

In the Council Chamber of the Municipal Building on Thursday, April 6, 1972, at 7:35 p.m., E.S.T., with Council President, Charlotte T. Zietlow, presiding.

REGULAR MEETING
COMMON COUNCIL
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON,
INDIANA

Members present:

ROLL CALL

James Ackerman, Richard Behen, Brian de St. Croix, Hubert Davis, Wayne Fix, Sherwin Mizell, Jack Morrison, Alfred Towell, Charlotte T. Zietlow.

Councilman Davis.

INVOCATION

Mayor Francis X. McCloskey, Martha Sims, City Controller; Marvard Clark, Assistant City Engineer; Russell Parks, Director of Public Safety; Captain Hubert Wright, of Police Department; Fire Chief, Richard Gose; Bill Wilson, Director of Parks and Recreation, Grace E. Johnson, City Clerk, Larry Owens, City Attorney.

CITY OFFICIALS PRESENT

Approximately 60 including members of the press.

OTHERS PRESENT

Councilman Morrison moved that the Minutes of the previous meeting of March 16, 1972, be approved as submitted. Councilman Towell seconded the motion, and it carried by unanimous voice vote.

MINUTES

None.

EXAMINATION OF CLAIMS

Mayor Francis X. McCloskey said he had been advised by the State Pollution Control Board that the administration was going to have to start moving on a major utilities expansion program involving the South side treatment plant. In the past several weeks he has contacted the consulting engineering firm of Black and Veatch and conferred on a short term consulting contract, primarily to update the present Black and Veatch report which was completed in 1965. He said we must eliminate the overload in the South side plant which will probably involve the construction of a new south side plant. He noted the deadline for the construction was 1977, and that it would probably take that much time for the construction, also it is important to work for a city-county wide planning function, as many of the sewage and water grants are tied into area wide planning, and he hoped to have in the near future greater city-county cooperation in this area.

MESSAGE FROM MAYOR

He also commented on the Topics program briefly, that the priorities had been revised, the main thing now is the paper work and engineering involved. The top priorities are E. Third Street, and High Street, By-Pass #46, 17th Street between Dunn and Walnut.

The Mayor noted the needs for the following: \$46,000.00 for an insurance program, \$13,000.00 for corporate counsel, \$13,500.00 for Director of Public Safety, also if the two buses are to keep running there needs to be an additional \$11,000.00 for salaries of bus drivers for the remainder of the year, \$3,500.00 for a small claims court starting June 1, also \$1,000.00 for repair of the animal shelter, also need

a full time staff aide for the City Council. He noted the following sources to fund the above items: It has been ascertained that there has been an overpayment of some \$55,000.00 from the Board of Works to utilities on hydrant rental, and he has conferred with legal counsel and the State Board of Accounts and a transfer of this will be coming up shortly. There is an excess of \$8,000.00 from the new sanitary land fill agreement; \$3,000.00 from Engineering Department, Mayor's contingency fund of \$500.00; \$4,000.00 from Fire Department; \$14,000.00 from Planning Department, because the city did not have to fund a flood plain or soil survey, also on talking to Chief Chambers of the Police Department, if necessary there is in salary accounts and other some \$15,000.00 to \$20,000.00 which could be transferred if necessary, and he was optimistic that the city's financial problems could be solved.

Mr. Jim Wray of the Transportation Department said he would like for the Council to adopt the resolution for the area wide Topics study, which is separate from the early implementation program which has been approved by the State and Federal governments. The list of priorities for Topics has changed some. The priority schedule considers the following: demand-volume relationships, accident data, traffic delays, corridor of system benefits, geometric deficiencies, structure deficiencies, type of traffic served and relationship to general community objectives.

REPORT ON TOPICS
Jim Wray-Transportation
Department

The City administration has selected ten of the top priorities. These ten represent improvements which provide the greatest short term benefits. The three priority projects are East Third Street between High Street and Indiana #46 By-Pass, 17th Street between Dunn and Walnut and Woodlawn Avenue railroad crossing. The seven financially projects are Rogers Street railroad crossing, Walnut Street and Grimes Lane, Walnut and Hillside Drive improvements, Third Street between College and Lincoln, Rogers and Grimes Lane, Indiana #48 between Third and Fifth Street, Hillside Drive and Henderson. Mr. Wray said currently we have \$368,000.00 in R & S funds which will accumulate to about \$400,000.00 at the end of the year, this money will be needed for the Kinser Pike project. He also said they anticipate resurfacing some of the streets, which would cost approximately \$150,000.00 to \$160,000.00. The resurfacing will be announced within the next two weeks.

