

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

April 25, 2018 6:30 – 8:00 pm McCloskey Room (#135)

Suggested Time: ~6:30pm

- I. Call to Order and Introductions
- II. Approval of Minutes*
 - a. March 28, 2018
- III. Communications from the Chair and Vice-Chair
- IV. Reports from Officers and/or Committees

~6:45pm

- V. Reports from Staff
 - a. I-69 Update
 - b. FY 2018 2021 Transportation Improvement Program Administrative Amendments
- VI. Old Business
 - a. FY 2018-2021 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments*
 - (1) Monroe County Vernal Pike Connector 1702957
 - (2) INDOT Bridge Deck Overlay SR 37 .05 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR and Clear Creek, SBL1702627
 - (3) INDOT Bridge Deck Overlay SR 37 .05 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR and Clear Creek, NBL1800730
 - (4) INDOT Concrete pavement restoration SR 37 to 0.38 mile E of I-69 (end of concrete) 1800968
 - (5) INDOT Concrete pavement restoration SR 46 from 0.44 miles W of I-69 to I-69 1800971
 - (6) INDOT HMA Overlay, preventative maintenance SR 446, 7.83 miles N of SR 58 (Chapel Hill road) to 0.98 miles S of SR 46 (E Moore's Pike) 1801087
 - (7) INDOT Bridge thin deck overlay SR 37 3.65 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR, NBL 1801171
 - (8) INDOT Bridge thin deck overlay SR 37 3.65 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR, SBL 1801172

~7:00pm

- VII. New Business
 - a. Draft FY 2019 2020 Planning Work Program
- ~7:30pm b. Complete Streets Policy Advisory Group*
 - VIII. Communications from Committee Members (non-agenda items)
 - a. Topic suggestions for future agendas
 - IX. Upcoming Meetings
 - a. Policy Committee May 9, 2018 at 1:30 p.m. (Council Chambers)
 - b. Technical Advisory Committee May 23, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. (McCloskey Room)
 - c. Citizens Advisory Committee May 23, 2018 at 6:30 p.m. (McCloskey Room)

~8:00pm

Adjournment

*Action Requested / Public comment prior to vote (limited to five minutes per speaker).

Auxiliary aids for people with disabilities are available upon request with adequate notice. Please call <u>812-349-3429</u> or e-mail <u>human.rights@bloomington.in.gov</u>.

Supplemental Information:

- 1. "The Social Life of Small Urban Spaces" by Maria Popova (article) https://www.brainpickings.org/2013/08/22/the-social-life-of-small-urban-spaces-whyte/
- 2. William "Holly" Whyte in his own words: "The Social Life of Small Urban Spaces" by University of South Carolina History Department: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z -nBr2MuBk



CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

March 28, 2018 10:00 – 11:30 a.m. McCloskey Room (#135)*

Citizen Advisory Committee Minutes are transcribed in a summarized outline manner. Audio recordings of the meeting are available in the Planning & Transportation Department for reference.

The MPO Citizen Advisory Committee met on Wednesday, March 28, 2017 at 6:30 p.m. in the McCloskey Conference Room, #135.

Members present: John Kennedy, David Walter, Paul Ash, Sarah Ryterband and Joan Keeler **Staff present:** Pat Martin and Anna Dragovich

- I. Call to order and Introductions
- II. Approval of Minutes
- III. Communications from the Chair None.
- IV. Reports from Officers and/or Committees
- V. Reports from MPO Staff
 - a. I-69 Update
- VI. Old Business
- VII. New Business
 - a. FY 2018-2021 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments The staff presented a Monroe County request for the addition of the Vernal Pike connector project and number of INDOT pavement maintenance projects. A vote was deferred until the April meeting due to lack of quorum, lingering questions from committee members and the need to hold a 30 day public comment period.
 - b. Draft FY 2018 Unified Planning Work Program Amendment* Staff presented to the committee pertinent background information regarding the surplus of planning (PL) funds. Staff then presented two Bloomington Transit planning studies that could potentially be funding. The first was a route optimization study and the second was a structural engineering assessment study for the Grimes Lane Facility. Paul Ash made a motion to amend the project in to the UPWP, John Kennedy seconded. The motion passed.
 - c. Draft FY 2019 2020 Unified Planning Work Program Staff presented information on proposed studies that could be included in the new work program and utilize the surplus funds described in the agenda item above. The first is a route optimization study for Rural Transit and the second is a planning study for Monroe County that would look at the Southwestern area of the MPO urbanized area. Paul Ash made a motion to amend the project into the draft UPWP, David Walter seconded. The motion passed.
- VIII. Communications from Committee Members
 - a. Topic suggestions for future agendas CAC members asked that staff include educational websites and resources to the bottom of their agendas.
 - IX. Upcoming Meetings

Meeting was adjourned at approximately 8:00 p.m.

LOCAL AGENCY COORDINATION MEETING



MEETING DESCRIPTION: INDOT LOCAL AGENCY COORDINATION MEETING

MEETING DATE & TIME: April 17, 2018; (Minutes from April 3, 2018)

MEETING LOCATION: 6550 Connaught Rd Room #1, Bloomington, IN

ATTENDEES:

NAME	ORGANIZATION	PRESENT	NAME	ORGANIZATION	PRESENT
Andrew Cibor	City of Bloomington		Sandra Flum	INDOT	
Anna Dragovich	City of Bloomington		James Culbertson	INDOT	
Ben Ayers	Monroe County		Pete Jerrell	Walsh	
Lisa Ridge	Monroe County				
Paul Satterly	Monroe County				

MEETING AGENDA:

ITEM	TOPIC	OWNER	STATUS
1 - PROJECT	SCHEDULE		
1.1	Overall Project Schedule Completion - August 2018	Jerrell	0
1.2	Zone #1 – Fullerton Pike, Tapp Rd, 2 nd St, 3 rd St, Vernal Pike, Mainline Notes: Fullerton majority is completed, checking condition of the former through streets and complete sidewalk on west side Tapp deck scheduled for Friday (6 th), ramp work on west side in May open by June 2 nd MSE wall in SE quadrant complete and ready to backfill, then curbs/sidewalks will be about 3 weeks till traffic switch 3 rd MSE walls on south side complete in 2 weeks then pave and sidewalks, about a month until traffic switch Vernal grade pedestrian path and surface as soon as it dries Mainline soundwall complete in 2-3 weeks, median paving near Tapp Thursday (5 th) then switch traffic to allow drainage work, CSX traffic switch next week (9 th)	Jerrell	0
1.3	Zone #2 – Walnut, Sample, Mainline Notes: Walnut closed until April 19 th Sample deck pour week of 9 th and paving by end of month Mainline median drains week of 9 th then treatment/working subgrade throughout zone, shoulder widening week of 9 th then more drainage work	Jerrell	0
1.4	Zone #3 – Chambers, Little Indian, Jordan, Liberty Church, Mainline Notes: Chambers set beams last week (3/26) Little Indian beam set next week (9 th) Jordan beams set yesterday (2 nd) Liberty Church earthwork prepping to pave Mainline NB south to north paving, scheduled to open June	Jerrell	0

LOCAL AGENCY COORDINATION MEETING



2 - MOT D	SCUSSIONS		
2.1	Signal at 3 rd St. – INDOT will replace signal per plan and will continue to maintain as necessary. Signal has antiquated equipment that needs to be repaired weekly. Notes: not as many complaints but local traffic is diverting to other routes	Bunselmeier	0
2.2	SE Wayport Site Distance – DLZ reviewing site distance issue Notes: County has approved lowering speed limit to 30 mph from gas station to rountabout	Bunselmeier	0
2.3	SE Wayport Curb & Gutter — As-built information provided to DLZ and FDC has been created. DLZ working on re-design. Construction will be performed once new plans are approved.	Jerrell	0
2.4	Crescent Striping – Confusing to public. Issue was addressed. Notes: Temporary striping removed and overlay after the sidewalks are completed then permanent striping	Jerrell	С
2.5	Barricades at Bottom Road, final design has a utility drive. Needs redlined and deterrent for people to drive into ditch		
2.6	Road closed signage, local traffic at SR 46 and Walnut, county would like to see some barricades for soft closure at NE corner		
ITEM	TOPIC	OWNER	STATUS
3 - MISCEL	LANEOUS		
3_MISCEL	Transfer Agreements – Status	Flum	0
		Flum	0
3.1	Transfer Agreements – Status Edge of Pavement & GIS Data for City of Bloomington	10 to	1
3.1	Transfer Agreements – Status Edge of Pavement & GIS Data for City of Bloomington Notes: survey received, will be sent to Laura Kinser Permanent Closure Signs – James asked if they could be pulled. Monroe	Jerrell	0
3.1 3.2 3.5	Transfer Agreements – Status Edge of Pavement & GIS Data for City of Bloomington Notes: survey received, will be sent to Laura Kinser Permanent Closure Signs – James asked if they could be pulled. Monroe County had no issue. INDOT will remove signs. Wiley/Showers Cul-Da-Sac – INDOT discussed options with County to work around a major utility conflict that will affect project schedule. Notes: County does not want to lose a connecting point to Wayport. State will coordinate utility move, build Wayport as planned and install connection when	Jerrell Jerrell	С

done



MEMORANDUM

To: BMCMPO Policy Committee

From: Anna Dragovich

Date: April 9, 2018

Re: Transportation Improvement Program Administrative Approvals

Since the last Policy Committee meeting on March 9, 2018, the City of Bloomington requested three amendments to the FY 2018-2021 Transportation Improvement Program. As detailed in the Public Participation Plan, the requests were processed as "administrative approvals". This process allows the BMCMPO Director and Chair of the Policy Committee to approve certain amendments to the TIP after review by the membership. Any Policy Committee member may object to any of the administrative amendments. If this happens, the administrative amendment would be treated as a "minor amendment" and brought before the entire Policy Committee as an item on the next agenda. All Policy Committee members had the required three business days to object to the proposed amendments. No objections were received, leaving the amendments to be processed as requested.

