

In the Council Chambers of the Showers City Hall on Wednesday, June 16, 2010 at 7:30 pm with Council President Isabel Piedmont-Smith presiding over a Regular Session of the Common Council.

COMMON COUNCIL
REGULAR SESSION
June 16, 2010

Roll Call: Mayer, Piedmont-Smith, Rollo, Ruff, Sandberg, Satterfield, Sturbaum, Volan, Wisler

ROLL CALL

Council President Piedmont-Smith gave the Agenda Summation

AGENDA SUMMATION

The minutes of May 5, 2010 and June 2, 2010 were approved by a voice vote.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved and seconded to amend the agenda by moving Reports from Council Members to the end of the report section of this meeting. The motion was approved by a roll call vote of Ayes: 8, Nays: 0. (Volan had not yet arrived at the meeting.)

AMENDING AGENDA

REPORTS:

Cathi Crabtree, Chair of the Commission on the Status of Women, read a proclamation signed by the mayor stating the city's support of the United Nations Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women and urging the US Senate to join 186 countries around the world by ratifying the treaty with all due haste and committing itself to its provisions.

MAYOR and CITY OFFICES

- Commission on the Status of Women

Mike McAfee reported on the economic impact study that the Visitors and Convention Bureau conducted in conjunction with the Department of Recreation, Park and Tourism Studies at Indiana University. The study, intended for use in strategic planning for the tourism industry in the area, estimated the economic impact of visitors and looked at demographics of visitors. The estimated economic impact was \$279 million in sales and in supporting businesses. McAfee noted the research methods used, the breakdown of expenditures, and also provided a profile of the average tourist to the area. He noted that conventions and sports events provided the longest visits and the highest expenditures. With an eye to the prospect of diminishing fuel availability, Rollo asked if regional tourism was a strategy for the future. McAfee said it was, and noted that most visitors came from Indiana and Illinois. An executive summary of the report was provided for the council members.

- Visitors and Convention Bureau

Nancy Hiestand, Special Projects Coordinator and staff to the Historic Preservation Commission, noted that the Chair of the Commission Marjorie Hudgins and Vice Chair Danielle Bachant-Bell were present. Hiestand noted that this was the first year for the Rosemary Miller Awards given to projects that illustrate best practices in local historic preservation and those that engage in forward looking policies towards historic buildings. Hiestand told the history of the Green Bean on West 4th Street and Hudgins presented Carolyn and Keith Clay with the award for Historic Preservation Leadership in Downtown Revitalization and Adaptive Reuse. Maurice Garnier received the Historic Preservation Leadership in Rehabilitation of a Residential Investment Property. Barbara Lund was given the award for Historic Preservation Leadership in Adapting an Historic Home.

- Historic Preservation Commission

Vickie Provine and Lisa Abbott, Housing and Neighborhood Development Department, reported the awards given at the June 5th Blooming Neighborhood Awards: Mayor's Excellence Award – Woodland/Winding Brook Neighborhood, and the City Council Neighborhood Enhancement Award -- Green Acres Neighborhood. They reported the HAND Neighbor of the Year Award was given to Phil

- Blooming Neighbor Awards

Worthington of the Garden Hill Neighborhood, Ida Bouvier from Broadview, Matt Press from McDoel Gardens and Jane Spearman from Green Acres. Special awards given were the Bloomington's Favorite Neighborhood Ink Slinger Award which went to Carrol Krause, and the Blooming Neighbor Lifetime Leader Extraordinaire Award which was awarded to Bill Sturbaum. Sturbaum received his award at this meeting, and thanked the city for the award.

Reports from the Mayor and City Offices (cont'd)

Patrick Shay, Development Review Manager with the Planning Department, gave an update from the Plan Commission for the council. He outlined 7 cases that the commission had reviewed, and 3 cases that the plan commission had in progress at this time.

COUNCIL COMMITTEES

- Plan Commission

Lorraine Farrell said that when the civil rights of any of our citizens were threatened, as she said was the case with Arizona Senate Bill 1070, one must speak out against such infringements. She thanked the mayor, clerk and council signatories for their willingness to speak out and help continue the important national dialogue surrounding this issue.

