## REGULAR MEETING

THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA, met in the Council Chambers in City Hall on Tuesday, November 20, 1962 at the hour of seventhirty (7:30 P.M.) in regular session with Mayor Mary Alice Dunlap presiding.

Members present: Sikes, Chitwood, Peace, Faucett, Hickman, Shertzer and Graves.

The meeting was opened with a prayer by Councilman Graves.

Councilman Peace moved, seconded by Councilman Faucett, that the minutes of the regular meeting of November 6, 1962 be approved as submitted to each individual Councilman; motion unanimously carried.

Councilman Sikes moved, seconded by Councilman Chitwood, that Ordinance No. 26, 1962, be advanced to second reading and be read by title only. Upon a roll call vote; motion unanimously carried.

The Clerk-Treasurer read, by title only, Ordinance No. 26, 1962.

Councilman Hickman moved, seconded by Councilman Peace, that Ordinance No. 26, 1962, pertaining to amending the Bloomington Zoning Map July 18, 1950, be duly adopted. Upon a roll call vote; motion unanimously carried.

Councilman Sikes presented Special Appropriation Ordinance No. 2, 1962 for first reading by the Clerk-Treasurer.

The Clerk-Treasurer read Special Appropriation Ordinance No. 2, 1962.

Councilman Graves moved, seconded by Councilman Chitwood, that Special Appropriation Ordinance No. 2, 1962 be advanced to second reading and read by title only. Upon a roll call vote; motion unanimously carried.

The Clerk-Treasurer read, by title only, Special Appropriation Ordinance No. 2, 1962.

Councilman Peace moved, seconded by Councilman Graves, that Special Appropriation Ordinance No. 2, 1962 be duly adopted. Upon a roll call vote; motion unanimously carried.

Mr. James Regester, Attorney for Billy Ray Salmon and Margaret Ann Salmon, appeared before the Council withdrawing his client's request for land exchange at Lake Lemon. Mr. Regester thanked the Councilmen for their consideration of the proposal.

Mr. Len Bunger, Attorney for the Arrow Construction Company, came to the Council requesting various street and alley vacations in Dodds Addition.

Councilman Hickman moved, seconded by Councilman Chitwood, that the petition of Mr. Len Bunger, Attorney for Arrow Construction Co. be referred to the Buildings and Property Committee for study and report to the Council; motion unanimously carried.

The following letters were read by the Clerk-Treasurer.

October 18, 1962

Common Council of the City of Bloomington City Hall Bloomington, Indiana

Dear Sirs:

Inasmuch as one of the continuing needs in Monroe County and in the City of Bloomington is that of providing suitable and adequate space for the pbulic functions of County and City Governments, the Downtown Redevelopment Advisory Committee has tried to explore some of the possibilities of solving certain of those problems with the greatest efficiency and the least cost.

At its September meeting and after an extended discussion of the subject, the Downtown Redevelopment Advisory Committee passed a resolution to request the Monroe County Council, the Board of County Commissioners of Monroe County and the Common Council of the City of Bloomington to consider seriously the establishment of a City-County Building Authority for Bloomington and Monroe County.

Respectfully,

S/William J. Wayne, Chairman Downtown Redevelopment Advisory Committee

WJW/bah

November 16, 1962

The Honorable Mrs. Mary Alice Dunlap City Hall Bloomington, Ind.

Dear Mayor:

I have been intending to write you this letter for sometime and at last I am getting it done. I want you and the city council to know how useful the parking lot at Lincoln and Sixth is to our congregation. It is filled every Sunday morning and is used widely by our people during the week. Recently, our church installed a big flood light on the back of our lot so that the parking lot would be more useful for our evening meetings. We encourage our people to use it at all times.

Sincerely yours,

S/Howard Anderson

/gls

November 14, 1962

Mr. T. D. Ellis Clerk-Treasurer City of Bloomington Bloomington, Indiana

Re: SR 46
Bloomington
Speed

Dear Sir:

Attached is a copy of a regulation restricting speed on SR 46 in the City of Bloomington, Indiana as approved by the Indiana State Highway Commission on the 14th day of November, 1962.

Very truly yours,

S/Clinton A. Venable Chief, Division of Traffic

cc: Mayor Dunlap Seymour District

CAV/wd

The following reports were read by the Clerk-Treasurer.

November 20, 1962

Common Council City of Bloomington Bloomington, Indiana

Gentlemen:

Subject: Rural Fire Protection

The Board of Works hereby transmits a print of the Rural Fire Protection report as per your request, November 6, 1962.