1. The City of Bloomington has requested an amendment to the Tapp Road & Rockport Road Intersection project (DES# 0901730). Where construction engineering (CE) and construction (CN) funding for the project was originally programmed in the TIP for \$2,583,642 using STP funds in fiscal year 2018, the City requests that \$37,596 be removed from CE and remove \$313,806 from CN (total \$351,402 of FY18 STP). The City's CE contract on this project required less MPO funding than programmed in the TIP and construction bids came in favorably. As a result, some of the federal funds programmed for this project won't be put to use unless transferred to a project with FY18 federal funding.

The table below illustrates how the project was programmed before the changes.

Tapp Road & Rockport Road Intersection [0901730]							
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	Local Match	Total		
CE	2018	STP	\$ 352,315	\$ 88,078	\$ 440,393		
CN	2018	STP -	\$ 2,231,327	\$-557,832 \$-360,841	\$ 3,150,000		
Totals			\$2,583,642	\$1,006,751	\$ 3,590,393		

The table below illustrates requested modifications.

	The more	ocion iiii	strates reques	ica moaijicai	iOris	•
Tapp Roa	d & Rockp	ort Road I	ntersection [0901730]		
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	Local Match		Total
CE	2018	STP	\$ 314,718	\$ 78,680	\$	393,398
CN	2018	STP	\$1,917,521	\$ 479,380	\$	2,539,952
CIN	CN 2016	-	\$ -	\$ 143,050	•	2,559,952
Totals			\$2,232,239	\$ 701,110	\$	2,933,349

2. The City of Bloomington has also requested an amendment to the Sare Road Multi-use Path project (DES# 1700736). Where preliminary engineering (PE) funding for the project was originally programmed in the TIP for \$166,491 using STP funds in fiscal year 2018, the City requests that \$104,000 of the Tapp Rd. & Rockport Rd. project be added to this funding line.

The table below illustrates how the project was programmed before the changes.

Sare Road	d Multiuse				
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	Local Match	Total
PE	2018	STP	\$ 166,491	\$ 41,622	\$ <u>208,113</u>
RW	2019	-	\$ -	\$ 144,000	\$ 144,000
CE	2020	STP	\$ 174,000	\$ 43,500	\$ 217,500
CN	2020	STP	\$1,160,000	\$ 290,000	\$ 1,450,000
Totals			\$1,500,491	\$ 519,122	\$ <u>2,019,613</u>

The table below illustrates requested modifications.

Sare Road					
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Local Funding Match		Total
PE	2018	STP	\$ 270,491	\$ 68,831	\$ 339,322
RW	2019	-	\$ -	\$ 144,000	\$ 144,000
CE	2020	STP	\$ 174,000	\$ 43,500	\$ 217,500
CN	2020	STP	\$1,160,000	\$ 290,000	\$ 1,450,000
Totals			\$1,604,491	\$ 546,331	\$ 2,150,822

3. The City of Bloomington has also requested an amendment to the Pedestrian Safety & Accessibility at Signalized Intersection project (DES# 1600426). Where construction engineering and construction funding for the project was originally programmed in the TIP for \$502,452, they have requested a shift of \$1,000 from CE to CN and add \$247,402 of the Tapp-Rockport FY18 STP to CN. The City's CE contract on this project required less MPO funding than programmed in the TIP and construction bids came in high. Therefore, the City would like to transfer the remaining CE funds on this project and the remainder of the excess Rapp Rd. & Rockport Rd. project funds to CN funds on this project.

The table below illustrates how the project was programmed before the changes.

Pedestrian Safety & Accessibility at Signalized Intersections [1600426]							
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	Local Match	Total		
CE	2018	HSIP	\$ 70,000	\$ 7,777	\$ 77,777		
CN	2018	HSIP	\$ 400,684	\$ 44,520	\$ 484,914		
CN 2016	2010	STP PYB	\$ 31,768	\$ 7,942	0 101,511		
Totals			\$ 502,452	\$ 60,239	\$ 562,691		

Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization

The table below illustrates requested modifications.

Pedestrian Safety & Accessibility at Signalized Intersections [1600426]								
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding					
CE	2018	HSIP	\$ 69,000	\$ 7,839	\$ 76,839			
CNI	2040	HSIP	\$ 401,684	\$ 44,631	¢ 770.700			
CN	2018	STP	\$ 247,402	\$ 80,043	\$ 773,760			
Totals			\$ 718,086	\$ 132,513	\$ 850,599			



To: BMCMPO Technical Advisory Committee & Citizens Advisory Committee

From: Anna Dragovich

Date: April 18, 2018

Re: FY 2018-2021 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Amendments

BACKGROUND

These amendments were presented to the TAC and CAC at their March 28 meeting. A vote was delayed due to the required 30 day public comment period not yet being complete. That comment period is currently open starting April 6 and ending on May 7.

A number of questions were raised by the committees regarding the Vernal Pike Connector project managed by Monroe County.

Why put a non-MPO project into the TIP? What is the threshold and value gained from putting a project like this in the TIP?

The main reason we are asking the MPO committees to vote for this project to be amended into the TIP is transparency. MPO staff feel it is important for the public and MPO committees to have knowledge of this project. Additionally, the project is using a large amount of local funds for preliminary engineering and right-of-way through the Monroe County Redevelopment Commission. And construction will include a new road connection to a major community destination over an existing railroad. These reasons and consultation with INDOT lead us to the thinking that this project is of regional significance and should be included into the MPO TIP.

Does INDOT or FHWA have any issues amending a locally funded project in to their STIP?

FHWA ultimately has no issue with including this project in to the TIP and encouraged MPO staff to coordinate with INDOT on this question. Conversations with INDOT lead us to the thinking that this project is of regional significance and should be included into the MPO TIP for transparency. INDOT has no issue including this project into their STIP.

PROJECT INFORMATION

Monroe County requests one amendment to the FY 2018-2021 TIP. The proposed Amendment includes:

Vernal Pike Connector Road – New roadway & bridge preliminary engineering, right-of-way acquisition and construction (DES#1702957)

This project will fund a new Roadway from Vernal Pike southward to the new segment of Profile Parkway/Gates Drive including a new bridge over the Indiana Rail in Monroe County. The roadway will include a sidewalk and multi-use path.

Vernal Pike Connector Road (DES#1702957)								
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	Local Match	Total			
PE	2019	N.A.	\$0	\$1,095,000	\$1,095,000			
RW	2021	N.A.	\$0	\$1,045,000	\$1,045,000			
Totals			\$0	\$2,140,000	\$2,140,000			

The Indiana Department of Transportation requests two amendments to the FY 2018-2021 TIP. The proposed amendments include:

SR446 - 7.83 miles N of SR 58 (Chapel Hill Road) to 0.98 miles S of SR 46 (E. Moores Pike) (DES#1801087). This project will fund preliminary engineering and construction of an HMA overlay for preventative maintenance.

SR 446 - 7.83 miles N of SR 58 to 0.98 miles S of SR 46 (DES#1801087)							
Project Phase Fiscal Year Federal Source Federal Funding State Match					Total		
PE	2019	STP	\$88,000	\$22,000	\$110,000		
CN	2021	STP	\$2,307,354	\$576,839	\$2,884,193		
Totals			\$2,395,354	\$598,839	\$2,994,193		

SR37 - 3.65 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR SBL (DES#1801172). This project will fund preliminary engineering and construction of a bridge thin deck overlay.

SR 37 - 3.65 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR SBL (DES#1801172)							
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	State Match	Total		
PE	2019	NHPP	\$16,000	\$4,000	\$20000		
CN	2020	NHPP	\$158,023	\$39,506	197529		
Totals			\$174,023	\$43,506	\$217,529		

SR37 - 3.65 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR NBL (DES#1801171). This project will fund preliminary engineering and construction of a bridge thin deck overlay.

SR 37 - 3.65 mile	s S of SR 45 ov	ver abandoned RF	R NBL (DES#1801	171)	
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	State Match	Total
PE	2019	NHPP	\$16,000	\$4,000	\$20,000
CN	2020	NHPP	\$158,023	\$39,506	\$197,529
Totals			\$174,023	\$43,506	\$217,529

SR37 - 4.05 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR and Clear Creek NBL (DES#1800730). This project will fund preliminary engineering and construction of a bridge thin deck overlay.

SR 37 - 4.05 mile	s S of SR 45 ov	ver abandoned RF	R and Clear Creek	NBL (DES#18	00730)
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	State Match	Total
PE	2019	NHPP	\$96,000	\$24,000	\$120,000
CN	2021	NHPP	\$853,388	\$21,3347	\$1,066,735
Totals			\$949,388	\$23,7347	\$1,186,735

SR37 - 4.05 miles S of SR 45 over abandoned RR and Clear Creek SBL (DES#1702627). This project will fund preliminary engineering and construction of a bridge thin deck overlay.

