City of Bloomington
Common Council

Legislative Packet

Containing legislation and materials related to:

Thursday, 06 October 2022

Special Session at 5:00pm

CITY OF BLOOMINGTON COMMON COUNCIL

AGENDA:
SPECIAL SESSION
THURSDAY | 5:00 PM
06 October 2022

Council Chambers (#115), Showers Building, 401 N. Morton Street
The meeting may also be accessed at the following link:
https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/85138216250?pwd=SnlFdlJrWW1lYlU0d3RvT3g4eUtCdz09

I. ROLL CALL

II. AGENDA SUMMATION

III. LEGISLATION FOR THIRD AND SUBSEQUENT READINGS AND RESOLUTIONS

A. Ordinance 22-24 - To Amend Title 2 of the Bloomington Municipal Code Entitled "Administration and Personnel" – Re: Amending Article VI of Chapter 2.04 (Common Council) To Establish Councilmanic Districts for the City of Bloomington

Committee Recommendation -	N/A
Second Reading (September 21, 2022) -	Postponed to a Third Reading on October 6, 2022

IV. LEGISLATION FOR FIRST READINGS

A. Appropriation Ordinance 22-04 - To Specially Appropriate from the General Fund Expenditures not Otherwise Appropriated to Fund an Emergency Reproductive Health Care Grant Program to Help Address the Impacts of Indiana's Near-Total Abortion Ban

V. COUNCIL SCHEDULE

VI. ADJOURNMENT

Auxiliary aids are available upon request with adequate notice. Please call (812) 349-3409 or email council@bloomington.in.gov.

Posted: 30 September 2022

City of Bloomington
Office of the Common Council

NOTICE

Thursday, 06 October 2022

Special Session at 5:00 pm

This meeting will be held in the Council Chambers (Suite #115, City Hall, 401 N. Morton St) and may also be accessed electronically via Zoom (see information below).

Join Zoom Meeting
https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/85138216250?pwd=SnlFdlJrWW1lYlU0d3RvT3g4eUtCdz09

Meeting ID: 851 3821 6250
Passcode: 027666
One tap mobile
+13017158592,,85138216250# US (Washington DC)
+13092053325,,85138216250# US

Meeting ID: 851 3821 6250
Find your local number: https://bloomington.zoom.us/u/kepinfziS2

As a quorum of the Council or its committees may be present, this gathering constitutes a meeting under the Indiana Open Door Law (I.C. § 5-14-1.5). For that reason, this statement provides notice that this meeting will occur and is open for the public to attend, observe, and record what transpires.

Posted: Friday, 30 September 2022

City of Bloomington Indiana
City Hall | 401 N. Morton St. | Post Office Box 100 | Bloomington, Indiana 47402
Office of the Common Council | (812) 349-3409 | Fax: (812) 349-3570 | email: council@bloomington.in.gov

MEMO FROM COUNCIL OFFICE ON:
(Updated September 30, 2022)

Ordinance 22-24 – To Amend Title 2 of the Bloomington Municipal Code Entitled "Administration and Personnel" - Re: Amending Article VI of Chapter 2.04 (Common Council) To Establish Councilmanic Districts for the City of Bloomington

Synopsis

This ordinance fulfills the Council's obligation, in accordance with IC 36-4-6-3, to establish six councilmanic districts in 2022 based upon data received as a result of the federal census in 2020. Under this statute, these districts must be contiguous, reasonably compact, and, as nearly as possible, of equal population. The ordinance brings forward recommendations of the Citizens' Redistricting Advisory Commission, which allowed and invited members of the Commission and public to offer maps for the Commission's consideration in light of the statutory requirements and other local criteria. The Commission met five times, with members of the public present at each meeting, considered various plans prepared by commissioners, city staff, and the public, and recommended this map, which is in compliance with statutory requirements.

Relevant Materials

- Ordinance 22-24
- "Exhibit A" – Proposed Map and List of Precincts with Population Totals
- Report and Recommendation from the Citizens' Redistricting Advisory Commission
    - A supporting law review article included with the report was omitted from this packet but is accessible in the full report at: https://bloomington.in.gov/sites/default/files/2022-09/Report%20and%20Recommendation%20-%20signed.pdf
- Order from Monroe County Commissioners dated December 15, 2021 and Accompanying Documents
- Draft Amendment 01 to Ordinance 22-24

Update

At its September 21, 2022 Regular Session, the Council deliberated on Ordinance 22-24 and postponed further consideration of the item to an October 6th Special Session. During deliberations, members discussed the possibility of rejecting the recommended district map and returning the ordinance to the Citizens' Redistricting Advisory Commission ("Commission").

City of Bloomington Indiana
City Hall | 401 N. Morton St. | Post Office Box 100 | Bloomington, Indiana 47402
Office of the Common Council | (812) 349-3409 | Fax: (812) 349-3570 | email: council@bloomington.in.gov

BMC 2.12.130(g)(3) states, "The common council shall act on the commission's recommended districts before November 1 of the second year following a decennial census. If the common council rejects the commission's recommended districts, the common council shall return the matter to the commission with a written statement of the reasons for the rejection."

If a motion to adopt Ordinance 22-24 is passed on October 6th (and subsequently approved by the Mayor), the new district map will be adopted and the Commission will disband pursuant to BMC 2.12.130(b). The City Clerk would then file the adopted ordinance and map with the County Clerk as required by state law.

If a motion to adopt Ordinance 22-24 fails on October 6th, the ordinance will need to be returned to the Commission along with a written statement of the reasons for the rejection. This written statement could take the form of written guidance approved by a majority of the Council, which any member could offer up for consideration if the motion to adopt Ordinance 22-24 fails.

In the event the ordinance fails, it's also possible that the members who voted "no" on its adoption will have different reasons for their votes. In the absence of a council majority agreeing upon/approving a written statement explaining the reasons for a rejection, individual members voting against the ordinance should be prepared to provide written reasons for those votes to the Council Office as quickly as possible after the vote. Those reasons would then be compiled into a letter from the Council President that would accompany the return of the ordinance to the Commission and would state the outcome of the Council's deliberations on the recommended map.

Please note that maps displayed by Councilmember Volan at the September 21st meeting have been posted on the redistricting webpage here: https://bloomington.in.gov/council/redistricting. These maps, along with all maps considered by the Commission, have also been added to the city redistricting web map, which allows maps to be viewed alongside other GIS layers within that tool, including historic districts, neighborhoods, school districts, township boundaries, roads, and various census data.

Also note that an Amendment 01 (previously distributed in a packet addendum) has been prepared and is now included in this packet. The amendment would reassign the annexation area that was the subject of Ordinance 17-12 to a different council district to ensure compliance with contiguity requirements under state law. Further review and almost-certain revision of council districts would again be needed once any annexation ordinances take effect.

City of Bloomington Indiana
City Hall | 401 N. Morton St. | Post Office Box 100 | Bloomington, Indiana 47402
Office of the Common Council | (812) 349-3409 | Fax: (812) 349-3570 | email: council@bloomington.in.gov

Summary

Ordinance 22-24 would establish six new council districts as required by state law (Ind. Code 36-4-6-3) during the second year following a federal decennial census. The ordinance brings forward the recommendation of the Citizens' Redistricting Advisory Commission, which was established via Ordinance 20-30 (as amended) for the purpose of making recommendations to the Council regarding its decennial redistricting ordinance.

The Commission was charged with recommending districts that comply with federal and state laws. These laws generally require districts that are contiguous, reasonably compact, and, as nearly as possible, equal in population. With some specific exceptions, districts should not cross county precinct boundaries. Districts should also comply with the federal Voting Rights Act. After a federal census, city legislative bodies in Indiana are required to either adopt an ordinance recertifying that the districts as drawn comply with these criteria or adopt an ordinance dividing the city into six districts that do comply with these criteria.

The Commission was also charged with making recommendations that, whenever possible, avoid splitting communities of interest into multiple districts. Finally, if the criteria above would not be negatively impacted, the Commission was instructed to draw districts to encourage political competition.

In 2022, the Commission met on July 11th, July 25th, August 22nd, August 31st, and September 7th. On July 12th, 2022, a press release was issued inviting members of the public to submit new council district suggestions to the Commission. Each of the Commission's meetings was attended by members of the public and included an opportunity for the public to speak. The Commission issued a Report and Recommendation on September 7th, which was provided to the Council and made available publicly that same date. The Commission's meeting materials, recordings, and various map submissions are available online at: https://bloomington.in.gov/council/redistricting. This webpage also includes the mapping tools and data used by the Commission when creating and considering map proposals.

Ordinance 22-24 repeals and replaces Bloomington Municipal Code 2.04.500 to codify the descriptions of the proposed council districts. It also directs the City Clerk to file the ordinance with the Monroe County Clerk within 30 days after adoption, as required by state law. Staff does not believe the ordinance directly impacts city revenues, expenditures, or debt obligations.

Contact

Council Office, council@bloomington.in.gov, (812) 349-3409

ORDINANCE 22-24
TO AMEND TITLE 2 OF THE BLOOMINGTON MUNICIPAL CODE ENTITLED "ADMINISTRATION AND PERSONNEL"
- Re: Amending Article VI of Chapter 2.04 (Common Council) to Establish Councilmanic Districts for the City of Bloomington

WHEREAS, Ind. Code § 36-4-6-3 requires that the City be divided into six (6) councilmanic districts during the second year after a year in which a federal decennial census is conducted; and

WHEREAS, this statute also requires that these districts be contiguous, reasonably compact, and, as nearly as possible, of equal population, and, with some specific exceptions, not cross precinct boundaries; and

WHEREAS, the Citizens' Redistricting Advisory Commission ("Commission") was established by Ordinance 20-30, as amended, for the purpose of making recommendations to the Common Council regarding its decennial redistricting ordinance; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance 20-30, as amended, instructed the Commission to recommend district boundaries that comply with federal and state requirements, that avoid splitting communities of interest, and, when it does not negatively impact the other criteria, that encourage political competition; and

WHEREAS, prior to the Commission's first meeting, the city's Information and Technology Services Department provided maps, an interactive table with precinct and population data, and an interactive mapping tool for Commission members and the public to use to prepare maps that met the requirements for redistricting proposals; and

WHEREAS, the Commission held five meetings across July, August, and September 2022 and submitted a report that included descriptions of the recommended council districts, an accompanying map depicting the recommended districts, and a description of how the recommended districts comply with the relevant criteria; and

WHEREAS, each of these meetings complied with the Indiana Open Door Law, was attended by members of the public, and included an opportunity for the public to comment; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that the proposed districts are contiguous, reasonably compact, and, as nearly as possible, of equal population;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION 1. Article VI of Chapter 2.04 of the Bloomington Municipal Code shall be amended by deleting Section 2.04.500 (Definition of councilmanic districts) and replacing it with the following:

2.04.500 Definition of councilmanic districts.

The City of Bloomington is hereby divided into six (6) councilmanic districts which shall be known as the First District, Second District, Third District, Fourth District, Fifth District, and Sixth District. A copy of the map of these districts and the associated precinct populations are attached to this ordinance (Ordinance 22-24) as Exhibit "A." These districts shall consist of precincts as they were set forth in the "Order Establishing Precincts" of the Monroe County Commissioners dated December 15, 2021. This Order and the associated precinct map, and the IEC-8 forms are incorporated by reference into this ordinance and, in accordance with IC 36-1-5-4, two copies of this material shall be kept on file in the office of the City Clerk and Council for inspection by the public. These districts and their component precincts are as follows:

FIRST DISTRICT. The First Councilmanic District shall consist of the following designated precincts:

(a) Perry Township Precincts 3, 5, 6, 8, 29 & 31
(b) Van Buren Township Precinct 2

SECOND DISTRICT. The Second Councilmanic District shall consist of the following designated precincts:

(a) Bloomington Township Precincts 2, 6, 13, 14, 17, & 20
(b) Perry Township Precinct 1
(c) Richland Township Precinct 9

THIRD DISTRICT. The Third Councilmanic District shall consist of the following designated precincts:

(a) Bloomington Township Precincts 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 21, 22, & 23

FOURTH DISTRICT. The Fourth Councilmanic District shall consist of the following designated precincts:

(a) Perry Township Precincts 7, 9, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 30, & 32

FIFTH DISTRICT. The Fifth Councilmanic District shall consist of the following designated precincts:

(a) Perry Township Precincts 10, 11, 12, 13, 18, 19, 21, 26, & 28

SIXTH DISTRICT. The Sixth councilmanic District shall consist of the following designated precincts:

(a) Bloomington Township Precincts 1, 3, 4, 5, 18, & 19

SECTION 2. The district boundaries established in this ordinance supersede those established in all previous ordinances.

SECTION 3. In accordance with I.C. 36-4-6-3(m), the City Clerk is directed to file the ordinance with the Monroe County Clerk not later than thirty (30) days after the ordinance is adopted.

SECTION 4. Severability. If any section, sentence or provision of this ordinance, or the application thereof to any person or circumstance shall be declared invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any of the other sections, sentences, provisions, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are declared to be severable.

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this _____ day of _____, 2022.

_____
SUSAN SANDBERG, President
Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

_____
NICOLE BOLDEN, Clerk
City of Bloomington

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this _____ day of _____, 2022.

_____
NICOLE BOLDEN, Clerk
City of Bloomington

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this _____ day of _____, 2022.

