

In the Common Council Chambers of the Municipal City Building, held on Thursday, April 24, 1975, with Councilpresident De St. Croix, presiding.

Present: James Ackerman, Richard Behen, Wayne Fix, Flo Davis, Sherwin Mizell, Al Towell, Brian De St. Croix and Charlotte Zietlow.

Absent: Jack Morrison.

There were about 35 others present, including members of the press.

City Officials present: Karel Dolnick, City Clerk; Marvard Clark, Engineering; James Regester, Corporate Counsel; Steve Richardson, Ass't to the Mayor; Tom Crossman, Director of Planning; Dale Martindale, Director Animal Shelter; Rasoul Istrabadi, City Engineer; Larry Owens, City Attorney; Dave Rogers, Attorney for the Utilities Service Board; Walt Sorg, Business Manager for the Utilities Service Board.

Councilpresident De St. Croix began the meeting with a few announcements. He stated that Councilman Morrison has asked the Council's indulgence in that he won't be here due to the unexpected arrival of out-of-town guests. Further changes in the agenda were noted, as Resolution 75-4 was taken off. The reason was given, that before this Resolution was to be presented, the Committee had requested that they receive the affirmative action guidelines from the City, and this had not been done. He continued to summarize the agenda.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Will there be a Council meeting next week?

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Yes. There will be a meeting on May 1st; it is the first Thursday of the month.

Councilwoman Zietlow: The Economic Development Committee of the Council will have a report ready at that time on its findings from the meetings we have been having with various sectors of the economic community, and the Public Hearing a week ago tonight.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: First item on the agenda for discussion tonight is Resolution 75-10. Do we have a motion?

Councilwoman Zietlow: I move that Resolution 75-10 be introduced and read in its entirety. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. It was passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Resolution 75-10 was read in entirety by Karel Dolnick, City Clerk.

REGULAR SESSION
COMMON COUNCIL

ROLL CALL

CITY OFFICIALS PRESENT

AGENDA SUMMATION

MESSAGES FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS

LEGISLATION FOR DISCUSSION/
VOTE (Resolutions & Ordinance
2nd Reading)

Resolution 75-10 Budget Trans.

Councilman Behen moved that Resolution 75-10 be adopted. Councilwoman Davis seconded the motion.

Councilpresident De St. Croix asked Councilman Behen for a summation of the Appropriation Committee report.

Councilman Behen: There was not an area within this request, that we found in disagreement, with the application for these budget transfers, and application for the Jaws of Life Device for the Fire Department. These being the only items under consideration, it was the total agreement of the Committee that the Jaws of Life device be purchased, and the budget transfers approved.

Councilman Ackerman noted there was no explanation offered for the reason of transfer of funds for the Animal Shelter. It just says thank you in advance.

Dale Martindale, Director of the Animal Shelter, speaking from the floor: Due to excessive break-ins at the Shelter, we have had to spend excessive monies on the building itself.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Is that satisfactory? The equipment (line item 72: \$200.00) money was for automobile equipment that is being transferred out of...

Mr. Martindale: The automobile equipment has already been purchased, and we have money left over.

Councilwoman Zietlow: What kind of break-in was that?

Mr. Martindale: Someone has torn the doors off, and continually vandalizing the Shelter...We have \$250.00 deductable insurance on the building. Our bills run from \$75.00 to \$100.00 when they are fixed.

Councilwoman Zietlow: This is for new doors?

Mr. Martindale: New doors, right.

Councilman Ackerman: Does the new fence around the shelter not...

Mr. Martindale: The new fence is adequate for the back side of the Shelter, but also means privacy of the metal doors on the side of the building. We have this problem continually.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Is there a way to prevent that?

Mr. Martindale: Not that I know of...

Councilwoman Zietlow: Why do people continue to break in?

Mr. Martindale: It looks like they hooked a truck onto metal doors on the outside of the building, and jerked them off the building.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Do you have some continuous person that is checking on the building?

Mr. Martindale: We have the police checking, but no one can be there 24 hours a day. This one particular instance, they called me at one o'clock in the morning...they had torn out the building and the police were inside when they called me.

Councilwoman Zietlow: So I assume there will be other transfers?

Mr. Martindale: I think this will handle it with what I know at the present time. We never know when they are going to break in...

Councilwoman Zietlow: Yes, that is what I mean.

Councilman Behen: Relevant to Resolution 75-10, pertinent to the permanent Cumulative Capital Improvement funds, my Appropriation Committee has not had this information in its hands. Consequently, as head of that Committee, I can only recommend that this be tabled in view of the fact that an Appropriation Ordinance should be forthcoming before an amount of this size would even be considered to be passed by the Council. I move that this section be deleted. Councilman Ackerman seconded the motion.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: I think we ought to have some more discussion on this. This section was inserted in the budget transfer resolution after the Appropriation Committee had considered it.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Yes. I have the first form of Resolution 75-10, and there is nothing in here about it. Did you have a second on that?

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Yes. Towards the payment of funds for a parcel of land on the north side of the City that has been in the process of moving towards litigation, I asked a member of the Council staff, Russ Bridenbaugh, to look into the process that would be required for the Council to act on such a purchase acquisition. Mr. Bridenbaugh, could you perhaps quickly run by for the Council the Attorney General's opinion, as well as the State Board of Accounts finding on that process?

Russ Bridenbaugh: That has to be submitted either in the form of a Resolution or an Ordinance.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: The entire thing?

Mr. Bridenbough: Yes.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Are you familiar with the Attorney General's opinion? (I know Maggie Shaffer had done the original research on this.) I believe she indicated that in 1968...Perhaps you could get her for a minute, would you, just so that people understand?

Councilwoman Zietlow: This is a transfer to make the total \$75,000.00 needed for the purchase of the land?

Councilpresident De St. Croix: I believe this is intended to go toward it.

Councilman Behen: While we are waiting, could I ask a question, not of you sir, but of anyone who would want to answer it? Now how could a thing like this, a Resolution, an Ordinance, or either one, be totally changed? I don't have a copy of it to bear me out...

Councilpresident De St. Croix: It is attached to the back of the agenda.

Councilman Behen: We could conceivably have passed Resolution 75-10 with it being attached to the back of the agenda?

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Resolution 75-10 was originally passed out to the Council members with the Animal Shelter Transfer and the Engineering Community Development Transfer. It was a request that we include the Cumulative Capital Improvement Funds. It was put on in order that the Council could determine whether or not they wanted to approve that without having gone through the Committee process or what...

Councilwoman Zietlow: Mr. President, I call the question.

The motion to delete the Cumulative Capital Improvement Funds section of Resolution 75-10 was passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES: 8, NAYS 0.

Councilwoman Zietlow: I move that Resolution 75-10 be approved as amended. Councilman Ackerman seconded the motion. The motion passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 8, NAYS 0.

(It was decided by the Council to continue their business without input from Legal Aide Maggie Shaffer on the land purchase section.)

Councilman Behen: I believe that Maggie Shaffer did give us a chance to, although we did not hear the results of her research, in order that the Council might consider the transfer of funds for the purchase of real property. The Council would like, in terms of the Appropriation Committee, if I may state what I thought was the sense of the Committee, to have the Resolution dealt with at the same time as any such Ordinance, authorizing any such purchase or appropriation of funds. I want a second reading.

Councilwoman Zietlow moved that Resolution 75-9 be introduced and read by the Clerk in its entirety. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Resolution 75-9 HUD

Resolution 75-9 was introduced and read by Karel Dolnick, City Clerk in its entirety.

Councilwoman Zietlow moved that Resolution 75-9 be adopted. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

Councilman Towell, Chairman of Planning and Community Development, gave a report. Yes, we discussed this last Tuesday. The following report is submitted. These are significant long range interests to the City. They would accumulate a housing inventory, and a transportation study framework for evaluating future traffic systems. The Committee was able to assure the Planning Department of the computing support of the University for these studies. The name of the game is to keep the proposals before the State, but besides that, we believe the studies are worthwhile.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Could we call upon Mr. Crossman for a brief description of those three plans.

Tom Crossman, Director of Planning: The studies as outlined in the Resolution are essentially a three year program starting in the fiscal year starting July 1976. Unfortunately, the state and federal government don't operate on the same financial basis as the City does. But this is for the year starting July 1975, fiscal 1976. The three key elements in the study are the transportation element, which is the projections of traffic throughout the community on our system based on anticipated or projected changes in land use, and the capability to be able to determine what sort of effect additional changes in population or additional changes in land use in any particular portion of the community would have on any particular portion of the transportation system. As you know, for the last two years, we have been for all practical purposes, developing the basic data, the existing conditions of thoroughfares, the existing conditions of traffic throughout the community. This element is a proposal to allow us to move into the proposal phase and be able to develop some predictive capabilities. The second key element is one that relates primarily to citizen participation. We have throughout the community, a large amount of citizen organizations, representing the neighborhoods, representing the community as a whole. Most of these have some reasonable idea of the area that they consider their area of concern. We would like to find areas where there are voids, try to determine whether there is a system to help fill these voids, and to really get more systematic in our approach to needs, in contact and coordination with the citizens of the City of Bloomington. The Planning Commission is in the process at the present time, of establishing a Committee system and discussing the potential uses for which they would like to have a data system work. We hope that negotiations with the Council, and with other Boards and Commissions could determine the same sorts of information within, and we'd like to try to establish a means for developing an inventory bank and dev-

elop programs and methodology for the use of such information. It is one thing to accumulate it in file cabinets. It is quite another thing to have it readily available so that you can readily recall and use it. And that is essentially the gist of the programs we are proposing. We hope that we can get some state funding or federal funding through the state to help us out with it.

Councilman Towell: Well, I found one point misleading in reading the proposal. Maybe the Council did also. What they call Part Two, Community Participation Citizen Program, is not what we have been calling Citizen Participation in the Workable Program sense. It is simply an attempt to tailor the information of the studies toward Citizen's groups, so you can break out information on that basis if you want to...It is simply a quoting and information retrieving item. It is not a matter of particular citizens or an organization for getting citizen input.