Councilman Mizell stated he was hoping Mr. Dan Tarlock, President of the Plan Commission would be present to give a status on the zoning ordinance. With regard to the proposed city map the Plan Commission has been working on, Councilman Mizell said they had received reports from three of the four Citizen Advisory Groups which worked all last spring and summer on the zoning map. The Plan Commission on Saturday morning will start to review these recommendations. One of the reports which is outstanding is that of Committee four which has met twice and will meet again next week to finish their report which will be received by the Land Use Sub-

REPORTS FROM OFFICIAL
BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS
Plan Commission

Committee of the Plan Commission and funneled into the Plan Commission as a whole. After the Plan Commission reviews all the recommendations, public hearings will be had, hopefully by the end of April. He has been primarily concerned with the land use map, and he assumed the people working on the zoning ordinance were keeping pace with the land use map and would be ready for public hearings before the Plan Commission also the latter part of April.

Animal Control Commission

Councilman Ackerman reported the Animal Control Commission had its first meeting March 28, 1972. The Animal Shelter is now open from 9-6 each day, Monday through Friday, and 9 to 12 noon on Saturday. There were two problems the Animal Control Commission had at the beginning; one involved the deputization as to officers, so the Humane Officer and the Manager of the Shelter would have the authority to enforce the ordinances which are already on the books. He said there was a state law requiring every City to maintain a Humane Officer and it is specified that he receive at least a salary of a patrolman. The designated officer is that of a Police Officer. This officer should have the power as a Police Officer to subpoena, arrest, investigate and prosecute anyone violating animal control laws. He also said they were now under contract with the County to manage the animal control program. The County pays \$12,000.00 into the City Budget and they are contracted to do this. The second problem was the repair of the animal shelter. The reason this money cannot be taken out of the animal control budget for the rest of the year is because (1) there has been extravagant expenditures which were made by the earlier personnel who worked from January through March, and the Humane Association has hired top personnel who must be paid more than the 1972 budget sets forth, so the Humane Association has supplemented their salaries from their own funds. A rough estimate of the repairs needed to be done is \$1,000.00. There are various ways of doing this, one, an ordinance asking for the appropriation, Councilwoman Zietlow had suggested the possibility of the funds coming from the Cumulative Building Fund, since part of the cumulative building fund allows for maintenance of city owned property. Mrs. Sims, city controller said the funds which had come in from the Animal Shelter had gone into the General Fund, but he thought the funds should go back to the Animal Shelter.

Mr. Darrell Nehring, Humane Officer gave a list of repairs that needed to be done, which includes the following: All walls in the shelter need a thorough disinfecting and scrub down, air-conditioner in cat room does not work, garbage disposal is non-repairable, toilet is in need of repair, wiring in kitchen is not completed, there are no electrical outlets for equipment, holes in driveway, due to inadequate drainage, cracks in floor, and sliding doors in kennels are broken.

He estimated the cost for the repairs to be \$1,150.00 which includes repair of the truck.

Councilman Behen commented that the chuck holes in the street were quite large and urged Mr. Wray of Transportation Department to repair them since it was a city street. He also mentioned that some of the people might contact businesses concerning donation of some of the items mentioned, and if this was forthcoming, it would be well for them to support these people.

Councilman Morrison said according to the Budget prepared last July and August, the Council allocated \$1,000.00 for maintenance and repair.

Councilman Ackerman said it was true that there were funds for shelter maintenance and repair, but because of the misuse of the funds the first three months, some of the funds would have to be shuffled around to meet the needs for the rest of the year. If at all possible they would like to use the funds for other things.

It was the consensus of the Council that they request the Mayor to try to find the money for the repairs.