_____
JOHN HAMILTON, Mayor
City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

This ordinance fulfills the Council's obligation, in accordance with IC 36-4-6-3, to establish six councilmanic districts in 2022 based upon data received as a result of the federal census in 2020. Under this statute, these districts must be contiguous, reasonably compact, and, as nearly as possible, of equal population. The ordinance brings forward recommendations of the Citizens' Redistricting Advisory Commission, which allowed and invited members of the Commission and public to offer maps for the Commission's consideration in light of the statutory requirements and other local criteria. The Commission met five times, with members of the public present at each meeting, considered various plans prepared by commissioners, city staff, and the public, and recommended this map, which is in compliance with statutory requirements.

ITS Department

City of Bloomington

Geographic Information System

Redistricting Candidate Map

2022



Precinct Data: January 6, 2022

Produced: 9/7/2022

0 750 1,500 3,000 4,500 6,000 Feet

(Scale of 1 Inch Equals 1500 Feet is valid only when printed at original 24 x 36 inch size.)

This map was produced by the City of Bloomington GIS, for use by the City and general public as map information. The planimetric information is based on aerial orthoimagery flown in March 2016.

This map was produced by the City of Bloomington GIS, for use by the City and general public as map information. The planimetric information is based on aerial orthoimagery flown in March 2016.

The Corporation boundary reflects annexations effective as of the print date on this map.

Layout: CandidateMaps2022
Project: CityCouncilRedistrictingMaps

Precinct Name	2020 Census Population	Current City Council Districts	2022 CRAC Recomm-endation	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6
BLOOMINGTON 01	1,476	6	6						1,476
BLOOMINGTON 02	2,718	1	2		2,718				
BLOOMINGTON 03	1,942	6	6						1,942
BLOOMINGTON 04	912	6	6						912
BLOOMINGTON 05	4,024	2	6						4,024
BLOOMINGTON 06	1,632	1	2		1,632				
BLOOMINGTON 07	1,370	2	3			1,370			
BLOOMINGTON 08	884	4	3			884			
BLOOMINGTON 09	1,830	3	3			1,830			
BLOOMINGTON 10	1,217	3	3			1,217			
BLOOMINGTON 13	1,349	2	2		1,349				
BLOOMINGTON 14	2,400	2	2		2,400				
BLOOMINGTON 16	1,695	3	3			1,695			
BLOOMINGTON 17	1,272	2	2		1,272				
BLOOMINGTON 18	3,322	4	6						3,322
BLOOMINGTON 19	1,216	6	6						1,216
BLOOMINGTON 20	2,332	6	2		2,332				
BLOOMINGTON 21	2,070	3	3			2,070			
BLOOMINGTON 22	1,410	3	3			1,410			
BLOOMINGTON 23	2,570	2	3			2,570			
PERRY 01	2,083	1	2		2,083				
PERRY 03	2,224	1	1	2,224					
PERRY 05	2,663	1	1	2,663					
PERRY 06	1,217	5	1	1,217					
PERRY 07	1,327	6	4				1,327		
PERRY 08	1,305	5	1	1,305					
PERRY 09	1,622	5	4				1,622		
PERRY 10	1,909	5	5					1,909	
PERRY 11	1,672	5	5					1,672	
PERRY 12	1,246	5	5					1,246	
PERRY 13	1,294	5	5					1,294	
PERRY 14	1,390	4	4				1,390		
PERRY 15	949	4	4				949		
PERRY 16	1,276	4	4				1,276		
PERRY 17	1,519	4	4				1,519		
PERRY 18	1,294	4	5					1,294	
PERRY 19	1,259	4	5					1,259	
PERRY 20	2,097	3	4				2,097		
PERRY 21	2,427	3	5					2,427	
PERRY 26	1,330	4	5					1,330	
PERRY 28	671	5	5					671	
PERRY 29	1,945	1	1	1,945					
PERRY 30	1,578	6	4				1,578		
PERRY 31	1,524	5	1	1,524					
PERRY 32	1,597	5	4				1,597		
RICHLAND 09	30	1	2		30				
VAN BUREN 02	2,084	1	1	2,084					
Total Population	**79,173**	**Population of CRAC Districts (7.00% deviation)**		**12,962**	**13,816**	**13,046**	**13,355**	**13,102**	**12,892**

Report and Recommendation of the 2022 Citizens' Redistricting Advisory Commission

The Report and Recommendation of the 2022 Citizens' Redistricting Advisory Commission includes the following materials:

- Description of recommended council districts in table with population totals
- Map depicting recommended council districts
- Agendas and Memoranda for Meetings on :
    - July 11th, 2022
    - July 25th, 2022
    - August 22nd, 2022
    - August 31st, 2022
- Other materials available in the Office of City Clerk/Council and/or online at https://bloomington.in.gov/council/redistricting include, but are not limited to:
    - Interactive mapping tools used by Commission in creating various proposals;
    - Reference maps, GIS data, and related files
    - Council District Calculator spreadsheet
    - All maps submitted for Commission consideration

The Citizens' Redistricting Advisory Commission ("Commission") recommends that the Bloomington Common Council adopt the council districts described and depicted in this Report as the six councilmanic districts for the City of Bloomington. The Commission finds that the recommended districts comply with the criteria listed in Bloomington Municipal Code 2.12.130(e). The recommended districts have a population deviation between the smallest and largest districts of approximately 7.00%, which is an improvement from the 2012 redistricting effort that yielded a map with a population deviation (at the time) of 8.66%. The recommended districts also improve upon compactness when compared to their 2012 counterparts, with each of the districts becoming more compact based on the Polsby-Popper compactness test. The Commission received, created, or requested various map options, numbered #1 through #15. The Commission was able to review all map options in conjunction with race/ethnicity data. The Commission does not believe the recommended map leads to a discriminatory result. The recommended map utilizes Third Street, which divides Bloomington and Perry townships, as a boundary, with only one proposed district (District 2) spanning across this line. The Commission was also able to review all map options overlaid with specified communities of interest through the various mapping tools made available. The Commission attempted to avoid dividing neighborhoods between districts when possible. Of the options considered, the Commission believes the recommended districts and map included herein best respect areas where residents have common traits and concerns.

This 2022 Report of the Citizens' Redistricting Advisory Commission is signed by the following members. By signing this sheet, the members affirm approval of the Memoranda and Report:

Member	**Date**
Alex Semchuck, Chair	9/7/22
Amanda Sheridan	9/7/22
Kathleen Field	9-7-22
Mackenzie Colston	9-7-22
Michael Schnoll	9/7/22

Precinct Name	2020 Census Population	Current City Council Districts	2022 CRAC Recomm-endation	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6
BLOOMINGTON 01	1,476	6	6						1,476
BLOOMINGTON 02	2,718	1	2		2,718				
BLOOMINGTON 03	1,942	6	6						1,942
BLOOMINGTON 04	912	6	6						912
BLOOMINGTON 05	4,024	2	6						4,024
BLOOMINGTON 06	1,632	1	2		1,632				
BLOOMINGTON 07	1,370	2	3			1,370			
BLOOMINGTON 08	884	4	3			884			
BLOOMINGTON 09	1,830	3	3			1,830			
BLOOMINGTON 10	1,217	3	3			1,217			
BLOOMINGTON 13	1,349	2	2		1,349				
BLOOMINGTON 14	2,400	2	2		2,400				
BLOOMINGTON 16	1,695	3	3			1,695			
BLOOMINGTON 17	1,272	2	2		1,272				
BLOOMINGTON 18	3,322	4	6						3,322
BLOOMINGTON 19	1,216	6	6						1,216
BLOOMINGTON 20	2,332	6	2		2,332				
BLOOMINGTON 21	2,070	3	3			2,070			
BLOOMINGTON 22	1,410	3	3			1,410			
BLOOMINGTON 23	2,570	2	3			2,570			
PERRY 01	2,083	1	2		2,083				
PERRY 03	2,224	1	1	2,224					
PERRY 05	2,663	1	1	2,663					
PERRY 06	1,217	5	1	1,217					
PERRY 07	1,327	6	4				1,327		
PERRY 08	1,305	5	1	1,305					
PERRY 09	1,622	5	4				1,622		
PERRY 10	1,909	5	5					1,909	
PERRY 11	1,672	5	5					1,672	
PERRY 12	1,246	5	5					1,246	
PERRY 13	1,294	5	5					1,294	
PERRY 14	1,390	4	4				1,390		
PERRY 15	949	4	4				949		
PERRY 16	1,276	4	4				1,276		
PERRY 17	1,519	4	4				1,519		
PERRY 18	1,294	4	5					1,294	
PERRY 19	1,259	4	5					1,259	
PERRY 20	2,097	3	4				2,097		
PERRY 21	2,427	3	5					2,427	
PERRY 26	1,330	4	5					1,330	
PERRY 28	671	5	5					671	
PERRY 29	1,945	1	1	1,945					
PERRY 30	1,578	6	4				1,578		
PERRY 31	1,524	5	1	1,524					
PERRY 32	1,597	5	4				1,597		
RICHLAND 09	30	1	2		30				
VAN BUREN 02	2,084	1	1	2,084					
Total Population	**79,173**	**Population of CRAC Districts (7.00% deviation)**		**12,962**	**13,816**	**13,046**	**13,355**	**13,102**	**12,892**

City Council Redistricting Map Option #11



August 31, 2022 Public User

Produced from the City Council Redistricting Web Map

- Bloomington Voting Precincts 2022
- Monroe County Townships
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6

0 0.45 0.9 1.8 mi

AGENDA
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON - CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMISSION

MONDAY, JULY 11, 2022, 5:30 PM
BLOOMINGTON CITY HALL - SHOWERS BUILDING
ALLISON CONFERENCE ROOM (SUITE 225)
401 NORTH MORTON STREET, 47404

MEETING ALSO ACCESSIBLE VIA ZOOM AT:
https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/82716050729?pwd=N0tLV2ZzZzRkR1Axa29WNEpBMXpvdz09

I. Welcome and Member Introductions
II. Agenda Summation
III. 2022 Citizens' Redistricting Advisory Commission Overview by Staff
    a. Obligations and goals
    b. Rules that apply to any proposed districts - Federal, state, local considerations
    c. Final product – Report to Council with recommended district map
IV. Overview of useful materials and where they can be located
    a. Staff Presentation on mapping tool – Laura Haley
    b. Other available resources
V. Public Input - submission of proposed maps and public comment at meetings?
VI. Schedule
    a. Proposed agendas and timeline for future meetings
VII. Commissioner questions
VIII. Public comment
IX. Other
X. Adjourn

Posted: 07 July 2022

MEETING MEMO
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON - CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMISSION

MONDAY, JULY 11, 2022, 5:30 PM
BLOOMINGTON CITY HALL - SHOWERS BUILDING
ALLISON CONFERENCE ROOM (SUITE 225)
401 NORTH MORTON STREET, 47404

MEETING ALSO ACCESSIBLE VIA ZOOM AT:
https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/82716050729?pwd=N0tLV2ZzZzRkR1Axa29WNEpBMXpvdz09

I. Welcome and Member Introductions

Present in person: Alex Semchuck, Amanda Sheridan, Kathleen Field, Mackenzie Colston
Absent: Michael Schnoll

Commissioners and staff introduced themselves.

II. Agenda Summation

Cm. Semchuck summarized the agenda.

III. 2022 Citizens' Redistricting Advisory Commission Overview by Staff

a. Obligations and goals

Council Administrator/Attorney Stephen Lucas provided an overview of the relevant timeline and applicable deadlines that applied to the Commission's work. Lucas explained that the ideal population for new districts totaled approximately 13,195 and described how to calculate the population deviation.

b. Rules that apply to any proposed districts - Federal, state, local considerations

Lucas described that federal rules and criteria come from the U.S. Constitution and federal Voting Rights Act. The Commission should consider any racial impact new districts may have and may not draw districts that intentionally or accidentally discriminate. The concept of one person, one vote also leads into the requirement for districts with equal population.

Lucas presented webinar slides from a prior webinar for cities and towns hosted by Accelerate Indiana Municipalities that discussed Indiana's redistricting rules, which included contiguity, reasonable compactness, and equal population. Lucas explained that a 10% population deviation from the smallest to largest district was used as a threshold the Commission should not exceed. Lucas described that county precincts were used as components to build council districts. He said the County asked the Commission to do its best not cross precinct boundaries due to the administrative burden that would present.

Lucas reviewed local criteria, which instructed the Commission, whenever possible, to avoid recommending districts that split communities of interest (e.g., townships, neighborhoods, school districts, historic districts) and to encourage political competition.

Staff asked if the Commission would like a press release announcing to the public the acceptance of map proposals. Commissioners said they would like council staff to send out a press release requesting map suggestion submissions from the public.

IV. Overview of useful materials and where they can be located

Staff displayed the Commission's web page and explained it would host all resources of the Commission. Staff said the Commission could request any additional resources from Council staff and/or ITS staff.

a. Staff Presentation on mapping tool

GIS Manager Laura Haley provided an overview on the city mapping tool created to assist the Commission in creating and reviewing map proposals.

b. Other available resources

Staff reviewed the 2022 Redistricting Map, Data Portal, District Calculator Spreadsheet, and raw data, and described Auto-Redistrict software.

Haley offered to make any needed adjustments to the Redistricting Calculator.

V. Public Input - submission of proposed maps and public comment at meetings?

The Commission would like council staff to send out a press release and invite the public to submit map proposals.

VI. Schedule

a. Proposed agendas and timeline for future meetings

Next two meetings:

Monday July 25th at 7:30 pm

Tuesday August 9th at 9:30 am

VII. Commissioner questions

VIII. Public comment

Chuck Livingston commented on the poor quality of sound in the meeting and pointed out an error in the spreadsheet calculator.

Dave Askins called attention to an administrative error regarding the appointment of the Commission chair.

Cm. Field moved and it was seconded to elect Cm. Semchuck as Chair of the Commission. All voted in favor of the motion.