Board of Public Works & Safety S/Mary Alice Dunlap S/Leroy Baker S/Robert J. Cooney

November 19, 1962

To: The Common Council Bloomington, Indiana

From: Board of Works

Subject: Rural Fire Protection

At your request, we have reviewed the fire department activities outside the corporate limits and developed the following report for your consideration. We have used the year 1961 as the source for the statistics.

During this period a total of 446 fire calls were made with 35% or 158 of them being outside of the city. The various townships paid \$22,000 toward the 1961 budget of \$218,690 or approximately 10% of the cost. In this review we have grouped all calls to the several townships in the heading of "county" calls. The records also indicate that county calls consume at least 20% greater station to station time. A review might consider a number of intangibles that cannot have a dollar value placed thereon but nevertheless do have an effect on the departments operations and service to the city taxpayer. Some of the intangibles you may desire to consider in your review are listed below:

- (a) A county call increases the wear and tear on the equipment due to lower quality of roads traversed, greater use of hose in more vulnerable locations, and the heavier use of the pumpers.
- (b) The county calls also increase the vulnerability of personal injury and equipment damage due to the greater length of time involved, greater distance traveled, probable greater speeds of equipment on lower quality road surfaces and higher speeds of other vehicles.
- (c) The cost of equipment operation in a county run is increased from the standpoint of gasoline, oil, and tires, over a city run.
- (d) The city with its limited equipment has an increased vulnerability during the periods when the truck or trucks are on county calls.
- (e) A city call, occuring immediately following a return from a county call is vulnerable due to the equipment not yet returned to full service by lacking the time to refill tanks, replenish the chemical supplies and properly reload the hose.

In this report an attempt has been made to present some of the statistics that may be of value to you in your deliberations.

Board of Public Works & Safety

S/Mary Alice Dunlap S/Leroy Baker S/Robert J. Cooney

November 20, 1962

Common Council.
City of Bloomington
Bloomington, Indiana

Gentlemen:

Subject: Cruiser-Ambulance Service

The Board of Works hereby transmits a print of the Cruiser-Ambulance Service report as per your request, November 6, 1962. The report was composed by Chief Huntington after conferences with the Board of Works and is being submitted as the Board report since it reflects their thinking also.

Board of Public Works & Safety

S/Mary Alice Dunlap S/Leroy Baker S/Robert J. Cooney To: Bloomington Board of Public Works & Safety

From: George E. Huntington, Jr. Subject: Cruiser-Ambulance Service

Submitted herewith is a statistical summary and narrative report on the Bloomington Police Department cruiser-ambulance service as related to Monroe County.

The following data cover the period from November 6, 1961 through November 5, 1962. This represents the 365 days immediately preceding the request from the City Council for a report on this matter.

A total of 1,038 cruiser-ambulance dispatches were made in the year in question. Six hundred and sixty-seven or 64.58 per cent were dispatched to a destination within the corporate limits. Three hundred and seventy-one or 35.42 per cent of the dispatches were made to places in Monroe County, but outside the Bloomington corporate limits.

The preceding paragraph includes <u>all</u> dispatches made. That is, whether or not a person was aided, the cruiser-ambulance unit was dispatched, upon request, to a scene and is therfore tabulated. If two cruiser-ambulances were simultaneously sent to the same scene, this too is tabulated as one dispatch.

It is interesting to note that since the last survey of this type, two years ago, the number of dispatches has increased from 800 per year to over 1,000. We were averaging slightly over two ambulance runs per day, as opposed to almost three per day during the past year.

A further extraction was made from our police records which might aid in evaluating cruiser-ambulance service to the county. Eighty-two or 7.24 per cent of the total number of dispatches resulted in treatment and/or transportation of more than one person. Frequently, two units were dispatched into the county area in response to calls for aid at the scenes of personal injury accidents. In late October of 1962, both units were sent to a personal injury accident five miles outside of Bloomington. One of these units was required to return to the scene after delivering injured persons to the hospital. One dispatch, then, involved 30 miles of driving before all of the injured persons could be assisted. On one occasion a few years ago, 8 injured persons were transported from the scene of a traffic accident south of Ellettsville. It must be clearly understood that situations of this type have been statistically treated in paragraph three as only one dispatch.

