CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

PARKING COMMISSION

Work Session Meeting Minutes January 11, 5:30 PM Hooker Room, City Hall

Members Present

Jim Blickensdorf – Grazie Italiano, Council Appointee Donna Disque – Mardon Salon, Mayoral Appointee Adrienne Evans Fernandez – At Large Appointee, Council Appointee Mary Jo Shaughnessy - Blue Ridge Neighborhood Association, Mayoral Appointee Steve Volan – City Council Appointee, *ex officio*

Members Not Present

Josh Desmond – Asst. Director of Planning, City of Bloomington Faith Hawkins – Elm Heights Neighborhood Association, Council Appointee Jennifer Jenkins - Not-for-profit appointee representing Wonderlab Mark Need - Meter Zone Resident, Mayoral Appointee

Also Present

Seyedamir Kaboli Farshchi, Long Range Planner, City of Bloomington Bethany Wages, Deputy Clerk, City of Bloomington

Not Present

Nicole Bolden, Clerk, City of Bloomington Scott Robinson – Planning & Transportation, City of Bloomington Amanda Turnipseed, Director of IU Parking Operations Ron Walker, Vice President of Commercial Real Estate, CFC

Call to Order

Meeting was called to order at 5:30 pm.

Reports from Commissioners & City Offices None.

Public Comment None.

<u>Resolutions for Second Reading and Discussion</u> None.

PARKING COMMISSION

Resolutions First Reading and Discussion

Blickensdorf introduced PKG-2018-01 a resolution and draft ordinance language proposing changes to Title 15, seconded by Volan. Draft 1 is dated 01/03/2018, included in the January work session packet, and forwarded to the OOTM on January 5 via email.

Discussions of Topics Not the Subject of Resolutions

Scott Robinson arranged for a conference call with the Desman consultants to discuss the scope of work and provide the commission an opportunity to weigh-in on the areas of study/importance.

The commission also discussed idea for the January presentation to council, scheduled by OOTM for January 17. A copy of the final presentation is incorporated for reference.

Other Motions

None.

Adjournment

Motion to adjourn by Blickensdorf at 6:40pm, seconded by Disque.

PARKING COMMISSION

Discussion of Garage & Citation Recommendations

January goal is to arrive a decision on nine recommendations:

- 6 major decisions dealing with price of permits, hours of enforcement and the amount of free parking time provided in garages
- 3 lesser decisions deal with code cleanup in the garage and citation programs and designate ACH as the primary method of payment for garage permits

GARAGES

1. Garage code cleanup	Remove requirement to display a garage permit, clarifies display of lot permits (§15.48.010)								
	Result: No opposition.								
2. Compel payment by ACH	Should ACH be the primary method of payment and should credit c payments subject to surcharge?								
	Result: No Op	oposition.							
3. 3 Hours Free	Should we recommend a reduction in the amount of free time in the garages?								
	Result: In Favo	Result: In Favor of reducing free time from 3 hours to 1 hour.							
4. Align garage hours	Should we alig	gn the hours of er	nforcement in municipal garages?						
	Result: 100% favor 24/6 gated enforcement, \$0.50 post-pay enforced 8a-9p Mon-Sat with 1 hour of free time provided.								
5. Increase permit prices	Should we increase garage permit prices, and if so, by what amount?								
	Result:								
	12/5 NR	\$40 -> \$50	+25%						
	12/5 NR	\$57 -> \$72	+26%						
	12/5 NR	\$67 -> \$95	+42%						
	12/5 NR	\$76 -> \$125	+64%						
	For context, 24/6 with 1 hour free @\$0.50 = \$299 per month								

Estimated \$376,383 in additional revenue (based on 0 hours free)

CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

PARKING COMMISSION

6. Escalate permit prices	Should permit fees escalate?						
	Result: Establish a schedule of 3% per year or amount specified by Council.						
<u>CITATIONS</u>							
7. Citation code cleanup	Community Accessibility Fund Language, Causes MP violations to be deposited into the MP Fund, Remove fines for bicycle registration/ defacing decal (§15.64.010)						
	Result: No opposition.						
8. Increase citation fines	Should base violation cost be increased from \$20 to another amount?						

9. Increase escalation fines	Increase escalated violation costs from from \$40 to another amount?
9. Increase escalation fines	Increase escalated violation costs from from \$40 to another amount?

Result: Recommend \$60

Result: Recommend \$30.

