

The Council taperecorder was inoperative at this meeting. Through the use of notes taken at the meeting we will try to summarize the main points of discussion.

In the Council Chambers of the Municipal City Building, on Thursday, March 6, 1975, at 7:40p.m., with Councilpresident De St. Croix, presiding.

Present: James Ackerman, Richard Behen, Flo Davis, Wayne Fix, Sherwin Mizell, Jack Morrison, Brian C. De St. Croix, Al Towell, and Charlotte Zietlow.

Mayor Francis X. McCloskey, Michael Corbett; Administrative Assistant, Steve Richardson; Administrative Assistant for Community Affairs, James Register; Corporate Counsel, Carl Chambers, Police Chief, Marvin Clark; Engineering, Eve Berry-Brigl; Drug Coordinator, Bruce Wackowski; Human Rights Director-Attorney.

About 30 others including members of the press were present.

The meeting began with Councilpresident De. St. Croix giving a summation of the agenda of the evening.

Councilman Morrison presented a petition from (his) District 1 concerning problems of the area in respect to drainage and flooding conditions. Text included at the end of the minutes. He further stated that he had personally tried to remedy the situation, without success, along with a community representative, Mrs. Elizabeth Bridgwaters. He called upon the Council for response to the this petition.

Councilpresident De. St. Croix: I suggest this be referred to the proper Council committee for action, and have them report to us at the next meeting

Councilman Morrison: I would just like to mention the fact that there are 128 signatures on the petition, and I hope we get a remedy to this situation.

Councilman Towell: I would like to refer to the treatment of Council representative, Mr. John Rumble, by the Utilities Service Board. He has been denied admission to certain meetings, and has been questioned as to the legality of his appointment. I would assert that to deny him to these meetings is to deny the Council. People appointed by the Mayor's office, in any other board, would be given equal status by the board. I attended a board meeting, to speak, and left. I heard later that Mrs. Schaller, President of the Utilities Board, asked who Mr. Rumble was; again, he is our Council representative.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Initially I would like to respond to Jack's (Morrison) petition. I have noted the conditions in the District referred to in the petition, and I support the petition. We should go ahead with our redevelopment efforts, and not let

REGULAR SESSION
COMMON COUNCIL
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

ROLL CALL

CITY OFFICIALS PRESENT

MESSAGES FROM COUNCIL
MEMBERS

yards and homes be overrun with water. Secondly, the Economic Development Personnel Committee, working with financing, construction, housing, representatives of the Council, Development Commission, Social Service, representatives from various agencies and government, from retail stores in town, and the business schools - will try to present employment information by the next meeting. I would like to thank them all for their work.

Councilman Ackerman: I would like to move that we adopt the Mayoral appointments to the Human Rights Commission, which were introduced to us at the last special session. These people are re-appointees, having already served on the Commission. The appointees: William Gephart, Mrs. Willanna Smith, and Clarence Gilliam. The motion was seconded by Councilwoman Zietlow. The motion was passed by a unanimous voice vote.

Councilman Morrison moved that Ordinance 75-6 Human Resources, be introduced and read by the clerk in entirety. Councilwoman Zietlow seconded the motion. The motion was passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

LEGISLATION FOR DISCUSSION/
VOTE -2nd READING

Karel Dolnick, City Clerk, read 75-6 in its entirety.

Ordinance 75-6
Human Resources

Councilman Behen moved that the Ordinance be adopted. Councilwoman Zietlow seconded the motion.

Councilman Ackerman: He spoke as Chairperson of the Community Resources Committee, concerning the Ordinance. He explained the background history of the Ordinance, as being a revision of two Ordinances which were submitted, one from the Council sub-committee, and one from the City Administration. Differences between the two Ordinances were worked out, with a lot of hard work, and this Ordinance is the result^{ing} product. The recommendation of the committee is unanimously favorable.

Mayor McCloskey: I would like to thank you all for working on the Ordinance, especially Mike Corbett, and Steve Richardson. I think we have a chance to improve our own capabilities with it. Thank you for your endorsement of the Ordinance.

Councilman Ackerman suggested that there were several housekeeping corrections which needed to be made in the Ordinance. He noted a motion should be made on page 10, Section 11, entitled Task Force System, number 2, the third line, to delete the word "even", and insert the word, "odd". The motion was made by Councilman Ackerman, and seconded by Councilwoman Zietlow. The motion was unanimously passed by a voice vote.

Councilman Ackerman suggested additional amendments to the Ordinance in Section 10, in two parts. Councilman Ackerman moved, (1) page 9, Section 10, entitled Human Resources Forum, lines 20 and 21, to delete the words "and the

Mayor of the City of Bloomington...by joint resolution", (2) page 9, Section 10, entitled Human Resources Forum, line 37. (last line, continued on page 10), to delete the word, "designated", and insert the word, "adopted", and to delete the words, "the joint resolution of... and Mayor". The motion was seconded by Councilwoman Zietlow.

Councilwoman Zietlow noted there seemed to be several problems with the Ordinance in the way of grammar, and typing errors. Councilwoman Zietlow moved that the Clerk should "clean up" the Ordinance grammatically, making housekeeping changes without any intent to change the content. Councilman Ackerman seconded the motion. It was passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Councilman Behen: Asked Steve Richardson as to whether they had put the Title VI funds into operation yet.

Steve Richardson: No, they will not be effective until the March meeting.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Additional amendments were suggested to the Ordinance, moving that page 3, Section 3, entitled Human Resources Defined, subsection 14, an addition be made after the word "advocacy", to read "advocacy of the Human Resources Program". The motion was seconded by Councilwoman Davis.

Councilwoman Zietlow explained her amendment as an attempt to further define the activities of the Human Resources Department.

Steve Richardson replied to this amendment, as advocacy in terms of technical assistance, and skills. The word "advocacy" is useful in this context since it is right in the heart of what this Ordinance is all about.