SR 37 - 4.05 mile	s S of SR 45 ov	ver abandoned RF	and Clear Creek	NBL (DES#17	02627)
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	State Match	Total
PE	2019	NHPP	\$96.000	\$24,000	\$120,000
CN	2021	NHPP	\$893,648	\$223,412	\$1,117,060
Totals			\$893,744	\$247,412	\$1,237,060

SR45 - I-69 to 0.38 miles E of I-69 (End of concrete) (DES#1800968). This project will fund construction for concrete pavement restoration (CPR).

SR45 - I-69 to 0.3	8 miles E of I-	69 (End of concret	te). (DES#1800968)	
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	State Match	Total
PE	2019	NHPP	\$8,000	\$2,000	\$10,000
CN	2021	NHPP	\$1,074698	\$268,675	\$1,343,373
Totals			\$1,082,698	\$270,675	\$1,353,373

SR46 – *0.44 miles W of I-69 to I-69 (DES#1800971)*. This project will fund preliminary engineering and construction for concrete pavement restoration (CPR).

SR46 – 0.44 miles	W of I-69 to I	-69 (DES#180097.	1)		
Project Phase	Fiscal Year	Federal Source	Federal Funding	State Match	Total
PE	2019	NHPP	\$8,000	\$2,000	\$10,000
CN	2021	NHPP	\$827,347	\$206,837	\$1,034,184
Totals			\$835,347	\$208,837	\$1,044,184

Requested Action

Make a recommendation on the proposed projects to the FY2018-2021 Transportation Improvement Program for the May 11, 2018, BMCMPO Policy Committee meeting.



FY 2018-2021 Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

Mail: Bloomington/Monroe County MPO

401 N. Morton Street, Suite 130 Bloomington, Indiana 47402

Email: martipa@bloomington.in.gov

Fax: (812) 349-3530

Section 1: Local Public Agency Information

	 ☐ City of Bloomington ☑ Monroe County ☐ Town of Ellettsville ☐ Indiana University ☐ Bloomington Transit ☐ Rural Transit ☐ INDOT ☐ 	
	Employee in Responsible Charge (ER Phone: Email:	Lisa Ridge 812.349.2555 ljridge@co.monroe.in.us
Sectio	on 2: Verification	
certify	that the project complies with the BMCM Employee in Responsible Charge (ERC)	s part of this form is complete and accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I IPO Complete Streets Policy. Lebenty 26, 2018 Date
A.	Project Name: Vernal Pike Connector R	oad
В.	Is project already in the TIP? Yes No	
C.	DES # (if assigned): 1702957	
D.		f project termini): A new connector road from Vernal Pike to the new e that will include a bridge over the existing Indiana Railroad.
E.	Please identify the primary project type Bicycle & Pedestrian Bridge Road – Intersection	(select only one):

	\bowtie	Road – New	/Expand	ed Roadwa	y	
		Road - Oper	ations &	Maintenar	ice	
		Road - Reco	nstructio	on/Rehabili	tation/Resurfa	acing
		Sign				•
		Signal				
	H	Transit				
		Tidiisit				
F.	Project Support	flocal plans	T QTQ I	'DP etc.)		
г.	Troject Support	(10cai pians,	DIXII, 1	D1, 000.j.		
_	A10 - 1 D 14	ICO Desirates	.:41. TNTT	OT Dungi	Douberrary Esse	tanaian
G.	Allied Projects:	169 Project v	לנאוו ווואל	O1, Prome	e Parkway Ex	tension
		_				vmaa
Η.				ransportati	on Systems (ITS) component?
		Yes 🖂				
	If yes, is the pro	oject included	in the M	IPO's ITS .	Architecture?	
		Yes 🖂	No			

I. Anticipated Letting Date: FY2022

Section 4: Financial Plan

Identify all anticipated costs for all phases of the project, including any costs anticipated in years beyond the scope of this TIP. All phases must incorporate a four percent (4%) per year inflation factor per BMCMPO policy. All CN phases must include an appropriate amount of funding for construction inspection in addition to project construction costs.

Note: Fiscal Year 2018 begins on July 1, 2017, and ends on June 30, 2018.

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	Local	\$	\$ 1,095,000	\$	\$	\$
PE		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
*****	Local	\$	\$		\$\$1,045,000	\$
RW		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
CE		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
CN		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:	\$	\$1,095,000		\$1,045,000	\$

Section 5: Complete Streets Policy

A. Select one of the following:

Compliant - This project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it involves the new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for any phase of project implementation. *Additional Information items 1-8 (below) must be submitted for Compliant projects*.

Not Applicable - This project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a fransit project, a non-roadway project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, or is a project that uses federal funds for which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.
Exempt – The LPA is requesting that this project be exempted from the Complete Streets Policy due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the Complete Streets Policy. Please provide a detailed explanation of why the project should be exempted. Additional Information items 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for Exempt projects.
Justification for Exemption:

B. Additional Information:

Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Streets Policy. If any items are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific information has not yet been determined." Any required information not provided at the time of this application must be reported to the MPO as soon as it becomes available.

- 1) <u>Detailed Scope of Work</u> Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
- 2) Performance Standards List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
- 3) <u>Measurable Outcomes</u> Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.).
- 4) <u>Project Timeline</u> Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
- 5) Key Milestones identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.).
- 6) <u>Project Cost</u> Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
- 7) <u>Public Participation Process</u> Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.).
- 8) <u>Stakeholder List</u> Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose for being on the list.

Not Applicable - This project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-roadway project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, or is a project that uses federal funds for which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.
Exempt – The LPA is requesting that this project be exempted from the Complete Streets Policy due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the Complete Streets Policy. Please provide a detailed explanation of why the project should be exempted. <i>Additional Information items 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for Exempt projects</i> .
Justification for Exemption:

B. Additional Information:

Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Streets Policy. If any items are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific information has not yet been determined." Any required information not provided at the time of this application must be reported to the MPO as soon as it becomes available.

- 1) <u>Detailed Scope of Work</u> Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
- 2) Performance Standards List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
- 3) <u>Measurable Outcomes</u> Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.).
- 4) <u>Project Timeline</u> Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
- 5) Key Milestones identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.).
- 6) <u>Project Cost</u> Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
- 7) <u>Public Participation Process</u> Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.).
- 8) <u>Stakeholder List</u> Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose for being on the list.

Jonathan Drive

Application Information		
Application ID: 3402	Proj	ect Type: New Project
Application Status: Draft	Stat	us Update Date: 11/06/2017

ponsoring Agency		
Sponsoring Agency		
Name	Monroe County	
Address	100 W. Kirkwood The Courthouse, Room 322	
elly .	Bloomington	
State		
Zip	47404	
Sponsoring Agency is not in	he list, please click on the icon er to request a Sponsoring Agency be added.	
f Sponsoring Agency is not in o email the SPMS administra	he list, please click on the icon	
Sponsoring Agency is not in o email the SPMS administra	the list, please click on the icon for the request a Sponsoring Agency be added.	
Sponsoring Agency is not in a common specific permail the SPMS administration. Certified Employee in Name	the list, please click on the icon for to request a Sponsoring Agency be added. Responsible Charge (ERC)	
Sponsoring Agency is not in person of the SPMS administration of the SPMS a	the list, please click on the icon for to request a Sponsoring Agency be added. Responsible Charge (ERC) Lisa Ridge	
Sponsoring Agency is not in a cemail the SPMS administration Certified Employee in	he list, please click on the icon or to request a Sponsoring Agency be added. Responsible Charge (ERC) Lisa Ridge 03/15/2017 (812) 349-2555 Ijridge@co.monroe.in.us	
Sponsoring Agency is not in a cemail the SPMS administration of the SPMS ad	he list, please click on the icon or to request a Sponsoring Agency be added. Responsible Charge (ERC) Lisa Ridge 03/15/2017 (812) 349-2555	
Sponsoring Agency is not in a cemail the SPMS administration of the SPMS ad	he list, please click on the icon or to request a Sponsoring Agency be added. Responsible Charge (ERC) Lisa Ridge 03/15/2017 (812) 349-2555 Ijridge@co.monroe.in.us	

Planning Area	
Metropolitan Planning Area	
is the project in the Metropolitan Planning Area of MPO?	Yes
Select MPA	ВМСМРО
Name	Patrick Martin
MPO Email	martipa@bloomington.in.gov
Is project within Urbanized area of MPO?	Yes
Select Urban Area	Bloomington
MPO Assigned User (entered by MPO)	
MPO Decision (entered by MPO)	