Estimated revenue: +\$429,674, assuming no change in behavior

PARKING COMMISSION

February Discussion Points

Metered Parking

- 1. No change in street rates.
- 2. Enforcement from 8a-9p, aligned with the garages

Surface Lot Parking

- 1. Reduce free time from 3 hours to 0 hours.
- 2. Conversion from "dumb" meter to smart solution; introduce multi-space meters capable of PbP
- 3. Increase surface lot permits substantially, in line with garage space rates.

Neighborhood Zones

- 1. Reduce the overall size of zones, based on citation and occupancy data
- 2. Pull Zone 4 back to East 8th Street in advance of Toole study and to eliminate the combo zone.
- 3. Consolidate Zone 4-7 to average out occupancy.
- 4. Eliminate Zone 10/11

PARKING COMMISSION

Discussion of Garage & Citation Recommendations

January goal is to arrive a decision on nine recommendations:

- 6 major decisions dealing with price of permits, hours of enforcement and the amount of free parking time provided in garages
- 3 lesser decisions deal with code cleanup in the garage and citation programs and designate ACH as the primary method of payment for garage permits

GARAGES

1. Garage code cleanup	Remove requirement to display a garage permit, clarifies display of lot permits (§15.48.010)
2. Compel payment by ACH	Should ACH be the primary method of payment and should credit card payments subject to surcharge ?
3. 3 Hours Free	Should we recommend a reduction in the amount of free time in the garages?
4. Align garage hours	Should we align the hours of enforcement in municipal garages?
5. Increase permit prices	Should we increase garage permit prices, and if so, by what amount?
6. Escalate permit prices	Should permit fees escalate?

CITATIONS

7. Citation code cleanup	Community Accessibility Fund Language, Causes MP violations to be deposited into the MP Fund, Remove fines for bicycle registration/ defacing decal (§15.64.010)
8. Increase citation fines	Should base violation cost be increased from \$20 to another amount?
9. Increase escalation fines	Increase escalated violation costs from from \$40 to another amount?

2017 Report to Council

CITY OF BLOOMINGTON PARKING COMMISSION

January 17, 2018

A Financial Report on the City of Bloomington's Parking System

PRESENTATION TO COUNCIL

JANUARY 17, 2018

INTRODUCTION

There is no single individual employed by the City with the mandate to collect, review and analyze parking data recorded by City departments.

City departments have different record-keeping procedures and methods, software platforms, bureaucratic inertia, and policy priorities.

In November 2016, the Common Council created the Parking Commission with the mandate to publish an annual report on the state of parking.



2017 Parking Commission

Appointed Members

Jim Blickensdorf Josh Desmond Donna Disque Adrienne Evans Fernandez Faith Hawkins Jennifer Jenkins Mark Need Mary Jo Shaughnessy Stephen Volan **Staff Support** Seyedamir Kaboli Farshchi Scott Robinson

Advisory Members

Anne Bono, Bloomington Chamber of Commerce Amanda Turnipseed, IU Parking Operations Ron Walker, CFC Properties CITY OF BLOOMINGTON PARKING COMMISSION

Authorizing Legislation



To develop, implement, maintain, and promote a comprehensive policy on parking that furthers. the Objectives of the Comprehensive Plan



Supervise the Preparation and Publication of Parking Reports

2

Coordinate Parking Activities



Receive Comments and Concerns on Parking Matters

3

Carry on Educational Activities in Parking Matters



To Recommend ways and means for achieving the Comprehensive Plan Objectives through the administration and enforcement of parking regulations.

CITY OF BLOOMINGTON PARKING COMMISSION

Mission Statement

To ensure that existing spaces are well used for the highest priority users, and to contribute to the City's comprehensive parking management strategy by researching best practices, involving stakeholders in the decision making process, and by formulating and recommending appropriate changes to the municipal code and administrative policy which result in



A Reduced Need

We recommend policies that reduce the need to build parking for future development.



Policies which promote turnover of spaces serve the best interests of everyone.



Greater Sharability

Sharing a finite resource best serves the interests of the community.



Recommending policies that increase the efficiency of the resources allocated to the parking system is vital.

Parking & Transportation Adequacy

(0)

1. Affordable

Are the financial costs within the users' budget ?

3. Accessible

Do options accommodate users' physical and mental abilities ?

4. Acceptable

Are the options suitable to users ?

2. Available

Do options exist at the locations and times required ?

Parking is a necessary & important aspect of a Transportation Demand Management plan

Reduced Access

Unscientific and arbitrary policies result in unmanaged or underutilized supply.

Higher Land-Use Costs

Scarcity drives prices higher; Municipalities must consider the opportunity cost of land devoted to parking.

Negative Environmental 3 Impact

Increased traffic, congestion and cruising time results in negative impact.

