

In the Council Chamber of the Municipal Building on Thursday, January 20, 1972, at 7:30 p.m., E.S.T., with Council President, Charlotte Zietlow presiding.

REGULAR MEETING
COMMON COUNCIL
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON,
INDIANA

Council President, Charlotte Zietlow.

CALL TO ORDER

Members present:

ROLL CALL

James Ackerman, Richard Behen, Hubert Davis, Brian de St. Croix, Wayne Fix, Sherwin Mizell, Jack Morrison, Alfred Towell, Charlotte Zietlow.

Members absent: None.

Mayor Francis X. McCloskey; Tim Hodenfield, Administrative Aide; Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk; Marvard Clark, Assistant City Engineer; Russell Parks, Director Public Safety; Larry Owens, City Attorney; Danny Fulton, Director, Department of Redevelopment; Bill Wilson, Director, Parks and Recreation; Martha E. Sims, City Controller; Lt. Carl Chambers, Chief of Police.

CITY OFFICIALS PRESENT

Approximately 100 including members of the press.

OTHERS PRESENT

Councilman James Ackerman.

INVOCATION

Councilman Morrison moved that the Minutes of the previous meeting of January 6, 1972, be approved as submitted. Councilman Towell seconded the motion, and it carried by unanimous voice vote.

MINUTES

See attached pages.

MAYOR'S SPEECH

Mayor McCloskey announced the appointment of Eve Berry to the Drug Control Commission. Appointment to Drug Control Commissioner

Councilman Towell moved that the appointment of Eve Berry be approved. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion, and it carried by unanimous voice vote.

Mayor McCloskey asked the Council to consider designating Martin Luther King's birthday as an official holiday in Bloomington.

Martin Luther King Day

Councilman Towell moved that January 15, be a day of commemoration for Martin Luther King. Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

Councilman Behen said he felt that the Mayor had challenged the Council with a difficult charge, and that this was pure and simple, the rule for historians to perform, not the people who lived through the "sick sixties" with assassination being the rule of thumb for that area, and he felt this was something for the historians to charge whether a legal holiday be set aside.

Councilman Zietlow read the following statement:

"There is no doubt that Martin Luther King has left a mark in our society. It can be argued too, that others have left marks, that others should be honored, that even Nobel Peace winners may be suspect by some

people for something. I believe that Martin Luther King was a great orator, a magnetic figure and a kind Christian man. I believe Birmingham, the Montgomery Boycott and the March from Selma would create a fruitful act. I believe Martin Luther King was good and did good, but I think it is appropriate to honor Martin Luther King not mainly for what he was, and did, but primarily for all he means. For me, for millions of people over the world Martin Luther King symbolizes the upsurging non-violent action which has been aimed at and which has been successful in increasing justice for all people. In recognizing and honoring Dr. King, we are not only honoring a man, but we are merely stating a commitment on the part of the City to the ideals of Martin Luther King, equality, brotherhood and peace to the ideals in fact of the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution of the United States. We celebrate George Washington's birthday not for the acts of the man, but because he was the first President of a new nation, struggling to make real the revolutionary ideals of Thomas Jefferson, James Madison and a host of other men. He was the figure head, the symbol. Martin Luther King is a symbol for millions of people all over the world, of the possibility and the dream of a better world for everyone."

Councilman Morrison said this was a tremendous decision to make in a matter of ten minutes. That he would like to see a committee set up to determine this, of people from Indiana University, townspeople and people of various walks of life, to help decide which way we should go. He realized Dr. King's tremendous efforts that he put out to try to use peaceful means instead of force and he commended him for it, but he felt a decision of this magnitude was not a ten minute decision, and he did not want to make a decision effecting the community without some citizen input in this regard.

Councilman Morrison moved that this be referred to Committee as a Whole.
Motion seconded by Councilman Ackerman.

Roll call vote: Ayes 3, nays 6.

Councilman Davis and Councilman Fix said they would support some kind of memorial to Dr. King, but they would like some clarification as to what they were instituting, whether it be a legal holiday, or memorial day in observance of his deeds.

Councilman Towell moved that some type of commemoration be given the council as a committee of the whole for consideration at some later date. Councilman Fix seconded the motion.

Roll call vote: Ayes 9, nays 0.

Councilman Morrison moved that the Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 72-2 by title only. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 72-2 by title only.

