

In the Council Chambers of the Municipal Building, on Thursday, August 30, 1973, at 7:30 p.m., E.S.T, with Council President Charlotte T. Zietlow presiding.

SPECIAL SESSION
COMMON COUNCIL
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON
INDIANA

Present: Alfred Towell, Hubert Davis, Richard Behen, Brian De St. Croix, Wayne Fix, Charlotte Zietlow.

ROLL CALL

Absent: Jack Morrison, Sherwin Mizell, James Ackerman.

Mayor McCloskey; Rob Saltzman, Assistant to the Mayor; Ted Najam, Assistant to the Mayor; James Register, Corporate Counsel; Jim Deahl, Project Engineer; Marvard Clark, Assistant City Engineer; Bill Wilson, Director of Parks and Recreation; Brance Stogsdill, Accountant.

CITY OFFICIALS PRESENT

About 6 people including members of the press.

OTHER PERSONS PRESENT

Council president Zietlow announced that this special council meeting had been called for the purpose of having second reading on ordinances which were introduced at the council meeting of August 16, 1973, as there will not be any Council meetings in September. She noted that additions to the agenda beyond ordinances 73-56, 73-57, 73-58, 73-59, and Appropriations Ordinance No. 73-8, will require a unanimous vote of the Council.

meeting call

Councilman De St. Croix moved that the minutes of the Council meetings of August 16, 1973 and August 27, 1973, be approved as distributed. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

MINUTES

August 16 and 27

Councilman Towell: nothing has been done about bicycles yet. It seems that everyone who pays 50¢ comes by my office. They say that the city collects 50¢ and still doesn't do anything.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Ordinance No. 73-56 be advanced to second reading and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

ORDINANCES - SECOND READING

73-56 - voluntary annexation - 1200 south fess

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, read Ordinance No. 73-56 by title only.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Ordinance No. 73-56 be adopted. Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

James Register, Corporate Counsel, addressed the council: this involves the annexation of a single lot on south fess where annexation was requested by the owner because they were adjacent to an already established trunk sewer line. And this property was within an area, the rest of which was annexed some time back - several years back and this property here was not annexed at that time. This is being annexed in response to a specific written request that was explained

to the council at the last meeting when this matter was considered.

ORDINANCE NO. 73-56 WAS ADOPTED BY A ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 6, NAYS 0.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Ordinance No. 73-57 be advanced to second reading and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

73-57 - voluntary annexation - 1409 West Kirkwood

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, read Ordinance No. 73-57 by title only.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Ordinance No. 73-57 be adopted. Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

Mr. Register: I explained at the last meeting that this was a request by the westside assembly of god church for annexation of their property west of adams - it is about a half acre tract. It was requested by the trustees of the church.

ORDINANCE NO. 73-57 WAS ADOPTED BY A ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 6, NAYS 0.

Councilman De ST. Croix moved that ordinance No. 73-58 be advanced to second reading and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

73-58 - creating a personnel office

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, read Ordinance No. 73-58 by title only.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Ordinance No. 73-58 be adopted. Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

Mayor McCloskey spoke in favor of the ordinance: I think everything I could possibly say on it has probably been said. I think it is a very important administrative advance in the city employment and personnel area. It would be very helpful both to coordinate recruitment and you know permanent employee policies. And it would be very helpful in affirmative action and equal employment advertising and opportunities and I think it is pretty much the consensus of the administration that we need such a position and, assuming it is approved, that we will be advertising for such an individual in the very near future.

Councilman De St. Croix: I just wanted to speak in favor of the ordinance. The Council has, by consensus, gone on record in support of this type of - the development of this type of office and I am pleased to see that the Mayor's office is exercising a leadership function and initiative in seeing that this office is instituted for the rest of this calendar year as well as providing for it in next year's budget. I think that it is a step in a very positive direction.

Councilpresident Zietlow: This will be advertised?

Mayor McCloskey: I have no idea who the person will be - we'll do extensive interviewing and of course it would be nice if we are going to establish a person for equal employment, affirmative action and other personnel purposes that do it in an equal employment type way. I'm looking forward to the interviewing process.

Councilpresident Zietlow: Can I ask if you see the equal employment function coming primarily out of this office

Mayor McCloskey: I would say so, yes.

Councilpresident Zietlow: Rather than from the redevelopment department?

Mayor McCloskey: Well, uh, we will have an equal employment officer. I am sure I have asked Mr. Walker to advertise for the vacancy in that position. I do very much imagine that despite various internal discussions it will be two high profile positions in this area, namely - three with the personnel officer but also the equal employment officer and the human rights attorney. Mr. Walker will be advertising to fill that position - he should be doing that now, really.

Councilpresident Zietlow: And then there would be coordination between those offices?

Mayor McCloskey: Of course.

Councilman Towell: I hope that Mr. Wackowski won't have to concern himself with the City. I am sure we are all assuming that.

Mayor McCloskey: I am not quite sure of the thrust of your comments.

Councilman Towell: Well, since he is sort of an enforcement person where there are complaints, I hope there won't...

Mayor McCloskey: Well, the very reason he is an employee of a staggered board and commission is so that he will feel free to bring a grievance against the city. Of course this does not guarantee that there will not be grievances, real or imagined, against the city, but surely it represents a good faith effort to minimize such problems.

Councilman Towell: I think also we are taking an important extra step - so that was the substance of my remarks.

Mayor McCloskey: I imagine there will always be problems in that area just by, as you know in your concerns for city employment, just by sometimes totally unfounded perceptions but they still have to be heard and dealt with.

Councilpresident Zietlow: Will the personnel office deal with grievances generally - of employees in the city, that is not outlined here. Will the personnel office deal with grievances within the city?

Mayor McCloskey: Well it possibly could. There is an established grievance procedure with the union and of course it is a matter of procedure any employee - you know, union or non union would pretty much have the - even non union would have the you know supervisor, department head, mayoral route. And, of course, the union very well regularizes such a procedure for union employees - that would eliminate that and the personnel officer would not be your grievance procedure - your grievance person per se, other than that he could minimize grievances.

Councilpresident Zietlow: Yes, I was thinking for people who weren't concerned with union positions.

Mayor McCloskey: I might add that we have had informal discussions with people from Indiana University, and - who is the other individual? There is another opportunity for professional consulting in the personnel area - was it IACT or Lily?