Of the 82 dispatches involving assistance to more than one person, 28 or 34.15 per cent were within the corporate limits. Fifty-four or 65.85 per cent of this type dispatch involves runs outside the corporate limits. Note that the ratio of city to county dispatches is two to one, whereas, cases requiring assistance to more than one person, the trend reverses and the county predominates by two to one.

The City of Bloomington received \$10,000 annually from Monroe County for cruiser-ambulance service. The mumber of dispatches into the county area divided into the \$10,000 would indicate an average of \$26.95 is received per trip. Considering that approximately 46 per cent of the county tax is paid by Bloomington residents, the \$26.95 is in effect reduced to \$14.55.

\*\*THEXAMMENTALEXAM

In the county runs, we are driving greater distances, frequently over rougher roads and terrain, consuming much greater periods of time, not to mention assisting a greater number of persons per run.

In providing service to the county, we are frequently causing critical shortages of personnel and equipment within the city. When both cruiser-ambulance units are simultaneously used in the county area, the municipality is left with inadequate numbers of police officers to continue effective patrol or investigation. Added to this problem is the fact that the city has no ambulance protection during these periods.

Respectfully submitted,

S/George E. Huntington, Jr.

Councilman Hickman moved, seconded by Councilman Faucett, that the reports be acknowledged in the Council minutes, and be given further study by the Council; motion unanimously carried.

The following letter was read by the Clerk-Treasurer.

November 12, 1962

The Honorable Mary Alice Dunlap, Mayor Members of the Common Council City of Bloomington Bloomington, Indiana

Upon review of the proposed 1963 City budget, the Monroe County Tax Adjustment Board made the following reductions:

Health Dept. #33 Other supplies from 460. to 260.

Engineering Dept. #11 Part-time elect. insp. from 1,800. to 0. #21 comm-trans. from 2,100 to 1,800.

Plan Commission #24 Printing and Adv. from 375. to 225. #36 Office Supplies from 175. to 75. #72 Other Equip. from 100. to 0.

Action is needed by the Council to amend the 1963 Appropriation Ordinance to comply with the above reductions.

The State Board of Tax Commissioners have fixed the 1963 City Tax Rate at \$2.22.

Respectfully submitted,

S/T. D. Ellis Clerk-Treasurer

Councilman Sikes moved, seconded by Councilman Graves that the Council adopt the recommendation set forth in the Clerk-Treasurer's letter; motion unanimously carried.

Councilman Peace presented the following Traffic Commission Report.

## BLOOMINGTON TRAFFIC COMMISSION

To: The Mayor and the Common Council of Bloomington, Indiana

At the November 13, 1962 meeting of the Bloomington Traffic Commission, below listed traffic and related recommendations were adopted and are herewith submitted to the Mayor and the Common Council for consideration and action:

- 1. Recommended that the request for a yeild sign at the intersection of Kingsley and Briarcliff making Briarcliff the preferential street be referred to the Council Safety Committee, with a direct recommendation from that committee to the Council with proper data. (traffic count).
- 2. Recommends that no-parking be established on Elm Street along the east side from 7th street to 8th street.
- 3. Recommended that the Council send a Resolution to the State Highway Commission requesting, 20 MPH-while children are present, signs to be placed on West second street in the Hunter School area.

## Respectfully submitted,

## S/Timothy Rose, Chairman BLOOMINGTON TRAFFIC COMMISSION

Councilman Peace moved, seconded by Councilman Hickman, that recommendation number 1 of the Traffic Commission Report be adopted, adding to this recommendation the proposal to install stop signs on Briarcliff at Kinser Pike and on Kingley Dr. at Kinser Pike; motion unanimously carried.

Councilman Peace moved, seconded by Councilman Graves, that recommendation number 2 of the Traffic Commission Report be adopted; motion unanimously carried.

Councilman Peace moved, seconded by Councilman Hickman, that Recommendation number 3 of the Traffic Commission Report be adopted; motion unanimously carried.

The following reports for the month of October were received and placed on file in the Clerk-Treasurer's office: Sanitation Department, Sanitarian Officer, Milk Sanitarian, Humane Officer, Engineering Department, Water Department and Urban Redevelopment News Letter; and from the month of September from the Board of Parks and Recreation Department.

Councilman Chitwood moved, seconded by Councilman Faucett, that the claims presented for payment November 21, 1962 be allowed as submitted motion unanimously carried.

Meeting adjourned.

Mary alexi lump Presiding Officer

ATTEST:

Clerk-Treasurer