In the Council Chambers of the Municipal Building held on Wednesday, April 19, 1995 at 7:30 P.M. with Council Vice-President Pizzo presiding over a Regular Session of the Common Council.

Roll Call: Sherman, Swain, Pizzo, Cole, Hopkins, White, ROI Bonnell. Absent: Service. Arriving late: Kiesling.

Pizzo gave the agenda summation.

There were no minutes for approval.

Sherman expressed his sadness at the Oklahoma Federal bombing that occurred this past week. He reminded everyone to participate in this upcoming municipal primary election: there are four experienced candidates running for mayor as well as a full roster for all the other seats.

Swain echoed similar election sentiments. White remarked about recent gang activity and how the police have tried to paint over or remove the graffiti gang symbols painted on walls or abandoned buildings and he and other candidates have organized a volunteer effort and covered the walls with donated paint and that is a good example of some positive things we can do to "take back our building or alley".

Pizzo echoed the President's plea for prayers for the people of Oklahoma City.

Mayor Allison said that there are techniques for paint/graffiti removal and it is important to have a phone number that people can call to have such signs of vandalism removed.

The Mayor read a proclamation for Crime Victims Week and presented it to Toby Strout, of Middle Way House and Strout thanked the mayor for her support and said this week is an opportunity to inform ourselves of lobbying and legislative efforts on a state and national level to make the community aware of victims rights.

Allison said that the Oklahoma bombing is truly disturbing but we must be mindful of all the people in our community and communities across the nation who are concerned about and working with the disturbed people who are involved in this kind of behavior. The Mayor then read an Encomium for Dr. John Werner who is leaving the South Center Mental Health Clinic and praised him for his devotion and tireless efforts to make treatment and support services for patients and families alike. We will miss his expertise and progressive leadership in every aspect of mental health and substance abuse/treatment. Werner, in turn thanked everyone for their ongoing support over the years. And finally an Encomium for Deputy Mayor Mike Davis who is leaving the city for a position with the Indiana Association of Counties. She thanked Mike for his leadership and membership on an almost endless list of community and service organizations. He thanked everyone for their support and particularly the Mayor who gave him the opportunity to become her "sacrificial lamb" and how he actually came to appreciate the position. He took several moments and thanked everyone who he has worked with and the many many programs that have been initiated.

Ted Rhinehart expressed the city's condolences upon the death of Ralph Merkle, the former Signal and Control specialist with the city who passed away at age 43. He was committed to the city and his profession and he will be sadly missed. He also updated the council on 1) building permit process improvements that are being expedited because of a good team effort on the part of everyone involved from intake information to the issuing

COMMON COUNCIL REGULAR SESSION APRIL 19, 1995

ROLL CALL

AGENDA SUMMATION

MESSAGES FROM COUNCILMEMBERS

MESSAGES FROM THE MAYOR

MESSAGES FROM CITY DEPARTMENTS

of whatever permit is required and 2) the erosion control process and the request for more enforcement. He forwarded to the council a summary of the last few months utilizing some new procedures that have been put in place and the expectation of quarterly reports to keep the council informed.

Bonnell thanked Rhinehart and the various staffs involved in these department improvements. The mandate did not come from the top down, but he asked his department managers to do it from the ground forces up. He thanked Public Works for listening to council concerns.

Kiesling arrived at this time and Pizzo relinquished the gavel to the Council President.

Clerk Williams announced the upcoming Bloomington EXPO taking place tomorrow at the convention center with a opening ceremony with Judy O'Bannon celebrating the state wide Main Street program. Williams then announced the various board and commission advertised vacancies and the deadlines for those interested in applying.

It was moved and seconded that Ordinance 95-22 be introduced and read by title only. Clerk Williams read the ordinance by title only.

It was moved and seconded that Ordinance 95-22 be adopted. The synopsis and committee recommendation of Do-Pass 8-0-1 was given.

Ted Rhinehart presented an overview of the GIS system and how the coordinator is crucial to the ongoing success and utilization of the system. In essence we need to upgrade our position and salary level in order to secure the best applicants for the program. The reason for the amendment is that the original ordinance was submitted and then additional upgrades necessary were for the seasonal/laborer at Rosehill and then the reinstatement of the Assistant to the Mayor rather than Deputy Mayor necessitated the salary amendment. Ted thanked Jessie for the beautiful flower beds around the city that we all enjoy.