11/20/2017 Page 1 of 8

Application Information New Project Application ID: 3402 Project Type: 11/06/2017 Status Update Date: Draft Application Status: Project Information Project Details * Secondary Work type: Examples include, if your road project contains a bridge in the project area and funds will be requested for both types of infrastructure, please select a bridge work type as your secondary work type. Or if you are requesting federal funds for road reconstruction and are including safety components as a part of the project; please select a safety work type as your secondary work type. * Functional Class: Click this link http://www.in.gov/indot/2615.htm for assistance. * Project Location: Example, Bridge over no name creek or From Main St. to Locust Ave., or to Washington Blvd. * City/County Priority: If you are only submitting 1 application for a new or existing project then select 1 as the Project Priority. If you plan to submit multiple applications for new or existing projects then select the appropriate priority for this application. *AADT: Click this link http://www.in.gov/indot/2720.htm for assistance. *Sub District: Click this link http://dolmaps.indot.in.gov/apps/districtmaps/default.asp_for assistance. *Congressional District: Click this link http://www.in.gov/legislalive/house_republicans/rd/pdfs/Congressional.pdf_for assistance. New Road Construction Work Type Local Road Project Overall improvement Monroe Secondary Work Type New Bridge Construction Primary County Road Name Vernal Pike Connector Road Seymour INDOT District US Congressional DistrictN District 9 Bloomington Sub Sub District City Boundary Bloomington Minor Collector *Functional Class On Federal Aid Transportation System Rural/Urban Population => 50,000 Group IV Program Class Industrial Park Drive Extension/Vernal Pike Connector Road *Project Location The project consists of reconstruction and extension of, the existing Sunrise Greetings Ct. from Vernal Project Description Pike to the south including construction of a new railroad overpass; thereby connecting Vernai Pike to the (Include a Scope of Work) proposed Profile Parkway extension. The new extensions and connections are necessary to facilitate interconnection of roadways serving a commercial and industrial area due to the closure of two access points related to the construction of the new Interstate 69 in this area. The proposed typical cross section of the Vernal Pike Connector Road will consist of a 36' pavement width, curb and gutters, internal storm drainage and 5' wide sidewalks on both sides with a 5' buffer from the back of curb. The new roadway will extend over 3 sets of railroad tracks on a new overpass bridge with wingwalls. The new bridge will be sized to meet all clearance requirements. The scope includes all necessary design, permitting, land acquisition and construction related costs for the development and completion of this federal aid project. The County understands the need to develop the project to INDOT and Federal Standards for all phases to qualify for Federal Funds. If approved for Federal Funds, the County intends to hire an INDOT pre-qualified consulting engineering firm to assist with the design and development of this project and will comply with all necessary regulations. The County understands that INDOT will oversee the development of this (Information was needed in the Safety segment and ADT segment before this application could be submitted. This is a new segment , so the numbers that I added were generic and has not accuracy to them.) Latitude 19 End: 35 10 End: 39 Latest AADT (Average 0 0.50 Length of Project (miles) Auto Daily Traffic) Count Latest ADTT (Average Year of Latest Count 2017 0 Daily Truck Traffic) Count Would the LPA be interested in INDOT Yes

managing this federal aid project?

City/County Priority

Application Information	
Application ID: 3402	Project Type: New Project
Application Status: Draft	Status Update Date: 11/06/2017
Bridge	
Bridge Information	
NBI Number	
New Bridge Location	To be located with extension of Sunrise Greetings Ct to the south over the CSX owned, INRR operated
Name of Feature crossed by bridge	CSX owned and Indiana Railroad operated railroad tracks
Posted Weight Limit	
If yes what is posted weight limit (tons)	

11/20/2017 Page 3 of 8

Application Information	π		
Application ID: 340	2		Project Type: New Project
Application Status:	Draft =		Status Update Date: 11/06/2017
Safety Information			
Safety Information	ın	2000 timestal and the second	
Posted Speed (In MF	PH)	30	
Current Number of L	anes	2	
Current Roadway Width (feet)		40.00	
If the last three years ere	not avallable please j	provide the last three years	that are available
Year	# of Fatalities/Injuri	# of Property es Damage	
2017	0	0	
2016	0	0	
2015	0	0	

Scheduling and Funding

Estimated Project Scheduling and Funding Information

Special Note: The budget and schedule provided in the application and financial commitment letter must be the schedule and budget used in the required quarterly reports. Adjustments to that information must be justified and approved by INDOT before it may be changed.

Totals and Anticipated Award % Split are calculated when the application is saved.

Phase	Requesting Funds For (MM/YYYY)	Total Project Cost	Existing Federal Funds (If Applicable)	Federal Funds Being Requested for this Application	Local Funds	Anticipated Awarded % Split
Preliminary Engineering .	03/2018	\$1,095,000.00	\$0.00	\$876,000.00	\$219,000.00	80.00/20.00
Right of Way	07/2019	\$1,045,000.00	\$0.00	\$836,000.00	\$209,000.00	80,00/20,00
Utility	07/2019	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$80,000.00	\$20,000.00	80.00/20.00
Railroad	07/2019	\$250,000.00	\$0.00	\$200,000.00	\$50,000.00	80.00/20.00
Construction	12/2021	\$7,400,000.00	\$0.00	\$5,920,000.00	\$1,480,000.00	80.00/20.00
Construction Inspection	12/2021	\$1,110,000.00	\$0.00	\$888,000,00	\$222,000.00	80,00/20,00
AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON				40.000.000.00	00 000 000 00	Marine Marine Commission of the Commission of th

Total \$11,000,000.00 \$0.00 \$8,800,000.00 \$2,200,000.00

11/20/2017 Page 4 of 8

Application ID: 3402

Application Status: Draft

Project Type:

New Project

Status Update Date:

11/06/2017

Justification

Justification

Purpose for the project (Explain the deficiency of the pavement if this is a pavement project)

The new extensions and connections are necessary to facilitate interconnection of roadways serving a commercial and industrial area due to the closure of two access points related to the construction of the new Interstate 69 in this area. As a result of these changes, access to existing SR 37 and the future I69 will become extremely difficult for manufacturing and commercial facilities south of Vernal Pike in Monroe County.

Cook Incorporated is in the process of purchasing the former General Electric manufacturing facility. Their renovation of this property over the next few years is to bring in approximately 500+ new employees to this area. Monroe County is enthused with the new employment opportunities, however this will increase the congestion that already exists on SR 48 and the Curry Pike corridor. Adding this connector road into the road network will assist in decreasing the added congestion.

Planning Support

In 1993 this was incorporated in an economic development zone called the Westside Economic Development Area. Monroe County has worked hard to recover from an environmental disaster that developed from the 1950's to the 1970's when Westinghouse Electric Corporation manufactured PCB's in its plant in the heart of this industrial area. It is less of a coincidence and more of a demonstration of the community's fight to recover from this historically significant devastation that the Westinghouse property is now part of a TIF district overseen by the Redevelopment Commission.

Safety Considerations

Industrial, commercial, transit and passenger vehicles will all be affected by this proposed crossing. Currently, 68% of travel to and from the Whitehall Crossing Shopping Center is accessed directly from SR 37. Vehicles will be forced to a primary access point at N. Gates Drive and SR 48 or a secondary access through Johnson Drive at Curry Pike. The proposed Overpass project will allow vehicular traffic access to Vernal Pike directly thereby improving safety and reducing congestion. Specifically, analysis shows approximately 4,600 vehicles per day will be affected by the construction of the overpass.

Economic Development Impact

This area has approximately 650,000 square feet of commercial space and 71 acres of Industrial facilities. Further development of 71 acres of office and retail space is expected with the completion of l69 from Indianapolis to Evansville. The brownfield site itself has been a target of a \$50 million remediation effort, has been available for redevelopment since 2012, and is certified shovel-ready, as part of the Indiana Office of Community and Rural Affairs Site Certified Program. However, without the transportation upgrades from this project, it's redevelopment potential is limited.

Other Considerations

The Monroe County Commissioners are the applicants for this project. Monroe County has appreciated and values the relationship with INDOT during the I69 construction. Monroe County has worked closely with INDOT and their Redevelopment Commission to move this important project forward to provide this important connection. Additionally, adjoining land owners have expressed a willingness to partner in support of the project.

Application ID: 3402

New Project Project Type:

Application Status:

Draft

11/06/2017 Status Update Date:

LPA Financial Commitment Letter: Please use LPA letterhead and upload as an attachment to the application. This letter MUST be submitted with the application or the application will be sent back. Please include the following in the letter.

- Financial Plan for Local Malch
 Estimated local contribution by phase and by year
- Commitment of funds availability
- · Commitment to the project
- Signed by the Fiduciary body of LPA



<u>. </u>	rtation Alternatives
Tra	ansportation Alternatives
1990	et Qualifying Activities
	gible, the project must fall under one of the following 11 categories. Check the most appropriate activity(s), complete the appropriate category application and upload the form(s) as an attachment to this application. The link to Category application forms is http://www.in.gov/indo/2390.htm
	Facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other non-motorized forms of transportation, including sidewalks, bicycle
	lanes, trails and shared use paths, pedestrian and bicycle signals, traffic calming treatments, lighting and
	improvements to meet ADA requirements.
	Construction, planning, and design of infrastructure-related projects and systems to provide safe routes for
	non-drivers, including children, older adults and individuals with disabilities. Conversion and use of abandoned railroad corridors for trails for pedestrians, bicyclists or other non-motorized
	transportation users.
	Construction of turnouts, overlooks and viewing areas.
	Inventory, control or removal of outdoor advertising. Historic preservation and rehabilitation of historic transportation facilities.
	Vegetation management practices within transportation rights-of-way to improve roadway safety, prevent or control
	invasive species and provide erosion control.
	Archaeological activities relating to impacts from implementation of transportation projects eligible under this title. Any environmental mitigation activity, including pollution prevention and abatement, mitigation for storm water
	management, and water pollution abatement related to highway construction or highway runoff. Also includes
	measures to reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality or to restore and maintain habitat connectivity.
	Any Infrastructure projects and non-infrastructure activities eligible under the Safe Routes to School Program created under SAFETEA-LU.
	The planning, designing, or construction of boulevards and other roadways within the right-of-way of former
	Interstate System routes or other divided highways.
jec	t's Relationship to the Qualifying Activity Criteria
	s the project meet one or more of the 11 qualifying activities? Describe how at least one category best describes your project. If the project could fall under
ina	n one activity, describe how the project relates to each applicable activity.
ine	t's Relationship to Transportation