Lack of Parking Policy Creates **Problems**



Discourages **Alternative Modes**

Excessive minimum parking standards preclude the need for management or use of alternative modes.



Higher Cost of Goods & **Lower Wages**

The high cost of parking is passed on to consumers and indirectly results in higher priced services and lower wages.

Subsidized Parking Places a Tax Burden on **Those Without Cars**

6

General Fund dollars should not create offsets for special interest groups or cross-subsidies.

CITY OF BLOOMINGTON PARKING COMMISSION

Presentation to Council





2016 YEAR IN REVIEW \$3,633,824 REVENUE \$3,349,413 EXPENSES \$284,411 CASH FLOW \$609,392 CITATIONS \$662,710 TRANSFER FROM TIF \$1,557,447 SURPLUS[‡]

[‡] Data sourced from the Office of the City Controller. Includes Miscellaneous Income of \$933.85.



Garages & Lots



2016 Garages & Lots	
REVENUE	\$1,096,692
EXPENSES	\$1,357,894
NEGATIVE CASH	IFLOW \$261,202
CITATIONS	\$1,572
TIF	\$662,710
PROGRAM BAL	ance \$403,302

[‡] Data sourced from the Office of the City Controller. Includes Miscellaneous Income of \$222.10.



2016 GARAGES & LOTS

PER SPACE METRICS

AVG PERMIT REVENUE	^{\$} 608
COST PER SPACE	\$1,114
2007 OCCUPANCY	23%-58%
2017 OCCUPANCY	90%
> AVERAGE LENGTH OF STA	Y: 71 MINS

➢ FEES NOT ADJUSTED SINCE 2010

> ENTIRELY SUBSIDIZED BY THE TIF



2016 GARAGES & LOTS

FOREGONE REVENUE OF THREE HOURS FREE POLICY

SURFACE LOTS	\$50,000
WALNUT STREET GARAGE	\$15,000
MORTON STREET GARAGE	\$20,000
FOURTH STREET GARAGE [‡]	\$67,000

TOTAL \$152,000

[‡] Interpolated from available pay-on-exit ticket data.



Metered Parking





[‡] Revenue data excludes citations.



2017 Council Report

2016 METERED PARKING

PER METER METRICS

PARKING REVENUE	^{\$} 1,499
CITATION REVENUE	^{\$} 259
TOTAL REVENUE	^{\$} 1,758
MEAN TIME PURCHASED	61.6 min
MEAN BLOCK FACE OCCUPANCY	37.51%
BREAK EVEN BLOCK FACE OCCUPANCY	32%

2016 METERED PARKING





Neighborhood Zones



2016 NEIGHBORDHOOD ZONES	
REVENUE	\$131,860
EXPENSES	\$204,931
CASH FLOW	\$73,071
CITATIONS	\$224,712
PROGRAM BALANCE	^{\$} 151,641

PERMIT PRICES LAST ADJUSTED 2011



GENERAL FUND CHARGES \$56,732 **GARAGES & LOTS** \$57,286 METERED PARKING \$58,062 **NEIGHBORHOOD ZONES** \$172,080 TOTAL CHARGES

THE PROGRAM WITH THE LOWEST REVENUE AND FEWEST FTES HAS THE HIGHEST ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.

CITATIONS

Revenue from citations was treated separately from parking fees.

The City treats citation revenue differently, depending on the parking program.

Why examine Citations separately?

Parking fees and citations have different economic elasticities.

Citation revenues are inversely related to parking fees.

Increasing the base cost of a citation has a temporary, but significant, impact on trip-generation and overall parking revenues.

2017 Council Report

NOTICE OF **ILLEGALLY PARKED VEHICLE**

REFER TO TICKET FOR VIOLATION AND FINE. **\$20 FINES ESCALATE TO \$40** AFTER 14 CALENDAR DAYS.

TO PAY ONLINE USING THE WEB please visit: bloomington.in.gov/parking

TO PAY BY MAIL, enclose citation and check or money order payable to the City of Bloomington in this envelope.

COMPLETE RETURN ADDRESS INFORMATION **ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THIS ENVELOPE.**

DO NOT MAIL CASH

If you believe this citation was written in error, you may appeal the citation. The appeal shall be in writing and shall be on a form approved by the Office of the Clerk. Appeals may be filed online at: bloomington.in.gov/clerk, or delivered in person to: Office of the Clerk.

