

In the Council Chambers of the Municipal Building, on Thursday, April 4, 1974 at 7:30 p.m., with Councilpresident James S. Ackerman presiding.

REGULAR SESSION  
COMMON COUNCIL  
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON  
INDIANA

Present: Jack Morrison, Richard Behen, Wayne Fix, Charlotte Zietlow, Sherwin Mizell, Alfred Towell, James S. Ackerman

ROLL CALL

Absent: Hubert T. Davis, *De St Croix*

Francis X. McCloskey, Mayor of the City of Bloomington; Ted Najam, Administrative Assistant; Tom Crossman, City Planner; Martha Ellen Sims, City Controller; James Regester, Corporate Counsel; Bruce Wackowski, Director-Attorney of the Human Rights Commission;

CITY OFFICIALS PRESENT

About 25 other people including members of the press.

OTHERS PRESENT

Councilman De St. Croix moved that the minutes of the Council Meeting of March 21, 1974 be approved as distributed. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Minutes

3/21/74

Francis X. McCloskey, Mayor of the City of Bloomington, said that the Council has before it tonight for second reading the Economic Development Ordinance basically providing for the five member City commission. The Mayor asked for the Council's approval and backing of this ordinance. Councilpresident Ackerman, Councilwomen Zietlow, Monroe County Advancement Corporation and the Mayor have been discussing over the past several months how to do this on a community-wide basis with the City and County, public and private sectors, working together. The appointments would involve one appointment by the City Council, one appointment by the County Council, and three appointments by the Mayor. In the interest of City and County, public and private cooperation, the Mayor has assured certain individuals that will speak later in the meeting, that the Mayor would select one of his appointments from a list of suggestions from the County Commissioners. It was obvious to the Mayor that there are economic problems in Bloomington and that the City does need a better business climate, more industry, more job developments so once again the Mayor asked the Council for their support of this ordinance.

MESSAGE FROM THE MAYOR

The Mayor was very pleased to see the Resolution authorizing city bus expansion before the Council. This has been worked on very hard for over a year so there will be a very good detailed presentation later in the meeting. It is the same program that the City has been discussing for the past several months, fourteen more buses,

twelve medium size and two larger coaches this will be an overall 1.4 million dollar expansion. About 280,000 will be the matching funds involved. A tax increase is probable but there is a chance that there are bills before the Congress now for operational subsidy in the area of mass transit. The success of our present system over the last year and particular our energy and economic problems makes it manifest that we are moving in the right direction on this. In referring to an editorial in the evenings newspaper the Mayor said that some of the points that the editorial made will be refuted by the staff.

The Mayor asked the Council's support in his nominations to the Environmental Commission of David Parkhurst who is a trained Botanist in the School of Public and Environmental Affairs. The Mayor also sought the Council's approval for the reappointment of Barbara Heise she has done a great job as a lay specialist on that commission.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that the Council approve the appointments of David Parkhurst and Barbara Heise to the Environmental Commission. Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

Councilwoman Zietlow said that she felt that the Environmental Commission has been very effective in the last few years. Councilwoman Zietlow was very pleased to see the reappointment of Barbara Heise.

The motion to approve the Mayor's appointments of David Parkhurst and Barbara Heise was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Councilman Towell said that the Utilities Service Board sub-committee was not ready to give a report at this time.

MESSAGES FROM  
COUNCILMEMBERS

Councilman Mizell asked how the Legal Department was progressing on their annexation ordinances?

Mr. James Register, Corporate Counsel, said that the Legal Department is working on the descriptions of the property to be annexed.

Councilwoman Zietlow said that the appointments for the Women Commission were not ready for this meeting.

Councilpresident Ackerman read the following letter of resignation from Hubert T. Davis.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that the agenda be amended to include at this point the introduction of

Resolution 74-24. Councilman  
Towell seconded the motion.  
The motion was carried by a  
unanimous voice vote.

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk  
introduced and read Resolution 74-24  
in its entirety.

Resolution 74-24  
Hubert T. Davis

Councilman De St. Croix moved  
that Resolution 74-24 be adopted  
by acclamation. Councilman Behen  
seconded the motion.

Councilman Towell said that a  
medical condition such as this  
could happen to anyone. Councilman  
Towell's feeling of Hubert Davis  
was that he has shown an iron will  
and determination to remain a useful  
member of the Common Council and of  
the Community. Councilman Towell said  
that he admired Hubert Davis very much  
for that.

Mayor McCloskey said that he gladly  
endorses and support Resolution 74-24.  
He felt that Hubert T. Davis has been  
close to all of us in the last two  
years. Mayor McCloskey said that  
Hubert T. Davis was an outstanding  
Councilman no one tried to do a better  
job of trying to analyze the pros and  
cons of any issue. Mayor McCloskey said  
that he should be specially sighted for  
his outstanding services in the area  
of transportation. Hubert Davis brought  
intelligence and integrity of outstanding  
proportions to the Common Council.

Councilpresident Ackerman said that Hubert  
Davis and Councilpresident Ackerman filed  
for office on the same day, before than but  
particularly since than Councilpresident  
Ackerman has felt a close bond to him.  
Within the next two weeks the Council will  
elect his wife Flo Davis to fill is place  
on the Council. Through Flo Davis, Hubert's  
sound wisdom will continue to be represented  
in our midst. But we will miss you Hubert,  
you common sense and solid judgement mixed  
with your strong compassion for the human  
needs for this community. You have gone  
far beyond filling the duties of a councilman.  
Even as your health was failing you continued  
to shoulder the burdens of council representative  
and council liaison on many of the most important  
and functional boards and commissions of this  
City. You got involved and you got others  
involved whether it was working on problems  
of traffic and parking or bicycle paths,  
or improving the services of which cable  
TV could render to our community. The  
fruits of your labors are already becoming  
apparent and our town will continue to  
benefit as a direct result of the work  
which you have done. For this and for  
everything else we thank you.

Mr. David Rogers said that over the  
past year he has known Hubert in his

vocation as a laymen working out of his denomination in a very difficult, delicate process of uniting the campus ministries on the campus. It was on Hubert that many of us relied on for sound advice.

Councilman Mizell said that the face of Bloomington has already been changed by the efforts of Hubert and by the influence that he has had on this council it will continue to change and improve. Even those people who have not agreed with the way Hubert has voted have always retained respect for his judgement, his integrity and his commitment to developing a better community.

Councilwoman Zietlow said that like everybody else that she was very sad to have to accept this resignation. Councilwoman Zietlow said that she has always turned to Hubert for a clear, penetrating, thinking ability, unparalleled to assess the issues in terms of the items involved. Despite the fact that we have to accept his resignation Councilwoman Zietlow felt that Hubert Davis will be irreplaceable.

Councilman De St. Croix said that he has had his own experiences with sickness lately. Hubert Davis has shown more courage and more plain old guts in doing what he said he was going to do than I have ever seen anybody do before. If anybody needs a model for commitment to a community Hubert Davis has really shown us that.