It was moved and seconded that the ordinance be amended to add the additional positions. The amendment received a roll call vote of: Ayes:8, Nays:0.

The ordinance, as amended, received a roll call vote of Ayes:8, Nays:0.

It was moved and seconded that Resolution 95-10 be introduced and read by title only. Clerk Williams read the resolution by title only. It was moved and seconded that Resolution 95-10 be adopted.. The synopsis and committee recommendation of Do-Pass 9-0 was given.

Hopkins noted that it was agreed last week that 1530 W.7th St. would not be included in the ERA request. It was determined that this resolution would be considered as stated and then corrected at the reconfirm process.

Chris Spiek that this is a request for tax abatement for three houses that will be built; all building permits and approvals have been secured and they are ready to start construction this weekend.

Bruce Carpenter was available for questions. Bonnell

ORDINANCE 95-22

RESOLUTION 95-10

asked Carpenter to involve as many people as possible when Habitat considers projects so that every effort can be made to avoid expensive infrastructure needs (access road). Carpenter said that the original plan was for five houses. One tract of land did not come into their ownership early enough in the process to include in the tax abatement process and the second one was removed because the application process is so cumbersome. Application was made in January for tax abatement and will not be effective until May. They could not take a chance on requesting abatement and have bad weather delay building starts. He said he was putting it back on the council's "plate" and hoped if the council is seriously interested in helping the housing crisis in Bloomington they might streamline the tax abatement process and not make it last for 3 months or more.

Hopkins asked where the delays occurred. He said that Redevelopment and Planning were all very helpful. Spiek said that the process requires numerous approvals, processing the necessary papers, legal advertising notices, approvals and reconfirming resolutions that all take time and they are all statutory. A lot depends on when the initial request is made and how soon the next approval meeting is scheduled. In this case there was planning approval as well as the CDBG process that had to be completed.

Sherman understood Mr. Carpenter's frustration with the process but also said that time and time again we are the butt of people's frustration and yet 95% of what is required is statutory and is something that we have absolutely no control over and yet we are blasted by people and the media and there are limits to what this body can do and we are not responsible for every bad thing that happens in peoples lives - just half of them.

The record will reflect that the properties located at 410, 412 and 414 N. Hay street are the properties that are included in this tax abatement request.

The resolution received a roll call vote of Ayes:8, Nays:0.

It was moved and seconded that Ordinance 95-13 be introduced and read by title only. Clerk Williams read the ordinance by title only.

the ordinance by title only. It was moved and seconded that Ordinance 95-13 be adopted. The synopsis and committee recommendation of 9-0 was given.

Lynn Friedmyer described the proposed outline plan request and drainage improvements that the developer has agreed to correct. Conditions of approval were approved by the Plan Commission, were read into this record and are attached to the original ordinance.

John Black, representing the petitioner, agreed to the explanation provided by Ms. Friedmeyer.

The ordinance received a roll call vote of Ayes:7, Nays:0 (Swain out of chamber).

It was moved and seconded that the order of business be amended to hear Ordinance 95-26 later in the agenda to accommodate Councilmember Service who is in transit this evening and does want to participate in this discussion.

The motion to amend received a roll call vote of Ayes:8,

ORDINANCE 95-13

Nays:0.

It was moved and seconded that Ordinance 95-24 be introduced and read by title only. Clerk Williams read the ordinance by title only.

It was moved and seconded that Ordinance 95-24 be adopted. The synopsis and committee recommendation of 9-0 was given.

Ted Rhinehart reviewed the current cable franchise agreement that expires in September of 1995 and in order to take advantage of regulatory changes these are some of the proposed amendments to Title 3. The process as it unfolds should ideally produce a document by August or September to bring before the council for approval.

Matt Pierce, President of the Telecommunications Council, said that most of the current cable ordinance dealing with franchising and refranchising is mandated by federal law and most importantly the city is limited in the specifics/or reasons for the process.

Bonnell asked about the rewiring of the new council chambers for cable broadcasting. Rhinehart said that by taking advantage of some newer technology we would look toward asking for some of these things. Bonnell said this has the potential of redefining the role of BCAT as well as reduction of franchise fees that we get. This is a multi-faceted issue.

The ordinance received a roll call vote of Ayes:8, Nays:0.