401 N. Morton St., Bloomington, Indiana 47402. 1 11 demands own he 1

CITATIONS	
METERED PARKING	^{\$} 383,108
NEIGHBORHOOD ZONE	^{\$} 226,284
GARAGES	^{\$} 1,572
TOTAL CITATIONS	^{\$} 610,964
STAFF EXPENSE	^{\$} 720,155
ANCILLARY COSTS	\$85,276
ENFORCEMENT COSTS	\$805,431

CITY OF BLOOMINGTON PARKING COMMISSION

Presentation to Council



POLICY

Economic Theory Driving the Costs of Parking



PARKING IS THE LEAST VALUABLE USE OF LAND

GPP, Comprehensive plan, and developers agree there is often a higher or better use for empty lots.



HIGH CONSTRUCTION COSTS

DRIVE PRICE

Costs of requiring parking gets

passed on as higher rent rates,

higher costs of goods, and results in lower wages.



SCARCITY DRIVES PRICE

Infill causes surface lots to become scarce.

POLICY



Price Equilibrium

The intersection of the Supply and Demand curve may be defined as the price which achieves the desired target occupancy rate.

When Parking is Free

At zero price, the demand for parking, Q, will always outpace the quantity of spaces available, q.

Viewing a Subsidized System

The quantity of parking in a subsidized system, Q_s , will always be greater than the market would naturally provide, Q_m .

By definition, the price in a subsidized market, $\rm P_{s},$ will be less than the market would charge, $\rm P_{m}.$

POLICY

Fiscal Cases



Anarchy

Repeal all regulations; charge nothing.



For Profit

Although many believe parking to be profitable, the actual operating margin is small.



Subsidize Parking

Charge an arbitrary but generally acceptable amount; promote policies that improve acceptability but lack efficiency.



Occupancy Targets

Market-Based Pricing that recovers Capital Costs and achieves Target Occupancy in an area, facility or zone.



Moving Towards Market Based Pricing

Revenue is not the goal.



Option 1: Arbitrary Amounts and Policies

Charge a nominal amount and adopt policies that promote acceptability.

Option 2: Balance Revenue and Costs

Reject using the General Fund dollars to pay for parking. Shift the burden from taxpayers to users.

Option 3: Price to Modify Behavior

Consider the total costs of parking including capital outlay <u>and</u> focus on target occupancy rates when developing a pricing strategy.

CITY OF BLOOMINGTON PARKING COMMISSION

Presentation to Council



Stakeholder's View

PERCEIVED VALUE OF A SPACE

Value of a space is related the proximity in relation to the destination.

PRICING APPROACH

Stakeholders often believe there is not enough parking and that more should be built and/or that parking should be free or charge.

Progressive Policy

REDEFINING THE VALUE OF A SPACE

Supply is allocated to the highest priority user.

Intensity of use increases the value of a space.

The ability to share a space with other users increases the value of a space.

SUPPLY-MANAGEMENT APPROACH

Better management of the existing supply with dynamic and differential pricing and time controls balances the competing interests and demands of a variety of users without building additional parking.