ORDINANCES-SECOND READING

Ord. 72-2
Zoning Lots 2,4,6,8 and 10
in Belman Addition, between
8th and 9th St.
West Side Walnut St. from
R-3 to R-2

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-2 be adopted. Councilman Towall seconded the motion.

Councilman Mizell summarized from the tape of the Plan Commission meeting that there were several people in the audience who opposed the petition, there were several questions raised from the Plan Commission and that there were no people in the audience to speak in favor of the petition. The petition was carried by a vote of 6 to 2. He asked that the Planning Department as a means of explaining the circumstances around the petition that maps be presented to the Council pertaining to the area.

Mr. Patterson described the area.

Mrs. Grace Johnson, City Clerk read the following statement from CONTACT:

"At the present time, CONTACT agrees with the view of our City Planning Department expressed at the Plan Commission meeting of December 22, that this change should not be made. Regardless of the zoning, both sides of Walnut Street are essentially residential here and to the north of this. We oppose the nibbling away of residential areas by small-parcel zoning, which contributes to the instability and subsequent deterioration of areas adjacent to business zones because owners never know when they will be confronted by business at less than yard's length away. Since the Planning Department is currently redrawing the zoning map for the whole city, we oppose changing the zoning here until it is apparent what is the fate on the new map of the larger area of which it is a part."

Mr. Barnhart, attorney for petitioner, said there were six immediately adjacent property owners who supported this petition, in addition to those who are included as owners of the five lots which are part of this zoning. This is a half block area which was left out of many other previous considerations as to how the downtown area could be encouraged and developed and how zoning was done. From 10th Street south of Roger Curry's there are five lots that are not zoned for business. He further stated that it has been for some period of time the belief that Washington Street was the dividing line and that we should encourage business development in this area simply because the present terrain structures though residential in appearance were not basically residential in use nor are they suitable for permanent maintenance. That most of the houses up and down Washington Street are in excess of forty years old and he felt this area was one of transition. He said the price of maintaining old residences is too high for the income they produce.

Councilman de St. Croix commented as to the deterioration of older homes and said he felt it was the responsibility of the City to enforce its Housing Code, which it had not done in the past.

Councilman Behen commented that some of the so-called "spot zoning" would have to be tolerated within the community where industry was pre-destined. That we are going to have to ask ourselves to draw a line on preserving the older homes. That the tremendous growth of our community has cut away into the residential areas and before too many years we would not have any residential areas.

Councilman Mizell read the following statement of Mr. Greenwood taken from a tape of the Plan Commission meeting, which was as follows: The comprehensive plan proposed residential development on both sides of Washington Street from the Indiana Bell Telephone Company Building North to the Railroad. The comprehensive plan basically reflects the existing land use character of this area which is substantially present. The effect of changing the zoning as petitioned for in the request together with partial or total commercial development would eventually lead to similar zoning requests along the East side and West side of street in this area, resulting in a merely haphazard mixed development, therefore, the Review Committee which was the Advisory Committee to the Plan Commission suggested that the land be retained as residential land. Mr. Mizell read the following uses permitted in a B-2 Zone: Advertising signs, billboards, apartments, hotel, motel, auditorium, automobile sales lots, but excluding repair of automobiles, bank, bar, turkish bath and like, drugstore, blue printing, photo and pet shops, catering establishments, dry cleaning establishments, medical or dental laboratory, musical conservatory, parking garage, pawn shop, rescue or revival mission, supermarket, taxicab terminal, trade or public school and other secondary uses.

Councilman Towell said that the point Mr. Mizell was trying to make was that once you zone property B-2, regardless of what the plan is in the beginning it may be used by anyone in the future for these other uses. In connection with this he said he noticed that one of the properties, a large apartment house was up for sale a week ago and advertised in a realtor's add, he asked why it was not advertised this week and what the plans were for that area.

Mr. Barnhart, attorney for petitioner said he could not answer the question.

Councilman Towell commented that there was very serious doubt as to whether they should rezone the property until they know what is planned and furthermore he would like to say that the enforcement of plans that are presented in good faith supposedly and are not carried out, and this had happened in the past, had not been very good in the City of Bloomington, and that the people in the area were entitled to more assurance and reassurance as to possible uses of land when they are rezoned.

Councilman Morrison asked Mr. Barnhart what the developer had planned for the area.

Mr. Barnhart said at present time Mr. Crossman only planned to remodel the existing structure and update facilities for office use on the first level and continue multiple housing on the second story until he could acquire sufficient additional land in the area to build a similar one to the one down the street, not knowing when additional land can be acquired.