The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Councilman Ackerman proposed a motion to amend page 9, Section 10, entitled Human Resources Forum, line 11, to delete the word "meeting", and insert the word, "community", and also to amend page 10, Section 10, entitled Human Resources Forum, line 8, to delete the word, "invites", and insert the word, "minutes". The motion was seconded by Councilwoman Zietlow. The motion was passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Councilwoman Zietlow moved an amendment to add to page 9, Section 9, entitled Administration Structure, subsection (d), after the words, "Human Rights, Equal Opportunity and Staff", the words "Personel Department". The motion was seconded by Councilwoman Davis.

Steve Richardson spoke on this amendment, saying it would interfere with a previous Ordinance, in Title 2 of the Municipal City Code, and would cause the necessity of amending other sections, plus possible abolishment of the department.

Councilman Towell : I'm not sure I see the relevance of the comments received. Why should there be a contradiction? This entails being in the department and affiliated.

Councilwoman Zietlow asked to withdraw the motion with the permission of the second, and to refer the matter to the Committee of Council Affairs, due to the explanation of the difficulties involved.

Councilman Towell: Could you (Steve Richardson) run through the Task Force System, and explain it? How would it work? What is it designed to do?

Steve Richardson: Imagine the Task Force in a hypothetical situation. In itself, it does not have the power to enact, but allows the Council to specify certain actions to present to the Task Force to resolve. A representative, or representative agency may be included on the Task Force. For example, they might be given 6 months to compile existing Committee reports, with a coordination concept in mind, and then prepare an activity report. With this input, the Council Committee could follow through.

Councilman Towell: How would this report be accepted? This hasn't been mentioned in the discussion.

Steve Richardson: Section 11, entitled Task Force, on page 11, subsection (c) explains the report procedure of the Task Force. The reports may be adopted after passage of the Common Council, and subsequent signing by the Mayor of the City of Bloomington.

Councilman Towell: How would it work?

Steve Richardson: The details are as of yet undecided. We are given the option to work them out, set up our own rules.

Councilman Towell stated he felt it was a necessity for the flexibility to specify to include the allowance of minority reports.

Councilpresident De. St. Croix: Do we need to specify?

Steve Richardson suggested the proper way to amend the Ordinance to include this provision. On page 11, Section 11, entitled Task Force System, subsection (a), (3), to add a new subsection (4) reading, "This final report shall include any minority report as suggested or recommended by members of the Task Force."

The motion was made by Councilwoman Zietlow, and seconded by Councilwoman Davis. The motion was passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Councilman Mizell proposed questions concerning Section 3, entitled Human Resouces Defined, as to the actual definition.

Steve Richardson explained precedent had been set with Charlotte's (Zietlow) committee, and further cited the example of Fort Wayne. He cited participation as one of the major roles, therefore the positions such as Ombudsman, would provide input from citizens. He noted the reality of the problem concerning the actual definition.

Councilman Mizell expressed concern that private citizens not be "shuffled in and out" in a perfunctory manner. He moved that an amendment be made to page 2, Section 3, entitled Human Resources Defined, subsection (1), to insert the words, "to provide a structure for", prior to the words "citizen participation". The motion was seconded by Councilman Ackerman. The motion was passed by a unanimous voice vote.

Councilman Fix moved that the entire Section 6, entitled Administration Staff, should be deleted.

Councilwoman Davis: Why?

Councilman Fix: I am against setting up a public forum structure.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Are you talking about the department?

Councilwoman Zietlow: I don't think we should delete the director. Going back into the history of the Ordinance, last summer when we were working on the budget, we saw the definite need to consolidate the staff of various community programs for the purpose of coordination and funding. As we passed the budget and salary ordinance last summer, then Councilpresident Ackerman appointed a Council committee, plus people outside, in the City Administration, to detail this, and they in turn came up with the recommendation of having a director. I think the duties are clear. I would like to hear a report from said committee at this point.

Councilpresident De. St. Croix asked for Councilman Ackerman for a report concerning the positions in question.

Councilman Ackerman stated that the committee saw the validity of creating a position of Ombudsman, and the already existing positions. The committee gave a favorable recommendation.

Councilman Fix: Going back to the budget, and the origin, looking at the definition, the Director is to coordinate, implement, monitor, investigate, and so on...the point is, I have no qualms about staffing the Human Resources Ordinance with whatever is needed, to keep things in order. Why not get the structure going, then see what is needed?

Councilman Towell: The problem seems to be in putting in a description of jobs into the Ordinance, we normally don't do that. He discussed whether it was better to let it develop, or specify exact duties. He commented the Ombudsman's duties were rather ambivalent.

Steve Richardson: Why the job description was given, was at the behest of the job committee. It was attached at the end to spell out in as clear a manner possible, to see who was responsible for what.

Councilman Ackerman: What would happen to the Director of the Alternative Program?

Steve Richardson: She would not be affected. There would have to be a decision made.

Councilwoman Davis: I would like to speak out against the motion to delete the Director. We need to keep in mind that people tend to promote the areas they are interested in, and I think we need this type of staff.

Councilwoman Zietlow had a question of timing. When would the Human Resources Forum come into being?

No answer given.

Councilman Towell: I'm not saying I agree with the motion, but for example last year at a summer camp I saw a like forum, which was played down for what it is. The Task Force definitely has more merit, and ideas put into it.

The motion to delete Section 6 of Ordinance 75-6 was defeated by a ROLL CALL VOTE: AYES 2, NAYS 7. NAYS: Towell, Mizell, Zietlow, Davis, Ackerman, De St. Croix.

The Council meeting recessed at 9:05 p.m., in order for WTIU station to change tapes. The Council resumed at 9:20 p.m.

Jan McClean, representative from the Older Americans Center, spoke from the floor. She expressed concern that the Center was being singled out for special consideration. A point of clarification is in order. This part of the city department now becomes part of the Human Resources department, it was covered in the Code Section 3.10, now it seems to be pulled out, with "technical assistance". Will it be operative? The Section 2.36.090 provides for staff and personnel, now we would have two administrative directors. If there are no interferences made with programs or personnel, will the Council still return the budget to the Parks and Recreation department? How do you create a commitment to affirmative action? This whole thing seems to be done without process.

