

In the Common Council Chambers of the Municipal City Building, held on Thursday, November 6, 1975, with Councilpresident De St. Croix presiding.

REGULAR SESSION
COMMON COUNCIL

Present: Richard Behen, Flo Davis, Brian De St. Croix, Wayne Fix, Pat Kinzer, Sherwin Mizell, Jack Morrison, Al Towell, Charlotte Zietlow.

ROLL CALL

City Officials Present: Chief Carl Chambers, Police Department; Chief Richard Gose, Fire Department; Marvard Clark, Engineering; John Komoroske, Council Attorney; Karel Dolnick, City Clerk; Michael Corbett, Assistant to the Mayor; Steve Richardson, Assistant to the Mayor; Leo Burke, Human Resources Director; Eve Brigl, Alternative Programs Director; Jean Strohm, Executive Secretary, Board of Works; Martha Sims, City Controller; Larry Owens, City Attorney; Jim Wray, Head, Transportation.

CITY OFFICIALS
PRESENT

Councilpresident De St. Croix: On tonight's agenda we'll start with Ordinance 75-56, Salary Ordinance for Police and Fire Departments; Ordinance 75-57, Salary Ordinance for an Appointed Employee from the Human Resources Department for a Youth Development and Technical Assistance Specialist; Ordinance 75-58, Annexation of SE corner of State Road 37 and State Road 46 for a shopping center; Ordinance 75-59, Parking Amendments; Appropriation Ordinance 75-11, Community Development Block Grant Fund; Appropriation Ordinance 75-12, Board of Works Insurance; and Resolution 75-36, Budget Transfer for MVH, City Clerk, City Court, Fire Department. Between 9:00 and 9:30 we'll have Petitions and Communications. At First Reading, Ordinance 75-62, to Amend Zoning; Ordinance 75-64, Salaries for Utility Employees; Appropriation Ordinance 75-13, Parks & Recreation Emergency Appropriation for mowing equipment and bleachers; Appropriation 75-14, Water and Sewer Utilities Budget for 1976; and Appropriation Ordinance 75-15, Community Development Block Grant Fund, Citizen's Participation. The minutes for June 5, 1975 are scheduled for approval.

AGENDA SUMMATION

Steve Richardson speaks from the floor: Thank you. Certain members of the Council tonight received roses from the Mayor's office as a note of appreciation and recognition for a job well done, and our deep note of friendship from an appreciative group of individuals who've watched this Council perform rather magnificently in the interest of Bloomington in the last four years. On matters of legislation this evening, one very important piece of legislation in terms of the Community Development Block Grant. We have a number of individuals from the community here tonight who've participated in the development of this plan. I think we've met now with the Council and we would very much appreciate your support and vote for Appropriation Ordinance 75-11. Thank you.

MESSAGES FROM
THE MAYOR

Councilmember Towell: I'd like to propose something that as far as I know has not been done in the community before, but for various reasons I feel there's a real need for it. Other legislative bodies exercise some supervision over their membership. They look into charges that are made one way or another in the course of an election and make some decision about that,

MESSAGES FROM
COUNCILMEMBERS

come to some findings. Another point of view is that in the City government this is the only (inaudible), we can ask for records, we can (inaudible) if necessary to find out things about City government. (At this point in the tape there was considerable static, the following is a summary taken from the Deputy Clerk's notes). There are three things that I have in mind, 1) A good number of citizens in the City were purged and found themselves without the right to vote in the City election. A number of citizens went to the polls and were confronted by a person who had signed an affidavit saying that they should not have the right to vote, that they were purged from the rolls. These were residents of the City who were expecting to cast their vote. 2) The matter of expenses. It is my personal knowledge that various expense reports have been falsified. 3) I think we need to come up with a report as to whether or not the charges are justified or not. I think we need to have public meetings with citizen participation.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Perhaps we could have the Council staff poll Councilmembers for their response.

Councilmember Towell: Another thing is that I believe that there may be some conflict of interest violations in the election in the primary and in the fall.

Councilmember Mizell: I'm very concerned about an accident that occurred earlier this week between a truck and a bicyclist. I know the Bicycle Task Force is working on solving some of these problems. I would hope that we would solve these with a sense of urgency.

Councilmember Behen: Having been judged the ineffective Councilmember by the highly educated people of the community here, I expect that anything I say in the next month and a half will be rather ineffective, so I'll pass.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: (inaudible), that I disagree.

Councilmember Fix: Yes, I disagree with Councilmember Behen also.

Councilmember Davis: ~~The Utilities/Public Facilities Committee has met with the Utilities Service Board to go over their budget (inaudible).~~

Councilmember Kinzer: I move that we appoint Debbie Horning to the Women's Commission.

Councilmember Zietlow: Second.

Debbie Horning was then appointed to the Women's Commission by a unanimous voice vote.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: I'd like to speak very briefly to a couple of things. First, WTIU will not be filming the Council meetings in November and December because of a course conflict. Also, starting today, the new Councilmembers will be receiving all materials relating to Council business so that they may begin familiarizing themselves with the business that the Council is now dealing with so that they won't enter their terms cold as we did four years ago.

Councilmember Towell: I'd like to mention that the only new Councilmember here tonight is Jeff Richardson. I think we should commend him for that.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Ordinance 75-56 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only.

Ordinance 75-56

Councilmember Davis: Second.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Ordinance 75-56 be adopted.

Councilmember Behen: Second.

Councilmember Fix: (inaudible).

Councilpresident De St. Croix: The recommendation in the last committee meeting was Do Pass.

Councilmember Towell: Well, I think the central thing to look at is the seniority promotion in the Police Department. That is not brought out and I don't think the public is aware of what is going on. There are ten levels in each rank. A lot of these promotions are based just on seniority. When a person gets promoted, then they (inaudible) and go into the third ladder of the next rank. You retain your seniority when you go to the next rank (inaudible). I've been looking at this for some time, I've voted for the first such ladder last year when we first got any merit system of this sort in the Police Department. Since then I've had to think it over a number of times in other discussions on this (inaudible), when you get to the next rank you start from the bottom and you have seniority in the rank. I'm not one to judge this. This is only the second time that we've had to consider this. I might change my mind, but at this time I have to vote on this. We just need to present the facts of the situation and let you decide. I plan to vote for this tonight.