Mr. Wilson said he would like to comment on a couple of statements that mean the importance of the plan itself: One, is planning for the future for Parks and Recreation, two, the importance of obtainable federal funds. These are not really tax funds, but are funds that have been derived from boat licenses, Golden Eagle passes of National forests and parks and offshore oil drilling funds that are put into federal government. The State of Indiana has received approximately two and a half million dollars a year under this program. It is from a year to year program, and appropriated by the Congress and given to the States and the allocations are made to the cities and counties and through the procedure they use can apply for matching funds.

Report-Park Board
Bond Issue-Bill Wilson

Mr. Wilson presented a map showing the various park areas involved which include: West 9th Street Park, Lower Cascades, Henderson Street Complex, Older American Center, Southeast Area (Moore's Pike and High Street), Park Ridge Park, Block Parks, East Central Area, Park Ridge (West), Roosevelt Street, (University property), Atwater Street (University property), Landfill Park and Eastern Heights Neighborhood, also remodelling Frank Southern Center. They are also working on their first trail system from Henderson Street to High Street and Jackson Creek, lighting of the tennis courts and construction or acquisition of a golf course on S. Rogers Street, referred to as the County Club property, and recommending that \$3,000.00 be set aside to purchase this nine hole course. If this course is not made available or available at this price or for some unforeseen reason at this point, then they recommend \$3,000.00 be set aside to give them an opportunity to build one in its place. This would not exceed \$300,000.00 in construction costs, and they would be able to provide a great needed facility of a golf course. However, he felt sure the details were worked out, that it is available.

He reported that since the year 1969, Cascades Golf Course had expenditures of \$32,364.00 and receipts of \$41,201.00, 1970 expenditures of \$34,528.00 and receipts of \$42,220.00, 1971 expenditures of \$39,586.00, receipts of \$45,272.00. Over the past three years there was a profit of \$22,000.00. That revenues have been declining due to crowded conditions on the course and the poor condition of the course from over use.

Mayor McCloskey asked about the modification and what led up to it and what the overall development of the golf course would be.

Mr. Wilson said at the price they were speaking of they are acquiring nine holes of golf. There was some 100 acres and twenty acres that were not being used for golf which came in at an appraised value of quite an extensive price, and after they met with the potential owners they felt it was fine if they wanted to cut this out, which was done and the price was reduced from \$450,000.00 to \$300,000.00.

Councilman Mizell moved that the Council cut off the discussion on the Park Bond issue at 10:00 p.m.,. Motion seconded by Councilman Morrison.

Roll call vote: Ayes 9, nays 0.

Councilman Morrison asked what Bond would be retired in 1973. Mr. Wilson said it was the one floated in 1958 concerning the Bryan Pool and Golf Club House. The yearly payment on this bond issue was a little over \$25,000.00, and it becomes paid off in January 1973.

Councilman Behen asked if they could have available at the next meeting the additional cost of maintenance of the facilities, cost of equipment and cost of utilities to maintain these additional areas.

Mr. Wilson said it would have to be purely a guess. That it was hard to anticipate, as there would be four to six lighted ball fields; what the revenue would be from a second golf course, would have to be based, and would have to be purely a guess estimate.

Councilman de St. Croix commented as to the profits of Cascades dropping at a rate of \$2,000.00 per year, and asked how he saw this tying in with the Country Club acquisition. Mr. Wilson said he didn't believe this would be necessarily a fact on the basis of continual dropping, because this year they were making a very prominent move to start putting money back into the course to make it more attractive for players. He thought the new course would increase the play of this one with extra income.

He reported that many people are not playing because of the overcrowded conditions and others are not playing because the course has been degrading. They have purchased some new equipment this year and have started a new fertilization program for the first time in twenty years; a total spray program was started in February of this year and will end in October. To his knowledge it is the only time this has been done in twenty-five years. This alone would save and make dividends.

Councilman Mizell said he would like to compliment the Park Board and Mr. Wilson for the work they have done on the program, starting with the excellent open space proposal, this was recognized at the time and is still a major step forward, and a tremendous step toward recreational programs in the city. The central "thread" that has sold him on the program is the statement; "all residences of Bloomington should be within a five minute walking distance of a park."

Councilman Mizell noted that it was indicated in 1970 that there was a need for 41 Block Parks for a total of 21 acres of Block Parks, and he asked Mr. Wilson how close they were to that figure with the acquisition that they have here.