PUBLIC INPUT

David Keppel, Chair of the Just Peace Task Force of the Unitarian Universalist Church and a member of its Green Sanctuary Task Force on Global Climate Change, thanked the signatories of the letter written to Gov. Brewer of Arizona expressing strong disapproval of the Arizona immigration law SB 1070. He said it would certainly lead to racial profiling and noted that Indiana Senator Richard Lugar said "It is probably best to let the federal government deal with illegal immigration legislation." He noted UU Church President Reverend Peter Morales had made a statement that the bill was not about Arizona or about immigration, but the future direction of American society and its soul.

Rita Lichtenberg said she was proud and supported the city council for their words on the Arizona immigration legislation. She said that there would be national discussion on this issue soon. She quoted the 1946 statement of German pastor Martin Niemoller:

THEY CAME FIRST for the Communists, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a Communist.

THEN THEY CAME for the trade unionists, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a trade unionist.

THEN THEY CAME for the Jews, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a Jew.

THEN THEY CAME for me and by that time no one was left to speak up."

Gary Pool, long time resident of Bloomington, said he wanted to add his voice to others in commending the mayor, council and clerk for their signatures on the letter to the governor of Arizona condemning the law that he said enshrined racial profiling and prejudice in legal statute. He said that immigration law needed reform, but this was not the way.

Pedro Roman said he had lived in Bloomington for 14 years. He said that the Indiana legislators were contemplating a similar law to that of Arizona and hoped the council would speak out on that when the time came. He said he was surprised by the reaction of the local Chamber of Commerce as he had received communication from National Council of La Raza saying that they were joining the US Chamber of Commerce on a legal action in federal court requesting the state of Arizona not implement their law. He said we should not make money or have income depending on civil rights violations. He said the local Chamber had yet to make clear that they do not support the spirit of the law.

Merle Hedrick preached that Jesus loved the city council, City of Bloomington and the whole world. He spoke of the recent Gaza flotilla issue and said that very few were speaking out on behalf of Israel and he felt compelled to do so.

Ramsey Harik, said he was a lifelong resident of Bloomington and a child of immigrants. He thanked the council, mayor and clerk for their letter to the governor of Arizona and said, while it was largely a symbolic act, it had made a clear statement that there are definite fundamental human principles of decency and compassion and constitutionality that have to take precedence over business as usual.

David R Grubb advocated for the mayor to spend four hours a day in his office to take calls from taxpayers.

Tim Mayer thanked his wife, Sue, for 48 years of wedded bliss!

COUNCILMEMBER COMMENTS

Chris Sturbaum read the following statement:

I am sorry that our letter to Arizona has caused some local businesses to worry about customer's possible reactions to our city action.

I do wish The Chamber and local businesses could hear how much our action has meant to some local members of Bloomington's Latino community.

I understand why the Chamber might take this stand based on its mission, but council members have a broader mission including an oath to uphold the Constitution of the United States. The Arizona action appears to be unconstitutional in its practice and intent.

As an elected official who took an oath to uphold the Constitution of the United States, I find it to be a matter of principle and obligation to join the many cities speaking up about SB 1070. The Arizona actions challenge ideas last engaged in the civil rights movement of the 60s. The previous crisis with Arizona was in response to their refusal to recognize the national holiday of Martin Luther King Jr. in the 90s.

My sister's children were born in the United States but they have experienced prejudice for being Latino in America. We want a better country than that. If the Chamber and local businesses disagree with this action, they will make their own disclaimers. I deeply regret any worry to local businesses our action has caused, but there are times to stand up against injustice. Perhaps there is a price for this action, but there is also a price for silence. I believe that SB 1070 is a step in the wrong direction for our country and will most likely be found to be unconstitutional.

Rollo expressed his appreciation to Sandberg and Sturbaum for drafting the letter to the governor of Arizona, adding that the signatories were steadfast in maintaining that SB 1070 was unconstitutional and would be overturned.

Susan Sandberg said she was proud to sit with her colleagues, mayor and clerk, and noted that the mayor's comments on WFIU's program "Ask The Mayor" were eloquent and heartfelt. She urged citizens to listen to it on podcast to hear a message of 'none of us are free until all of us are free.' She then thanked editor and publisher Malcolm Abrams for four years of Bloom Magazine and noted that the latest edition featured two city employees, Daniel Soto, humanitarian, and Beverly Calendar-Anderson, Director of Safe and Civil City Program. She said we were blessed to have these people in our community.