Councilman Mizell: I would simply like to add that for the first year and a half, or two years of this current Council's administration, the Planning Commission, as the Council was, was involved in zoning matters, discussing and finally adopting a new Zoning Ordinance, and the accompanying maps. All of us wished to get on with the business of planning which was held up until we did have the Zoning Ordinance. Since the Planning Commission passed the Zoning Ordinance and recommended it to the Council for action, I have been involved in gathering data base for the continuing problem of planning. And of course part of the comprehensive plan for a community growth and development includes a thoroughfare plan, includes housing inventories, and has to include a data base from which we can draw. These are the three elements which are included in this proposal. I might say that the expense to the City, is the time of professionals on the Planning staff right now. It's in-time services. There are no dollars that are proposed to be expended, but it is in-time services to the extent of \$14,000.00

Mr. Crossman: I really wanted to amplify what Councilman Towell mentioned in the Citizen Participation Program. I would not want anybody to be under the misapprehension that what we are trying to do is to set up our own individual Citizen Organization. This is the farthest thing from the truth. We are simply trying to define those areas that those groups that exist, consider to be their area of concern, and to find out if the rather significant areas in the community have nobody or no organization that is concerned with their particular portion of the community. I think that there is within the City of Bloomington already existing functioning organizations and that framework should continue to exist.

Councilman Ackerman: On the first page, on the first part, it speaks of thirty thousand parcels of land in the City of Bloomington, and Monroe County. Is this study going to go beyond Bloomington? If so, is Monroe County going to participate financially in this project?

Mr. Crossman: Of course we have no authority to go outside of the City limits, of the thirty parcels we initiated. If you recall, the study was done in conjunction with the County, and on a countywide basis on the basic premise that the impact of our Housing environment and our residential environment does not stop at the City limits. A crude estimate of the number of parcels that would be involved, City and countywide, is thirty thousand. We already have basic information on that many parcels. If there is no willingness or no intent on the part of the County to take part in this, we can certainly limit it to our own planning jurisdiction. We felt it was better to make a proposal for the maximum potential, and cut it back than it would be to try and build it up later.

Councilman Ackerman: Has the County been contacted and indicated their willingness?

Mr. Crossman: Not at the present time.

Councilman Mizell: I might say that the County has liason to the City Planning Commission, and through their liason, the Planning Commission should be aware of what the City Planning Commission is planning on doing. If they desire, we would be happy to have their cooperation on this program. It would be alot simpler to do it all at once rather than segregate out the City parcels from the County parcels.

Mr. Crossman: I think as futher amplication, Councilman Ackerman, if we had felt that this was going to take additional staff time, or additional money that we would have to be requesting of the Council or of some other body than certainly we would approach the County for additional funding. Inasmuch as what we are really proposing is totally in-kind services and services of staff members that are already present, it did not appear to be crucial for the application stage at least.

Councilman Ackerman: If the study were restricted only to the City, what would be lowered cost. Would the cost of the whole project be exactly the same?

Mr. Crossman: No, I think the only reduction would be in the first phase of the data system, which estimates total time in the project for the information source is at approximately \$7,229 and it would probably cost two-thirds of that. So in effect, we may cut \$2,000.00 from the total budget. It would not be particularly significant.

Councilman Behen: Relevant to your question Councilman Ackerman, if as Mr. Crossman phrased it, he goes under the City's jurisdiction, so that would include the two-mile fringe. I can't envision the County being all that cooperative in a financial way to conduct a survey on what is County land versus City land. So I don't know whether that adds any insight into your thinking or not...

Councilman Mizell: It is a two-third state, and one third local match.

Councilwoman Zietlow: What is the total? Is \$42,000.00 the total, or is it the total we are applying for?

Councilman Mizell: The total.

Mr. Crossman: \$42,000.00 is the total cost of the program. We would be applying for 2/3 of that, and we would be providing 1/3 of it in services.

Councilman Mizell: The application would be for \$28,000.00 plus our cost, would be \$14,000.00 for a total of \$42,000.00.

Councilwoman Zietlow: I added up the totals of the application subcategories, and I got \$37,000.00. How did that happen?

Councilpresident De St. Croix: While you are checking your addition, we had another question here.

Councilman Towell: Mine is not a question. I would like to point out that you have to have the same programs and the same kind of administration for all of these studies, no matter how many parcels you are dealing with, or how many groups or whatever. So it would be just the data that would be encompassing more, if you do the entire County. That means it is a very small part of what you are trying to do. Instead of thirty parcels, you might have fifteen thousand or something, or seventeen thousand. But you have to do the same work of administration for that many.

Mr. Crossman: In answer to your question, Councilwoman Zietlow, the program totals that add up there are total costs of manpower and personnel sources. There are reproduction and other costs than manpower that bring the cost up to approximately the \$42,000.00.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Because it said total program costs for each part, I assumed it was total program costs.

Mr. Crossman: It is total manpower costs.

Councilman Ackerman: Three more questions now. One is that you mentioned you would like to accumulate this data now, and later on we'd find out what uses we could make of the data we have gotten. Could you give me some ideas of what kind of practical uses this could be? For instance, I notice the Assessor's office is participating in this. Would there be a way of standardizing assessment procedure, or something?

Mr. Crossman: Well, I think we listed the Assessor's office as a possible source. I think what we have to do really, (working with the Council and Planning Commission) is to determine what the objectives that these bodies see as the use of the data system is, before we determine specifically what we need. We have a great deal of information on a parcel basis, and we could put it in a data bank. But before we start developing programs, and before we start getting it down to a form where we can use it, we really need to know what we want in the way of output. There is of course, additional information, that we would like to put in it, but the purpose is

not to develop an informal inventory. A great deal of information is already available through our office, and the Assessor's office, and depending on what the objectives of the program are, the sources of information may vary. The reason we listed the Assessor's office as prime information, is it is obviously one source that has some information on all the parcels within the City and the County, and we hope we can make it available to us.

Councilman Ackerman: I'm not sure my question about what uses this study would be for... You say we want to get output...

Mr. Crossman: I think, reading carefully the first phase of the program, the first thing we want to determine is what we want to do with it. That is part one of the program.

Councilman Ackerman: Oh, I see. So, we do not know... I see...

Councilman Towell: We already have some illustrations of the use of the data in the considerations of the Community Development Revenue Sharing. We came out with numbers of houses in certain conditions.

Councilman Ackerman: You know I'd like a list of...

Councilman Towell: A map of where these areas were accumulated in certain areas to show the grossness of the problem in those areas was done. That gave us some idea of where the problems were in the City, an objective idea, rather than intuition about it. So, that is just one illustration. That could be broken out of data if they were computerized very easily.

Councilman Mizell: Yes, I'd like to expand on that. That basically is what this approach is all about. Some of this information is already available, but is not easily used by people. If this information was right now stored in a computer memory bank, all you'd have to do is use the appropriate call signals and you'd get that information out printed out for you, as to what is the various condition of the different houses through the City and so on. Also you could get an idea as to what type of waterline service is available in each area. Going into each parcel, you code it, the size of the waterline, the main trunk line, the location of the closest fire hydrant, what sort of sewer service there is, the diameter of the trunk lines, where the nearest fire protection is, where the schools are, what grades, capacity of the schools, (any number of things you could put in). If it is coded properly, that is why the most important part will be in developing this program. That information will be available throughout the community now with this computer; it will be at anybody's fingertips. We speak of community development economically. If an industry were interested in the community, and wants to get a cross section of the community, wants the characteristics of the community and so on, this will

be available almost immediately.

Councilman Ackerman: Two final questions. I think Al (Towell) may have mentioned one. How and where is it going to be stored? What personnel are going to be needed and made available to interpret the data? Is this a Towell resolution?

Councilman Towell: Well, I have a better idea than anyone else. I did approach the computing office of the University about computing support for this. They are anxious to be of service to the elements of government and the school system and so on. So, as a general policy, they are willing to support things like that. They don't want to redo our payroll, or waterbills, or do things like that, but they are willing to assist in planning and studies. So, there is a system called System 2,000 on the Seventeenth Street computer of the University which is not being used at its full capacity by any means, which could easily do all the things we are talking about. The main job is deciding what uses we are going to put this to, and then coding that way, deciding which fields we want to run against other fields. Does that make sense? Once you decide what possible uses of the data are, and plan for all of them, then you simply enter the data with these fields in the report for each parcel. Then as the need comes, you can request that there be a report in terms of the things you are most interested in...So there will be a general purpose program available commercially. The University has purchased one, and made it available to us. There are other ways to do this too, but thinking of this program, I could give you this type of explanation. We could store it on tape or cards. It is all the same to the computer as to what form we store it in.

Councilman Ackerman: So we are not going to need a computer specialist for the City or anything like that?

Councilman Towell: I don't think so. Giving commands to a program like the one I'm talking about is not programming.

Councilman Mizell: It is not programming; it is simply learning to address a particular program. Let me try and anticipate a question from Councilman Ackerman. I just wanted to say that this does not indicate at all that we have an idea of buying a computer for the City in order to run this through. All you have to do is pick up a telephone and you can get a computer.

Councilwoman Zietlow: And then you can get someone to answer it.

Councilman Mizell: You can carry it under your arm.

Councilwoman Zietlow: So, basically this will help with the zoning, planning determinations of the Planning Commission. But it will not deal with intermediate things such as the Traffic Commission handles.

Councilman Mizell: Oh yes, this is not just for...

Councilwoman Zietlow: O.K., then for No Parking Zones, and things like that?

Councilman Mizell: That is right.

Councilwoman Zietlow: You find a practical application right away.

Councilman Mizell: One of the first ones of course, will be to make available the information we have accumulated on a thoroughfare plan, not only to the Planning Commission, but to the Traffic Commission, and the City Council...It will have all that information, all we have to do is ask for it.

Councilwoman Zietlow: It will be useful in generating capital improvements programs?

Councilman Mizell: Yes.

Councilman Towell: When I was describing the processing of data I was talking about the first two parts. The third part is quite different. It allows you to ask a question, I presume, like, if we increase the capacity of a certain road, how will this affect other amounts of traffic on other roads. In other words, it's a networking system that allows you to assign values to streets, hopefully that are approximately right, and vary them with improvements, and see how it will work out. So it is quite a different study from the first one.