It was moved and seconded that Ordinance 95-23 be introduced and read by title only. Clerk Williams read the ordinance by title only.

It was moved and seconded that Ordinance 95-23 be adopted. The synopsis and committee recommendation of 4-0-4 was given.

Ted Rhinehart said that this has been a good process involving numerous parties to this issue. Initially the original proposal, as determined by the Telecommunications Council (TCC) was for a set late fee of 10% not to exceed \$5.00. When the proposal came before the Board of Public Works, TCI came forward with a proposed compromise that they intended to address many of the things they had heard coming out of the TCC meetings. The Board of Public Works suggested that it be remanded to the TCC for further discussion and because there was a certain time line for reviewing these issues then TCI wanted to stick to the schedule, the Board agreed to forward to the Common Council the TCC recommendation and the issues involved in the TCC discussion. When the ordinance came forward the TCC met and they were able to review the specific points and make a recommendation that the fee would not be applied to persons who only got basic cable and that fee would be \$3.50 as a flat fee for 30 days past due. A new charge for disconnects would be applied to those persons who were more than 55 days past due. The provision for annual review for the late fee for a potential increase by TCI would have a remonstrance period for the city and instead TCC thought it was better to bring it before the

ORDINANCE 95-23

ORDINANCE 95-24

council so that it could be done at any time. Amendment #1 suggests that the Board of Public Works has had an opportunity to review the compromise agreement (as stated above dealing with the \$3.00 flat fee for basic cable users). Initially TCI was not able to agree to the original proposal on the original time table, but that has been worked out and hopefully the issues have been worked out.

Matt Pierce, TCC President, said the ordinance before the council is what has been approved by the TCC. Currently proposed by TCI is the following: the cable operator would be allowed to increase the late fee by a stated inflation factor. The TCC did not have an opportunity to discuss this inflation factor proposal, but noted that if the basic rate were to increase to \$15 or above then there would be a late fee assessed on basic subscribers. He recommended that the council see what the "reality" actually is and then come back and address this at some time in the future if it is necessary.

Sherman asked Pierce to comment on the amendment that has come out of the Legal Department and Pierce said that it reflects a level of protection for basic subscribers from a \$5.00 flat fee on a \$9 flat fee service; the \$3.50 level ideally should be lower and finally the \$15 service fee, the TCC thought that if a person is 55 days past due and TCI has to make a disconnect trip then a bill service fee is not really out of line for the burden of a late fee is then falling on the people who are causing the greatest cost. If someone is a couple months late and they have not attempted to work out a payment schedule, they are affecting everyone else.

Swain said that TV is almost like a utility and is there any regulation for cable service the way other utilities rates are approved. Pierce said the FCC regulates expanded basic and gave the city the right to regulate the basic level service and equipment and the city agreed to that by ordinance last year. The FCC created a system that allows increases for inflation and they do tie this to the GNPPI. The cable operator cannot just do this unilaterally, they need to submit paper work to the city for the basic tier and then we have a whole process we go through and it then basically comes back to the council for approval. We think it is more appropriate for TCI to have to come in and justify on some level why they need an increase.

It was moved and seconded that Amendment #1 be introduced: essentially the amended ordinance as presented this evening, namely refinements of the original ordinance as discussed by Matt Pierce.

Lynn Coyne said that they were satisfied with the amendments as approved by the Board of Public Works. The GNP index is a means of measuring necessary adjustments and since the TCC said that everything has to come before them, that is a long, expensive laborious process to adjust a \$3.50 number by a little bit and it would save a lot of time and trouble if it could be adjusted automatically. He introduced TCI persons from Denver and he complimented the city staff for meeting the necessary deadlines.

Bonnell asked if TCI has not asked for an increase in the last 15 years, why the prospective cost of living increase now? When we might be able to go another 15

years before an increase is necessary. Coyne said that even though it is \$5 it is now known that the actual cost is more like \$7.85 and so it is now known and on the table, so to speak. Pizzo asked if that cost included the company profit.

Pizzo asked if that cost included the company profit. Coyne said that no, it is an incremental cost analysis. Pizzo said that this is part of the creative financing that TCI does that no other utility does and they are for

profit. Coyne said the whole idea of a utility has been a constant source of confusion. A Utility has a rate of return that the government allows them to earn and their late fees are actually stated in the regulations, they charge them quicker and they charge them on all bills so they are apples and oranges and what we have when they regulate cable is a different beast and it is just not the same as a utility, it is a private enterprise it just so happens that it uses the public right of way that the FCC has chosen to apply certain regulations. Coyne maintained that the cost of recovering the late fees far outweighs the actual money recovered. They are not a profit center and not a windfall.