Ted Najam: In conjunction with the Lily project, the city of Bloomington is one of six cities that has been selected to be assisted and one of the areas that they are going to focus on is personnel administration. So we are going to have the consulting assistance of a professional personnel man in setting this thing up. And he is going to help us in deciding what kind of person we are looking for, what we should expect him to do, or - I'm using well, him generally.

Mayor McCloskey: It was a symbolic him.

Councilman Behen: Mayor McCloskey, do you envision this position possibly embracing the responsibility of possibly putting the city employees on a civil service basis where a man that has been on the job, say, two and a half years is not still being paid what the new man being hired that day might be earning? For merit systems and that sort of thing?

Mayor McCloskey: I think we do have to develop more sophisticated procedures in that area and this person would be a major help, on the other hand, at the maximum salary of \$10,500 a year there is a good chance that we won't get a guy who has been on the cover of TIME magazine - someone who will grow into the job. I think that we will have very good professional consulting help to help set it up, even to the type of person as we establish it, hopefully with the right person - this person will be trained and become more sophisticated and grow into a higher responsibility position that you would normally associate with this particular economic level. I think it could ultimately involve labor relations also and all sorts of things. We don't have a labor relations expert per se on the city staff right now, for example it could very well be this individual in conjunction with the city attorney. I said individual not him or her.

Ted Najan: The mayor is more discreet than I am.

Council president Zietlow: Creating this department of personnel is a major step I think toward creating a different kind of city.

ORDINANCE NO. 73-58 WAS ADOPTED BY A ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 6, NAYS 0.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Ordinance No. 73-59 be advanced to second reading and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

No. 73-59 - salary ordinance - personnel office

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, read Ordinance No. 73-59, by title only.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Ordinance No. 73-59 be adopted. Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

Council president Zietlow noted that this ordinance will set the maximum salaries for the personnel director at \$10,500 per annum and the secretary at \$5,720, which were the salaries established by the salary ordinance for next year.

There was no further discussion or comment from the council or the floor.

ORDINANCE NO. 73-59 WAS ADOPTED BY A ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 6, NAYS 0.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Appropriations Ordinance No. 73-8 be advanced to second reading and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Appropriations Ordinance No. 73-8

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, read Appropriations Ordinance No. 73-8 by title only.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Appropriations Ordinance No. 73-8 be adopted. Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

Mayor McCloskey: I would like to, if I could, make a suggestion. In light of recent legal and policy advice from utilities service board attorney David Rogers, I would like to request that the other contractual services item - infiltration study, that is on the second page of this ordinance, of the revenue sharing trust fund, be deleted from that ordinance and also the one as to cumulative capital on the first page for one hundred thousand dollars be deleted. And a new ordinance - perhaps the rules could be suspended to allow the first reading - only first reading, not passage of a new ordinance that would basically reverse these two amounts by categories and allowing the infiltration study to be done with cumulative capital and the land purchase to be done with revenue sharing money. This would enhance our abilities to receive money back from the federal government - an 85% rebate for the infiltration study.

Councilman Towell: Well, I think with the sort of general consensus of the council we could just make that as an amendment. It is a rather gross amendment but we're still intending the same projects.

Mayor McCloskey: I would say the intent is substantially the same - it is the same commitment from the standpoint of policy - my only concern would be legally, really.

Mr. Register: I think it is a material change that actually changes the ordinance. The legal department has studied it and rendered an opinion that it should not be amended.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Appropriations Ordinance No. 73-8 be amended by deleting \$180,000 from revenue sharing trust fund and \$100,000 from cumulative capital. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 6, NAYS 0.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Appropriations Ordinance No. 73-8, as amended, be adopted. Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

Mayor McCloskey: Some people from park and shop are due to come to the meeting to discuss that appropriation.

Ted Najam: We may be able to answer any questions even though they aren't here.

Councilman Davis: The question I have got is in terms of participation percentages by merchants. The word I have gotten is that it won't work unless you've got almost total participation from merchants. The meters that spit tokens I mean.

Mayor McCloskey: I believe some people are here from downtown now. Mr. Schwartz was to be here tonight to talk on this point. It is my belief - Mr. Schwartz could talk on this better than I, but it is my belief that we do have good participation in the downtown area but I think he would be better qualified to speak to that than I would.

Council president Zietlow asked if there was any discussion of the appropriations for the personnel office or the parks and recreation department.

Mr. Wilson: I think that the sheet it is probably self-explanatory. I'll be happy to answer any questions. This is not any new money. It is money that has been donated to us that we are reappropriating in our department - the county budget that we are appropriating into the budget for expenditures due to the law that the largest city of the two budgets is the dispersing office.

Council president Zietlow: Including the money for the insurance on the bubble, right?

Mr. Wilson: That is money, of course, that is paid to us by the insurance company that we reappropriated to pay for a new bubble.

Mayor McCloskey: I do expect Mr. Schwartz to come by 8 p.m.

Ted Najam: I could speak to the question raised by Councilman Davis which I do think is a legitimate question, about the level of participation by downtown merchants required to make the program a success. This has been discussed and in fact one of the first things that the duncan meter people told us when we first started discussing this with them was that a substantial amount - I am not sure that almost total participation is required but a substantial amount is required to make it work. The advantage of the program, however, is that under any event the city doesn't stand to lose anything and that the success of the park and shop program then does rest almost exclusively on the willingness of the merchants to get the program together and support it and that was one of the virtues of this particular arrangement, was that it does separate out the city's obligation from the merchants' obligation. They are complementary arrangement - it is a complementary arrangement in that they each stand on separate feet and I think there is probably - the other aspect of that Mr. Schwartz could speak to.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Appropriations Ordinance No. 73-8 be temporarily tabled until later in the meeting when Mr. Schwartz would be present.
Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

Councilman Towell: I just think that is unnecessary; I think we have heard about this again and again.

Councilman De St. Croix: I didn't know if Councilman Davis had his question answered. Are you satisfied Councilman Davis?

Councilman Davis: I am not sure I would change but I am a little uneasy. The cost to the city would be the cost of the meters.

Mayor McCloskey: I would feel better myself if - Mr. Schwartz did assure me he would be here - if we could delay until later to get a different perspective on it.