Councilman Davis commented on how far we should go in a piece meal manner, and what is more esthetic residential or business; that if the older residences cannot be maintained why not replace them with new structures.

Jim Dorr of the East Side Neighborhood Association presented a map of NDP Development area to the Council explaining how far East the development should go.

Dr. Spencer who spoke for the rezoning said he was forced to purchase two pieces of property next to his East 10th Street office to prevent the neighborhood from deteriorating altogether, and that the cost of maintaining the property was so high that he has asked for a price of demolition of the buildings.

Shirley Connors spoke in regard to a moratorium on planning and zoning so the city could decide what direction they want to take.

Jim Jontz chairman of the Committee to Publish Crisis Biology said that many people must live in the downtown area because of transportation and other problems, while others live there because of the convenience.

Hurschel McCutchen commented on the new Council's policies on development. That we don't know if the city wants business to move to the suburbs or just what it wants. He said that many businessmen are worried that the City will oppose all kinds of commercial expansion, with no plans for allowing the City to grow.

Roll call vote: Ayes 1, nays 8.
Ordinance is denied.

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-3 be read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

Ordinance No. 72-3
Land located on East
Side Rogers, South ICR from
R-1 to M-1

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 72-3 by title only.

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-3 be adopted. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

Mr. Patterson gave a description of the area which is occupied by Griffith Motor Express.

Mr. Clendening, attorney for petitioner, said that the Griffith Motor Express wished to expand its office facilities and in order to do this it was necessary that the area be rezoned.

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-3 be adopted. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

Roll call vote: Ayes 9, nays 0.

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-5 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Towell seconded the motion, and it carried.

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 72-5.

ORDINANCES-INTRODUCTION

Ordinance No. 72-5
An Ordinance to Amend
Section 2 of Ord. 71-39
Creating Commission on
Environmental Quality &
Conservation

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-6 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Towell seconded the motion, and it carried.

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 72-6.

Ordinance No. 72-6
An Ord. to Amend Title 15
Entitled, "Vehicles and
Traffic", Section 15.64.410
No Parking Zones, Section
15.76.010 Stop Intersections

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Resolution No. 72-4 be read by the Clerk. Councilman Mizell seconded the motion, and it carried.

The Clerk read proposed Resolution No. 72-4.

RESOLUTIONS

Resolution No. 72-4
Transfer of Funds

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Resolution be adopted. Councilman Mizell seconded the motion, and it carried.

Mr. Everett Harding of the Miller Drive Association commented on the Miller Drive Neighborhood concerning the need for city service and that a contract had been signed with Public Service Indiana for Street lights in area, and thus far only 15 had been installed.

Councilman Morrison said the Public Service Company had trouble getting the hardware, and that the rest of the street lights would be installed as soon as possible.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Mr. Harding also reported that the Old Dust Mill owned by Indiana Calcium Company poses a big problem for the safety of children in the area, due to collapsing wall and ceiling sections.

Danny Fulton said the firm had indicated verbally that they would like to see the building demolished and that the equipment therein is worth around \$50,000.00, and recommended that it be boarded up or fenced in.

Councilman de St. Croix moved that the Council request the Board of Works take appropriate action with Indiana Calcium to Board up or fence in the mill. Councilman Behen seconded the motion, and it carried by unanimous voice vote.

Mr. George Luginbill presented a proposal from the Environmental Commission concerning the recycling of papers and magazines. He said the collection would require one truck and two men, but Monroe County Recycling Corporation President, Robert Hamontre said it would take at least three men to conduct an efficient operation. Mr. Hamontre further said that it might be possible that an ordinance would not be needed requiring people to separate their newspapers. That the town of Clarksville had been conducting this program and it had worked out very well.

REPORTS FROM OFFICIAL
BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

Councilman Davis raised the question as to the maintenance of the trucks. Also he said he did not want to see the recycling of newspapers and magazines interfering with the Stone Belt Center who have set up their program on collection of newspapers, etc.

After considerable discussion the Council recommended that Councilman Fix study the Commission's proposal and report to them at their next meeting.

Councilman Davis read the following Standing committees of the Council:

REPORTS FROM STANDING
COMMITTEES

Finance: Dick Behen, Chairman,
James Ackerman
Sherwin Mizell

Rules, Ordinances, Resolutions:
Alfred Towell, Chairman
Brian de St. Croix
Charlotte Zietlow

Utilities:
Jack Morrison, Chairman
Wayne Fix
Hubert Davis

The members of this committee are also designated as liaisons to the Board of Public Works.