Councilman Mizell: Let me add this one thing. All of us are concerned with the traffic that might generate from the new shopping center development on the West Side. When the Planning Commission received the report from the consultant who was accumulating the information and developing the basic pattern for traffic flow studies, the question I was asked was this: Can we in fact ask the computer to determine, if we get this information from the developer, which you recall was available to us, as to the patterns we might expect traffic to be heading towards the new development, the areas of the City it would come from, and so on? We could then assign these to the various existing roads, and come up with a report as to whether or not the capacity of the roads would be exceeded. If so, we could then propose the development of new roads, and then ask the computer to assign the additional capacity to these roads, and in turn relay the information to us as to whether or not a road in this location (a new proposed road) would handle the road congestion, which would be developed. You could do that in simulation studies, and determine whether or not that is the proper location for a road way before you spend a dollar on it for right-of-way acquisition. You would know exactly where to place that road. That is one of the beauties of this type of program.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Are we about through with discussion of this?

Councilman Towell: Well, I want to get a disclaimer in. The computer naturally is not going to do any better than our assumptions of how we think it works, how these things interrelate. So we are going to have to understand these problems well, before we ask the computer to carry out our ideas. So as a computer professional I have to put in that disclaimer.

Councilman Fix: Yes, I would like to see the ideas on the possible uses for this to come before the Council as a whole.

Mr. Crossman: Well, I think we really ought to go a step further than that, because while the Planning Commission at this present time is envisioning potential uses that they would like to see of the system, I would hope at the same time, that the Council would envision those uses that the Council would like to see. I think that we are taking on a package that is presented, we are asking the state for support for a fairly limited sort of system. It's nothing that is particularly grandiose, nor as far as computers is concerned, a particularly expensive system. But I hope that it's an expandable sort of thing, and that other City departments and other information can utilize the same basic system once it gets developed. The input from the Council is not only welcome, but we certainly solicit it.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Just one final question about the possible uses. Councilman Mizell, does the Planning Commission intend to develop a new master plan, one that is going to be really adequately based?

Councilman Mizell: I'm not sure I understand.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Earlier before the report on 75-13, I was reminded that we do have a master plan which in the past we felt was O.K., except that it isn't based on much data at all. I wonder if the Planning Commission is planning to develop a real master plan which is going to be working hand in hand with capital improvements.

Councilman Mizell: Let me say that I believe that the Council was in agreement several years back that what we had was not really a comprehensive plan, but rather a sketch plan which had to be filled in. Part of that was a sorely needed zoning ordinance, one which would replace the one that had been in effect for over twenty years. In addition to that, a comprehensive plan includes a thoroughfare plan. It includes housing inventories, a sign ordinance, capital improvements programming, this type of thing. Yes, if you include this in your designation of a comprehensive plan, we're working towards that. This will be a vital element for the formation of that comprehensive plan.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Good. Thank you.

Councilpresident De St. Croix called for further discussion, then the vote.

The motion to adopt Resolution 75-9 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 8, NAYS 0.

Councilwoman Zietlow moved that Ordinance 75-13

Ordinance 75-13 Zoning

be introduced and read by the Clerk in its entirety. Councilman Ackerman seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Councilwoman Zietlow moved that Ordinance 75-13 be adopted. Councilman Mizell seconded the motion.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Is there discussion? I believe we have a Committee report on this. Councilman Towell is chairman of the Planning & Community Development Committee of the Council.

Councilman Towell: I've delegated the authority to Councilman Mizell.

Councilman Mizell: Let me point out to you that there is a map accompanying the Ordinance, and it would be helpful in identifying the location of the site. If you take a look at the map entitled Existing Zoning, you'll notice that it's on South 37, approximately due west of the South Gate Shopping Center. It is also located adjacent to property we rezoned M.L. not too many weeks ago. In fact at the time it was brought before the Planning Commission, a remark was made that had the two properties shared common ownership, we would have recommended rezoning both at the same time. This was brought before the Plan Commission. It was in fact supported unanimously. We discussed this at the Community Development subcommittee meeting and noted (as you see in the report) that this is adjacent to property which is currently zoned M.L.. The Community Development subcommittee endorses the Planning Commission's recommendation, and recommends that this zoning be approved.

Councilwoman Zietlow: The previous zoning was S.C. I take it from the note here that the feeling was that that was an error?

Councilman Mizell: No, I'm sorry. The current zoning of the property under question is arterial business, and the back portion of the land is in a S.C. zone. The S.C. zone will not be changed.

Councilwoman Zietlow: O.K. Thank you very much.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Has the position of the Planning Commission been stated adequately? (addressing Mr. Crossman)

Mr. Crossman: I would have nothing to add to Mr. Mizell's statement. I think we have covered every base possible.

The motion to adopt Ordinance 75-13 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 8, NAYS 0.

Councilwoman Zietlow moved that Resolution 75-12 be introduced and read in its entirety. Councilwoman Davis seconded the motion. The motion was passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Resolution 75-12 Child Care
Task Force

Karel Dolnick, City Clerk, read Resolution 75-12 in its entirety.

Councilwoman Zietlow moved that Resolution 75-12 be adopted. Councilman Mizell seconded the motion.

Councilman Behen: I guess I'll have to be cleared on some matters of procedure that I am really confused on. We have moved on Resolution 75-9 in allocating \$30,000.00. We're now talking about 75-12 resolution quoting \$35,000.00. I have reservations about the acquirement of properties without any appropriate action from the Appropriations Committee. What gives? I mean do we get some things passed by the Appropriation Committee, and other things don't have to clear it? I'm really confused on this point.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: The monies referred to here are a portion of the Community Development Revenue Sharing grant monies that were adopted by the Council in the last marathon session we had where we discussed the recommendations of the Workable Program Committee. I believe that you, as Chairman of the Appropriations Committee, indicated that this was such a complex matter that the Appropriation Committee felt we should deal with it as a committee of the whole. We do have a report on this Resolution for this Task Force which is created under the Ordinance which created the Department of Human Resources by the Community Resources Committee, right? So, it's not an attempt to float anything through that hasn't been offered to the Appropriations Committee or considered by the committee of the whole of the Council.

Councilman Behen: Then the only other observation that I would like to make is that it quotes several Public Hearings here, that this Task Force will only be in effect for six months?

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Yes, and it can be extended for three months.

Councilman Behen: Is that from the time of the Public Hearing or from the time we're talking of as its passage of the resolution?

Councilpresident De St. Croix: From the time of appointment.

Steve Richardson, Assistant to the Mayor: From the time of the appointment. That is in accord with the design in Section 10 of the Human Resources Ordinance.

Councilman Behen: After Public Hearings?

Steve Richardson: It is set down, so once the Resolution is passed tonight, if it is passed tonight, and the Resolution is signed by the President of the Council, signed tomorrow by the Mayor, then from that day of the official signing, then the Task Force will have six months, or from their impanelment.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: I would imagine it would be from their appointment and impanelment, because theoretically a Task Force does not exist until its membership is approved. He then called for the Committee report.

Councilman Ackerman: All right, the Committee report is on everyone's desk. It was done today, and it's an informal Committee report. I did discuss this with our Committee. This does not represent necessarily the discussion. This Task Force proposal is a response to the need for getting organized that has been thrust upon us by our allocation of \$35,000.00 for Child Care. Whether this will be put in a Voucher System, or the exact means of administering and disbursing these funds is yet to be determined. It's deemed necessary to have a Task Force look into this so that when the funds become available to us in July or August, that we will be ready to go the coming academic year, and know what we are doing with those \$35,000.00. Furthermore, as Steve Richardson has pointed out, there is now a bill in Congress (U.S. Congress) which, if it's passed, could mean almost one million dollars annually. Is that correct Steve (Richardson)? In the funds for Child Care...Therefore the second purpose of the Task Force would be to make long range suggestions regarding the administration of Child Care funds through City Government. The Task Force is not being asked to examine the Child Care needs in this Community, but it's mainly to focus in on the problems of administering the funds that will come into the City.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: You are asking them to come up with a delivery system?

Councilman Ackerman: Yes.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: O.K.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Just to clarify one thing. That million dollars, or billion dollars, or whatever it is that is going to be passed by the Congress is not going to be for Bloomington, right?

Councilman Ackerman: I thought it broke down into something like...

Councilwoman Zietlow: A million dollars for Bloomington...

Councilpresident De St. Croix called upon Steve Richardson at this time to comment.

Steve Richardson: If we were as successful in that, as we were in the Community Development, it could mean that much money.

Councilwoman Zietlow: You mean it would be based on past performance?

Steve Richardson: No.

Councilwoman Zietlow: O.K.

Steve Richardson: The amount of money that is allocated under the Congressional plan bill, Senate Bill number 37-54, is an amount equal to the amount presently given to housing for the Community Development Act of 1974. And because of the peculiar needs of the community, with the large number of people needing day care service because of the University, and because of other work responsibilities, we feel that may be a very reasonable estimate.

Councilman Ackerman: Let me just say quickly, that our Committee is gathering names, the Council now has four appointments to this Task Force, if this Resolution is passed. If you know of people who would be interested and like to serve on this, please get their names to me. This would also be an invitation to the Community, to phone in for people who are interested in serving on this Task Force.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: If any members of the Community wish to call in they can call the City Council office at the City switchboard number. That is 339-2261, extension 229, or perhaps call Councilman Ackerman personally.

The motion to adopt Resolution 75-12 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 8, NAYS 0.

Councilwoman Zietlow moved that Resolution 75-11 be introduced and read by the Clerk in its entirety. Councilwoman Davis seconded the motion. It was passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Resolution 75-11
Rail Passenger Service

Councilwoman Zietlow moved that Resolution 75-11 be adopted. Councilman Mizell seconded the motion.

Councilpresident De St. Croix called upon Councilwoman Davis for a Utilities/Public Facilities Committee report for the Council.

Councilwoman Davis: I'm sorry. There is no report. Our Committee has been meeting by telephone recently. We felt that it would be a good service to Bloomington since one of the Community's seeming needs is a means of transportation to and from...I am not sure, I think one of our trains goes between Chicago and Louisville. Do both go between Chicago and Cincinnati?

CouncilmanTowell: Both go between Chicago and Louisville.

Councilwoman Davis: No, one goes to Cincinnati now.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Amtrack?

Councilwoman Davis: What has happened was they had a problem with derailment, and it's been rerouted through Bloomington. It didn't go through Bloomington before at all. It was at one something a.m., the one between Chicago and Cincy, and the two or three o'clock in the morning one was the Chicago and Louisville train, but at any rate, they both passed through Bloomington going south. Russ (Bridenbaugh), do you know, are they both going through to Cincy, or does one go to Louisville?