IX. Other

X. Adjourn at 7:00pm

AGENDA
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON - CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMISSION

MONDAY, JULY 25, 2022, 7:30 PM
BLOOMINGTON CITY HALL - SHOWERS BUILDING
MCCLOSKEY CONFERENCE ROOM (SUITE 135)
401 NORTH MORTON STREET, 47404

MEETING ALSO ACCESSIBLE VIA ZOOM AT:
https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/87809366880?pwd=bjdvMUR5Vmg0b0YvU09BMGRzODBydz09

I. Roll call
II. Commissioner questions & concerns
III. Commissioner Map Presentations and Discussion
IV. Discussion of submitted maps / public correspondence
V. Establishment of Next Steps
VI. Public Comment
VII. Other
VIII. Adjourn

Posted: 25 July 2022

MEETING MEMO
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON - CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMISSION

MONDAY, JULY 25, 2022, 7:30 PM
BLOOMINGTON CITY HALL - SHOWERS BUILDING
MCCLOSKEY CONFERENCE ROOM (SUITE 135)
401 NORTH MORTON STREET, 47404

MEETING ALSO ACCESSIBLE VIA ZOOM AT:
https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/87809366880?pwd=bjdvMUR5Vmq0b0YvU09BMGRzODBydz09

I. Roll call at 7:38pm

Present in person: Alex Semchuck, Amanda Sheridan, Mackenzie Colston
Present via Zoom: Kathleen Field
Absent: Michael Schnoll

II. Commissioner questions & concerns

Chair Semchuck opened with an invitation for any questions from Commissioners and asked if there were any Commissioner-created maps. Cm. Sheridan had created a map.

III. Commissioner Map Presentations and Discussion

Cm. Sheridan brought a physical map, which was copied by staff during the meeting and then distributed to those present. Cm. Sheridan gave an overview of the map she had created and the Commission discussed the population deviation. Cm. Sheridan explained she had made a map with the fewest number of changes possible to achieve an acceptable population deviation by moving the precinct Perry 1.

Chair Semchuck commented on the lack of compactness, specifically precincts that jutted into more compact, neighboring districts. He also mentioned that the move of Perry 1 added to the division of neighborhoods.

Cm. Field noted that Map #3, a public submission, was a good representation of compactness. There was further discussion of this map. Cm. Field brought attention to the southwest section of the city and the irregularly-shaped and noncontiguous precincts.

Council Administrator/Attorney Stephen Lucas noted that the IT department could assist in getting larger or different copies of maps and IT could upload potential maps created in other formats into the Bloomington mapping tool for closer examination.

Cm. Sheridan noted that the academic community had a set of political views that might differ from other communities in Bloomington.

IV. Discussion of submitted maps / public correspondence

The Commission reviewed publicly submitted materials, including a letter and various maps from Charles Livingston. The Commission reviewed specific concerns presented in Mr. Livingston's letter, including keeping neighborhoods and other communities of interest together.

The Commission reviewed maps submitted by Dave Askins, which Mr. Askins explained were submitted to him from his readers.

Lucas explained an error on the population deviation calculation included in the Districtr mapping tool. He then offered the correct deviation percentages to the commissioners for each of the publicly-submitted maps.

Cm. Field asked clarifying questions about the mapping tools. Chair Semchuck answered questions and added that his goal for redistricting did not involve a significant shift away from current districts.

Chair Semchuck reiterated the importance of population balance and compactness. He suggested keeping all submitted maps as potential options. Chair Semchuck also reminded commissioners that the city mapping tool allowed for a closer examination of communities of interest.

V. Establishment of Next Steps

The Commission's next scheduled meeting was on August 9, 2022 at 9:30 am in the McCloskey Conference Room with a reminder to the public to submit proposals to the Council Office.

Chair Semchuck reminded commissioners to identify criteria that they were concerned about.

Chair Semchuck suggested planning the next meeting for the week of August 22nd, after the August 9th meeting. The Commission settled on 7:30 pm on Monday, August 22nd.

Lucas reminded commissioners of the support from IT and council staff.

VI. Public Comment

Charles Livingston clarified that he did not submit his maps with the intention that they be considered as actual proposals. He asked what communities of interest the commission would be focusing on and how they will promote political competition. He discussed his concerns about low voting rates and whether the commission would consider voting rates. He suggested a shortcut for calculating the population deviation.

Regina Moore commented on how to define communities of interest and suggested that the commission should consider the geographic as well as demographic qualities of every precinct as they are grouped. She said that population was the most important factor to consider and that the commission should not consider voting rates. She offered to be a resource for commissioners.

Dave Askins commented and asked to withdraw Map 1, which was created as an example of a bad map but was not intended to be submitted as a proposal. He noted that he had submitted maps from Charles Livingston leading to duplicate maps from

Mr. Askins and Mr. Livingston. He asked for a quantitative tool to measure compactness of the districts moving forward. He suggested that IT could discover and implement such a tool.

Sam Dove commented that the maps should teach the commission about where people live.

VII. Other

VIII. Adjourn at 8:45.

AGENDA
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON - CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMISSION

MONDAY, AUGUST 22, 2022, 7:30 PM
BLOOMINGTON CITY HALL - SHOWERS BUILDING
MCCLOSKEY CONFERENCE ROOM (SUITE 135)
401 NORTH MORTON STREET, 47404

MEETING ALSO ACCESSIBLE VIA ZOOM AT:
https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/82102838302?pwd=c2NnVUtmOFBWRzJQQ1RhejRiaWQzUT09

I. Roll call
II. Commissioner questions & concerns
    a. Any questions for staff?
III. Continued Map Presentations and Discussion
IV. Public Comment
V. Schedule Upcoming Meetings
VI. Other
VII. Adjourn

Posted: 22 August 2022

MEETING MEMO
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON - CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMISSION

MONDAY, AUGUST 22, 2022, 7:30 PM
BLOOMINGTON CITY HALL - SHOWERS BUILDING
MCCLOSKEY CONFERENCE ROOM (SUITE 135)
401 NORTH MORTON STREET, 47404

MEETING ALSO ACCESSIBLE VIA ZOOM AT:
https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/82102838302?pwd=c2NnVUtmOFBWRzJQQ1RhejRiaWQzUT09

I. Roll call – Meeting was called to order at 7:58pm

Present in person: Alex Semchuck, Kathleen Field, Michael Schnoll, Mackenzie Colston (arrived 8:08pm)
Present via Zoom: Amanda Sheridan

II. Commissioner questions & concerns

Chair Semchuck asked if commissioners had any questions or concerns that had come up since the last meeting. None were raised.

Assistant Administrator/Legal Research Assistant Abigail Knipstine provided an update on information that had been added into the city mapping tool and demonstrated how to access it. The IT department had incorporated new mapping layers into the mapping tool, including race/ethnicity data and all maps submitted to the commission to date. IT had also incorporated a compactness calculation for all submitted maps based on the Polsby-Popper test, a mathematical compactness measurement.

Cm. Sheridan asked to view the Elm Heights neighborhood in the mapping tool. Knipstine displayed the neighborhood.

III. Continued Map Presentations and Discussion

Chair Semchuck asked to display Map #10, which had been submitted by Cm. Sheridan. He pointed out that the population deviation was around 7%. He noted that the neighborhoods around Bryan Park were consolidated into fewer districts than in the existing district map. However, one concern he had was that the Elm Heights, Bryan Park, Near Westside, Prospect Hill, and Waterman neighborhoods were still divided into multiple districts. He thought this should be avoided, while acknowledging that it might be impossible to find a map that completely avoided dividing neighborhoods.

Cm. Sheridan said Map #10 was not necessarily as compact as some other map options. She said she thought most map options would be legal as far as compactness requirements and also thought most would be acceptable under rules for minority communities. She thought communities of interest might align along factors such as homeownership vs. renting and those who had earned income vs. those who did not.

Cm. Field pointed out that precinct Bloomington 06 was surrounded by other districts in Map #10.

Cm. Sheridan said she had tried to change as few precincts as possible in her two map options, which led to the central district getting expanded. Chair Semchuck said he noticed this about Cm. Sheridan's maps and thought fewer changes could be a good thing.

Chair Semchuck asked what, aside from population deviation, did commissioners want to focus on in a proposed map. Cm. Sheridan thought keeping neighborhoods together would be good, but said that any new maps drawn would need to be reviewed for compliance with legal requirements.

Cm. Field asked what areas of the city had seen population growth since the last redistricting effort. Council Administrator/Attorney Stephen Lucas read off the 2012 population totals for each of the existing districts. Chair Semchuck noted that District 1 had seen the most significant growth.

Chair Semchuck wondered whether it was possible to manipulate the boundaries near precinct Bloomington 6 on Map #10, where it jutted out to divide up two neighborhoods. Cm. Field said it would require either shifting some precincts between districts or crossing precinct boundaries to keep those neighborhoods together in Map #10.

Cm. Schnoll asked whether the voting precincts had changed since 2012. Lucas said the county had made updates to the precincts in 2021.

Cm. Sheridan asked the Commission which neighborhoods or communities of interest it wanted to focus on keeping together, aside from Elm Heights. Cm. Field asked how neighborhoods were defined in the mapping tool. Lucas and GIS Specialist Max Stier explained that neighborhoods themselves defined the boundaries of their own neighborhood associations. The neighborhoods included in the mapping tool were those with an association on file with the city's Housing and Neighborhood Development Department.

IV. Public Comment

Regina Moore commented on Map #10. She noted that Third Street should serve as a division of the city into north and south areas and that College Ave./Walnut Ave. could do the same thing to a lesser extent with an east/west division. On Map #10, she pointed out that the central district spanned four different communities in the city and did not think those areas shared interests as much as other precincts. She said the commission should not think about voting populations but should focus on equal populations and compactness.

Charles Livingston said he had not analyzed the map options based on school districts or neighborhoods, as he was waiting for an indication from the Commission on how interested it was in those things. He asked if the Commission wanted to consider Map #4.

V. Continued Map Presentations and Discussion

Cm. Field asked to display Map #4. Chair Semchuck said he worried about the compactness of the blue district, which crossed Third Street. Cm. Field said that part of the map might be odd-looking no matter what. Cm. Schnoll noted there was only one district that crossed Third Street in Map #4. Cm. Field asked for the compactness scores of Map #4, which staff displayed. Cm. Field said she preferred districts that were more regular in shape, as much as possible.

Cm. Field asked if commissioners had a preferred map. Cm. Schnoll said Map #4 looked cleaner. Cm. Sheridan asked if there were any maps that did not pass legal muster. Lucas said Map #2 had a population deviation that exceeded the 10% threshold. Cm. Sheridan asked whether the Commission wanted to go through maps one at a time.

Knipstine displayed Map #3. The Commission viewed the map and considered the population deviation, which was about 7.8%. Knipstine displayed Map #9. Cm. Sheridan said she had prepared the map, which only changed the district that precinct Perry 1 was in.

Knipstine shared a public comment from Charles Livingston pointing out that Map #4 had a district that was disconnected from itself. Lucas said that precinct Van Buren 2 appeared to not be connected to itself, but that a portion was connected to the rest of the district.

Chair Semchuck questioned whether Third Street should serve as an absolute boundary between districts and encouraged commissioners to think about the issue before the next meeting. He encouraged the commissioners to more closely examine Maps #4 and #10.

Lucas talked through next steps for the Commission to complete its work by the applicable deadlines and offered staff assistance in helping commissioners adjust or create additional map proposals.

VI. Schedule Upcoming Meetings

The Commission scheduled its next meetings for August 31, 2022 at 7:30pm and September 7, 2022 at 8:15am.

VII. Other

VIII. Adjourn at 9:10pm

AGENDA
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON - CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMISSION

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 31, 2022, 7:30 PM
BLOOMINGTON CITY HALL - SHOWERS BUILDING
MCCLOSKEY CONFERENCE ROOM (SUITE 135)
401 NORTH MORTON STREET, 47404

MEETING ALSO ACCESSIBLE VIA ZOOM AT:
https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/83384187617?pwd=ODczNkJoWXViekRtbVZnLy8ybGdkZz09

I. Roll call
II. Overview of New and/or Adjusted Maps
III. Commissioner Discussion
IV. Public Comment
V. Establish New District Map Recommendation(s)
VI. Other
VII. Adjourn

Posted: 31 August 2022

MEETING MEMO
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON - CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMISSION

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 31, 2022, 7:30 PM
BLOOMINGTON CITY HALL - SHOWERS BUILDING
MCCLOSKEY CONFERENCE ROOM (SUITE 135)
401 NORTH MORTON STREET, 47404

MEETING ALSO ACCESSIBLE VIA ZOOM AT:
https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/83384187617?pwd=ODczNkJoWXViekRtbVZnLy8ybGdkZz09

I. Roll call – Meeting was called to order at 7:31pm

Present in person: Alex Semchuck, Michael Schnoll, Amanda Sheridan, Kathleen Field
Absent: Mackenzie Colston

II. Overview of New and/or Adjusted Maps

Chair Semchuck went over updates since the previous meeting. He noted that Map #4 had to be removed from consideration due to contiguity issues. Chair Semchuck further reviewed the remaining maps and new maps that had been provided to the Commission. Council Administrator/Attorney Stephen Lucas noted that Map #2 could not be considered because it did not meet the population deviation requirements.

Chair Semchuck stated that the maps remaining for Commission consideration were maps: #3, #9, #10, #11, #12, #13, #14, and #15.

III. Commissioner Discussion

Chair Semchuck asked Cm. Sheridan to go over the differences between Maps # 9 and #10, both of which she had made. Cm. Sheridan said the reason she revised Map #9 into Map #10 was due to neighborhood divisions. Semchuck moved and it was seconded to remove Map #9 from consideration. The motion was approved by voice vote.

