

In the Council Chamber of the Municipal Building at 7:30 p.m., Thursday, August 20, 1970. Council President Richard W. Fee presiding.

REGULAR MEETING
COMMON COUNCIL
CITY OF BLOOMINGTON
INDIANA

Council President Fee

ROLL CALL

Members present:

Robert L. Clegg, Jr., James C. Clendening, Clyde T. Day, Harry G. Day, Charles J. Faris, Richard W. Fee, Robert E. Gray, Jack Morrison.

Raymond E. Long, City Engineer; Howard A. Young, City Controller; Marvard Clark, Assistant City Engineer; Marian Tardy, City Clerk; Police Chief East; Harold A. Harrell, Attorney; Clifford Curry, City Planner; Fire Chief Miller, Danny Fulton, Director of Redevelopment, Deputy Fire Chief, Jack Knapp.

CITY OFFICIALS

Approximately 125 including members of press.

OTHERS PRESENT

Councilman Harry G. Day

INVOCATION

Councilman Faris moved that the Minutes of August 6, 1970, be approved as distributed. Councilman Clegg seconded the motion and it carried.

MINUTES

None.

REMONSTRANCES AND
OBJECTIONS

Councilman Faris moved that proposed Ordinance No. 70-28 be advanced to second reading and that it be read by title only. Councilman Clegg seconded the motion and it carried.

ORDINANCES
SECOND READING

Proposed Ordinance
No. 70-28
Bond Ordinance

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 70-28 by title only.

Councilman Clendening moved that proposed Ordinance No. 70-28 be amended as follows:

Project A-70 be deleted from the ordinance which project is located on In Lot Number 108 and Out Lot Number 354 of the City of Bloomington, Indiana, the same being more generally described as lying at the east end of the block between Fifth and Fourth Streets and fronting on Dunn Street. Said deletion of this project would decrease the cost of the proposed parking program by \$1.2.

Motion seconded by Councilman Morrison

Roll call vote: Ayes 3, nays 5
(Gray, Clyde Day, Clegg, Faris, Harry Day) The amendment to proposed Ordinance No. 70-28 was defeated.

Among those in the audience speaking in opposition to the bond ordinance were: Grace Martin, Larry Wolcott, David Sauer, George Cox, Max Campbell, Charles Humphrey, Frank Scott, Cleo Sinn, Elmo Gilliatt, and Emily Closkey, representing student government at Indiana University. These people opposed the proposed parking program for the following reasons:

1. Questioned if taxes might be increased if city defaulted on Bond payments.
2. Questioned whether meters could pay their own way.
3. Felt the city would be putting a tax on a vital necessity.
4. Felt city was using the meters in the residential areas to finance the housing.
5. Felt the program would be imposing a cost on a small minority.
6. The plan does not have the acceptance of the people in the area; therefore, feel that parking meters will not pay.
7. Parking garage too expensive.
8. Felt this program should be presented to the people in a referendum.
9. Questioned the legality of the church group leasing ground spaces to commercial firms.
10. Felt the downtown businesses should provide their own parking lots.
11. Objected to the design and location of the high-rise for the elderly.
12. Felt the part of the plan providing the parking garage and the housing for the elderly should be abandoned thereby eliminating the necessity for placing the meters in the residential areas.

Frank Barnhart, Joseph Walker, William Ringgenberg and other unidentified citizens made the following comments in favor of the proposed parking program:

1. Studies indicate that housing in the urban center is preferred so the elderly can be near services offered.
2. Parking meters serve more than one function, such as traffic control and parking control, both of which functions are necessary in the proposed area.
3. To say that you must furnish parking to the residents and not to the businesses is contradictory.
4. It is important that the heart of the city be maintained.
5. The area proposed for extension of parking meters will be the center of downtown in a few years.
6. More and more parking will be removed from the streets and more and more off-street parking will be required in the future.
7. Other examples of inequity in taxes were cited, such as paying school taxes when there are no children in the family to attend the schools.
8. The construction of the high-rise for the elderly will furnish employment for about one year. The financial aspect of this project will put \$80,000.00 per year into the economy of this community which is not now in the community.

Councilman Faris moved that proposed Ordinance No. 70-28 be adopted. Motion seconded by Councilman Clegg.

Roll call vote: Ayes 5, Nays 3 (Morrison, Clendening, and Fee).

Councilman Harry Day moved that proposed Ordinance No. 70-37 be advanced to second reading and that it be read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Faris seconded the motion and it carried.

Proposed Ordinance
No. 70-37
Human Rights Commission

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 70-37 by title only.

Councilman Harry Day moved that proposed Ordinance No. 70-37 be adopted. Councilman Faris seconded the motion.

Roll call vote: Ayes 8, nays 0. Proposed Ordinance No. 70-37 adopted.