11/20/2017 Page 7 of 8

Application ID:

3402

Application Status: Draft

Project Type:

New Project

Status Update Date:

11/06/2017

Upload Supporting Documents

Attachments			The control of the co
Document Name	Document Type	Description Created By	Created On
Financial Commitment Letter.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/20/2017
NOFA Support Letter from ERC.doc	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/16/2017
Cook Group Support Letter.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/16/2017
Vernal Pike Connector Estimate.pdf	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/14/2017
2017 Monroe County Pavement Asset Management Plan.docx	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/14/2017
Vernal Pike Connector Support Letter from BEDC.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/14/2017
lvy Tech Support Letter.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/14/2017
Vernal Pike and Sunrise Greetings Court.jpg	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Sunrise Greetings Court.jpg	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Overpass Location.jpg	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Gates Drive.jpg	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Letter to Indiana Dept of Transportation from ABB.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Vernal Pike Connector Support from Ellettsville.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Lett of Support from Heitink.jpg	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Exhibit A- Aerial View.pdf	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
Chamber of Commerce Letter of Support.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/13/2017
ADA Compliance Letter.pdf	ADA Letter	LRIDGE01	11/06/2017
Redevelopment Commission Letter to INDOT.pdf	Financial Documents	LRIDGE01	11/06/2017
Monroe County ADA Plan and Pedestrian Network.docx	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/06/2017
Sidewalk Inventory for Monroe County.xls	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/06/2017
ADA_Ramp_Inventory.xlsx	Supporting Document	LRIDGE01	11/06/2017



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

	Mail:	401 N. PO Box	ington/Monroe County MPO Morton Street Suite 160 x 100 ington, IN 47402	-OR-	email: fax:	mpo@bloomington.in.gov (812) 349-3535
1. Pu	blic Ag	gency	Information (Fill in all a	applicable field	ds):	
Mo	onroe Co	ounty	☐ City of Bloomington	☐ Town	n of Ellett	sville xx INDOT
Ru	ral Trans	sit	☐ Indiana University	Bloom	mington 7	ransit
Contac	t Name	(<u>ERC</u>):	Zachary Hicks Phone: 812	2-524-3972	Fa	x:
Addres	s: <u>185</u>	Agrico I	Lane, Seymour, IN 47274			
Email:	zhicks	@indot.	in.gov			
2. Pr	oject l	Inforn	nation: (Fill in all applicat	ole fields):		
•	Project	Name:	DES Number: # <u>1702627</u>			
•	Is this p	project a	lready in the TIP?	Yes	x□ No	
•			on (detailed description of pro Abandoned RR and Clear Cre	J		n illustration <mark>) SR 37 - 4.05 miles S</mark>
•	Brief P	roject D	escription: Bridge Deck Ove	<mark>erlay</mark>		
•	Suppor	t for the	Project (e.g. Local plans, LF	RTP, TDP, etc.):	
•	Allied	Projects	(other projects related to this	s one):		
•			et have an Intelligent Transpo ject included in the MPO's I			nent?N/A

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	STP	\$	<mark>96000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
PE	State	\$	24,000	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	STP	\$	\$	\$893,648		\$
CN	ST		\$	\$223,412		\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		\$120,000	\$1,117,060		\$

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

•	Does the project include an acceptable percentage of construction costs set aside for construction engineering or inspections? \square Yes \square No $x\square$ N/A	
Ye	ear of Implementation Cost:	
•	Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs? $x \square Yes$	☐ No

4. Complete Streets

<u>New Projects</u> – If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.

<u>Existing Projects</u> – If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.

Not Applicable – If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.

Compl	ete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:								
x∏ No	Not Applicable – If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.								
Con	npliant - The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for any phase of project implementation. <i>Additional Information items</i> 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.								
Exe	empt - The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. Additional Information items 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.								
	Reason for exemption:								
Streets	conal Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific ation has not yet been determined."								
1)	Detailed Scope of Work – Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).								
2)	Performance Standards – List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.								
3)	Measurable Outcomes – Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)								
4)	Project Timeline – Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.								
5)	Key Milestones – identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)								
6)	Project Cost – Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.								
	Public Participation Process – Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.). Stakeholder List – Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list.								
5. Ver	ification								
I hereb	y certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify ject follows the Complete Streets Policy.								
_Robin	BolteRobin Bolte								



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

Mail: Rloomington/Monroe County MPO

401 PO	N. Morton Street Suite 160 Box 100 omington, IN 47402	-OR-	email: fax:	mpo@bloomington.in.gov (812) 349-3535
1. Public Agend	cy Information (Fill in all app	licable field	ds):	
☐ Monroe County☐ Rural Transit	☐ City of Bloomington☐ Indiana University	_	n of Ellett mington T	_
Contact Name (ERC	Z): Zachary Hicks Phone: 812-52	24-3972	Fa	x:
Address: 185 Agric	co Lane, Seymour, IN 47274	_		
Email: zhicks@ind	lot.in.gov			
2. Project Info	ormation: (Fill in all applicable	fields):		
Project Nam	ne: DES Number: # <u>1800730</u>			
• Is this project	ct already in the TIP?	es x	k∐ No	
	ation (detailed description of projector Abandoned RR, Clear Creek, No.			n illustration <mark>) SR 37 - 4.05 miles S</mark>
Brief Project	et Description: Bridge Deck Overla	y		
• Support for	the Project (e.g. Local plans, LRTP	P, TDP, etc.):	
Allied Proje	ects (other projects related to this or	ne):		
	oject have an Intelligent Transporta	•	•	nent?N/A

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	STP	\$	<mark>96000</mark>	\$	\$	\$
PE	State	\$	24,000	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	STP	\$	\$	\$853,388		\$
CN	ST		\$	\$213,347		\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		\$120,000	\$1,066,735		\$

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

•	Does the project include an acceptable percentage of construction costs set aside for construction engineering or inspections? Yes No x N/A							
Ye	Year of Implementation Cost:							
•	Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs? $x \square Yes$	☐ No						

4. Complete Streets

<u>New Projects</u> – If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.

<u>Existing Projects</u> – If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.

Not Applicable – If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.

Compl	ete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:					
x∏ No	Not Applicable – If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.					
Con	npliant - The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for any phase of project implementation. <i>Additional Information items</i> 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.					
Exe	empt - The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. Additional Information items 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.					
	Reason for exemption:					
Streets	conal Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific ation has not yet been determined."					
1)	Detailed Scope of Work – Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).					
2)	Performance Standards – List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.					
3)	Measurable Outcomes – Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)					
4)	Project Timeline – Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.					
5)	Key Milestones – identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)					
6)	Project Cost – Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.					
	 7) Public Participation Process – Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.). 8) Stakeholder List – Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list. 					
5. Ver	ification					
I hereb	y certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify ject follows the Complete Streets Policy.					
_Robin	BolteRobin Bolte					



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

	Maii:	401 N. Morton Street Suite 160 PO Box 100 Bloomington, IN 47402	-OR-	email: fax:	mpo@bloomington.in.gov (812) 349-3535
1. Pu	blic Ag	gency Information (Fill in all app	olicable field	ds):	
	onroe Co	ounty City of Bloomington	☐ Town	n of Ellett	sville xx INDOT
Ru	ral Trans	sit Indiana University	Bloo	mington 7	ransit
Contac	t Name ((ERC) Brandi Fischvogt Phone: 812-5	24-3961	Fa	x:
Addres	ss: <u>185</u>	Agrico Lane, Seymour, IN 47274	_		
Email:	bfisch	vogt <u>@indot.in.gov</u>			
2. Pr	oject l	Information: (Fill in all applicable	fields):		
•	Project	Name: DES Number: # <u>1800968</u>			
•	Is this p	project already in the TIP?	es	x No	
•	-	Location (detailed description of projection of concrete).	ct termini or	attach an	illustration) SR 37 - I-69 to 0.38 mile
•	Brief P	roject Description: Concrete Pavement	Restoration		
•	Suppor	t for the Project (e.g. Local plans, LRTI	P, TDP, etc.):	
•	Allied	Projects (other projects related to this or	ne):		
•		ne project have an Intelligent Transporta	•	•	nent?N/A

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	STP	\$	8,000	\$	\$	\$
PE	State	\$	2,,000	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	STP	\$	\$	\$1,074,698		\$
CN	ST		\$	\$268,67 <mark>5</mark>		\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		\$10,000	\$1,343,373		\$

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

•	Does the project include an acceptable percentage of construction costs set aside for construction engineering or inspections? \square Yes \square No $x\square$ N/A						
Ye	Year of Implementation Cost:						
•	Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs? $x \square Yes$	☐ No					

4. Complete Streets

<u>New Projects</u> – If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.

<u>Existing Projects</u> – If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.

Not Applicable – If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.