Chief Chambers: I was researching two things back there. On Councilman Towell's question I think he was talking about our Police Incentive Program. (inaudible)...we have police officers with a college degree two or three points higher than a policeman who has been on the force for three or four years (inaudible). Working with the Board of Safety and the Council I think we can make it a more perfect system. I certainly appreciate a vote of confidence from the Council. This does enable the men to have some vertical movement.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: We might want to consider the different (inaudible) for the kinds of college credits that the officers are getting. Perhaps they could have additional points for human relations courses or some of the kinds of educational things that officers are most criticized for will probably be helpful.

Chief Chambers: I think officers can do that (inaudible) with the consent of the Board of Safety, is something they have to recognize that the officers are doing (inaudible).

Councilmember Towell: (inaudible) informed of the priorities. In any case, I plan to vote for this. I'd like people to understand the principles and think about them and decide whether they agree with them entirely.

Councilmember Zietlow: I was wondering if this would require a complete overhaul in the salary structure.

Councilmember Kinzer: This is certainly something that we can look into.

Councilmember Mizell: I'd like to point out that we need to work out the adjustment between tenth step of the lower grade and the first step of the (inaudible).

Chief Gose: (inaudible) they are paid for doing a good job (inaudible).

Councilmember Towell: I suppose this is for the Fire Department specifically. There are a lot of other areas in the City that perhaps deserve this kind of treatment. (inaudible), that Utilities could use, these levels of competence and education that they could achieve. Some of these things could be done with other parts of the City. This is a very fundamental type of step program. I would like to see the question addressed to this program and all the other programs.

Ordinance 75-56 was then passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of Ayes: 9, Nays: 0.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Ordinance 75-57 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Ordinance 75-57

Councilmember Davis: Second.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Ordinance 75-57 be adopted.

Councilmember Davis: Second.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Do we have the committee report from Community Resources?

Councilmember Kinzer: We certainly recommended that we pass this. We had a lot of discussion about the positions and how they should develop. (inaudible)..we requested that an evaluation be presented to the Council. We also asked that the Human Resources Department look into alternative ways of funding (inaudible)

Councilmember Zietlow: It is not so much a minority report as an adendum. We recommended that the positions be advertised if it is higher than \$11,000(?). (inaudible). I would like to see these positions filed with the CETA office. (inaudible)

Leo Burke: First of all, I am very happy at the prospect of the position, it is very exciting. The position has not yet been created, if it is created by the Council tonight we will advertise it. An evaluation will be made after six months. (inaudible). The evaluations will include our recommendations as to whether the position should be continued.

Councilmember Davis: (inaudible) I think we should support this position since it will be evaluated by the Council.

Councilmember Kinzer: I agree with Councilwoman Davis. (inaudible). This is set up so that the people in these positions will receive additional training (inaudible).

Councilpresident De St. Croix: On the question

of CETA position evaluation, I'd like to request that the Mayor's and Council's staff and Council receive an evaluation of all CETA positions (inaudible). Councilwoman Kinzer's point is well taken.

Councilmember Towell: I'd like to say that the tax situation in Indiana makes it near to impossible to experiment with programs on the regular tax base. I've come to realize a kind of exception to the one project (inaudible) on funding of CETA positions; however, that does not mean that I'd take a different position on the necessity of evaluating these positions from the beginning. I declare now that if I don't vote to continue something, I've not violated any promise or obligation, that I hope people understand that kind of thing as being my understanding of what I am doing.

Councilmember Zietlow: I would like to get into the record some sort of acknowledgement of the (inaudible) from Bennie Koch. About eight or nine months ago she formed a sort of ad hoc group as a member of the American Association of University women to study the alternatives (inaudible) it was said in the news media of the community that there were huge areas which were not covered by any existing agencies. I think the results of her studies of what (inaudible) to the (inaudible) that we need to deal with the problems of runaways (inaudible). That is just one of the areas that we need to deal with and with people like Bennie Koch at ninety years old are still presenting new ideas to the community.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Mrs. Koch has been serving this community for a long time starting with the suffragette movement.

Leo Burke: With regard to the evaluations of the position, we would like to have the Council participation in that evaluation. That might be a very healthy process and we would encourage that.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Would your department please take the initiative with involving the Council committees with this?

Jeff Richardson: Just one question for the Council, I'm not sure what the procedure is in relation to bringing up somebody's proposal. I hear you talking about an evaluation after a six month period. Is there any kind of process or procedure where there is some kind of need assessment done before these kind of proposals are made? What is the procedure? I'm just curious about that (inaudible). I'm not saying this as a criticism or Mr. Burke or the Council but I still (inaudible) and I waited until there was discussion so that I could ask how this proposal came to be and I'm still somewhat confused about what kind of evaluation is done before the proposals are made.

Leo Burke: The position is a Youth Development and Technical Specialist (inaudible). It addresses itself to the entire youth development and the need for a comprehensive system or youth services. It also attempts to address the need for providing technical assistance and I'll try to explain that. (inaudible) and in talking to citizens who live in this community (inaudible) the need for funding sources in youth development and after with talking with many people (inaudible) with thought

that the need for this position was there (inaudible). With regard to technical assistance, the function of the Human Resources Department is to provide evaluations to those agencies which request (inaudible) and youth development funds from the City. We have found (inaudible). We are in a position for bargaining for youth development funds from federal revenue sharing. We felt that the best way to pursue this was to (inaudible) from the problem as we saw it. I think there are probably some differences in opinion in terms of what the problems of youth in this country.

Councilmember Davis: (inaudible) to try to bring these problems together. I have a suggestion for you, Jeff (Richardson), and that is to talk with Bennie Koch (inaudible)

Councilmember Zietlow: Again, I just want to get back to working together on this. I think we have established that there was a gap there. I was wondering what sort of coordination would be needed here.

Leo Burke: The job description for the position is to try to coordinate with (inaudible) in utilizing these agencies to their fullest.

Ordinance 75-57 was then adopted by a ROLL CALL VOTE of Ayes: 9, Nays: 0.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Ordinance 75-58 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only.

Ordinance 75-58

Councilmember Behen: Second.

Ordinance 75-58 was then read by Clerk Dolnick by title only.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Ordinance 75-58 be adopted.

Councilmember Towell: Second.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Do we have a Committee Report from Planning/Community Development.

Councilmember Towell: Let me just explain what this is, oh, that's the wrong one. The recommendation is Do Pass.

Mary Baker: I would just like to know (inaudible) is this is surrounded by the City?