Mr. Wilson said that on the future allocations at this point it would be hard to say, he thought they were coming close. Some of them in general locations at this time are not tied down in size, and this will make a difference in what they obtain with the money they have. They are using fourteen school sites for recreation and these also serve as Block Parks.

Mr. Tony Bauer said that a Block Park could be a part of a Neighborhood Park, because it serves a certain area and the people who live near that park can use the facilities as a Block Park or Totlot. One of the emphasis placed in the report was to utilize school facilities as much as possible to take up some of the slack in the areas. There is a need for the development of the half acre, quarter acre and tentative 8,000 square foot lots.

Councilman Mizell asked how close they were with the acquisition that is planned in this particular bond issue as recommended in the report in terms of Block Parks and Neighborhood Parks and Community Parks.

Mr. Wilson said they were 75% to 80% toward that goal. Counting the schools they were using they would probably have around twenty Block Parks and five Neighborhood Parks, five Community Parks.

Councilman de St. Croix commented as to whether there was a true justification of an expenditure of \$300,000.00 for one very limited and specified kind of facility of lumping this open space together when we have scattered needs throughout the community and we are using bonding limit at this point, and property cost for scattered neighborhood and Block Parks that are going to be rising over the next few years.

Also another factor, if we do move to a joint City-County Park Board we may be in a position of acquiring large tracts of land for open space outside the corporate limits at a greatly reduced cost as compared to the golf course.

Mr. Wilson commented that he didn't think the merge would mean that ground could be purchased cheaper. He agreed that probably the children deserve the greatest extent of attention, but he did not think we could continue to be status quo for needs of older people, young adults, or adults. He commented children also play golf, and that it is a recreation for women, men, children and family.

We felt that we should not wait to get a golf course, until we accomplish all the childrens' needs. That we should do some of both as we go.

Councilman de St. Croix asked if we committed \$300,000.00 of our bonding limit to the acquisition of land for a golf course, if we could realistically say that we were capable of meeting the Block, Neighborhood Park needs of this community in progression of that kind of commitment of bonding limitation.

Mr. Wilson said we were not at our bond limitation, that we still have over \$100,000.00 left as far as bonding capacity is concerned, which at any time can be utilized as far as future Block Parks, etc.

Councilman Morrison asked Mr. Adams, Director of the West Side PAC to comment on this. Mr. Adams said he thought it would be a great achievement for the city to implement this park bond issue. He would like to see the Council pass it.

Councilman Fix commented as to the City-County merger and the future growth, guidance, etc., and said that since the meeting with the two Park Boards and the Councils on City-County Board merger, and the fact that we have county owned property on almost the same road as the Country Club is located to the extent of about 1,000 acres of public owned land, not too far from this area, he thought these were some of the things we were going to have to weigh. The land includes the Airport, Fairground and County Home Complex which is quite adequate land for park development.

Mr. Maddox of the Maddox Construction Company of Chicago, which specializes in golf course construction recommended the city purchase the golf course, since the facility would be easier to maintain than the Cascades course and would have a higher profit margin. He said a new golf course would cost approximately what this land was costing.

Councilwoman Zietlow commented she thought the Park Plan was a very orderly plan based on a systematic study of various needs and priorities and that it would be a very positive step for the Council to take to support the bond issue now. "It is quite comprehensive and has a number of different facets to it and if it means 120 acres for \$300,000.00 that is a pretty good investment."

Councilman Towell moved that the Council recommend that the Park Board proceed to circulate the petitions for the Park bond issue. Motion seconded by Councilman Ackerman.

Roll call vote: Ayes 8, Nays 1 (de St. Croix)

Councilman de St. Croix explained his vote as follows: 'I have not been convinced satisfactorily that this \$300,000.00 the portion of the bonding for the golf course is in the best interest of the Community, it is my personal feeling that with the consideration of the 1,000 acres of county property, with the consideration of the need for

Neighborhood and Block Parks for the safety of our children, with the existence of two 18 hole courses in the community, a nine hole course with the question of the burden placed on the taxpayer, and since we are considering both of these issues together, I regret, I am going to have to vote against the other half of the program which I wholeheartedly support."

None.

REPORTS FROM STANDING COMMITTEES

Councilman Davis said the Task Force had only come up with recommendations, and they would like to have the feeling of the Council about these, and if the Council would like to move this way, they would draft an ordinance incorporating the recommendations.