Compl	ete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:					
x∏ No	Not Applicable – If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.					
Con	npliant - The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for any phase of project implementation. <i>Additional Information items</i> 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.					
Exe	empt - The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. Additional Information items 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.					
	Reason for exemption:					
Streets	conal Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific ation has not yet been determined."					
1)	Detailed Scope of Work – Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).					
2)	Performance Standards – List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.					
3)	Measurable Outcomes – Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)					
4)	Project Timeline – Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.					
5)	Key Milestones – identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)					
6)	Project Cost – Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.					
	 7) Public Participation Process – Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.). 8) Stakeholder List – Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list. 					
5. Ver	ification					
I hereb	y certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify ject follows the Complete Streets Policy.					
_Robin	BolteRobin Bolte					



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

	Maii:	401 N. Morton Street Su PO Box 100 Bloomington, IN 47402		OR-	email: fax:	mpo@bloomington.in.gov (812) 349-3535
1. Pu	blic Ag	gency Information	(Fill in all applica	able fields	3):	
	onroe Co	ounty City of Bloo	omington [Town	of Elletts	ville xx INDOT
Ru	ral Tran	sit Indiana Uni	versity	Bloom	ington T	ransit
Contac	t Name	(ERC) Brandi Fischvogt P	hone: 812-524-3	3961	Fax	::
Addres	ss: <u>185</u>	Agrico Lane, Seymour, IN	N 47274			
Email:	bfisch	vogt@indot.in.gov				
2. Pr	oject l	Information: (Fill in	all applicable field	ds):		
•	Project	Name: DES Number: #	‡ <u>1800971</u>			
•	Is this 1	project already in the TIP?	☐ Yes	x[☐ No	
•		Location (detailed descripto I-69.	otion of project ter	rmini or a	ittach an	illustration) <mark>SR 46 from 0.44 miles W</mark>
•	Brief P	roject Description: Concre	ete Pavement Rest	toration		
•	Suppor	t for the Project (e.g. Loca	ıl plans, LRTP, TI	DP, etc.):		
•	Allied	Projects (other projects rel	ated to this one):			
•		ne project have an Intellige	•	•	•	ent?N/A

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	NHPP	\$	8,000	\$	\$	\$
PE	State	\$	2,,000	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	NHPP	\$	\$	\$827,347		\$
CN	ST		\$	\$206,837		\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		\$10,000	\$1,034,184		\$

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

•	Does the project include an acceptable percentage of construction costs set aside for construction engineering or inspections? \square Yes \square No $x\square$ N/A	
Υe	ear of Implementation Cost:	
•	Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs? $x \square Yes$	☐ No

4. Complete Streets

<u>New Projects</u> – If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.

<u>Existing Projects</u> – If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.

Not Applicable – If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.

Compl	ete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:					
x No	Not Applicable – If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.					
Con	npliant - The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for any phase of project implementation. <i>Additional Information items</i> 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.					
Exe	empt - The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. Additional Information items 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.					
	Reason for exemption:					
Streets	conal Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific ation has not yet been determined."					
1)	Detailed Scope of Work – Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).					
2)	Performance Standards – List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.					
3)	Measurable Outcomes – Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)					
4)	Project Timeline – Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.					
5)	Key Milestones – identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)					
6)	Project Cost – Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.					
7)8)	 7) Public Participation Process – Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.). 8) Stakeholder List – Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list. 					
5. Ver	ification					
I hereb	y certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify ject follows the Complete Streets Policy.					
_Robin	BolteRobin Bolte					



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

Mail: Rloomington/Monroe County MPO

40 P0	Ol N. Morton Street Suite 160 O Box 100 Iloomington, IN 47402	-OR-	email: fax:	mpo@bloomington.in.gov (812) 349-3535			
1. Public Ageı	ncy Information (Fill in all appli	icable field	s):				
	☐ Monroe County ☐ City of Bloomington ☐ Town of Ellettsville xx☐ INDOT ☐ Rural Transit ☐ Indiana University ☐ Bloomington Transit ☐						
Contact Name (ER	RC): Natasha Elmore Phone: 812-524	4-3745	Fa	x:			
Address: 185 Ag	rico Lane, Seymour, IN 47274						
Email: nelmore@	indot.in.gov						
2. Project Inf	formation: (Fill in all applicable fi	ields):					
Project Na	ame: DES Number: # <u>1801087</u>						
• Is this proj	ject already in the TIP?	s x	☐ No				
3	ocation (detailed description of project 8 (Chapel Hill Road) to 0.98 miles S o			·			
Brief Proje	ect Description: HMA Overlay, Preve	<mark>ntive Main</mark>	tenance				
• Support fo	or the Project (e.g. Local plans, LRTP,	TDP, etc.)	:				
Allied Pro	jects (other projects related to this one	e):					
	project have an Intelligent Transportati e project included in the MPO's ITS a	•	•	nent?N/A			

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	STP	\$	88,000	\$	\$	\$
PE	STate	\$	22,000	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	STP	\$	\$		\$2,307,354	\$
CN	ST		\$		\$576,839	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		\$110,000		<mark>2,884,193</mark>	\$

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

•	Does the project include an acceptable percentage of construction costs set aside for construction engineering or inspections? Yes No x N/A	
Ye	ear of Implementation Cost:	
•	Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs? $x \square Yes$	☐ No

4. Complete Streets

<u>New Projects</u> – If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.

<u>Existing Projects</u> – If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.

Not Applicable – If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.

Compl	ete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:
x∏ No	ot Applicable – If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.
Con	npliant - The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for any phase of project implementation. <i>Additional Information items</i> 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.
Exe	empt - The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. Additional Information items 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.
	Reason for exemption:
Streets	conal Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific ation has not yet been determined."
1)	Detailed Scope of Work – Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
2)	Performance Standards – List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
3)	Measurable Outcomes – Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)
4)	Project Timeline – Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
5)	Key Milestones – identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)
6)	Project Cost – Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
	Public Participation Process – Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.). Stakeholder List – Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list.
5. Ver	ification
I hereb	y certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify ject follows the Complete Streets Policy.
_Robin	BolteRobin Bolte



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

Mail	401 N. PO Bo	ington/Monroe County MPC Morton Street Suite 160 x 100 ington, IN 47402	-OR-	email: fax:	mpo@bloom (812) 349-35	nington.in.gov 335	
1. Public A	Agency	Information (Fill in all	applicable field	ds):			
☐ Monroe (County	☐ City of Bloomington	☐ Town	n of Ellett	sville	xx INDOT	
Rural Tra	ansit	☐ Indiana University	Bloo	mington T	Γransit		
Contact Nam	e (<u>ERC</u>):	Zachary Hicks Phone: 81	2-524-3972	Fa	x:		
Address: 18	5 Agrico	Lane, Seymour, IN 47274					
Email: zhic	ks@indot.	in.gov					
2. Projec	t Infori	nation: (Fill in all applica	ble fields):				
• Proje	ect Name:	DES Number: # <u>1801171</u>					
• Is thi	s project a	already in the TIP?	Yes	x□ No			
		on (detailed description of pr Abandoned RR, North Bound	3	r attach a	n illustratio <mark>n)</mark>	SR 37 - 3.65 m	niles S
• Brief	Project D	Description: Bridge Thin Dec	<mark>k Overlay</mark>				
• Supp	ort for the	Project (e.g. Local plans, LI	RTP, TDP, etc.):			
• Allie	d Projects	(other projects related to thi	s one):				
		ct have an Intelligent Transp ject included in the MPO's I			nent?N/A	_	

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	STP	\$	16000	\$	\$	\$
PE	STate	\$	4,000	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	STP	\$	\$	\$158,023		\$
CN	ST		\$	\$39,506		\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	·	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		\$20,000	\$197,529		\$

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

•	Does the project include an acceptable percentage of construction costs set aside for construction engineering or inspections? \square Yes \square No $x\square$ N/A	
Ye	ar of Implementation Cost:	
•	Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs? $x \square Yes$	☐ No

4. Complete Streets

<u>New Projects</u> – If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.

<u>Existing Projects</u> – If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.

Not Applicable – If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.

Compl	ete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:
x∏ No	ot Applicable – If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.
Con	npliant - The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for any phase of project implementation. <i>Additional Information items</i> 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.
Exe	empt - The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. Additional Information items 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.
	Reason for exemption:
Streets	conal Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific ation has not yet been determined."
1)	Detailed Scope of Work – Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
2)	Performance Standards – List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
3)	Measurable Outcomes – Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)
4)	Project Timeline – Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
5)	Key Milestones – identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)
6)	Project Cost – Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
	Public Participation Process – Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.). Stakeholder List – Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list.
5. Ver	ification
I hereb	y certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify ject follows the Complete Streets Policy.
_Robin	BolteRobin Bolte



Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization

Transportation Improvement Program Project Request Form

NOTE: This form must be completed in its entirety in order for a new project to be considered for inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) *OR* to make changes to an existing project already programmed in the TIP. Please complete all parts, including signature verification and attach support materials before returning to BMCMPO staff at the address listed below.

Mail: Rloomington/Monroe County MPO

4 F	401 N. Morton Street Suite 160 PO Box 100 Bloomington, IN 47402	-OR-	email: fax:	mpo@bloomington.in.gov (812) 349-3535
1. Public Age	ency Information (Fill in all appli	cable field	s):	
☐ Monroe Coul	• – •		of Elletts nington T	ville xx INDOT ransit
Contact Name (E	ERC): Zachary Hicks Phone: 812-524	4-3972	Fax	x:
Address: 185 A	grico Lane, Seymour, IN 47274			
Email: zhicks <u>@</u>	indot.in.gov			
2. Project In	nformation: (Fill in all applicable fi	elds):		
• Project N	Name: DES Number: # <u>1801172</u>			
• Is this pro	roject already in the TIP?	s x	□ No	
	Location (detailed description of project ver Abandoned RR, South Bound Lane	termini or	attach ar	n illustration) SR 37 - 3.65 miles S of
Brief Pro	oject Description: Bridge Thin Deck Ov	erlay		
• Support	for the Project (e.g. Local plans, LRTP,	TDP, etc.)	:	
Allied Pr	rojects (other projects related to this one	e):		
	e project have an Intelligent Transportationship the project included in the MPO's ITS at	•	•	nent?N/A

3. Financial Plan:

Identify *ALL* anticipated project costs for all phases, including total anticipated project costs beyond the four years to be programmed in the TIP (i.e. outlying years). Please identify any illustrative phases or costs in *italics*.