The Commission narrowed down the discussion to Maps #10, #11, and #13 due to differences in communities of interest between the northeast and northwest sides of the city. The Commission considered the maps that divided these two sections of the city into different districts because of the different demographics each area contained.

Cm. Sheridan mentioned that she did not find anything unfavorable about Maps #11 or #13 upon initial examination. The Commission discussed and compared features of these two maps in detail. Specifically, the Commission considered contiguity, compactness, and neighborhood divisions. Cm. Sheridan noted that all districts in the two maps were more compact than in the district current map.

Cm. Field shared that she preferred Map #11 to Map #13 because Map #13 included precinct divisions between two districts on the south side of the city that were oddly shaped, specifically in precincts Perry 10, Perry 13, and Perry 12.

Cm. Sheridan asked to see the Elm Heights neighborhood boundaries on Map #11 and found that Elm Heights was divided into two districts. The Commission looked at Elm Heights on Map #13 and found that map did not divide Elm Heights.

The Commission compared population deviations between Maps #11 and #13. Map #11 had a deviation of 7.00% and Map #13 had a deviation of 7.14%.

Chair Semchuck said he felt the two maps were equal in the number of strengths and weaknesses. Cm. Field responded that both maps had a district with "leftover" precincts that formed strange shapes. Cm. Field also mentioned that most population growth since 2012 had occurred in the southwest section of the city. Chair Semchuck mentioned that when a single precinct was moved, it could cause significant changes to the population deviation in a particular map.

Lucas offered to display maps so that commissioners could experiment with changing specific areas of the map to see how those changes would affect the overall deviation.

Cm. Sheridan mentioned that even when odd shapes occurred in the districts, they were not significant if they did not change the outcome of an election.

The Commission examined and discussed the tail portion of precinct Perry 10 that wrapped around the southern-most point of the city.

Cm. Field inquired about the population differences in District 1 between Maps #11 and #13. Lucas displayed the maps with population information.

Chair Semchuck said that if either Map #11 or Map #13 were chosen, the eastern part of the city would be significantly redistricted.

Commissioners discussed precincts Perry 20 and Perry 21, their neighborhoods, and which communities of interest they each contained.

The Commission revisited Elm Heights and discussed a small sliver of the neighborhood that fell outside of the district containing the rest of the neighborhood on both Maps #11 and #13. Commissioners decided that the portion was very small and insignificant.

Cm. Field said communities going south had more in common with one another than the neighborhoods going east and suggested High Street as an East/West dividing line. The Commission discussed neighborhoods west of High Street in contrast with commercial and apartment areas east and nearer to College Mall.

Commissioners discussed precincts Perry 17 and Perry 20 as being better suited to be in the College Mall area that the furthest west and southwest portions of the city.

Cm. Schnoll pointed out the presence of clean district lines on the eastern side of the city on Map #13 but more on the western side on Map #11.

Chair Semchuck asked if there were any other aspects of Maps #11 or #13 to discuss. He pointed out that precincts Perry 10, Perry 13, and Perry 12 were currently in the same district but would be in different districts on Map #13. On Map #11, he noted these districts would stay together. He asked what kinds of neighborhoods were in the area and if they were being divided by Map #11 and/or Map #13.

The Commission found that the Peppergrass and Sherwood Oaks neighborhoods were divided into two districts on Map #13 and remained in a single district on Map #11. Cm. Field noted that this led her to prefer Map #11 over Map #13.

Cm. Schnoll proposed to keep precinct Perry 10 in District 1 on Map #13 and use South Walnut Street as an east/west dividing line, with precincts Perry 12 and Perry 13 in District 5. Cm. Field noted this would still divide Sherwood Oaks and Peppergrass due to the weird shape of Perry 10. Lucas used the Districtr mapping tool to look at Cm. Schnoll's suggestion and found that it moved the population deviation over the 10% threshold.

IV. Public Comment

Dave Askins pointed out to the commissioners that the Common Council had the option to reject a recommended map. He questioned how commissioners planned to defend their map to the Council. Specifically, he wondered how they would demonstrate that they had considered any racial impacts their recommended map might have.

Commissioners responded by reviewing race and ethnicity data and population distributions throughout the maps. Cm. Sheridan noted that the highest population of any minority groups lived in the university neighborhoods on the east side of town. Chair Semchuck noted that there was a fairly even distribution of minority populations throughout the city. Cm. Field pointed out that Asian populations experienced the greatest shifts between the two maps being discussed, dividing the population between two different districts on Map #11 and #Map 13, in Districts 3 and 5 versus Districts 4 and 6, respectively.

The Commission found the maps they were discussing did not negatively impact minority populations.

V. Continued Commissioner Discussion

Chair Semchuck said the decision between the two maps came down to how the Commission preferred to divide the east side of Bloomington.

Cm. Field asked to revisit an earlier decision to eliminate any maps that did not divide the northern part of the city into distinct eastern and western portions. She asked to look at Map #14 which did not separate the southern neighborhoods. The Commission reviewed Map #14 for compactness, population deviation, and the number of times it crossed Third Street.

Chair Semchuck asked for a review of precinct Bloomington 03, noting that it fell into a different district in Maps #11, #13, and #14. Cm. Schnoll mentioned the area contained the tailgate fields, and Cm. Sheridan noted that she believed it belonged

with the downtown district more than the west side. The Commission determined that the precinct should remain in the central district.

The Commission reviewed the treatment of the Elm Heights neighborhood and southern neighborhoods again by Maps #11, #13, and #14. The Commission also reviewed and considered High Street as a district divider. Cm. Field shared that the High Street division was no longer a defining factor for her.

Cm. Schnoll raised the issue of student housing behind College Mall. Chair Semchuck clarified which district the student housing fell into. It was determined that more student housing was in precinct Perry 20 than Perry 21, which the Commission thought contained mostly residential, single-family homes. Cm. Field commented that the residents of the Hoosier Acres area would have more in common with the residents of Sherwood Oaks than in precinct Perry 20, which contained several student apartments. Due to this, Cm. Field shared preference for Map #11 over Map #13.

The Commission briefly considered Map #15 and commented on its low population deviation. The Commission agreed that Map #15 was not compact enough to be a serious option, though did appreciate that the map kept many neighborhoods together and divided the east side in a preferred manner.

Cm. Schnoll said he liked Map #11 over Map #13, and liked Map #13 over both Maps #14 and #15.

Cm. Sheridan shared that she was torn between Maps #11 and #13.

Cm. Field commented that she felt it was time to eliminate Map #13.

VI. Establish New District Map Recommendation(s)

Cm. Schnoll moved and it was seconded to recommend Map #11. The motion was approved by a roll call vote of Ayes: 4, Nays 0.

VII. Other

Lucas discussed the next steps. Chair Semchuck reminded the Commission that the meeting to vote to adopt the final recommendation and report would take place on Wednesday, September 7th at 8:15 am.

VIII. Adjourn at 8:45pm

Monroe County Board of Commissioners Agenda Request Form

Date to be heard 12/15/21 **Formal** ☑ **Work session** ☐ **Department** Legal

Title to appear on Agenda: Order Establishing Precincts **Vendor #**

Executive Summary:

This order establishes precincts as recommended by the Precinct and Boundary Advisory Commission and accepted by the Commissioners. The State found issue with one proposed change, which moved the Bloomington 8 precinct line to address building split and contiguity. The result would conflict with Ind. Code 3-11-1.5-3.1.

Fund Name(s):	Fund Number(s):	Amount(s)
NA	NA	NA

Presenter: Jeff Cockerill/Jared Eichmiller

Speaker(s) for Zoom purposes:

Name(s)	Phone Number(s)

(the speaker phone numbers will be removed from the document prior to posting)

Attorney who reviewed: Cockerill, Jeff

STATE OF INDIANA	)	BEFORE THE MONROE COUNTY
	) ss:	BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
COUNTY OF MONROE	)	

ORDER ESTABLISHING PRECINCTS

WHEREAS, Indiana Code chapter 3-11-1.5 requires that the boundaries of precincts be established and revised in compliance with the directives of said law; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Indiana Code chapter 3-11-1.5, Monroe County, Indiana, by and through the Board of County Commissioners, has determined that it is necessary and proper to establish and revise the boundaries of certain precincts of the County;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDERED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF MONROE COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Monroe County, by and through the Board of County Commissioners, establishes and revises the boundaries of certain precincts within the County. A precinct description and map of the boundaries of each precinct submitted to the Indiana Election Division is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

SECTION 2. This ORDER becomes effective January 2, 2022, to recognize the precinct boundaries which became effective on January 1, 2022, pursuant to Indiana Code section 3-11-1.5-38.1, and upon the approval of these precincts by the Indiana Election Division, provided that no objection is filed by a voter of the County by noon (12:00 p.m.) ten (10) days after the publication of notice of the proposed precinct establishment order. If a timely objection is filed by a voter of the County, or notice of the proposed precinct establishment order has not been timely published to provide a voter of the County with the period provided by law to file an objection, then this ORDER becomes effective upon the approval of the Indiana Election Commission after a hearing, pursuant to Indiana Code chapter 3-11-1.5.

SO ORDERED, THIS 15 **DAY OF** December **, 2021:**

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MONROE COUNTY:

Not Present

JULIE THOMAS, President

LEE JONES, Vice President

PENNY GITHENS, Commissioner

Attest:

CATHERINE SMITH
Monroe County Auditor

Map #1 Monroe County



Legend

- 2020 Voter Precincts
- Split Block Transfer
- Transferring Block
- Roads
- Railroads

Created by MoCo GIS Division, 14 Dec 2021

New Richland 2 Blocks

181050013031019	181050013042006
181050013031030	181050013042016
181050013031007	181050013042013
181050013041009	181050013042002
181050013042009	181050013042004
181050013031023	181050013042005
181050013042000	181050013042001
181050013042008	181050013042017
181050013031022	181050013042003
181050013042010	181050013031020
181050013031028	181050013041013
181050013042015	181050013042011
181050013042012	181050013041002
181050013031029	181050013031021
181050013031032	181050013042007
181050013041000	181050013041014
181050013031005	181050013031008
181050013041012	Added
181050013042014	181050013041007
181050013041005	181050013041006 split
181050013041008	181050013041011 split
181050013031004	181050013041020 split
181050013041017	
181050013041015	
181050013031009	

New Richland 6 Blocks

	181050013043027
181050013041016	181050013043023
181050013011004	181050013011008
181050013041020 split	181050013013002
181050013011013	181050013011012
181050013011011	181050013011010
181050013011003	181050013011016
181050013041010	181050013011018
181050013041006 split	181050013011019
181050013041011 split	181050013011014
181050013011001	181050013011017
181050013011015	181050013011002
181050013043040	181050013011005
181050013043030	181050013011006
181050013043041	181050013011007
181050013041004	181050013043042
181050013011000	181050013043043
181050013011009	181050013013010

Map #2 Monroe County



Legend

- Split Block Transfer
- 2020 Voter Precincts
- Major Roads
- Roads
- Railroads

New Richland 8 Blocks

181050013032034	181050013032013
181050013032037	181050013031033
181050013031012	181050013032016
181050013032038	181050013032019
181050013032020	181050013032005
181050013033005	181050013032018
181050013032007	181050013032021
181050013032044	181050013032004
181050013032042	181050013032027
181050013032001	181050013032009
181050013031031	181050013032010
181050013032002	181050013032049
181050013032043	181050013033021
181050013033015	181050013032022
181050013031026	181050013032025
181050013032012	181050013033020
181050013032015	181050013033016
181050013032011	181050013032006
181050013032014	181050013032050
	181050013032033
Added 181050013032036 split	181050013032024
	181050013033019
	181050013032023

New Richland 5 Blocks

	181050013031006
181050013032046	181050013031000
181050013031014	181050013031016
181050013031018	181050013031010
181050013031003	181050013031013
181050013032036 split	181050013031011
181050013032032	181050013032041
181050013031006	181050013032048
181050013032045	181050013031024
181050013032029	181050013032026
181050013032039	181050013041001
181050013031027	181050013032017
181050013031015	181050013032028
181050013032000	181050013032035
181050013032040	181050013032030
181050013032003	181050013031017
181050013032047	181050013031025
181050013032031	181050013032008
181050013041003	181050013031001

Created by MoCo GIS Division, 14 Dec 2021

Map #3 Monroe County

Legend

- 2020 Voter Precincts
- Transferring Block
- City of Bloomington Boundary
- Major Roads
- Roads
- Railroads

New Perry 23 Blocks

181050010023013
181050010023011
181050010023012
181050010023017
181050010023020
181050010023015
181050015011008
181050015011010
181050010023016
181050015011009
181050015011005
181050015011001
181050010023018

Added In Other Change

181050010021007
181050011021008
181050011021007

181050015011002
181050015011006
181050015011007
181050011031000
181050011031017
181050010023014
181050010023007
181050015011004
181050015011000
181050015011003
181050010023010
181050010023019

Added
181050010023022

New Perry 11 Blocks

181050010022003
181050010022005
181050010022012
181050010022011
181050010023023
181050010022013

181050010022000
181050010022008
181050010022001
181050010022002
181050010022009
181050010022010
181050010022006
181050010022007
181050010022004