Further task force committees which will dissolve upon completion of their work:

Housing Study: Alfred Towell, Chairman
Manpower Study: Brian de St. Croix, Chairman
Transportation Study: Hubert Davis, Chairman

The Chairman of these committees will call upon fellow council members and citizens to join them. Additional task force committees will be formed as issues arise to necessitate them.

Councilman Towell announced he would like to have people meet with the Housing Committee which will be held a week from tonight.

REPORTS FROM SPECIAL
COMMITTEES

Councilman Davis said there would be a need for task forces on the Transportation Commission, one of task forces will work on the Ordinance #25, Parking requested by Tom Ross as to purchasing parking spaces in front of residences. The first meeting will be held next Wednesday night. The other three task forces will address themselves to the Street program, Mass Transit program

and a personal interest of his of looking into the use of bicycles within the City as a mode of transportation.

Councilman Brian de St. Croix said he would like to present to the Council at their next Council meeting a list of the Manpower Study Committee members and that he would like to encourage any organizations, representatives from businesses, industries and citizens to volunteer to serve on this committee.

Bill Wilson, Director of Parks and Recreation presented to the Council a proposed budget for Lake Lemon and Riddle Point which were transferred to the Parks Department approximately the first of the year. This budget was passed by the Board, and ratified by the Council and approved by the Mayor and recently approved by Mayor McCloskey. This being a new budget, according to the State rules it must go before the council and if approved it goes to the Controller as an additional appropriation. A copy of letter and proposed budget is made a part of the Minutes.

REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICIALS
AND DEPARTMENTS

Proposed Budget for Lake
Lemon and Riddle Point

Councilman Morrison moved that the budget for the Parks and Recreation Department be approved. Councilman Towell seconded the motion, and it carried by a unanimous voice vote.

Mr. Larry Owens, City Attorney said he and Mr. Register had decided that it was a necessity that all pending litigation with reference to annexation be cleared up before we proceed with a new ordinance. They have a filed a motion to dismiss under Trial Rule 41E, of the Indiana Rules of Trial Procedure. This is a motion to dismiss for failure to prosecute. He said both of the cases had been lying dormant for several years, at least one of them, with nothing being done. He has received from Special Judge Lienberger a rule to show cause in which he sets a hearing date on March 20, 1972, at 1:30 p.m. and 2:00 p.m., for plaintiffs to come forward and show cause why the cases should not be dismissed, if they do not come forth, causes will be dismissed at plaintiffs' costs.

Annexation

Danny Fulton, Director of Redevelopment said they were in the process of writing a report for the Council on the procedures for obtaining building permits and actions to be taken in regard to violations of housing code. This report will be presented to the Council at their next meeting.

City Clerk, Grace Johnson reported a correction concerning filing fees of Civil and Criminal cases in City Court. After a search of the Statute it was found that the filing fee of a Civil Case is \$5.00 and for a Criminal Case is \$10.00.

Filing Fees

Councilmen Mizell reported they had several comments in regard to moving the Council meetings from Thursday to Wednesday nights, because of the conflict with the School Board meetings.

MESSAGES FROM COUNCILMEN

Councilwoman Zietlow said she had talked to Mr. John Ingram, President of the School Board, and she said they would be happy to arrange a different time after this school year was over, but until then the Thursday night meetings were set.

Councilman Mizell moved that the Council Meetings be moved to the first and third Wednesdays of each month. There was no second to this motion.

Councilman Fix said the Council had put him on two committees which meet on a Wednesday night.

Councilman Towell said he would like to refer the matter to Mr. Mizell and report at next meeting.

Councilwoman Zietlow asked Councilman Fix to get in touch with the Soil and Water Conservation District to discuss engineering and mapping assistance above and beyond the HUD flood plain insurance program.

Councilman Towell referred to the comments made earlier by Mr. McCutchen. He stated there were rumors circulating within the community that the Council was against progress, against development, against apartment building and all such matters, and that he would like to on his own behalf and others to deny such rumors. He said a Professor at Indiana University gave them a kind of sign of fallacy in the thinking of the new liberal majority on the Council, in that they wanted to do something about apartment prices and availability and at the same time discourage apartment building. That he recognized this as an economic fallacy and he did not think any statement he had made or any statement made in the campaign ever enunciated such a policy of not having apartments built. He urged the community to have some faith in the common sense and practical judgment of the new Council and the new administration.

None.

OTHER NEW BUSINESS

Councilman Morrison moved that the claims presented for payment of January 7, 1972, and payrolls for January 20, 1972 be approved. Motion was seconded by Councilman de St. Croix, and it carried by unanimous voice vote.

EXAMINATION OF CLAIMS

The meeting adjourned at the hour of 11:20 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

Charlotte T. Zietlow
Charlotte T. Zietlow, President

ATTEST:

Blaise J. New York
Secretary

MAYOR'S SPEECH
Common Council
January 20, 1972

Before making any general comments this evening, I ask that we stand and observe a moment of meditation in memory of Dr. Cyrus Houshmand--a great Bloomingtonian--who died Wednesday. While my acquaintance with him was limited, he was known as an excellent surgeon and a kind man. In the words of a friend, "he was the kind of doctor every doctor should be".

I appreciate this opportunity to speak briefly on problems and priorities of the City of Bloomington in the coming years. I believe that a major reason for mandate handed this administration in November was a general lack of urban planning. The area of City planning affects every phase of the quality of life in a city-- This includes all urban services--housing, roads, traffic, parks and recreation--to name a few. It is understandable that there is some degree of concern among residents, especially some business men just what the new approach to planning will take shape to be. The thrust of the administration can be judged by the quality of the appointments to the Plan Commission. I have all the confidence in the world in these people.

It is obvious the appointments do signal a shift in the balance of power in this area--a shift away from a narrow segment of the business community to a broader base of general citizenry. This is, indeed, what representative government is all about.

However well-intentioned the Plan Commission may be, only minimal progress can be made until we seek and retain a first-rate city planner. Thus far, we have received several applicants and will advertise for additional applicants.

In this regard, I am naming a Citizen Search Committee to help select a new City Planner. This group will be composed of: Mary Prior, Clem Blume, both appointed by the Planning Commission, Kenneth Ruple, Al Ruesink, Al Towell, Mike Kelly, Chalman Sloan, and myself. I am hopeful that this committee soon will meet briefly to discuss and begin the interviewing process. We could have a Planning director within about one month. I feel the operation of the Plan Commission should be progressive and realistic. It will quickly demonstrate to all segments of the general citizenry that the group deserves their confidence. I believe it will bring quality and balance growth. The new City Planner should be instrumental in reorganizing and better coordinating the information and procedures involved in effective planning. With increased traffic snarls and other evidences of poor planning in the past as a constant reminder, we must move ahead to improve conditions within the city.

The previous administration clearly had a credibility problem, as to who the government was serving. I hope to improve upon that record during my administration. This administration will bring a firm commitment to serving all members of the community.

(1) Today the preliminary traffic planning report from Voight, Sage & Pflum Planning Consultants arrived. There are various major innovations under study in the TOPICS program. We need to give this matter further study, but copies will readily be available for study by anyone concerned. Let me stress that any changes made now or in the future will be done with public notice and communication with citizens most effected.

(2) We are implementing a comprehensive annexation program. The details of recent events will be reported later this evening by the City Attorney Lawrence Owens. I cannot stress too much that the time has long since passed when urban Bloomington Residents and businesses can receive city services while escaping City Taxation. I hope

that the people opposed to annexation in the past will realize that, if Bloomington and Monroe County are to be a healthy community, the time for legal warfare has passed and the time for much needed cooperation is here.

(3) I am very pleased to see the City Council already moving ahead and forming committees in the areas of housing, transportation, and manpower. Among others these are crucial areas that demand immediate attention. In the area of mass transit, Councilman Hubert Davis and I have consulted with Dr. Schmerk. In addition, while I am in Washington, D.C. early this next week, I will be meeting with officials of the Department of Transportation. We will obtain information on transit systems available utilizing a substantial input of Federal funds. Let me note that I am proud of our eager Transportation Director Jim Wray in his move of doubling the bus service. His innovative spirit is good; but we are certainly a long way from first base in this area.

(4) In keeping with our spirit of realistic and fruitful cooperation, it is significant that last week an agreement was announced between the Bloomington City and Township Volunteer Fire Departments for mutual aid. Most of you are familiar with these details and they are certainly of benefit to everyone. Once again though, we must not forget that this is still a long way from an efficient county-wide service. In the near future I hope to announce a committee with representatives from all segments of the city and county communities to study how further progress can be achieved. Of particular interest will be the creation of a central dispatch system. The newly appointed Board of Public Safety will concern themselves with major improvements in police administration such as upgrading police and fire working conditions, revamping recruitment policies, implement a merit system and revitalize the police conduct code. Thus far we have had a number of applications from women and we hope to see more in the future. In this same respect, I hope we will see an increase in the minority group representation on the police force and in other areas of city government. I hope we can see the implementation of a policy assuring basic law enforcement training for all new recruits and changes scheduling procedures that will allow policemen to attend school part-time if desired.