THE MOTION TO TABLE WAS CARRIED BY A
ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 5, NAYS 1 (Nay: Towell)

Councilman De St. Croix moved that
the rules be suspended to allow
for consideration of Ordinance
No. 73-48 at second reading,
Resolution No. 73-54 at first
reading, and Appropriations
Ordinance No. 73-9 at first reading.
Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

SUSPENSION OF RULES

Councilman Behen: There are some questions that I had. Have the questions that had been addressed to Attorney Clendening been answered?

Councilman Towell: Do you want to put it on the agenda or do you want to wait to discuss this.

Councilpresident Zietlow: Councilman Behen is wondering if it should be included on the agenda because we were not going to include it if questions hadn't been answered.

Councilman Behen: That is why I am posing the question as to - that would influence my vote if the questions that were put to attorney clendening have been answered to everybody's satisfaction perhaps then we could include and if they haven't then it shouldn't be.

Councilpresident Zietlow: Right. That is correct. Mr. Register, perhaps you can advise us on that.

Mr. Register: The questions have been answered.

Councilman Fix: This may not be talking to this motion but can we decide on the merits with three members absent?

Councilpresident Zietlow: Of course, we have a quorum and we can conduct public business if we have a quorum.

Councilman Towell: If there are two votes against, it's out though. And then there is a penalty of six months.

Councilman Fix: I think the people asking for the reading of this ordinance may bear this in mind.

Councilpresident Zietlow: True. I have a message from Councilman Mizell, too. He did think that we should include this on the agenda this evening. He can't vote for it but he also has made some comments which we have.

Councilman De St. Croix: Does the petitioner have any inclination in this?

Mr. Clendening, attorney for petitioners for ordinance 73-48: We would like to be heard.

Councilpresident Zietlow: I have spent quite a bit of time trying to determine whether the questions the council had have been answered in order to put this on the agenda and I am satisfied myself that they have been, and it can be heard.

Councilman Towell: It is true that there is a six month delay but, on the other hand the Council during the zoning hearings I think was very courteous to people when there were a small number of people present tabling was usually the way things went and I doubt if we would just bring it to a vote and defeat it without everyone being present.

THE MOTION TO SUSPEND THE RULES WAS ADOPTED BY A ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 6, NAYS 0.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Resolution NO. 73-54 be introduced and read by the clerk. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Resolution NO. 73-54 - investment of funds

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, read Resolution No. 73-54 in its entirety.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Resolution No. 73-54 be adopted. Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

RESOLUTION NO. 73-54 WAS ADOPTED BY A ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 6, NAYS 0.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Appropriations Ordinance No. 73-9 be introduced and read by the clerk. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Appropriations Ordinance NO. 73-9 - first reading

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, read Appropriations Ordinance No. 73-9 in its entirety.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that Ordinance No. 73-48 be advanced to second reading and read by the clerk by title only. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Ordinance 73-48 rezoning - Stone hedge SECOND READING

Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk,
read Ordinance No. 73-48 by
title only.

Councilman De St. Croix moved
that Ordinance No. 73-48 be adopted.
Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

Mr. Crossman, Planning Director, addressed the Council: The ordinance before you is for designation of the area known as Stone Hedge Manor as a planned unit development - the first under the new zoning ordinance. We will have to say that eventhough it is the first under the new zoning ordinance that it has been in the offing for a long time. The plan - the conceptual plan was previously approved by the planning commission and the board of zoning appeals under the neighborhood development provision of the previous zoning ordinance. The developer returned to the planning commission to submit his plan as a PUD under the present - the current zoning ordinance. His application recognized that there was one area wherein they didn't meet the letter of the new PUD regulations and included a request for a waiver from the single family requirement in as much as 43% of their total development was single family - the balance was multiple family. The planning commission heard the case and if you the minutes before you of the planning commission they are extraordinarily confused because there were two issues that were being debated. more or less simultaneously - the issue, one, of the merits of adopting and recommending to this council that the pud be designated and mapped and, two, that the development plan for phase two of this be approved. Finally, in the middle of the deliberations the commission separated the two issues and recommended unanimously that the PUD be designated on the map and deferred action on phase two. It is still necessary for the commission to defer action until such time as the PUD has been so designated. I might note that in our recommendation to the planning commission we specifically noted that this plan conceptually had achieved a higher quality of design and site layout and adaption to its site than any project the planning commission has reviewed within the past year-plus that I have been there. Most of the questions that were pertinent to traffic, sewer and water, vehicular access - have been satisfactorily answered. We would be very pleased to recommend to the council that this area be so designated as a planned unit development and we would be anxious to get on with the process in the development plans. If there are any specific questions for me I would be happy to answer them. Gary Clendening, and Doctor Schultheis and Terry Vogel I notice are here and they may have more specific answers than I would.

Councilpresident Zietlow: As I understand it, in granting this rezoning we are at the same time approving the outline map of the planned unit development.

Mr. Crossman: That is correct, yes. But not the specific development plans.

Councilpresident Zietlow: I think we need a briefing on the outline plan.

Mr. Crossman: Why don't I defer that to the developers who are present and can probably do an even better job than I could.

Mr. Clendening: I think, very briefly, as I think Tom has covered most of the history of this particular project. It has gone on for a rather extended period of time. Officially what we are doing here tonight is something that had been done once before but in a different manner. We went with conceptual plans for a neighborhood development unit both to the plan commission and the board of zoning appeals under the old zoning ordinance which is the way you would proceed at that time. Throughout the time when we were doing that we alleged that when the time came that we had a planned unit development ordinance that we would be back and that we would comply with that ordinance. As Tom mentioned we are hoping tonight to become the first designated planned unit development in

or near, or nearly in the City of Bloomington. In conjunction with this it was necessary for us to request a variance in terms of single family-multi family ratio. And I think in this case it is merely a matter of semantics. The RS PUD allows a seventy five percent single family ratio, to all other types of development. This particular development, by making use of the condominium concept really should be deemed to be all single family. But the method that it is being built would leave 43 percent single family lots and the other fifty seven percent as condominium development so for that reason we also had to ask for a variance on the ratio. As to the specific plans which we have submitted which I think cover all eight requirements of the outline plan I think the details will be presented to you in just a second here by the developers, who I think you all know - Dr. Schultheis and Terry Vogel.