Councilman Fix said that if Hubert is watching he would like to thank him very much for what he has done on the Council and that we will miss you very much. We hope that we can still gain from all of your knowledge.

Councilman Behen said that his silence is just that he is agreeing with everything that is being said about Hubert tonight. Councilman Behen added that he admired everything about Hubert.

Councilman Morrison just wanted to wish Hubert Davis well.

Councilpresident Ackerman said that a group from the United Ministries was in the audience to commend Hubert Davis. They were Mr. A. E. Lacy, Mr. David Rogers, Mr. Nevin Danner, Mr. James Wood, Mr. and Mrs. Robert Epps, and Treva Kinyon.

Resolution 74-24 was PASSED  
BY ACCLAMATION.

Councilpresident Ackerman explained the rule for filling a vacancy for the Council. It requires the Council to call a special meeting to be held not less than two days and not more than 15 days after the vacancy is discovered. The notice of the meeting is to be given by the City Clerk by publication once in a newspaper of general circulation and the vote must be by a majority.

At this time Councilpresident Ackerman called a special meeting of the Council for Monday, April 8, 1974 at 8:00 a.m., for the Council to vote on the filling of the vacancy of Hubert T. Davis place on the council.

Councilman Behen moved that Ordinance 74-20 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Ordinance 74-20  
Repeal of Chapter  
15.68.110 of the  
City Code "Penalty  
for Violation"

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, introduced and read Ordinance 74-20 in its entirety.

Councilman Behen moved that Ordinance 74-21 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Ordinance 74-21  
Amending Chapter  
17.12 of the City  
Code, "Electrical  
Code"

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, introduced and read Ordinance 74-21 in its entirety.

Councilman Behen moved that Ordinance 74-23 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Ordinance 74-23  
Amending the  
Bloomington Zoning  
Maps (Country Club)

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, introduced and read Ordinance 74-23 by title only.

Councilman Behen moved that Ordinance 74-24 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Ordinance 74-24  
Amending the  
Bloomington Zoning  
Maps (Fountain Park)

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, introduced and read Ordinance 74-24 by title only.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that the Agenda be expanded to include Ordinance 74-25. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that the introduction of Ordinance 74-25 and Appropriation Ordinance 74-5 and 74-6 come after item ten on the Agenda. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was passed by a unanimous voice vote.

Councilman Morrison moved that Ordinance 74-10 be introduced and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote..

Ordinance 74-10  
Creating an  
Economic Development  
Commission

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, introduced and read Ordinance 74-10 by title only.

Councilman Morrison moved that Ordinance 74-10 be adopted. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

Councilpresident Ackerman explained the purpose of this ordinance is to repeal the old economic development commission which has already been repealed. It is to create a new Bloomington Development Commission of five members, three members appointed by the Mayor, one by the Monroe County Council and one to be nominated from the Bloomington Common Council. It would assume the duties and powers of trying to see new development being brought into Bloomington.

Councilwoman Zietlow said that first of all she had a number of comments and would not do them all at once. Councilwoman Zietlow underscored the need for Economic Development in the City of Bloomington. Councilwoman Zietlow said that she has worked with a number of job programs lately and there is certainly a need for jobs and obviously in order to get more jobs we are going to have to have somebody provide them new industry. Councilwoman Zietlow said that she strongly favors the creation of an economic development commission. The main purpose of this commission, as she understood it, would be to promote the floating of bonds, revenue bonds for industries that would want to be developed or would want to come into the community. We have the option of having a three man or a five man commission. Mayor McCloskey has chosen to introduce this ordinance. Councilwoman Zietlow understood that this is also the ordinance that the county government would favor. The possibility exists for the county government to create its own additional commission and she felt that that would be very unfortunate. Two commissions working side by side might have conflicts. Because the county government that we have now has been willing to go along with this, we should consider the five member seriously.

Councilman Towell said that he could not help but comment on the last economic development commission report and their emphasis on bringing in a better class of citizen in to Bloomington. Councilman Towell indicated that we have some pretty good people in Bloomington and we certainly have some talented people who need employment, who would like to have employment. A number of people who work very hard are under employed are not fully productive to their education and abilities in our community. Councilman Towell said that one of the objects of this commission, if we empower

such a commission would be to find suitable industry and economic development that would better employ our citizens. The people who are already here and who have a great deal more to contribute to the community if we have the proper kind of development to accommodate them. Councilman Towell said that he has a great concern about the membership of the commission. What he was talking about was not a kind of neutral issue but, evidently a political one. Councilman Towell would like to see people on the commission who could take that kind of attitude about the citizens of Bloomington. If they are not willing to or if they feel that their group have a right to speak for the whole community to decide what is best for it and not take into account other groups in the community than having this commission would be very bad. It would only perpetuate the kinds of divisions and ugliness that we sometimes have boil to the surface in the community. Councilman Towell would like to know as much as can be that there is some assurance that this kind of thing will not happen if we have an economic development commission. Secondly, Councilman Towell felt that we had a number of important needs in the community which are not being met. Councilman Towell said that the stereotype that everyone has of this commission is that they build an industrial park with bonds, they go out and find industries and bring them in, people work here and we welcome new growth and some new management and technical people from the outside to the community. That is fine but, the words of the law which permit such a commission do have a much wider construction than that. This commission will be one of the most powerful entities in the community and it will be run by appointed people. It will have great power for good or, as Councilman Towell indicated in his first point, what he would take to be for bad. We have needs in the community which are economic they do further the economy of the city. We have some of those needs being met, we have the power to issue revenue bonds being implied in certain directions. For example housing, there is no reason in the world why they couldn't partly sponsor perhaps in cooperation with other agencies in the community a housing business. Any commercial thing, anything that has to do with profit making could be promoted by this commission. At least all the cases seem to indicate this, the legal cases. Maybe we need to formulate some of our programs and needs and ask the possible members of the commission if they would be willing to sponsor and help further some of these needs. Councilman Towell's third point was that simply this is a very powerful entity. They can even forgive property taxes or have them forgiven. That the amendments in recent legislature were in that direction. Although the language of the law is somewhat obscure. They have other incentives that they can give for promotion of the economic reality of Bloomington in one direction or another. There are a lot of questions that we need to explore.

Councilman De St. Croix said that he felt that Councilman Towell has raised some questions about the potentials of a Commission of this sort. Councilman De St. Croix asked Mayor McCloskey to run through what the powers and duties of this commission would be.

Mayor McCloskey said that a lot of work and a lot of effort has gone into the development of this ordinance. Some people fear an Economic Development Commission along the lines of its going to become a boom or bust thing, we are going to have Gary Steel Mills, just anything for a dollar, and all of the reasons that we have ever had for living in Bloomington will be gone. The Mayor said that there are very few people that he has talked to with that point of view. The Chamber of Commerce and the Monroe Advancement Corporation share similar concerns about the quality of life in Bloomington. There are definite economic problems in Bloomington for all social classes it is something that the city government should be concerned about. This will help the present people in Bloomington improve their economic situation. Helping small businesses expand as well as drawing small and medium size plants in from the outside. If anything does go wrong the Council has the authority to repeal the Ordinance.