Russ Bridenbaugh: That is not clear.

Councilwoman Davis: O.K., it wasn't clear to me either. At any rate, it would be up to Amtrack to work out where and how they would do this, but we would urge the passage of this Resolution.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Did one of the representatives of Amtrack request this Resolution?

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Perhaps I could respond to that. A citizen in the community, Mr. Brit Richards, who has a passenger service, and has been working as a consultant to Amtrack nationally, has been circulating petitions in the community asking that the trains that were coming here, could also stop here. I indicated to him that I would be more than willing to pass that down to the appropriate

Committee of the Council. He requested that the Council act on it as soon as possible in order to get it in before Amtrack's decision, as to the riding of these particular two trains, and also to make any kind of provisions they have to for the loading and unloading of passengers in this community. I think that this is consistent with the Council's attempts in the past to offer alternatives to private vehicular transportation for the citizens of this community. That's how this thing has come about.

Councilwoman Zietlow: Maybe this is a premature question, but I wonder if this is a real possibility.

Councilman Towell: Well, the train used to go through Indianapolis, and because the tracks were so bad they shifted it to take the old Monon tracks that go through Bloomington and Lafayette, on the way to Chicago, and those are the two stops that are proposed. There are petitions in Lafayette as well. These seem well enough spaced that it would not be a great burden on the train to stop twice. They are in the middle of the night, that's true, but they are nicer trains than the one we had in the daytime. The old train was one or two cars, and this one is a seventeen car train with coaches and a sleeper and a bar car, so you could enjoy yourself in whatever fashion you wished as you continued up the trail. But we have the Penn Central to thank for the present service, or most service.

Councilman Mizell: Am I given to understand that these are both southbound trains? There is not one north and one south bound?

Councilman Towell: My information is that there is one north and one south. They do all go on the Monon tracks from Chicago to Louisville. Where the change is, there may be a transfer to Cincinnati, or there may be a track from Cincinnati to Louisville.

Councilman Mizell: I would hope that we could encourage them to make this a flag stop. I remember not too long ago, before the Monon removed its passenger car service, I made a special trip to allow my children to ride on a train before it became outmoded. I think it would be great if we could get it back here. There are a lot of children here who have never had the opportunity to ride on a train.

Councilwoman Davis: I personally know several people who have either gone to Indianapolis to get on the train when it was stopping there at one thirty a.m. and I know one family that went to Louisville, and at that time it was arriving at something like two thirty a.m., for the same reason that you said...because they wanted their children to have an opportunity to ride on a train. It was easier to take the train going south than drive, and I believe it does come back north, I'm sure. I don't know the times though.

Councilman Mizell: I'd like to believe that once having gone from Bloomington, there is a mechanism for getting back to Bloomington.

Councilwoman Davis: Yes. Yes, I assure you. It goes both ways.

The motion to adopt Resolution 75-11 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 8, NAYS 0.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Next item on the agenda is the review of the two contracts. The first are the Black and Veatch contracts, and the second is phase two sewer study evaluation contracts. Do we have a Committee report on this?

Black & Veatch Contracts

Councilwoman Davis: On advice of counsel after rereading our Ordinance, it seemed that both these items should be brought before the Council as a whole. Again, we had a phone meeting, and decided that we would let the Council as a whole look at these contracts.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Fine. Mr. Russ Bridenbaugh (Council staff), has been asked to do some work on looking up the contracts for us. We'll also be hearing from him (Bridenbaugh), so in case we have any questions we can turn to him. We'd also like to have representatives from the Utilities Board, or their attorneys explain this to us. Could we do that first? This is Mr. David Rogers, attorney for the Utilities Service Board.

David Rogers: There are two proposed Black and Veatch contracts, the first of which had been carried out under an open end of a prior consultation contract with Black & Veatch, but which the Board terms should be reduced to an individual contract. That is to carry out the required review of the operation of both the water and the sewer utility annually, and make a report that is available not only, of course, to the utility operators and the Council, and the City at large, but perhaps more specifically to the bond holders, it is required. It is a flat rate contract. It is very similar, of course, to a contract that you would enter with an audit firm. The expectation is that the report analyzing the financial status of the utilities, as well as the operation of pluses and minuses would be both useful to the City and at least to our requirements under our bond indentures. The second Black and Veatch contract is one that is required by our Ordinance, in order to be in compliance with the EPA, for the study recommendations for the north sewage districts. In entering into this contract, all the experience, at least that this administration has had, in consulting firms has been brought to bear. Suggestions on what might be included to protect the City have been included in this form from the Bloomington Utility Board. The proposed contracts in at least two drafts have

been available of course, to the Council members as a Committee for a period of several weeks. It includes from the new items we have not had in our prior contracts, including the scale at which work would be charged under piecework, so that we would know how much a draftsman, how much a junior engineer, and so much is expected to draw. It includes also a termination clause so that either party could terminate, but with a clarification of the ownership of the work in progress at that time. The third contract is for the second phase.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Why don't we hold on that one, and deal with just the two Black & Veatch contracts together first. Would that be appropriate? He then called for questions from the Council members regarding the contracts. Council members feel satisfied with these two?

Councilwoman Zietlow: I did have a question about Section B, the infiltration analysis. That will be covered by the other contract, isn't that true?

Mr. Rogers: Yes certainly, outside, we do not have for instance outside auditors, other than the State Board of Accounts. This is the sole outside evaluation we have. You can ask whether Black & Veatch is outside enough but it is certainly more outside than anything else that we could come up with that would enable us to have something useful and would also meet the indenture contract.

Councilman Fix: What is the estimated cost on the contract two?

Mr. Rogers: It's not even estimated. It's a firm contract. It's \$10,000.00 per year, per utility.

Councilwoman Zietlow: I thought that was the first one.

Mr. Rogers: I thought that was the one you were asking me about.

Councilman Fix: No, contract two.

Councilman Mizell: That is a rather peculiar way of arriving at the cost, isn't it?

Mr. Rogers: Yes, it is based on the amount of work that is put into it. There is no question about that. The estimated cost as I understand it, is \$30,000.00. Now here is an explanation for the basis for providing on the cost plus basis. We have budgeted for this year \$30,000.00 for the north studies, although we expect to take more than one year. But probably at least half the work will be done this year.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Perhaps by way of explanation for everybody since we have only one copy of the letter here, I'll just explain, it's from Black & Veatch con-

sultants. It's to the Director of City Utilities, Gary Kent. (Complete text of the letter included at the end of the minutes.)

Mr. Rogers: The expected cost, as I say, of the North Field Study, as we have targeted, with Black & Veatch, is \$60,000.00. Of that amount, we assume 85% will be reimbursed. As a matter of fact, the contract contemplates payment on approval of the grants. The cost per utility itself should be on the order of \$10,000.00.

Councilman Fix: The only thing I see in here that I don't think is possible for us to do ourselves is the system of Public Meetings. If we did this, would we be eligible for the 85%?

Mr. Rogers: I'll put it this way. I don't know. We have looked into what would happen if we beefed up our engineering department, hired several engineers to do much of the work, would we be able to get it? Apparently you are taking a dreadful risk at this point. The system contemplates the use of outside engineers for this sort of thing.

Councilman Mizell: I'm not sure I completely understand what you are saying. Because of the nature of the services performed, which I gather Black & Veatch feels is outside the scope of their normal activities?

Mr. Rogers: No, no. The Board feels that everything in the future that we assign to any firm should have a separate contract. Through our past relationship to Black & Veatch, both with the prior administration, and this administration, studies such as this have been assigned to them under an existing contract, that included their willingness to perform specified jobs at the twice payroll plus 25%. But the Board felt (not just in view of the Ordinance, but that it would be better policy) that rather than using this prior system, each contract should be entered into separately.

Councilman Mizell: I agree with that. I think it's a fine idea and should be followed. My question was, on contract one, I gather it has been described as a sort of auditing.

Mr. Rogers: It is an operational report, and a money audit report.

Councilman Mizell: Would it be a little better if we had professional auditors, other than the State Board of Accounts come in rather than Black & Veatch, which is an engineering firm? Would our bond holders be happier?

Mr. Rogers: The bond indenture calls for the study that includes the engineering firm. As to the appropriateness of having a CPA firm, let's say as an auditor, this has been looked into by the Board. All governmental units have to be mighty careful in their uses of EPA funds. The State Board of Accounts is very jealous in the way in which this is handled. CPA firms are appropriate in connection with analysis for bond indentures capital, but to hire a CPA firm that would in any way duplicate work of the State Board of Accounts is frowned upon.

Mr. Wilson: They are not great big contracts. It could be much more efficiently handled by Black & Veatch.

Councilman Fix: I would like to request (now I don't know your paperwork between Black & Veatch) that on each section of contract two, if you could break down the cost separately from the other sections.

Mr. Rogers: The cost is separate.

Councilman Fix: The time that they spend on system study will be separate from...

Mr. Rogers: Yes. The billing is made monthly, even if not paid monthly, and it specifies what the work is for.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Would it be possible for the Council to receive a regular sort of notification of those sorts of costs? I think that it^{is} an important question.

Mr. Rogers: I think that can be done through Flo (Davis).

Councilwoman Davis: I just received a two month listing of all contracts billing in this week's mail.

Councilman Fix: I particularly would like to know how much money the charges are for A. on the system, because I feel that they already have that data, for example.

Mr. Rogers: Let me say this on your annual study. There is an attempt made by the Board and Black & Veatch, to have them concentrate in a different area of operations each year. To take a closer look, say, at the pipes this year, rather than the plan. So, it is not a completely superficial document of duplication, and we get our \$10,000.00 worth each year.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Thank you. Further discussion?

Councilman Fix: You have \$30,000.00 budgeted?

Mr. Rogers: \$30,000.00 this year. We expect the total cost to be \$60,000.00.

Councilman Fix: What is the possibility of renewing the contract after the money that you budgeted has been used up? In other words, make the contract for the amount of money you have budgeted, and then renew the contract.

Mr. Rogers: I doubt if there is any possibility of that, We just don't make contracts on an internal budget basis.

Councilman Fix: In other words, what you are saying is, that Black & Veatch is going to commit us to \$45,000.00 the first year.