Mayor McCloskey: First, I'd like to say, on Al's (Towell) point on the Ombudsman, of the wording, you should say what you mean, and mean what you say. I endorse the spirit of Al's (Towell) motion; it should go to the appropriate Council Committee, as to how the Ombudsman should be hired, and his ability to advocate, observe and investigate. He should not be responsible to the Council and Mayor on a day to day basis, but we need an individual way of assuring responsibility. I think his point is very well taken, and I'm glad he made the suggestion.

Councilman Towell: I'm glad you agreed. In order to make things clearer, I would like to amend pages 5 and 6, Section 7, entitled Ombudsman, to delete numbers 1,2,3,6 & 7. The remaining sections, 4, 5, & 8 should be numbered 1,2, & 3, respectively. The motion was made by Councilman Towell, and seconded by Councilwoman Davis.

Councilman Ackerman: If this is to be referred to committee, I would like to hear from Mr. Webster on this.

William R. Webster, Indiana University Ombudsman, spoke from the floor. He was against using the term "Ombudsman" in this context: (1) conflict of roles assigned, with some, i.e. Public Relations, which could not be carried out with credibility, (2) structurally, the Ombudsman is never located as a subordinate of a department, because it reduces his effectiveness, but rather to a legislative body, in this case the Council, (3) agree with the Mayor and Councilman Towell, in that it would be more effective to have the position responsible to a citizen body that was established by the Council and Mayor including i.e. the Monroe County School Corporation.

Councilwoman Zietlow stated we should delete the section, send it to committee, or place it into another section.

The motion to delete sections of the Ombudsman definition was passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE; AYES 9, NAYS 0.

Councilwoman Zietlow proposed an amendment, and moved that page 8, Section 9, entitled Administration Structure, subsection (d), to add the words, "and Community Ombuds-person", after the words "Equal Employment Opportunity". The motion was seconded by Councilman Ackerman. The motion was passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 9, and NAYS 0.

Councilman Behen: Since we seem to be getting close to a vote, I would like to say that the federal giving of "free money" and what is happening to local officials, is they all are becoming like "pigs at the trough" getting at this money. And the pipers will have to be paid. I would have strong feelings of guilt to pass on this indebtedness to my grandchildren. An indebtedness, we will never be able to pay.

Steve Richardson, spoke in regards to statements made earlier by Jan McClean. Section 9, subsection (c), is directed to explain the coordination concept of the Human Resources department, and the present staff of the Older Americans Center. It is an abstracted concept of technical assistance. It recognized the present staffing of the Parks and Recreation department, and provided for any programs initiated, to be as supplementary programs to present activities. It was an attempt to clarify the position of the Parks and Recreation in this Ordinance. It is designed to allow the addition of more activities and programs. If there are more programs developed, they could be say, recreational, and subsidized by recreation department funding, as they are trying to expand. So, there would be a continuation of the present supervision through Parks and Recreation, and yet allow expansion through the Human Resources Department. The new Director is responsible only to the Human Resources Department, as a technical assistant.

Councilwoman Zietlow: There seems to be a conflict between the role in Human Resources and the Parks and Recreation department.

Councilwoman Davis: Clarifying the role, the Older Americans Center would operate exactly as it does now, Mr. Wilson will appoint a new director, and the new person will be responsible to the Human Resources Department.

Jan McClean: Why do we need to specify specially for the Older Americans Center? Why are we being singled out for technical assistance? This could result in a very sticky type of administration.

Councilwoman Davis: The intent is to help. Don't you need any help?

Jan McClean: Yes, all the help we can get, but this is provided for in Section 3.10.

Steve Richardson: There was a conscious effort to single out the Older Americans Center, in order to reduce problems.

Councilwoman Zietlow: If you read this Ordinance like an "outsider", the meaning is unclear.

Steve Richardson: Could you specify?

Councilwoman Zietlow: I already did.

Councilman Ackerman: The wording clarifies in the beginning of the Ordinance, that no new program is meant to alter, interfere, or change in any way, the present programs.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Suggested an amendment

to Section 9, entitled Administration Structure, subsection (c), delete the words "of the Center". The motion was made by Councilwoman Zietlow, and seconded by Councilwoman Davis.

Fred Horning, Director of the Community Action Program, spoke concerning the Older Americans Center. He agreed with Jan McClean, saying he understood the administration's intent, and had faith in that, but that in reality, he was uncomfortable with the situation. His challenge, was to assert that the Older Americans Center become like turfdoms, or fiefdoms with this hypothetical program. Say the Council proposed a program which was non-recreational, and should be taken to the Center, and they say fine administer it, then, Jan (McClean) says, cannot do it, it is the Duty of the Human Resources Director...

Jan McClean added they could not do everything, there were several programs now not run by the Center, but that she saw a definite problem with administration in the Center with this Ordinance, with the approach of two supervisors, one of which is not under supervision of the Center.

The motion to delete the passage from Section 9, was passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 9, Nays 0.

Steve Richardson spoke about the need for the Equal Opportunity budget transfer to be taken up with the Appropriations Committee at a later time.

Councilman Ackerman moved to adopt Ordinance 75-6 as amended. Councilwoman Davis seconded the motion.

Councilwoman Zietlow stated she had questions concerning the duty of the Director. She said we should look carefully at the time of the appointment. This program must work some way to coordinate with the present services. Also in Section 5, Number 9, was not sure of the understanding of the term "short term sponsorship".

Councilpresident De St. Croix: The Council will look into the redefinition of the Director.

Councilwoman Zietlow: yes.

Steve Richardson suggested to delete the section 8 of the Ombudsman section, in keeping with Al's (Towell) amendment.

Councilpresident De St. Croix reminded the Council that discussion had continued for 2 hours and 15 minutes, and that it was time to get down to a vote.

Councilwoman Davis said she encouraged the passage of this Ordinance. She also mentioned the endorsement of Bob Epps, from the Drug Commission.

The motion to adopt the ordinance as amended was passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 8, Nays 1; Nay: Behen. Comments were made by several Council members during the voting. Councilman Fix stated he liked the intent of the Ordinance. Councilwoman Zietlow said thank you to all the people who worked on her committee on the Ordinance. Councilwoman Davis also thanked the people involved in several hundred hours of work on the Ordinance. Councilman Towell also commented, but comment was not understandable from notes of meeting.

Councilwoman Zietlow moved that the agenda be expanded to include Resolution 75-3, Task Force. The motion was seconded by Councilwoman Davis. The motion was carried by a voice vote of the Council.

Resolution 75-3

Task Force

Councilwoman Zietlow moved that Resolution 75-3 be introduced and read in its entirety. Councilwoman Davis seconded the motion.

Karel Dolnick, City Clerk, read Resolution 75-3 in its entirety.

Councilman Ackerman moved that Resolution 75-3 be adopted. Councilwoman Davis seconded the motion.

Councilwoman Zietlow moved to amend Section I of the Resolution 75-3, to add "and review and refine the definition of the position of Director of the Human Resources Department." The motion was seconded by Councilwoman Davis.

Councilman Ackerman moved that the Resolution 75-3 be adopted as amended. Councilman Towell seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 9, NAYS 0.

Councilman Towell moved that Ordinance 75-8 be introduced and read by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

Ordinance 75-8

Councilmanic Districts

Karel Dolnick, City Clerk, read Ordinance 75-8 by title only.

Councilman Towell moved that Ordinance 75-8 be adopted. Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

Councilman Fix gave his committee report concerning the Ordinance. He stated that it involved one house and three people. The district lines had not suffered a bad change, and that it seemed to be strictly in the order of a housekeeping change and was legal to pass.

Charles Hendricks, Indiana University student, spoke from the floor, urging the Council to defeat this Ordinance. He reminded the Council that the strict legal interpretation of the code stated that we were not to change the districts after the first of the year. There is a

definite reason for this rule, and the cut off time where the districts are defined. He stated it would seem morally wrong and illegal to change the districts now, and he challenged the rights of those people living in the districts to be affected, with the thought that if we can deny rights to any one man, we can deny them to anyone. He urged the Council to defeat the proposal.

Councilwoman Davis: The area looked like it belonged to District 1, and was assumed to be within the legislative area, but Perry 12, not 1 was annexed, and if things remain as they are, it means the City would have to supply a voting machine for 3 people who are not even registered to vote. This would involve a great deal of unnecessary expense to the City.

Councilman Morrison stated that the change in District involved only the changing in placement of a parsonage.

Larry Owens referred the legal position to Maggie Shaffer, Aide to the Council. She said the Ordinance was legal, being only a housekeeping amendment. Shaffer reported that Council Research Assistant Russ Bridenbaugh had spoken with the State Election Board and that the Board concurred in the opinion that this was a housekeeping amendment that could be made at this time.

Barry Schlessinger asked Maggie Shaffer if the County commissioners had been contacted.

Maggie Shaffer replied, yes, by Pat Haley of the Board of Voter Registration and by members of the citizen redistricting commission.

Barry Schlessinger asked whether the Council would be overruled by the commissioners.

Councilman Towell commented, if the Council members should change their minds, they would do so consciously.

Charles Hendricks, goted the law from a text which applied to the setting of Councilmanic districts.

Councilman Ackerman spoke against the Ordinance, based on precedent, and noted further, that paper ballots could be used, so the expense would not have to be exceedingly great.

Barry Schlesinger added to Councilman Ackerman's comments, saying the people involved could vote in the neighboring districts.

The motion to adopt Ordinance 75-8 failed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 4, NAYS 5. NAYS: Ackerman, Mizell, De St. Croix, Towell, and Zietlow.

Councilwoman Zietlow moved that Ordinance 75-5 be introduced and read by title only. Councilwoman Davis seconded the motion. The motion was passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Karel Dolnick, City Clerk, read Ordinance 75-5 in its entirety.

Councilman Towell moved that Ordinance 75-5 be adopted. Councilman Mizell seconded the motion.

Councilman Towell, Chairperson of the Community/Planning Development Committee, reported the stop sign was necessary for safety reasons. He said there was now in effect a 2nd 90day permit at this location, and that it had effectively reduced the number of accidents in the area. The staff of the Traffic Commission said it was needed until a further traffic survey of the area can be completed.

Councilman Mizell commented that it may be temporary, if the traffic survey indicates a different solution.

Councilpresident De St. Croix brought up a point of order at this time, that no formal committee report had been submitted by the Chairperson on this Ordinance, but that they could still act.

The motion was passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 8, NAYS 1. NAYS: De St. Croix.

Councilman Ackerman moved that Ordinance 75-7 and Ordinance 75-9 be tabled until the next session of the Council. Councilwoman Zietlow seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

REPORTS FROM CITY DEPARTMENTS,
BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

Bruce Wackowski, Director of the Human Rights Commission, spoke from the floor, giving his annual report. Activities for 1974 included: Commissioner Schenk being appointed as liason Commission to CAP Race Seminars at the 2nd Baptist and Black Culture Center, Commissioner Smith was selected to sit on Relocation Grievance Board, Rotary Club, Sex Seminar at Monroe County Public Library, Director spoke to Bloomington High School Government classes, participated in I.U. Activities Fair, and was guest on WTTS radio talk show. A proposal came from this office to amend the Ordinance to define, "national origin" and "ancestry" in such a way as to make it clear that citizenship discrimination is covered by the Ordinance. They also created new public service spots to be aired on the local media. The Director was elected as Secretary/Treasurer of the Indiana Consortium of State and local Civil Rights Agencies. Formal procedures were adopted for recruitment and appointment, and tenure by the investigators. They established official procedures through Section 706 (Federal Civil Rights Act) for EEOC and deferral for ICPC. The Commission has been written up in "Continuing Legal Education Forum", an annual survey of Indiana law. Self-examination forms were created with legal and ethical guidelines for the Commission and staff, the 1st of its kind; now the state has them. We are giving help on this to La Porte, Michigan, City, Sarasota, Florida, Terra Haute, etc.

He continued, that they had aided the State in identifying sex discrimination laws. He added they have a public hearing on a charge of race discrimination/public accommodation at the end of this month. One consent agreement on sex employment is up for ratification soon, and another sex employment is still in the negotiation stage. They had oral arguments in Hartwell vs. I.U. this last Tuesday in Circuit Court. They are expecting soon a Circuit Court decision on pre-trial motions in a case which the Commission is petitioning for enforcement of a consent agreement. Lately we have been working for a liason with the Bloomington Commission on the Status of Women. We are working on rules and regulations on Contract compliance. He added he would be going to Columbus soon as a representative of the local Commission, and that the Commission was trying to target its speaking engagements. He had just made a T.V. program for Channel 7, which is on file at the library.

Councilman Ackerman: How many hours are spent on consulting? Could we cut expenses by re-routing within the state?

Bruce Wackowski: Expenses are minimal, consulting is not done on an extensive basis, just a few hours a month.

Rev. Bill Webster: Law Students, graduate students, undergrads, all help, and make it an even-handed group.

Councilman Behen moved that Ordinance 75-10 be introduced and read by the title only. Councilman Ackerman seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES
FIRST READINGS

Ordinance 75-10
Annexation

Karel Dolnick, City Clerk, read Ordinance 75-10, by title only.

James Regester, Corporate Counsel, spoke on the annexation briefly summarizing that the property was now owned by Indiana University, and the annexation was not going to cost the City a penny.

Councilwoman Davis moved that Ordinance 75-11 be introduced and read by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. It failed by a voice vote; NAYS, Mizell.

Ordinance 75-11
Salary

Councilwoman Davis moved that Ordinance 75-11 be introduced and read by the Clerk in its entirety. Councilman Mizell seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Karel Dolnick, City Clerk, read Ordinance 75-11 in its entirety.


Councilman Mizell moved to expand the agenda to include the minutes of February 6, 1975. Councilwoman Davis seconded the motion. The motion was passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

MINUTES 2/6/75

Councilwoman Davis moved to adopt the minutes of February 6, 1975. Councilman Ackerman seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

The meeting adjourned at 10:55 p.m., there being no further business.

ADJOURNMENT



Brian C. De St. Croix
Council President

ATTEST:



Patricia Higgins, Secretary

KIRKWOOD AREA ASSOCIATION
512 East Kirkwood Avenue
Bloomington, IN 47401

December 19, 1974



The Common Council
City of Bloomington
Bloomington, IN 47401

Dear Council Members:

Reference is made to your request that property owners, tenants, and other persons interested in The Kirkwood Area, attempt to raise \$50,000 toward the proposed semi-mall project.

During the past several months considerable volunteer effort has been made toward this goal. At this date, \$17,575 has been pledged and is represented by signed pledges in our possession. The following is an itemization of these pledges:

1. Twenty-three total pledges
2. \$10,550 by property owners
3. \$ 3,955 by tenant merchants
4. \$ 2,070 Indiana University
5. \$ 1,000 a financial institution

There is little doubt that some additional time would be of benefit in getting closer to the \$50,000 goal requested; however some more recent opposition to the present Kirkwood Mall traffic plan has made it much more difficult to achieve this goal.

In view of all the dedicated effort expended by both the Council Members and many other interested persons and the financial investment already placed in this area project, we respectfully urge the Bloomington City Council to act in the following manner:

1. An improvement project in the Kirkwood Area not be abandoned.
2. A committee be appointed by the Council at once, which would represent both major viewpoints as to the improvement design. The objective of this committee should be to meet and develop an improvement concept which could benefit all parties in the Kirkwood Area and the City of Bloomington in general.

Respectfully,

Philip H. Wible
Philip H. Wible, President
Kirkwood Area Association

PHW/st

AMENDMENTS TO ORDINANCE
74-114

AMENDMENT ONE

Fourth District. The Fourth Councilmanic District shall consist of the following:

- a. All that part of the City lying within the area bounded as follows: Beginning at the intersection of Union Street and East Third Street running thence East along Third Street to Hillsdale Drive, thence North along Hillsdale Drive to the Illinois Central Railroad tracks, thence Northwest along the Illinois Central Railroad tracks to Tenth Street, thence East along Tenth Street to the Indiana By-pass East (State Roads 45 and 46,) thence West along said By-pass to Seventeenth Street, thence West along Seventeenth Street to Jordan Avenue, thence South along Jordan Avenue to Seventh Street, thence East along Seventh Street to Union Street, thence South along Union Street to Third Street to the place of beginning.

AMENDMENT TWO

Third District. The Third Councilmanic District shall consist of the following:

- a. All that part of the City lying North of East Third Street and East of Indiana By-pass East (State Roads 45 and 46).
- b. All that part of the City lying within the area bounded as follows: Beginning at East Third Street and Indiana By-pass East (State Roads 45 and 46) running thence North along said By-pass to Tenth Street, thence West along Tenth Street to the Illinois Central Railroad tracks, thence Southeast along the Illinois Central Railroad tracks to Hillsdale Drive, thence South along Hillsdale Drive to East Third Street, thence East along East Third Street to Indiana By-pass East (State Roads 45 and 46) to the point of beginning.
- c. All that part of the City lying South of East Third Street and East of College Mall Road.
- d. All that part of the City lying South of Moores Pike and East of Sare Road.
- e. That property located at 1606 North Fee Lane.
- f. All that part of the City lying South of East Third Street, West of College Mall Road, North of Moores Pike and East of High Street.
- g. All that part of the City lying South of Moores Pike, West of Sare Road, North of Rogers Road, and East of High Street.
- h. All that part of the City lying within the area bounded as follows: Beginning at the intersection of High Street and Hunter Avenue running thence West along Hunter Avenue to Jordan Avenue, thence South along Jordan Avenue to Davis Street, thence East along Davis Street to the East

property line of Sarkes Tarzian, Inc., thence
South along the Sarkes Tarzian, Inc. property
line to Hillside Drive, thence East along
Hillside Drive to High Street, thence North
along High Street to Hunter Avenue to the place
of beginning.

University, and they don't have, and of course they operate different, and their ordinance is different in many ways from ours. But this one paragraph is in their ordinance.

Councilpresident Ackerman; Well I can see a number like five that it would have to be at least some kind of a company, what size is Muncie?

Mr. McNeeley; They have so many federal buses in Muncie that they are running out their ears. We don't have federal buses in Bloomington. These are city buses that we have.