Councilman Harry Day commented that this ordinance was developed by a committee composed of members from the Human Relations Commission and the Fair Housing Commission. It is Mr. Day's opinion that the adoption of this ordinance is a very important step toward proper human relations in our community.

Councilman Faris moved that proposed Ordinance No. 70-38 be advanced to second reading and read by the Clerk by title only. Councilman Clyde Day seconded the motion and it carried.

Proposed Ordinance
No. 70-38
Provision for mileage,
vacations and sick days
for City Employees

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 70-38 by title only.

Councilman Faris moved that proposed Ordinance No. 70-38 be amended as follows:

1. Section 4 shall become Section 5.
2. A new Section 4 shall read as follows:

"Modifications and additions to the above sections may be adopted by the several city boards, namely the Board of Public Works, the Board of Public Safety, and the Board of Parks and Recreation concerning employees under their jurisdiction and with the approval of the Mayor."

Motion seconded by Councilman Clendening.

Roll call vote: 8 ayes, nays 0

Councilman Faris moved that proposed Ordinance No. 70-38 be adopted as amended. Councilman Clendening seconded the motion.

Roll call vote: Ayes, 8, nays 0

Councilman Clegg moved that proposed Ordinance No. 70-39 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Council Morrison seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

ORDINANCES - INTRODUCTION

Proposed Ordinance
No. 70-39
Rezoning Hensonburg
School Area

The Clerk read proposed Ordinance No. 70-39.

Councilman Faris moved that proposed Ordinance No. 70-40 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Clyde Day seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Proposed Ordinance
No. 70-40
An Ordinance repealing
rates for Parking in
metered area and an
Ordinance to establish
rates, hours and
location of parking meters
within the district and
zones as set out in a

The Clerk read the Proposed Ordinance No. 70-40.

Parking Program for the City of Bloomington, May 1970.

Councilman Faris moved that proposed Ordinance No. 70-41 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Clyde Day seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

The Clerk read the proposed Ordinance No. 70-41.

Proposed Ordinance No. 70-41
An Ordinance designating certain additional Off-Street Parking lots and establishment of rates and limitations on maximum parking times for all off-street parking lots owned by the City of Bloomington, Indiana.

Councilman Clegg moved that proposed Ordinance No. 70-42 be introduced and read by the Clerk. Councilman Morrison seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

The Clerk read the proposed Ordinance No. 70-42.

Proposed Ordinance No. 70-42
An Ordinance amending Ordinance No. 70-36 an Ordinance fixing salaries of appointed officers and employees of the City.

Councilman Fee asked that the Clerk read proposed Resolution No. 70-24

RESOLUTIONS

Councilman Clegg moved that proposed Resolution No. 70-24 be adopted, Councilman Day seconded the motion and it carried by unanimous vote.

Proposed Resolution No. 70-24
Central Parking Lot

Barbara Wise presented a petition signed by residents of east side Eastgate Lane, located on the east side of St. Road 46 By-Pass, requesting that in lieu of widening Eastgate Lane that the funds be applied for construction of an entrance onto the By-Pass to St. Mark's Church.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Councilman Clegg moved that the Council endorse this request and instruct the Mayor to make proper contact with the Highway Department to see if this problem can be reconciled. Motion seconded by Councilman Clendening and carried.

None.

REPORTS-BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

None.

REPORTS-STANDING COMMITTEES

None

REPORTS SPECIAL COMMITTEES

None

REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICIALS AND DEPARTMENTS

None.

MESSAGES FROM COUNCILMEN

None.

OTHER NEW BUSINESS

Mayor Hooker announced that the following suits had been filed against the city:

MESSAGE FROM MAYOR

1. F.O.P regarding a legal point concerning pensions.
2. Humane Society regarding the operation of the Animal Shelter.

The Mayor further stated that it had been hoped that these points could be settled out of court.

Mayor Hooker informed the Council that an official

announcement would be made on Friday, August 21, of the appointment of a new Administrator of Parks and Recreation, Mr. Bill Wilson.

In reference to the adoption of Ordinance No. 70-28, Mayor Hooker stated that the Council had again demonstrated their concern for the people and the things that have been done were done so as to better serve the people of our community. He said the purpose of this program is not to just provide another building; nor to just provide more parking places, but it is something far broader and far more lasting. Mayor Hooker feels that this is a bench mark ordinance that will prove itself, although not tomorrow nor next week - in continuing to make our community Indiana's finest place to live. He congratulated the Council for their adoption of this very fundamental new concept for the City of Bloomington and Monroe County.

None.

EXAMINATION OF CLAIMS

The meeting was adjourned at the hour of 10:20 p.m.

Richard W. Fee, Council President

ATTEST:
