation network that supports compact, sustainable development;
- 4) To ensure the use of the latest and best design standards, policies and guidelines;
- 5) To recognize the need for flexibility to accommodate different types of streets and users;
- 6) To ensure that the Complete Streets design solutions fit within the context(s) of the community.

II: Policy

(A) <u>Applicability</u>: The Complete Streets Policy shall apply to all of the following:

 New construction and reconstruction (excluding resurfacing activities that do not alter the current/existing geometric designs of a roadway) of local roadways that will use Federal funds through the BMCMPO for **any** phase of



project implementation including planning, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction, or construction engineering.

- 2) Local roadway projects included in the TIP after the adoption of the Complete Streets Policy AND are not past the Preliminary Field Check Phase or more than 30% complete with design at the time this policy is adopted.
- 3) Local roadway projects where the BMCMPO has the programming authority to allocate Federal funding.
- (B) <u>Requirements</u>:
 - 1) Roadway projects shall accommodate all users of the transportation system, including pedestrians, bicyclists, users of mass transit, people with disabilities, the elderly, motorists, freight providers, emergency responders, and adjacent land users.
 - 2) Roadway projects shall make use of the latest and best design standards, policies, and guidelines. The Local Public Agency (LPA) shall also retain the justification and design decision authority over its projects.
 - 3) Complete Streets solutions shall be developed to fit within the context(s) of the community and those solutions shall be flexible so that the needs of the corridor can be met.
 - 4) Roadway projects shall utilize performance standards with measurable outcomes.
 - 5) Roadway projects shall identify anticipated phases and key milestones of project development.
 - 6) The LPA shall identify a public participation process including benchmark goals to attain as part of their public participation process.
 - The LPA shall maintain open lines of communication with key party/agency/interest groups and shall identify and maintain a key stakeholder list.

III: Process

(A) <u>Call for Projects</u>: The BMCMPO shall issue an annual Call for Projects for any roadway project that seeks to use federal funding and to be programmed in the Transportation Improvement Program. The LPA shall submit a Project Description with the following information to the BMCMPO:

- 1) a detailed project description (e.g. project scope, reconstruction/new construction, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements);
- 2) the intent for the project to be Complete Streets Compliant or to seek a Complete Streets Exemption;
- 3) the performance standards and measurable outcomes;
- 4) project phases and key milestones;
- 5) anticipated costs for design, rights-of-way acquisition, construction, and construction inspection;
- 6) amount of federal funding requested by phase (e.g. preliminary engineering, rights of way, construction, construction inspection);
- 7) anticipated dates for project design initiation and construction letting;



- 8) the public participation process with benchmark goals to attain;
- 9) the project stakeholder list or key party/agency/interest group identification list; and
- 10) the primary contact or project representative information.

If certain information required above is not yet known at the time of the Project Description submittal, the LPA shall provide general details on the required submittal information, but shall state, "specific information has not yet been determined". Additionally, if the roadway project is programmed into the TIP, the LPA shall update the BMCMPO as part of its regular reporting and notify any changes to the project description.

(B) <u>Project Review and Approval</u>: Project Description(s) will be reviewed by the Citizens Advisory Committee and the Technical Advisory Committee prior to being submitted to the Policy Committee for their consideration to adopt into the TIP. The Policy Committee shall certify by resolution that relevant projects identified in the TIP are Complete Streets compliant unless a project receives an exemption under certain circumstances. Roadway projects listed in the TIP shall clearly be identified as Complete Streets Compliant or Complete Streets Exempt.

(C) <u>LPA Reporting</u>: Once a project is programmed into the adopted TIP, the Local Public Agency shall fulfill the scope of work as detailed in the approved Project Description. The LPA shall submit written status reports to the BMCMPO to be included in the meeting packets of the Citizens Advisory Committee, Technical Advisory Committee, and Policy Committee at a minimum of two times a year. The status report shall include a summary of issues identified, significant accomplishments since the initial Project Description submittal or last status report, new details on project implementation, and the preferred design solutions as they pertain to fulfilling the project parameters detailed by the Project Description.