Councilmember Mizell: It is next to the Dragon Inn.

Mary Baker: Is the Dragon Inn in the City?

Councilmember Mizell: Yes.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: (inaudible)

Ordinance 75-58 was then adopted by a ROLL CALL VOTE of Ayes: 9, Nays: 0.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Ordinance 75-59 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only.

Ordinance 75-59

Councilmember Behen: Second.

Ordinance 75-59 was then read by Clerk Dolnick by title only,

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Ordinance 75-59 be adopted.

Councilmember Behen: Second.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Do we have a Committee Report from Planning/Community Development? (Then read streets affected by Ordinance)

Councilmember Towell: This was a Do Pass. One important thing to say is that we now have another Ordinance before us on Sixth Street. When the City workers went out to designate the spaces, they did it on both sides of the street. Now we have a 90-Day Order on both sides of the street. We have another Ordinance coming in for the other side of the street which has not been considered in Committee yet. This one is for one side. If we decide yes tonight, that's that. The south side will come up at another meeting.

Councilmember Zietlow: I think the other items in this are rather straight forward and this is pretty sideways (inaudible) the parking meters were set in before anyone could say anything. A serious concern on the Traffic Commission first of all was that the Engineering Department recommended that there be no angle parking for traffic reasons. The Traffic Commission overrode the Engineer's report because they thought there was fairly light traffic in that block. Maybe we need to refrain from any action on this until the other Ordinance comes up and hear which side would be best.

Councilmember Behen: Having first made an observation of the situation when it first was instigated, I just made the assumption that one of the downtown merchants, having just offered some of their parking facilities for sale, felt the need (inaudible) on that block of Sixth Street.

Chief Carl Chambers: I think the north side of Sixth Street could be used for angle parking. I think the downtown merchants and the Traffic Commission (inaudible) the City Engineer or Jim Deahl on this. In the memo that the Ordinance was written from and sent to the Street Department, there was an error before we realized they had angle parking on both sides of the street. This necessitated either removing it after it went in or writing an Ordinance to protect the City. So, this was discussed with the Traffic Commission and other authorities and decided that we would go ahead and write the 90-Day Ordinance to govern the City and see if we will run into some problems on cars backing out onto the crosswalk. You do pick up several parking spaces. Angle parking on the south side of the street seems to be working. The downtown is pretty well pleased with the situation. Maybe parking can be removed on two spaces and put in motorcycle parking. It's been suggested that we come up with a motorcycle or bicycle rack. As far as I know, the north side was pretty well cleared. The south side is what is in question.

Councilmember Mizell: About the angle parking on both sides of the street, this is in front of the Older American Center and I've several

comments from patrons of the Center that it is bad enough with angle parking on one side of the street. They have difficulty maneuvering their cars.

Councilmember Towell: As far as backing into the crosswalk and into Walnut Street (inaudible) parking spaces would take care of that and I'm told that that would not be a change in the Ordinance since there is no specific designation for motorcycles. That leaves me with an additional question, that is, if a car were to use the spot, what enforcement would we have. Some of these things I was reserving for the Committee Meeting. I think that of the two sides, the north side is definitely easier to take. I would recommend action on this and then isolation of the possible difficulties of the other side.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: I would also like to point out that if (inaudible) section for further amendment we could amend it further with the other Ordinance by making changes in the amendment we've already made.

Councilmember Fix: I've utilized both sides of the street in parking my pickup truck. It seems to me that as far as parking is concerned that it is a good plan. I think the situation with the Older American Center, particularly people who maneuver across the street there, they get out of a car and wander across the street, it could be dangerous. I think we should discuss this with the Older American Center.

Ordinance 75-59 was then adopted by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF Ayes: 9, Nays: 0.

Michael Corbett: I'd like to make one statement concerning Salary Budgets. You were presented with two this evening and I would just like to try to clarify the situation. In August we passed the major portion of the Salary Ordinance for 1976 for City employees. Tonight we passed the Police and Fire Salary Ordinance. Also, for First Reading you have the Utilities Salary Ordinance coming up. I'd like to explain, before the end of the year, we will probably have some amendments to the 1976 Salary Ordinance which was passed in August and we will also see at First Reading on the Salary Ordinance for CETA employees. I just wanted to state that so that you could understand the situation.

-9-

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Next item on the Agenda is Appropriation Ordinance 75-11 Do I have a motion?

APPROPRIATION
ORDINANCE 75-11
Community Block
Grant Fund

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Appropriation Ordinance 75-11 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only.

Councilmember Behen: Second.

Appropriation Ordinance 75-11 was then read by Clerk Dolnick by title only.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Appropriation Ordinance 75-11 be adopted.

Councilmember Behen: Second.

Councilmember Towell: Even in this very busy recent season, we've held two committee meetings on this Ordinance; they were attended by members of the public. I'd like to point out that there are two aspects of Community Development funding which are not in this Ordinance. One of them is citizen participation and the other is emergency housing. We have had discussion of those too, and hopefully some progress on an eventual (inaudible). We do have the Citizen Participation Ordinance up for First Reading tonight. So, we have not gotten ourselves in the position of just considering parts of the entire proposal and leaving aside the others to come later. With emergency housing perhaps we could get to that soon, but we are now involved with Citizen's Participation. We have gone over each of the programs in some detail; we've had deeper discussions than we had last spring. They were not entirely satisfactory perhaps but at least we have an idea of what these proposals mean and we've prepared ourselves for the discussions of next year's proposals. We are coming to the season when those proposals will be under discussion and evaluation. We had no particular advisory opinion from the committee. We invited the whole Council to those meetings because we thought that this was a matter of such importance that the entire Council should make a recommendation. That's where we left it. I myself am personally in favor of going ahead with the proposals as they are and hope that the discussions will sharpen the proposals next year.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Do we have a committee report from the Appropriations Committee, Councilman Behen?

Councilmember Behen: On this there is no committee report. The reason being is that in the hecticness of it the Council committees and the Council Aide apparently snaffued and it was presented to me only last evening. It is far too late for a report, it is negligence on my part also, so I'll take full blame for it.

Joe Grodner speaks from the floor: Councilman Towell spoke about the Emergency Housing thing, I as a township trustee (inaudible) with private buying of emergency housing by private citizens, and I'm kind of curious about what stage that was in.