Mr. Vogel distributed some materials to the Council.

Mr. Vogel: What I have done is given each one of you a fact sheet covering the essential information on the entire development; I have also handed out - at each end of the table, one of our sales literature brochures on stone hedge manor - the brown envelopes which you could pass along. I have also included color photos that have been taken at various stages in construction of the first twenty one condominium units which we are in the process of completing. Basically in explaining - what I am going to try to do is explain to you the concept that we are developing. Basic boundary that we have (Mr. Vogel used a map of the area to locate the project.) Single family lots are around the periphery.

We have 167 acres of ground and we have plans to build five hundred total living units - the make up of that is roughly about 300 condominiums, 200 single family homes, so that gives us an overall density of approximately right at 2.999 units or 3.0 units per acre overall density. In the way that we are developing the first phase is that we have of course platted the area under the old ordinance, under the neighborhood development plan. This area (indicated on map) is under development right now. We have sold twelve of the single family lots and we have sold eleven of the first twenty one condominium units. We already have four people already moved in. We will probably be running four to five units of new people moving in each month from here on in. We are developing right now additional condominiums (shown on map) and the way they are being developed we have anywhere from two to six units per building, and the pictures we have distributed to you are the different types of buildings that we have and you'll notice the architecture and the terrain and the trees, what have you - this acreage in here (on map) is very diverse land - it is wooded, it is rolling - there is very little flat ground so to speak in this entire piece of ground and approximately the eighty to ninety acres are wooded - so we have a large area that is wooded and still a substantial area that is not wooded. Phase one, as you can see in the outline plan has approximately a hundred condominiums and fifty one single family lots; phase two will have approximately a hundred and fifty condominiums and a little over a hundred single family lots - phase three will have approximately fifty condominiums and about single family lots to complete the total. I think there are a few mistakes on each one of your fact sheets. The first one there says we boast three hundred and fifty five condominiums units, it is actually three hundred instead of three fifty five. We also have included here, as I say not only the single family areas which are located on the periphery, adjoining all additional pieces of property, but we have in the center here, our condominium section which is entirely enclosed in this heavily wooded area. Adjacent to that we have a community center and a park and we also included a proposed elementary school site. These are the basic elements in the pud. Of course the pud concept is the plan - the master planning of a large piece of ground and utilizing various land uses and developing it. Which is exactly what we have done. I think that that pretty well covers the basics. We have a slide presentation which we will show you - color pictures of what we are developing and then I think we will throw it open to your questions.

At 8:30, while Dr. Schultheis was setting up his slide presentation, Councilpresident Zietlow noted that there had been a request for a petition to be presented: We don't have a place for petitions on this special council session agenda.

PETITIONS AND
COMMUNICATIONS

not allowed on this agenda

Mrs. A.K. Morrison: I am Carrie Morrison, I am president of Eastern Heights Association and I was told that I might have about two minutes.

Councilpresident Zietlow: That has to be a decision of the council in order to change the agenda.

Mrs. Morrison: I understand that.

Councilman De St. Croix: I as one councilmember and I know I speak for more than myself, will not vote to expand the agenda to include additional petitions and communications on this issue. I consider it inappropriate for the council to have a public hearing on this matter at a special meeting of the council.

Councilpresident Zietlow: That does present a problem since we need a unanimous vote.

Councilman Fix: I would suggest that if the petitioners want to wait until after the meeting we could hear what they have to say. I for one will wait and hear what they have to say.

Councilpresident Zietlow: There is not point in having a motion to expand the agenda at this point but there will be councilpeople here after the meeting.

Dr. Schultheis gave a slide presentation of the stone hedge manor development.

resumption of discussion
of ordinance 73-48

Dr. Schultheis explained that in a condominium a person buys the building and the ground beneath it, which means that a building can have a common wall with another building.

Councilpresident Zietlow: Councilman Mizell tells me that the plan commission, as you said Mr. Crossman, recognized that there are certain things about this PUD which they hoped would not be in other PUDs, although in general they approved it completely. There were some reservations about certain aspects of it. Mr. Mizell did not go into detail but he said we should be very conscious of the plan commission's feeling that it wasn't a typical PUD but because of the history of the case and because they had been working closely with Mr. Vogel and Dr. Schultheis for the last year that they did give approval of the plan and that, however it shouldn't be considered as a precedent for other PUDs. I guess the park and school sights were one question.

Mr. Crossman: The particular points that Councilman Mizell was alluding to were one the ratio that I had already commented on, and some of the concepts may not be exactly as the present ordinance reads but this has been in the course of development for better than a year and it was previously approved under the neighborhood development plan. I don't think that the planning commission had any particular reservations about the design of this concept and I think they are wholeheartedly in support

of it but they did want it to be noted that there are some variations from the exact letter of the PUD ordinance, and from that standpoint they don't want it to be looked at as a precedent. Not from the standpoint that it is inadequate design or anything like that.

Dr. Schultheis: I think that - correct me if I am wrong, Tom - that the plan commission - or the planning department, supports the request for a variance in ratio.

Mr. Crossman: That was included in your request and the request was forwarded to the Council for approval.

Councilman Towell: What was the vote in the plan commission?

Mr. Clendening: unanimously.

Mr. Vogel: One thing that I might add, is that, again emphasizing the fact that we started planning on this development in - it was October 1971, and we have worked through three different planners since we came on board and we have altered our plans actually very little from the original design and we have had a firm in Columbus doing all our plans, planning and architecture and we have been very pleased with the job that they have done for us. We feel that the overall concept that we have come out with has turned out to you know work extremely well with the land and also with the surrounding areas. The concept being this, that the single family areas here on the periphery act as a buffer against surrounding pieces of property - upper flanders, east of our property is single family - there are large single family lots in sugar acres. And using these concepts, a single family lot acting as a buffer plus the heavily wooded areas and terrains we have here we have been able to adequately buffer the single family areas and by using the condominium, we are selling these units to individuals - they actually are single family homes, rather than an apartment type of structure and we are also building, from the quality standpoint, as well as or better than most homes.

Dr. Schultheis: One significant difference between an apartment and a condominium, at least as we are building them, is that no one lives above or below.

Councilman Behen: Which sewer system will this total development eventually be on? On the Ellettsville or the Bloomington sewer system?