Mr. Rogers: If you're going to have (as in this study) a cost plus thing, you budget your best estimate. As I say, 85% of it will be reimbursed. But unless you are going to have a firm contract, it is very difficult to do that, to meet E.P.A. standards, because it just has to be what has to be done for the E.P.A. That work ceases if you run out of the budget, until you negotiate a new contract you couldn't do it.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Mr. Russ Bridenbaugh, would you please report to the Council on your research? I'd requested Mr. Bridenbaugh to look into those contracts from their legal perspective, and also in terms of how they related to the Council's amendments to the U.S.B. Ordinance, to determine whether or not they are consistent with the Council's request specifically in the past.

Mr. Bridenbaugh: Well, to be very brief, both contracts, I think are well drawn. Both comply with the amendments to the Utility Service Board Ordinance.

Councilman Mizell: I'm sorry, but I don't think I heard the answer to Councilman Fix's question about section A, under the second contract. That is the system study. In reviewing the various points included in the system study, it seems to me that all these are in fact included in the long range recommendations that they had proposed to us in the past. I think it is important to see what costs are incurred by the City of Bloomington Utility Service Board for the study.

Mr. Rogers: Now this is the annual study, not the North study?

Councilman Mizell: The north, yes. These were in the long range proposals that they prepared for us several years ago.

Mr. Rogers: You're quite right. The difference is this. The long range study gave the general outline of where some lines might be of course, gave some general indications of north. In order to comply with this we need engineering recommendations of what the plan must do to comply with the new regulations, the north plan. We also need something other than strictly the map guess work

on where the future trunk lines would be. That's what it is. It's just the definiteness as opposed to the long range plans. Granted, there is some duplication. They start with their long range plan.

Councilman Mizell: I recognize the points that you are making under B, the I&I analysis, and C., the Environmental Impact assessment, and D., the final report. These are all new studies. I don't see them though. I don't recognize them under any of the systems studies (A. of the system study). To my naive eye, it looks like material that we already have in hand and certainly that Black & Veatch must have in hand.

Mr. Rogers: Well, I think that is right, and certainly as a result of that the amount of work that is involved in putting that into a report is minimal. Let me just say this, it will go to a new set of bureaucrats. I'm sure that much of it will be lifted, and I feel that we will review the charges and for that which is simply a review of prior work, we will make sure that we are not charged for the original.

Councilman Mizell: Fine.

Mr. Rogers: But make a complete report...

Councilman Mizell: Yes, I'm sure that this is the background material that they need in order to do the rest of the report.

Mr. Rogers: And I'm sure much of it is in existence and it is simply reorganized.

Councilman Fix: I've never been too satisfied with Black & Veatch's work on environmental impact sections. Are you going to set up some guidelines for them to follow?

Mr. Rogers: I believe from our expanded Board, they are working very closely with Black & Veatch in the entire environmental area.

Councilman Fix: How about giving the Environmental Commission some guidelines also?

Mr. Rogers: Certainly, I think the liason work will be much closer with our expanded Board and the Environmental Commission.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Perhaps Council could ask that the Utilities/Public Facilities Committee of the Council could check into the involvement of the Environmental Commission in the development of those guidelines or standards. I think that would be appropriate. Do we have a motion for the approval for the Black & Veatch contracts?

Councilwoman Davis moved to approve the Black & Veatch contracts. It was seconded by Councilwoman Zietlow.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: It has been moved and seconded to approve the Black & Veatch contracts.

The motion passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 8, NAYS 0.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: The next item on the agenda is another contract with the Utility Services Board. This is the Phase Two Sewer Evaluation Study contract. Perhaps it would be appropriate for us to start this discussion with a motion for adoption.

Councilwoman Davis moved to adopt the contract for the Sewer System Evaluation contract. Councilman Ackerman seconded the motion.

Phase II
Sewer Evaluation Study contracts

Councilwoman Zietlow: Several of my constituents have called and asked why we are contracting again with an out-of-state firm.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Could you respond to that Mr. Rogers?

Mr. Rogers: This is a rather specialized area. The available firms were invited. There was only one Indiana firm that was available, and responded. The area we are talking about, as I say, is highly specialized. The firms interviewed were specialty firms, that is, they do not do design work, and so on, and so forth. The area we are talking about is the area of testing sewer lines to find out what condition they are in. The techniques include visual inspection, smoke inspection, cleaning and T.B. They include inundating storm sewer ditches, and seeing what is the result. Looking for specific places, where first of all, there are connections that are stoppered. (That is where surface drainage or building drainage is hooked in the sewer system.) Secondly, the areas where surface water comes into the sewer system through seepage due to bad pipes. The purpose of the study is to state specifically what can be done in areas where this occurs, how much it will cost, and therefore you will make a judgment on how much of the work should be done to cut down on the non-sewage water that is carried into our sewage system, and that goes through the expensive treatment process. Obviously, you can't, and no one expects you to do 100%. So the attempt is to get to the crossing of the curves where it is cost effective to rid ourselves of as much infiltration or interconnection as possible. Now this business is not exactly like engineering, although they are involved. As I say, it is the area of having the T.B. devices, the cleaning devices, to do this sort of thing, or the smoke bomb crews that know how to do it, the crews that know how to

go down into the manholes...that is entirely what it is. As a matter of fact, the firm that the Board wants to award the contract, American Consulting Services, frankly, apparently is a pioneer in the field. As far as we know, we have every reason to believe them, when they explained to us, (although one of the other firms was to a lesser degree involved), that they work very closely with the E.P.A. in drawing up the guidelines for what should be done in this area. As a matter of fact, they have conducted seminars over the country educating E.P.A. personnel on how this sort of thing should be handled.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Perhaps you can clarify.

Mr. Rogers: The regular Indiana firms are simply not equipped to do this at all, and always calls them (the specialty firm) when they have a job that is involved here. One of these specialty firms did some of the work on our earlier I & I study, when an Indiana firm had the contract.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Thank you. Perhaps you can explain one point very briefly here, and that is, on the agenda, it refers to Phase two, Sewer Evaluation Study contracts. The draft for the contract refers to seven phases. Now we're talking about approval of the entire contract with the seven phases.

Mr. Rogers: Yes. There are two different uses of the word phase. One is, a phase of an evaluation of a sewer system that is contemplated in relation to the entire operation. The second use, is terming various steps within a phase with the same word.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: So there are seven phases to Phase two?

Mr. Rogers: Yes, by federal law. The phases of the study are simply the way the contract is organized.

Councilman Mizell: This is a large Phase two, or Phase II in capital letters...rather than the smoke inundation, which is the lower case phase two.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: I just wanted to make this clear, because it is quite confusing I think.

Councilman Mizell: Next is Phase III, being the third part of what we are considering this evening...

Unison comment: That's right.

Councilwoman Davis: As opposed to Phase I.

Councilman Fix: On preparatory cleaning, will Black & Veatch do this, or will that be something else?

Mr. Rogers: No, the firm that is awarded the contract does the cleaning.

Councilman Fix: Is there a possibility that when they clean these pipes we are going to be cleaning the pipe out of existence?

Mr. Rogers: That could happen on our water pipe line, but I don't think it will happen on our sewer pipe.

Councilman Mizell: I'm curious as to, I think three years ago, there was quite an impressive looking group working along East Third St. with television. They were down there seeing what the sewers looked like, and so on. Who was that doing the work?

Mr. Rogers: I'm not sure what firm it was...it was strictly an experimental thing, and was done just seeing what could be done. But I can't recall right off what firm it was. It was not this Minneapolis firm that we are talking about here.

Councilman Mizell: That was my recollection.

Mr. Rogers: It might have been the Chicago firm.

Councilman Mizell: I thought it was an Indiana firm, I'm not sure.

Mr. Rogers: I don't recall. I honestly don't recall. They were not working under a contract. It was strictly a short term contract.

Councilwoman Zietlow: You said that these firms were invited to submit a proposal, Could I just ask for the procedure? Briefly.

Mr. Rogers: Briefly, the procedure was this. We asked Black & Veatch for the name of all the firms in the area. Our director set up materials on our land study to each of these firms, and said that we'd propose awarding a contract for Phase II.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Thank you. That's very nice and brief.

The motion to approve the Phase II of the Sewer Evaluation Study contract passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 8, NAYS 0.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Next item on the agenda is Yellow Cab License Renewal. I believe we have a report from the Council on this, from the Council Committee on Utilities/Public Facilities. Councilwoman Davis is chairperson.

Yellow Cab License Renewal

Councilwoman Davis: There was a report at the last meeting that the committee recommended that we renew the Yellow Cab License at this time, and that the Committee would do further work on the Ordinance.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Your motion is then that we extend the temporary approval to the end of the year, and your Committee will draw up appropriate changes in the local Ordinance regarding registering of taxicabs.

Councilwoman Davis moved to approve the Yellow Cab License Renewal. Councilwoman Zietlow seconded the motion.

The motion passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of AYES 8, NAYS 0.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Next item on the agenda is reports from City Departments, Boards, and Commissions. Tonight's attraction is the Council annual report. Mr. Russ Bridenbaugh will give a summation of that report.

REPORTS FROM CITY DEPARTMENTS

Common Council Annual Report

Mr. Bridenbaugh: This is the annual report for 1974. It contains the following information. It begins with a general statement as to the legislative accomplishments of the Council in 1974, including time expenditure of Council members at formal meetings, and also in general Councilmanic duties. This is followed by a summary of certain Council goals, and a list of Council members, and their positions. The report goes on with an Ordinance section, which lists, or has a complete list of all Ordinances considered in 1974, by category and their final disposition. Following this is a chart showing that dispositions of the Ordinances, which I have just stated, but in terms of numbers and totals. Following that is a Resolution section, a complete list of Resolutions considered in 1974, and their disposition, followed by a breakdown by category and number of all Resolutions. And lastly, there is a breakdown of time expended in formal meetings, and number of formal meetings in 1974. (Copy of report included at the end of the minutes.)

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Are there any questions of Mr. Bridenbaugh? Citizens in the community who are interested in a copy of this report may receive it just by inquiring at the Council office. I'm sure the Council staff will be glad to assist you. I would like to point out that last year, the Council passed 114 Ordinances, and

and approved 56 Resolutions. It defeated 4 Ordinances, and 1 Resolution. I think that is a pretty good indicator of the work the Council is trying to do, and it does indicate exactly what every Ordinance and Resolution was...So, it may be helpful for people who would be interested in it.