Steve Richardson speaks from the floor: Yes. Since emergency housing is not (inaudible) as Mr. Towell indicated in a portion of this proposal. We have made, we think, some progress

in coming to grips with what resources we should have for emergency housing (inaudible) a discreet budget proposal. Discussion has been taking place on on the use of Community Development monies. A number of dwelling units which would be provided on an emergency housing basis for emergency housing in a two week period. I think it's well worth discussing now. I find my outlining the basics of a proposal that is current is (inaudible) on workable programs is advising on how this should develop would call for the City utilizing City employees to provide assistance to individuals (inaudible) crisis care coordinator to provide counseling and other services that would be needed in an emergency situation. The Redevelopment Department's housing counselor providing assistance (inaudible) housing and also we are interested in utilization of the Public Housing Authority, which is in the business of housing people much more than we are, in the managerial aspects. The services of a social worker who would be hired in connection with the lease housing section eight program. We think we would rather have a number of people assisting. Now as far as the service, a portion of tonight's appropriation included under 75-11 is for \$71,000. Identified as a discreet portion of that will be the acquisition of homes from the Dyer II Addition. Those homes will, for the time that they are available, be considered as resources which might be utilized for emergency housing. Beyond that though we are interested in securing one structure, or one type of structure, it's the type of structure that I'm having trouble defining to you, because we haven't completely agreed upon what's needed. But one particular let's say unit containing three to four dwelling units in it could be utilized as a discreet emergency housing facility for this particular problem. We have had difficulty meeting the need, the broad need of what emergency housing programming is, because the number of supplies and other goods that would be provided to someone in this situation, these particular funds will not be able to provide those particular things because they're defined as income and under the eligibility rules we can't provide sources of income, but we can house people. So we will be providing mainly a physical service. We are trying to define now what the ramifications of that physical service may be. I might add that this service may be coordinated with the Monroe County Trustee Association, being one of the key resources in getting people to us in the interests of referral and also one of the beneficiaries of this program being provided is for city residents.

Councilmember Zietlow: Do you foresee that we can get a First Reading of this at the next Council meeting?

Steve Richardson: I'm not sure, it won't be very long. I very much want to do it as soon as we can get the workable program together with the ideas that we've been able to get from HUD as to what arrangements can be made to provide the service. We're having trouble coming to grips with how, I think some of the questions earlier, we're trying to come up with a program that will provide for the needs and not more of a label than a service.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Do you think we can shoot for a First Reading at the November 20 meeting?

Steve Richardson: We certainly can.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: If you can't, will you report to the Council at that point about

what the problem is, and what can be anticipated?

Steve Richardson: Yes. One of the problems is that the deadline for the November 20 meeting is tomorrow and the Workable Program Committee meets next Wednesday to discuss this again.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: The Council Affairs Committee I think has the support from the rest of the Council in accepting this Ordinance late presuming that it's not the day before Council meeting at twenty minutes 'til five or something like that.

Councilmember Morrison: Steve, can I ask you a question? As I understood emergency housing under HUD guidelines, if you had a federal program and these people were displaced, then HUD had the guidelines for emergency housing. What Joe was speaking to, speaking of people who are out of work, couldn't pay his rent, and they had to move him somewhere, is that considered an emergency?

Joe Grogan speaks from the floor: That covers (inaudible) one of the things, I was under the same impression you were when I wrote to HUD. For them to be an emergency or disaster, the President has to declare a national disaster, before it's a disaster, if your house burns to the ground and you don't have any insurance, that under HUD rules is not a disaster.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: A serious inconvenience then.

Councilmember Morrison: Well, if you understood it the same way, I did, why Steve, why not under your 701....

Steve Richardson: I don't think you have the right digit there (inaudible) do deal with certain emergency circumstances. I think they are much like Joe (Grogan) described. There are limits even getting into those dwelling units being replaced by sub-standard housing or being displaced by governmental action. Being displaced by governmental action is (inaudible). In other words, if we need to build in many instances where that's utilized, people who are relocated on that program, they are eligible for that governmental dislocation. If you were to build a highway through a certain area, that would be government dislocation. Normal emergencies in the practical aren't normally covered. I think what we're trying to do is fill the gap for individuals who (inaudible) one of the things we need to come up with for this program is who is eligible for this program.

Councilmember Morrison: In other words then if that would be the case, how could you justify, well Joe (Grogan) has practically answered it for me, you don't consider it a disaster, but how can you justify then on Community Development funds or any federal grants utilizing this for emergency housing, I could see how you could do it here. (inaudible)

Steve Richardson: (inaudible)...we're trying to use a block grant fund, which does provide wide and very flexible rules for allowing the community to decide what's particularly needed in terms of

housing and adapt certain programs to those needs. Emergency housing is identified as a local problem because of the make-up of the community. We were using this program, which allows us better flexibility. Some of the reason we need to use this program to provide this service is because it is flexible. The 235-236 programs are very limited and they aren't meeting the need. That's the problem with the program (inaudible) categorically decides what the rules of eligibility are.

Councilmember Morrison: It is possible, Steve, if we should purchase these homes on North Blair, can the city not use those as emergency housing?

Steve Richardson: Yes. We can technically use those as resources, but I don't think we can (inaudible).

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Excuse me. Before we continue discussion here I'd like to point out that although emergency housing is very important to Ordinance 75-11, it is not part of the substance of the Ordinance before us (inaudible)...we will be receiving an Ordinance which deals specifically with this problem, we have that promise from the Mayor's Office. (inaudible)

Councilmember Towell: We are having a meeting of the Planning/Community Development committee next Monday night.

Steve Richardson: I also have a meeting with the Redevelopment Commission that evening. We'll try to come in and out.

Councilmember Towell: Well, this is the regular meeting of the committee.

Steve Richardson: There are two.

Councilmember Towell: Well, I should think you have more than one person in the Mayor's Office.

Steve Richardson: Oh yes, we do.

Councilmember Towell: Besides that, the report that this proposal has sort of been .0 for many months, and the workable programs has had an opportunity to work on this for many months. There is some thought, as I understood it, that it was time for the Council to deal with the whole idea. (inaudible). Monday night the meeting takes place, (inaudible).

Steve Richardson: One of our assumptions was to try to reach a consensus within the workable program committee and present that particular point of view to the Council with any kind of minority report. We have developed some proposals on this.

Councilmember Zietlow: Is there any question about the 8th and 9th street connector? Is that absolutely determined that there will be such a thing?