Mr. Vogel: Our original design - well, our present design is that this terrain here (shows on map) - this is pretty well the fall line of the property, right here. At the present time we have designed our entire subdivision to gravity feed back to this point (on map), based upon the fact that at the present time the city of Bloomington does not have facilities in the area to serve us as far as sewers we have built a lift station and we are pumping it to a point where then it can go, by gravity feed, to Ellettsville. The system was originally designed to gravity feed to the stoute's creek interceptor.

Mr. Clendening: I think eventually it is going to go to Bloomington.

Mr. Vogel: It is going to go to the north treatment plant, provided that the stoute's creek interceptor is built.

Dr. Schultheis: We are informed that the capacity of the Eastern Richland Sewer Corporation is adequate for the hookons that they have now and for some projected into the future.

Council president Zietlow: Mr. Register, you are satisfied that questions that have been raised in the past have been adequately dealt with?

Mr. Register: Oh, Yes.

Councilman Fix: I would like to ask if these were Council questions or legal counsel questions, that are being answered.

Councilpresident Zietlow: One of the questions was about the ultimate disposition of the sewage - the water comes from the Ellettsville water company?

Mr. Vogel: At the present time, also we pick up our water right here off of state road forty six and for some reason unbeknown to me - evidently it was an engineering decision, this is the Bloomington township line - this is actually the fall line - the terminology for that - the pump station is located back in here and that is where it turns from Bloomington to Ellettsville lines. This is where Ellettsville buys the water in bulk from Bloomington.

Dr. Schultheis: That is at Maple Grove road. If you proceed out 46 to maple grove and turn right to go to upper flanders there is a small square building where by some feat of magic it turns from Bloomington water to Ellettsville water. Now, we have - and so that is our access to water. We have frontage along maple grove road, there is no water extending up maple grove road at this time. We have indicated in the past that we are willing to participate in getting it up there. Ray Long remembers many years ago when I first talked to him about that possibility. But at the present time we have no other access to water except at 46 at the township lines.

Mr. Register: Madam president, in answer to Councilman Fix's comment, I would like to make a statement. It is anticipated by the legal department that this project will grow considerably and it is also anticipated that the facilities of bloomington - both as to the distribution of the water, of which Ellettsville is now a present customer, and also the collection of sewage will be increased. In other words it is anticipated that this project will grow and the facilities of the city of Bloomington that assist in that growth will also grow.

Councilpresident Zietlow: Ultimately the city of Bloomington will have to take responsibility for the kinds of demands that this development will pose.

Mr. Register: There isn't any question about it and that is true of any housing development near Bloomington, whether we like it or don't like it - whether they are inside the city or near the city there will be water supply problems and sewage collection problems and in this particular instance we have the facilities to dispose of waste, it is my feeling - the feeling of the legal department that this matter has been discussed with these developers, and particularly with their attorney, Gary Clendening.

Mr. Vogel: One thing I might add - in our efforts to develop the property we have had a very sincere desire to work with the city of bloomington on gaining water and sewer facilities for our development. I have attended myself personally countless meetings - last year with the city board of works. and was very very interested - we were very interested in the final priorities that were going to be issued by the Black and Veatch studies because they directly affected us and we are still very interested. We have worked out with the utilities service board our present arrangement between ourselves and with the city of bloomington - an agreement covering the water and sewer to the project at some point in time. It is very - well, I would say it is a very loosely written document. I think that Mr. Register has a copy of it - it was sent to him - that set out certain conditions as they presently are between the developers and the city of bloomington, namely that we are desirous of obtaining water and sewer services now and at the present time the only one available to service this is Ellettsville. But that we still have a sincere desire to gain these services from Bloomington and that we are willing to cooperate with the city of bloomington in gaining these facilities to our area.

Mr. Register: I don't intend engage in any discussion or any points of dispute except to say that the letter Mr. Vogel refers to was the letter written by the attorney for the utilities service board with a copy sent to me. In my opinion it does not contain a contract with the city, it simply is a representation of both our objectives. I think it is a representation by the utilities service board of

what they are hoping to achieve in the future. I don't consider it a contract. That is why I hadn't earlier, in this overall discussion attempted to get into the discussion of what these developers were going to do or what the city was promising to do because I don't think at this time that the city can pinpoint exactly what they can do in reference to many pending matters, including, for example, while it doesn't affect this development, a 22 million dollar program about a plant east of Harrodsburg and south of the dam. And that is just one thing but it is the judgement I think of the whole city - and the judgement of the legal department - that when these large projects are accomplished - and the council tonight makes some very important moves or expressions to implement those things - that many of these other things will be done. That does not constitute a promise to these developers or anybody as to when it will be done. But we think we are a community of a whole - that we are moving forward and moving forward rapidly - more rapidly than city administrations have moved at previous times and we intend to continue to, and it needs the cooperation of all developers including these developers. And Mr. Clendening and I, at this point - he is attorney for these developers, are in the process of discussing how all the decisions can be accomplished to the mutual benefit of the city and of these developers.

Councilman De St. Croix: Mr. Crossman, as I understand it now if the council does rezone this property to a pud designation then the plans for the second phase of development have - after action by the plan commission - have to come before this council. Is that correct?

Mr. Crossman: The development plans do not have to come before the council, no. There are very specific criteria that are set out in the ordinance for the development plans and if these criteria are met the plan commission will approve them. If they are not, they will not.

Councilman De St. Croix: So this is the council's last interaction with this project.

Mr. Crossman: That's right.

Mr. Clendening: If there is any major change at all in the outline plan, we have to come back here. To implement this specific plan there are very definite criteria that we have to meet before the plan commission can even approve development of this specific plan. If there are any material changes to this plan then we have to come back for amendment to the outline plan.

Councilman De St. Croix: And this property is currently not within the civil city limits, correct?

Mr. Clendening: That is correct - it is on the very outer edge of the two mile fringe, as a matter of fact.

Councilpresident Zietlow: As I said before, in approving the rezoning to a pud, the council is approving an outline plan and a written statement about the project - just again for the record I might say that we are late in receiving these I think this is the first time that we have gone through the PUD procedure but in the future we will have to have outline plans and written statements earlier in the procedure.

ORDINANCE NO. 73-48 WAS ADOPTED BY A ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 6, NAYS 0.