Created by MoCo GIS Division, 14 Dec 2021

Map #4 Monroe County



Legend

- Transferring Blocks
- 2020 Voter Precincts
- Major Roads
- Roads
- Railroads

New Bloomington 14 Blocks

181050008012035
181050007003040
181050006022010
181050006022006
181050006021004
181050006021021
181050006021019
181050006021013
181050006021016
181050006021012
181050006021014
181050006021022
181050006021017
181050006021003
181050006021010
181050006013026
181050008012041
181050008012044
181050006022002
181050008012045
181050006022004
181050007003042
181050008012042
181050013012015
181050006022019
181050006013025
181050006022000
181050006022007
181050006022005
181050007003041
181050006021002
181050006022008
181050006021015
181050006022018
181050006021011
181050008012040
181050006022016
181050006021018
181050006022001
181050006013027
181050006022003
181050006022009
181050006021020
181050007003043

Added

181050006021005
181050006021006

New Bloomington 17 Blocks

181050008012008
181050008012032
181050008012019
181050008012048
181050008012034
181050008012026
181050006021001
181050008012030
181050008012004
181050008012018
181050008012029
181050008012049
181050008012033
181050008012028
181050008012031
181050008012050
181050008012010
181050008012009
181050008012036
181050008012027

Created by MoCo GIS Division, 14 Dec 2021

Map #6 Monroe County



Legend

- 2020 Voter Precincts
- Transferring Blocks
- Major Roads
- Roads
- Railroads

New Perry 23 Blocks

181050010023013	181050015011002
181050010023011	181050015011006
181050010023012	181050015011007
181050010023017	181050011031000
181050010023020	181050011031017
181050010023015	181050010023014
181050015011008	181050010023007
181050015011010	181050015011004
181050010023016	181050015011000
181050015011009	181050015011003
181050015011005	181050010023010
181050015011001	181050010023019
181050010023018	

Added In Other Change

181050010023022

Added

181050010021007
181050011021008
181050011021007

New Perry 4 Blocks

181050011022005	181050011022001
181050011022004	181050011023005
181050011022006	181050011022009
181050011023008	181050011032006
181050011032011	181050005022012
181050005022011	181050011032009
181050011023004	181050011032008
181050011023007	181050011023025
181050011032014	181050011032005
181050011022000	181050004021014
181050011023018	181050004021011
181050011023017	181050011022007
181050011023016	181050011022008
181050011022002	

Created by MoCo GIS Division,14 Dec 2021

Map #7 Monroe County



Legend

- New Bean Blosssom 3
- 2020 Voter Precincts
- Major Roads
- Roads
- Railroads

New Bean Blossom 3 Blocks

Added

181050013015050	181050013015056
181050013015047	181050013015044

New Bean Blossom 1 Blocks

181050013015035	181050013015049
181050013015053	181050013015031
181050013015046	181050013015025
181050013015019	181050013015033
181050013015041	181050013015016
181050013015030	181050013015042
181050013015058	181050013015045
181050013015012	181050013015001
181050013015004	181050013015006
181050013015011	181050013015002
181050013015032	181050013015008
181050013015013	181050013015015
181050013015007	181050013015027
181050013015039	181050013015028
181050013015048	181050013015026
181050013015014	181050013015038
181050013015023	181050013015034
181050013015057	181050013015024
181050013015054	181050013015051
181050013015020	181050013015052
181050013015010	181050013015037
181050013015009	181050013015036
181050013015029	181050013015055
181050013015017	181050013015021
	181050013015018
	181050013015003
	181050013015005
	181050013015022
	181050013015000
	181050013015040
	181050013015043

Created by MoCo GIS Division, 14 Dec 2021

PRECINCT SUMMARY STATEMENT (IEC-8)

State Form 13332 (R7/7-06)
Indiana Election Commission (IC 3-11-1.5-15) Name of County Monroe

INSTRUCTIONS: See Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-25 for periods during which precinct boundary changes may NOT take effect.
Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-15 requires that a county include the following items in a proposed precinct establishment order submitted to the Indiana Election Division:
1. A map of each precinct to be established by the proposed order. A county may submit these maps in electronic form.
2. A description of the boundaries of each precinct to be established by the proposed order that identifies any census blocks located entirely within the precinct.
3. An estimated number of voters in each precinct to be established by the proposed order, based on the registration records maintained by the county voter registration office.
4. A statement designating a polling place for the precinct that complies with the polling place accessibility requirements.
5. Any additional information required by rules adopted by the Indiana Election Commission under IC 4-22-2.

General Precinct Information

1. Name Of Proposed Precinct	2. Is this a new precinct?	3. Election Division & STFID Number (To Be Completed By Election Division)	
Richland 6	☐ Yes ☒ No		

4. District Information
Congressional 9 Indiana Senate 40 Indiana House 46

5. Number Of Active Voters (IC 3-5-2-1.7)	6. Number Of Inactive Voters	7. Number Of Registered Voters (Must Equal Total Of Active And Inactive Voters)
1,039	100	1,139

8. Total Number Of Precincts In The County If Submission Is Approved
82 Voting Precincts 0 Non-Voting Precincts

9. Date Of County Executive's Adopted Order	10. Date Of First Election After Precincts Are Approved
	May 3, 2022

11. Precincts Affected By This Proposed Order (Complete a Precinct Summary Statement for each precinct. Please note that when changing boundaries of one precinct you will need to complete a separate IEC-8 for any other precinct affected by the change.)
Richland 2, Richland 6

12. List Any Attached Documents (i.e. map 6 of 20 maps, etc.)
Map #1, Ellettsville Ordinances 2019-23, 2020-01, 2020-20

Does any portion of the newly established precinct split or divide any of the following?	Yes	No
13. School Corporation District Boundary		X
14. City or Town Boundary		X
15. City or Town Council District Boundary		X
16. Census Block Boundary	X	
17. Other (Please Describe)		X

Method of Voting

18. ☐ Optical Scan Ballot Card ☐ Electronic ☒ Combination (Please Specify): Paper Ballot & BMD

19. What is the designated location and address for the polling place for this precinct?
Ellettsville Christian Church
731 Independence St
Ellettsville, IN 47429
(NOTE: This designation of a polling place remains in effect until later action by the county executive under state law.)

20. Does this polling place meet Indiana's polling place accessibility requirements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Precinct Change Reason

21. Briefly state the reason for the precinct change. (Attach additional sheet if necessary.)
Annexation

Additional Information

22. Name and Contact Information Of County Election Staff Person Who Prepared This Form:
Karen Wheeler
812-335-7219

23. Does the county have access to Geographic Information System (GIS)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Name and Contact Information Of County GIS Staff:
Jared Lichmiller 812-349-2048

24. Does the county use Census Tiger files? ☒ Yes ☐ No

State Office Use Only

25. Type Of Geographic Files Used by County (If Known By Staff Of The Indiana Election Division Or Office Of Census Data)

PRECINCT SUMMARY STATEMENT

(IEC-8)

State Form 13332 (R7/7-06)
Indiana Election Commission (IC 3-11-1.5-15) Name of County Monroe

INSTRUCTIONS: See Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-25 for periods during which precinct boundary changes may NOT take effect.
Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-15 requires that a county include the following items in a proposed precinct establishment order submitted to the Indiana Election Division:
1. A map of each precinct to be established by the proposed order. A county may submit these maps in electronic form.
2. A description of the boundaries of each precinct to be established by the proposed order that identifies any census blocks located entirely within the precinct.
3. An estimated number of voters in each precinct to be established by the proposed order, based on the registration records maintained by the county voter registration office.
4. A statement designating a polling place for the precinct that complies with the polling place accessibility requirements.
5. Any additional information required by rules adopted by the Indiana Election Commission under IC 4-22-2.

General Precinct Information

1. Name Of Proposed Precinct	2. Is this a new precinct?	3. Election Division & STFID Number (To Be Completed By Election Division)	
Richland 2	☐ Yes ☒ No		

4. District Information
Congressional 9 Indiana Senate 40 Indiana House 46

5. Number Of Active Voters (IC 3-5-2-1.7)	6. Number Of Inactive Voters	7. Number Of Registered Voters (Must Equal Total Of Active And Inactive Voters)
1,126	193	1,319

8. Total Number Of Precincts In The County If Submission Is Approved
82 Voting Precincts 0 Non-Voting Precincts

9. Date Of County Executive's Adopted Order	10. Date Of First Election After Precincts Are Approved
	May 3, 2022

11. Precincts Affected By This Proposed Order (Complete a Precinct Summary Statement for each precinct. Please note that when changing boundaries of one precinct you will need to complete a separate IEC-8 for any other precinct affected by the change.)
Richland 6, Richland 2

12. List Any Attached Documents (i.e. map 6 of 20 maps, etc.)
Map #1, Ellettsville ordinances 2019-23, 2020-01, 2020-20

Does any portion of the newly established precinct split or divide any of the following?	Yes	No
13. School Corporation District Boundary		X
14. City or Town Boundary		X
15. City or Town Council District Boundary		X
16. Census Block Boundary	X	
17. Other (Please Describe)		X

Method of Voting

18. ☐ Optical Scan Ballot Card ☐ Electronic ☒ Combination (Please Specify): Paper Ballot & BMD

19. What is the designated location and address for the polling place for this precinct?
Ellettsville Christian Church
731 Independence St
Ellettsville, IN 47429
(NOTE: This designation of a polling place remains in effect until later action by the county executive under state law.)

20. Does this polling place meet Indiana's polling place accessibility requirements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Precinct Change Reason

21. Briefly state the reason for the precinct change. (Attach additional sheet if necessary.)
Annexation

Additional Information

22. Name and Contact Information Of County Election Staff Person Who Prepared This Form:
Karin Wheeler
812-335-7219

23. Does the county have access to Geographic Information System (GIS)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Name and Contact Information Of County GIS Staff:
Jared Dickmiller 812-349-2048

24. Does the county use Census Tiger files? ☒ Yes ☐ No

State Office Use Only

25. Type Of Geographic Files Used by County (If Known By Staff Of The Indiana Election Division Or Office Of Census Data)

PRECINCT SUMMARY STATEMENT

(IEC-8)

State Form 13332 (R7/7-06)

Indiana Election Commission (IC 3-11-1.5-15) Name of County Monroe

INSTRUCTIONS: See Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-25 for periods during which precinct boundary changes may NOT take effect.
Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-15 requires that a county include the following items in a proposed precinct establishment order submitted to the Indiana Election Division:
1. A map of each precinct to be established by the proposed order. A county may submit these maps in electronic form.
2. A description of the boundaries of each precinct to be established by the proposed order that identifies any census blocks located entirely within the precinct.
3. An estimated number of voters in each precinct to be established by the proposed order, based on the registration records maintained by the county voter registration office.
4. A statement designating a polling place for the precinct that complies with the polling place accessibility requirements.
5. Any additional information required by rules adopted by the Indiana Election Commission under IC 4-22-2.

General Precinct Information

1. Name Of Proposed Precinct	2. Is this a new precinct?	3. Election Division & STFID Number (To Be Completed By Election Division)	
Richland 5	☐ Yes ☒ No		

4. District Information
Congressional 9 Indiana Senate 40 Indiana House 46

5. Number Of Active Voters (IC 3-5-2-1.7)	6. Number Of Inactive Voters	7. Number Of Registered Voters (Must Equal Total Of Active And Inactive Voters)
920	82	1,002

8. Total Number Of Precincts In The County If Submission Is Approved
82 Voting Precincts 0 Non-Voting Precincts

9. Date Of County Executive's Adopted Order	10. Date Of First Election After Precincts Are Approved
	May 3 2022

11. Precincts Affected By This Proposed Order (Complete a Precinct Summary Statement for each precinct. Please note that when changing boundaries of one precinct you will need to complete a separate IEC-8 for any other precinct affected by the change.)
Richland 8, Richland 5

12. List Any Attached Documents (i.e. map 6 of 20 maps, etc.)
Map #2 ; Ellettsville Ordinance 2019-17

Does any portion of the newly established precinct split or divide any of the following?	Yes	No
13. School Corporation District Boundary		X
14. City or Town Boundary		X
15. City or Town Council District Boundary		X
16. Census Block Boundary	X	
17. Other (Please Describe)		X

Method of Voting

18. ☐ Optical Scan Ballot Card ☐ Electronic ☒ Combination (Please Specify): Paper Ballot & BMD

19. What is the designated location and address for the polling place for this precinct?
Ellettsville Christian Church
731 Independence St
Ellettsville, IN 47429
(NOTE: This designation of a polling place remains in effect until later action by the county executive under state law.)

20. Does this polling place meet Indiana's polling place accessibility requirements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Precinct Change Reason

21. Briefly state the reason for the precinct change. (Attach additional sheet if necessary.)
Annexation

Additional Information

22. Name and Contact Information Of County Election Staff Person Who Prepared This Form:
Karen Wheeler
812-335-7219

23. Does the county have access to Geographic Information System (GIS)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Name and Contact Information Of County GIS Staff:
Jared Eichmiller 812-349-2048

24. Does the county use Census Tiger files? ☒ Yes ☐ No

State Office Use Only

25. Type Of Geographic Files Used by County (If Known By Staff Of The Indiana Election Division Or Office Of Census Data)

PRECINCT SUMMARY STATEMENT

(IEC-8)

State Form 13332 (R7/7-06)
Indiana Election Commission (IC 3-11-1.5-15) Name of County Monroe

INSTRUCTIONS: See Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-25 for periods during which precinct boundary changes may NOT take effect.
Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-15 requires that a county include the following items in a proposed precinct establishment order submitted to the Indiana Election Division:
1. A map of each precinct to be established by the proposed order. A county may submit these maps in electronic form.
2. A description of the boundaries of each precinct to be established by the proposed order that identifies any census blocks located entirely within the precinct.
3. An estimated number of voters in each precinct to be established by the proposed order, based on the registration records maintained by the county voter registration office.
4. A statement designating a polling place for the precinct that complies with the polling place accessibility requirements.
5. Any additional information required by rules adopted by the Indiana Election Commission under IC 4-22-2.