Steve Richardson: That was a portion of the NDP 3 plans. One of the commitments you make when you accept federal funds is that you try to have some continuity between programs, which is sometimes difficult. This particular plan had been prepared at the behest of the Westside PAC, in their view. This is another problem with federal funding. We considered when we started in the NDP program that that would be the program. Well, much like the

Mad Hatter's Tea Party, the games' rules have been changed. (inaudible)... program, so we have to adopt that. One of the things that we are trying to do is, the Workable Program was asked by the Westside PAC to continue with the portion of the plan that they have gotten. One of the consensus of that organization is the need for the developments that the 8th and 9th street connector would provide for access to the West Ninth Street Park, and we're also working right now for additional parking assistance in the neighborhood plan in that area. To try to assist the neighborhood with a very difficult problem with having small lots and not having many parking spaces within those lots and streets.

Councilmember Towell: I'd like to reiterate that I would like to see discussion Monday night, (inaudible) we will exercise giving an opportunity to everyone to come in and get drafted away from the circle we've been in for so long. Perhaps another round of proposal writing or something. The objections to the proposal that we had at the last meeting were very clear cut in what should be changed or thought about and I thought that we could make progress. We have been meeting with the Workable Program Committee and they've discussed this, I'm aware of that. So, we've accomplished something in getting through another step of the process, whereas we hadn't in a long time. So I'm offering my committee as an instrument to expediate this. I do think that it's a great problem that we don't have the entire package together (inaudible).

Councilpresident De St. Croix: What room will your committee be meeting in Councilman Towell?

Councilmember Towell: It's a 7:30 in the Planning Conference Room.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Anybody that is interested in that can attend that meeting. Mrs. Baker, would you come to the microphone please.

Mary Baker speaks from the floor: (inaudible)

Councilmember Towell: The amount involved is \$50,000. The amount of people involved depends on the amount per grant, and that depends on cost (inaudible).

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Mr. Richardson, do you have any specific numbers for Mrs. Baker? such as how many bathroom facilities will be hooked on with the \$50,000 appropriation?

Steve Richardson: Yes, we have an estimate. We estimate the cost to be \$700 per hook-on, of course, every different situation will vary.

Mary Baker: I have been on the Workable Program Committee and it is my understanding that Workable Program Committee people have not received any information about directions about how much money will be spent and for what purpose, (inaudible).

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Do we have any members of the Workable Programs Committee here? (inaudible).

Mr. Mullin speaks from the floor: Well, I'd like to disagree with Mrs. Baker because we had the meeting for this, we knew the amounts for each program to decide, and I thought we did a pretty good job.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Thank you, sir. Mr. Richardson.

Steve Richardson speaks from the floor: I would remind Mrs. Baker that the Workable Program Committee has been meeting frequently and that I think they have been in constant touch with the details of every particular grant proposal. The Workable Program has been working on (inaudible) and trying to involve the citizen groups in the very beginnings of the grant program to decide points of eligibility, points of assistance and dilemmas wherever they occur. This grant proposal itself for fiscal 1975 when it was put together was subject to six public hearings in which (inaudible) and Mrs. Baker attended many of those. Full discussion of the proposals was presented in the abstract and since then the Workable Program Committee has been hard at work trying to have the details together much like in the last Council meeting, the Council had the opportunity to approve the rules and regulations for the Child Care Voucher System. We've been trying to do this on each and every grant proposal. They have been well informed.

Mary Baker speaks from the floor: Well then, may I have a breakdown of (inaudible), I still haven't received my copy.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: They will be expending \$20,000 for demolition; under Software Proposal for Special Contractual Services, the Workable Programs Committee will be expending \$86,205; under Planning Activities, \$65,000; and Total Contractual Services will be \$171,205. Grants and Subsidies will receive \$370,000, as recommended by the Workable Program Committee. Properties, under Buildings and Fixed Equipment, \$271,000, as recommended by the Workable Program Committee. The total is \$812,205, as recommended by the Workable Program Committee.

Mary Baker: Can I have a copy of that.

John Komoroske: You have one.

Mary Baker: But that's yours, you want it back. Oh, well, then can I have the last page of it?

Councilmember Davis: You can have my copy, Mary.

Councilmember Towell: I just want to point out that we've been discussing the business of Utility service, grants and so on, and next will be the Sewer Hook-on Ordinance. It's already been to First Reading. There have been two public meetings on it, and recommended by us were extensive changes in the Ordinance with just these concerns in mind. So when we get those proposals back we can have more discussions about it. The thoughts that we have on the Block Grant here will be pointed out by picture, but by no means the whole thing. I don't think this is (inaudible) with the need that has been anticipated, and we may have to come up with other sources of funding. So I feel that anything that's been through the process, we should pass that part of the Block Grant Fund proposal

tonight.

Mary Baker: What other sources of funding do you suggest, Mr. Towell?

Councilmember Towell: Without going too deeply into it, a loan program and a grant program in connection with the utilities and any other funding that the City has.

Mary Baker: So that what we have proposed this evening (inaudible).

Councilmember Towell: If we pass mandatory hook-on (inaudible) even with delays, we are generating more need... (tape break)

There was constant static on the tape at this point. Approximately five minutes of conversation was lost.

Councilmember Towell: That's still being developed. All we did was sort of get the skeleton on this and ask for further discussion by other groups, including the Utilities Service Board. This is sort of a minimal grant program and we are sort of anticipating that we may have to do it again next year with City funds. We are just providing the minimum right now. I think you can say that we're not hurting anything by going ahead.

Mary Baker: (inaudible) There are still many questions that we need answers to, further investigation (inaudible) so I think you should wait for consideration of this, otherwise you don't have the citizen input on this. (inaudible) can private people buy these homes? There is also another question, and that is how is the City going to pay (inaudible), that's two of them I don't have an answer for.