Note: Fiscal Year runs from July 1 to June 30 (ie: FY 2016 starts 7/1/15 and ends 6/30/16.)

Phase	Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	Outlying Years
	STP	\$	16000	\$	\$	\$
PE	STate	\$	4,000	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	STP	\$	\$	\$158,023		\$
CN	ST		\$	\$39,506		\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Totals:		\$20,000	\$197,529		\$

Construction Engineering/Inspection:

•	Does the project include an acceptable percentage of construction costs set aside for construction engineering or inspections? Yes No x N/A	
Ye	ear of Implementation Cost:	
•	Has a four percent (4%) inflation factor been applied to all future costs? $x \square Yes$	☐ No

4. Complete Streets

<u>New Projects</u> – If this is a new project to be included in the TIP, then section III **MUST** be completed.

<u>Existing Projects</u> – If a project is already included in the current, adopted TIP (compliant or exempt) and changes have occurred or will occur to the project which would have bearing on the Complete Streets Policy information on file, then all of section III must be updated and resubmitted for consideration.

Not Applicable – If project is subject to the Complete Streets Policy, check the **Not Applicable** box and proceed to Section 5.

Compl	ete Streets Applicability and Compliance – Check one of the following:
x∏ No	ot Applicable – If project is Not Applicable, please skip to Section 5. The project is not subject to the Complete Streets Policy because it is a transit project, a non-road project, a resurfacing activity that does not alter the current/existing geometric designs of the roadway, a 'grandfathered' local roadway project included in the TIP before the adoption of the policy, or is a project that uses federal funds which the BMCMPO does NOT have programming authority. No Additional Information items (below) have to be provided for projects to which the Complete Streets Policy does not apply.
Con	npliant - The project will accommodate all users of the corridor. The project is new construction or reconstruction of local roadways that will use federal funds through the BMCMPO for any phase of project implementation. <i>Additional Information items</i> 1-8 (below) must be submitted for compliant projects.
Exe	empt - The project is unable to accommodate all users of the corridor due to certain circumstances or special constraints, as detailed in Section IV of the CS Policy. Additional Information items 1, 4-8 (below) must be submitted for exempt projects.
	Reason for exemption:
Streets	conal Information – Attach to this application form the following information as required by the Complete Policy. If any fields are unknown at the time of application, the applicant may indicate that "specific ation has not yet been determined."
1)	Detailed Scope of Work – Provide relevant details about the project that would be sufficient to use when seeking consulting services (detailed project description, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements, new construction/reconstruction).
2)	Performance Standards – List specific performance standards for multimodal transportation, including, but not limited to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile users, ADA and Universal Design, environmental, utilities, land use, right of way, historic preservation, maintenance of services plan, and any other pertinent design component in relation to current conditions, during implementation/construction, and upon project completion.
3)	Measurable Outcomes – Identify measurable outcomes the project is seeking to attain (e.g. safety, congestion and/or access management, level-of-service, capacity expansion, utility services, etc.)
4)	Project Timeline – Identify anticipated timelines for consultant selection, public participation, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction period, and completion date.
5)	Key Milestones – identify key milestones (approvals, permits, agreements, design status, etc.)
6)	Project Cost – Identify any anticipated cost limitations, additional funding sources, project timing, and other important cost considerations not included in the table above.
	Public Participation Process – Describe the public participation process (types of outreach, number and type of meetings, etc.), and the benchmark goals for the project (participation rates, levels of outreach, levels of accountability and corresponding response methods to input received, etc.). Stakeholder List – Identify the key parties/agencies/stakeholders/interest groups anticipated to be engaged during project development and their respective purpose and roll for being on the list.
5. Ver	ification
I hereb	y certify that the information submitted as part of this form is accurate. Furthermore, if applicable, I certify ject follows the Complete Streets Policy.
_Robin	BolteRobin Bolte



To: BMCMPO Technical Advisory Committee & Citizens Advisory Committee

From: Anna Dragovich

Date: April 18, 2018

Re: Complete Streets Policy Advisory Group

WHAT ARE COMPLETE STREETS?

In short, Complete Streets are streets for everyone. They are designed and operated to enable safe access for all users of all ages and abilities. Complete Streets make it easy for grandparents, school children and everyone in between to cross the street, walk to businesses and bicycle to work or school. They allow buses to run on time and make it safe for people to walk to and from bus stations.

Creating Complete Streets means transportation agencies must change their approach to community roads. By adopting a policy, communities direct their transportation planners and engineers to <u>routinely design and operate the entire right of way to enable safe access for all users and ensure that the transportation project is suitable to the adjacent land use.</u>

WHAT DOES A COMPLETE STREET LOOK LIKE?

There is no singular design prescription for Complete Streets; each one is unique and responds to its community context. A Complete Street may include: sidewalks, bike facilities, special bus lanes, comfortable and accessible public transportation stops, frequent and safe crossings, median islands, curb extensions, pedestrian signals, narrower travel lanes, roundabouts, and more. A Complete Street in a rural area will look different from a Complete Street in a highly urban area, but both are designed to balance safety and convenience for everyone using the road.

BMCMPO POLICY BACKGROUND

Transportation planning activities present multifaceted challenges in the decision-making process for Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) because of competing interests, priorities, and policies that arise from its broad membership. However, many believe transportation planning has failed by using automobile-centric assumptions and methodologies. Critics point to sprawl, obesity, crash related fatalities, oil dependency, and other negative results from transportation planning which has traditionally focused only on automobiles. While this belief is common among different professions, changing this transportation planning paradigm is difficult.

The BMCMPO strives to achieve transparency, innovation, and public involvement in all its activities. However, BMCMPO staff recognized that a growing frustration with transportation planning has existed with area citizens for some time. The reasons vary, but often are associated with a nontransparent decision-making process, a disconnect between the spatial context of the built environment and the transportation network, and a deficiency of transit and non-motorized modes of transportation. The BMCMPO took measures in 2002 to help ensure that adequate opportunities to participate exist for citizens. However, more work needed to be done to improve the transportation planning process.

The Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization (BMCMPO) adopted a Complete Streets Policy on January 9, 2009. The Policy empowers and directs citizens, public officials, planners, engineers, and architects to use an interdisciplinary approach to adequately accommodate all users of a corridor into the design and construction of roadway projects. This Policy originated from a webinar presented by the American Planning Association (APA) in 2007 to the BMCMPO membership. Members of the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC), a subcommittee of the BMCMPO, took great interest in the Complete Streets movement and presented the APA webinar to its membership on January 30, 2008. From here BMCMPO staff worked closely with the CAC to develop and adopt the first Complete Streets Policy in Indiana. This grass-roots citizens' effort, together with leadership by BMCMPO staff, has resulted in a model policy for other communities to use and demonstrates the culmination of an outstanding community initiative.

Since its adoption, this Policy has been used as an example for other communities to consider. It has been a major catalyst across the state that has encouraged other MPOs and local governments to adopt their own Complete Streets Policy. The Policy has been presented at state and regional conferences. It received an award at the 2009 Indiana MPO Conference for Outstanding MPO Planning Project. BMCMPO staff testified on the effectiveness of the Policy before the Indiana House of Representatives Roads and Transportation Committee as it considered a Complete Streets Policy for the State (HB1182).

At its outset, the policy was a major catalyst and a shining example of good policy making. However, much has advanced in terms of forward thinking complete streets policy language and content. This has led to the desire for an evaluation of the existing policy. Additionally, the Complete Streets Policy states that: "the BMCMPO shall, at a minimum, evaluate this policy prior to the adoption of the Long Range Transportation Plan. This evaluation shall include recommendations for amendments to the Complete Streets Policy and subsequently be considered by the Citizens Advisory Committee, Technical Advisory Committee, and Policy Committee".

WHAT WILL THE UPDATE PROCESS LOOK LIKE?

We as an MPO have a chance to once again be a model for other communities. Nearly ten years after its initial adoption, an evaluation of this policy is long overdue. Therefore, BMCMPO staff is recommending the formation of a Complete Streets Policy Advisory Group that would be tasked with evaluating and making recommendations for amendments as well as any subsequent work required to update the policy.

I anticipate that the process will occur in two phases. The first phase will consist of the advisory group being tasked with the evaluation of the Complete Streets Policy. We will look at what is working with the policy, what isn't working and where we want to be. We will look at the content, implementation and impact of the policy in order to develop a better understanding of the merit, worth and utility of the policy. We will then look at possible courses of action as a result of those findings. All of this will then be presented to the committees of the MPO with the purpose of settling on an agreed upon course of action. The second phase will consist of carrying forward and fine tuning the agreed upon course of action.

REQUESTED ACTION

Please make a vote on the formation of the advisory group and nominate two individuals who you think will best represent your committee. Ideal candidates will be able to commit to advisory group meetings throughout the development of the policy.



Complete Streets Policy *Adopted: January 9, 2009*

I: Purpose

This Complete Streets Policy is written to empower and direct citizens, elected officials, government agencies, planners, engineers, and architects to use an interdisciplinary approach to incorporate the needs of all users into the design and construction of roadway projects funded through Bloomington and Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization.