Councilman Ackerman: One interesting statistic of the report was the estimate of the average amount of time spent by the Council people as 873 hours. I just thought I'd get that in the record.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: I should also like to point out, that the 873 hours in duties per year expended in Councilmanic duties does not include the many hours Council members spend having to read the ten trees worth of reports that they receive in a given week, the background information that they try to get, the research the Council members must do so that they can, hopefully, make informed and realistic decisions.

Councilman Behen: Both of you guys are giving commercials now.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Well, I believe that the process of an annual report involves an explanation to people of what we have been doing.

Councilman Behen: The pertinence of time involved versus compensation, was what you were comparing them to...

Councilpresident De St. Croix: I never used the word compensation.

Councilman Behen: I assumed that was what you meant.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: O.K., my apologies, if I led you astray in what I was trying to say. Any further questions on the annual report? Next on the agenda is the introduction of Ordinances at First Reading. Do we have a motion?

INTRODUCTION OF 1st READINGS

Councilwoman Davis moved that Approp. Ord. 75-3 be introduced and read by title only. Councilman Ackerman seconded the motion. The motion failed due to a dissenting vote by Councilman Mizell.

Approp. Ordinance 75-3
Jaws of Life

Councilwoman Davis moved that Approp. Ord. 75-3 be introduced and read by the Clerk in its entirety. Councilman Mizell seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Karel Dolnick, City Clerk, read Ordinance 75-3 in its entirety.

Councilwoman Davis moved that Ordinance 75-17 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Ackerman seconded the motion. Councilman Mizell asked if this included the posting of the Ordinances in the usual Public locations so

Ordinance 75-17

Tree planting requiremts.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: I believe copies are posted in the Municipal City Building. They are available in the Mayor's office, at the Council office, at the Chamber of Commerce office, Public Library, and at the City Clerk's office. Before anything is done on an Ordinance that is introduced at first reading whether or not it is read in its entirety, or by title only, a Committee will consider it, and it will also be brought to the floor for discussion before it is voted. This is to explain the procedure again. Councilman Mizell, could you give a brief explanation of this?

Councilman Mizell: These are recommended amendments to the site-planning Ordinance, which is currently in the Municipal Code, which has been approved by the Planning Commission, and passed to the Council for approval related to the planting of street trees, shade trees, and ancient trees, and so on, within developments and construction within the City limits.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Thank you.

The motion was passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Karel Dolnick, City Clerk, read Ordinance 75-17 by title only.

Councilwoman Davis moved that Ordinance 75-18 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Ordinance 75-18

Amending "Enactment" section of Site-Plan Ordinance

Karel Dolnick, City Clerk, read Ordinance 75-18 by title only.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Councilman Mizell, could you give a brief explanation?

Councilman Mizell: This again relates to amendments which have been proposed by the Planning Commission to the Site Planning Ordinance, relating to the enactment section. It includes in the enactment section, the provision that a building permit should not be issued for any new building, or structure, or to the addition of any existing building or structure (the addition of any new floor space) unless it comes under the review of the Site Planning Ordinance. I was just going to say that we have had problems in the past and this is simply refining that Site Plan Ordinance to make it more efficient.

Councilwoman Davis moved that Ordinance 75-19 be introduced and read by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Ordinance 75-19

Entrance Corridors

Councilman Mizell: You will recall that several months ago, the Planning Commission recommended to the Council an Entrance Corridor Ordinance. At that time, the Council requested that the Planning Commission hold additional Public Hearings for the purpose of obtaining information and input on the Entrance Corridor. These were in fact held. The result of that was Ordinance 75-19, which proposes to amend the Site Plan Ordinance, to include considerations of Entrance Corridor Zones. This was the result of Public Works Hearings sessions with interested members of the community, including the Chamber of Commerce, as well as Public Hearings.

Councilman Towell: You will note that nine out of ten at first reading, come to the Planning/Community Development Committee. We have considered some of these, but not this particular one. We are receptive for more input on the ones that we have already considered. But we do have a heavy workload.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Yes, I should point out that in the assignment of a rather staggering workload to this Committee, the Council Affairs Committee requested that the Community Development Committee of the Council deal with these as responsibly as they could, and not rush through them merely to meet an artificial deadline. They requested that the Planning Commission indicate priorities in terms of necessity for inactment here. I'd like to thank the members of the Committee for taking on such a heavy workload here.

Councilwoman Davis: I move that Ordinance 75-20 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. The motion was seconded by Councilman Behen. The motion passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Ordinance 75-20

Limited Business District Zone

Councilman Mizell: This Ordinance has in fact, dealt with amendments to the existing Zoning Ordinance, particularly to the B1 Zone, the Limited Business Zone. This has been under discussion by the Planning Commission for the better part of this year. There have been several Public Hearings on this before the Planning Commission. These are the considered opinions of the Planning Commission, and are recommended to the Public for their considerations. And as Councilman Towell indicated, we will in fact, hold Public Hearings before the subcommittee. There will be Public Hearings associated with the second reading of the Ordinance.

Councilman Towell: We have received systematic objections to parts of the Ordinance. That is, people have taken on the task of looking over the entire Ordinance, and writing us long letters on this particular Ordinance. So, we expect to spend considerable time looking at this. It is a very important change.

At this point, the tape was no longer audible. The remainder of the meeting will be summarized from the notes taken during the meeting.

Councilwoman Davis moved that Ordinance 75-21 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Ordinance 75-21
No Parking Zones

Councilwoman Davis moved that Ordinance 75-22 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Ordinance 75-22
Loading Zones

Councilwoman Davis moved that Ordinance 75-23 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Ordinance 75-23
Restricted Parking Zones

Councilwoman Davis moved that Ordinance 75-24 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Ordinance 75-24
No Parking Zones

Councilwoman Davis moved that Ordinance 75-25 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was passed by a unanimous voice vote of the Council.

Ordinance 75-25
Stop Intersections

Councilpresident De St. Croix reminded the Council members that they had received minutes from the February 20, 1975 Council meeting, for approval. He asked for any corrections. There being none, it was the unanimous decision of the Council to approve these minutes.

MINUTES

February 20, 1975

There being no further business, the Council meeting adjourned at 10:07 p.m.



Brian C. De St. Croix
Council President

Patricia A. Higgins

Patricia A. Higgins, Secretary

BLACK & VEATCH
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

TEL. (816) 361-7000
TELEX 42-6263

1500 MEADOW LAKE PARKWAY
MAILING ADDRESS - P.O. BOX NO. 846
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI 64114

Bloomington, Indiana
South Facilities Plan

April 4, 1975
B&V Project 6685.B

Mr. Gary R. Kent
City of Bloomington
P. O. Box 1216
Bloomington, Indiana 47401

Dear Mr. Kent:

The purpose of this letter is to explain our basis for providing engineering services on a cost-plus basis.

As previously discussed with you and several Board members, we are in the engineering business, and not in the accounting business. For this reason, we prefer to use either lump sum fees or percentage of construction cost fees wherever practicable. However, the use of these fee bases is limited to projects for which a definite, mutually agreeable scope of work can be established in advance. Other constraints include completion during a fixed time period, acquisition of all essential data by us, and required rate of progress in accordance with normal practice.

The use of a cost-plus basis of compensation is indicated when these constraints are not met. Common circumstances include indefinite scope of work, changes in scope of work, conformance with varying standards established by outside agencies, division of work with client or other consultant, and need for completion on an emergency basis to meet pressing deadlines.

Our standard cost-plus basis is a fee equal to twice payroll cost (salary cost plus 25 per cent) incurred in providing the services, plus reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses directly chargeable to the work.

So S pls put
in record
of file.
B&V

Bloomington, Indiana
Mr. Gary Kent

2

B&V Project 6685.B
April 4, 1975

Enclosed is a general Schedule of Hourly Salary Rates dated December 27, 1974. This schedule indicates approximate salary ranges for each classification of responsibility from project manager down to clerk. The exact hourly rate will depend upon the individual assigned to the project. The 25 per cent factor covers payroll taxes and insurance. No service charge is added to out-of-pocket expenses because the accounting department is considered as part of the general overhead costs.

Very truly yours,

F. K. Beatty
F. K. Beatty

cs
Enclosure

cc: Utilities Service Board
Mr. Ray Long

COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

ANNUAL REPORT 1974

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I OPENING STATEMENT
- II ORDINANCES OF 1974
- III KEY TO ZONING CLASSIFICATIONS
- IV ORDINANCES SUMMARY
- V RESOLUTIONS OF 1974
- VI RESOLUTIONS SUMMARY
- VII FORMAL MEETING TIME BREAKDOWN

Between January first, 1974 and December 31, 1974, the Common Council of the City of Bloomington met in twenty four (24) Regular and seven (7) Special Sessions at which time one hundred fourteen (114) ordinances and fifty-six (56) resolutions were adopted and subsequently became law.

In addition to the seventy-three hours spent in the above sessions, the Council logged seventy-three hours in various public meetings including public hearings, work sessions and a variety of meetings of boards and commissions. The total time thus expended in meetings alone was 145 hours plus*. The Council has estimated that an additional 14 hours per week are expended by councilpersons in dealing with constituent concerns, attending community meetings and carrying out their many varied responsibilities. This breaks down to approximately 873 hours per year expended in Councilmanic duties, not including the many hours councilmembers spend reading the voluminous reports, background information and research they must do in order to make decisions from an informed and realistic perspective.

Legislation approved by the Council in 1974 included a wide range of community concern. Among them were zoning amendments, including parking and floor space regulations for businesses, the Historic Buildings Ordinance for the preservation of sites of particular historical significance, the establishment of the Bloomington Development Commission, an ordinance regulating itinerant salesmen and a host of annexation ordinances.

The Council continued its policy of open public meetings and encouraged citizen input into every area of consideration. Council sessions continued to be video taped and rebroadcast by WTIU, Channel 30. Of the 114 ordinances adopted by the Common Council in 1974, only 3 were vetoed by the Mayor.

Councilmembers include Jim Ackerman, Pres., Richard Behen, Flo Davis, Brian de St. Croix, President Pro Tem, Wayne Fix, Sherwin Mizell, Jack Morrison, Al Towell, Parliamentarian, and Charlotte Zietlow. Assisting the Council in the capacity of Attorney and Aide to the Common Council was Margaret Waid Shaffer.