Isabel Piedmont-Smith said that many emails, letters and letters to the editor had condemned the letter written to the governor of Arizona by herself and her colleagues. She said that by their condemnation, the writers had indicated that they had not carefully read the letter, and that it did not state that the signatories were in favor of people breaking the law by entering the country illegally. She said that most of the letter addressed civil liberties and civil rights, and did not emphasize a 'boycott,' a word that she said was not included in the letter.

She said she had read Arizona Senate Bill 1070, and that she found two parts of it to be offensive. She also noted that as an elected official she had taken a oath to uphold the constitution of the US and had the obligation to speak out when it was being violated.

Referring to that law, Piedmont-Smith read Section 2, Part B:

"for any lawful contact made by a law enforcement official, where reasonable suspicion exists that a person is an alien who is unlawfully present in the United States, a reasonable attempt shall be made to determine the immigration status of the person."

She said that this implied to her that any contact that a police officer or officer of the court had with an individual could result in the demand of proof of that person's immigration status. She said 'reasonable suspicion' was not defined, and that citizens could sue the law enforcement officers if they felt they were not upholding this law. Piedmont-Smith said that this was clearly a racist law, and it was a clear violation of the civil liberties of residents of our country and would affect many people who were in the US legally.

She read another quote from Section 2 that read:

"a law enforcement officer without a warrant may arrest a person if the officer has probable cause to believe that the person has committed any public offense."

She said that this disturbed her. She added that overall the intent of the law was good, but that this was not what needed to be done to solve the problems of illegal immigrants and drugs. She added that this was not the American way of dealing with the problems and that the law defied the principles on which our nation was founded.

She thanked those who came to speak in support of the letter, and said she especially appreciated the fact that citizens came in person as many of the emails and calls that were made to the office were anonymous.

There were no appointments to boards or commissions at this meeting.

It was moved and seconded that Resolution 10-11 be introduced and read by title and synopsis. Clerk Moore read the legislation and synopsis. It was moved and seconded that Resolution 10-11 be adopted.

Mike Satterfield, Chair of the Committee gave a brief report of the activities of the Jack Hopkins Committee for this year. He said the committee called for collaborative and innovative projects in this year's initial announcement of the program cycle. He said that due to the extraordinary funding losses the public school system had experienced, the committee invited MCCSC foundation to apply, something that had not been done in the past. He said that 33 applications were received; 22 programs were recommended for funding. He noted that one public and one private school received a recommendation for funding. He noted that the total award was \$200,000 and as always the process was challenging. He acknowledged the committee's attention to detail and work on this committee.

Marilyn Patterson, Program Director with the Housing and Neighborhood Department and monitor of the spending of the awardees, said she appreciated the work of the committee and said that the social service agencies had asked for things that were basic to the community.

Wisler asked for clarification about the fact that MCCSC was 'invited' to apply for funding, asking if others were invited as well. Satterfield said that the committee wanted to make sure the community knew that the process was open.

Sandberg, a member of the committee, explained that as agencies inquired about criteria for the funding, they were not discouraged. She said the committee recognized the crisis in the funding of the schools due to state funding decisions and that it was a good way for the committee to address the serious needs coming as a result of other

COUNCILMEMBER COMMENTS
(cont'd)

BOARD AND COMMISSION
APPOINTMENTS

LEGISLATION FOR SECOND
READING

Resolution 10-11 Authorizing the
Allocation of the Jack Hopkins Social
Services Program Funds for the Year
2010 and other Related Matters.

decisions made at the state level. Sandberg said that all non-profits serving the needs of low income individuals were welcome to apply for funding.

Sturbaum requested that the list of recipients be read into the record. Satterfield read:

• Community Kitchen of Monroe County, Inc.	\$7,851.00
• Interfaith Winter Shelter Initiative	\$15,193.75
• Middle Way House, Inc.	\$10,554.00
• Volunteers in Medicine of Monroe County	\$5,880.00
• Monroe County United Ministries, Inc.	\$5,540.53
• Amethyst House Inc.	\$7,860.00
• El Centro Comunal Latino	\$3,500.00
• Martha's House, Inc.	\$4,225.00
• Options, Inc.	\$9,750.00
• Catholic Charities Bloomington	\$8,894.25
• Girls Inc. of Monroe County	\$13,500.00
• Big Brothers Big Sisters of South Central Indiana	\$2,900.00
• Foundation of Monroe County Community Schools	\$32,000.00
• Pinnacle School	\$9,000.00
• Boys and Girls Clubs of Bloomington	\$3,567.14
• Stepping Stones	\$4,300.00
• Habitat for Humanity of Monroe County	\$17,000.00
• People & Animal Learning Services (PALS)	\$3,453.57
• Planned Parenthood of Indiana	\$5,000.00
• Bloomington Hospital Community Health	\$6,809.76
• South Central Community Action Program, Inc.	\$16,521.00
• Monroe County YMCA	\$6,700.00

Wisler said that at the first meeting 11 applications were eliminated from consideration and said that the remaining got funded at some level. He asked for further explanation of how that decision, that he called quick, was made. He asked if they were disqualified off the bat because of something in the application.

Satterfield said that there were various reasons for the early elimination -- applications that did not apply directly to the city or did not serve city residents. He said that there was a discussion about each application and that none was disqualified right off. He said the decisions were collective ones.

Sandberg said that in light of the many applications submitted, care was taken to give enough money to any one organization that would allow it to proceed with the project with an amount to have some impact on their work with the low income population of Bloomington. She said those 11 were worthy projects, but didn't meet this year's criteria.

Wisler asked if the committee was getting applications that did not meet the criteria at all. He questioned funding going to salaries and on-going expenses which he said did not fit the criteria. He said he realized that there were exceptions to the rule and wondered if there were more requests for that type of funding. He asked about the ranking of funding for one-time expenses versus on-going expenses.

Piedmont-Smith, member of the committee, said there were two cases where salaries were funded. She said that in both cases the funding was necessary because the agency did not get financial support from their usual source of funds -- either NAP credits or a service funding grant through Indiana University -- and that the agency planned to revive those sources in the future. She said she considered this bridge funding. She said that criteria also included the number of people who would be serviced by a particular program, and that might have accounted for the initial elimination of 11 applications.

Satterfield said that technically some salaries were being funded that were not on-going; they were start-ups or new initiatives.

Volan asked the amount of funding requested. Council Attorney/Administrator Dan Sherman said it was approximately \$427,000, with \$200,000 being the total amount available for funding this year. Volan asked if this was an increase over previous years. Sherman said it was more than last year, but consistent to years prior.

Rollo asked if it was still the practice for agencies come to make a public presentation. He said it was helpful to agencies for the public to see their needs and possibly help with their programs in the future. Piedmont-Smith said the eleven agencies that were eliminated in the first round were not asked to prepare and present requests at the public meeting.

Sandberg reiterated that all the meetings of the committee were public meetings, including the agency presentations.

Satterfield said that it was tough for agencies to stand up and make this presentation and he gave them his thanks.

The public comment on this legislation brought forth the following comments:

Larry Jacobs, member of the Monroe County United Ministries board, thanked committee members and staff for funding. He said that it was very difficult to provide the needs for their day care as state funding was down, and enrollment was up. He said that they provided breakfast, lunch and snacks to day care and summer camps as well as providing emergency services and food from their food pantry.

Scott Tibbs, resident of District 1, said he objected to funding for Planned Parenthood. He said Planned Parenthood didn't need JHSS funding according to their annual report; PP had \$73.9 M of revenue over their expenses and a total profit of over \$85 Million through their organization. He said that PP was embroiled in a sex abuse scandal and didn't understand how they could possibly give birth control to thirteen year olds.

Melissa Britton said she applauded the committee for funding Planned Parenthood. She said she had been a former patient for 10 years and had received quality health care and information to make informed decisions that worked for her. She said she is now a donor.

Lorraine Farrell, read from the 2009 Annual Report of Planned Parenthood. She noted that the Recession Rx program was initially funded from a bequest and the program was instituted to serve areas where unemployment rates and plant closures had severely impacted communities in our state. She said that Recession Rx provided patients with free annual exams and STD screening as well as having reduced costs for contraceptives and was now funded through donations and grants. She thanked the committee members for their recommendation to fund Recession Rx which she said helped to support those who need help in making choices about their reproductive health.

David Keppel commended the council for the Jack Hopkins grant. He said it was heartbreaking that the needs were so great and that only half of the application requests could be funded. He added that national and personal priorities were reflected in the ways we spend money. He praised what he called a courageous decision in funding a Planned Parenthood request. He said he was saddened that this was considered controversial as a basic American value was the right to privacy and fairness. He said decisions about reproductive health fell under that privacy and that fairness spoke for the need for economic factors in not discriminating against those of lesser means.

Josh Congrove, an Indiana University PhD student, said he opposed tax dollars to support Planned Parenthood. He said that this organization mingled its reproductive services with what he said was the killing of our children.