Councilman DeSt. Croix moved that Appropriations Ordinance No. 73-8 be returned for discussion from the table. Councilman Behen seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Appropriations Ordinance 73-8 taken from the table.

Park and Shop Appropriation:

Mr. Schwartz addressed the council: I have been told that the Council is aware of all the figures and the proposition that was set forth for parking meters.

Council president Zietlow: We have received the memorandum of understanding.

Mr. Schwartz: None of the figures for the different parts of the installation and so forth?

Mayor McCloskey: I believe they were contained in a cover letter. The general figures are there.

Council president Zietlow: yes.

Mr. Schwartz: I wasn't aware that there was supposed to be a presentation of the proposition put before the council. I would be glad to answer any questions that are necessary to be answered as far as the downtown committee is concerned.

Council president Zietlow: There was a question from Councilman Davis on the participation of the downtown merchants - how complete that would be. The understanding is that it would be necessary to have a high percentage of participation.

Mr. Schwartz: Yes. We went and had a few meetings with some of the merchants. Everybody that I talked to wants it and wants it badly and has been wanting this for some period of time. And that is to give the public that wants to come downtown free parking. That is what we call it. And those merchants - the one or two that didn't know which way they wanted to go will have to fall in line because people will take advantage of this. They will definitely come downtown. It will move cars and they will definitely come downtown to do their purchasing if they are going to come downtown anyway, except that they will come downtown and find a place to park where before it has been difficult to find a place to park. One of the reasons it has been hard to find a place to park there have been several spaces taken daily by the county offices which will be open now. It amounted to as much in season as forty three cars I think we counted at one time steadily parked.

Councilman De St. Croix: What about downtown employees, Mr. Schwartz?

Mr. Schwartz: Downtown employees. Well we have been working on that and they aren't supposed to be parking downtown; they are supposed to be parking on the lots. Most of them would rather park in a lot - it's easier because they don't have to run out and put the money in the meter, and I don't think we are having that much trouble with those people working downtown and most of the merchants now have been telling their people how important it is for them to keep their jobs to stay off the square. They come down early enough they can find parking off the square or on the lots so we are not having that much trouble with the people working downtown. It has been better. The idea more than anything else is to give the buying public free parking in downtown and with a ten cent fee it's got to double our income for the city. And if the merchant is willing to pay for it - to pay for the parking, it's got to help everybody. We're willing to take the bull by the horns and say we are going to pay for downtown parking, we don't want anything for nothing. We will take care of that problem. And it has got to work. Talking to other towns that have it - I was in Kalamazoo two weeks ago - they have it, they like it. It is just a natural feeling of getting something that they can get for nothing for coming downtown, and that is parking. And if you can park free you won't stay downtown, you will leave - you can always come back because you are going to get your money back so that will move automobiles. At least we feel it will move automobiles.

Council president Zietlow: And you feel that the increased fee per half hour, as it is, will discourage the parking of the county officials - the permanent parking downtown.

Mr. Schwartz: Well, if you have to pay ten cents and everytime they go down and get a slug, where are they going to get their money back for their slugs. And it will be ten cents because it is going to be enforced parking - that is the whole trick. The thing is that we are sure that there will be enforcement of parking. Without enforcement, without promotion on our part I don't think any program can be successful. But the way we look at it we all are going to work on this thing - we have been wanting it for a long, long time - this is the closest we have gotten to it and we feel like it has got to work. We'll make it work. It is important to us. We got to keep this core open downtown. I've been working on it for forty three years so something has got to happen pretty soon. And most of the merchants - the ones that are the stable and downtown merchants - the guy that has been keeping downtown open he wants it; we want it. And if we could have had a decent figure to go on we would have guaranteed the income - if there was any possible way to do it. We offered to but there wasn't any figure to work on, but we will guarantee that we will double the take you are getting now by just moving the automobiles and getting the people to come downtown. They are coming downtown now but they are having a hard time finding a place to park. The lots are full, the streets are full, and they are enforcing some of it, some of the time. but now that stores are open like Wednesday afternoon - we have no enforcement on Wednesday afternoon so everybody parks free. But all this will stop with this meter parking, and there is not much else to say - it is just that simple. It is a simple proposition. Free parking, let the merchants pay for it. And they are willing to pay for it. Now there may be a few that won't participate, maybe at first, but they will have to participate - a person walks in your store and buys something and everybody else is giving them their dime back, you will have to join in. This will involve changing meter heads so that they will take a nickel for a half hour and give out a nickel slug - some will be for one hour. (this last discussion was while the tape was being changed and is therefore not clear).

Councilman Davis: But they will take either a nickel or a dime, but not both, as they do now.

Mr. Schwartz: I am not sure of that.

Mr. Tjardes: All the slugs are worth the same, the meters will give out one for a nickel or two for a dime. It is just one kind of slug.

Councilman Fix: How do you cash in these slugs?

Mr. Schwartz: We collect them and give the customer the dime for them.

Councilman Fix: What if I collect ten of them?

Mr. Schwartz: You collect ten of them, you go into ten different stores - or come into my store - you buy five pairs of shoes I'll take your ten slugs. And if you can't afford to come downtown for a dime, you come into my joint and I'll give you ten cents.

Councilman Fix: I know my parking habits and everything like this - I'll have a dresser full of slugs.

Mr. Schwartz: So you cash them in.

Councilman Fix: But when I actually buy something I will not have my slug with me.

Mr. Schwartz: So you bring them in later. I guarantee that if a customer walks in, slug or no slug, they will get their dime. If they buy a pair of laces in my place, for fifteen cents they will get their dime back - I'm not even putting a limit on my purchases, Cause that is the only way to sell a program. Make them feel like they are parking downtown free. That is the whole trick. And then they will move the cars - they'll move around and they will come back because we've got the stores downtown to serve these people.

Councilman Behen: Sol, you don't mind my confronting you with a contradiction - you said you will pay that person a dime to come downtown and now you are saying that, if they buy, now how is it going to be? Is a purchase mandatory?

Mr. Schwartz: This is my view - this is just something I feel like. Now what you are supposed to do is make a purchase. It is for buying something in one individual store and presenting a slug, you know, just like one of those things in the parking lot.