Kiesling thought the compromise ordinance (Amendment #1) was a good document and should be carefully considered by the council.

Bonnell asked if late fees are cumulative? Is there a cap? There is a cap, no one can be charged more than \$3.50 on any one month's bill. (Coyne).

White asked about the cost of a service call that was stated earlier at \$34 and sometimes we lose the focus of someone who has not paid for something they have already received. Our city Utilities has a field service fee of some kind and their cost of a disconnect is \$18. After regular business hours, it is \$54.

Sherman thought the amendment was a reasonable compromise and their is an improvement when the late fee is reduced from \$5 to \$3.50 and it is reasonable that the biggest abusers are the ones bearing the largest cost. Also the people with just the basic service are not getting docked with a large late fee.

Service discussed the basic process of rolling all operational costs into the cost of collecting a late fee. Whether a truck rolls or not, uniforms, light bills, insurance, etc all have to be paid. Not all utilities do it the same way. Some utilities notify by phone or door hanger in advance of the disconnect day and phoning right before you drive out is not a fair or appropriate way to notify people.

The amendment received a roll call vote of Ayes:8, Nays:1 (Cole).

Pierce pointed out that before there was rate regulation the cost of going out to reconnect an already wired house/apt. was \$35 and after regulations, it cost \$17.43 and then after FCC said rates were not low enough and the result is that today it cost \$14.06 as a service charge for a pre-wired residence.

Jim Stinson said that he did not blame cable companies for all these problems, they provide a good service, they have explained their accounting principles and for that they have been ridiculed. He said he had faith in TCI and competition is increasing everyday. This ordinance may drive up the cost for consumers.

Hopkins read a memo from Barry Marshall, Chief Operating Officer for TCI reminding their executives that "transaction charges are vital new revenue sources and by charging for these services the rate adjustment losses can be recovered by half. Just because customers object is not a reason to be dissuaded from the charges. It will take awhile, but they will get used to it. The best news of all is that now we can blame the charges on rate regulations and the government". If this is beating up on TCI, then I'm, glad to do it, he said.

White said his late fee concern is to make sure that if the company has these costs, that we don't spread them among the rate payers who pay their rates on time. All the rate payers should not have to subsidize the late payers.

The ordinance, as amended, received a roll call vote of Ayes;9, Nays:0.

It was moved and seconded that Ordinance 95-26 be ORDINANCE 95-26 introduced and read by title only. Clerk Williams read the ordinance by title only.

It was moved and seconded that Ordinance 95-26 be adopted. The synopsis and committee recommendation of Do-Pass 3-3-3 was given.

Ted Rhinehart began his presentation by telling the council that the issue this evening is the discussion of Zone 4 established several months ago within the Neighborhood Parking Program. In that ordinance discussion the council asked that the Traffic Commission come back with a recommendation for an allocations of permits to the greek houses within this area as well as Collins Center residents and address the business employee concerns along Kirkwood and the downtown area who do not have offstreet parking and who will be affected by the new zone. He said that the Traffic Commission was unanimous in their support for the permit allocation and the only debate centered around what should be charged for the parking permit. In that particular zone, and because of the pressure from the downtown parking lots and that many employees requesting permits who would ordinarily park in the lots, it was necessary to strike some kind of balance. There is also the cost that the university charges for their parking permits that is fairly high and that now are some of the permits that is fairly high and that now are some of the things the Traffic Commission considered. It is not a zone that fits the routine formula that we have established with the other zones.

Amendment #1 was moved and seconded that: reducing the cost of a permit for Collins and zone fraternities and sororities that are immediately adjacent to the zone from \$75 to \$10.

Service said that this is the same charge to the greek houses along 3rd Street that are also immediately adjacent to a parking zone. This is only fair to have the same equitable cost and not penalize someone because

they are in a greek house on the north side of town. It would also not be fair to pass an amendment to make them all pay more; these persons are residents of the area, admittedly it is a larger group than a single family home, but it is their home and they are parking there because that is where they live. True that IU should provide parking for them, but it is not the tenants that ought to pay for that. Mike Dunn, President of the Neighborhood Assoc. said that he had no problem with the \$10 permit fee because people who already live in the area already park in the area. This ordinance is for people who do not live in the areas designated as zone parking yet park there anyway. Ultimately that is what will take care of the problem. This program has worked in other parts of the city and to now suddenly change the rules of the game is unfair.