REPORTS FROM SPECIAL COMMITTEES

Private Parking-Task Force Report Ord.25

Mr. Charles Dibble read the report of the Task Force, a copy of which is attached and made a part of the Minutes.

Councilman de St. Croix commented that the report made no provisions for curtailing further issuance of permits.

Mr. Dibble said he thought the Council should get with the University, that they were the ones causing the problems, and causing problems with residents; that we have a valid interest in maintaining this in a residential community. He also said the City should continue issuing permits.

Councilmen Behen said this ordinance was originally written because there was a need for it, even though there is some contention of the fact unconstitutionality may exist. That the need for it is still there, and to say we are not going to issue any more of the permits; and the report to him indicated that many of the people enjoying this are elderly, -is simply saying we are not going to have problems with the elderly in the future. He further commented the need was going to continue, and until the University makes some provision for parking, he saw no reason for the report to indicate that no more permits be issued. He recommended that the people who hold the permits be advised by either the Police Department or their secretary of informing people that their cars have been towed in.

Councilman Fix asked if the forming of the five member committee would lessen the number of applications.

Mr. Dibble said he did not think it would lessen the applications, it would lessen the number in force because not as many would be o.k'd., and permits would not be issued to people who live somewhere else and have them on the streets where they do not live, they would be no longer issued in front of business places, and no longer issued for rental to students.

Councilman Towell commented on the legality of the ordinance, and that he thought a new ordinance should be written. He also said he would withdraw his ordinance to repeal Ordinance #25, if the committee would make some provision for a broader approach to this.

Councilman Davis said the Committee wanted a feedback as to what the Council wished them to do. That they would like to know if the recommendations made sense; they could then draw up an ordinance which would embody the recommendations.

The Council referred the report back to the Task Force Committee with the recommendations of the Council.

Councilman Towell reported the Housing Committee was now going to the legal department of the city for help in drawing up an ordinance. Housing Committee

Councilman de St. Croix announced that the Manpower Study next meeting of the Manpower Study Committee would be April 24, 1972, in the Plan Room. They hope to have their first report to the Council by the Second Council meeting in June. They have restructured several of the sub-committees, have urged federal programs in new jobs and have made some shifts in sub-committee membership. They will also be meeting with a group of women next week to discuss the employment problems of women. Manpower Study

Mr. David McCrea, Attorney for the Miller Drive Association, commended Mayor McCloskey for the razing of the Dust Mill. He said the people in the Miller Drive area were in desperate need of water and sewer lines. That it was rather hard for the people in Miller Drive area to go along with a golf course, which they consider somewhat of a luxury when they are carrying water in 1972. He said there was a certain priority that had to be attached to every expenditure and every consideration of the Council and water and sewer being the basic needs and to opposed to recreational needs, have as great a priority as a golf course. "The people appreciated de St. Croix's vote." That it was hard for them to see a golf course rather their own needs and have that take preference over water and sewer lines." If possible they would like to have a progress report from the Council or Mayor McCloskey. Miller Drive Area

Mayor McCloskey noted that when he was talking to people about this particular golf course, he was stressing the fact of not golf recreational areas. He said Miller Drive was the number one residential priority and he could assure them that we're not in an either or situation, as to whether we are going to have a bond issue on golf courses or water and sewers in Miller Drive. If it ever got to that situation, it would be real easy. he has been working with Federal officials to get something done on this, and hoped to meet again soon with HUD officials. There are various problems, there is some talk now of how to go water and sewer expansion program when having troubles with the south side treatment plant. There would be a minimal input from the Miller Drive area. He said that "long before the bond

issue is a reality, we will know exactly where we are on the Miller Dirve area, that they will commit local funds shortly to get this under way.

Councilwoman Zietlow said "that we had a lot of priorities to think about, and allowing the Park Board to go out and trying to raise a bond issue shouldn't be interpreted that we do not want water and sewer."

Councilwoman Zietlow said she had a message from the Board of Public Works that they were in the process of drawing up a new solid waste contract and they will have an ordinance and let bids for the trash collection within the next few weeks and they would like input from the Council.

MESSAGES FROM COUNCILMEN

Councilman Behen commented as to the re-cycling program which has not gotten off the ground the way they would have liked it to, and that he thought there were some improvements they could make on it if they would all think on it for the next Council meeting, for example Pick up every two weeks.