Mr. Richard Rechter, Rogers Construction Company, said he was here tonight on behalf of the Monroe Advancement Corporation. The Monroe Advancement Corporation was formed by a group of business and professional people who are concerned about the direction that Bloomington was going economically. Each member of the Corporation is giving of his money and time with one thing in mind and that is to bring to Bloomington more jobs. We have lost a number of jobs within the last few months and unemployment is high. Monroe Advancement Corporation supports this ordinance and would like to see it enacted.

Mayor McCloskey said that some of the powers given to this commission would be the power of eminent domain the power to tax. The Commission could acquire property for lease or sale to private industry by the power of eminent domain and it could tax to acquire property as long as any expenditures along this line are ultimately given back to the public by means of revenue bonds and funded through the private sectors. It can enter into agreements concerning and acquire by any lawful means land or interest in land and personal property needed for the purposes of this chapter. It can exercise the power of eminent domain to acquire unapproved land or unoccupied facilities and land related there to for purposes of this chapter. It can purchase, lease, construct, remodel, rebuild, enlarge, or substantially improve facilities including machinery and equipment, and lease facilities to users with or without an option to purchase. It can sell facilities to users for a consideration which can be paid in installments or otherwise. It may make direct loans to users or developers for the cost of construction of facilities in which event a bond shall be secured by the pledge of one or more notes to dentured bonds or

other secured or not secured debt obligations of the user. It can enter into agreements with users or developers to allow such users or developers to wholly or partially construct facilities to be acquired by the municipality. The governing body of the municipality may levy a special tax to pay the cost of operation of the economic development commission but such funds shall not be used to pay any of the cost attributed to the acquisition and leasing or sale of facilities except where advancements to be reimbursed from bond proceeds. It could be one of the two or three most important commissions in the community

Councilman De St. Croix asked the Mayor how the Republican tax package would affect the power to tax.

Mayor McCloskey said that on a common sense basis and without the special exception route we could not go over the \$3.83 ceiling that we have right now.

Councilwoman Zietlow asked if this would create a separate taxing authority.

Mayor McCloskey said that it was not listed as a separate taxing authority but, you could tax for funds as part of the overall general fund budget. As to any tax money used for the acquisition of land it would have to be reimbursed through the public sector by reason of the bonds coming in from the businesses being developed.

Mr. Skip Harrell, Monroe Advancement Corporation, gave the Council two examples of the Economic Development Commission being able to assist the community. By assisting local businesses and industry and in promoting new businesses and industry. One of the things that the community is missing is a resource, the revenue bond resource. The Economic Development Commission is the vehicle that gives us this resource. The EPA regulations will put a financial burden on several small businesses and medium size businesses in the near future. These revenue bonds could be used to assist the local businesses. The second example is in Martinsville, within the last week they have been able to sell an industry that has been struggling for some time. The plant has now been sold to Excel Corporation. Mr. Don Neil, president of the Chamber of Commerce, indicated to Mr. Harrell that the Economic Development Commission was the key to this sale. The Monroe Advancement Corporation sees this as a resource that we need in the community. The idea came originally by contacting the Fantas Corporation, which is the leading corporation in locating plant sites. The use of the Economic Development Commission was one of the things they suggested that we do. We need the Economic Development Commission as a resource to primarily help local businesses but, also on the location of new businesses which we see as a long range project. The first thing we have

to do is get the businesses that are looking for aights to come to Bloomington and talk with us.

Councilman De St. Croix asked Mr. Harrell if he would expand on one of his comments and that is one of the things that the Bloomington Economic Development Commission could do would be to assist financially local businesses in meeting EPA requirements for example. So that would be that the Economic Commission from tax levied dollars would be providing a subsidy assistance to local businesses because they cannot deal with it themselves. A sort of general community welfare for the businesses.

Mr. Harrell explained that this would be from the sale of revenue bonds.

Councilwoman Zietlow said that they had created an Economic Development Commission about a year ago but not according to this statute and had much more limited powers. The hope at that time was that we did need additional employment, we did need additional economic development in the community. One of the things lacking in the report they sent to council was a consideration of the necessity of creating jobs. It was more a creation of industry than a creation of jobs. Councilwoman Zietlow said that she felt that Monroe County Advancement Corporation will be very helpful in developing new economy but hoped that other groups would also be able to use this commission as a source or agent which would support them in raising money to start a new industry.

Mayor McCloskey said that the answer is yes.

Mr. Dan Bynum, Monroe Advancement Corporation, said that when they visited with the Fanta people they discovered that in 1964 Bloomington got the reputation of not having a labor pool that would interest industry. When they pulled the card on Bloomington Indiana it had not been recommended for new industry since 1964. We found that this was due to the fact we did not have male employees available, during the years of the Viet Nam war many of our young people were being drafted. We suddenly realized that in more recent years since the close of the Viet Nam War that more and more young people are appearing on the labor market. Our High Schools each year are graduating a sizeable number of young men. We now do have a labor pool of young male employees who are seeking employment. In talking with representatives of the Department of Commerce that 50 some cities in the state of Indiana have formed Economic Development Commissions. there are two or three who have county commissions but, the remainder are city commissions. The scop of this is quite broad!

We could find a number of ways that we could make use of the powers. The statutes clearly states the conditions under which this would be done. It will be well governed, well controlled, well regulated and the endeavor would certainly be worth while. We are very interested in the welfare of present industry for a number of reasons. In the first place when you deal with present industry you don't have all the problems that come with a new industry, the utilities are there, the land is there, the structure is there, and an industry increasing its employment by 100 people is equal to a new industry that brings in a 100 people without many of the problems. So we are going to dedicate ourselves to a survey of local industry to see how it can be helped and we could easily find that maybe the Stone Industry needs help through the economic development commission. We find that when you talk with the professionals in the field that when you say yes we have an economic development commission that you are saying to them yes the mechanics are here by which the city has expressed its interest in economic development and has provided those mechanics whereby bonds can be sold, revenue can be generated for proper and right purposes.

Councilman De St. Croix said that he hopes that the Monroe Advancement Corporation will address itself to the female employment as well as the male employment in Bloomington. Councilman De St. Croix also congratulated Mr. Bynum on his receiving the President's award from the Chamber of Commerce.

Councilman Mizell asked what the relationship is between the Monroe Advancement Corporation and the Economic Development Commission.

Mr. Bynum explained that the Monroe Advancement Corporation is a task force that goes out looking for industry, looking for ways to help industry, either existing industry, new industry. We are the group that would make the calls, make the trips, prepare the brochures, do the various things to entice industry. As we hear of prospects we will provide them with the material that they request. We would host them in the community, we would seek a good relationship among the people that they would want to talk to, the employment security division manager, the school superintendent, representatives of the utilities, representatives of city government. We would attempt to correlate all of these factors that are involved and to entice people to come and look at Bloomington and hopefully would be interested in locating in Bloomington. We can do these things but in the back ground we need the help of government and the mechanics lie in the formation of this economic development commission.