Kiesling noted that there are two other amendments that will need to be addressed this evening: 1) to make all sororities and fraternities paying \$75 in parking zones and 2) such monies would go to a special non-reverting fund for parking facilities.

Sherman moved that we separate the two parts of this amendment, noting that in the past we have not directly considered an IU dormitory as part of a zone. The motion was seconded. It was also clarified that people who work downtown would still continue to pay \$75 for a parking permit. Part 1 would be the fraternities and sororities and Part 2 would be Collins Center residents.

The amendment to separate the question received a roll call vote of Ayes:6, Nays:3 (Swain, Hopkins, Bonnell).

Bonnell asked Rhinehart if the residents of Collins and greek houses are residents of Zone 4 now. Ted said the Sigma Chi is surrounded by the zone, but the other greek houses are not in the zone. Bonnell said that if they were residents they have the right to have as many permits as desired per person and vehicle. Rhinehart said that Collins has said that for their 450 residents, they get about 26 E spots and about 150 residents have cars that would like to park there, so there might be a potential number of 176 people coming in for a neighborhood permit. Collins has said that they would request 150 permits and the greek houses gave a number of 141 in addition to a couple hundred off street parking spaces available to them.

White said our mission has been to help the people who actually live in these neighborhoods and not to hurt them. The program has been put in place because of all the commuter parking that park up the streets. He said whether we charge \$10 or \$75 all these spaces "will be bought" and the amount of money will not make a difference, because residents are already parking there. All it does is line the pockets of city government and is being used as some kind of incentive to lower the demand and it won't happen. This just increases the cost of coming to IU and this is the kind of housing we want to encourage because it is more compact, more dense and allows other housing for other purposes.

Swain said that one of the main reasons for these zones in the first place was to diminish the reliance on vehicles as much a possible and that should remain our goal. This particular amendment was defeated at the committee level last week and he urged councilmembers to

remember that. He said letters from zone residents have suggested keeping the fee at \$75 as the current ordinance is written.

Matt Tuberin, Sigma Chi Vice President said it is not the \$75, but it is a price discrepancy, and it is an arbitrary decision to make a certain group pay more. Brad Wisler said the discrepancy is the problem along with the day to day commuter parking. The lines of the zone were arbitrarily redrawn to exclude the Sigma Chi house so that the argument could be used that they are not in the zone. The lines should be drawn based on where the problem is if we want to help the problem. The cost needs to be equitable with other options, e.g. Collins has the availability of university E permits, that the greek houses do not have.

Rhinehart said that IU employees who are working within the zone are not residential businesses in the zone and have IU available to them.

Bonnell said that he would vote against both parts of the separated amendment, because the ordinance itself discriminates against students, because students are not included as zone residents so that the city will not have to give as many zone permits if you are actual zone residents. We are discriminating against students whether we charge \$10 or \$75 and yet he agreed with Kirk that this is a sellers market and we should be using these"creative measures" to make the city work better, running this city like a business and we will offer parking at less cost than anyone else is doing it and with a better"product".

Sherman said that we have accommodated greek houses before in other zones with a \$10 fee and this area can accommodate them as well.

Kiesling commented that in all other zones this debate never even existed, all permits cost \$10, and no other zone has created this question. Swain said the logic of the \$75 was pertinent because there was already university parking available and the upcoming IU permit fee increases and those considerations make sense. The other zone areas do not have IU available parking. If we are really talking about equity, why not amend this ordinance and put them back in the zone and give them equal hunting/parking rights as the other zone residents and be done with it.

Cole reminded the council that the greek houses along 3rd Street were brought in via a lottery process, allowing 150 permits for all the houses along the street. She pointed out that at the current time, the 150 have not all been applied for.

White said we have excluded these folk deliberately and it is not fair. Service agreed with White and said that the -3rd Street area is not that different from what is being discussed tonight.

Amendment #1 (A) reducing the fee from \$75 to \$10 for fraternities and sororities to park within the zone (it is understood that they are NOT in the zone) This allows permit parking within the zone. The amendment received a roll call vote of Ayes:6, Nays:3 (Swain,Pizzo, Bonnell).

Amendment #1 (B) reducing the fee from \$75 to \$10 for Collins Center residents.

Service supported the amendment saying that Collins is surrounded by the zone and would be included if the line were drawn at a logical point. Collins is one of the oldest dorms on campus and therefore has little on site parking available.

White said we need to urge IU to provide the parking that is really needed to be provided for dorm residents. If the university want to say students can't have a car, well they don't need to provide parking; but if the policy says students can have cars then the university needs to provide the space for it. It's only fair to provide for these folks as well.

Sherman said once we start including structures where the university has the responsibility to do parking, then we are doing something very different. IU feels that they have met their responsibility by providing some "D" spaces and parking space at the stadium lot. It also costs students to park in those IU parking facilities and this amendment totally undermines the IU solution that they are responsible for. On the other hand he agreed with White that this is the kind of housing we want to encourage creating a better chance to free up SF housing around town.

Hopkins noted the "solidarity" of students. Greek house members left when their amendment was completed. White also commented that it was also disappointing that no one from Collins was here either to participate in this discussion. Service said that is why they are elected to look out for community interests and not simply always rely on people being here depending on what ox is being gored.

Amendment #1 (B) reducing the parking permit fee from \$75 to \$10 for Collins Center residents who would be allowed to park in the zone received a roll call of Ayes:5, Nays:4 (Swain, Pizzo, Bonnell, Sherman).

Amendment #3 was moved and seconded:

Bonnell said that we had the opportunity to raise over \$30,000 a year at \$10,000 a parking space would add 3+ parking spaces a year into a dedicated parking space fund, this amendment still addresses non residential fees (this includes the \$25 property manager fee)raised to go into a special non-reverting fund that is dedicated to alleviating parking congestion in or near residential areas. This is a step toward doing something about the parking problem.

Hopkins did not agree with the policy of dedicated funds. It isn't a creative way to solve problems, it just ties to money that would go into the general fund.

Cole did not agree with this amendment noting that the Alternate Transportation Fund might be a better use for the money, and, perhaps in the future we could revisit all the greek houses, but at this time we should be as consistent as possible with what we charge. She also suggested a future consideration of a lottery for IU staff in the Elm Heights area that might be appropriate.

Service thought this might have been a good idea at one

point with any excess parking revenues going into the Alternate Transportation Fund but this now includes parts of the revenue that are currently going into the general fund. She wondered if we could operate the zone program if we should pass this amendment with the resultant cut backs. Rhinehart was not sure if we would have a heavy administrative cost in terms of the downtown businesses requesting permits.

Sherman expressed concern about fairness in this process and there are all ways of raising money and charging a segment of the population more is not fair.

Bonnell said this amendment is not about charging people more, this amendment accepts that. The ordinance itself keeps the downtown businesses at \$75. The funds going to the Alternate Transportation Fund are already a dedicated Bonnell presented various possibilities to fund fund. his proposed amendment using the numbers of 3rd Street lottery permits actually sold and monies already going into dedicated funds.

Rob Steinmetz said the council is eliminating all possibilities to visit campus areas. (Tape is inaudible at this part).

Amendment #3 received a roll call vote of Ayes:2 (White, Bonnell), Nays: 7. The amendment was defeated.

Swain said that he was unhappy with the original ordinance and we have now lost the opportunity to have some additional alternate funds. We have undercut the IU solutions by providing Collins and the greek houses with the opportunity to get cheaper parking than ordinarily available.

The ordinance, as amended, finally, received a roll call vote of Ayes:8, Nays:1 (Swain).

It was moved and seconded that the following ordinance be introduced and read by title only for first reading before the Common Council. Clerk Williams read the ordinance by title only.

Ordinance 95-27 To Amend the Outline Plan re: 1607 Gray Street (Landry Leasing Inc, Petitioner).

There were no petitions from the public. It was approved by a voice vote that the council not meet in committee next week.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:35 P.M.

ATTEST;

Iris Kiesling, President Blocmington Common Council

APPROVE

Patricia Willian Patricia Williams, CDERK City of Bloomington

FIRST READING

LEGISLATION FOR

ORDINANCE 95-27

ADJOURNMENT