Councilman Behen: Well, just so there isn't any degree of discomf among people who come downtown - I might come downtown looking for a gas filled helium balloon and I can't find a merchant.

Mr. Schwartz: Just walk in and say, I can't find one and I will give you a dime. Maybe the big stores can't do it because they have people to satisfy other than themselves, but the average home owned store won't turn a customer back.

Councilman Behen: This will be, if it becomes any sort of a hassle that the downtown merchants will have to resolve.

Mr. Schwartz: I don't think we will have any trouble working that out if we get the basic thing completed and started.

Councilman Behen: Because the city is not going to lose any money, you people are going to have to buy the slugs.

Mr. Schwartz: The city will come out twice as well off in my opinion or better because we are going to have that many more people parked and that many more people using the meters where they can use them now - they can only use them - they are parking - most of them are parking all day long, most of the time. This will open up a lot of parking spaces. If we get parking we will have business and if we have business we will be tickled to death, to pay the fee. We've always said that.

Ernie Cassell, Manager of the Pennys store, addressed the Council: I was having coffee with a couple fellows the other day and one was the owner of a store and one was a manager and neither one knew anything about this and I think before we get too far into this we should conduct a survey of some kind to see who is going to participate in this - how much merchant participation you are going to get. And I've also contacted some officials who take a rather dim view because in towns where they are aware of it it does not work too well. And I contacted Corbin Kentucky and a store in Ohio where park and shopsaid that had been installed and they had not been installed there so so far I haven't been able to contact a town that has had this to find out how it works. And I think we need to find out a little bit more about this before we get into this too deep because you are going to double the price of parking downtown. Now what do we take in on the meters? fifty thousand dollars? Is that the figure of meter revenue? And you are going to take what, twenty five percent of the meter spaces and double it? So you are going to end up maybe with twenty thousand dollars that somebody is going to pick up in tokens and how many merchants are going to go for it? I think they ought to be canvassed and should find out just how many will go for this. Before we get into it too far. Thank you.

Mayor McCloskey: I would just say that this has been a matter of intense public discussion for some time. The meetings have been publicized, the working of the proposal has worked its way through the administration and through the press for probably a year or more maybe - Mr. Register could speak to that better than I could - all the hours he has put into it. I don't think any formal survey was made - but my impression from the two or so meetings I have had - formal meetings, plus numerous informal meetings

was that the merchants were going to buy this. I would be the first to admit that there hasn't been a formal total survey. maybe Mr. Schwartz would like to say something.

Mr. Schwartz: I don't want to work on personalities or store types, but I tried to say it in a nice way - the bigger stores, the stores like Mr. Cassell's - the Penny's store who is about as necessary as any store we possibly need downtown. They bring the people down. But that doesn't necessarily mean that they participate, percentage wise with whatever program we have, moneywise. And I wasn't going to bring that up and I didn't think it was going to be necessary to bring it up. But we surveyed it; I've surveyed it way before he ever came to Town. I know what Bloomington needs and I know it well. I know that this program has got to work. We've studied it; we don't know of a better plan. We've tried everything else and nothing has worked as well. Now that we have these shopping centers we've got to have something to offer our buying public to try to equal this parking problem. And I know that we can get enough merchants in this area of Bloomington downtown and a block off the square to participate in this program. And I'll also predict that Mr. Cassell will have to join the group six months after the program goes into effect because his customers are going to ask for it; he may not want to give it to him but they are going to ask for it, because it is something that I think the public ought to have; Bloomington needs it downtown and the merchants who have been fighting for downtown Bloomington are for this program. I know who he is referring to but these new people - the new managers coming to these department stores- haven't been coming to our meetings. Mr. Cassell has, he knew all about it. We haven't made a formal - we didn't feel like it was necessary to have to make it; it is such a good program that I am sure everybody will be glad to have it - more than glad to have it and that's the way I know my fellow merchants.

Councilman De St. Croix: What happens if the program doesn't work? Some people are saying that merchants may not participate.

Mr. Schwartz: Sure, that could happen to anybody. If it doesn't work we've got an investment of twenty seven thousand dollars in meters - 32 thousand with all the work involved and everything else. And it is just going to be a matter of working it out with the city somehow, someday when the time comes up. I don't know how else to say it. You've got what you asked for - you got the library back and we'll just have to work it out. But I don't know of any single time in the history of Bloomington - in the 43 years I've been here, that the downtown people - the downtown merchants haven't cooperated with the city.

Councilman De St. Croix: I am not questioning that, I am just wondering what your prediction was with people who are saying...

Mr. Schwartz: I haven't thought about that - it has got to be a success. It's got to be better than what we've got now.

Councilman De St. Croix: I agree.

Mayor McCloskey: I think Mr. Schwartz is saying that he feels intuitively the way I felt about the bus system.

Councilpresident Zietlow: I wondered, how can it not work?

Councilman De St. Croix: I am hearing questions whether or not the merchants will participate and whether the public will buy it or not, I figured Mr. Schwartz might just share with us his feelings on it.

Mr. Schwartz: Mr. Cassell has been on our pac meetings - he knew this was coming up. I think he did, maybe I am wrong. I don't know how it can fail - I really don't. That's how sure I am of it. I'd have to be awfully, awfully wrong or stupid to think that this thing is going to fault when we're doing - when downtown is doing a fair job staying in business and paying their taxes and doing a pretty nice volume of business under all the bad conditions of parking, it's got to be better. It's just got to be better. It's got to move the cars and it can't fail.

Councilpresident Zeitlow: I asked that seriously, I just don't see how it cannot work as far as the city is concerned at any rate.

Mr. Schwartz: That's right. If only fifty percent of the merchants participate, you are going to get your same amount of money back that you are getting now because it will be ten cents an hour instead of five cents an hour. You're getting five cents an hour now so if only fifty percent - and that 's an impossibility; they've got to participate.

Councilman Behen: It won't work that way though. Everybody that gets a slug is going to make sure they get their nickel or their dime from a merchant. And so a few of you may have to for a few months bear a more expensive burden of it while the pressure is on the merchants who doesn't participate.

Mr. Schwartz: We've been doing it for Christmas decorations; we've been doing it for anything the city has ever wanted. Just a few of us.

Mr. Najam: It does create kind of an economic incentive for the participating merchants to expand the number of participants and to share the cost.