Jillian Kinzie said she supported the decisions of the Jack Hopkins Social Services Committee. She said her thanks for this decision came at the 50th anniversary of the Food and Drug Administration's approval of the birth control pill. She noted that Planned Parenthood provided access to reproductive health care more than other health care services and also noted that the studies had shown that the rate of abortions decrease as access to reproductive choices increase.

Brian Bailey described himself as a citizen, Christian, father of 6 and an attorney opposed to Planned Parenthood funding. He noted wording in the Planned Parenthood application indicated that clients needing contraceptives were between the ages of 13 and 44. He said that Indiana Code used the age of 14 as the age at which active sexual activity would not be considered a felony. He said that the law didn't allow for the actions of Planned Parenthood and also criminalized sexual conduct with minors, 14 and 15 year olds. He called the Recession Rx plan a shell game. He said that this proved that the legal and moral authority to fund Planned Parenthood did not lie with the council.

Cathi Crabtree, Chair of the City of Bloomington Commission on the Status of Women, thanked the council for funding many of the agencies that pertain to the status of women. She spoke specifically to the Planned Parenthood funding saying it was the right of men and women to be informed and have access to safe, acceptable and affordable methods of family planning. She said that the commission supported the Recession RX program and thanked the council for their funding of it.

Julie Thomas, Monroe County Council member, thanked the council for the Jack Hopkins program, adding that it inspired the Monroe County Council to create their Community Service Awards. She noted that she was a board member of Planned Parenthood of Indiana, and encouraged the council to keep their funding in the packet this year. She noted that the agency provided services beyond birth control that including health screenings. She said the strain of losing a job and possibly losing a home was stress enough for a family and that the Recession Rx program would assist those families in preventing a pregnancy at this time of stress.

Carole Canfield said she had lived in Monroe County her entire life and the city for 40 years. She opposed funding Planned Parenthood, and called the funding hypocrisy. She said that no matter what good the agency did in other areas in regard to women's health, it was tainted and negated by their provision of abortion services. She said the council could not logically or morally support this organization.

Megan Hutchison thanked the committee for their work, adding that all the agencies were very deserving of the awards. She spoke in support of Planned Parenthood and their information services to young women. She said this information helped women in their daily lives, and helped them make smart and informed choices.

Wisler thanked the committees, agencies and those who spoke about this legislation saying that it was commendable that the community tackled sensitive issues and could remain civil. He added that he believed that the funding of social services was not something that government should stay out of, and that there were a lot of things in the funding proposal that were very worthy but questioned what he said were exceptions to

the original JHSSF rules. He said that he was concerned that the JHSSF and the CDBG processes were attracting the same applications and agencies applying year after year and that ones that were getting rejected were new organizations. He said that the single largest allocation was for a brand new program, one where the agency was encouraged to apply, and yet there were a lot of smaller agencies that were dealing with funding cuts and needing bridge funding. He gave the example of the Youth Services Bureau that he said unsuccessfully tried to fund salaries with grant monies. He said that eight or nine of the eleven agencies that were not funded could have been funded for the same amount that was being awarded to the school foundation for a new program. He noted that he voted in favor of this resolution in 2009.

Sandberg said that the community was blessed with incredible agencies that do incredible work for citizens in crisis. She said that as a former social worker, she was interested in prevention programs as well, and that decisions on the committee were difficult because of this balance. She said that many decisions made at the state and federal level created situations at the local level that local governments then had to deal with. She touted the arts program at Fairview School as one that could help turn the school around. She said she supported the Recession Rx program that allowed those hit hard by economic conditions to be able to get health care.

Rollo said that the JHSSF committee was one of the hardest working committees that the council had, especially in terms of the volume of work and complexity of the issues involved. He added that Mayor Kruzan had been cooperative in increasing the local funding as the federal government had been decreasing the dollars returned to local communities for social services. He noted that Planned Parenthood was the leading women's health care provider in the country and that it could not be denied that they led the way in preventing unintended pregnancies. He said it was a woman's discretion to control her reproductive destiny and he would stand on that belief. He thanked the committee for their work.

Ruff, also a JHSSF committee member, thanked his fellow committee members for their work, adding that it was a difficult, but rewarding job that afforded the council members the opportunity to learn more about the needs of the citizens and social service agencies in the community. He thanked the speakers for their positions, said he respected them, responded by saying that he rejected the premise that Planned Parenthood killed people or promoted child abuse and asked that the speakers respect his position as well.