Councilman Mizell asked if the policy making would be made by the Monroe Advancement Corporation or the Economic Development Commission.

Mr. Bynum felt that there would be a high degree of cooperation between the Economic Development Commission and the Monroe Advancement Corporation. As far as policy is concerned the Economic Development Commission would be controlled by the ordinance which established it.

Councilman Mizell said that he was interested in the human resources in this community he was concerned not only with the high school graduates, elderly people in this community, the women in this community, if these people were to come together as a group which organization would they approach.

Mr. Bynum said that they plan to work closely with the high schools in vocational training. This is dealing with just a segment of the population or the work force. We would try to coordinate the needs of industry with what kind of training students are getting in the vocational training schools of this area. So that they are producing the type of employees that are needed. As to where people will go this will probably be channeled through the employment security division but now that is purely a personal answer.

Mr. Charles Stroh, Executive Vice President of the Chamber of Commerce, said that part of the answer may come from section ten of the state law where it says that "it shall be the duty of the commission to investigate, study, and survey the need for additional job opportunity, industrial diversification, pollution control facilities in the municipality, and to recommend action to improve or promote job opportunities etc." So that the Economic Development Commission that is created under this ordinance could do some of the things that you are asking about.

Councilman De St. Croix ask if the Monroe County Advancement Corporation will be around whether or not there is an Economic Development Commission. Yours is a civic organization for the advancement of business and community interest in Monroe County. You are not a governmental unit.

Mr. Charles Stroh said that was correct.

Councilwoman Zietlow said that as she understands it there is quite an active economic development commission in Gary. Its major function has been to support the sale of revenue bonds.

obviously the statute provides greater powers than many of the economic development commissions have in fact exploited. What it all boils down to is the membership of the commission. It will be up to the membership of the commission to determine if they are anxious to in fact to deal with section 10 of the statutes to conduct surveys of the work force of the community. Councilwoman Zietlow expressed a desire that the Economic Development Commission will work closely with the Monroe Advancement Corporation and with the other commissions of the city.

Councilman Towell said that he can say without question that we have been interested in economic development as a council. We went unanimously for a commission over a year ago, we have talked a lot about it, we have wanted it to happen, yet this is more or less a hand shaking session. Most of us have not been in on the work that has come up with this ordinance. We know who your group is because we know some of you, we have an idea of some of the other people involved. We have many similar interest but, we also have some different concerns. There is enough common ground here to work out what would be good for the community. It seems to have that kind of a discussion going on at the time you have an ordinance is very bad because I would like to vote for economic development which includes some of my concerns. I said that he had no guarantee that this is going to be that. It could be something quite different. The last economic development commission had a great responsibility on it when I saw unemployed people walking up and down the street, when I heard of new lay offs, new problems for the work force Councilman Towell said that he was glad that we have an economic development commission and than what they came out with was less valuable than it should have been given the responsibility. If we create a commission and it does add to the total business activity of Bloomington but doesn't make much difference to the kinds of things that I am interested in than I will feel cheated and a lot of other people will feel cheated. Councilman Towell said that the reason why revenue bonds can be such a powerful thing is because they are tax free. So that the money you have for development is maybe three points below the market and that can be quite an edge in generating the kind of support you need for an industry. That is quite a lot of power, it is an important subsidy for economic development. We have one opportunity to exercise that in a commission like this we would like it to be in the right direction and for the right purposes. Councilman Towell said that he was very pro economic development. Councilman Towell said that he understood from previous experience that one of the major forces that had to be gotten around the last time we had a Monroe Advancement Corporation was that the existing business were not as interested as the rest of the community in having additional businesses. The Chamber of Commerce was not as interested since they reflected the wishes of existing businesses at that time. Councilman Towell said that he was interested to see that Mr. Stroh is involved and other members of the Chamber of Commerce are involved in seeking new business or in having a commission. Therefore naturally a question arises in the mind of Councilman Towell as to what real interest there are here, what is the nature of the interest, what direction would it go. Councilman Towell was indicating the kinds of questions he had and also saying that he was very pro having economic development that includes all our interest. If it is one sided Councilman Towell and other people will feel very cheated. Councilman Towell said that he was not sure what could happen in a meeting like this that could make any difference to his concerns. But he did want to voice them so that there can be reaction if anybody wants to react.

Councilman De St. Croix said that he wanted to point out that some of the concerns that Councilman Towell is raising are very valid ones. Councilman De St. Croix did not feel that a lot of his questions could be answered, we could spend the rest of the evening exploring one another's attitudes, interest and concerns. Because we are passing this thing perhaps with some sort of good faith, if Councilman Towell or other members were to feel that that good faith were transgressed and their concerns were not being shared by the commission. This ordinance can also be repealed. That is the sort of checks and balances that this Council represents.

Councilman Mizell said that there is a genuine interest in the development of the economy in this community. The Bloomington Advancement Association had done an admirable job in bringing in new industry to this community. This new commission would also approach it from another point of view that is to utilize the human resources that we have in this community.

Councilwoman Zietlow asked if there were ways to amend this ordinance if the Council would consider that necessary in the future?

Mr. James Regester, corporate counsel, said that his answer was no. If the Council passes this ordinance you will in effect come under the Indiana Code. If this ordinance is passed it is not that simple to repeal it because this commission will have the power to issue revenue bonds. If that is done you certainly will have certain financial commitments.

Councilman De St. Croix asked if you could limit the function of this commission to just fulfill their already existing obligations.

Mr. Regester said that he would have to say no.

Councilman De St. Croix felt that there should be a liaison from the Council to watch the economic development commission.

Councilman Behen felt that this was an ideal situation of citizen involvement of appointed boards working with elected people.

Councilwoman Zietlow said that the Council should not enter into this ordinance unless they intend to pursue the economic development commission. When we get into the sell of revenue bonds there will be a commitment and so we should enter into this whole heartedly.

Mayor McCloskey said that we could talk for hours about the opportunities, possibilities, motivations and uses of power. Mayor McCloskey said that he sense a certain unhealthy suspicion on the part of some councilman concerning the business community, concerning the people who have taken the time and made the effort over the months, years to do something really good in this community. The people who compose the Monroe Advancement Association are not buck grabbers or what ever the suspicion is that is going around here. They do have the best interest of the community at heart. Speaking for the Mayor's office I am proud of the work they have done and I am looking forward to working with them. They should be commended rather than somehow demeaned for taking the time to cooperate with city government.

Mary Baker asked how many member there were on the Monrore Advancement Corporation.

Mr. Rechter said there are about 50.

Mrs. Baker asked for a public disclosure as to who are the members and asked if she could be a member since she is very interested in the economy of this community.

Councilpresident Ackerman reminded Mrs. Baker that the discussion here is not on the Monroe Advancement Corporation.

Mrs. Baker asked if this economic development commission is a state statute.

Councilwoman Zietlow said that it is.