Councilwoman Zietlow said she had notified Mr. Owens about the Park Board merger and had asked him to draw up an ordinance initiating a joint Park Board.

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-11 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Behen seconded the motion.

INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES

Ordinance No. 72-11
Zoning Land located East of Curry Pike-R-1 to R-3

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 72-11.

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-12 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

Ordinance No. 72-12
Zoning Land located 900 Block West First Street R-1 to R-3

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 72-12.

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-13 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

Ordinance No. 72-13
Zoning Land located East Highway #37 south, south Royal Chevrolet B-1 to B-3

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 72-13.

Mr. Pat Patterson gave the location of the three parcels of land.

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-14 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

Ordinance No. 72-14
Repeal of Annexation Ord. 67-5

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 72-14.

Mr. Larry Owens, City Attorney asked that the rules be suspended, that it was the opinion of the legal department that it was to the best interest of the City that this be passed tonight.

Councilman Towell moved that the rules be suspended. Councilman de St. Croix seconded the motion.

Councilwoman Zietlow said it had been moved and seconded that the rules be suspended and to have the second reading of Ordinance No. 72-14.

Roll call vote: Ayes 9, nays 0.

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-14 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 72-14 by title only.

Councilman Morrison moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-14 be adopted. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

Roll call vote: Ayes 9, nays 0.

Councilman de St. Croix moved that Resolution No. 72-19 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

RESOLUTIONS

Resolution No. 72-19
Transfer of Funds

The Clerk read proposed Resolution No. 72-19.

Councilman de St. Croix moved that Resolution No. 72-19 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Roll call vote: Ayes 9, Nays 0.

Councilman de St. Croix moved that Resolution No. 72-20 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Resolution No. 72-20
Investment of Funds

The Clerk read proposed Resolution No. 72-20.

Councilman de St. Croix moved that Resolution No. 72-20 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Roll call vote: Ayes 9, nays 0.

Councilman de St. Croix moved that Resolution No. 72-21 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Resolution No. 72-21
Topics

The Clerk read proposed Resolution No. 72-21.

Councilman de St. Croix moved that Resolution No. 72-21 be adopted. Councilman Towell seconded the motion.

Roll call vote: Ayes 9, nays 0.

Councilman Ackerman moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-10 be introduced and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman de St. Croix seconded the motion.

ORDINANCES-SECOND READING

Ordinance No. 72-10
Salary of Safety Director
Corporate Counsel

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 72-10.

Councilman de St. Croix moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-10 be adopted. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Councilman Ackerman proposed that the ordinance be amended by deleting the names of Russell Parks and James Register.

Councilman Behen asked if they were creating a job that was going to be a continuing thing in the years to come.

Mayor McCloskey commented it was difficult to say at this time, but as he saw the continuing legal problems, he would say yes, that we need a full time City legal department. He did not see how the city ever functioned without a legal department, not a part time city attorney, and that there would always be a need for two or more lawyers, and he thought we had an excellent arrangement now.

Councilman de St. Croix moved that proposed Ordinance No. 72-10 be adopted as amended. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion.

Roll call vote: Ayes 9, nays 0.

None.

REMONSTRANCES AND OBJECTIONS

Marvin Craig, representing the Student Government of Indiana University, spoke concerning people walking and riding bicycles to the university. He said there were considerable hazards going into the campus area. He suggested that warning signs be put up on major streets around the campus such as Third, Seventh, Tenth, Indiana, Jordan and Atwater Streets.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Councilman Davis suggested that anyone who would like to work on a bicycle Task Force to come up with ways of making bicycle riding possible he would like to work with them.

Councilwoman Zietlow recommended that he send a written statement on this to Councilman Davis, and to the Transportation Department.

None.

