

In the Council Chambers of the Municipal Building, on Thursday November 21, 1974 at 7:30 p.m. with Council President James Ackerman presiding.

REGULAR SESSION  
COMMON COUNCIL  
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON  
INDIANA

Present: Jack Morrison, Dick Behen, Charlotte Zietlow, Brian de St. Croix, Wayne Fix, Sherwin Mizell, Flo Davis, Al Towell and James Ackerman

ROLL CALL

Abasent: None

Margaret Gross, Board of Public Works; Larry Owens, Attorney; Bill Wilson, Parks and Recreation; Martha Sims, Controller; Tom Crossman, Planner; Chief Goss; Steve Richardson, Assistant to Mayor; Grace Johnson, City Clerk.

CITY OFFICIALS PRESENT

About 40 people including members of the press.

OTHERS PRESENT

Councilman Morrison moved that the minutes of 9/19/74 and 10/3/74 be adopted. After some discussion and confussion due to councilmembers not having the right copies of minutes with them, it was decided to table the minutes until a further meeting.

MINUTES  
9/19/74, 10/3/74

NONE

MESSAGE FROM THE MAYOR

Councilman Towell: I would just like to remark that the last time I had a message and it was not recorded in the press, but that Sunday it was referred to in the press as evidence that I was going to run for Mayor or something of that sort and I think it would be a little fairer to print what is referred to before referring to it.

MESSAGES FROM COUNCILMEMBERS

Councilwoman Zietlow: I have a brief report on the Human Resources Advisory Committee. The Committee met three times since it was formed. There was an average of about 12 people present at each of the meetings with 15 present at one of the meetings. We had three long discussions and 9 people went to Fort Wayne one day to acquire information on the Fort Wayne Human Resources Department. Our recommendations which we reached at the last meeting and which I understood to be a consensus was that we recommended strongly that the Human Resources Department be formed and started with a director. Then that the director plan and develop the department. That the director develop a capacity for technical expertise for grantsmanship, working together with various elements in the city to determine the need and extent for a Human Resources department and who would be the members. I'm now working with the council aide on an ordinance which will be presented to the next meeting of the council on December 5th. Another recommendation which developed from the committee itself

was that the advisory committee be maintained to help in the hireing process for the director, because it is very clear that the person who will become the director of Human Resources is going to have to be an extrodinary person and the program will depend on that person. The Advisory committee felt that they should be screening or interviewing at some point in the hireing process, although it was recognized of course that the person be answerable to the Mayor. So we are drawing up the ordinance, it will include both the creation of the department and the conclusions of the advisory committee.

Councilman de St. Croix; I would just like to bring to Council attention that Jeff Baldwin who was the vidieo coordinator for channel 7 community access station has resigned. The resignation was accepted by the Monroe County Library Board. As interium holding pattern, the Cable television has offered the services of Duncan Stoden on their staff. The library will be looking at alternatives for funding and hopes that they will be able to maintain the same programing for channel 7.

Councilwoman Zietlow; Spoke to the possibility of the unpleasant situations of not enough heat and food throughout the community this winter due to the economic situation. She spoke for Community leaders to take action on these problems so we could begin to develop emergency plans for such situations this winter.

Councilpresident Ackerman; Announced the two council appointees to the Historical Commission. Mrs. JoAnn Steel and Mrs. Miller. He then read a short history of each woman.

Grace Johnson, City Clerk, at this time announced, very sadly, that she would be resigning as City Clerk effective as of January 1, 1975.

Councilman De St. Croix moved ordinance 74-105 be introduced and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion carried by a unanimous voice vote of the council.

INTRODUCTION OF GENERAL AND SPECIAL ORDINANCES  
Ordinance 74-105 - Zoning  
Hoosier Dry Wall

Grace Johnson, City Clerk, read the ordinance by title only.

Tom Crossman, City Planner spoke to this, stating it is property occupied by Hoosier dry wall. It is south of and across the street from Bloomington High School.

Councilman de St. Croix moved ordinance 74-17 be introduced and read by the clerk, Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion carried by a unanimous voice vote of the council.

ORDINANCES - SECOND READING  
Appropriation Ordinance 74-17  
Park District Construction Fund

Grace Johnson, City Clerk, read 74-17 in its entirety.

Councilman de St. Croix moved 74-17 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Bill Wilson, Parks and Recreation; This is the money, as it states, President Ackerman, that of course was accumulated with investments that was made by the controllers office. It is in the construction fund and can only be expended in the construction fund, and has to be on the projects which was advertised in the bids. So it would be expansion of these areas and that is the only way it can be expended.

Councilman de St. Croix; Mr. Wilson, what kinds of things would this Money most likely be spent on?

Mr. Wilson; These would most likely be change orders for additions on the existing parks as they were bid because they can not rebid on this. It would be probably to expand some of the things that had to be cut out such as the fences around the ball fields. Most of it would be in items such as that in the various parks.

Councilman de St. Croix; Will these be contracted out or will this be done by city employees.

Mr. Wilson; They have to be contracted out through change orders.

Councilman de St. Croix; The Park department is in the process of beginning to engage in some rather large construction projects and I wonder if perhaps you and the park Board could sit down and look at the proposed construction projects, how much of that is going to be contract work, what that means in terms of jobs, and given that in the current economic development situation whether or not you can come back to this council with some sort of plan for hiring unemployed people in this community to guarantee work for the people in the work force with some of the local contractors. I would like to see this local government to spend its dollars in maximizing the employment of the people of this community.