Councilman Behen; Mr. President, do either of those ordinances that you have there in their entirety quote the vintage that the taxi should be.

Councilpresident Ackerman; No, "no license should be issued for the operation of less than five motor vehicles and taxi cab services and unless a sworn statement required by the following section clearly discloses that the applicant is financially solvent and is the sole owner."

Mr. McNeeley; You don't have the whole ordinance, it does state it in the part you don't have.

Councilwoman Zietlow; Do you have the entire ordinances from these cities? Because we don't have the number of the cabs.

Mr. McNeeley; There are five in the Muncie one and ten from Terre Haute. But I don't think the number is all that important, I think due to the fact that Bloomington is the larger University town, I think 20 is a fair number. Because anybody that wants to get into the business today, it would cost them \$125,000.00. They would probably loose \$100,000.00 the first year. These are the same ordinances, you want to talk about rates and monopolies, we have the largest competitor in the world here with the city buses, and the university buses which we can't compete with. But we are going to try to. But we are just getting completely run over all the way with buses.

Councilman Behen; I can't see where the elimination of any competition would solve that problem. If you say a person has to invest \$125,000.00 with a foreseeable future of loosing \$100,000.00 I can't envision anyone wanting to go into competition with you with that kind of a loss. This doesn't solve your problem as far as the bus line is concerned.

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION

1. The City of Bloomington has moved on many points to expand and maximize human resources services for all its citizens. The growth took place in response to particular needs and the committee feels that now is the time to work toward greater coordination of these services.
2. The committee believes that the problems caused for our community by the current recession dramatize the need for greater co-ordination between city and private human resources programs to create maximum effectiveness and to prevent overlap and duplication.
3. The committee believes that the new opportunities for funding of community resources by private foundations and ever-changing state and federal progress emphasizes the need for a person who will keep on top of things to see that we apply for, and qualify for, appropriate services which will upgrade the quality of life in Bloomington.
4. The Committee agrees with the intent of the ordinance in not creating a huge superstructure of new positions in setting up this new department. The new director shall evaluate existing City services and assess community needs before my new staff recommendations are ever considered. We are hopeful that the City can make do with what we have, with maybe several already existing positions being redefined and redirected.
5. The role of the boards and commissions in City government is an extremely important one. That the committee realizes the difficulties of establishing a Human Resources Commission because of the problems of mixed jurisdictions with several boards and commissions already in existence within this department (Human Rights, Alternative Progress, Older American Center advisory Board, etc.). A further problem of an overall commission with voting power to set policy is that some priorities and social agencies within the City could well receive preferential treatment over others.

The Forum, we hope, will provide a vehicle for interaction, co-ordination cooperation and communication - not only up to the Mayor and Council, but especially out to the people of Bloomington. It provides an arena in which the community needs within the area of human resources can be openly discussed and debated. Further, the Task Force system will provide a more efficient means of getting particular jobs done - jobs which often drag on and on when they are left in the hands of an on-going commission of non-paid citizen volunteers.

THE COMMITTEE SEES THE FOLLOWING WEAKNESSES IN ORDINANCE 75-6

1. Section 4 We believe that the ordinance should specify that the Task force system, spelled out in Section 11, should be used in the search for a Director, and that the Mayor shall make his appointment only after receiving recommendations from a specially appointed Task Force for search and screening.
2. Section 11 #2 line 3 change "even" to "odd".

COMMITTEE REPORT SHEET

COMMITTEE NAME Community Resources

ORDINANCE/RESOLUTION 75-6 Human Resources
(Name and Number)

DATE SUBMITTED 2-10-75 First reading 2-20-75

PERSON OR DEPARTMENT ORIGINATING Mayor / Council Committee

SUBJECT MATTER _____

DATES OF COMMITTEE MEETINGS 2-18-75

DATES AND LOCATIONS OF PUBLIC HEARINGS _____

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS:

DO PASS

MINORITY REPORT ATTACHED YES , NO , NOT APP.

SIGNATURES OF COMMITTEE MEMBERS

James S. Ackerman CHAIRPERSON

Flo Davis (JSA)

Councilman Towell was not in attendance at this meeting.

COMMITTEE REPORT SHEET

COMMITTEE NAME Public Safety/ Policy and Legislative Oversight

ORDINANCE/RESOLUTION 75-8 Councilmanic Districts
(Name and Number)

DATE SUBMITTED Feb 11, 1975 assigned to committee

PERSON OR DEPARTMENT ORIGINATING Council Staff at the request of the Board of Voter Registration

SUBJECT MATTER housekeeping amendments to the Councilmanic Districts

DATES OF COMMITTEE MEETINGS _____

DATES AND LOCATIONS OF PUBLIC HEARINGS _____

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

According to information received by the Committee, the County Commissioners have redrawn the county precinct lines with these amendments in mind. This information came from Pat Haley at the Board of Voter Registration. This matter was discussed with the Council Committee on Redistricting.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS:

Do Pass

MINORITY REPORT ATTACHED YES , NO , NOT APP.

SIGNATURES OF COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Wayne Fy
[Signature]

CHAIRPERSON

WE THE CITIZENS OF THE NORTHWEST SECTION PETITION THE MAYOR AND THE COMMON COUNCIL FOR RELIEF IN THE FOLLOWING GRIEVANCES IN THIS AREA. THE HOUSES BETWEEN MAPLE AND FIFTEENTH (15) AND FIFTEENTH (15th) AND JACKSON, NORTH ARE SUBJECT TO CONSTANT AND INEXCUSABLE FLOODING BECAUSE OF POOR DRAINAGE IN THE AREA, DUE TO THE NEGLECT OF THE CITY. THESE PROBLEMS HAVE BEEN CALLED TO THE ATTENTION OF THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT AND THE REDEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT FOR OVER A YEAR. TWO FAMILIES IN THE AREA HAVE GONE TO TWO COURTS SEEKING AN ADJUSTMENT AND HAVE GOTTEN NO SATISFACTION THUS FAR.