Mrs. Baker said that because it is a state statute we would not have any provision for amendments at a local level unless it was done through the state legislature.

Mrs. Baker said that a couple of years ago the Monroe Advancement Corporation went to the Planning Commission with the request for rezoning of land on the west side. Mrs. Baker said that she felt they already know what they want to do out there. It is not fair to the citizens of Bloomington or the county to be put on a wild goose chase as to what they may want to do. We need an over simplification here as to what exactly is going on here. All of the questions that were presented from the council were my questions too. The response was so much above the heads of most of the public that Mrs Baker wanted to mention on a laymens term that actually what this is is the same

thing as urban renewal. That means the tax payer does put in a lot of funding and they will advance a multi-million dollar corporation sometimes. The public must be aware of this because we will have to foot the bill for tax abatement, for utilities, etc. Once this is past this will mean that the industries are free and clear to get bonding and the public is going to subsidize them. Mrs. Baker asked the council to take into consideration that the Monroe Advancement Corporation is just a business group, it is not a group of citizens interested in everyones welfare except their own.

Councilman De St. Croix said that he raised that question earlier and was given an affirmative response.

Mayor McCloskey said that he does not see anyway that this will result in any substantial expenditure of tax money. If any tax money is utilized for a business subsidy it does have to be paid back through the revenue bond through the public sector. So the real tax cost would be in administrative, promotion, research etc. It would not allow any huge tax levy to directly subsidize business. This would basically come in the form of tax free bonds.

Councilman Towell said that earlier the Mayor said that

some members of the council were demeaning other people. I certainly did not intend to do that. I don't think I did maybe I wasn't the one. That is not the issue. the issue is that we have just so much bonding power, so much capacity that we can use for some direction, some purpose and all of us have a stake in that it is not a matter of tax subsidy. But we do have a stake in what is done. People will buy bonds only to a certain extent with the good name of Bloomington or this commission behind it. That is really what is a stake. The commission has such wide powers that it could help create profit producing corporations to supply basic services to the community. For example they could help some housing businesses get started, provide something progressive that we don't have in the local market. We need to look at our needs and help advance corporations that will provide services that we need. Another point that has been made that I think is very important that is survey the people that live here and find out what their capacities are. We have a potentially very skillful and productive populus. By finding businesses that utilize those abilities we can have more money in Bloomington, we can have more business going on here. There is this report that Councilman Towell reacted badly to but thinks basically what it was was an expression of suspicion toward parts of the administration, namely the city council, by the business

community. So there needs to be some working out of those things. I think that business communities suspicions are often ill founded. Now we are going to vote on a commission in a situation where there is some suspicion on both sides. We need to find the right direction to go. I hope I was not demeaning anyone.

Councilman Behen called for the question.

Councilwoman Zietlow asked if the statutes provides for the qualification for membership.

Mayor McCloskey said that there was nothing specific but they would have to be residence of the county. It does not list specific qualifications.

Councilman Fix said that he is very much in favor of the economic development plan. The Monroe County Advancement Corporation has given a good talk and proven their worth so much so that Councilman Fix hoped that some of these people will be selected to the commission.

Ordinance 74-10 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE FO AYES 8; NAYS 0.

Mrs. Pamela Service, Redbud Hill Apartments, presented the following statement to the Council.

PETITIONS AND  
COMMUNICATIONS

As residents of Redbud Hill Apartments we would like to protest the construction of a city water tower behind our building. Of the sites considered, it is the only one immediately adjacent to a residential building, and for safety, environmental and recreational reasons we feel that it is unsuitable and that another site should be selected.

The construction of the tower and necessary fence will severely reduce the recreational area accessible to the residents of Redbud. At present this is a safe, enclosed area with grass, woods and a hilltop playground. It is one of the few places where the over 150 young children living at Redbud can be allowed to play free of minute-by-minute supervision and can do so in a natural setting.

Of equal concern is the fact that this tower would inevitably pose a safety hazard to our children, both during its construction and later when it and the surrounding fence will be an irresistible temptation for older children to climb. In addition the removal of further trees would destroy the natural buffer zone between ourselves and the busy intersection of 17th street and the highway 46 by-pass. It should also be pointed out that the noise of summer-long construction would impose particular hardship on families where one or more member is a student and where because of family considerations it is often necessary to study at home. This factor plus the reduction of outside play area and the presence of a structure which officials admit "will look like hell" within 90 feet of our apartments, will concretely worsen the living conditions of the 128 families living at Redbud.

The residents of Redbud were not aware of the City's decision until March 22 when a crew of workmen arrived and proceeded to cut down 85 trees behind our homes. Since then we have presented our case to local campus officials, the Board of Trustees and various individuals and bodies within the city government, and we have learned something of the background of this decision. Objection to the site near the Metz Carillon appears to be based on the interference of a water tower with "the esthetic line" of the campus, while rejection of the site east of the 46 by-pass is based on "esthetic considerations" and tentative plans for future construction of a golf clubhouse for use by faculty and alumni.

We do not wish to interpose ourselves into a dispute between the City and the University, or to appear to be giving advice on technical or political considerations which do not directly relate to us. But we do hope that in re-evaluation the situation the City will place the safety and day-by-day living conditions of several hundred Bloomington residents over vague "esthetic considerations" or possible and still modifiable plans for a non-residential building. But whatever site is finally preferred, we urge that the City of Bloomington express a determination not to build behind Redbud Hill Apartments.

Mayor McCloskey said without endorsing Ms. Services statement in total that the administration, the Utilities Service Board share their concerns. We would prefer another site and did originally endorse the golf course site. They have been in constant contact with the University administration and the Mayor hoped that the university would have a sympathetic ear.

Ms. Service said that what they were concerned with is to have the site removed from Redbud altogether it just is not compatible with a residential area.

Mayor McCloskey said that he did understand that and said that Gary Kent was ill this evening and could not be here. Gary Kent has some very imaginative ideas for that water tank. The City does not want to put the water tank behind RedBud they do prefer another site.

Ted Najam distributed copies of correspondents between the Utilities Service Board and Indiana University basically outlining the steps in negotiations. There continues to be some discrepancy over the exact site that the Trustees approved. Ted Najam emphasized the fact that the City cannot build the water tower except where the University will let the City build at.

Ted Najam said that the reason that this site was selected is because of the elevation and the fact that the tower must at a minimum be built between 880 feet and 890 elevation. Where you build it determines the height of the tank. So the City is limited to some extent to where it can put the water tower.

Councilwoman Zietlow asked what considerations the University had for not putting the water tower on the east side of the by-pass?

Ms. Service said that they had spoke with Vice Chancellor Carter and the primary considerations were tentative plans for five to seven years in the future to construct a club house to replace the two existing club houses. The other objection was "esthetic" considerations which meant that it is going to be on a knoll and anyone driving on the by-pass would see this ugly tower a mile either way.

Mayor McCloskey stressed the point that the City does not like the Redbud Apartment site that the City would very much like to put the water tower in the golf course area. Gary Kent is talking about a design that would be decorative for the golf course.