Appropriation Ordinance 74-17 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 9; NAYS 0.

Councilman de St. Croix moved that 74-95 be introduced and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion carried by a unanimous voice vote of the council.

74-95 - Zoning  
Maple Heights Addition

Grace Johnson, City Clerk, read 74-95 by title only.

Councilman de St. Croix moved ordinance 74-95 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

David Rogers, Representative of owners of land in question. He stated they, The heirs of the late Sanford Drake, have made a contingent contract for the sale of this land and have not to date participated in any of the deliberations leading to this ordinance. On their behalf he asked for an appropriate motion to delay action on this until the next meeting of the council in order that staff of the redevelopment, no the planning commission could take another look and that some presentation could conceivably be made at the next meeting or at least an amendment.

Councilman de St. Croix moved that ordinance 74-95 be tabled. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

Tom Crossman stated that there were some problems that arrived at the Planning Commission meeting regarding the ordinance. The one recommended to you is certainly the one the commission would desire, but if Mr. Rogers and his client have additional information they would like to discuss I certainly have no objection.

Councilman Mizell stated that he felt that if there was new information there certainly wouldn't be any objections from the plan commission.

Motion to table ordinance 74-95 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 9; NAYS 0.

Councilman de St. Croix moved Ordinance 74-96 be introduced and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion passed by unanimous voice vote of council.

74-96 - Annexation  
Between Kinser Pike and  
State Road 37, North of  
17th street.

Grace Johnson, City Clerk, read 74-96 by title only.

Councilman de St. Croix moved 74-96 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Larry Owens, City Attorney discribed the area in question at the map.

Ordinance 74-96 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 9; NAYS 0.

Parks Presentation

SCHEDULED BUSINESS

Mr. Wilson: Tonight we have Tony Bower who did this plan. I might give you just a little bit of background on last spring, and this goes back two years ago when we did our master plan.

Two of the areas that were to see some of the renovation and future development was the Miller Showers Park and the Third Street Park. At that time the Board of Works appropriated money for the study and it has been made. At this time we would like to give you a kind of sketch of what is being proposed. This is a development plan and especially in Miller Showers park that is scheduled to be a Community project. It is a minor area that probably the city will enter in on some drainage in which Mr. Bower will bring out and on parking lot improvements, but most of it is to be sold as community projects and people participating in which a great deal of contacts have already been made. We have a service club for instance that is interested in some play equipment of some \$3,500.00. I have contacted scout troupes and garden clubs and many of them will participate in the development of these areas without cost to the city. So at this time I would like to present Tony Bowers.

Mr. Bowers made his presentation from the maps at the side of the room where there were not proper mikes available and therefore his presentation was not audible on the tape.

Mr. Wilson spoke to the use of local groups and local people in the park projects. Stating that one scout troupe had expressed interest in planting a tree a year or in some similar plan, and that this would be a long range plan covering many years.

Councilman de St. Croix; As I understand it from your presentation, the primary function of this park then is to provide some sort of aesthetic impact for those people in cars driving by?

Mr. Bower; That is one plan.

Councilman de St. Croix; What kind of constituency do you view this park as serving?

Mr. Wilson; We would identify this community park as an urban park.

Councilman de St. Croix; despite the abundance of parks in the community I don't think there are large numbers of parks in that particular area. How do you see this park as serving the needs of the residents?

The answer to Mr. de St. Croix's question was unaudible.

Councilman de St. Croix; You say that this park was developed as a result of the 1971 comprehensive park plan which had citizen input. Now do you think this park that is on here reflects the concerns of the citizens of the community. I'm talking about the limestone industry, civic groups that want to plant a tree. Also what kind of priority this park has and what uses it will have. Do you think the 1971 plan reflects these concerns accurately?

Mr. Brewer stated the plan identifies many types of activities that go on in the community and the needs in areas for others. He said he felt this reflects a broad scope of interests. That they had been working for a year and a half in discussing these ideas and meeting the best needs.

Councilman de St. Croix; I guess one of the things that I'm trying to get at is one of the goals is within a five minute walking distance of everybody's residents. Does that mean now that if we do this development, we have discharged our responsibility to the five minute walking radius around the area to the several apartments in the surrounding area? I guess what I am really saying is what kind of priority are we putting on flags and fountains as opposed to workable reaction space?

Mr. Wilson stated he didn't think it would reach a high priority over that, however they are trying to sell this plan over and beyond that outside the city funds. We are going to organizations. There are an enormous amount of apartment complexes in this area so we would be really solving two problems with one plan.

Councilwoman Davis asked exactly how much it would cost the city and where the money would be coming from?

Mr. Wilson stated that the estimate on this project or this part of it was \$82,775.00 and that this was contracted prices. This represents one fourth of the total cost of the project.

Councilwoman Davis asked if they had already begun to find out the response this plan will have and whether there will be enough support to carry on these projects?

Mr. Wilson stated that they have quite a few groups now that have stated much

interest in the project.

Councilwoman Zietlow then asked what kind of assistance are you getting from the Parks and Recreation foundation? Are they helping in the planning or in getting the funding?

Mr. Wilson stated that they are not a profit organization and therefore are helping in getting the funding raised. That they have one sorority that has already expressed interest in funding.

Councilwoman Davis asked again where the money was coming from? Where are we going to get the \$82,775.00? Are we going to have another bond issue, are you thinking about revenue sharing? What?