WE THE PEOPLE OF THE AREA FEEL THAT THIS IS AN UNJUSTIFIABLE NEGLECT OF THE PEOPLE OF THE AREA, THEREFORE, WE PETITION THE CITY TO MAKE AN IMMEDIATE ADJUSTMENT TO THESE PROBLEMS.

WE FURTHER PETITION THE CITY TO SEE THAT PROPERTY OWNERS OF SEVERAL PROPERTIES IN THE AREA BE INSTRUCTED BY THE CITY TO CLEAN UP THEIR LOTS SINCE THE COLLECTIVE AND CONTINUOUS EXISTENCE OF SUCH DEBRIS IS DAMAGING TO THE HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELL BEING OF ALL OF THE PEOPLE IN THE AREA.

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. <u>Earl Watkins 1226 W. Maple</u> | 25. <u>Imogene Hakonson</u> |
| 2. <u>Ernie McKinley</u> | 26. <u>Levinne Hakonson</u> |
| 3. <u>Merrill S. Carter</u> | 27. <u>Edmund Hakonson 1022 W. Jackson St</u> |
| 4. <u>Leona J. Eaton</u> | 28. <u>Madame Russell Pringle</u> |
| 5. <u>Mary Shepherd</u> | 29. <u>Louise Taylor</u> |
| 6. <u>Mrs. Ronald Newton Jr.</u> | 30. <u>Everett Taylor</u> |
| 7. <u>Tim Roger Fred McKey</u> | 31. <u>Irvin + Charles Robertson</u> |
| 8. <u>Janette M. Kelly</u> | 32. <u>Mable Rasmussen</u> |
| 9. <u>Beverly Ann</u> | 33. <u>Robert H. Brostrom</u> |
| 10. <u>Paula Garner</u> | 34. <u>Charles J. P. Seyer</u> |
| 11. <u>Paul C. Rigg 1316 N. Maple</u> | 35. <u>John Lee</u> |
| 12. <u>James A. Burton 714 W. 17th</u> | 36. <u>Charles Rader</u> |
| 13. <u>Grace Hitchcock</u> | 37. <u>James Englewood</u> |
| 14. <u>Sharon S. Wolf 114 N. Jackson</u> | 38. <u>David Eric 417 N. Jackson</u> |
| 15. <u>Walter Holt</u> | 39. <u>Yackie F. Kendall 1222 N. Maple</u> |
| 16. <u>Mitchell Ellis 1110 N. Jackson</u> | 40. <u>Flora Hendricks 126 N. Maple</u> |
| 17. <u>Walter Higgins 112 N. Jackson</u> | 41. <u>Airilla Shemie 1306 N. Maple</u> |
| 18. <u>Robert 1222 E. 1023 N. Jackson</u> | 42. <u>Carol Wetten 136 S. Maple</u> |
| 19. <u>Madame Langell 1022 N. Jackson</u> | 43. <u>Richard</u> |
| 20. <u>Don J. Decker 407 E. 12th</u> | 44. <u>Jay P. Ross 415 W. 15th St</u> |
| 21. <u>Donna Langell 2510 N. Jackson</u> | 45. <u>Mary E. Hansen 415 W. 15th St</u> |
| 22. <u>Judy Owen 901 N. Maple</u> | 46. <u>Darryl D. David 615 N. 15th St</u> |
| 23. <u>Augustas A. Ruben 617 W. 15th</u> | 47. <u>Robert J. Jones 615 W. 15th</u> |
| 24. <u>Paul Rigg 417 W. 15th</u> | 48. <u>Donna Langell 617 W. 15th</u> |
| | 49. <u>Donna Langell 617 W. 15th</u> |

WE THE CITIZENS OF THE NORTHWEST SECTION PETITION THE MAYOR AND THE COMMON COUNCIL FOR RELIEF IN THE FOLLOWING GRIEVANCES IN THIS AREA. THE HOUSES BETWEEN MAPLE AND FIFTEENTH (15) AND FIFTEENTH (15th) AND JACKSON, NORTH ARE SUBJECT TO CONSTANT AND INEXCUSABLE FLOODING BECAUSE OF POOR DRAINAGE IN THE AREA, DUE TO THE NEGLECT OF THE CITY. THESE PROBLEMS HAVE BEEN CALLED TO THE ATTENTION OF THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT AND THE REDEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT FOR OVER A YEAR. TWO FAMILIES IN THE AREA HAVE GONE TO TWO COURTS SEEKING AN ADJUSTMENT AND HAVE GOTTEN NO SATISFACTION THUS FAR.

WE THE PEOPLE OF THE AREA FEEL THAT THIS IS AN UNJUSTIFIABLE NEGLECT OF THE PEOPLE OF THE AREA, THEREFORE, WE PETITION THE CITY TO MAKE AN IMMEDIATE ADJUSTMENT TO THESE PROBLEMS.

WE FURTHER PETITION THE CITY TO SEE THAT PROPERTY OWNERS OF SEVERAL PROPERTIES IN THE AREA BE INSTRUCTED BY THE CITY TO CLEAN UP THEIR LOTS SINCE THE COLLECTIVE AND CONTINUOUS EXISTENCE OF SUCH DEBRIS IS DAMAGING TO THE WEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELL BEING OF ALL OF THE PEOPLE IN THE AREA.

1. Linda M. Clay 25.
2. Richard James Mays 26.
3. Carol Hedrick 27.
4. Ray Hinde 28.
5. Pauline Hinde 29.
6. Mathew Linn 30.
7. Wallace Hinkle 31.
8. Annelle Sherrell 32.
9. Nergil Sherrell 33.
10. Clara Sherrell 34.
11. Lee Sherrell 35.
12. Michelle Lee Coster 36.
13. Delaine Evans 37.
14. Ed Williams 38.
15. James Leary 39.
16. Gene M. Sula 40.
17. Lisa Hutton 41.
18. Charles Hutton 42.
19. Allen M. Hutton 43.
20. Agnes Hutton 44.
21. Norm Hutton 46.
22. Erma M. Hutton 47.
23. Betty Spencer 48.

