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Passcode: 587025

## CITY OF BLOOMINGTON <br> PLAN COMMISSION <br> October 18, 2021 at 5:30 p.m.

## *Virtual Meeting:

https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/95620166086?pwd=cFljKzE0eVBvODZBNWhsMIA5Q0I5QT09
Meeting ID: 95620166086 Passcode: 587025

## ROLL CALL

MINUTES TO BE APPROVED: September 13, 2021

## REPORTS, RESOLUTIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS:

Appoint New Plat Member
PETITION CONTINUED TO: November 15, 2021
DP-20-21 Bledsoe Riggert Cooper James
3111 S Walnut Street Pike
Request: Primary Plat approval of 33 single family lots on 10.34 acres in Ivy Chase Subdivision Phase II. Case Manager: Eric Greulich

PUD/DP-24-21 Robert V Shaw
N Prow Road: 3500 block of N Hackberry Street
Request: Petitioner requests Final Plan and Preliminary Plat amendment for
Ridgefield PUD and Subdivision Section V.
Case Manager: Jackie Scanlan

PETITIONS: October 18,2021
SP-27-21 Michael Cordaro (Johnson Creamery)
335 W $8^{\text {th }}$ Street
Request: Major site plan approval to allow for the construction of a 5 story building with 51 dwelling units in the MD zoning district.
Case Manager: Eric Greulich
DP-29-21 Sukhvinder Mehta
2306 \& 2310 W 3rd ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Street
Request: Subdivide one lot into two lots.
Case Manager: Karina Pazos

PETITIONER: Michael Cordaro (Johnson Creamery) 400 W. $7^{\text {th }}$ Street, Bloomington

CONSULTANTS: Ratio Architects, LLC.
101 S. Pennsylvania St., Indianapolis
Smith Design Group
2755 E Canada Drive, Bloomington

REQUEST: The petitioner is requesting major site plan approval to allow for the construction of a 5 -story building with 51 dwelling units in the Mixed-Use Downtown (MD) zoning district.

## BACKGROUND:

| Area: | 1.3 acres |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current Zoning: | Mixed Use Downtown (MD) - Downtown Core Overlay |
| Comp Plan Designation: | Downtown |
| Existing Land Use: | Surface parking |
| Proposed Land Use: | Multi-family Residential Building |
| Surrounding Uses: | North - Office |
|  | West - Office |
|  | East - Showers Building/B-Line Trail |
|  | South - Office |

REPORT: The property is located on the north side of 7th Street and extends north to $8^{\text {th }}$ Street and is within the Downtown Core Downtown Character Overlay of the Mixed-Use Downtown (MD) zoning district. Surrounding land uses include offices to the north, west, and south with the Showers office building and B-Line Trail to the east. The property currently contains a surface parking area that is used by tenants of the Johnson Creamery office building that fronts on $7^{\text {th }}$ Street. There is a 12 ' wide platted alley along the west side of this property that runs north/south and connects $7^{\text {th }}$ Street to $8^{\text {th }}$ Street. There is an underground culvert and 15 ' wide easement that runs through the northeast corner of this property that contains a 48 " storm water pipe that serves the overall storm water drainage system for the portions of the City upstream of this area.

The Plan Commission recently approved a site plan (SP-11-20) for this site in 2020, however due to difficulties encountered regarding the relocation of the existing box culvert and concerns about the stability of the historic smokestack, the petitioner has had to redesign the proposed project.

The petitioner is requesting major site plan approval to allow for the construction of a new residential building with 60 dwelling units, 74 bedrooms, and an interior parking area with 18 spaces. There will be 44 studio units, 6 one-bedroom units, 6 two-bedroom units, and 4 3bedroom units. The interior parking garage would be accessed from a drive cut on the alley on the west side and by an entrance on the south side of the building. The petitioner is proposing a 1,000 square foot fitness area along the ground floor of the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street frontage and a large outdoor
plaza area, two dwelling units, and lobby along the ground floor facing the B-Line.
This petition is proposing to utilize two sections of the UDO. The first is Section 20.04.110 (d)(2)(A) Sustainable Development Incentives that allows for an additional floor of building height, not to exceed 12'. The second is to utilize Section 20.04.060(g) Adjustments to Minimum Parking Requirements to allow for a reduced on-site parking requirement. More information is discussed on those two aspects later in the report.