Councilman De St. Croix said that the Utility Service Board was in contact with the Married Students Council but, evidently did not consider the Redbud residence to be parties with whom they should be carrying on these discussions. Councilman De St. Croix said that the renters in Redbud Apartments should have been notified of this situation the same time the University officials were notified. This should be made as part of the Utilities Service Board policy to notify all people concerned.

Mayor McCloskey said that Gary Kent did bring up the "esthetic" and environmental concerns of Redbud to the I.U. administration and it is within the jurisdiction of the University. The City is looking for an alternative site.

Councilpresident Ackerman said that the Council takes the same concerned attitude that the City administration is and hopes that the University administration can come up with an alternate solution.

Steve Hemstrill, student and resident of the west side, brought to the attention of the Council that on the streets of 12th and Lindburg there is a burned out house which has been a concern of the residence for many years. Last year a group of parents got together to request the West Side Association to request from the Health Department to do something about this house. The house is not occupied, the basement is open there is water standing in it, lots of garbage, a car in the yard, there are boards with nails and such and there are rats in this area. Bob Tucker, president of the West Side Association, has been to various agencies in local government, the Health Department, the County Sheriff, and other groups, Redevelopment Commission and nothing has been done about this house. The house is still open, it has not been boarded up or anything.

Today a group of parents went down to the Health Department and the Health Department was not even able to tell them who the owner of the house was. The original owner who is listed in the land records has since than deceased. Nothing has been done simply because they cannot find the owner. Mr. Hemstrill had a list of twelve people who are actively concerned about this and also presented to the Council pictures of the house.

Ted Najam said that Mr. Hemstrill was in contact with their office about three days ago and at that time they forwarded a memo to Sergeant Staats our public assistance officer, to investigate the matter and to report back to them as to what can be done.

Mr. Hemstrill said that the house is on the boarder of the County and the City and so each group that has been contacted has sort of passed the buck. Mr. Hemstrill urged that the Council mediate between the County and the City so that the issue can be taken care of.

Councilman De St. Croix requested that Ted Najam report back to the Council at its next regularly scheduled meeting on the status of this house and what efforts have been made in resolving this problem.

Mayor McCloskey said that his office would look into this matter and what ever legal processes that are allowed to be started.

Pat Drake, west side resident, also a member of the Cresmont Tenant Association, said that the basement of this house is full of water and that children do play in it. At one time they even found a can of gasoline there. One of the parents around the area has five children and she cannot possible watch all of them constantly she is petrified of the situation with this house. If something is not done about this house soon somebody is going to get hurt.

Councilman Morrison said that about a year and a half ago they had attempted to do something about this through the county health department at that time they could find no legal ownership of that property so with out knowing the legal ownership that they could not move on this property unless they had an order from the court.

Mrs. Drake asked if something like this is brought up before the health department should they not try to pursue instead of just dropping it.

Councilpresident Ackerman said that they have received a pledge from the Mayor that this will be done by 3:00 p.m. tomorrow afternoon.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Resolution 74-19 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Behan seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Resolution 74-19  
Grant Application  
from the Transportat  
Department

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, introduced and read Resolution 74-19 by title only.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Resolution 74-19 be adopted. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

Ellen Bevington, Bloomington Transit, reported the following Over the past months there has been some slight changes for instance the building cost has gone up slightly and the storage shelter cost has gone down slightly and an additional item has been added. We will be going for a diesel fuel type system. We have a 1,000 gallon tank devoted to diesel fuel right now and it will not be sufficient but apart from that the cost of the project basically remains the same.

Revenue Sharing funds were used for the Bloomington Transit last year and the system started up March 23. Seven buses were acquired and they started with three routes approximately two months later they acquired two more buses with revenue sharing money. They are now operating with four routes, two which are on 30 minute headways, one is on a 45 minute headway, and one on 60 minute headway. Headway being your frequency of being able to pick up a bus at a particular corner on the bus line. The total fleet is nine buses one of which is a back up bus. This backup ratio is a little thin and in the equipment options that they have considered this is one of the prime considerations. At this time they made the recommendation for the fourteen bus expansion, twelve of which would be the intermediate size coaches, and two would be the large size coaches. The storage facility has been reduced in size again this is from a meeting in Washington as to what they would consider legal cost strictly for transit uses. There should be no problem of the people in Washington approving the program as was outlined. The reason for the application is because the transit system is basically a success story. We have gone from a paying system of 500 riders per day to now it is 1440 per day. The average subsidy per passenger has dropped from 65¢ per person to 29¢ per person. An on bus survey conducted by one of Dr. Smerks classes found that the response was that people were very happy and pleased with the bus system.

## RESOLUTION NO. 74-19

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF AN APPLICATION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FOR A GRANT UNDER THE URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED.

WHEREAS, the Secretary of Transportation is authorized to make grants for mass transportation projects;

WHEREAS, the contract for financial assistance will impose certain obligations upon the applicant, including the provision by it of the local share of project costs; and

WHEREAS, it is required by the U.S. Department of Transportation in accord with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, that in connection with the filing of an application for assistance under the Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as Amended, the applicant give an assurance that it will comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the U.S. Department of Transportation requirements thereunder:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

1. That Francis X. McCloskey, Mayor of the City of Bloomington is authorized to execute and file an application on behalf of the the City of Bloomington with the U.S. Department of Transportation, to aid in the financing of an expansion of the City owned Transit System.

2. That Francis X. McCloskey, Mayor of the City of Bloomington is authorized to execute and file with such application an assurance or any other document required by the U.S. Department of Transportation effectuating the purposes of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

3. That James B. Wray, Director of Transportation, is authorized to furnish such additional information as the U.S. Department of Transportation may require in connection

with the application of the project.

CERTIFICATE

The undersigned duly qualified and acting President of the Common Council of the City of Bloomington certifies that the foregoing is a true and a correct copy of a resolution, adopted at a legally convened meeting of the Common Council of the City of Bloomington held on March 21, 1974.

Bruce K. Johnson  
(Signature of Recording Officer)

City Clerk  
(Title of Recording Officer)

April 8, 1974  
(Date)

approved by  
Council 4-4-74

James S. Ackerman  
James S. Ackerman  
President  
Bloomington Common Council

approved by  
Mayor 4/5/74

Francis X. McCloskey  
Francis X. McCloskey, Mayor

90% of the people interviewed thought that the service was excellent or good. About 80% of the people had no other choice they were totally dependent on the bus system for all of their transportation needs. Dr. Smerk in summarizing the findings of the study indicated that he thought that the system was making an effort to meet the needs and the goals as outlined by the City administration. One of the primary goals is to provide mobility for the people who have no other way to get around. At this time Ellen Bevington described the present Bloomington Transit System and the planned system by use of a map. (She was not speaking into the microphone at this time so it was impossible to transcribe her voice)

Ellen Bevington said that if this resolution is passed there will be held a public hearing.

Councilman Behen said that if this resolution is passed and the public hearing goes well than the action we take does approve a request for appropriations for federal funds.