Ruff addressed issues brought forth by Wisler saying that no one got a 'special' invitation to apply for the funds. He said that in the light of reduced school funding overall, there was an effort of outreach made to publicize the committee decision to consider projects related to schools. With reference to salaries, Ruff noted that the committee formally recognized bridge funding for salaries in light of dwindling funding sources. With reference to the same agencies applying for funding year after year, he said that there were only a set number of agencies in the community that addressed emergency needs, and it was logical that they would apply for the Hopkins grants.

Ruff said that there were a couple of issues that he struggled with this year – applications from the MCCSC Foundation and Bloomington Hospital. Regarding the school funding, he said he had a concern about setting a precedent since there could potentially be a large demand of this nature. He said the State of Indiana had a fund with several billion dollars that could fund schools and this very type of project. He said the

state's priorities were misplaced in funding interstate highways, an option of the past, but not schools, an option of the future.

Ruff said his other concern was about a community health initiative proposal from the Bloomington Hospital, the important Fresh Start to Life Program. He said that the hospital itself was a tremendous asset to the community, but questioned its structure and pay schedule that awarded the chief executive a salary package of seven figures, one that was not the norm of directors of other agencies that applied for funds. He said he struggled with the justification of funding an organization which, by the pay of the executive, suggested that it might not need this funding and might, by this salary package, stretch credibility of the term 'not-for-profit.' He said he respected the program proposal and the opinions of his committee colleagues and was convinced to support the committee's entire proposal for funding. Referring to the Living Wage Ordinance, he said that the committee considered the bottom of the wage scale for applying organizations, and said he felt it was just as legitimate to look at the top edge of the wage scale of the organization, too.

Mayer extended his thanks to SJ Rhoads, who Mayer said carried the load for this committee. He recognized Hans Huffman and Anthony Pizzo who served on the JHSSF committee as well. He said the committee's focus was on children, health care, food, shelter and people with special needs. He said the agencies that were not funded most likely did not propose projects with those emergency needs. He said that the concern about the same agencies coming forth year after year had to do with the limited number of agencies and that those who applied had been serving their mission for some time. He said that one of the criteria was for one time funding, but clarified that that meant the same budget line item would not be funded year after year, but that a different project could be funded in subsequent years.

Mayer gave a bit of history of the funding activities as he had served on the council with Jack Hopkins, who, with John Fernandez, asked then-Mayor Allison for public funds for children's inoculations. He said the award that year was to the CHAPS clinic and was for \$90,000. He said that it was fortunate that the community understood the need in this area and supported the council and administration in this venture. He added that most communities used the CDBG money for physical improvements but not as many had used the 15% for social services as Bloomington had done. He said this indicated the community's support for funding social service agencies. He ended by thanking the staff and committee members for their work in the process.

Satterfield thanked Mr. Congrove for what he termed was an eloquent argument and well thought out statement even though it wasn't congruent with his own. He said there were successful agencies in the town, but that sometimes they needed assistance with new initiatives and programs. He said that he appreciated the mention of Fairview's "Artful Learning Program." He said the Fairview project had been planned for some time, and then the funding bomb was dropped on them, and that the committee thought this was a good use of the funds. He added that the Pinnacle School had also applied for funding for a summer school program for at risk students, and he said that was also a worthy program to fund. He said that the need changed every year, and that the process and program were continually being reviewed and tweaked to make sure they were addressing the needs of the social service agencies of the community.

Satterfield said Ruff's comments were especially good in providing the public with a view on how hard it was to make decisions and thanked him for doing that.

Resolution 10-11 (cont'd)

Resolution 10-11 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 8, Nays: 1 (Wisler).

There was no legislation to introduce at this meeting.

LEGISLATION FOR FIRST
READING

It was moved and seconded that the Committee of the Whole meeting scheduled for June 16, 2010 be cancelled along with the Internal Work Session that had been scheduled for Friday, June 18th.

MOTION TO CANCEL MEETING ;

The motion was approved by a voice vote.

Michael Summers spoke about the Arizona immigration law.

PUBLIC INPUT

The meeting was adjourned at 10:22 pm.

ADJOURNMENT

APPROVE:

ATTEST:



Isabel Piedmont-Smith PRESIDENT
Bloomington Common Council

Regina Moore, CLERK
City of Bloomington