Steve Richardson: First of all as to the deletion of \$110,000, those houses certainly couldn't be utilized by the City of Bloomington, they are still in the hands of HUD. With the shortage of the \$110,000 we have no base in which to negotiate the purchase of those homes. Secondly, I think we may be (inaudible) a citizen, but not the citizens at large, and certainly with the Workable Program Committee has considered this broadly. Mary has apparently a difference in opinion with what has transpired than I recall. I think that this has been fully discussed and we are discussing this once more. I'd like to point out that the purpose of the Dyer acquisition program is this; Section 235 Program has not in all instances succeeded. The City recognized that, we have instituted the Housing Counseling Program to try to assist those families who are still there and trying to recertify the loan payments because of the escalated mortgages. Also to provide assistance to anyone in a budgetary manner and also dealing with the problems of mortgage companies, who sometimes return checks when they are a day late. What had happened in Dyer, and we described the program as abandonment abatement (inaudible) the neighborhood. The Community Development Block Grant is a neighborhood program, a neighborhood conservation program, and conservation of the existing housing (inaudible). In that particular neighborhood, we had a very high vacancy rate, fifteen houses out of eighty-five homes were vacant when this proposal was first put on the board. We felt that that was certainly

detrimental to the interests of those people that remained, and certainly since some of those houses had been vacant in the hands of HUD after five years, some local control or action is needed. What we intend to do with these houses in this program in order to use them is home ownership. We felt that this is one means of attempting to provide in an area where we have a program that is no longer one of HUD's (inaudible) housing responses, a program to alleviate the neighborhood's concerns about that program not working to the fullest advantage of those that were involved. What we hope to do is to deal with low income buying of those houses. Certainly the people that were displaced previously will be on equal footing with those who wish to buy. It's simply a matter that this is one neighborhood that definitely needed assistance and neighborhood conservation, you need to fight the various problems as they occur. This is thought to be a long time expenditure. We are seeking to buy these homes, and we are negotiating with HUD on how these homes can be offered people on a contract basis. The City is investing in the future of low-income housing ownership rather than spending our money with no return. This money will come back to the Community Development Block Grant Fund all in contract (inaudible).

Councilmember Towell: I am one person who was upset that there would be sort of a "Dyer Neighborhood" tag on this. I was very much in favor of there being attention paid to the Dyer need first, but I felt that Dyer was not the only neighborhood that had this kind of need. Perhaps the abandonment and abatement programs are a reflection of attitudes like mine, it doesn't just say Dyer purchase or something like that. Also the money is to be returned and reallocated in the Community Development program.

Steve Richardson: The houses are now rehabilitated. HUD rehabilitated them at our request.

Mary Baker: They are?

Steve Richardson: Yes.

Mary Baker: You're talking about the (inaudible) houses? They are all rehabilitated?

Steve Richardson: Yes, they are in...

Mary Baker: Could you tell us why HUD has withdrawn them from the market?

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Mr. Richardson, would you continue with your presentation, I told Mrs. Baker that we would listen to her questions (inaudible)

Steve Richardson: In response to the remark, that they have not withdrawn those houses from the market...

Mary Baker: They have not?

Steve Richardson: No. A point to Al's (Towell) remark about abandonment/abatement, I think my remarks were that this is the one neighborhood where we know we have to use it. Certainly if it's successful, we'd like to try it again. This is the one instance that I can think of that

there is an apparent problem that, well, this is one area where we know we can move in and make an effect immediately, where it may be scattered on a community wide basis, but it wouldn't be a concentration of buying in one particular place. I think it's only because of the exigent nature of the current situation that we would say that we're going into a neighborhood. Certainly if it works, we'd like to go into other neighborhoods where we find this situation.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Mrs. Baker, does that answer your question o.k.?

Mary Baker: Well, I was very glad to hear that private citizens can buy these homes because five days ago HUD in Indianapolis told us that they are not on the market. So we have a discrepancy here and until we really find out what the story is, I feel that we not consider this \$110,000.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Further discussion from Councilmembers. Mr. Mullins, would you please come forward?

Mr. Mullins: I've got to respond to Mrs. Baker's again. She is making these statements and I think she should do a little more thinking before she starts making them because they are not true. As far as the Workable Programs Committee is concerned, I've been at every meeting except for July 23 (inaudible), and Mrs. Baker hasn't been there. She should come to the meetings.

Irene Isom speaks from the floor: I'm a realtor and my firm's name is Tieman Real Estate. We were the ones that purchased those three houses and we had another offer in seven to eight days ago, business days ago, and I had a telephone call stating that (inaudible) previous offer in and our offer would be a back-up offer, or they would not be available. I don't know which, I didn't talk to them directly. We do have that offer in and a possibility of another. I would like to object to the City buying these houses. I think the realtors should have the opportunity to sell them. They shouldn't be sold to the City and taken off the tax rolls at this time. I think the realtors should have the opportunity to sell them.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Mr. Richardson, could your office check into this?

Steve Richardson: A point of clarification; if there is an offer, and those houses are (inaudible) and if an offer is received by HUD they won't accept another until that one is dealt with. Secondly, those houses are off the tax rolls right now. They are owned by the Department of Housing and Urban Development and we're trying to expediate their movement towards the tax base.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: The question is on the adoption of Ordinance 75-11. Clerk Dolnick, please call the roll.

Ordinance 75-11 was passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of Ayes: 9, Nays: 0.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Next item on the agenda is PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS. Mr. Lee Rand from the Bloomington Country Club is present.

PETITIONS &
COMMUNICATIONS
Sunday Liquor
permit

It's been the Council's action in the past to have five members of the Council (inaudible) asking that the state ADC review the request. Do we have that many members of the Council who would sign it? We will have that available for your signature momentarily. We will now take a fifteen minute break.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Next item on the agenda is Appropriation Ordinance 75-12. Do we have a motion?

APPROPRIATION
ORDINANCE 75-12
Board of Works -
Insurance

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Appropriation Ordinance 75-12 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only.

Councilmember Behen: Second.

Appropriation Ordinance 75-12 was then read by Clerk Dolnick by title only.

Councilmember Morrison: Mr. President, I move that Appropriation Ordinance 75-12 be adopted.

Councilmember Zietlow: Second.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: It's been moved and seconded that Appropriation Ordinance 75-12 be adopted. Do we have a Committee Report from Utilities/Public Facilities?

Councilmember Davis: I was the only one at the meeting. (inaudible) since we do need insurance next year, that's why I recommended Do Pass.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: This is for the old (inaudible) not the new one, correct?

Jean Strohm speaks from the floor: This is not for the City's (inaudible) this is for (inaudible) this is insurance on City property.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: So if somebody trips on a stair in the City Building this covers it.

Jean Strohm: Yes.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: The question is on the adoption of Ordinance of Appropriation Ordinance 75-12. Excuse me, Councilmember Zietlow.

Councilmember Zietlow: Where is the money going to come from?