(5) By late this week, I will announce a Citizen Search Committee to hire a Utilities Director. The multi-million dollar enterprise of the utilities department requires the direction of an individual trained in the areas of utility management and development. At this time I would like to extend a word of thanks to Jim Hibrader who has been acting chief executive in this interim period. With his help, numerous management and physical improvements have been made. It is becoming obvious that within the next several years we will be in need of a new South treatment plant or expansion of the present facility. We must move ahead in this area under the leadership of an active chief administrator.

On a brief note I would like to mention that I feel that it is significant that this administration is looking to citizen input solely to get the job of municipal government accomplished. I think that this route will prove fruitful in making substantial policy improvement.

(6) Over and over again I am stressing that there must be greater and greater City-County cooperation. I believe that this end can be accomplished if we will only take the time to talk to one another. The county Commissioners and I have plans to meet next week socially. I am confident that now and in the future we can agree to numerous programs of benefit to both city and county residents. Programs that I hope we will see initiated include a City-County building, improved road conditions, and joint planning efforts. A significant step in this direction would be a meeting this spring of a Council of local governments. Such a Council would be in keeping with the idea of having a regional coordinating agency for such areas as Lake Monroe. More specifically, Lake Monroe is most surely this area's most precious physical resource, yet trained ecologists say that the pollution rates are presently unsustainable. Again we must move intelligently and quickly in this area.

No Statement of the Bloomington situation would be complete without particular recognition of the large segment of students, both high school and university age. I am hopeful that numerous students will seek participation in aiding the city both formally and informally. We have just completed the arrangements necessary to establish a work-study program that will include three law student researchers and two secretaries. While this is still in the early stages, with the help of the Indiana Public Interest Research Group and the new School of Public and Environmental Affairs under the direction of Dr. Bonzer, we are rapidly moving along to a successful program. We must also note one of the few sad aspects of life in Bloomington with regard to our youth. Most youth who are not college bound must go elsewhere for job opportunities. We must move to improve opportunities on a much broader basis.

The quality of life experienced by our youth is, of course, very closely tied to the so-called Drug Culture. We must face the fact that Bloomington is faced with a very sizable drug problem. As a layman, I do not claim to have all of the answers. It is important though that we have citizen interaction in a broad and detailed fashion in this regard. We must explore the scope of possible remedies. The Drug Commission is a very important asset in this regard. I now seek your advice and consent, as required by statute, for the appointment of Eve Berry, a student, to the Drug Commission. Miss Berry is currently director of the Drug Education Committee at I.U., and has worked for several years in close relation to the Middle Way House.

(8) Last week I proclaimed Martin Luther King Day in Bloomington as a tribute to a truly great American. His ideals lead us all to great aspirations for our American society in the 1970's. I hope that the Council will consider designating his birthday as an official holiday annually.

(9) A very important aspect of the quality of life in any urban area is recreational opportunity. This administration is most happy to continue with Parks and Recreation Director Bill Wilson and work with the Park Board to implement priorities and improvements in this area. For example, a 37-mile bike path which rims the city has been started and plans call for a complex of inner-city paths in the long range. This should be inspiring to youth and we in the over 30 crowd. While the program must be coordinated with other priorities, I am confident that numerous proposals will become reality. In the near future, the Council, concerned citizens and myself should discuss in detail the many possibilities under the proposed Bond Ordinance of the Parks system."

RESOLUTION No. 72-4

January 20, 1972

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Indiana, that the Controller of said City of Bloomington is hereby empowered to make the following temporary loans for the purpose of cash operating balance from WATER BOND & INTEREST FUND - amount of \$400,000.00 to GENERAL FUND, and from WATER BOND & INTEREST FUND - amount of \$125,000.00 to PARK & RECREATION FUND; such loans to be secured by a pledge of taxes to be received, which are not otherwise allocated.

Charlotte T. Zietlow

Charlotte Zietlow, President
Common Council of City of Bloomington

Francis X. McCloskey
Francis X. McCloskey, Mayor
City of Bloomington, Indiana

Adopted: January 20th, 1972.