* See last page of this report for breakdown of meeting times.

ANNUAL REPORT 1974

COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

ORDINANCES CONSIDERED 1974

I Zoning Ordinances (29)

- 74-1 Rezoning of Maple Heights and West 17th Street from SI to an RL classification.
- 74-15 Rezoning of Miller Drive area from RS and MI to RS-MG and RH classification.
- 74-16 Rezoning of area at intersection of Rhorer Road and Walnut Street Pike from RE to RL classification.
- 74-23 Part of NE quarter of section 17, township 8 N, range 1 W, Monroe County from RS to RI classification.
- 74-24 Rezoning of East half of Southeast quarter of Northeast quarter of section 35, township 9, range 1 W, from RS and RE to RL and RH classification.
- 74-33 Rezoning of parts of lots 5 and 6, block 2, Arlington Place Addition from RS to BA classification.
- 74-34 Rezoning of North half of lot 17 in Waldron's Addition from RM to BL classification.
- 74-35 Historic Buildings amendment to Zoning Section of the Municipal Code.
- 74-36 Withdrawn
- 74-37 Amendment to Bloomington Municipal Code, Zoning Ordinance Chapter 20.07, Land Use Regulations.
- 74-41 Rezoning of lots 19 and 20 Hillcrest Addition and lots 1 thru 6 Dixie Highway Addition (BL to RS) and lot 20 of Matthew Campbell Addition and lots 6 thru 15 of Stull Addition (BL to RM).
- 74-48 Rezoning of entire area of Section 13, Van Buren Township, designated as RE, RS, RH, BL, & RL/PMHP.
- 74-49 Rezoning of area of Section 13, Perry Township, designated as RE.
- 74-50 Rezoning of area at Rockport Road and Rogers Street from RL to RL/PMHP classification.
- 74-61 Rezoning of part of Northeast quarter of Van Buren Township (Cook Inc.) from RS/SC to MG classification.
- 74-63 Rezoning of part of Southeast quarter of Northeast quarter of Section 8, township 8.N, range 1 W, Monroe County, from RI to BA classification.

ZONING cont.

- 74-64 Rezoning of lots 65 and 66, Campus Place Addition, lots 1 thru 9 and 28 thru 47, Hunter Park Addition and lots 1 thru 6, Kirkwood Heights Addition from RM to RS classification.
- 74-81 Rezoning of Neal's Vendors from RL to BA classification.
- 74-83 Rezoning of former Cirgin Grocery property from RS to BA classification.
- 74-84 To amend the Bloomington Municipal Code, Zoning Ordinance Section, Site Plan Reviews.
- 74-94 Rezoning of proposed Arlen Shopping Center area to MG/PUD classification.
- 74-95 Tabled indefinitely.
- 74-105 Rezoning of Hoosier Dry Wall area from BA to ML classification.
- 74-106 Rezoning of 312 W. Seventh Street from BG to ML classification.
- 74-107 Rezoning of Bloomfield Road area from RS to Ba classification.
- 74-108 Entrance Corridors amendment. (Withdrawn)
- 74-109 Amendments to Minimum Off-Street Parking Requirements.
- 74-110 Amendments to definitions chapter of the Bloomington Municipal Code, "Floor Area."
- 74-111 Amendment to Bloomington Municipal Code, "Sign Ordinance." (Defeated).

II Annexation Ordinances (40)

- 74-3 2217 Georgetown Road
- 74-4 Loyal Order of Moose
- 74-5 Pancho's Villa Restaurant
- 74-8 1824 S. Curry Pike
- 74-26 Sarkes Tarzian property
- 74-27 Parts of Sections 35 and 36, Township 9 N, Range One W, Monroe County
- 74-29 Cook Incorporated
- 74-30 Meadow Park
- 74-31 1600 W. Third Street
- 74-42 Ralph Rogers, Indiana Public Service

ANNEXATIONS cont.

- 74-44 Windemere Addition
- 74-45 Cascades Addition
- 74-53 Section 34, Township 9 N, Range 1 W, Monroe County
- 74-58 Southeast Park
- 74-66 Ralph Deckard property and Ellis Greenhouse, Inc. on East Third Street
- 74-67 Property of Janet Dunn et al, 400 block of South High Street
- 74-68 Buck Lemon Furniture Store on E. Third Street
- 74-69 College Mall Road south of Second Street and a stretch of Moore's Pike east of College Mall Road
- 74-70 Property of Thomas Raeber et al, south High Street
- 74-73 Area between Windemere Addition and Moore's Pike
- 74-74 Northeast corner of Hillside Drive and High Street known as Stier Park
- 74-75 Land north of Moore's Pike and east of Winfield Road
- 74-76 South of Maxwell Lane and between Maxwell Terrace and Greenwood Avenue
- 74-77 Bloomington Southgate Shopping Center
- 74-78 Ardmore Apartments
- 74-79 Southwest Corner of Maxwell Lane and south High Street
- 74-80 Northeast corner of Moore's Pike and Valley Forge Road
- 74-82 Northeast Corner of North Walnut Street and Seventeenth Street
- 74-87 East of High Street, between Woodstock and Windemere Subdivisions
- 74-88 Sherwood Green Condominiums
- 74-89 RCA west of Illinois Gulf Central Railroad
- 74-90 Night Owl Market at 17th and Dunn
- 74-91 South High Street, north of Meadowbluff Court
- 74-92 Northeast corner of Highway 37 bypass and State Road 48
- 74-93 North of 19th Street between Walnut and Washington Streets
- 74-96 Between Kinser Pike and State Road 37, between 17th Street and the Ramada Inn

ANNEXATIONS cont.

- 74-97 East of Highway 37, north of 20th Street and south of State Highway 46 bypass
 - 74-98 West Dunn Street, east of Highway 37, north of Walnut Knolls Apartments and south of Highway 46 bypass
 - 74-99 Between old and new Highway 37, south of Highway 46 bypass and south of Holiday Inn
 - 74-100 Northeast corner of Highway 37 and Highway 46 bypass
-

III Salary Ordinances (6)

- 74-18 Engineering Department
 - 74-43 Board of Works and Redevelopment Commission
 - 74-46 Drug Commission
 - 74-59 Appointed Officers and Employees
 - 74-60 Utilities
 - 74-112 Withdrawn by Mayor's Office
-

IV Traffic, Parking and Vehicle Ordinances (15)

- 74-2 Extending "No Parking Zones" to the east side of Grant Street from Third Street to 12th Street
- 74-6 Repeal of Grant Street as a one way street north (Tabled)
- 74-11 Repeal of one way north designation of Grant Street between 10th and First Streets
- 74-13 An ordinance designating limited time parking zones and their locations
- 74-25 Amendments to the Municipal Code, Traffic and Vehicles Section, in re Municipal Parking Lot # 6
- 74-32 Amendments to the Municipal Code, Traffic and Vehicles Section
- 74-47 To amend the Municipal Code, Title 15, Chapter 15.68, Parking Lot # 6 (Defeated)
- 74-54 Amendments to the Municipal Code, Traffic and Vehicles Section
- 74-56 Parking and Emergency Vehicle Lanes
- 74-65 Amending the Municipal Code, Traffic and Vehicles Section, prohibiting right turn on red at High Street and Third Street (north bound) and at South Bryan and Third Streets (southbound)

TRAFFIC, PARKING AND VEHICLES cont.

- 74-85 An ordinance concerning Taxi Cabs (Vetoed)
- 74-101 To amend the Municipal Code, Chapter 15.64 "Parking Zones"
- 74-102 To amend the Municipal Code, Chapter 15.72 "Parking Meters"
- 74-103 To amend the Municipal Code, Chapter 15.76 "Four Way Stops" (Tabled)
- 74-104 To amend the Municipal Code, Chapter 15.40 "Driving on Sidewalks"

V Appropriations Ordinances (17)

- 74-1 Housing Code Enforcement
- 74-2 Kirkwood Mall
- 74-3 Indigent Health Care and Well Baby Clinic
- 74-4 Parking Meter Police, Parking Meter Controller
- 74-5 Drug Commission, Revenue Sharing
- 74-6 Planning Department, Revenue Sharing
- 74-7 Board of Public Works and Street Department
- 74-8 Local Road and Street Fund, Parks and Recreation
- 74-9 Planning Department
- 74-10 Purchase of land for Drug and Alcohol Center
- 74-11 Board of Works, Contractual Services
- 74-12 Local Road and Street Fund
- 74-13 Engineering, Parks and Recreation
- 74-14 Board of Works
- 74-15 Utilities Budget
- 74-16 Fire Department, Motor Vehicle Highway Fund, Park District Construction Fund
- 74-17 Park District Construction Fund

VI Economic Development Ordinances (3)

- 74-7 Repealing Chapter 2.29 of the Municipal Code, "Economic Development Commission"
- 74-10 Bloomington Development Commission established

74-113 Community Development Ordinance (Tabled)

VII Parks and Recreation Ordinances (1)

74-12 Issuance and sale of Park District Bonds of the Bloomington City Park and Recreation Board authorized

VIII Miscellaneous Ordinances(16)

74-9 Amending Chapter 14.09 of the Municipal Code (Noise Ordinance)

74-14 Amending Chapter 2.60.020 of the Municipal Code (Human Rights)

74-19 Itinerant Merchants (Tabled)

74-20 Repeal of Chapter 15.68.110 of the Municipal Code (Penalty for Violation)

74-21 Electrical Code (Defeated)

74-22 Not introduced

74-28 Technical Amendment to the Municipal Code, Chapter 7.16.050 (Tabled)

74-38 To amend Chapter 17.12 of the Municipal Code (Electrical Code)

74-39 To amend Chapter 17.12 of the Municipal Code (Electrical Code)

74-40 Itinerant Merchants

74-52 Withdrawn

74-55 To amend the Municipal Code, Department of Transportation section

74-57 Amendment to Municipal Code, Chapter 2.56, "Miscellaneous Provisions" (Defeated)

74-62 Budget of the City of Bloomington

74-71 Sargeant at Arms for the Common Council

74-114 Councilmanic Districts amendments

IX Utilities Ordinances (4)

74-17 To amend the Municipal Code (Utilities Service Board) (Tabled)

74-51 To amend the Municipal Code, Chapter 2.78, Utilities Service Board (Vetoed)