General Precinct Information

1. Name Of Proposed Precinct	2. Is this a new precinct?	3. Election Division & STFID Number (To Be Completed By Election Division)	
Richland 8	☐ Yes ☒ No		

4. District Information
Congressional 9 · Indiana Senate 40 Indiana House 46

5. Number Of Active Voters (IC 3-5-2-1.7)	6. Number Of Inactive Voters	7. Number Of Registered Voters (Must Equal Total Of Active And Inactive Voters)
1,639	243	1,882

8. Total Number Of Precincts In The County If Submission Is Approved
82 Voting Precincts 0 Non-Voting Precincts

9. Date Of County Executive's Adopted Order	10. Date Of First Election After Precincts Are Approved
	May 3 2022

11. Precincts Affected By This Proposed Order (Complete a Precinct Summary Statement for each precinct. Please note that when changing boundaries of one precinct you will need to complete a separate IEC-8 for any other precinct affected by the change.)
Richland 5, Richland 8

12. List Any Attached Documents (i.e. map 6 of 20 maps, etc.)
Map #2; Ellettsville Ordinance 2019-17

Does any portion of the newly established precinct split or divide any of the following?	Yes	No
13. School Corporation District Boundary		X
14. City or Town Boundary		X
15. City or Town Council District Boundary		X
16. Census Block Boundary	X	
17. Other (Please Describe)		X

Method of Voting

18. ☐ Optical Scan Ballot Card ☐ Electronic ☒ Combination (Please Specify): Paper Ballot & BMD

19. What is the designated location and address for the polling place for this precinct?
St. John's Catholic Church
4607 W St Rd 46
Bloomington, IN 47404
(NOTE: This designation of a polling place remains in effect until later action by the county executive under state law.)

20. Does this polling place meet Indiana's polling place accessibility requirements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Precinct Change Reason

21. Briefly state the reason for the precinct change. (Attach additional sheet if necessary.)
Annexation

Additional Information

22. Name and Contact Information Of County Election Staff Person Who Prepared This Form:
Karen Wheeler
812-335-7219

23. Does the county have access to Geographic Information System (GIS)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Name and Contact Information Of County GIS Staff:
Jared Schmiller 812-349-2048

24. Does the county use Census Tiger files? ☒ Yes ☐ No

State Office Use Only

25. Type Of Geographic Files Used by County (If Known By Staff Of The Indiana Election Division Or Office Of Census Data)

PRECINCT SUMMARY STATEMENT

(IEC-8)

State Form 13332 (R7/7-06)

Indiana Election Commission (IC 3-11-1.5-15) Name of County Monroe

INSTRUCTIONS: See Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-25 for periods during which precinct boundary changes may NOT take effect.
Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-15 requires that a county include the following items in a proposed precinct establishment order submitted to the Indiana Election Division:
1. A map of each precinct to be established by the proposed order. A county may submit these maps in electronic form.
2. A description of the boundaries of each precinct to be established by the proposed order that identifies any census blocks located entirely within the precinct.
3. An estimated number of voters in each precinct to be established by the proposed order, based on the registration records maintained by the county voter registration office.
4. A statement designating a polling place for the precinct that complies with the polling place accessibility requirements.
5. Any additional information required by rules adopted by the Indiana Election Commission under IC 4-22-2.

General Precinct Information

1. Name Of Proposed Precinct	2. Is this a new precinct?	3. Election Division & STFID Number (To Be Completed By Election Division)	
Perry 23	☐ Yes ☒ No		

4. District Information
Congressional 9 · Indiana Senate 40 Indiana House 62

5. Number Of Active Voters (IC 3-5-2-1.7)	6. Number Of Inactive Voters	7. Number Of Registered Voters (Must Equal Total Of Active And Inactive Voters)
1,770	255	2,025

8. Total Number Of Precincts In The County If Submission Is Approved
82 Voting Precincts 0 Non-Voting Precincts

9. Date Of County Executive's Adopted Order	10. Date Of First Election After Precincts Are Approved
	May 3 2022

11. Precincts Affected By This Proposed Order (Complete a Precinct Summary Statement for each precinct. Please note that when changing boundaries of one precinct you will need to complete a separate IEC-8 for any other precinct affected by the change.)
Perry 11, Perry 23, Perry 4

12. List Any Attached Documents (i.e. map 6 of 20 maps, etc.)
Map #3, map #6

Does any portion of the newly established precinct split or divide any of the following?	Yes	No
13. School Corporation District Boundary		X
14. City or Town Boundary		X
15. City or Town Council District Boundary		X
16. Census Block Boundary		X
17. Other (Please Describe)		X

Method of Voting

18. ☐ Optical Scan Ballot Card ☐ Electronic ☒ Combination (Please Specify): Paper Ballot & BMD

19. What is the designated location and address for the polling place for this precinct?
Jackson Creek Middle School
3480 S Sare Rd
Bloomington, IN 47401
(NOTE: This designation of a polling place remains in effect until later action by the county executive under state law.)

20. Does this polling place meet Indiana's polling place accessibility requirements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Precinct Change Reason

21. Briefly state the reason for the precinct change. (Attach additional sheet if necessary.)
Municipal boundary alignment

Additional Information

22. Name and Contact Information Of County Election Staff Person Who Prepared This Form:
Karin Uhrig
812-335-7219

23. Does the county have access to Geographic Information System (GIS)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Name and Contact Information Of County GIS Staff:
Jared Lichmiller 812-349-2048

24. Does the county use Census Tiger files? ☒ Yes ☐ No

State Office Use Only

25. Type Of Geographic Files Used by County (If Known By Staff Of The Indiana Election Division Or Office Of Census Data)

PRECINCT SUMMARY STATEMENT (IEC-8)

State Form 13332 (R7/7-06)
Indiana Election Commission (IC 3-11-1.5-15) Name of County Monroe

INSTRUCTIONS: See Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-25 for periods during which precinct boundary changes may NOT take effect.
Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-15 requires that a county include the following items in a proposed precinct establishment order submitted to the Indiana Election Division:
1. A map of each precinct to be established by the proposed order. A county may submit these maps in electronic form.
2. A description of the boundaries of each precinct to be established by the proposed order that identifies any census blocks located entirely within the precinct.
3. An estimated number of voters in each precinct to be established by the proposed order, based on the registration records maintained by the county voter registration office.
4. A statement designating a polling place for the precinct that complies with the polling place accessibility requirements.
5. Any additional information required by rules adopted by the Indiana Election Commission under IC 4-22-2.

General Precinct Information

1. Name Of Proposed Precinct	2. Is this a new precinct?	3. Election Division & STFID Number (To Be Completed By Election Division)
Perry 4	☐ Yes ☒ No	

4. District Information
Congressional 9 Indiana Senate 40 Indiana House 62

5. Number Of Active Voters (IC 3-5-2-1.7)	6. Number Of Inactive Voters	7. Number Of Registered Voters (Must Equal Total Of Active And Inactive Voters)
1,141	228	1,389

8. Total Number Of Precincts In The County If Submission Is Approved
82 Voting Precincts 0 Non-Voting Precincts

9. Date Of County Executive's Adopted Order	10. Date Of First Election After Precincts Are Approved
	May 3, 2022

11. Precincts Affected By This Proposed Order (Complete a Precinct Summary Statement for each precinct. Please note that when changing boundaries of one precinct you will need to complete a separate IEC-8 for any other precinct affected by the change.)
Perry 4 Perry 23

12. List Any Attached Documents (i.e. map 6 of 20 maps, etc.)
Map #6

Does any portion of the newly established precinct split or divide any of the following?	Yes	No
13. School Corporation District Boundary		X
14. City or Town Boundary		X
15. City or Town Council District Boundary		X
16. Census Block Boundary		X
17. Other (Please Describe)		X

Method of Voting

18. ☐ Optical Scan Ballot Card ☐ Electronic ☒ Combination (Please Specify): Paper Ballot & BMD

19. What is the designated location and address for the polling place for this precinct?
Southside Christian Church
500 E Empire Mill Rd
Bloomington, IN
(NOTE: This designation of a polling place remains in effect until later action by the county executive under state law.)

20. Does this polling place meet Indiana's polling place accessibility requirements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Precinct Change Reason

21. Briefly state the reason for the precinct change. (Attach additional sheet if necessary.)
municipal boundary alignment

Additional Information

22. Name and Contact Information Of County Election Staff Person Who Prepared This Form:
Karen Wheller 812-335-7219

23. Does the county have access to Geographic Information System (GIS)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Name and Contact Information Of County GIS Staff:
David Lichmiller 812-349-2048

24. Does the county use Census Tiger files? ☐ Yes ☐ No

State Office Use Only

25. Type Of Geographic Files Used by County (If Known By Staff Of The Indiana Election Division Or Office Of Census Data)

PRECINCT SUMMARY STATEMENT (IEC-8)

State Form 13332 (R7/7-06)
Indiana Election Commission (IC 3-11-1.5-15) Name of County Monroe

INSTRUCTIONS: See Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-25 for periods during which precinct boundary changes may NOT take effect.
Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-15 requires that a county include the following items in a proposed precinct establishment order submitted to the Indiana Election Division:
1. A map of each precinct to be established by the proposed order. A county may submit these maps in electronic form.
2. A description of the boundaries of each precinct to be established by the proposed order that identifies any census blocks located entirely within the precinct.
3. An estimated number of voters in each precinct to be established by the proposed order, based on the registration records maintained by the county voter registration office.
4. A statement designating a polling place for the precinct that complies with the polling place accessibility requirements.
5. Any additional information required by rules adopted by the Indiana Election Commission under IC 4-22-2.

General Precinct Information

1. Name Of Proposed Precinct	2. Is this a new precinct?	3. Election Division & STFID Number (To Be Completed By Election Division)	
Perry 11	☐ Yes ☒ No		

4. District Information
Congressional 9 · Indiana Senate 40 Indiana House 62

5. Number Of Active Voters (IC 3-5-2-1.7)	6. Number Of Inactive Voters	7. Number Of Registered Voters (Must Equal Total Of Active And Inactive Voters)
1,120	175	1,295

8. Total Number Of Precincts In The County If Submission Is Approved
82 Voting Precincts 0 Non-Voting Precincts

9. Date Of County Executive's Adopted Order	10. Date Of First Election After Precincts Are Approved
	May 3 2022

11. Precincts Affected By This Proposed Order (Complete a Precinct Summary Statement for each precinct. Please note that when changing boundaries of one precinct you will need to complete a separate IEC-8 for any other precinct affected by the change.)
Perry 23, Perry 11

12. List Any Attached Documents (i.e. map 6 of 20 maps, etc.)
Map #3

Does any portion of the newly established precinct split or divide any of the following?	Yes	No
13. School Corporation District Boundary		X
14. City or Town Boundary		X
15. City or Town Council District Boundary		X
16. Census Block Boundary		X
17. Other (Please Describe)		X

Method of Voting

18. ☐ Optical Scan Ballot Card ☐ Electronic ☒ Combination (Please Specify): Paper Ballot & BMD

19. What is the designated location and address for the polling place for this precinct?
Jackson Creek Middle School
3980 S Sare Rd
Bloomington, IN 47401
(NOTE: This designation of a polling place remains in effect until later action by the county executive under state law.)

20. Does this polling place meet Indiana's polling place accessibility requirements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Precinct Change Reason

21. Briefly state the reason for the precinct change. (Attach additional sheet if necessary.)
Municipal boundary alignment

Additional Information

22. Name and Contact Information Of County Election Staff Person Who Prepared This Form:
Karin Wheeler
812-335-7219

23. Does the county have access to Geographic Information System (GIS)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Name and Contact Information Of County GIS Staff:
Jared Schmuller 812-349-2048

24. Does the county use Census Tiger files? ☒ Yes ☐ No

State Office Use Only

25. Type Of Geographic Files Used by County (If Known By Staff Of The Indiana Election Division Or Office Of Census Date)

PRECINCT SUMMARY STATEMENT

(IEC-8)

State Form 13332 (R7/7-06)

Indiana Election Commission (IC 3-11-1.5-15) · Name of County Monroe

INSTRUCTIONS: See Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-25 for periods during which precinct boundary changes may NOT take effect.
Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-15 requires that a county include the following items in a proposed precinct establishment order submitted to the Indiana Election Division:
1. A map of each precinct to be established by the proposed order. A county may submit these maps in electronic form.
2. A description of the boundaries of each precinct to be established by the proposed order that identifies any census blocks located entirely within the precinct.
3. An estimated number of voters in each precinct to be established by the proposed order, based on the registration records maintained by the county voter registration office.
4. A statement designating a polling place for the precinct that complies with the polling place accessibility requirements.
5. Any additional information required by rules adopted by the Indiana Election Commission under IC 4-22-2.

General Precinct Information

1. Name Of Proposed Precinct	2. Is this a new precinct?	3. Election Division & STFID Number (To Be Completed By Election Division)	
Bloomington 17	☐ Yes ☒ No		

4. District Information

Congressional 9 · Indiana Senate 40 · Indiana House 61

5. Number Of Active Voters (IC 3-5-2-1.7)	6. Number Of Inactive Voters	7. Number Of Registered Voters (Must Equal Total Of Active And Inactive Voters)
927	169	1,096

8. Total Number Of Precincts In The County If Submission Is Approved: 82 Voting Precincts · 0 Non-Voting Precincts

9. Date Of County Executive's Adopted Order	10. Date Of First Election After Precincts Are Approved
	May 3 2022

11. Precincts Affected By This Proposed Order (Complete a Precinct Summary Statement for each precinct. Please note that when changing boundaries of one precinct you will need to complete a separate IEC-8 for any other precinct affected by the change.)
Bloomington 14, Bloomington 17

12. List Any Attached Documents (i.e. map 6 of 20 maps, etc.)
Map #4

Does any portion of the newly established precinct split or divide any of the following?	Yes	No
13. School Corporation District Boundary		X
14. City or Town Boundary		X
15. City or Town Council District Boundary		X
16. Census Block Boundary		X
17. Other (Please Describe)		X

Method of Voting

18. ☐ Optical Scan Ballot Card ☐ Electronic ☒ Combination (Please Specify): Paper Ballot & BMD

19. What is the designated location and address for the polling place for this precinct?
Unitarian Universalist Church
2120 N Fee Ln
Bloomington, IN 47408
(NOTE: This designation of a polling place remains in effect until later action by the county executive under state law.)