2016 GARAGES & LOTS



2016 METERED PARKING

		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Mean
	Zone	Park Occ	BFO											
100 E 6TH	Zone 3	47%	55%	49%	45%	20%	47%	47%	57%	50%	59%	42%	58%	47%
100 E 7TH	Zone 3	33%	39%	33%	29%	17%	41%	35%	49%	49%	49%	36%	40%	37%
100 E Kirkwood	Zone 3	72%	82%	75%	67%	35%	81%	76%	79%	72%	84%	63%	83%	71%
100 N College	Zone 3	72%	83%	83%	70%	35%	78%	76%	82%	79%	85%	65%	84%	73%
100 N Walnut	Zone 3	70%	82%	83%	73%	38%	85%	84%	89%	83%	86%	72%	88%	77%
100 S College	Zone 3	49%	62%	56%	50%	26%	56%	58%	63%	64%	62%	44%	62%	53%
100 S Walnut	Zone 3	63%	78%	69%	64%	33%	73%	74%	72%	73%	78%	62%	82%	67%
100 W 4TH	Zone 3	35%	60%	44%	42%	16%	42%	42%	43%	44%	52%	30%	47%	41%
100 W 6TH	Zone 3	73%	77%	73%	73%	36%	70%	77%	83%	65%	80%	63%	78%	70%
100 W 7TH	Zone 3	54%	58%	58%	52%	23%	54%	51%	56%	56%	58%	46%	55%	51%
100 W Kirkwood	Zone 3	65%	74%	72%	64%	33%	68%	72%	75%	64%	76%	57%	78%	65%
300 E 4TH	Zone 4	56%	76%	64%	63%	24%	56%	57%	69%	68%	80%	61%	62%	61%
300 E 6TH	Zone 4	38%	49%	47%	43%	24%	46%	44%	47%	50%	48%	38%	43%	43%
300 E 7TH	Zone 4	7%	10%	10%	15%	4%	6%	9%	12%	14%	18%	9%	6%	10%
300 E Kirkwood	Zone 4	65%	91%	84%	74%	37%	82%	76%	90%	90%	91%	71%	83%	77%
100 N Dunn	Zone 5	83%	100%	89%	77%	39%	89%	84%	99%	98%	106%	76%	88%	85%
100 N Grant	Zone 5	72%	87%	78%	70%	37%	81%	78%	89%	91%	90%	71%	83%	76%
100 S Dunn	Zone 5	65%	84%	75%	69%	30%	74%	68%	81%	81%	84%	64%	69%	69%
100 S Grant	Zone 5	73%	75%	82%	75%	34%	75%	71%	87%	80%	89%	70%	80%	73%
100 S Indiana	Zone 5	74%	88%	85%	72%	37%	84%	89%	89%	89%	93%	69%	78%	78%
200 N Dunn	Zone 5	63%	83%	71%	72%	29%	56%	57%	73%	75%	72%	51%	53%	62%
200 N Grant	Zone 5	17%	23%	22%	19%	12%	20%	24%	27%	26%	32%	18%	20%	22%
200 S Dunn	Zone 5	52%	73%	60%	64%	20%	35%	37%	58%	57%	68%	48%	43%	51%
200 S Grant	Zone 5	40%	45%	43%	41%	22%	47%	46%	59%	53%	60%	45%	51%	45%
200 S Indiana	Zone 5	74%	90%	81%	77%	38%	76%	76%	76%	87%	82%	67%	72%	74%
400 E 4TH	Zone 5	62%	83%	72%	70%	27%	65%	64%	77%	76%	82%	62%	71%	67%
400 E 6TH	Zone 5	29%	40%	44%	36%	25%	59%	52%	55%	38%	38%	28%	37%	40%
400 E 7TH	Zone 5	19%	26%	22%	25%	12%	23%	23%	25%	32%	31%	23%	23%	23%
400 E Kirkwood	Zone 5	77%	88%	90%	75%	43%	91%	84%	93%	90%	91%	71%	88%	81%
500 E 4TH	Zone 5	75%	95%	82%	79%	36%	86%	84%	88%	91%	92%	68%	81%	79%
500 E 6TH	Zone 5	61%	83%	64%	68%	27%	53%	56%	68%	85%	93%	64%	64%	65%
500 E Kirkwood	Zone 5	72%	86%	77%	69%	35%	77%	74%	87%	85%	84%	70%	80%	74%

2016 NEIGHBORDHOOD ZONES

Zone	Permits	Visitor	Temp	Total Permits	Legal Spaces	Permit Occupancy	Permit & Visitor Occupancy
Zone 1	862	346	173	1381	1341	64.3%	90.1%
Zone 2	183	7	12	202	749	24.4%	25.4%
Zone 3	131	45	102	278	351	37.3%	50.1%
Zone 4	522	113	51	686	454	115.0%	139.9%
Zone 5	292	72	46	410	292	100.0%	124.7%
Zone 6	88	27	27	142	149	59.1%	77.2%
Zone 7	286	43	135	464	333	85.9%	98.8%
Zone 8	20	5	3	28	14	142.9%	178.6%
Zone 9	139	57	32	228	119	116.8%	164.7%
Zone 10	49	16	8	73	184	26.6%	35.3%
Zone 11	75	25	9	109	112	67.0%	89.3%



High Intensity of Use

The more frequently a space is used in a given period of time (turnover), the higher the intensity of use.

Sharability

An unused, reserved parking space serves no one's transportation needs. The preference is to share parking whenever possible, including extending parking controls through public-private partnerships.

THE GOAL IS HIGHER INSTENSITY OF USE AND GREATER SHARABILTY BETWEEN USERS.



CITY OF BLOOMINGTON PARKING COMMISSION

COMPREHENSIVE PARKING MANAGEMENT

- > Prioritizes users of a lot, zone, or area.
- > Leverages technology and data to measure occupancy rates.
- > Aligns rates and hours of enforcement.
- > Establishes differential and dynamic pricing.
- > Implements appropriate time limits.
- > Consolidates management within a single division.
- > Manages existing supply before recommending building additional.
- > Modifies behavior through pricing.



Parking Commission meets the second and fourth Thursday of each month.

All meetings are open to the public.



2017 Meeting Schedule

Work Sessions

2nd Thursday of the Month Dunlap Room #235 5:30 PM

Regular Meetings

4th Thursday of the Month Hooker Room #245 5:30 PM

QUESTIONS