## CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

## PLAN COMMISSION

> June 12, 2023 5:30 p.m. Council Chambers, Room \#115 Hybrid Zoom Link:
https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/87189627237?pwd=Vk00RkN3Z004 ZG9IcStyVTJoelV1Zz09
Meeting ID: 87189627237 Passcode: 684090

## CITY OF BLOOMINGTON <br> PLAN COMMISSION (Hybrid Meeting) <br> * City Council Chambers - Room \#115 <br> June 12, 2023 at 5:30 p.m.

The City is committed to providing equal access to information. However, despite our efforts, at times, portions of our board and commission packets are not accessible for some individuals. If you encounter difficulties accessing material in this packet, please contact the Melissa
Hirtzel at hirtzelm@bloomington.in.gov and provide your name, contact information, and a link to or description of the document or web page you are having problems with.

## *Virtual Link:

https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/87189627237?pwd=Vk00RkN3Z004ZG9IcStyVTJoelV1Zz 09

Meeting ID: 87189627237 Passcode: 684090
Petition Map: https://arcg.is/0DuW9y0

## ROLL CALL

MINUTES TO BE APPROVED: May 15, 2023

## REPORTS, RESOLUTIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS:

## PETITIONS TABLED:

## SP-24-22 Cutters Kirkwood 123 LLC

115 E Kirkwood Ave
Parcel: 53-05-33-310-062.000-005
Request: Major site plan approval to construct a 4-story building with 3 floors of residential units over a ground floor parking garage and retail space in the MD-CS zoning district. The upper floors will consist of 15 dwelling units for a total of 38 beds.
Case Manager: Karina Pazos

## PETITIONS:

SP-25-22 Strauser Construction Co. Inc.
409 E. Kirkwood Ave
Request: Major site plan approval to construct a 4-story building with 3 floors of residential units over a ground floor containing a commercial space and a parking garage in the MD-UV zoning district. The upper floors will consist of 25 dwelling units.
Case Manager: Karina Pazos

PETITIONER: Ryan Strauser, Strauser Construction Co., Inc.
453 S Clarizz Blvd
Bloomington, IN 47401
CONSULTANT: Matt Ellenwood, Matte Black Architecture
2021 E Wexley Rd
Bloomington, IN 47401
REQUEST: The petitioner is requesting an extension of the site plan approval granted under case \#SP-25-22 on July 11, 2022.

## BACKGROUND:

Area:
Current Zoning:

Comprehensive Plan
Designation:
Existing Land Use:
Proposed Land Use:
Surrounding Uses:
0.20 acres

MD-UV (Mixed-Use Downtown w/ University Village Downtown Character Overlay)

Downtown
Commercial - Restaurant
Mixed-use - Restaurant and Dwelling, multifamily
North - Restaurant
South - Place of Worship
East - Retail and Dwelling, multifamily
West - Restaurant
REPORT: The property is currently zoned Mixed-Use Downtown with a University Village Downtown Character Overlay (MD-UV), located on the north side of East Kirkwood Avenue, and currently contains the Village Deli restaurant. All the surrounding properties are also zoned MDUV. Currently, the restaurant covers approximately half of the parcel with the rear half currently being used as parking. The parcel is bounded by alleys on the north and east sides.

The Plan Commission approved this site plan for a new 4-story, with three floors of residential units over a ground floor that will contain commercial/restaurant space and a parking garage for the residential-unit tenants. The upper floors will consist of 25 dwelling units with a total of 29 beds.

The petitioner is requesting an extension of the original site plan approval given. The UDO states that the approval of a major site plan shall be effective for a maximum period of one year unless, upon petition by the developer, the Plan Commission grants an extension pursuant to Section 20.06.040(h)(1). That section allows for the decision-making body to grant an extension of up to one year, following a written request that explains reasonable cause for such extension, prior to the expiration date. The final approval authority shall determine whether or not there is reasonable cause for the requested extension.

CONCLUSION: The petitioner has been working with Duke Energy for relocations of overhead
power lines and poles needed to facilitate the project. The additional time will allow them to finalize relocations and the best possible solution. There have been changes to the UDO that would impact the Sustainable Development incentive requirements for the project but an extension of the request is reasonable.

RECOMMENDATION: The Department recommends the Plan Commission approve the request for extension of the site plan approval with the following condition:

1. The approval granted on July 11, 2022 shall be effective through July 11, 2024.




Scale: $1^{\prime \prime}=80^{\prime}$

May 4, 2023
City of Bloomington Plan Commission
City of Bloomington Planning \& Transportation Department
Showers Building Suite 130
401 N. Morton Street
Bloomington, IN 47404
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text { Project: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { 409 E. Kirkwood Apartments } \\
\text { 409 E. Kirkwood Avenue }\end{array} \\
\text { Bloomington, Indiana } \\
\text { CASE \#: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { SP-25-22 }\end{array} \\
\text { Applicant: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Ryan M. Strauser } \\
\text { Strauser Construction Co., Inc. } \\
\text { Strauser Design + Build, LLC }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Bloomington, Indiana\end{array}\right\}\)| Bob Costello |
| :--- |
| Owner: |
|  |
| Bloomington, Indiana |

Dear Members of the Plan Commission and City Planning \& Transportation Department,
Strauser Design + Build, LLC on behalf of the property Owner is submitting this petition for an extension of time for the previously approved site plan approval for the mixed-use project located at 409 E . Kirkwood Avenue. The project consists of a multi-level building with retail and parking on Level 1 and apartment units above.

Our project team is requesting this extension of time due to delays in the development process outside of the control of the petitioner over the last several months since the July 2022 approval. During the time period immediately following approval the design team engaged with Duke Energy for design and engineering by Duke Energy of relocations to the overhead power lines and poles needed to facilitate the development.

This process has extended for several months due to limited options for relocations and the attempt by all parties to limit effects on neighboring properties and provide the best possible solution going forward for the public right-ofway surrounding the property. Due to this the design process with the public utility which is still on-going we are currently not able to move forward into the construction phase of the project.