20. Does this polling place meet Indiana's polling place accessibility requirements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Precinct Change Reason

21. Briefly state the reason for the precinct change. (Attach additional sheet if necessary.)
Remove building intersection

Additional Information

22. Name and Contact Information Of County Election Staff Person Who Prepared This Form:
Karen Uhlenhake
812-335-7219

23. Does the county have access to Geographic Information System (GIS)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Name and Contact Information Of County GIS Staff:
Jared Dickmiller 812-349-2048

24. Does the county use Census Tiger files? ☒ Yes ☐ No

State Office Use Only

25. Type Of Geographic Files Used by County (If Known By Staff Of The Indiana Election Division Or Office Of Census Data)

PRECINCT SUMMARY STATEMENT

(IEC-8)

State Form 13332 (R7/7-06)

Indiana Election Commission (IC 3-11-1.5-15) Name of County Monroe

INSTRUCTIONS: See Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-25 for periods during which precinct boundary changes may NOT take effect.
Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-15 requires that a county include the following items in a proposed precinct establishment order submitted to the Indiana Election Division:
1. A map of each precinct to be established by the proposed order. A county may submit these maps in electronic form.
2. A description of the boundaries of each precinct to be established by the proposed order that identifies any census blocks located entirely within the precinct.
3. An estimated number of voters in each precinct to be established by the proposed order, based on the registration records maintained by the county voter registration office.
4. A statement designating a polling place for the precinct that complies with the polling place accessibility requirements.
5. Any additional information required by rules adopted by the Indiana Election Commission under IC 4-22-2.

General Precinct Information

1. Name Of Proposed Precinct	2. Is this a new precinct?	3. Election Division & STFID Number (To Be Completed By Election Division)	
Bloomington 9	☐ Yes ☒ No		

4. District Information

Congressional 9 Indiana Senate 40 Indiana House 61

5. Number Of Active Voters (IC 3-5-2-1.7)	6. Number Of Inactive Voters	7. Number Of Registered Voters (Must Equal Total Of Active And Inactive Voters)
886	307	1,193

8. Total Number Of Precincts In The County If Submission Is Approved

82 Voting Precincts 0 Non-Voting Precincts

9. Date Of County Executive's Adopted Order	10. Date Of First Election After Precincts Are Approved
	May 3. 2022

11. Precincts Affected By This Proposed Order (Complete a Precinct Summary Statement for each precinct. Please note that when changing boundaries of one precinct you will need to complete a separate IEC-8 for any other precinct affected by the change.)

Bloomington 8, Bloomington 9

12. List Any Attached Documents (i.e. map 6 of 20 maps, etc.)

Map #5

Does any portion of the newly established precinct split or divide any of the following?	Yes	No
13. School Corporation District Boundary		X
14. City or Town Boundary		X
15. City or Town Council District Boundary		X
16. Census Block Boundary		X
17. Other (Please Describe)		X

Method of Voting

18. ☐ Optical Scan Ballot Card ☐ Electronic ☒ Combination (Please Specify): Paper Ballot & BMD

19. What is the designated location and address for the polling place for this precinct?

University Elementary School
1111 N Rebsell Rd
Bloomington, IN 47408

(NOTE: This designation of a polling place remains in effect until later action by the county executive under state law.)

20. Does this polling place meet Indiana's polling place accessibility requirements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Precinct Change Reason

21. Briefly state the reason for the precinct change. (Attach additional sheet if necessary.)

Remove Building Intersection

Additional Information

22. Name and Contact Information Of County Election Staff Person Who Prepared This Form:

Karin Wheeler
812-335-7219

23. Does the county have access to Geographic Information System (GIS)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Name and Contact Information Of County GIS Staff:

Jared Sechmiller 812-349-2048

24. Does the county use Census Tiger files? ☒ Yes ☐ No

State Office Use Only

25. Type Of Geographic Files Used by County (If Known By Staff Of The Indiana Election Division Or Office Of Census Data)

PRECINCT SUMMARY STATEMENT (IEC-8)

State Form 13332 (R7/7-06)
Indiana Election Commission (IC 3-11-1.5-15) Name of County Monroe

INSTRUCTIONS: See Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-25 for periods during which precinct boundary changes may NOT take effect.
Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-15 requires that a county include the following items in a proposed precinct establishment order submitted to the Indiana Election Division:
1. A map of each precinct to be established by the proposed order. A county may submit these maps in electronic form.
2. A description of the boundaries of each precinct to be established by the proposed order that identifies any census blocks located entirely within the precinct.
3. An estimated number of voters in each precinct to be established by the proposed order, based on the registration records maintained by the county voter registration office.
4. A statement designating a polling place for the precinct that complies with the polling place accessibility requirements.
5. Any additional information required by rules adopted by the Indiana Election Commission under IC 4-22-2.

General Precinct Information

1. Name Of Proposed Precinct	2. Is this a new precinct?	3. Election Division & STFID Number (To Be Completed By Election Division)	
Bean Blossom 1	☐ Yes ☒ No		

4. District Information
Congressional 9 Indiana Senate 40 Indiana House 46

5. Number Of Active Voters (IC 3-5-2-1.7)	6. Number Of Inactive Voters	7. Number Of Registered Voters (Must Equal Total Of Active And Inactive Voters)
1,045	110	1,155

8. Total Number Of Precincts In The County If Submission Is Approved
83 Voting Precincts 0 Non-Voting Precincts

9. Date Of County Executive's Adopted Order	10. Date Of First Election After Precincts Are Approved
	May 3, 2022

11. Precincts Affected By This Proposed Order (Complete a Precinct Summary Statement for each precinct. Please note that when changing boundaries of one precinct you will need to complete a separate IEC-8 for any other precinct affected by the change.)

12. List Any Attached Documents (i.e. map 6 of 20 maps, etc.)
Map #7

Does any portion of the newly established precinct split or divide any of the following?	Yes	No
13. School Corporation District Boundary		X
14. City or Town Boundary		X
15. City or Town Council District Boundary		X
16. Census Block Boundary		X
17. Other (Please Describe)		X

Method of Voting

18. ☐ Optical Scan Ballot Card ☐ Electronic ☒ Combination (Please Specify): Paper Ballot & BMD

19. What is the designated location and address for the polling place for this precinct?
Stinesville Elks Club
8060 N Stinesville Rd
Hoppert, IN 47433
(NOTE: This designation of a polling place remains in effect until later action by the county executive under state law.)

20. Does this polling place meet Indiana's polling place accessibility requirements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Precinct Change Reason

21. Briefly state the reason for the precinct change. (Attach additional sheet if necessary.)
Annexation, new precinct

Additional Information

22. Name and Contact Information Of County Election Staff Person Who Prepared This Form:
Karen Wheeler
812-335-7219

23. Does the county have access to Geographic Information System (GIS)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Name and Contact Information Of County GIS Staff:
Jared Nichmiller 812-349-2048

24. Does the county use Census Tiger files? ☒ Yes ☐ No

State Office Use Only

25. Type Of Geographic Files Used by County (If Known By Staff Of The Indiana Election Division Or Office Of Census Data)

PRECINCT SUMMARY STATEMENT (IEC-8)

State Form 13332 (R7/7-06)
Indiana Election Commission (IC 3-11-1.5-15) Name of County Monroe

INSTRUCTIONS: See Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-25 for periods during which precinct boundary changes may NOT take effect.
Indiana Code 3-11-1.5-15 requires that a county include the following items in a proposed precinct establishment order submitted to the Indiana Election Division:
1. A map of each precinct to be established by the proposed order. A county may submit these maps in electronic form.
2. A description of the boundaries of each precinct to be established by the proposed order that identifies any census blocks located entirely within the precinct.
3. An estimated number of voters in each precinct to be established by the proposed order, based on the registration records maintained by the county voter registration office.
4. A statement designating a polling place for the precinct that complies with the polling place accessibility requirements.
5. Any additional information required by rules adopted by the Indiana Election Commission under IC 4-22-2.

General Precinct Information

1. Name Of Proposed Precinct	2. Is this a new precinct?	3. Election Division & STFID Number (To Be Completed By Election Division)	
Bean Blossom 3	☒ Yes ☐ No		

4. District Information
Congressional 9 Indiana Senate 40 Indiana House 46

5. Number Of Active Voters (IC 3-5-2-1.7)	6. Number Of Inactive Voters	7. Number Of Registered Voters (Must Equal Total Of Active And Inactive Voters)
5	0	5

8. Total Number Of Precincts In The County If Submission Is Approved
83 Voting Precincts 0 Non-Voting Precincts

9. Date Of County Executive's Adopted Order	10. Date Of First Election After Precincts Are Approved
	May 3, 2022

11. Precincts Affected By This Proposed Order (Complete a Precinct Summary Statement for each precinct. Please note that when changing boundaries of one precinct you will need to complete a separate IEC-8 for any other precinct affected by the change.)

12. List Any Attached Documents (i.e. map 6 of 20 maps, etc.)
Map #7

Does any portion of the newly established precinct split or divide any of the following?	Yes	No
13. School Corporation District Boundary		X
14. City or Town Boundary		X
15. City or Town Council District Boundary		X
16. Census Block Boundary		X
17. Other (Please Describe)		X

Method of Voting

18. ☐ Optical Scan Ballot Card ☐ Electronic ☒ Combination (Please Specify) Paper Ballot & BMD

19. What is the designated location and address for the polling place for this precinct?
Stinesville Elks Club
8060 N Stinesville Rd
Harrodsburg, IN 47433
(NOTE: This designation of a polling place remains in effect until later action by the county executive under state law.)

20. Does this polling place meet Indiana's polling place accessibility requirements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Precinct Change Reason

21. Briefly state the reason for the precinct change. (Attach additional sheet if necessary.)
Annexation, new precinct

Additional Information

22. Name and Contact Information Of County Election Staff Person Who Prepared This Form:
Karen Wells
812-335-7219

23. Does the county have access to Geographic Information System (GIS)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Name and Contact Information Of County GIS Staff:
Jared Lichmiller 812-349-2048

24. Does the county use Census Tiger files? ☒ Yes ☐ No

State Office Use Only

25. Type Of Geographic Files Used by County (If Known By Staff Of The Indiana Election Division Or Office Of Census Data)

Monroe County Voter Precincts

Adopted January 2022



Visit monroecountyvoters.us for more information.

Legend

- Highways
- Roads
- Lakes

Created By
Monroe County GIS Division
May 2 2022

NOTICE: Government of Monroe County - Indiana, Monroe County does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy of the information contained herein and disclaims any and all liability resulting from any error or omission in this map.

***** Amendment Form *****

Ordinance #: 22-24
Amendment #: Am 01
Submitted By: (in search of sponsor)
Date: September 21, 2022
Proposed Amendment:

1. Ordinance 22-24 shall be amended by inserting a new Section 3 and renumbering subsequent sections accordingly. The new Section 3 shall read as follows:

> SECTION 3. To the extent such annexation is completed, the South-East Bloomington Annexation Area that was the subject of Ordinance 17-12 is hereby reassigned to Council District No. 5. Following the effective date of the annexation, the City will review and redistrict its Council Districts as appropriate and required to ensure compliance with I.C. 36-4-6-3, I.C. 3-5-10, or other applicable laws.

Synopsis

This amendment adds a new section to reassign the South-East Bloomington Annexation Area that was the subject of Ordinance 17-12, if that annexation is completed, to the new Council District 5 as a result of the redistricting process. Ordinance 17-12 had previously assigned the Annexation Area to District 4, which would no longer be contiguous to the Annexation Area upon adoption of Ordinance 22-24.

Committee Recommendation: **N/A**
Regular Session Action (10/06/22): **Pending**

City of Bloomington Indiana
City Hall | 401 N. Morton St. | Post Office Box 100 | Bloomington, Indiana 47402
Office of the Common Council | (812) 349-3409 | Fax: (812) 349-3570 | email: council@bloomington.in.gov

MEMO FROM COUNCIL OFFICE ON

Appropriation Ordinance 22-04 - To Specially Appropriate from the General Fund Expenditures not Otherwise Appropriated to Fund an Emergency Reproductive Health Care Grant Program to Help Address the Impacts of Indiana's Near-Total Abortion Ban

Synopsis
This ordinance appropriates $100,000 from the General Fund to provide the necessary resources for an Emergency Reproductive Health Care Grant Program administered in 2022 by the Community and Family Resources Department to address the negative impacts of S.B. 1 experienced by residents.

Relevant Materials
- Appropriation Ordinance 22-04
- Memo from Beth Cate, Corporation Counsel

Summary
Appropriation Ordinance 22-04 proposes an additional appropriation of $100,000 out of the city's general fund to be used for an emergency reproductive health care grant program to be administered by the Community and Family Resources Department. The administration is proposing this grant program in response to the state's passage of Senate Bill 1 (S.B. 1) earlier this year, which imposes a near-total ban on abortion services in Indiana.