Mr. Schwartz: It'll be our - as Tjardes said to me several times - it depends on the kind of promotion we, not the city, put into this program. We are prepared for it. We are prepared for it; we will work at it and we will work on it and if you work on it it is going to work.

CouncilmanBehen: What do you envision - decals on the cooperative stores that are going to redeem the slugs?

Mr. Schwartz: Well, to be honest with you we have been thinking farther than that and that is that everybody in their own personal ads will of course put down that they are a participant and then run ads of the stores that are participating and, as Mr. Cassell said, what do you do blackmail us and I said, if necessary, yeah.

Councilman Behen: Sol, we have another activity that will bring a lot of traffic to the downtown area that I haven't seen a great deal of merchant participation in and that is our rising bus system.

Mr. Schwartz: Advertising the buses. Have they come around to see anybody about it? Ok, no one's come into my place and I've been on that one little spot for a lot of years.

Councilman Behen: I contacted several people - I had somewhat of a cool reception. I'm changing the subject now.

Councilpresident Zietlow: Eventually do you see the merchants participating in some sort of a similar ride-and-shop program?

Mr. Schwartz: Oh, we think that would be wonderful too. But we haven't done much this summer. We are waiting for this to come to a head and then start working on some other things that we can really start working - we were hoping this would be in effect when school started.

Mr. Najam: Mrs. Zietlow, once this is on the way, assuming that it is, to the state board of tax commissioners, then we will begin to look at what ordinance changes are required in order to conform the local law to the provisions of the program. And I would say one more thing - that Corporate Counsel Register and I and several others, as the Mayor mentioned, have gone through a whole series of proposals and costing various alternatives and it really is a question of either this or no program at all it seems to us.

Councilman De St. Croix: I would just like to say that I hope it works well. I share Mr. Schwarz's optimism.

Mr. Cassell: I feel a little embarrassed about taking the stand but I started talking to company officials and they started asking me questions. I've been to these meetings and I've listened to this - how many stores you get in it, you know, what is it going to cost you - and, uh, are you going to get enforcement of your parking. They ask a lot of questions and I just thought I'd come down here and ask a few questions too, the same ones they asked me. And we have no idea yet just who it's going to be, that's why I brought it up. I had thought it was a pretty good thing until they started popping the questions at me. And these fellows are in business that make a lot of decisions and they know what goes on in a lot of towns and when they start asking me questions and I can't give the answers I begin to feel a little embarrassed. I brought it up and I still haven't got any answers to the questions I asked.

Councilpresident Zietlow: What towns were they referring to where it didn't work?

Mr. Cassell: I called Corbin, Kentucky and I called a town over in Ohio that was listed on this list and neither one of them had even heard of it. And I tried to call Kalamazoo today and couldn't get through and I understand that it has been working there but I couldn't get through to them. And they named some towns up in Wisconsin where this thing has been tried - I don't remember the name of it now - a couple of them, and I think one was Waterloo and they seem to have a negative approach on the whole thing. That's the only reason that I brought it up - they felt I should find out more about it, and refer back to them.

Ed Tjardes, Manager of Aldens: Of course, I am sort of in the middle on this between Ernie and Sol because I have questions too, and yet I come back to the basic thing - the basic problem that we have had here in Bloomington has been additional off-street parking - we certainly need that, and also turnover on the square for the places that are most desirable. And I do think this program can help that and that is one reason I am for it. And I also checked, because I had questions too, like Ernie has, about how many will participate and what the cost will be and so forth. Really, there is no exact way of knowing the cost and yet it is one of the simplest programs that I believe is available so I don't think the cost will be prohibitive and it will all depend on how many people you get - if you get the people in it is not going to cost too much. I called Preeport, Pennsylvania, personally, that was one that was listed, and the reaction was good. In other words, I talked to a couple of merchants there and overall their - they've had it for a while and they are pleased with it. It is just like everything else there is nothing perfect - there are a few drawbacks which have been worked out over a period of time. But they are in favor of it. And, as Sol has pointed out, it is going to take promotion too and this is one advantage of it because it can help bring merchants together on certain promotional events in connection with this here. It can be used - you can use a colored slug occasionally where they would get something free for that. So it has some good promotional possibilities. And overall it looks like it is quite simple and it looks like a good program.

There being no further discussion, the vote was taken.

Appropriations Ordinance No. 73-8, as amended, was adopted by a ROLL CALL VOTE OF AYES 6, NAYS 0.

Councilman Towell explained his vote: Eventhough the plans have not started I anticipate my wife being a partner in a store on the square, so I guess I ought to declare that at least - if anyone wants to challenge my right to vote on this. Since they're not decided themselves perhaps I am okay in voting "yes". That will just be my estimate of the plan.

Councilpresident Zietlow: I suppose that I should say I am in the same position as Councilman Towell except that it is not my wife. I just wonder if we are going to get into any conflict of interest here in voting - I don't think so quite yet, we haven't signed the lease. I would have to vote yes.


No objections were raised from other members of the council to Councilmembers Towell and Zietlow participating in this vote.

Council president Zietlow made the following introduction: We have with us tonight Jim Deahl the new project engineer in the engineering department and Mr. Istrabadi wanted him to be introduced to the Council. So, Welcome Jim Deahl.

Councilman De St. Croix moved that the meeting be adjourned. Councilman towell seconded the motion. The motion was carried by a unanimous voice vote.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:30 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT


Charlotte T. Zietlow, Council President

ATTEST:


Amy G. Mann, Secretary

RESOLUTION No. 73-54

August 30, 1973

INVESTMENT OF FUNDS

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the Common Council of the City of
Bloomington, Indiana, that the Controller of said City is hereby
empowered to make the following investment into Government
Securities yielding the highest rate of interest obtainable,
consistent with safety, to-wit:

| <u>FUND</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> | <u>MATURITY DATE</u> |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Park District Bond Fund | \$ 10,000.00 | 3 months |
| Dept. of Redevelopment N D P Fund | \$200,000.00 | 45 days |

Charlotte T. Zietlow
Charlotte T. Zietlow, President
Common Council, City of Bloomington

Francis X. McCloskey
Francis X. McCloskey, Mayor
City of Bloomington, Indiana

Adopted: _____
Date

August 30, 1973