Ms. Bevington said that the resolution is a very general resolution it just says yes to the federal government. It says yes we do want funds because we are planning on expanding our system. We have considered various alternatives we started out with a 19 bus system and the proposal we present to you is a 14 bus system. The whole package and how the money is going to be spent is subject to the budgeting process. We can file the application for the maximum amount and should the Council decide they want less it is easy to get less but not to try for more. Just by passing this resolution you are not committing yourself to a given proposal. You are still open to consider alternatives. This will be passed in the assumption that you are interested in the bus system and that you do plan some expansion. but, the exact extent and the exact amount is yet to be determined.

Mayor McCloskey said that you are going to cast the frame work for the 14 bus system.

Councilwoman Zietlow said that this resolution is authorizing the Bus system to file the application that was described.

Councilman Behen expressed concern over the cost in additional personnel. He felt that a union would be very interested in moving in on the additional drivers needed. This would make a vast difference with the payroll structure and felt that this would need deep consideration. Operational Expenses are pending in Congress, so we really cannot foresee that there would be any operational expenses.

Councilwoman Zietlow said that when they originally discussed the transportation system they had two ideas of people who would ride the system. First of all people who had no other means of transportation and secondly trying to lure people out of their second cars in order to get cars off the street. We did indicate at the very offset that we would go into areas where people might have cars.

Mayor McCloskey said that the subsidies would run from an area of \$289,000 to start hopefully down to between \$220,000 and \$200,000. Mayor McCloskey felt that was a reasonable subsidy. We do have to make a commitment so that we do not go head on without study. The overall study does take into account normal inflation, we do have a union.

Councilpresident Ackerman asked if that figure includes capital depreciation.

Mayor McCloskey said that does not figure capital depreciation. The typical bus would last from eight to ten years.

Councilman Behen asked what the present systems subsidy was?

Ellen Bevington, said that the deficit started out around \$7,000 or \$8,000 with the six bus system it did go up when the other two buses were added. It did drop \$2,000 the last month. Which puts it at about \$10,000. The deficit figures are running very much within the projections of the model.

Councilman Morrison asked how heavy was the ridership on the route going west into the country. Councilman Morrison felt that the bus system was spread out to much into the country.

Angela Olson, associate director of Bloomington Transit, said that route four is currently carrying approximately 192 passengers per day and making about

12.5 trips. It is carrying an average of 15 to 20 passengers per trip. The capacity on that bus is 19 passengers. There are long stretches along that route which are empty. Under the new system that would be split into two sections and therefore eliminate a lot of that dead area, and hopefully be able to run it through the residential area on the Leonard Springs side of 2nd Street. This bus is only running on a hour headway. From about 2:15 until 6:15 that bus is carrying over capacity.

Councilman De St. Croix said that the problems we have to deal with in transportation is peak hour flows. Councilman De St. Croix did not think that the Council should think of the bus system as a business enterprise.

Councilman Behen suggested that the system would grow as the use of the bus system grows not by doubling the system in one bold stroke. By this resolution we are more than doubling the payroll, the hardware and everything that goes with it.

Councilwoman Zietlow felt that the City would have to be prepared to subsidize the bus system for as long as is necessary. We have been subsidizing the streets and a number of other public facilities.

James Wray, Director of Transportation, said that the Council as a whole requested this expansion. When the service was improved the ridership increased more than twice. Our routes are difficult to understand now because they are irregular. The first year forecast as printed out by the use of a computer based on the system we have now is very close to being very accurate. We have no reason to doubt the projections. The Council now more than last year knows what they will be getting into under the expanded system. We have discussed our proposal with the Council members, we have to have a public hearing at this time we will discuss specific route changes where we might have missed people that do need transit service. We do need a resolution to go to the Department of Transportation before we can proceed with the public hearing. There is 30 days from when it is advertised before the public hearing and nothing can be done until it is appropriated.

Councilman Morrison asked if the federal government was going to subsidize this program.

Mr. Jim Wray said that there has been several pieces of legislation introduced to subsidize and it is his feeling that one will come through

before the end of revenue sharing. The problem of the last bill introduced was that the formula put UMPTA in the position of deciding how much the cities were going to pay for a reasonable rate for maintenance, what was a reasonable rate for a transit manager, what was a reasonable staff or transit system and contrary to popular opinion Mr. Wray did not feel that the federal government wants to run cities just because they give them federal funds. That bill did not come out of committee and we are still waiting for another piece of legislation that would be more in line with revenue sharing.

Councilman Morrison asked if this should not materialize if Bloomington is large enough to support a transit system all on its own.

Mr. Wray felt that Bloomington could support a transit system. When we need to replace equipment we will go back for another capital grant. As far as operating the budget worked out for a five year period, some months ago, is realistic. It showed with a 25¢ levi we can afford it.

Councilman Morrison asked if there would be a Mass Transit Authority Commission set up that would have the authority to levi a property tax against the people of Bloomington.

Mr. Wray said that as of now that is not part of their proposal. This is possible. I think Indianapolis has proved to everybody that you cannot go over the 383 rate. It would increase your tax base a little. But you have an obligation to serve those people so your cost will go up.

Councilman Morrison said that this was in Dr. Smerks plan.

Mr. Wray said that they did use Dr. Smerk's plan to a great extent but when it comes to cost of service in the rural areas under transit authority we just have not had the man power or the ability to figure out what we would be collecting as opposed to what we would have to pay out to grant service to some rural areas on the west side.

Mr. Rechter, said that the road system is not subsidized. Everytime you pull up to a gas pump you pay four cents to the federal government, four cents to the state government, every time you buy a battery, through your excise taxes, that is the only money that is used for the highway system. It is not subsidized by anybody else except the person that is buying the gasoline and than using the roads. All of the state roads are funded by this method.

Mr. Rechter thought that this was a good deal of money to spend for a very small segment of the community.

Ellen Bevington said that when you talk about the level of subsidy you also have to talk about the level of service and that effects the level of ridership. The first year the subsidy is going to run around \$298,000 by the fifth year the expanded system should cost around \$220,000. If you just keep the system at the level we have it now it is going to cost you that much than also because the level of service we offer now is not going to give you enough ridership gains each year to offset inflation.

Resolution 74-19 was passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 6; NAYS 2; Nays: Morrison and Behen.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Ordinance 74-15 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Ordinance 74-15  
Rezoning Miller  
Drive

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, introduced and read Ordinance 74-15 by title only.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Ordinance 74-15 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Ordinance 74-15 be temporary be tabled. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Mrs. Bobby Bennett spoke concerning the burned out house on 12th Street and Lindburg urging the Councils assistance in taking care of this matter.

Councilpresident Ackerman once again assured these concerned citizens that this will be looked into.

Councilman Morrison said that he would speak with the County Commissioner knowing that they can order this placed demolished.