The Complete Streets¹ concept is an initiative to design and build roads that adequately accommodate all users of a corridor, including pedestrians, bicyclists, users of mass transit, people with disabilities, the elderly, motorists, freight providers, emergency responders, and adjacent land users. This concept dictates that appropriate accommodation(s) be made so that all modes of transportation can function safely and independently in current and future conditions. A Complete Streets policy can be adapted to fit local community needs and used to direct future transportation planning. Such a policy should incorporate community values and qualities including environment, scenic, aesthetic, historic and natural resources, as well as safety and mobility. This approach demands careful multi-modal evaluation for all transportation corridors integrated with best management strategies for land use and transportation.

(A) Goals: The goals of this Complete Streets Policy are:

- 1) To ensure that the safety and convenience of all users of the transportation system are accommodated, including pedestrians, bicyclists, users of mass transit, people with disabilities, the elderly, motorists, freight providers, emergency responders, and adjacent land users;
- To incorporate the principles in this policy into all aspects of the transportation project development process, including project identification, scoping procedures and design approvals, as well as design manuals and performance measures;
- 3) To create a comprehensive, integrated, and connected transportation network that supports compact, sustainable development;
- 4) To ensure the use of the latest and best design standards, policies and guidelines;
- 5) To recognize the need for flexibility to accommodate different types of streets and users:
- 6) To ensure that the Complete Streets design solutions fit within the context(s) of the community.

II: Policy

- (A) Applicability: The Complete Streets Policy shall apply to all of the following:
 - 1) New construction and reconstruction (excluding resurfacing activities that do not alter the current/existing geometric designs of a roadway) of local roadways that will use Federal funds through the BMCMPO for **any** phase of



- project implementation including planning, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction, or construction engineering.
- 2) Local roadway projects included in the TIP after the adoption of the Complete Streets Policy AND are not past the Preliminary Field Check Phase or more than 30% complete with design at the time this policy is adopted.
- 3) Local roadway projects where the BMCMPO has the programming authority to allocate Federal funding.

(B) Requirements:

- 1) Roadway projects shall accommodate all users of the transportation system, including pedestrians, bicyclists, users of mass transit, people with disabilities, the elderly, motorists, freight providers, emergency responders, and adjacent land users.
- 2) Roadway projects shall make use of the latest and best design standards, policies, and guidelines. The Local Public Agency (LPA) shall also retain the justification and design decision authority over its projects.
- 3) Complete Streets solutions shall be developed to fit within the context(s) of the community and those solutions shall be flexible so that the needs of the corridor can be met.
- 4) Roadway projects shall utilize performance standards with measurable outcomes.
- 5) Roadway projects shall identify anticipated phases and key milestones of project development.
- 6) The LPA shall identify a public participation process including benchmark goals to attain as part of their public participation process.
- 7) The LPA shall maintain open lines of communication with key party/agency/interest groups and shall identify and maintain a key stakeholder list.

III: Process

- (A) <u>Call for Projects</u>: The BMCMPO shall issue an annual Call for Projects for any roadway project that seeks to use federal funding and to be programmed in the Transportation Improvement Program. The LPA shall submit a Project Description with the following information to the BMCMPO:
 - 1) a detailed project description (e.g. project scope, reconstruction/new construction, vehicular elements, non-vehicular elements);
 - 2) the intent for the project to be Complete Streets Compliant or to seek a Complete Streets Exemption;
 - 3) the performance standards and measurable outcomes;
 - 4) project phases and key milestones;
 - 5) anticipated costs for design, rights-of-way acquisition, construction, and construction inspection;
 - 6) amount of federal funding requested by phase (e.g. preliminary engineering, rights of way, construction, construction inspection);
 - 7) anticipated dates for project design initiation and construction letting;



- 8) the public participation process with benchmark goals to attain;
- 9) the project stakeholder list or key party/agency/interest group identification list; and
- 10) the primary contact or project representative information.

If certain information required above is not yet known at the time of the Project Description submittal, the LPA shall provide general details on the required submittal information, but shall state, "specific information has not yet been determined". Additionally, if the roadway project is programmed into the TIP, the LPA shall update the BMCMPO as part of its regular reporting and notify any changes to the project description.

- (B) <u>Project Review and Approval</u>: Project Description(s) will be reviewed by the Citizens Advisory Committee and the Technical Advisory Committee prior to being submitted to the Policy Committee for their consideration to adopt into the TIP. The Policy Committee shall certify by resolution that relevant projects identified in the TIP are Complete Streets compliant unless a project receives an exemption under certain circumstances. Roadway projects listed in the TIP shall clearly be identified as Complete Streets Compliant or Complete Streets Exempt.
- (C) <u>LPA Reporting</u>: Once a project is programmed into the adopted TIP, the Local Public Agency shall fulfill the scope of work as detailed in the approved Project Description. The LPA shall submit written status reports to the BMCMPO to be included in the meeting packets of the Citizens Advisory Committee, Technical Advisory Committee, and Policy Committee at a minimum of two times a year. The status report shall include a summary of issues identified, significant accomplishments since the initial Project Description submittal or last status report, new details on project implementation, and the preferred design solutions as they pertain to fulfilling the project parameters detailed by the Project Description.
- (D) <u>Project Description Change</u>: The LPA shall report to the BMCMPO immediately if a significant change to the roadway project is warranted, especially any change that affects the project's accommodations for one of the users of the corridor. The Policy Committee will review the requested change(s) to the project and determine if the change(s) affects the intent (as detailed by the most recently approved Project Description) to be Complete Streets compliant, Complete Streets exempt, or Complete Streets noncompliant. If the changes significantly affect the intent the Policy Committee shall certify a revised Project Description and determine the roadway project's standing to be Complete Streets compliant or Complete Streets exempt. If a capital roadway project is determined to be Complete Streets noncompliant the Policy Committee shall consider removing the project from the Transportation Improvement Program until such time that the project can be brought back into compliance with the Complete Streets Policy. If the changes do not significantly affect the intent then no action by the Policy Committee is required.



IV: Exemption

(A) <u>Complete Streets Exemption</u>: The BMCMPO Policy Committee shall certify through resolution that justification exists for a roadway project to be exempted from any of the following requirements listed in section **II Policy**, (**B**) **Requirements: B1 through B4**. The Policy Committee may allow such an exemption under certain circumstances, including the following:

- 1) Ordinary maintenance activities designed to keep assets in serviceable condition (e.g. mowing, cleaning, sweeping, spot repair, and regular/seasonal maintenance);
- 2) The project involves a roadway that bicyclists and pedestrians are prohibited by law from using. In such case, efforts should be made to accommodate bicyclists and pedestrians elsewhere;
- 3) There are extreme topographic or natural resource constraints;
- 4) The Long Range Transportation Plan's 20-or-more year Average Daily Traffic projection is less than 1000 vehicles per day;
- 5) When other available means or factors indicate an absence of need presently and in the 20-or-more year horizon;
- 6) A reasonable and equivalent alternative already exists for certain users or is programmed in the TIP as a separate project;
- 7) The project is not a roadway improvement project and/or the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization has no programming authority (e.g. State, Bloomington Transit, Rural Transit, and other projects).

V: Evaluation

The BMCMPO shall, at a minimum, evaluate this policy prior to the adoption of the Long Range Transportation Plan. This evaluation shall include recommendations for amendments to the Complete Streets Policy and subsequently be considered by the Citizens Advisory Committee, Technical Advisory Committee and Policy Committee. Recommendations for amendments shall be distributed to the Local Public Agencies prior to consideration by the BMCMPO Committees.

¹Additional information on Complete Streets is available through the following resources:

National Complete Streets Coalition http://www.completestreets.org/

The American Planning Association - http://www.planning.org/research/streets/index.htm



ADOPTION RESOLUTION FY 2009-08

RESOLUTION ADOPTING A COMPLETE STREETS POLICY as presented to the Policy Committee of the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization (BMCMPO) on January 9, 2009.

- WHEREAS, the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization (BMCMPO) is the organization designated by the Governor of Indiana as the Metropolitan Planning Organization responsible for carrying out, with the State of Indiana, the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 134, and capable of meeting the requirements thereof for the Bloomington, Indiana urbanized area; and
- WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization to establish a Complete Streets Policy so that all roads will be designed and built to accommodate all users of a corridor including pedestrians, bicyclists, users of mass transit, people with disabilities, the elderly, motorists, freight providers, emergency responders, and adjacent land users; and
- WHEREAS, the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization has prioritized development of a truly multi-modal system in the Vision Statement of the Long Range Transportation Plan; and
- WHEREAS, the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization's Transportation Improvement Program identifies implementation of capital improvements in the urbanized area; and
- **WHEREAS**, the civic guidance of the Citizens Advisory Committee and the technical expertise of the Technical Advisory Committee can ensure that investment in transportation infrastructure addresses the needs of all users of a corridor.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

- That the Bloomington/Monroe County Metropolitan Planning Organization hereby adopts the Complete Streets Policy herein attached; and
- (2) That the adopted policy shall be forwarded to all relevant public officials and government agencies, and shall be available for public inspection during regular business hours at the City of Bloomington Planning Department, located in the Showers Center City Hall at 401 North Morton Street, Bloomington, Indiana.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Policy Committee by a vote of $\frac{8}{2}$ - $\frac{4}{2}$, upon this 9th day of January, 2009.

Kent McDaniel

Chair, Policy Committee

Bloomington/Monroe County MPO

Attest: Josh Desmond

Director

Bloomington/Monroe County MPO