Based upon this issue, we would like to request an extension of time of 1 year for this previously granted approval. Thank you for your consideration.
Sincerely,


Ryan M. Strauser
RA, AIA, LEED AP

PETITIONER: Ryan Strauser, Strauser Construction Co., Inc.
453 S Clarizz Blvd
Bloomington, IN 47401
CONSULTANT: Matt Ellenwood, Matte Black Architecture
2021 E Wexley Rd
Bloomington, IN 47401

REQUEST: The petitioner is requesting a major site plan approval to construct a 4 -story building with three floors of residential units over a ground floor containing commercial space and a parking garage in the MD-UV zoning district. The upper floors will consist of 25 dwelling units for a total of 29 beds.

## BACKGROUND:

| Area: | 0.20 acres |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current Zoning: | MD-UV (Mixed-Use Downtown w/ University Village Downtown |
| Comprehensive Plan | Character Overlay) |
| Cesignation: | Downtown |
| Existing Land Use: | Commercial - Restaurant <br> Proposed Land Use: |
| Mixed-use - Restaurant and Dwelling, multifamily |  |
| Surrounding Uses: | North - Restaurant <br> South - Place of Worship |
|  | East - Retail and Dwelling, multifamily |
|  | West - Restaurant |

REPORT: The property is currently zoned Mixed-Use Downtown with a University Village Downtown Character Overlay (MD-UV), located on the north side of East Kirkwood Avenue, and currently contains the Village Deli restaurant. All the surrounding properties are also zoned MDUV. Currently, the restaurant covers approximately half of the parcel with the rear half currently being used as parking. The parcel is bounded by alleys on the north and east sides.

The petitioner is requesting major site plan approval for a new 4 -story building with three floors of residential units over a ground floor that will contain commercial/restaurant space and a parking garage for the residential-unit tenants. The upper floors will consist of 25 dwelling units with a total of 29 beds.

In the MD-UV zoning district, a Dwelling, multifamily use is permitted with use-specific standards. Those standards include locating ground floor parking at least 20 feet behind the building façade facing a public street, and locating any dwelling units on the ground floor at least 20 feet behind each building façade facing a public street. The petitioner is proposing ground floor parking located at least 20 feet behind the front building façade facing Kirkwood Avenue, and is not proposing any dwelling units on the ground floor.

MAJOR SITE PLAN REVIEW 20.06.050(a)(2)(C)(ii): Major site plan approval is required for developments that meet the minor site plan review thresholds but are determined by the Planning and Transportation Director to require major site plan review due to unusual size, complexity, or the creation of potential significant unanticipated impacts on the city or surrounding neighborhoods. Such a determination has been made.

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS \& INCENTIVES 20.04: The following UDO standards are required to be reviewed for all activities that require New Development approval.

## Dimensional Standards:

- Setbacks: The MD-UV zoning district requires a $0-15$ foot build-to range with a minimum of 70 percent of the building façade at the build-to range, and a front parking setback minimum of 20 feet behind the primary structure's front building wall. The proposed site plan demonstrates compliance with setbacks.
- Height: The maximum height in the MD-UV zoning district is three stories not to exceed 40 feet. The minimum floor to ceiling height on the ground floor shall be 12 feet because a nonresidential use is proposed. The proposal includes sustainable development incentives to add a fourth floor for a maximum height of $52^{\prime}$. The proposed height is $50^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ and meets the maximum height requirement with incentives.
- Impervious Surface Coverage: The maximum impervious surface coverage in the MDUV zoning district is $100 \%$ and the minimum landscape area is not applicable. The petitioner has stated the proposal to have $100 \%$ impervious surface coverage. The proposal meets the impervious surface coverage and landscape requirements.


## Access and Connectivity:

Driveways and Access - The proposed drive access to the parking garage is on the north side of the site and is accessed from the alley. The drive pavement width is 20 feet, which meets the driveway and access standards.

Pedestrian and Bicycle Circulation - Per the Transportation Plan, the adjacent street typology for Kirkwood Avenue is designated as Shared Street and has the functional classification of a local street. The Transportation Plan calls for a preferred width of 6-8 feet of frontage zone to accommodate for the sidewalk café, a minimum 10 -foot wide sidewalk, and a minimum 5 -foot wide tree plot. The frontage zone is intended to accommodate for door swings, awnings, café seating, retail signage displays, building projections, and landscape areas. The frontage zone may be accommodated within the building setback requirement. The proposal includes an 8 -foot wide frontage zone that is partly within the front building setback, a 7.8 -foot wide sidewalk, and a 5 foot wide tree plot. The frontage zone will need to be reduced or moved onto the property such that more space can be dedicated to the sidewalk to meet the minimum 10 -foot required width. A condition has been added.

## Parking and Loading:

Minimum Vehicle Parking Requirement - The minimum parking requirements for this site are 0.5 spaces per studio, 1 space per 1-bed unit, 1.5 spaces per 2-bed unit, and 2 spaces per 3-bed unit. The proposal includes a total of 11 studios, 11 -bedrooms, two 2-bedrooms, and one 3-bedroom, so the total minimum required parking spaces is 21.5 . The site provides 12 vehicle parking spaces on site and proposes to use adjustments to the minimum parking requirements, see below.

Accessible Parking - One accessible parking space with accessible aisle is provided as close as reasonably practicable to the building entrance and elevator.

Adjustments to Minimum Parking Requirements - Three adjustments to the minimum parking requirements are proposed, including: a proximity to transit reduction by 15 percent for a total reduction of 3.2 spaces, an on-street parking reduction for a total reduction of two spaces, and a parking study performed by Desman Design Management that determined 12 vehicle parking spaces will be a sufficient supply for this proposal.