Councilwoman Zietlow moved that Ordinance 74-15 be removed from the table. Councilman Towell seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Ordinance 74-15  
removed from  
the table

Tom Crossman, City Planner, said that the ordinance before the Council is identical with the rezoning plan that was submitted to the Plan Commission by the residence of the Miller Drive Area. It encompasses a relatively small area for RH-High Density rezoning. the balance of the area predominately singly family residential and that area which is presently being used by industrial plants in the Miller Drive Area is being changed from the ML classification to the MG classification which is more compatible with the actual existing industrial uses.

Councilman Mizell said that the plan here represents the opinion of the residence of the Miller Drive Area to what should be done with the zoning classification in that area.

Councilwoman Zietlow asked which map the Council was referring to.

Tom Crossman said that it was map A that the Council should be considering. The Planning Department had suggested as an alternative map B.

Councilman Mizell said that the Plan Commission in reviewing this considered the rezoning for the area which was consistent with the new zoning map. In other words we had not rezoned the area during the considerations of the new zoning ordinance and land use. The Plan Commission intended this as their zoning for this area and agreed that they would resist pressures to modify the plan. That is why it is important for us to determine that the residence of the area were satisfied with this. They assured the Plan Commission that this was the zoning that met their desires and wishes for that area and that they too would resist attempt to modify it.

Ordinance 74-15 was passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 7; NAYS 0.

Councilwoman Zietlow moved that Ordinance 74-16 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Ordinance 74-16  
Rezoning  
Mr. Killions property

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, introduced and read Ordinance 74-16 by title only.

Councilman Towell moved that Ordinance 74-16 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Tom Crossman explained that this is Mr. Killion's property approximately 400 feet south of Royer Road. The proposal was to put a limited number of duplexes, the over all density on the subdivision would have been less than the density allowable in a single family zone but, the fact that he put duplexes on the lot required the RL zone. He is to have a sewer line extended across to the adjoining property. The rezoning is the first step in the process with the assurance to the Planning Commission that sewer and water was available and the density is appropriate for the area even though the RL zone is different than some of the surrounding property. There is an RL zone immediately north of Royer Road so the Planning Commission felt that this was an appropriate request for rezoning.

Tom Crossman said that neither the staff nor the Commission found any great difficulty with the request.

Ordinance 74-16 was passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 7; NAYS 0.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Ordinance 74-18 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Ordinance 74-18  
Salary Ordinance for  
appointed officers  
and employees

With the permission of his second Councilman De St. Croix changed his motion to Ordinance 74-18 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, introduced and read Ordinance 74-18 by title only.

Councilman Morrison moved that Ordinance 74-18 be adopted. Councilman De St. Croix seconded the motion.

Councilwoman Zietlow explained that the Council was voting on the Housing Code enforcement officer, the Housing Code enforcement secretary, assistant office manager. It was already appropriated and now we just need a salary ordinance.

Ordinance 74-19 was passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 7; NAYS 0.

Councilman Mizell moved that Resolution 74-22 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman De St. Croix seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Resolution 74-22  
In reference to  
investment of funds.

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk,  
introduced and read Resolution 74-22  
in its entirety.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that  
Resolution 74-22 be adopted.  
Councilman Mizell seconded the  
motion.

Mr. Regester said that the purpose  
of this was to avoid not having  
funds invested promptly. This  
would give the controller the  
authority to proceed without  
giving a new resolution every few  
weeks. In Mr. Regester judgement  
this is a proper delegation by the  
Council to the Controller of the  
authority to invest funds.

Councilman De St. Croix said that  
if this resolution is passed would  
the Controllers office let the Council  
know from time to time how much they  
were making with their investments.

Martha Ellen Sims, City Controller,  
assured them that she would report to  
the Council from time to time.

Resolution 74-22 was passed by a  
ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 6; NAYS 1.  
Nays: Fix.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that  
the agenda be amended to include  
Resolution 74-23. Councilman Towell  
seconded the motion. The motion was  
carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that  
Resolution 74-23 be introduced and  
read by the Clerk. Councilman  
Towell seconded the motion  
The motion was carried by a unanimous  
voice vote.

Resolution 74-23  
Temporary Loan

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk,  
introduced and read Resolution  
74-23 in its entirety.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that  
Resolution 74-23 be adopted.  
Councilman Morrison seconded the  
motion.

Martha Ellen Sims explained this  
resolution but she was not  
speaking into the microphone  
and I was not able to transcribe  
from the tape.

Resolution 74-23 was adopted by  
a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 7; NAYS 0.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that  
Ordinance 74-25 be introduced and  
read by the Clerk by title only.  
Councilman Morrison seconded the  
motion. The motion was carried by  
a unanimous voice vote.

Ordinance 74-25  
Amending the City  
Code 15.68,  
"parking lots"

RESOLUTION NO. 74- 23

TEMPORARY LOANS

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Indiana, that the Controller of said City is hereby empowered to make the following advance of funds for the purpose of cash operating balances, such advances to be secured by a pledge of taxes to be received which are not otherwise allocated, to-wit:

FROM:	Utilities Department	\$450,000.00
TO:	General Fund	\$450,000.00

APPROVED: April 4<sup>th</sup>, 1974

James S. Ackerman  
 James S. Ackerman, President Common Council

APPROVED: April 5, 1974

Francis X. McCloskey  
 Francis X. McCloskey, Mayor  
 City of Bloomington

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk,  
introduced and read  
Ordinance 74-25 by title  
only.

Councilman De St. Croix  
moved that Appropriation Ordinance 74-5  
be introduced and read by the Clerk  
by title only. Councilman Morrison  
seconded the motion. The motion  
was carried by a unanimous voice  
vote.

Appropriation Ord 74-5  
Drug Commission

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk,  
introduced and read Appropriation  
Ordinance 74-5 by title only.

Councilman De St. Croix moved  
that Appropriation Ordinance 74-6  
be introduced and read by the Clerk  
by title only. Councilman Morrison  
seconded the motion. The motion  
was carried by a unanimous voice  
vote.

Appropriation Ord 74-6  
Housing Study

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk,  
introduced and read Appropriation  
Ordinance 74-6 by title only.

Councilpresident Ackerman explained  
that Bruce Wackowski of the Human  
Rights Commission has handed the  
Council a written report and that  
Councilpresident Ackerman has asked  
Mr. Wackowski to give the Council  
two weeks to study his report.  
At the next Council Meeting Mr.  
Wackowski was asked to give a  
report to the Council at the  
Regularly scheduled meeting.

REPORTS FROM OFFICIAL  
BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

NONE

REPORTS FROM STANDING  
COMMITTEES

NONE

REPORTS FROM SPECIAL  
COMMITTEES

NONE

REPORTS FROM CITY  
OFFICIALS AND  
DEPARTMENT HEADS

NONE

OTHER NEW BUSINESS

NONE

UNFINISHED AND  
MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS

NONE

EXAMINATION OF CLAIMS

Councilman Towell moved that  
the meeting be adjourned

ADJOURNMENT

Councilpresident Ackerman  
adjourned the meeting at  
11:30 p.m.

James S. Ackerman  
James S. Ackerman  
President  
Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

Julaine Thomas, secretary