Mr. Wilson stated that these figures were contracted prices and may not be exact, but that at this time they had no proposal to come before the council with as to where the money would come from.

Councilman Mizell stated that under item two, in the budget you have Municipal responsibility projects. Are we to assume that the \$82,775.00 is going to be the responsibility of the Municipality to come up with?

Mr. Wilson said they had not spoken to that yet, however they felt it is awfully hard to go out and sell a drain like you can playground equipment. He felt that the cost would be lower and that there would be help in payment.

Councilman Mizell then asked when we initially considered this it was in light of our views of the entire Parks Bond Issue taking care of what your recommendations were for Community needs. Now since then the rules of that game have been changed rather severely, by an item called inflation. We are now currently faced with the prospects of several areas of the city not being served by recreational facility because of the shortfall of the bond issue, and on top of that we are asked to perhaps in the future consider building or the construction of a facility which is going to be rather expensive when viewed from the light of what is proposed for neighborhood usage, and we are led to believe that the foundation is very anxious to participate in soliciting the funds for these type things. I was wondering if the foundation would also be interested in soliciting funds so we could complete the construction of recreational facilities in neighborhoods that were originally planned so we could meet our original commitments.

Mr. Wilson's response was totally un audible due to no mike in the area.

There was some discussion about the priorities of certain aspects of this plan like the changing of the direction of the creek and the parking lots connected with the plan.

Councilman de St. Croix asked what happens if a building-stone contractor was not found to provide materials and labor for the fountain plaza? How functional is the design then without these focal points?

Mr. Wilson stated that the park would still be functional.

At this point due to tape malfunction, some conversation is missing.

Councilman de St. Croix asked if the park Board would consider opening this plan up to the community for their input. Not to belittle the present plan at all, but that he feels that community input on such a plan would be very important. That people would probably be more willing to contribute to something they had more involvement in..

Mr. Wilson stated that these plans were far from the final stages and that he was sure before they would reach such stages that there would be much community input. What they were doing here in this discussion was to stimulate discussion and ideas.

Mrs. Farmer spoke to the idea of having an information center with maps of the area and welcome station for people coming into the area. She wished it would go through as originally talked of some years back.

Mr. Wilson said it was still scheduled for the corner where the chamber of commerce originally planned it.

Mr. Wilde spoke to the fact that it was very dangerous and hard to get to the park when walking. That the park as it now is and as the plans show does not lend itself to walking patrons. Were there any plans for better crossings of Walnut and College to get to the park itself.

Councilman Towell stated that with the signs that go out seven miles or more that we do have a welcome to Bloomington. He then stated the plans of other cities that had small drive-up areas with advertisement signs of the area and maps locating all of these businesses. That this eliminated much of the clutter of advertisement throughout the city then, thus aiding the beautification of the city. He wondered if the Parks Department was interested in such a plan.

Mr. Wilson stated that plans for the Welcome Center were still in the plans on the corner reserved for it.

Councilman de St. Croix then asked that before any action is taken on the part of the Park Department and the Park Board which would in fact commit the City to funds, will this plan be brought back before the council?

Mr. Wilson said to his understanding the only thing that has been accepted to date is the design itself.



That this is just a 20 year plan and that the Board of Works has to hire the work done and they have not worked on it yet.

Councilman de St. Croix then stated his previous question again adding that in the past the council has at times been boxed into situations because commitments have been made and wheels have been started and that the cities part has come up after that has started and nothing could then be done.

Mr. Wilson then said he was sure that the Park Board would be acceptable to his recommendation.

Councilman de St. Croix then offered the following resolution to the council: That this council go on record as stating that prior to the solicitation or receipt of any commitments on the part of the Park Department or Park Board for the Miller Showers Park, that the final plan for that park be approved by the Common Council. Councilman Mizell seconded the motion.

Councilpresident Ackerman stated he thought he would oppose that. That this would hamper the progress of things.

Councilwoman Zietlow asked if that left room or precluded alternate designs.

Councilman de St. Croix stated his only concern was that some day the council will be presented with cost figures that they will have no option in terms of funding or not funding or placing in a priority perspective because of commitments that have been made by groups or citizens in the community for X dollars and then the council will be put in the position of having to come up with the money. I'm more than willing to accept changes in my resolution. I'm not in disagreement with the attempt here or with the design. I just want to make sure that we are talking about a project that we make sure the support for is in the community first. And that we don't lock ourselves into spending city dollars on something that may not get off the ground.

Councilman Behen stated that they are possibly discussing things that are possibly not forthcoming. That many of these things are of a grander scale than the city can afford. But he would like to bring to the attention of all that the intersection of 17th and Walnut is a high accident intersection and you have put a turn off into that park immediately at that intersection without a turn-off lane or light. That turn off should be much further down.

Mr. Wilson stated that these were changes that would have to be made.

Councilman Mizell spoke in approval of the motion saying that the city would be committed to spend upwards to \$80,000.00 dollars that might be used to better purposes.

Councilwoman Davis pointed out that the Traffic Commission has not seen this so no traffic problems have been discussed.

Mr. Wilson agreed, stating that this is the first time these plans have been presented.

Mr. Wilson addressed Councilman Mizell's question by stating they are not asking any tax funds but are asking specific projects from certain areas.

Councilman Towell then stated he thought that they had solved problems like this when they put up a standard that would respond to the whole city for neighborhood facilities like this and it seems to me people would support every area having reasonable facilities even if it is not their neighborhood that is getting it at that moment. If this plan was in affect he felt they would be able to get the additional support.