Jean Strohm: (inaudible)...for an increase in July, you have to budget for that about sixteen months ahead. So the money that has been budgeted for not next July, but a year from July is (inaudible). I guess there's no way that we can really anticipate the increases in cost of our insurance(inaudible).

Councilmember Kinzer: Does this represent an appropriation (inaudible).

Jean Strohm: (inaudible)

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Mrs. Sims, can you explain where the \$44,192 will come from?

Martha Sims: We don't have anything to reduce, so it will be coming out of our operating budget, out of our operating balance, it will be that much less that we'll have at the end of the year.

-19-

Councilmember Zietlow: There is a question on how many bids were obtained from this.

Jean Strohm: This insurance was not bid this year, every three years (inaudible) was bid this year was life and health insurance for employees. (inaudible) by the bids we got on that. We had so many different kinds of rate increases involved, I think all insurance has gone up quite a bit this year. This particular part of the insurance had been (inaudible) for several years and it's still a pretty good bargain. It's not as though we're getting a bad deal. It's just a rate increase (inaudible).

Councilmember Towell: When you bid on a three-year contract, is that for a constant rate for three years?

Jean Strohm: It's not (inaudible) as many people as possible are contacted that are qualified. So it is true (inaudible) bidding on sealed proposals.

Councilmember Towell: Are the proposals for a constant rate for the duration of the proposals?

Jean Strohm: No. I don't think anybody would give you a constant rate (inaudible).

Councilmember Towell: Are there limits on the amount of increase allowed during the life of the contract?

Jean Strohm: That question has to be answered by the insurance consultant. I wasn't in my job when this three year contract was worked out.

Councilmember Towell: I used to live in Chicago and I'm familiar with the increases in loans and the increases during the life of a contract, so I don't think this is like that, I just want to know what the situation is.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: It might be appropriate to point out at this time that the Council has received a memorandum outlining the insurance procedure, made up by the Board of Works. Council staff is polling Councilmembers to determine if a meeting should be held to discuss these procedures and see if recommendations can be made for the future. Mrs. Sims.

Jean Strohm: (inaudible) I think it is necessary to have a consultant on any insurance proposal. I don't think I can do that on my own for very technical things (inaudible) a very large amount of money and you're trying to cover buildings and properties. I am completely open to suggestions from Councilmembers on this. I would like advice too on how this is supposed to be done. How we can get a good buy but still get a company that is qualified to handle the City's business, for good service as well as a good price.

Martha Sims: At the end of each of the fiscal years, Hartford sends their representative in and audits all of our books. This has been done habitually. At this audit, this great amount turned up. They have said at the end of next year we will not be surprised with any raise. It is just that each time we had more buildings and more properties.

Councilmember Mizell: I think Ms. Strohm mentioned that you had a 30% increase in rate? And you only budgeted \$10,000 to pay the premium?

Jean Strohm: No. Our group health insurance went up too. There really wasn't any in the budget for this. I think it went from about \$30,000 to \$54,000. Something like that, it was very large. We had increases on the other insurance too. In our budget request for this year, the budget went from \$60,000 to \$100,000. This would take care of the increases for next year, but the fact that the increase came in mid-year this year, this year's budget is inadequate.

Councilmember Mizell: Do we have any assurance that it will not increase again?

Jean Strohm: (inaudible) this is the way things are going. It's very tough to meet these increases with this kind of inflation. The City Controller said there is property that has to be insured.

Martha Sims: They said that there would be no surprise.

Councilmember Mizell: I have another question for Mrs. Sims. Since these monies are coming from the general fund, I had thought that we always appropriated the money from the general fund at the beginning of the year. How do we get additional monies?

Martha Sims: No, we always have a working balance. Now this year I have asked for a \$250,000 working balance, if you'll look at your twelve line statement. After we get to appropriations and what we expect to receive in the form of taxes, at the end of all that we add a working balance. We have to have some sort of a working balance. The money just comes every six months from taxes and the smaller a working balance we have, the sooner we have to borrow money.

Councilmember Mizell: How much money did we earn this year in the form of interest?

Martha Sims: In the year 1974 it was \$478,000.

Councilmember Mizell: How did this affect your working balance?

Martha Sims: Well, that wasn't general fund, most of that was utilities. I don't have a break-down on how much of that is general fund, but this last year I don't have any evidence of having general fund money ever being invested. It was well over \$20,000 that we (inaudible) but I don't have the exact break-down, I can get it.

Councilmember Mizell: Then we're not in danger of depleting our working balance.

Martha Sims: No. In fact, the State Board of Tax Commissioners would not let us do that. This is one reason that the Board doesn't like to give a working balance large enough to keep on for the six month period. Most cities do have a tendency to use their working balance for additional appropriations during the year. This city does not. I have always (inaudible) that we have to have. We don't delve into our working balance, and this is

a very unusual case for the City of Bloomington. There are other cities that have working balances that come up short at the beginning of the year. This is not anything unusual as far as the state is concerned, but it is unusual for the City of Bloomington, we do not ordinarily do it.

Mrs Mary Baker: If there was \$30,000 appropriated and the cost is \$54,000, why are we asking for \$34,000 instead of \$24,000?

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Mrs. Strohm indicated that we had cost increases in other insurance areas that the City hadn't anticipated (inaudible) and therefore, we do need more coverage. I don't believe the specific figure of \$30,000 was what was appropriated for this year; that was last year's fee. The difference between those two is part of the reason for the increase in insurance cost. Is that right?

Jean Strohm: Let me explain. (inaudible) the budget starts with January. We're going to change that so that we budget in January when this comes in so that we don't have this kind of confusion of (inaudible) but having to budget and figure out the increases in the middle of the year, but you think it starts in the calendar year for the budget, so we're going to change (inaudible).

Councilmember Fix: Do you entertain other proposals when you were informed of the rate increase?

Martha Sims: No. I'm sure this was for a three year period. This is the third year for this insurance.

Councilmember Fix: In other words, (inaudible) for the rates?

Martha Sims: For the three years. This would end in the first of July, 1976.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: That's because of the contract being open in terms of the increase for the insurance company but were closed for the City in terms of increases?

Martha Sims: (inaudible) insurance contract. I don't know yet anything about it. I just know now we've come up shy of money.

Councilmember Mizell: Could we have a contract that would give us the option to terminate if the fee increase would be in excess of what we had anticipated?