WE THE CITIZENS OF THE NORTHWEST SECTION PETITION THE MAYOR AND THE TOWN COUNCIL FOR RELIEF IN THE FOLLOWING GRIEVANCES IN THIS AREA. THE HOUSE BETWEEN MAPLE AND FIFTEENTH (15) AND ^{15th and} JACKSON, NORTH ARE SUBJECT TO CONSTANT AND INEVITABLE FLOODING BECAUSE OF POOR DRAINAGE IN THE AREA, DUE TO THE NEGLIGENCE OF THE CITY. THESE PROBLEMS HAVE BEEN CALLED TO THE ATTENTION OF THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT AND THE REDEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT FOR OVER A YEAR. TWO FAMILIES IN THE AREA HAVE GONE TO TWO COURTS SEEKING AN ADJUSTMENT AND HAVE GOTTEN NO SATISFACTION THUS FAR.

WE THE PEOPLE OF THE AREA FEEL THAT THIS IS AN UNJUSTIFIABLE NEGLIGENCE OF THE PEOPLE OF THE AREA, THEREFORE, WE PETITION THE CITY TO MAKE AN IMMEDIATE ADJUSTMENT TO THESE PROBLEMS.

WE FURTHER PETITION THE CITY TO SEE THAT PROPERTY OWNERS OF SEVERAL PROPERTIES IN THE AREA BE INSTRUCTED BY THE CITY TO CLEAN UP THEIR LOTS SINCE THE COLLECTIVE AND CONTINUOUS EXISTENCE OF SUCH SPOTS IS DAMAGING TO THE HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELL BEING OF ALL OF THE PEOPLE IN THE AREA.

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. <u>Maxine Hutton</u> | 26. <u>Linda Bunch</u> |
| 2. <u>Wes Foster</u> | 27. <u>R.L. Schermerhorn</u> |
| 3. <u>Ray Korte</u> | 28. <u>James R. Lynch</u> |
| 4. <u>Mary Korte</u> | 29. <u>Bryan E. Henry</u> |
| 5. <u>Patricia Sylvestre</u> | 30. <u>Gayle B. Hardy</u> |
| 6. <u>Phillip Sylvestre</u> | 31. <u>Allen Walker</u> |
| 7. <u>Mildred Meadows</u> | 32. <u>Jani Walker</u> |
| 8. <u>Margaret Woodard</u> | 33. <u>Mr. Gha. Pater</u> |
| 9. <u>Wilma Ayson</u> | 34. <u>Mrs. Charles Roper</u> |
| 10. <u>Hester W. Hunter</u> | 35. <u>Mrs. Gladys Grubb</u> |
| 11. <u>Mrs. J. O. Fardue</u> | 36. <u>Lois Grubb</u> |
| 12. <u>Leroy Dickard</u> | 37. <u>Donald Grubb</u> |
| 13. <u>Robert Hunt</u> | 38. <u>Jayne Grubb</u> |
| 14. <u>Mrs. Joe Drake</u> | 39. <u>Sandy Grubb</u> |
| 15. <u>Lynn Hardisty</u> | 40. <u>Myrtle Jackson</u> |
| 16. <u>Alice Warnick</u> | 41. <u>George Tanner</u> |
| 17. <u>Renee Korte</u> | 42. <u>Daniel J. Carroll</u> |
| 18. <u>Jessie Swafford</u> | 43. <u>Wendy E. Giddard</u> |
| 19. <u>Wilma Thornton</u> | 44. <u>Patricia Korte</u> |
| 20. <u>Henry E. Hodge</u> | 45. <u>Renee Korte</u> |
| 21. <u>Jana Hodge</u> | 46. <u>L. Elizabeth Hardisty</u> |
| 22. <u>Robert Lee Yarden</u> | 47. <u>David Hyde</u> |
| 23. <u>Mrs. Robert Lee Yarden</u> | 48. <u>Don Yarden</u> |
| 24. <u>Mrs. Elsie Bunch</u> | 49. <u>Ed Dalton</u> |
| 25. <u>Paul Bunch</u> | 50. <u>Keith Hunt</u> |

PROPOSED COUNCILMANIC DISTRICTS

District I

<u>E.D.</u>	<u>Total Population</u>
7	0
25	1804
26	0
27	200
28	657
29	1053
30	360
31	1116
60	9
76	1277
58	692
57	100
Cascades	902
	<u>8170</u>

District II

<u>E.D.</u>	<u>Total Population</u>
14	7
16	3308
19	840
20	1304
23	246
24	7
27	888
34	620
38B	861
	<u>8081</u>

District III

<u>E.D.</u>	<u>Total Population</u>
11	1664
12	630
14	8
15	1280
15B	1289
18	810
43	1235
45	400
Knightridge	200
Fountain Park	550
	<u>8066</u>

District IV

<u>E.D.</u>	<u>Total Population</u>
13	653
22	4485
45	500
46	40
48	25
49	1162
65	356
68	516
69	252
	<u>7989</u>

District V

<u>E.D.</u>	<u>Total Population</u>
51	640
48	25
49B	105
52	100
53	1500
54	532
55	632
56	622
64	482
65	1426
50	407
59	918
61	95
62	96
63	4
Sherwood Oaks	50
Greenbriar	100
Miller Drive	500
	<u>8234</u>

District VI

<u>E.D.</u>	<u>Total Population</u>
52	547
21	4272
35	274
36	894
47	1504
57	744
	<u>8235</u>

PROPOSED COUNCILMANIC DISTRICTS
(By Precincts)*

District I

VB 2	905
B 2	950
B 3	1452
B 4	500 (Fritz)
Cascades	400
Pl (West)	607
	<u>4814</u>

District II

B 4 (East)	1131
B5	2237
B 13	1580
B 1 (Part)	50
	<u>4998</u>

District III

B 11	1999
B 6	1603
B 14	687
B 16	807
P 11	450
	<u>5546</u>

District IV

B 12	1315
B 15	1253
P 4	1015
P 13	1128
	<u>4711</u>

District V

P 6	1071
P 5	1489
P 12	1686
P 3 (South) +	
P 2 (SE)	1100
	<u>5346</u>

District VI

B 1	1147
B 9	1502
P 2 + P 3	1100 (Remainder)
P 14	944
P 1	304
	<u>4997</u>