Minimum Bicycle Parking Required - Each development subject to Section 20.04.03(1) of the UDO shall provide a minimum of six bicycle parking spaces or the number of bicycle parking spaces required in Table 04-13: Minimum Bicycle Parking Requirements, whichever is more. In the MD zoning district, for residential uses, the number of bicycle parking spaces required is 20 percent of the provided vehicle parking, or one space per five bedrooms, whichever is more. For commercial uses, the number of bicycle parking spaces required is five percent of the provided vehicle parking. In this case, 5.8 bicycle parking spaces are required per the calculations for the residential use, and 0.6 bicycle parking space is required for the commercial use for a total of seven bicycle parking spaces. The proposal includes six bicycle racks for a total of 12 bicycle parking spaces.

Bicycle Parking Location and Design - For multifamily residential uses, developments with 25 or more dwelling units shall provide a minimum of one-half of the total required bicycle parking spaces as covered, short-term Class II bicycle parking facilities, and a minimum of one-quarter of the total required bicycle parking spaces as long-term Class I facilities. All proposed bicycle facilities are Class II and are located in the parking garage. At least two Class I bicycle parking spaces must be provided, and the petitioner plans to incorporate this facility in the parking garage. A condition has been added.

## Site and Building Design:

DCO - Downtown Character Overlay District - In case of a conflict between the standards in Section 20.02.050(a) and the standards in the underlying MD zoning district, the provisions below apply.

- Required Building Entrances - In a downtown character overlay district, the required pedestrian entrances shall incorporate a landscaped plaza area, which needs to have at least three of the following: benches (minimum of two), bike racks, public art or water feature, drinking fountain, trash receptacles, or landscaped areas or planters. The site plan indicates two benches and the existing trash receptacles in the furnishing/tree plot zone, as well as planters in the frontage zone. The proposal meets this standard.
- Windows and Doors on Primary Facades - In the Kirkwood Corridor, at least 60 percent of the total façade area of the first floor shall incorporate transparent glass or framed façade open areas consisting of display windows, entries and doors. The proposal meets this standard.
- Primary Pedestrian Entrances - In the UV overlay, at least two architectural design features must be incorporated. The primary pedestrian entrance incorporates a recessed entry door, a plaza space with landscape planters, a canopy or awning, and a prominent building address and name. The proposal meets this standard.
- Façade Articulation - In the UV overlay, the maximum length of façade articulation modules is 50 feet and the minimum is 20 feet. The proposal meets these standards.

MD District - Street lighting plans in the MD District require pedestrian scaled lighting that is consistent with the design recommendations of the City of Bloomington Downtown Vision and Infill Strategy Plan. More specifically in the MD-UV zoning district, street lighting fixtures shall be of a traditional design style. There is an existing traditional design style street lighting fixture that meets this requirement.

Building Design - The new building will be finished with a mix of brick, stone, metal panels, and glass. Brick and natural stone are permitted primary finish materials. Metal, except corrugated, is a permitted secondary finish material.

- Materials - The UDO requires that a primary exterior finish material covers at least 20 percent of a façade. Metal is a permitted secondary finish material and can cover up to 20 percent of a façade. All facades of the proposal meet these standards.
- Exterior Facades - The UDO requires that all facades incorporate at least three design elements every 40 feet to break up monotony. The proposal includes metal awnings, change in building façade heights by at least five feet, and wall elevation projections by at least three percent of façade widths.
- Patterns - The UDO requires that all facades visible from any roadway shall consist of at least one primary and one secondary color, shall repeat either texture or color horizontally, and shall repeat variations in texture and color at least every 30 feet vertically. The proposal meets these design standards.
- Eaves and Roofs - The UDO requires sloped roofs (those greater than 3:12 pitch) visible from any roadway to have overhanging eaves, extending no less than two feet past the supporting walls, or flat roofs (those less than 3:12 pitch) to include a parapet on supporting walls. The proposal includes parapets on supporting walls.
- 360-Degree Architecture - The UDO requires the sides of a building that are not visible from a street to incorporate similar material finishes and architectural detail to the facades that are visible. The proposal meets this design standard.
- Primary Pedestrian Entry - The UDO requires a primary pedestrian entrance for every façade facing a street. The pedestrian entry shall contain at least three architectural details. The proposal includes a primary pedestrian entrance facing Kirkwood Avenue. The facade incorporates façade modules, building address and name, and a variation of a buttress entryway through use of a metal canopy.
- Windows on Primary Facades - The UDO requires all first-story windows on the primary façade of a primary structure to be transparent and not make use of dark tinting or reflective glass. The proposal meets this standard.
- Street Addresses - The UDO requires street address displays to consist of Arabic numerals (e.g., 1, 2, 3...) no less than eight inches in height, shall be placed above all exterior entrances visible from a public street, private drive, or parking lot, and shall contrast with the color of the surface on which they are mounted, consisting of reflective materials to be clearly visible and identifiable from the street. The proposal meets this standard.


## Landscaping, Buffering, and Fences:

Street Trees - A minimum of one canopy tree shall be planted per 40 feet of property that abuts a public right-of-way. In the MD zoning district, street trees shall be planted in a minimum five foot by five-foot tree pit covered with an ADA compliant cast iron grate to maintain a flush grade with adjacent sidewalks, subject to approval by the Transportation and Traffic Engineer. There is an existing street tree and tree grate that may be subject to replacement and will be determined at
grading permit review. The site has 66 feet of property that abuts Kirkwood Avenue, so two street trees are required for this site. A condition has been added.

Screening - Ground-mounted mechanical equipment, including transformers, shall be located where it is not visible from public open space, public trails, public streets, or from adjacent properties to the maximum extent practicable. In cases where the equipment is visible, it shall be screened from view by a solid wall or fence or a vegetative screen. The proposal includes a transformer located up to the property lines in the northeast corner of the property. The petitioner has expressed concern that there is not enough space to provide screening along the sides facing the alleys. The petitioner must file for a variance from the screening requirements. A condition has been added.

## Outdoor Lighting:

General Standards - A lighting fixture may beam light upward only if all upward light is reflected back down by a canopy, roof, or other such structure. A canopy is incorporated over the lighting fixtures on the ground floor. It is unclear if the lighting fixtures on the façade of the upper floors are beaming light upward. A condition has been added.