On August 17th, 2022, the Common Council passed Resolution 22-15, which was signed by the Mayor on August 22nd. That resolution expressed the Council's intent to take appropriate steps to protect and advance the rights of individuals in Indiana who can become pregnant and to uphold all persons' rights to privacy, dignity, and self-determination. It also stated that the legislative policy of the City of Bloomington would be to support efforts to protect reproductive rights.

The administration is proposing to fund an emergency grant program in order to support organizations responding to S.B. 1's impact in Bloomington and on residents. This program would take the form of a competitive grant and is described in more detail in the attached staff memo from Corporation Counsel Beth Cate. The specific details and features of the program are still under development, but, as the staff memo notes, would be administered through CFRD in a manner consistent with local, state, and federal law with the goal of making awards no later than December 31, 2022.

Appropriation Ordinance 22-04 proposes an additional appropriation for 2022 out of the city's general fund for the purposes described above and described in the legislation. Indiana Code 36-4-7-8 provides that the legislative body may, on the recommendation of the city executive, make further or additional appropriations by ordinance, as long as the result does not increase the city's tax levy that was set as part of the annual budgeting process. The additional appropriation requested by Appropriation Ordinance 22-04 should not result in such an increase to the city's tax levy. Please note that a public notice of the proposed additional appropriation has been published pursuant to Indiana Code 6-1.1-18-5 and that the Council must conduct a public hearing (scheduled for October 19, 2022) on the proposal before adoption.

Contact
Beth Cate, Corporation Counsel, 812-349-3426, beth.cate@bloomington.in.gov
Jeff Underwood, Controller, 812-349-3412, underwoj@bloomington.in.gov

APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE 22-04

TO SPECIALLY APPROPRIATE FROM THE GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES NOT OTHERWISE APPROPRIATED TO FUND AN EMERGENCY REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH CARE GRANT PROGRAM TO HELP ADDRESS THE IMPACTS OF INDIANA'S NEAR-TOTAL ABORTION BAN

WHEREAS, on June 24, 2022, the United States Supreme Court issued its decision in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Org.*, 597 U.S. ___ (2022), which reversed nearly fifty years of Supreme Court case law recognizing a fundamental federal constitutional right to decide whether to carry a pregnancy to term; and

WHEREAS, prior to the *Dobbs* decision, states were prevented as a matter of federal constitutional law from banning, or imposing an undue burden on access to, abortion before the ability of the fetus to live outside the womb (typically estimated at 22 weeks after the pregnant person's last menstrual period); and

WHEREAS, following the *Dobbs* decision, the Indiana General Assembly met in special session between July 25 and August 5 to revise Indiana's abortion laws, and passed Senate Bill 1 ("S.B. 1"), which the Governor signed and which imposes a near-total ban on abortion in the state; and

WHEREAS, S.B. 1 bans all abortions performed in the state from the moment of fertilization except (a) in the first 10 weeks of postfertilization age of the fetus when the pregnancy resulted from rape or incest or (b) when reasonable medical judgment indicates that abortion is needed to prevent death or a serious risk of substantial and irreversible *physical* – but not psychological or emotional – harm to the patient, or to address a fetal anomaly reasonably certain to result in the child's death within 3 months after live birth; and

WHEREAS, S.B. 1 requires that the few abortions that may still lawfully be performed in the state be performed in either a hospital or a hospital-owned ambulatory outpatient surgical center (ASC), rather than the clinics that have safely performed for decades the overwhelming majority of abortions in the state, and no inherent or documented difference in level or safety of care supports this restriction; and

WHEREAS, abortion services in hospitals and ASCs are severely restricted geographically in Indiana and are substantially more expensive than clinic-based abortion care, rendering access to even the few abortions permitted under S.B. 1 nearly impossible as a practical matter for many residents, especially those residents with low-incomes; and

WHEREAS, the risk of death associated with childbirth is substantially higher than that associated with abortion, and Indiana's infant and maternal mortality rates are among the worst in the nation; and

WHEREAS, most people seeking an abortion have already had at least one child, and being forced to carry another pregnancy to term can substantially undermine a family's economic and emotional resources to care for existing children; and

WHEREAS, S.B. 1 requires the state medical licensing board to revoke a physician's license to practice medicine in the state if the Attorney General proves that the physician knowingly or intentionally performed an abortion not permitted under S.B. 1,

using the lowest burden of proof available under law – a "preponderance of the evidence" or "more likely than not"; and

WHEREAS, S.B. 1 also imposes criminal penalties including imprisonment of one to six years and fines of up to $10,000, on persons who knowingly or intentionally perform a prohibited abortion; and

WHEREAS, physicians in this state may credibly fear that they will be prosecuted and/or have their licenses revoked for exercising their professional medical judgment if government officials disagree with their assessment of a patient's condition or otherwise wish to harass health care professionals in order to chill them from providing lawful abortion care to patients; and

WHEREAS, the restrictions and penalties S.B. 1 imposes on access to abortion will undermine the physical, psychological, emotional, and economic well-being of residents experiencing pregnancy or capable of becoming pregnant, as well as their children and families; undermine the exercise of independent medical judgment by reproductive health care professionals and discourage them from practicing in the state, thereby further reducing the availability of reproductive health care services for residents; and likely increase the population of children in the state's already-strained foster care and adoption systems; and

WHEREAS, in response to the passage of S.B. 1, on August 17, 2022 the Common Council adopted Resolution 22-15, which was signed and approved by the Mayor on August 22, 2022 and indicated that:

(1) denying Hoosiers capable of pregnancy – including our fellow city and county residents – the right to bodily integrity and self-determination will impose tremendous physical, psychological, and economic harms, and

(2) the law will impose those harms disproportionately on people of color, economically disadvantaged people, and all others who face discrimination in the health care system; and

WHEREAS, while a state trial court has temporarily stayed enforcement of S.B. 1, the Attorney General is challenging the stay, which could be lifted at any point by a higher court; and

WHEREAS, during the litigation process the uncertainty surrounding the availability and provision of reproductive health care in Indiana is likely to impose substantial challenges for persons who are pregnant or capable of becoming pregnant, health care professionals, and community organizations that assist residents with access to services surrounding pregnancy and reproductive health; and

WHEREAS, Resolution 22-15 stated the Common Council's intent to "take such steps as may be appropriate to protect and advance the rights of individuals in Indiana who can become pregnant and to uphold all persons' rights to privacy, dignity and self-determination"; and

WHEREAS, providing additional funding to community organizations that offer lawful assistance to residents who are experiencing the impacts of S.B. 1, is one such step and a critical response to the reproductive health care crisis that law has created; and

WHEREAS, the Common Council has determined and found that an Emergency Reproductive Health Care Grant Program, administered by and through the Community and Family Resources Department (CFRD) and containing features (application materials, evaluation criteria, funding agreement) similar to other social services grantmaking programs, is an appropriate way to provide this funding to such organizations; and

WHEREAS, the Common Council has determined and found that there are insufficient funds available and provided in the existing departmental budget to fund the Emergency Reproductive Health Care Grant Program and that this additional appropriation will remedy this deficiency; and

WHEREAS, notice of a hearing on said appropriation has been duly given by publication as required by law, and the hearing on said appropriation has been held, at which all taxpayers and other interested persons had an opportunity to appear and express their views as to such appropriation; and

WHEREAS, the Common Council now finds that all conditions precedent to the adoption of an ordinance authorizing an additional appropriation of the City have been compiled with in accordance with Indiana law;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA THAT:

SECTION 1: For the expenses of said Emergency Reproductive Health Care Grant Program the following additional sums of money are hereby appropriated and ordered set apart from the General Fund for the purposes herein specified, subject to the laws governing the same:

	AMOUNT REQUESTED
General Fund – Community and Family Resources	
Classification 3 – Services and Charges:	$ 100,000
Grant Total General Fund (Fund #101)	$ 100,000

SECTION 2: There is hereby appropriated the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars ($100,000) out of the General Fund, for the purpose of making emergency reproductive health care grants in 2022 to community organizations offering lawful assistance to residents of Bloomington experiencing negative impacts from S.B. 1, using features (application materials, evaluation criteria, funding agreement) similar to other social services grantmaking programs. Such appropriation shall be in addition to all appropriations provided for in the existing 2022 budget and shall continue in effect until the completion of the described purposes.

SECTION 3. Each of the Mayor and the Controller is hereby authorized and directed, for and on behalf of the City, to execute and deliver any agreement, certificate or other instrument or take any other action which such officer determines to be necessary or desirable to carry out the intent of this Ordinance, including the filing of a report of an additional appropriation with the Indiana Department of Local Government Finance, which determination shall be conclusively evidenced by such officer's having executed such agreement, certificate or other instrument or having taken such other action, and any such agreement, certificate or other instrument heretofore executed and delivered and any such other action heretofore taken are hereby ratified and approved.

PASSED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this ___ day of _____, 2022.

_____
SUSAN SANDBERG, President
Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

_____
NICOLE BOLDEN, Clerk
City of Bloomington

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this ___ day of _____, 2022.

_____
NICOLE BOLDEN, Clerk
City of Bloomington

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this ____ day of _____, 2022.

_____
JOHN HAMILTON, Mayor
City of Bloomington

SYNOPSIS

This ordinance appropriates $100,000 from the General Fund to provide the necessary resources for an Emergency Reproductive Health Care Grant Program administered in 2022 by the Community and Family Resources Department to address the negative impacts of S.B. 1 experienced by residents.

CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

MEMO

TO:	Members of the City of Bloomington Common Council
CC:	Stephen Lucas, Attorney, Common Council
FROM:	Beth Cate, Corporation Counsel
DATE:	September 28, 2022
RE:	$100K emergency annual fund to help residents with SB1 impacts

On August 5, 2022 the Indiana General Assembly passed and Governor Holcomb signed into law Senate Bill 1 ("S.B. 1"), which came into effect on September 15. This law bans nearly all abortions in the state. It also requires that any abortions allowed under the law's very narrow exceptions be performed in hospitals or ambulatory outpatient surgical centers that are hospital-owned, rather than in the clinics that have safely performed for decades the vast majority of abortions in the state.

In response to the passage of S.B. 1, on August 17, 2022 the Common Council adopted Resolution 22-15, which the Mayor signed and approved on August 22, 2022, and which indicated that:

(1) denying Hoosiers capable of pregnancy – including our fellow city and county residents – the right to bodily integrity and self-determination will impose tremendous physical, psychological, and economic harms, and

(2) the law will impose those harms disproportionately on people of color, economically disadvantaged people, and all others who face discrimination in the health care system.

Three lawsuits have been filed, one in Monroe County and one in Marion County, challenging the legal validity of S.B. 1. The judge hearing the Monroe County case has stayed the enforcement of the law pending a decision on the merits, finding that "there is a reasonable likelihood that this significant restriction of personal autonomy offends the liberty guarantees of the Indiana Constitution and the Plaintiffs will prevail on the merits as to their claim that S.B. 1 violates Article I, §1 of the Indiana Constitution." *Planned Parenthood Northwest, Hawai'i, Alaska, Indiana, Kentucky, Inc., et al. v. Members of the Medical Licensing Board of Indiana, et al.*, Order Granting Preliminary Injunction, Cause No. 53C06-2208-PL-001756 (Sept. 22, 2022).

The state has already filed an appeal of the stay and is seeking expedited action by the Indiana Supreme Court. If the state prevails S.B. 1 will go back into effect immediately, pending a decision on the merits. A final resolution of the legal validity of S.B. 1 may take years; in the meantime, the uncertainty surrounding the availability and provision of reproductive health care in Indiana is likely to create substantial challenges for persons who are pregnant or capable of becoming pregnant, health care professionals, and community organizations that assist Hoosiers with access to services surrounding pregnancy and reproductive health.

401 N. Morton Street • Bloomington, IN 47404 • 812-349-3400 • bloomington.in.gov • citybloomington

Resolution 22-15 states the Council's intent to "take such steps as may be appropriate to protect and advance the rights of individuals in Indiana who can become pregnant and to uphold all persons' rights to privacy, dignity and self-determination." One critical step the City can take is to support organizations responding to the reproductive health care crisis that S.B. 1 has imposed on our community.

Accordingly, the Administration proposes that the City make available to such organizations $100,000 in emergency grant funds in each of 2022 and 2023, which they can provide to applicants who help meet needs associated with pregnancy and reproductive health.

The $100,000 for 2023 is reflected in the proposed 2023 budget. A public hearing on the 2023 budget has been noticed for September 28.

To make $100,000 in grant funds available in 2022, we recommend that the Council approve an additional appropriation of $100,000 from the General Fund to Classification - 3 Services and Charges within the budget of the Community and Family Resources Department (CFRD). A proposed Additional Appropriation Ordinance is attached. The Director of CFRD will conduct a competitive grant process to award these funds as soon as possible and by no later than December 31, 2022. The process will include application materials, evaluation criteria, and a funding agreement similar to other social services grantmaking programs.

Funds from this additional appropriation will address impacts of S.B. 1 and will be used consistent with valid local, state and federal law.

The Administration will give the public notice of this proposed additional 2022 appropriation by publication as required under Indiana Code 6-1.1-18-5(a) and Indiana Code 5-3-1-2(b). If, following the public hearing, Council approves the proposed additional appropriation, the Controller will promptly file a certified copy with the Department of Local Government Finance (DLGF) as required by state law, IC 6-1.1-18-5(b) and (e). The DLGF has 15 days to determine in writing whether sufficient funds are available to cover the appropriation. The Administration expects an affirmative ruling from the DLGF.

Council review and approval of this proposed additional appropriation ordinance will also satisfy the requirements of Bloomington Municipal Code 2.26.210, which calls for Council review and approval by resolution of expenditures of at least $100,000.