OTHER NEW BUSINESS

None,

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

The meeting adjourned at the hour of 12:00 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

Charlotte T. Zietlow
Charlotte T. Zietlow, President

ATTEST:

Bessy Van Hook

Report of Private Parking Permit Task Force

For over a decade the city of Bloomington has issued Private Parking Permits to homeowners entitling them to one parking space immediately adjacent to their home. Several years ago the annual fee was raised from \$5.00 to \$26.00. At that time many people decided not to continue renting them. There were 195 permits in force as of January 1, 1972 and as of April 3, 1972, all but 16 had been paid up for 1972. The primary concentration of permits in force is immediately to the south and to the west of the main campus of Indiana University. By street count, over 150 permits are in the area bounded on the north by 10th street, on the west by Walnut, on the south by 1st street, and on the east by Woodlawn (north of 7th) and Jordan (south of 3rd). The remainder are scattered throughout the city. The concentration we expected to find around the RCA plant on south Rogers does not exist.

The present ordinance is included for your information. You will notice that it specifies that one space is available to a "residential property owner" who "is unable to provide reasonable accommodations." The fee is \$51.00 for the first year and \$25.00 per year thereafter. The parking permit holder agrees to "police the area without assistance from the city" and he has "right to cause any vehicle parked in the area without his consent to be towed to a storage garage."

In practice, any property owner applying for a permit has been granted one for each property which he owns and for which he applies and is willing to pay the fee. No investigation has been made into the adequacy of his parking provisions and the ordinance has been interpreted to mean that he only has to own the property, not own and reside on the property. Approximately 30 spaces are being rented for houses in which the owner does not reside.

The problem that led to the development of this system is parking pressure generated in neighborhoods which simply are not equipped to handle the cars. The two most obvious sources of parking pressure are (1) Indiana University staff and students

parking close to campus and (2) cars belonging to residents of large single family dwellings which have been converted into apartments or rooming houses without adequate provision for parking. Several single students occupying a single expensive apartment often have more cars than are provided for by the off-street parking. We are faced with the conflicting needs of parking availability and the need to maintain the possibility of multiple life styles (i.e., single family residence as well as student residence) in areas where the parking pressure is severe. The problem will never be licked until we solve the problem of adequate mobility in our community. Right now parking is an essential part of that mobility as we are virtually completely dependent upon the private automobile except within the Indiana University campus proper, where a fairly extensive bus system exists.

One of the obvious solutions is to provide alternatives to the use of the private automobile and to provide more parking in the areas where it is needed. The possibility of a more adequate bus system is being explored by the city. Present zoning ordinances must be enforced where they specify the number of parking spaces required per occupied unit. We must work with the University as more parking must be provided and present parking facilities must be fully utilized. The parking by the athletic facilities has been made usable through the bus system. Ways to increase the usefulness of that space must be explored. The Atwater parking garage might be more fully utilized. There is at least the possibility of reasonably priced semester passes for students to encourage them to use the facility.

The most pleasant and clearly legal possibility is to provide a more attractive alternative so that the parking pressure in neighborhoods which simply were not built to take it would be relieved by the voluntary action of the parkers. Forms of gentle coercion could be devised to encourage the use of alternatives such as the use of the parking space at the athletic facilities and the use of parking provided by Indiana Bell but spurned by their employees. Two hour parking limitations in portions of the city might be feasible but they would result in an enforcement

problem. A possibility of registering all student and staff cars and restricting their parking in areas immediately adjacent to the University exists, but there might be legal as well as other problems with this procedure.

Concerning Chapter 12.16 of the Bloomington Municipal Code entitled Parking Permits we are faced with a dilemma. Three students who have had their cars towed and who believe the ordinance to be unconstitutional are threatening to take the matter to court.

A task force chaired by Hubert Davis, city councilman, was formed which included Charles Dibble and Geoff Gerard, students in the I.U. Law School, and Vivian LeBeau and Elizabeth Egan, permit holders. The task force has investigated the ordinance and its enforcement including well publicized public hearings held on Wednesday, February 16, in the auditorium of the Monroe County Public Library. There were 38 present, counting the press, but not counting the task force. Almost all those attending were permit holders who were very concerned to make sure we knew how badly they needed their spaces. Many permit holders wrote letters to specify their needs.

The need for the spaces varied somewhat. Some had no off-street parking, some required the space to give them access to a narrow garage from which they had to back onto a busy, narrow street, some were very elderly and had no car, but depended on the space to give friends access to them for comfort and aid.

In addition to the use of parking spaces to allow people to maintain lifestyles in neighborhoods that have changed, some people utilize the spaces improperly. There are instances where people rent the spaces to students; in some cases, spaces are rented where the home is now used for commercial purposes.