74-72 To amend the Municipal Code, Chapter 2.78, Utilities Service Board (Vetoed) (Veto overridden)

KEY TO ZONING CLASSIFICATIONS

Residential Classifications:

- RM Moderate Density Multi-Dwelling District
- RH High Density Residential District
- RL Moderate Density Multi-Dwelling (Low density)
- RS Residential Single Family
- RE Residential Estate

Business or Commercial Zones:

- BL Limited Business District
- BI Institutional Business District
- BG General Commercial District
- BA Arterial Business District
- BD Downtown Business District

Industrial Zones:

- ML Light Manufacturing and Industrial District
- MG General Manufacturing or Industrial District
- MQ Quarry District

Special Districts:

- SI Special Institutional Zone
- SC Special Conservancy Zone
- SM Special Medical District Zone
- PUD Planned Unit Development
- PMHP Planned Mobile Home Park and Subdivision

ORDINANCES SUMMARY

ORDINANCE	INTRODUCED	WITHDRAWN	TABLED	DEFEATED	PASSED	VETOED
ZONING	29	2	1	1	25	
ANNEXATION	40				40	
SALARY	6	1			5	
TRAFFIC, PARKING & VEHICLES	15		2	1	11	1
APPROPRIATIONS	17				17	
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	3		1		2	
PARKS & RECREATION	1				1	
UTILITIES	4		1		1	2
MISCELLANEOUS	16	1	2	2	11	
TOTALS	131	4	7	4	114 *	3

* Veto of one utilities ordinance was overridden

ANNUAL REPORT 1974

COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

Resolutions Considered 1974

- 74-1 Temporary Loan
- 74-2 Authorization for Investment of funds
- 74-3 Authorization for Investment of funds
- 74-4 Expressing regret at the demise of the Bloomington Courier Tribune newspaper and proclaiming January 18, 1974 as Ann Smith Day
- 74-5 Budget Transfer
- 74-6 Reaffirmation of endorsement of the Indiana Public Interest Research Group (InPIRG)
- 74-7 Commendation to David Welsh and WTIU for television coverage of Common Council meetings
- 74-8 Temporary loan from road and street fund to Parks and Recreation Department
- 74-9 Authorization for investment of funds
- 74-10 Zoning change of Alexander farm (defeated)
- 74-11 Authorization for investment of funds
- 74-12 Budget Transfer
- 74-13 Authorization for appeal of Monroe Circuit Court decision on sections of Chapter 17.20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code
- 74-14 Authorization for investment of funds
- 74-15 Requesting the Indiana Department of Commerce to make application for a grant from the HUD to provide planning assistance for a planning project for the City of Bloomington
- 74-16 Budget Transfer
- 74-17 Budget Transfer
- 74-18 Temporary Loan
- 74-19 Authorizing the filing of an application with the US Department of Transportation for a grant under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964

- 74-20 Authorization for the investment of funds
- 74-21 Authorization for the investment of funds
- 74-22 Authorization for the investment of funds
- 74-23 Temporary Loan from the Utilities Department to the General Fund
- 74-24 Commendation to Hubert T. Davis
- 74-25 Budget Transfer
- 74-26 Budget Transfer
- 74-27 Not submitted
- 74-28 Budget Transfer
- 74-29 Urging the Board of Parks and Recreation to do everything possible to provide access to recreational facilities to senior citizens, the disabled and low income groups
- 74-30 Sense of the Council that a proposed water tank be located on non-residential land east of the Highway 46 by-pass and urging the Indiana University Board of Trustees to reconsider their choice of a site directly behind Redbud Apartments
- 74-31 Applying provisions of section 23, US Housing Act of 1937 to Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington
- 74-32 Approval of rate increase for Monroe All-Channel Cablevision to \$6 per month
- 74-33 Budget Transfer
- 74-34 Commendation to Bloomington Redevelopment Commission for Operation of Summer Youth Employment Program
- 74-35 Commendation to Bloomington Chamber of Commerce
- 74-36 Withdrawn
- 74-37 Budget Transfer
- 74-38 " "
- 74-39 " "
- 74-40 " "
- 74-41 " "

- 74-42 Request to State of Indiana to apply to HUD for a grant to provide planning assistance for a planning project for the City of Bloomington
 - 74-43 Commendation to Larry Walcoff and National Instructional Television for program "Inside Out"
 - 74-44 Commendation to Ms. Jean Farmer
 - 74-45 Budget Transfer
 - 74-46 Temporary loan for Parks and Recreation Department
 - 74-47 Request to Plan Commission to review zoning in regards to placement of city parking lots
 - 74-48 Withdrawn
 - 74-49 Commendation to the Bloomington General Electric Plant for installation of an industrial waste pre-treatment facility
 - 74-50 Commendation to the Bloomington Police Department
 - 74-51 Budget Transfer
 - 74-52 Refund of insurance costs to Department of Recreation
 - 74-53 Budget Transfer
 - 74-54 " "
 - 74-55 " "
 - 74-56 Designating part of Seminary Square to be used as a public park and historical site commemorating the original location of Indiana University
 - 74-57 Board of Public Works to pay the Redevelopment Commission for the purchase of the old Monroe County Library
 - 74-58 Budget Transfer
 - 74-59 " "
 - 74-60 " "
-

RESOLUTIONS SUMMARY

RESOLUTION	INTRODUCED	WITHDRAWN	TABLED	VETOED	APPROVED	DEFEATED
BUDGET TRANSFERS	21				21	
INVESTMENT AUTHORIZATION	8				8	
TEMPORARY LOANS	5				5	
COMMENDATIONS	9				9	
PARKS & RECREATION	3				3	
REAL ESTATE & ZONING	5				4	1
PLANNING	2				2	
MISCELLANEOUS	6	3			3	
TRANSPORTATION	1				1	
TOTALS	60	3	0	0	56	1

ANNUAL REPORT 1974

COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

BREAKDOWN OF TIME EXPENDITURE
FOR FORMAL MEETINGS
1974

(except for Regular and Special Sessions, time has been approximated)

Regular Common Council Sessions -- 66.70 hours
Special Common Council Sessions -- 5.90 hours
Pre-Council Work Sessions -- 30.00 hours
Public Hearings --- 15.00 hours
Miscellaneous meetings -- 28.05 hours
total 145.65 hours

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

1972-total hours 143.00
1973-total hours 112.25
1974-total hours 145.65

Meeting Breakdown
1974

24 Regular Sessions
7 Special Sessions
5 Public Hearings
20 Work Sessions
19 Miscellaneous Meetings

75 total public meetings

COMMITTEE REPORT SHEET

COMMITTEE NAME App

ORDINANCE/RESOLUTION _____
(Name and Number)

DATE SUBMITTED 4-21-75

PERSON OR DEPARTMENT ORIGINATING Fire Dept

SUBJECT MATTER JAWS OF LIFE

DATES OF COMMITTEE MEETINGS 4-21-75

DATES AND LOCATIONS OF PUBLIC HEARINGS NONE

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Jaws of Life

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS:

Completely in favor of making this purchase at this time.

MINORITY REPORT ATTACHED YES , NO , NOT APP.

SIGNATURES OF COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Duke Behm CHAIRPERSON

[Signature]

[Signature]

410 Hams

COMMITTEE REPORT SHEET

COMMITTEE NAME APP

ORDINANCE/RESOLUTION (Name and Number) 75-10

DATE SUBMITTED 4-21-75

PERSON OR DEPARTMENT ORIGINATING H ANIMAL CONTROL

SUBJECT MATTER ENGINEER DEPT

DATES OF COMMITTEE MEETINGS 4-21

DATES AND LOCATIONS OF PUBLIC HEARINGS NONE

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS:

ENR assures the city of not building side-walks on private property

ANIMAL CONTROL Committee felt this was a reasonable request for transfer

MINORITY REPORT ATTACHED YES , NO , NOT APP.

SIGNATURES OF COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Dick Behm
Ways Jf
W.D.S.
910 Paris

CHAIRPERSON

COMMITTEE REPORT SHEET

COMMITTEE NAME Community Resources

ORDINANCE/RESOLUTION 75-12 Child Care Task Force
(Name and Number)

DATE SUBMITTED April 24, 1975

PERSON OR DEPARTMENT ORIGINATING _____

SUBJECT MATTER Child Care Monies

DATES OF COMMITTEE MEETINGS _____

DATES AND LOCATIONS OF PUBLIC HEARINGS _____

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS:

Last week Steve Richardson and I met with 3 officers of the Community Coordinated Child Care group to discuss administrative p procedures in preparing a program that would make best use of the \$35,000 CD Money we have ear-marked for Day Care.

The reslut of the discussion, along with others I have had with individual Council members (including the Community Resources Committee) is that the best course to follow would be to set up a Task Force which would:

- (1) make specific suggestions for administering and implementing a Day Care Voucher system, concentrating on the \$35,000 now available.
- (2) Make recommendations concerning continuing administrative structure to be used by the City in implementing other funds we may receive for Day Care.

The intent of this Task Force is not to come up with an exhaustive, comprehensive study of the child care needs in Bloomington. Their focus
MINORITY REPORT ATTACHED YES___, NO___, NOT APP. ___

SIGNATURES OF COMMITTEE MEMBERS

James S. Ackerman

CHAIRPERSON

coit

will be more on the problems of administrative procedure: short-term, on the \$35,000; and long term, in case other funds become available. One of the problems they will face, for example, is what Board or Commission in the Human Resources Department will be selected to the child care program.

Although the wording of this report has not been read or endorsed by my committee, they do back the resolution calling for the creation of a child care task force.

COMMITTEE REPORT SHEET

COMMITTEE NAME Public Utilities/ Facilities

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ Request for Permit Renewal
(Name and Number)

DATE SUBMITTED March 21, 1975

PERSON OR DEPARTMENT ORIGINATING Flo Davis

SUBJECT MATTER Taxicab Permit Renewal

DATES OF COMMITTEE MEETINGS Thursday, March 13, 1975 - 7:30p.m.

DATES AND LOCATIONS OF PUBLIC HEARINGS March 13, 1975

Flo Davis' 431 East Wylie

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

Renew the license now.

Look at the Ordinance in terms of rewriting.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS:

Favorable. Renew the license.

MINORITY REPORT ATTACHED YES , NO , NOT APP.

SIGNATURES OF COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Flo Davis

CHAIRPERSON