(D) <u>Project Description Change</u>: The LPA shall report to the BMCMPO immediately if a significant change to the roadway project is warranted, especially any change that affects the project's accommodations for one of the users of the corridor. The Policy Committee will review the requested change(s) to the project and determine if the change(s) affects the intent (as detailed by the most recently approved Project Description) to be Complete Streets compliant, Complete Streets exempt, or Complete Streets noncompliant. If the changes significantly affect the intent the Policy Committee shall certify a revised Project Description and determine the roadway project's standing to be Complete Streets compliant or Complete Streets exempt. If a capital roadway project is determined to be Complete Streets noncompliant the Policy Committee shall consider removing the project from the Transportation Improvement Program until such time that the project can be brought back into compliance with the Complete Streets Policy. If the changes do not significantly affect the intent then no action by the Policy Committee is required.



IV: Exemption

(A) <u>Complete Streets Exemption</u>: The BMCMPO Policy Committee shall certify through resolution that justification exists for a roadway project to be exempted from any of the following requirements listed in section **II Policy**, (**B**) **Requirements: B1 through B4**. The Policy Committee may allow such an exemption under certain circumstances, including the following:

- 1) Ordinary maintenance activities designed to keep assets in serviceable condition (e.g. mowing, cleaning, sweeping, spot repair, and regular/seasonal maintenance);
- 2) The project involves a roadway that bicyclists and pedestrians are prohibited by law from using. In such case, efforts should be made to accommodate bicyclists and pedestrians elsewhere;
- 3) There are extreme topographic or natural resource constraints;
- 4) The Long Range Transportation Plan's 20-or-more year Average Daily Traffic projection is less than 1000 vehicles per day;
- 5) When other available means or factors indicate an absence of need presently and in the 20-or-more year horizon;
- 6) A reasonable and equivalent alternative already exists for certain users or is programmed in the TIP as a separate project;
- The project is not a roadway improvement project and/or the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization has no programming authority (e.g. State, Bloomington Transit, Rural Transit, and other projects).

V: Evaluation

The BMCMPO shall, at a minimum, evaluate this policy prior to the adoption of the Long Range Transportation Plan. This evaluation shall include recommendations for amendments to the Complete Streets Policy and subsequently be considered by the Citizens Advisory Committee, Technical Advisory Committee and Policy Committee. Recommendations for amendments shall be distributed to the Local Public Agencies prior to consideration by the BMCMPO Committees.

¹Additional information on Complete Streets is available through the following resources:

National Complete Streets Coalition http://www.completestreets.org/

The American Planning Association - http://www.planning.org/research/streets/index.htm



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization

ADOPTION RESOLUTION FY 2009-08

RESOLUTION ADOPTING A COMPLETE STREETS POLICY as presented to the Policy Committee of the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization (BMCMPO) on January 9, 2009.

- WHEREAS, the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization (BMCMPO) is the organization designated by the Governor of Indiana as the Metropolitan Planning Organization responsible for carrying out, with the State of Indiana, the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 134, and capable of meeting the requirements thereof for the Bloomington, Indiana urbanized area; and
- WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization to establish a Complete Streets Policy so that all roads will be designed and built to accommodate all users of a corridor including pedestrians, bicyclists, users of mass transit, people with disabilities, the elderly, motorists, freight providers, emergency responders, and adjacent land users; and
- WHEREAS, the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization has prioritized development of a truly multi-modal system in the Vision Statement of the Long Range Transportation Plan; and
- WHEREAS, the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization's Transportation Improvement Program identifies implementation of capital improvements in the urbanized area; and
- **WHEREAS**, the civic guidance of the Citizens Advisory Committee and the technical expertise of the Technical Advisory Committee can ensure that investment in transportation infrastructure addresses the needs of all users of a corridor.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

- (1) That the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization hereby adopts the Complete Streets Policy herein attached; and
- (2) That the adopted policy shall be forwarded to all relevant public officials and government agencies, and shall be available for public inspection during regular business hours at the City of Bloomington Planning Department, located in the Showers Center City Hall at 401 North Morton Street, Bloomington, Indiana.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Policy Committee by a vote of 3 - 4, upon this 9th day of January, 2009.

Kent McDaniel Chair, Policy Committee Bloomington/Monroe County MPO

Attest: Josh Desmond Director Bloomington/Monroe County MPO