Multifamily Residential Lighting - A parcel occupied by a multifamily dwelling shall not be illuminated by more than 6,000 lumens per primary structure, including a maximum of 2,000 lumens per building entryway of any combination of motion detector activated lighting and bulbs rated at no more than 1,000 lumens. Information about the total lumens for the building has not been included. A condition has been added.

## Signs:

MD District Sign Standards - For individual nonresidential uses, the cumulative square footage of all wall signs shall not exceed one and one-half square feet per lineal foot of primary structure that faces a public or private street. For multifamily developments containing more than two units wall signage that shall not cumulatively exceed 24 square feet is permitted. No property shall be limited to less than 20 square feet of wall signage and no use or tenant shall exceed 100 square feet of wall signage. A sign permit will be required for the multifamily use and the restaurant use. A condition has been added.

## Incentives:

Sustainable Development - The proposal is seeking sustainable development incentives and demonstrates the following four criteria under Option 1 of the sustainable development incentives.

- Light Colored Hardscaping - At least 80 percent of horizontal hardscaping materials shall be installed with a solar reflectance index (SRI) of 29 or greater. The SRI shall be calculated in accordance with ASTM E1980. A default SRI value of 35 for new concrete without added color pigment may be used instead of measurements. The petitioner has included laboratory test results for the SRI of a concrete sample, which meets these standards.
- Covered Parking - Parking spaces within the parking structure count toward meeting this standard.
- Cool Roof - A cool roof on at least 70 percent of the total roof surface using roofing materials that have an aged SRI equal to or greater than the values in Table 4-21. The petitioner has included specs for EverGuard that has an initial SRI of 94 and aged SRI of 81, which exceed the minimum values in Table 4-21.
- Solar Energy - Install on site solar photovoltaic system covering an area anywhere on the building or lot equal to or greater than 35 percent of the total roof area of all primary buildings, or an area equal to or greater than an amount required to provide 40 percent of estimated annual average electricity used in all primary buildings. Other renewable energy devices may be used in place of on-site solar panels so long as evidence of equivalent electricity generation capacity is provided. The petitioner has provided specs for the product of solar panels that is intended to be incorporated with this proposal.

SITE PLAN REVIEW: The Plan Commission shall review the major site plan petition and approve, approve with conditions, or deny the petition in accordance with Section 20.06.040(g) (Review and Decision ), based on the general approval criteria in Section 20.06.040(d)(6)(B) (General Compliance Criteria).

### 20.06.040(d)(6)(B) General Compliance Criteria

i. Compliance with this UDO
ii. Compliance with Other Applicable Regulations
iii. Compliance with Utility, Service, and Improvement Standards
iv. Compliance with Prior Approvals

## PROPOSED FINDINGS:

This development will meet all applicable standards in the UDO, except for those with previous or required variances. This development is in compliance with city regulations including utility, service, and improvement standards. This development is in compliance with other applicable regulations. This development is in compliance with prior approvals.

CONCLUSION: This petition meets all requirements of the UDO and will add 25 new dwelling units near other high-density uses and amenities. The development will provide housing in an area where housing is common and is immediately adjacent to Downtown. The scale of this development is appropriate for the neighborhood. Given other recent developments and proximity to Downtown, this is an ideal location for this type of land use.

RECOMMENDATION: The Planning and Transportation Department recommends that the Plan Commission adopt the proposed findings and approve SP-25-22 with the following conditions:

1. The petitioner must obtain a grading permit before earth moving.
2. The petitioner must revise the frontage zone such that more space can be dedicated to the sidewalk to meet the minimum 10 -foot width per the Transportation Plan.
3. The petitioner will provide at least two Class I bicycle parking facilities on the site.
4. Replacement of the street tree and tree grate, subject to approval by Transportation and Traffic Engineer, as well at the additional tree may be required and will be determined at grading permit review.
5. The petitioner must file for a variance from the ground-mounted mechanical equipment screening standards.
6. The petitioner must provide information about the outdoor lighting fixtures.
7. This site plan review does not approve signage. A sign permit will need to be applied for.
8. Inspection and approval of the proposed solar installation is required before a temporary occupancy recommendation will be issued.

## Petitioner's Statement

## 409 E KIRKWOOD MIXED USE

Attention: City of Bloomington Plan Commission

## Property Description

The .20 acre ( 8,908 sf) property is currently occupied by a 1 -story structure that contains The Village Deli restaurant. It is bounded by an improved pedestrian walk along Kirkwood Avenue to the south, a paved alley to the north and east, and an adjacent 2 -story structure to the west. The property is surrounded by commercial, mixed-use and residential uses and is designated MD (Mixed-Use Downtown) with a UV (University Village) Overlay under the current UDO.

## Project Description

The petitioner is proposing a new $\mathbf{4}$-story structure that will provide 25 apartments ( 11 Studios, 11 One Bedrooms, 2 Two Bedrooms \& One 3 Bedroom) above a main level that will contain 2700 sf of commercial (restaurant) space along with a 12 -stall parking garage. The garage will be accessed via an entry along the alley to the north and the main pedestrian entrance along Kirkwood Avenue will provide access to amenities and an elevator to the upper floors. The garage will also house trash and recycling, bike parking (6 Class II), as well as utility rooms. New water service with FDC and PIV will be coordinated with City Utilities along with electrical service (to be coordinated with Duke Engineering). The proposed design takes advantage of the Sustainable Development Incentive (Option 1) by incorporating Light Colored Hardscaping, Covered Parking, a Cool Roof and Solar Energy (PV panels) in order to gain an additional story.

The architectural design responds to the scale and character of recent development in the area and incorporates the various requirements of the UDO (particularly that of the UV Overlay). The overall design marries the character of local historic brick structures with modern elements like glass garage doors and metal accents. The exterior façade comprises a mix of masonry (brick and stone), metal panel (custom \& horizontal profiles) and generous glazing (aluminum storefront and glass overhead doors along the street front). Metal Juliet balconies and a large awning provide visual interest and protection over the entries \& patio below. A partial $15^{\prime}$ building stepback above the 3 rd floor provides a generous patio for use by tenants with views to campus and surrounding areas. The primary street-facing façade also incorporates a 5' recessed entry with signage above to direct building users as well as create a dynamic streetscape.