Mr. Wilson said he disagreed with this that people would not contribute to things not in their area usually.

Councilman Fix said he felt they should have a list of things that would be supported or given by organizations so the city could better see where they would stand. Then hold solicitations until the city sees fit to find the money.

Councilman Mizell stated he didn't know if he could accept that the Parks and Recreation foundation really believes that it would be that difficult to encourage service groups throughout the city to donate playground equipment etc. that would be used in neighborhood parks. I feel the Foundation would be capable to approaching various service groups to contribute.

Mr. Wilson stated that they were very hopeful that such action would take place.

The motion on Councilman de St. Croix resolution passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 9; NAYS 0.

Moving to the maps again Mr. Wilson and Mr. Bowers then made their presentation of the Third Street Park plan to provide a large urban-oriented park for the people in that area. They explained the set-up, the playground facilities, and the various landscapes in the park.

This park would include a small plaza or paved area with a fountain or open pool of water. Plans for what is included in these parks are attached at the end of these minutes.

Councilwoman Davis expressed her uneasiness about the pool and fountains in regard to safety of children playing in the park unsupervised.

Mr. Bowers stated that it was a very shallow pool and that safety of children was kept in mind when the pool was designed.

Councilman de St. Croix asked if the total cost would all be city funds or again a situation like Miller-Showers Park?

Mr. Wilson Stated that he felt there was no projection in that area yet. That these were just preliminary plans.

Councilwoman Zietlow asked if this was in conjunction with the municipal building?

Mr. Wilson said that it is not necessarily for just that.

Councilman de St. Croix asked that with council's permission he would like to extend his earlier motion to include this park also. And further that the Board of Works since they are the sponsors of these plans be requested to explore greater citizen input and return to these plans.

This was given consent of the council.

After a short break the meeting opened again with Petitions and Communications with a presentation from representatives of Kirkwood Merchants regarding the proposed Kirkwood Mall.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Mr. Herschel McCutchin read a letter addressed to the council and the Mayor. This letter is included at the end of the minutes. It also includes a petition that has been signed by some 40 merchants of Kirkwood.

Councilman de St. Croix reiterated that the amendment in the appropriation ord. for Kirkwood Mall stated that before any of those monies for the Mall phase 2 could be expended that \$50,000 must be raised by merchants, property owners and interested citizens to match the cities monies. That was specifically made because of the concerns that area merchants and property owners had raised with regards to the problems for parking, delivery access, alleyways, and traffic flow. It was our belief that this amount of money would not be raised unless the merchants were satisfied with out solutions to these problems. As I understand it that money has not been raised nor is close to being.

And as I understand it nothing in terms of construction can be done unless that money is raised.

Councilwoman Zietlow expressed her surprise that the merchants in their presentation to the council had not included some plans for the parking situation.

Mr. McCutchin said they had some ideas as to the parking but that he wasn't sure all of the people that had signed the letter would agree to these ideas. They had not been fully discussed with the merchants. Then he said that to let the council know why they were here was that there seemed to have been over a period of time mention of and on to the mall. And in a letter addressed to folks downtown by Archie Walker it stated the redevelopment department were in final stages which would include a two block section of the Kirkwood Mall and the refurbishment and enhancement of downtown alleyways for utilization as pedestrian walkways. He stated he sat with the PAC group for a while when they were talking about improvements in intersections and the planting of some trees and flowers. When there was a change made for the use of the library site as was passed and approved by the PAC group he admitted that he dropped out and did not attend any more meetings. He stated that the problems that have arisen with the construction will still be there when the construction is finished. He stated that they have to always tell shoppers that this construction is not a part of the "Kirkwood Mall" but a part of the downtown PAC improvement. He then stated that they (Workingmens) felt that what is now being done is detrimental to business and the downtown business. He opposed the pedestrian traffic problem caused by the flower planters. He stated these took up too much room and were going to be fire hazards. He stated that they feel many of the businesses would suffer with the construction of the mall.

Councilman de St. Croix pointed out the difference between the NPD construction and the "Kirkwood Mall" that they are not the same projects.

Councilman Towell expressed this would take a cooperative effort and that it was necessary to work together and that the downtown merchants were as concerned with this construction.

Mr. McCutchin responded to these statements and agreed that it would and should take a cooperative effort.

Councilwoman Zietlow asked Mr. Walker about the status of the old library at the present time?

Mr. Walker stated that on November 4th of 1974 the commission met and officially decided to sell the old library to the city, for a sum of some \$80,000. The commission is now awaiting that payment. He also stated there were no restrictions written into the deed, regarding preservation.

Councilman Behen spoke to the fact that there were very few people (Merchants) in the NPD meetings regarding the construction going on now and that he saw few if any of them in the audience tonight. He stated that in line with this, he would suggest that if the people who are here at this meeting are as strong in their feelings and want action taken that they not act as people in the past and just attend one meeting to state their feelings. That they should band together and see this thing out. That one meeting is not going to do the job.

There was unaudivible response to what Mr. Behen said from the audience.

Councilman Mizell stated that as the plans were originally presented were not in such a state that he could have voted in support of them, but with the amendment that Councilman de St. Croix made he could then support them. But now with the dissatisfaction shown here he feels they should reconsider the plans and not go ahead until people are satisfied.

Councilwoman Davis asked why the merchants didn't say something in protest of the current construction until now. That now, now that the concrete is poured, it is a little late to raise protest.