Councilpresident De St. Croix: That may be the kind of recommendation that the Council would like to make as it studies the insurance procedure based on the memo that we have just issued.

Councilmember Towell: We didn't have anything to do with negotiating the contract. It was done by the Board of Works and their board and the administration. Now we are being asked to appropriate more money on the basis of what contract they negotiated. I'm very unhappy that we don't have any real concrete information that we can get a hold of that shows that this is a justified increase.

Martha Sims: Well, insurance is a very complicated matter. The insurance committee did come up with a recommendation, and this is the recommendation that was taken. Neither they nor I could possibly

explain all the insurance data. In fact, when I don't know about something, I call the agent.

Councilmember Towell: Who is on this committee?

Martha Sims: Dick Fee is the president, Buddy Nye, Roger Curry, I'd have to look. (inaudible) insurance men in on the whole thing. As I say, it is a complicated matter and we have to have recommendations from them, somebody who knows what they're talking about.

Councilmember Fix: It seems to me that with a 30% increase in rates, other proposals could have been asked for.

Jean Strohm: Let me say that this summer (inaudible) what amounted to a three year agreement, I think we were pretty sure (inaudible) we probably do want to stay with a company for more than one year. The problem in negotiating a contract is we were already in the process of working for (inaudible) and life insurance, and I don't think that even in terms of my time that we could have negotiated two at once. The recommendation of the insurance committee told us that this was a fully justified increase, that the rates held low for two years and that it was time for them (inaudible) increases in the amount of property, all insurance had a rate increase and yet we still did get a very very good deal in terms of this insurance contract. (inaudible) and because the committee thought that this was a very good deal, and that it was a justified rate increase, we stayed with Hartford. It is open for new proposals. You can't negotiate an insurance contract every summer because we simply don't think we have the time to negotiate two contracts at the same time, counting the budget (inaudible).

Councilpresident De St. Croix: It appears that the Council has indicated its position on wanting to have a work session meeting to discuss procedures and make recommendations for the future. I'm not sure whether we can deal effectively with that question now. I do think that the question before us now is whether the Council is going to choose to appropriate the funds to continue the coverage for the City. We are dealing with a situation that is historic and I'm not sure as to whether recommendations as to changes right now effect this Ordinance. I would like to see this energy directed in a meeting to be held in the Council.

Councilmember Behen: In view of the Council's concern and willingness to address itself to the identification of a problem. I think in view of what you've said that it would be appropriate right now to call for the question on this matter.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: The question is on the adoption of Appropriation Ordinance 75-12.

Appropriation Ordinance 75-12 was then passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE of Ayes: 7, Nays: 2.
Nays: Councilmembers Mizell and Towell.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Next item on the agenda is Resolution 75-36.

RESOLUTION 75-36

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Resolution 75-36 be introduced and read by the Clerk in entirety.

Councilmember Behen: Second.

Resolution 75-36 was then read by Clerk Dolnick in entirety.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Resolution 75-36 be adopted.

Councilmember Behen: Second.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Do we have a Committee Report from Utilities/Public Facilities?

Councilmember Davis: (inaudible) was Do Pass.

Councilmember Behen: The Appropriations Committee recommends a Do Pass. I should mention that it is a committee of one. Getting people together the week before the election was a problem.

Councilmember Fix: Have there been training sessions, Mr. Wray, on removing the snow downtown?

Jim Wray: It is going to be a trick this year.

Councilmember Zietlow: I have a question about the Institutional Medical in the MVH, and (inaudible) the uniforms. Are they just not wearing uniforms any more? I thought we were moving more towards that.

Jim Wray: We were moving away from it about three or four years ago. The first year they were not too well received. We started with bus drivers in uniforms and street crews. There are some exceptions made and most of the crew preferred to not have that deducted and I think it has helped morale. We get some complaints, but I think the big picture is that there has been a phenomenal amount of work done in that last three years on streets. We have left it to their option. Occassionally we do have a little chat about personal appearance but it hasn't come to the point where I'm going to require them to go back to uniforms.

Resolution 75-36 was then adopted by a ROLL CALL VOTE of Ayes: 9, Nays: 0.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Ordinance 75-62 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only.

ORDINANCE 75-62

Councilmember Behen: Second.

Ordinance 75-62 was then read by Clerk Dolnick by title only.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: This is a rezoning. It is to change the zone from RS to SCRS and from RE to SCRE and to RL from SCRL. (inaudible) on South Curry Pike (inaudible).

Councilmember Mizell: This particular Zoning Ordinance was received by the Plan Commission and considered and the votes on the request

were six to two against the request. So this evidently comes to the Council as an appeal from the petitioner.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: Would the Council Committee please take note of that.

Councilmember Fix: I suggest that all Councilmembers do their homework on this.

Councilmember Towell: It will come up Monday.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Ordinance 75-64 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only.

ORDINANCE 75-64

Councilmember Zietlow: Second.

Ordinance 75-64 was then read by Clerk Dolnick by title only.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: As indicated by the title, this is the Salary Ordinance for Utility Employees.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Appropriation Ordinance 75-13 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only.

APPROPRIATION
ORDINANCE 75-13

Councilmember Behen: Second.

Appropriation Ordinance 75-13 was then read by Clerk Dolnick by title only.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: This will appropriate \$5,000 to Parks and Recreation for equipment. This has been received from the county for capital improvements in their park system.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Appropriation 75-14 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only.

APPROPRIATION
ORDINANCE 75-14

Councilmember Behen: Second.

Appropriation Ordinance 75-14 was then read by Clerk Dolnick by title only.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: This is the Utilities Department budget for 1976.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that Appropriation Ordinance 75-15 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only.

APPROPRIATION
ORDINANCE 75-15

Councilmember Behen: Second.

Appropriation Ordinance 75-15 was then read by Clerk Dolnick by title only.

Councilpresident De St. Croix: This appropriates the funds for Citizen Participation under the Community Development Block Grant.

Councilmember Morrison: I move that the minutes of June 5, 1975 be adopted.

MINUTES June 5

Councilmember Behen: Second.


The minutes of June 5, 1975 were then approved by a unanimous voice vote.

Councilmember Towell: I move that we adjourn.


ADJOURNMENT
10:00 p.m.

Councilmember Morrison: Second.

ATTEST:


Nora M. Connors, Deputy City Clerk

APPROVE:


Brian C. DeSt. Croix, President
Bloomington Common Council