The petitioner hopes to begin construction in late summer/early fall of 2022 with completion by August 2023.

Thank you for your consideration of this petition.


Matt Ellenwood, AIA (on behalf of the petitioner)


















## Cool Roof Membrane

This is planned to be basis of design and the roofing scope will be put out to bid. Our intention is to utilize this product or one by a different manufacturer that has the state SRI noted in the UDO

# EverGuard ${ }^{\circledR}$ TPO 60 mil Membrane Information Sheet 

## Updated: 6/18



## EverGuardo

 TPO
## Why TPO

- Great Value-Excellent performance at a cost-effective price
- Excellent Seam Strength - Heat-welded seams provide greater seam strength to taped and other seams
- Long-term Weathering - Excellent long-term heat and UV resistance
- Energy Saving - Highly reflective and emissive white roof can help reduce energy costs and urban heat island effect
- CREST Energy Savings Calculator-See your potential savings at cool.gaf.com
- Versatile Application Method


## Why GAF EverGuard ${ }^{\circledR}$ TPO

- Outperforms standard TPO in heat aging and UV tests - the best predictors of TPO performance
- After accelerated heat aging at $275^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ $\left(135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 105 days, EverGuard ${ }^{\circledR}$ TPO showed no cracking - while every one of the competitors' samples had failed! See below: - UV testing - Greater than 2.5 times the industry standard IASTM D6878 weather resistance test)
- Guarantees are available up to 25 years when using EverGuard ${ }^{\circledR}$ TPO 60 mil Membrane.*
- Easier to install due to: - Large welding window - Most complete line of accessories $-10^{\prime}(3.05 \mathrm{~m})$ wide sheets


Quality You Can
Trust...From North America's Largest Roofing Manufacturer! ${ }^{\text {™ }}$

## EverGuard ${ }^{\circledR}$ TPO 60 mil Membrane

## Applicable Standards

UL Listed, FM Approved, Miami-Dade County Product Control Approved, State of Florida Approved, CRRC Rated, Title 24 Compliant**, ENERGY STAR ${ }^{\circledR}$ Cerifified**, ASTM D6878.

| Physical Properties | ASTM Test Method | ASTM D6878 Minimum | EverGuard <br> Typical Test Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Certain data is provided in MD (machine direction) $\times$ CMD (cross machine direction) format. <br> 2. Data is based upon typical product performance, and is subject to normal manufacturing tolerance and variance. |  |  |  |
| Nominal Thickness | ASTM D751 | 0.039 " (min.) (0.99 mm) | 0.060 " (1.52 mm) |
| Breaking Strength | ASTM D751 Grab Method | $220 \mathrm{lbf} / \mathrm{in}$. $(38.5 \mathrm{kn} / \mathrm{m})$ | $305 \mathrm{lbf} \times 290 \mathrm{lbf}(454 \times 432 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m})$ |
| Factory Seam Strength | ASTM D751 | $66 \mathrm{lbf}(98.34 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m})$ | 135 lbf (membrane failure) ( $201.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}$ ) |
| Elongation at Break | ASTM D751 | 15\% | 30\% |
| Heat Aging | ASTM D573 | $90 \%$ Retention of Breaking Strength and Elongation at Break | 100\% |
| Tear Strength | ASTM D751 8" $\times 8$ " ( $203 \times 203 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) Sample | $55 \mathrm{lbf}(81.95 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m})$ | $75 \mathrm{lbf} \times 130 \mathrm{lbf}(111.8 \times 193.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m})$ |
| Puncture Resistance | FTM 101C Method 2031 | Not Established | $380 \mathrm{lb} .(172 \mathrm{~kg})$ |
| Cold Brittleness | ASTM D2137 | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Permeance | ASTM E96 | Not Established | 0.08 Perms |
| Dimensional Change | ASTM D1204 @158 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, 6 hrs. | +/-1\% | 0.4\% |
| Water Absorption | ASTM D471 @158 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, 1 week | +/-3.0\% (top coating only) | 0.7\% |
| Hydrostatic Resistance | ASTM D751 Method D | Not Established | 430 psi |
| Ozone Resistance | ASTM D1149 | No visible deterioration @ $7 \times$ mannification | No visible deterioration @ $7 \times$ mannification |
| SRI (Solar Reflectance Index) Initial/Aged | N/A | N/A | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 94 / 81 \\ 83 \text { Aged Title } 24 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Reflectivity (white) Initial/Aged | ASIM C1549 ASTM E903 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline N / A \\ N / A \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.76 / 0.68 \\ & \text { 81.9\% Reflectance } \end{aligned}$ |
| Emissivity (white) Initial/Aged | ASTM Cl 371 ASTM E403 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline N / A \\ N / A \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.90 / 0.83 \\ & 0.94 \end{aligned}$ |
| Weather Resistance | ASTM G155/D6878 | $10,080 \mathrm{KJ} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ at 340 nm | $>25,000 \mathrm{KJ} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ at 340 nm |
| Heat Aging | ASTM D573 | $240^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 32 weeks | 60 weeks |
| Thickness Above Scrim | ASTM D7635 | Min 30\% of Total Thickness | 22.1 mil (Nominal) |
| Guarantee |  |  |  |
| Up to 25 years |  |  |  |

*White, Energy Gray, and Energy Tan Membranes Only
**ENERGY STAR* only valid in the U.S.