Marvin Wiley said this is not the first time. That they have asked for these changes before but had to put it in a petition form before council tonight to get any attention. But we are not asking to change the construction already in progress. We just want these changes so when the construction on Kirkwood Mall at the other end begins the same mistakes are not made.

Councilman de St. Croix said he had talked with people in tonight's audience who are supporting the petition tonight, and he felt they had a situation here where the council is being set up as a fall guy, and that is that the last

time there was a hearing on the appropriation of the money for the mall project where the \$50,000 amendment was offered, if he remembered correctly two people spoke against the project. Now if Mr. Wiley talks to the Mayor or the Plan Department doesn't mean that the council will be given the same information. Therefore we need to know what one another are thinking.

Councilwoman Zietlow asked if there were plans for better delivery to the merchants of their goods, since this is one of the concerns of the people opposing the plans.

Mr. Walker stated that there were no definite plans in the mill right now. He went into further detail but was not near a mike and was not audible.

Councilwoman Zietlow expressed concern that detail such as this are worked out before plans are continued.

Councilwoman Davis asked Mr. Walker to please send to her before the next traffic commission meeting the recommendations for the alley. Because if they have recommendation it has to come before the commission so they can go into public hearings and be worked on.

Councilman Behen said that he had personally dropped in on merchants in the area immediately affected to try to detail the picture of what was happening and got no negative feedback. He stated that as they could see tonight the council wanted very much to work with the merchants but that they had to work together and let each other know what was what.

Mr. Farmer spoke to the fact that they should have raised their protest earlier and should stick with something to see it through.

Francis Hankins from Monroe County Bank stated that they had tried from the very beginning to stop this construction and got no action.

Beb Maxwell stated also that they were here from the very beginning and that there are a lot of people that just can't be here that have called and relayed their feelings to people that could be here. He stated that in this regard he wrote a letter to the council about it.

Councilwoman Zietlow asked if she was not correct in thinking that people are not so opposed to things happening there it is just that you want certain considerations of traffic, parking, drainage etc.

Mr. Maxwell said this was right.

There was a question raised in the audience about the money appropriated.

Councilpresident Ackerman stated that if the money is not expended this year, it then goes back into the budget and must be reappropriated in next years budget.

Then Councilpresident Ackerman asked Mr. Maxwell if the letters of oposition were just addressed to the Kirkwood Mall and not to the present construction.

Mr. Maxwell stated yes that his previous opposition was to the Mall only.

Councilpresident Ackerman then stated that the council had been hearing them and therefore tried to build in the safegaurd of the \$50,000.

Councilman Mizell stated that at the time of the original plan for the mall these problems were brought forward and that his concern was that these things be funded but in such a way that the working people on Kirkwood be satisfied. He stated that he was not satisfied at that meeting that everyone was, therefore he voted for the amendment so they could be satisfied. Therefore he asked them if they felt the \$50,000 safeguard was not satisfactory.

Jack Ellis spoke to this from the audience but was not audible.

Councilman Towell said he did believe there is an urgency about improvements downtown, and that a stand-pat attitude will not improve the businesses.

Then Mr. McCuttchin made one final comment expresseing his views again, but was not completely audible. He stated that as a result of tonights meeting he was sure that many more people would make more of an effort to attend these meetings and make their feelings known. Therefore felt that the council would see a lot more of them in the future.

Councilwoman Zietlow asked Mr. McCuttchin if it would be possible for him to appoint some people that could get together with the council and work on this.

Mr. McCuttchin said he would do this and would turn the names into the council office.

Tom Crossman spoke in appreciation of the discussion tonight but did take acception to the statement that there had been no changes. That there have been changes all along while looking at these plans. However the one thing that has not changed is the emphasis of pedestrian traffic in the mall. The emphasis of the plan is to downgrade the importance of the auto and upgrade the importance of pedestrian traffic. He acknowledged that they do have a parking problem but that these can be solved and

that this would be worked on. But he felt things needed to be taken step by step and this was not the first step.

Richard Huddelson of Monroe State Bank spoke to the Mall. He stated they, at the bank anyway is that they want the flow of traffic left unimpared. They do not want pedestrian traffic but want very much to have the flow of auto traffic, which is essential to their business.

Francis Hankins then asked to the number of cars per hour stated in that evenings paper. He did not know if it was a correct quote but felt that there was a little bit of double talk going on.

Councilman de St. Croix stated they had spent much time on this this evening and felt it was time to move on to the scheduled business at hand.

Councilman de St. Croix moved ordinance 74-97 be introduced and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion carried by unanimous voice vote of the council.

ORDINANCES - SECOND READING  
74-97 - Owned by  
American Fletcher Mort. Co.

Grace Johnson, City Clerk read 74-97 by title only.

Councilman de St. Croix moved 74-97 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Larry Owens moved to the map and described the properties to be annexed.

Ordinance 74-97 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 8; NAYS 0. Councilman Towell was not present when the vote was taken.

Councilman de St. Croix moved ordinance 74-98 be introduced and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion carried by unanimous voice vote of the council.

74-98 - Annexation - West of Dunn, East of Hwy. #37 North of Walnut Knolls Apt. South of #46 By-pass

Grace Johnson, City Clerk read 74-98 by title only.

Councilman de St. Croix moved 74-98 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Larry Owens described the properties to be annexed.

Ordinance 74-98 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 8; NAYS 0. Councilman Towell was not present when the vote was taken.