Our alternatives are (1) to eliminate the private parking permit ordinance; (2) to leave the ordinance and the enforcement procedures as they now are; (3) to clarify the ordinance and to devise effective administrative procedures. If we choose to eliminate the private parking spaces, we would not

change the parking situation substantially. There simply are not enough of the private parking spaces to alleviate much of the pressure. If they were eliminated, many people, some of whom have lived in the neighborhood for long periods of time, would be handicapped. If we choose to do nothing, the likelihood is that the ordinance will be challenged in court. The present feeling of the city attorney is that it might not withstand the challenge.

If we choose to clarify the ordinance and to devise adequate administrative procedures, we should be able to eliminate most abuses and to lower the number of permits in force.

We recommend that the ordinance be rewritten so that

- (1) space would be available to a single family resident whether or not he were a property owner
- (2) the resident must own a car
- (3) space would be available only where no off-street parking is available (off-street parking shall be defined as adequate space for one automobile, including adequate access to that space)
- (4) provision for ticketing by the police should be made instead of towing being the only enforcement option
- (5) establish an administrative procedure whereby a 5 member committee shall review and approve all applications.

The task force respectfully submits this report for consideration of the Common Council.

April 6, 1972

RESOLUTION No. 72-19

BUDGET TRANSFERS

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA, that the City Controller of said City will adjust the appropriations of the following Budgets according to the request of the respective departments, to-wit:

OLDER AMERICAN CENTER BUDGET: (Federal Money)

FROM Account #7 - Equipment \$ 100.00
TO Account #4 - Telephones \$100.00

DRUG CONTROL COMMISSION BUDGET

FROM 11 Salaries and Wages \$2,030.00
TO 2 SERVICES CONTRACTUAL
21 Communication and Transportation \$ 595.00
26 Other Contractual Services 200.00
TO 3 SUPPLIES
36 Office Supplies 185.00
37 Other Supplies 200.00
TO 5 CURRENT CHARGES
55 Subscriptions and Dues 250.00
TO 7 PROPERTIES
72 Equipment 600.00

Charlotte T. Zietlow
Charlotte T. Zietlow, President
Common Council, City of Bloomington

Francis X. McCloskey
Francis X. McCloskey, Mayor
City of Bloomington, Indiana

ADOPTED: April 6, 1972

RESOLUTION No. 72-20

INVESTMENT OF FUNDS

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Indiana, that the Controller of said City is hereby empowered to make the following investments into Government Securities yielding the highest rate of interest obtainable, consistent with safety, to-wit:

WATER DEPRECIATION FUND to mature June 1, 1972	\$400,000.00
WATER BOND & INTEREST FUND to mature June 22, 1972	\$800,000.00
CUMULATIVE CAPITAL FUND to mature June 1, 1972	\$240,000.00
SEWAGE WORKS IMPROVEMENT FUND to mature May 4, 1972	\$700,000.00
SEWAGE WORKS SINKING FUND to mature July 13, 1972	\$100,000.00
SEWAGE WORKS DEPRECIATION FUND	\$200,000.00
SANITATION OPERATING & MAINTENANCE FUND to mature June 1, 1972	\$500,000.00

Charlotte T. Zietlow
Charlotte T. Zietlow, President
Common Council, City of Bloomington

Francis X. McCloskey
Francis X. McCloskey, Mayor
City of Bloomington, Indiana.

Adopted: April 6, 1972

Resolution No. 72-21

TOPICS

WHEREAS, public hearings have been held on the projects described in the "TOPICS: Preliminary draft for Bloomington" report, and

WHEREAS, three projects in sufficient states of readiness have been given early implementation status in order to apply for State monies due to become unavailable after June 30, 1972, and

WHEREAS, priorities of TOPICS projects are to be reviewed, and if necessary, changed bi-annually,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Indiana; That the descriptions of the projects in the above report and the ordered list of projects attached to it as of April 6, 1972, have been reviewed by the Mayor, the Common Council and the Transportation Department and are in the considered opinion of the Common Council the correct priorities of TOPICS projects as of this review.

Charlotte T. Zietlow
Charlotte T. Zietlow, President
Common Council

Francis X. McCloskey
Francis X. McCloskey, Mayor

Adopted: April 6, 1972