## Product Data

|  | $5 ' \times 100$ | $6^{\prime} \times 100$ | $8^{\prime} \times 100$ | $10^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ | $12^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roll Size | $\begin{aligned} & (1.52 \times 30.5 \mathrm{~m}) \\ & (500 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft} .[46.5 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}]) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.83 \times 30.5 \mathrm{~m}) \\ & (600 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft} .[55.74 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}]) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (2.44 \times 30.5 \mathrm{~m}) \\ & (800 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft} .[74.3 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}]) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (3.05 \times 30.5 \mathrm{~m}) \\ & (1,000 \text { sq. ft. }[92.9 \text { sq.m] }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} (3.65 \times 30.5 \mathrm{~m}) \\ (1,200 \text { sq. ft. [111. } 484 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}]) \end{array}$ |
| Roll Weight | $162 \mathrm{lb} .173 .5 \mathrm{~kg})$ | 194.4 lb . $(88.2 \mathrm{~kg})$ | $257 \mathrm{lb} .(117 \mathrm{~kg})$ | $322 \mathrm{lb} .(146.1 \mathrm{~kg})$ | $386.4 \mathrm{lb} .(175.3 \mathrm{~kg})$ |
| Colors | White, Tan, Gray |  |  |  |  |
| Storage | Store rolls on their sides on pallets or shelving in a dry area. |  |  |  |  |
| Safely Warning | Membrane rolls are heavy. Position and install by at least two people. |  |  |  |  |
| Note: Membrane rolls shipped horizontally on pallets, stacked pyramid-style and banded. Product sizes, dimensions, and widths are nominal values and are subject to normal manufacturing/packaging tolerance and variation. |  |  |  |  |  |

# LABORATORY TEST RESULTS 

JOB \# 5872-MP<br>Date: July 19 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2018$

Prepared For: Irving Materials
Subject: SRI Calculation

## Purpose

Solar Reflectance is the fraction of incident solar radiation upon a surface that is reflected from the surface. This report presents the Solar Reflectance Index (SRI) measured for Sample \#GT1638 described in the attached document.

## Test Methods

The samples were tested as per procedures described in ASTM C1549: Standard Test Method for Determination of Solar Reflectance Near Ambient Temperature Using a Portable Solar Reflectometer and ASTM C1371: Standard Test Method for Determination of Emittance of Materials Near Room Temperature Using Portable Emissometers.

Measurement was made in standard ambient temperature and humidity lab conditions. Sample was measured in an as received condition. The sample was not cleaned prior to measurement. For the a description of the sample, please refer to measurement matrix. The air mass used to calculate values is 1.5 .

The solar reflectance index was calculated in compliance with ASTM E 1980: Standard Practice for Calculating Solar Reflectance Index of Horizontal and Low-Sloped Opaque Surfaces. Measurement approach II outlined in ASTM E1980-11 valid for SRI values greater than 0.1, and excluding collector surfaces (surface with high solar absorptance and low thermal emittance, that is, a greater than 0.8 and ' less than 0.2), Eq 4 estimates SRI with an average error of 0.9 and maximum error of 2. This test method is used to measure the solar reflectance of a flat opaque surface with a slope smaller than 9.5 degrees from horizontal under standard solar and ambient conditions.

The SRI of a test surface depends on two material properties and four environmental conditions. The variables are Solar reflectance, thermal emissivity, solar flux, convection coefficient, air temperature, and sky temperature. SRI accuracy is $+/-1 \%$ for solar reflectance for non-metal materials with high emissivity yielding a maximum error of $+/-1.4$ in SRI. For non-metal surfaces, SRI is insensitive to changes in convection coefficient. Metallic surfaces characterized with low thermal emissivity varies significantly with convection coefficient.

## Results:

GT1638 - Concrete Sample
Calculated Solar Absorbance $=0.61$
Calculated Solar Reflectance $=\mathbf{0 . 3 9}$
Calculated Thermal emissivity (arithmetic average): 0.965

| Convection Coefficient* | SRI value** |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 47.05 |
| 12 | 46.36 |
| 30 | 45.72 |

* The convection coefficient is the rate of heat transfer from the surface to air induced by the air movement, expressed in watts per square meter per degree kelvin. $5,12,30 \mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{Km}^{2}\right)$ correspond to low, medium and high wind conditions, respectively.
** Disclaimer: Samples that are non-isotropic and/or non-homogenous in color, flatness, or composition may be subject to increased measurement error over standard instrument error margins. Every effort is made to reduce error by finding the most ideal locations on a sample and taking multiple data points to increase confidence in report values. The effect of beam scatter/oblique measurement due to sample flatness and spot size in measuring samples that have varying composition in relation to measurement error is not well defined nor quantified. SRI will be reported in these instances on a best effort basis.


## Irving Materials

## Surface Optics Corporation Job Number 5872-MP

| ERAS | SAMPLE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FORMAT | IDENTIFICATION | SRI |
| NUMBER |  |  |
| GT1638 | Concrete Sample | X |



## MEMORANDUM

DATE: June $20^{\text {th }}, 2022$
TO: Ryan Strauser
Strauser Construction Co., Inc.
FROM: Gerald Salzman
Maria Berg
RE: Parking Study - 409 E Kirkwood Ave Bloomington IN 47401

## Introduction

The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize the findings of a parking study conducted by DESMAN for the student housing development at 409 E Kirkwood Avenue in downtown Bloomington. The project site is located two blocks from Indiana University in the midst of restaurants and retail stores. There is a public parking garage within walking distance and a bus line along Kirkwood Avenue. The project will consist of 2,690 SF of restaurant space on the ground floor with residential apartments on the following three levels and twelve parking spaces provided. The unit breakdown includes eleven studio units, eleven 1-bedroom units, two 2-bedroom units, and one 3-bedroom unit. This mixed-use development is designed to appeal to university students.

## Projected Parking Demand

A parking analysis was conducted to determine the potential parking demand for 409 E Kirkwood based on the Bloomington Indiana Unified Development Ordinance and nearby developments. The site is located within the MD Zoning District. The minimum parking requirements for the given land uses shown in Table 1 are derived from the City of Bloomington's Unified Zoning Ordinance, Chapter 20.10, Table 049 which specifies the minimum number of permitted parking spaces by land use.