Councilman de St. Croix moved ordinance 74-99 be introduced and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion passed by unanimous voice vote of the council.

74-99 - Annexation - Between old and new Hwy. #37, South of Holiday Inn Motel.



Grace Johnson, City Clerk, read 74-99 by title only.

Councilman de St. Croix moved 74-99 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Larry Owens described the land to be annexed.

Councilman Mizell asked if this was actually old-old 37 and Old-new 37.

Larry Owens answered yes.

Ordinance 74-99 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 8; NAYS 0. Councilman Towell was not present when the vote was taken.

Councilman de St. Croix moved ordinance 74-100 be introduced and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion passed by unanimous voice vote of the council.

74-100 - Annexation - N.E. corner of State Hwy. #37 and #46 By-pass

Grace Johnson, City Clerk read 74-100 by title only.

Councilman de St. Croix moved 74-100 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Larry Owens described the properties to be annexed.

Ordinance 74-100 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 9; NAYS 0.

Councilman de St. Croix moved ordinance 74-101 be introduced and read by the clerk by title only. Councilwoman Davis seconded the motion. The motion carried by unanimous voice vote of the council.

74-101 - Amending Municipal Code

Grace Johnson, City Clerk, read 74-101 by title only.

Councilman de St. Croix moved 74-101 be adopted. Councilman Mizell seconded the motion.

Councilwoman gave a quick explanation of the ordinance.

Councilman de St. Croix asked when the security trucks came in and if this no parking would be on a 24 hour basis? So what we are saying is that we are going to remove one parking space for the convenience of the bank. If we are short of parking why one more removal.

Councilman Behen pointed that this was also for the safety of pedestrians walking across the walkway during those hours.

Councilwoman Davis pointed that this has been in the paper twice and no one spoke against it.

Councilwoman Davis then went on to explain the other sections of this ordinance. She stated that there had been a lot of public input on the issues in this ordinance. That there had been quite a bit of public input on the 15 minute parking zones, stated in section two.

Ordinance 74-101 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 8; NAYS 1. Councilman de St. Croix voted no.

Councilman de St. Croix moved ordinance 74-102 be introduced and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion carried by a unanimous voice vote of the council.

74-102 - "Parking Meters"

Grace Johnson, City Clerk, read 74-102 by title only.

Councilman de St. Croix moved 74-102 be adopted. Councilman seconded the motion.

Councilwoman Davis gave a brief explanation of the ordinance stating it was to remove parking meters from loading zones.

Ordinance 74-102 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 8; NAYS 1. Councilman de St. Croix voted no.

Councilman de St. Croix moved 74-103 be introduced and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion carried by a unanimous voice vote of the council.

74-103 - "Stop Intersection"

Grace Johnson, City Clerk, read 74-103 by title only.

Councilman de St. Croix moved 74-103 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Councilwoman Davis stated that there is not really enough data on this ordinance and that Jim Deahl the Traffic commissioner recommended that the council table this so they can work on it more.

Councilman Towell moved ordinance 74-103 be tabled. Councilman de St. Croix seconded the motion.

The motion to table 74-103 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 9; NAYS 0.

Councilman de St. Croix moved that ordinance 74-104 be introduced and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion carried by unanimous voice vote of the council.

74-104 - "Driving on Sidewalks"

Grace Johnson, City Clerk, read 74-104 by title only.

Councilman de St. Croix moved 74-104 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Councilwoman Davis stated this was to prevent people from using motorcycles on the sidewalks at Bloomington North High School.

Ordinance 74-104 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 9; NAYS 0.

Councilman de St. Croix moved Resolution 74-54 be introduced and read by the clerk. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. The motion carried by unanimous voice vote of the council.

Resolution 74-54  
Budget Transfer

Grace Johnson, City Clerk, read resolution 74-54.

Councilman de St. Croix moved 74-54 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Resolution 74-54 passed by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 9; NAYS 0.

There being no further business the meeting was adjourned at 11:15 P.M.

ADJOURNMENT

James S. Ackerman  
Brian C. de St. Croix, Council President

ATTEST:

Pam Carper  
Pam Carper, Secretary

**MILLER-SHOWERS PARK****Bloomington, Indiana**

Prepared by: John R. Snell Engineers, Inc.  
Indianapolis, Indiana  
October, 1974

**PROJECT PRIORITIES****PRIORITY 1:**

Parking lot  
Earth fill  
Rechannel drainage & drainage improvements  
Park signs  
Water line & fountains  
Lighting conduit  
Primary path  
Shelter building  
Bridge  
Sign plaza (partial)  
Plaque stone  
Tot lot (partial)  
Landscaping (partial)

**PRIORITY 2:**

Fountain plaza  
Tot lot  
Flower display area  
Sign plaza  
Landscaping  
Decorative lighting

## THIRD STREET PARK

Bloomington, Indiana

Prepared by: John R. Snell Engineers, Inc.  
Indianapolis, Indiana  
October, 1974

COST ESTIMATES

## 1. Pavement

|                       |                  |        |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------|
| a. Pavement to plazas | -- 7,000         |        |
| b. Plaza pavement     | -- <u>39,000</u> | 46,000 |

## 2. Benches

|                        |                 |        |
|------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| a. Single benches (28) | -- 9,800        |        |
| b. Double benches (6)  | -- 4,200        |        |
| c. Planters            | -- <u>1,000</u> | 15,000 |