Table 1: UDO Permitted Parking Spaces by Land Use

| Land Use | Size | Units | Parking <br> Ratio | Parking <br> Demand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Restaurant | 2,690 | SQFT | - | 0 |
| Residential Studio | 11 | Units | 0.50 | 6 |
| Residential 1-Bed | 11 | Units | 1.00 | 11 |
| Residential 2-Bed | 2 | Units | 1.50 | 3 |
| Residential 3-Bed | 1 | Units | 2.00 | 2 |
| On-Street Parking Reduction |  |  |  | 2 |
| Transit Reduction |  |  |  | 15\% |
| Total |  |  |  | 17 |

As seen in Table 1, a parking ratio of 0.5 was applied to studio apartments, 1.00 for 1-bedrooms, 1.50 for 2-bedrooms, and 2.00 for 3-bedrooms. An allowance for proximity to transit per Chapter 20.04 .060 (B) was applied. The restaurant space was assumed to be $100 \%$ captive to the university and residential developments. For this reason, the on-street parking spaces satisfy the parking demand for the restaurant. For residential units, the parking demand was reduced by a $15 \%$ for transit, which brings the total demand for the development to 17 spaces, according to UDO.

Although the UDO requires 17 parking spaces, recent developments along with the area's auto-use characteristics suggest that a lower parking demand is warranted. Similar to 409 E Kirkwood, the DunnPark Apartments ( 115 N Dunn Street) are located on the same block. Built in 2017, the DunnPark Apartments include 16 studio apartments and a small restaurant space on the ground floor. The project received waiver from the City of Bloomington Plan Commission that allowed for no on-site parking to be provided. When the DunnPark project was presented to the Plan Commission, it was noted that the building was in walking proximity to the IU Campus. With students being the primary tenant, the availability of transit, bike parking on-site, and public parking options nearby, the project was allowed to move forward with no planned parking spaces. The attached letter from the owner of the DunnPark building demonstrates that the apartments have been successful and fully rented without providing any parking.

Given the target market of the university students, the parking demand for the 409 E Kirkwood development is anticipated to be lower than the UDO projection of 17 spaces. The twelve on-site parking spaces will be sufficient given the target market of university students. See the appendix for the ground floor plan.

Furthermore, recent research by Professor Robert Mack as published in Urban Land provides evidence that the provision of each car share space (CarGo, Zip Car etc.) in residential settings eliminates the need for 17 parking spaces. The 409 E Kirkwood development team is providing a car share space and is targeting low car-ownership tenants. If auto-use by tenants remains low, additional car share spaces may be desirable. Auto-use characteristics should be reviewed after move-in to determine the number of spaces needed for similar projects going forward. See the appendix for the Urban Land article on carsharing.

## Conclusion

Based on nearby developments and auto-use characteristics of the student target market, twelve parking spaces will be a sufficient supply for the 409 E Kirkwood development. The restaurant and visitor parking can be accommodated on-street or in the nearby public garage. Carshare spaces help eliminate the need for additional parking, and a university parking permit is an option for enrolled students as well. Given the low auto-ownership anticipated for university students, DESMAN sees twelve parking spaces as an appropriate supply for users of 409 E Kirkwood.

## Appendix

## Letter from DunnPark Apartments

## DunnPark

115 N Dunn St
Bloomington IN 47408
812-322-8209
sue@bbcbagel.com

June 7, 2022

To whom it may concern,
DunnPark Apartments were constructed in 2017 with 16 studios, rooftop terrace and first floor retail. DunnPark is located a half block from the proposed building site currently occupied by the Village Deli. We are grateful to the planning commission for waiving the necessity for on site parking for our building. The apartments and retail continue to be $100 \%$ rented and the BBC retail continues to thrive at this location. I believe any project in the downtown corridor benefits from ample parking in the Indiana University lots, Poplar garage and on street parking. Many of our tenants choose to commute by bike, ride sharing, bus and walking. Increased urban density benefits all of the business downtown and creates a dynamic community environment.

I am happy to answer any further questions.

Best regards,

## Suzanne K Aquila

President, Bloomington Bagel Co., Inc.
Managing Partner, Bloomington Bagel Co., LLC (Owner of DunnPark)

409 E Kirkwood - Mixed Use Ground Floor Plan


Source: Strauser Construction

Urban Land Article

This article appeared in the Summer issue of Urban Land on page 64.
Increasingly, cities are using parking policies to stimulate shared mobility through alternatives to personal ownership of automobiles. In the recent adoption of its 2040 plan that permits duplexes and triplexes in most single-family-detached zones, the city of Minneapolis commits to "lead by example in city-owned parking facilities by aupporting carpoola. vanpoola, and shared mobility vehicles which encourage private parking facility owners to do the same.' Car aharing generally refers to a fleet of vehicles offered for shor-term rental by private or nonprofic companies.

The city of Austin Texas, amended its zoning code to reduce minimum off-gtrees parking requirements by "twenty (20) spaces for every car-sharing vehicle provided in a program that complies with its requirements," under which it approves binding contracts between developers and car-sharing companies to gain reductions of up to 40 percent of required off-street spaces. Nick Vetsch a marker specialist for car2go, a carsharing service owned by Daimler $A G$, the Stuttgart. Germany-based automobile company. says that on just three Austin projects alone. Austin developer Lincoln Ventures reduced parking spaces by 160 . He says that at about


Lincoin Ventures' 2204 San Antonio is an 15 -atory student housing project one block from the University of Texas at Austin Universty density limited parking and younger demographic groups who seek a iess cancentric Bilestile. (Lincoin Ventures) $\$ 35.000$ per structured parking apace, that equates to about $\$ 5.6$ million.

| Property mane | Number of units | Number of spaces | Patkins rato | Cargo spuces | Spuces Dower | Bedroom count | $\frac{8}{8}+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ruckus | 46 | 37 | 080 | 1 | 20 | 167 | 0.22 |
| Ruckus 2.0 | 67 | 84 | 125 | 2 | 40 | 239 | 0.35 |
| $2204 \text { San }$Antonio | 166 | 193 | 126 | 5 | 100 | 567 | 0.34 |
|  | 779 | 34 | 1.13 | 8 | 160 | 973 | 0.32 |

And he notes that in about three years, the Austin program eliminated the need for about 1,100 parking spaces, saving developers over $\$ 38.5$ million. Lincoln Ventures' 2204 San Antonio is an 18-atory atudent housing project located in a dense urban neighborhood one block from the University of Texas at Austin. Its two Ruckus projects are sever-storytall student housing buildings about two blocks from that campus. Vetsch says that university neighborhoods are one of the prime locations for car sharing not only for their density and limited parking. but also for their younger demographic groups, who seek a less car-centric lifestyle. He says that sometimes several students gather together to use car2go for oneway trips to a common destination. Vetsch notes that cities like Austin do not have frequent transit service during nighttime when many students return from events.
Source: https://urbanland.uli.org/development-business/developers-reduce-parking-via-car-sharing/

## AstroTwins ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

## Enjoy the Energy of the Universe

## 435W~450W

## P-type Monocrystalline PV Module CHSM72M(DG)/F-BH Series (166)

CHSM72M(DG)/F-BH is bifacial module with white glazed glass


## COMPREHENSIVE CERTIFICATES



First solar company which passed the TUV Nord IEC/TS 62941 certification audit.

OUTPUT POSITIVE TOLERANCE
Guaranteed 0~+5W positive tolerance ensures power output reliability.

EXCELLENT WEATHER RESISTANCE
Reduces the cell micro-crack and extended product warranty.

BIFACIAL POWER
The backside makes use of the reflected and scattered light from the surroundings, the modules can yield up to $5 \% \sim 30 \%$ power more, depending on the albedo.

REDUCE INTERNAL MISMATCH LOSS
Reduces mismatch loss and improves output.

APPLICABLE FOR MULTI DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTS
The wide range of applications, such as BIPV, vertical installation, snow area, high humidity area and strong sandstorm area, etc.

SNAIL TRAIL RESISTANCE
Reduces the probability of snail trails with zero water vaper transmittance.

ASTROMERGY
For Global Market
A CHiNT COMPANY

| ELECTRICAL SPECIFICATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power rating (front) | 435 Wp |  | 440 Wp |  | 445 Wp |  | 450 Wp |  |
| Testing Condition | Front | Back | Front | Back | Front | Back | Front | Back |
|  | 435 | 304 | 440 | 308 | 445 | 311 | 450 | 315 |
| Rated voltage ( $\mathrm{Vmpp}^{\text {/ }}$ ) at STC | 40.85 | 41.64 | 41.12 | 41.85 | 41.36 | 42.03 | 41.59 | 42.28 |
| Rated current ( $\mathrm{Impp}^{\text {/ }}$ ) at STC | 10.65 | 7.30 | 10.70 | 7.36 | 10.76 | 7.40 | 10.82 | 7.45 |
| Open circuit voltage (Voc/V) at STC | 48.90 | 47.56 | 49.11 | 47.83 | 49.44 | 47.99 | 49.78 | 48.25 |
| Short circuit current (lsc/A) at STC | 11.12 | 7.99 | 11.20 | 8.05 | 11.25 | 8.10 | 11.30 | 8.16 |
| Module efficiency | 19.4\% | 13.6\% | 19.6\% | 13.7\% | 19.9\% | 13.9\% | 20.1\% | 14.1\% |
| Temperature coefficient ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {mpp }}$ ) | - $0.35 \% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temperature coefficient (lsc) | $+0.04 \% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temperature coefficient (Voc) | - $0.28 \% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal module operating temperature (NMOT) | $44 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum system voltage (IEC/UL) | $1500 V_{\text {DC }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of diodes | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junction box IP rating | IP 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum series fuse rating | 20 A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

STC: Irradiance $1000 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, Cell Temperature $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{AM}=1.5$

## ELECTRICAL SPECIFICATIONS (Integrated power)

| $P_{\text {mpp }}$ gain | $P_{\text {mpp }}$ | $V_{\text {mpp }}$ | $I_{\text {mpp }}$ | $V_{\text {oc }}$ | $I_{\text {sc }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 \%$ | 467 Wp | 41.12 V | 11.36 A | 49.11 V | 11.81 A |
| $10 \%$ | 489 Wp | 41.12 V | 11.89 A | 49.11 V | 12.37 A |
| $15 \%$ | 511 Wp | 41.12 V | 12.43 A | 49.11 V | 12.93 A |
| $20 \%$ | 534 Wp | 41.02 V | 13.02 A | 49.21 V | 13.48 A |
| $25 \%$ | 556 Wp | 41.02 V | 13.55 A | 49.21 V | 14.04 A |

Electrical characteristics with different rear power gain (reference to 445W)

## MECHANICAL SPECIFICATIONS

| Outer dimensions (L x W x H) | $2131 \times 1052 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Frame technology | Aluminum, silver anodized |
| Glass thickness | 2.0 mm |
| Cable length (IEC/UL) | Portrait: 350 mm |
| Cable diameter (IEC/UL) | $4 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} / 12 \mathrm{AWG}$ |
| ${ }^{(1)}$ Maximum mechanical test load | 5400 Pa (front) $/ 2400 \mathrm{~Pa}$ (back) |
| Connector type (IEC/UL) | MC4 compatible |

[^0]
## CURVE




## PACKING SPECIFICATIONS

| ${ }^{\text {® }}$ Weight (module only) | 28.5 kg |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {(2) Packing unit }}$ | $36 \mathrm{pcs} / \mathrm{box}$ |
| Weight of packing unit (for 40'HQ container) | 1085 kg |
| Number of modules per 40'HQ container | 792 pcs |

[^1]MODULE DIMENSION DETAILS


$\underset{\text { 10:1 }}{\text { I }}$


[^2]
[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {D }}$ Refer to Astronergy crystalline installation manual or contact technical department Maximum Mechanical Test Load=1.5×Maximum Mechanical Design Load.

[^1]:    (1) Tolerance $\quad+/-1.0 \mathrm{~kg}$
    ${ }^{2}$ Subject to sales contract

[^2]:    © Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd. Reserves the right of final interpretation. please contact our company to use the latest version for contract.