## 3. Pool &amp; Fountains

|                            |                 |         |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| a. Raised pool & fountains | -- 66,800       |         |
| b. Sunken pool             | -- 40,000       |         |
| c. Planters w/flowers      | -- <u>3,600</u> | 110,400 |

## 4. Tot Lot

|                          |                 |       |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| a. 11 graphic screens    | -- 2,200        |       |
| b. Play apparatus & sand | -- <u>4,500</u> | 6,700 |

## 5. Electrical (line and fixtures)

9,300

## 6. Water Line &amp; Fountains

3,000

## 7. Bicycle Parking

500

## 8. Plantings

|                        |               |        |
|------------------------|---------------|--------|
| a. 14 shade trees      | -- 1,950      |        |
| b. 38 ornamental trees | -- 2,400      |        |
| c. groundcover beds    | -- 8,400      |        |
| d. flower beds         | -- 2,150      |        |
| e. seeding             | -- <u>500</u> | 15,400 |

## 9. Demolition (bldgs., pavements, grading)

11,000

217,300

10% Contingency

21,730

TOTAL PROJECT COST \$239,030

3.

|                              |                  |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10. Plaque stone             | <u>1,000</u>     |
|                              | 75,250           |
| 10% Contingencies            | <u>7,525</u>     |
|                              | <u>82,775</u>    |
| TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT COST | <u>\$370,870</u> |

- 1 Cost Estimates are based on estimated contractor bids.
- 2 For estimated cost of plants from a nursery use the following guidelines:
  - Shade trees: \$40 - 60
  - Ornamental trees: \$15 - 25
  - Evergreens: \$30 - 50
  - Shrubs: \$10 - 15

2.

## 12. Sign Plaza

|                         |                 |        |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| a. Paving               | -- 2,640        |        |
| b. Curved bench         | -- 2,000        |        |
| c. 4 flagpoles          | -- 8,000        |        |
| d. groundcover          | -- 2,400        |        |
| e. evergreens (3)       | -- 300          |        |
| f. ornamental trees (5) | -- 300          |        |
| g. stones in plaza      | -- <u>2,000</u> | 17,640 |

13. Landscape Planting<sup>2</sup>

|                               |                 |        |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| a. 66 shade trees (\$140)     | -- 9,240        |        |
| b. 30 ornamental trees (\$60) | -- 1,800        |        |
| c. 50 evergreens (\$100)      | -- 5,000        |        |
| d. 200 shrubs (\$20)          | -- <u>4,000</u> | 20,040 |

|                 |                  |
|-----------------|------------------|
|                 | <u>\$261,905</u> |
| 10% Contingency | <u>26,190</u>    |
|                 | <u>\$288,095</u> |

II. MUNICIPAL RESPONSIBILITY PROJECTS

## 1. Parking Lot

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| a. Curbing -- 4,400        |        |
| b. paving -- <u>10,600</u> | 15,000 |

2. Drainage Channel Relocation 5,000

3. Drainage Improvement (East side &amp; north end) 3,500

## 4. Earth Fill and General Grading

|                                        |        |
|----------------------------------------|--------|
| a. fill material (4,000 CY.) -- 16,000 |        |
| b. topsoil (500 CY.) -- <u>4,000</u>   | 20,000 |

5. Water Line (1170 LF) 3,800

6. Electrical (Primary line &amp; fixtures) 9,600

## 7. Paths

|                                |        |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| a. paving (1300 S.Y.) -- 9,750 |        |
| b. steps (2) -- <u>1,000</u>   | 10,750 |

8. Clearing &amp; Grubbing 1,000

## 9. Landscaping

|                                      |       |
|--------------------------------------|-------|
| a. Seeding (3.5 acres) -- 4,900      |       |
| b. Special groundcover -- <u>700</u> | 5,600 |

## MILLER-SHOWERS PARK

Bloomington, Indiana

Prepared by: John R. Snell Engineers, Inc.  
 Indianapolis, Indiana  
 October, 1974

COST ESTIMATES<sup>1</sup>

| <u>I. COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION PROJECTS</u>                     | <u>COSTS</u> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. Shelter Building (with surrounding surfacing and 6 benches) | 11,500.      |
| 2. Wooden Bridge (across ravine)                               | 13,500       |
| 3. Park Signs                                                  |              |
| a. 2 wood signs -- 3,000                                       |              |
| b. stone -- 2,000                                              | 5,000        |
| 4. Tot Lot                                                     |              |
| a. 12 graphic screens -- 1,800                                 |              |
| b. Play equipment & sand -- 6,000                              |              |
| c. 4 benches -- <u>1,600</u>                                   | 9,400        |
| 5. Picnic Tables (20)                                          | 1,200        |
| 6. Picnic Grills (5)                                           | 225          |
| 7. Trash Receptacles (5)                                       | 500          |
| 8. Drinking Fountains (2)                                      | 1,300        |
| 9. Stone Blocks (330 tons)                                     | 13,200       |
| 10. Fountain Plaza                                             |              |
| a. Pool and Fountain (w/stones) -- 118,000                     |              |
| b. Paving -- 16,000                                            |              |
| c. Landscape planting -- 4,200                                 |              |
| d. Decorative lighting -- 2,500                                |              |
| e. Benches (10) -- 4,000                                       |              |
| f. Sculpture mounting stones -- <u>2,300</u>                   | 147,000      |
| 11. Flower Display Area                                        |              |
| a. Paved court -- 5,000                                        |              |
| b. Benches (10) -- 4,000                                       |              |
| c. Fountain -- 5,500                                           |              |
| d. Decorative Lighting -- 1,500                                |              |
| e. Ground cover & Flowers -- 3,600                             |              |
| f. Steel edging -- <u>1,800</u>                                | 21,400       |



Chas. R. Monro, Exec. V.P.

Fountain Federal Sav. & Loan

Business Service, Inc. Charles P. Rogers, President.

MacCallum Stance Printing and Gift Shoppe,  
Mgr. L. J. Harvey, Owner

Geo. H. Payne - Business, Harvey & Associates

Hwey Friedrichs - Trustee IOOF Lodge  
 Joseph E. Crouch for Vonderschmitt Rentals  
 Sparks Southern - Chairman, Monroe County State Bank  
 R. L. P. Lechter - Ralph Rogers Co - director Monroe Co State Bank  
 Paul E. Hushon, Monroe County State Bank  
 Thomas M. McDonald attorney for WFSL + MCB with office located  
 at 121 E. Kirkwood ave (WFSL)  
 Thomas M. Ladynan Ladynan's Dr 122 E Kirkwood  
 Howard A. Canada 104 E Kirkwood  
 Robert J. Ines 118 E. Kirkwood (bricks)  
 Richard H. Osborne 109 E. Kirkwood Jeweler

Indian Office Supply  
J. C. Schaffer, Jr.

IDEAL OFFICE MACHINES  
Ince & Hudgins

SNAP PHOTO DBA / HAZOCS CAMERA CENTER

Paul Walton

Doris Kent (manager) Snap Photo -

Raymond Kosman manager Zivich's

Wiles Photo Supply, R. E. Easton mgr,

Merideth Wiley - Barkin Rollins

Larry Sr. Berry - Ritz Barber Shop

Richard F. Barnes - THE CAFE PIZZARIA

Chal J. [unclear]

L. Lee Janier, M.D.

Mrs. Robert J. Cook

November 20, 1974

Mayor Francis X. McCloskey  
Members of the Common Council of the City of Bloomington  
The Board of Public Works

Gentlemen:

We, the undersigned, consider E. Kirkwood Avenue to be the major and most direct link between the Indiana University campus and the downtown Bloomington shopping area and wish that this street continue as such.

We believe that the four financial institutions who built new buildings, that property owners, business and professional men who have located on E. Kirkwood with large investments of money, have done so because they believed in and had confidence that E. Kirkwood would remain a major thoroughfare and an accessible area for their customers.

We believe, that in order for E. Kirkwood to continue to be the major link to the I.U. campus, to appeal to shoppers and to retain its desirability of business to locate there that many improvements are necessary. We do not agree that conversion of the street to a semi-mall, pedestrian oriented concept is the most desirable improvement for the area. The concept, as proposed and as we understand it, will, in our opinion, be detrimental to a majority of the businesses and financial institutions now located on E. Kirkwood Avenue. Any decrease in business will result in several of the present businesses relocating and a reduction in property values in the area.

We believe that the conversion of E. Kirkwood to a semi-mall concept reducing from four lanes of auto traffic to two lanes will remove the orderly flow of auto traffic and remove one of the important factors most people look for--that of easy accessibility. Several years ago the street was widened in order to provide a more orderly flow of auto traffic. The removal of several parking spaces without provision for replacement within the immediate shopping area is also detrimental to the future success of this area. With only two lanes of traffic there will be many traffic jams, some of which may be caused by delivery trucks with no parking other than the street to make deliveries. It is obvious that these problems will occur by observing the construction now underway in the first one and one-half blocks of E. Kirkwood just east of Walnut Street.

We recommend to the mayor, to the members of the common council and to the Board of Works that they proceed with plans for improving E. Kirkwood but that the improvements be in the nature of the following:

1. First priority be given to providing proper and ample water drainage
2. Close open sewers
3. Provide new curbs and new sidewalks
4. Provide new surfacing to the street
5. Place moveable tree or flower pots so as to maintain an orderly pedestrian flow of traffic

Mayor Francis X. McCloskey  
November 20, 1974  
Page Two

- 6. Do not remove any of the existing parking spaces. We believe that additional parking is needed, not less.
- 7. More frequent cleaning of the streets, preferably that the cleaning be done during night hours rather than during the daylight hours when the sweepers cannot get to the curbs.
- 8. Improve alleyways in order that deliveries can be made.

We present these recommendations with the sincere belief that these improvements are needed and will be preferable over the semi-mall concept. We believe there will be acceptance and support for these improvements both from the businessmen, property owners and the general public as well. We believe, as you do, that improvements are needed and sincerely ask that you give consideration to our recommendations.

*John M. Cauter, Pres. Workingmens Fed. Sal. + Loan*

*Earl Medelson  
President Monroe  
County State Bank*

*Marvin Wiley  
Baskin Robbins owner*

*Robert B. Maxwell - Maxwell's*

*Mrs & Mrs. Kenneth Lukens - property owners*

*Donald J. Cape  
Argus Belsie*

*Wallace J. Ellis*

*John L. Kleindorfer*

*David R. Hill Justice Odd-Fellows Bldg.*

*Lannis L. Hazel*

*Earl Oberle*

*Francis L. Perkins  
Executive Vice Pres  
Monroe County State Bank*

*Tom Fulkerson, Pappagallo*

*Jack W. Ellis - Kerkwood Pharmacy*

*Al H. Gandy - Kimmy's SHOE REP 4/4A Bloomington SHOE REP*

*Bemie Vana - the music center*