This petition is considered a Major Site Plan since it contains more than 50 dwelling units and is required to be reviewed by the Plan Commission.

## SITE PLAN REVIEW:

Non-Residential Uses on the First Floor: This site is not required to have ground floor nonresidential uses. They have proposed a 1,000 square foot fitness area along the ground floor of the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street frontage and a large outdoor plaza area, two dwelling units, and lobby along the ground floor facing the B-Line.

Dimensional Standards: The build-to-range is 0 to 5 feet and a minimum of $70 \%$ of the building must be at the build-to-range. As a result of the underground culvert and easement which prohibits any portion of the building to be constructed in that area and difficulties encountered with relocating the culvert, the petitioner has requested a variance (V-16-21) from the minimum $70 \%$ of the building required to be at the build-to-line. There is a 10 ' minimum building setback required along the B-Line Trail and the building meets that requirement with the approximately 40 ' setback shown.

The maximum height in the DCO is 4 stories, not to exceed 50 feet. The proposed building is 5 stories and 60 feet tall. The petitioner is proposing to utilize the Sustainable Development Incentives in Section 20.04.110(d) that allow for an additional story, but not to exceed 12'. The UDO states that projects seeking to utilize the incentives shall demonstrate compliance with at least four of the six qualifying criteria. The petitioner has stated that they intend to meet sections (ii) Light Colored Hardscaping, (iii) Covered Parking, (iv) Cool or Vegetated Roof, and (v) Solar Energy. More information on the specific details related to compliance with each of those requirements is contained in their petitioner statement and supporting exhibits. The Department finds that this petition has demonstrated compliance with the four sections of the Sustainable Development Incentives listed and is eligible for the additional height as outlined.

Parking: Based on the bedroom and unit count, the site is required to have 45 on-site parking spaces. The site plan shows 26 parking spaces, 18 spaces will be created under this building and there will be 8 surface parking spaces. The petitioner is proposing to utilize Section 20.04.060(g) of the UDO that allows for adjustments to the minimum number of parking spaces required based on five different factors. The petitioner is proposing to utilize Section \#2 (Proximity to Transit) and Section \#5 (Modification of Minimum Parking Requirement Based on Parking Study) of that provision to allow for a reduction of required on-site parking spaces.

Section \#2 allows for a $15 \%$ reduction if there is a fixed transit station within $1 / 4$ mile. There is a fixed transit stop at $7^{\text {th }}$ and Morton which is within a $1 / 4$ mile of this site. This $15 \%$ reduction allows for a reduction of 7 parking spaces from the 45 required spaces, which would reduce the
number of required spaces to 38 .
Section \#5 allows for a further modification to the minimum number of spaces based on the submittal of a parking demand study. The petitioner has prepared a parking demand study and that is included in the packet. The study discusses the use of shared cars within units as well as an expected decrease in cars for residents of the studio and one-bedroom units, and the use of specific car sharing programs. It should also be noted that the City recently finished construction of a new public parking garage immediately adjacent to this project at the Trades District Garage. The Public Works Department has indicated that there are spaces available for long term lease and the petitioner has initiated communication with the City to secure long term leases for residents. Given the information presented in the Parking Demand Study and the location and availability of on-street parking spaces, and spaces available within a public parking garage immediately adjacent to this property, the Department finds a further reduction of 12 parking spaces appropriate and that the proposed 26 parking spaces should be approved at this location for this project.

Since the petitioner is partially relying on a car sharing program to justify the request for a reduction in the minimum number of on-site parking spaces required, the Department recommends that a minimum number of parking spaces within the on-site garage be set aside for vehicles in the ride sharing program. The petitioner has agreed to set aside 3 spaces within the garage for vehicles in the program and a condition of approval has been proposed to that effect.

Access: There are two proposed vehicular accesses to the parking garage, one off of the alley on the west and one on the south side of the garage. There will not be any drive cuts on $8^{\text {th }}$ Street for this project. There are no setback standards for driveways along an alley and each driveway width does not exceed the maximum $24^{\prime}$ in width that is allowed.

The property is required to have one pedestrian entrance for any primary building façade along a public street and one pedestrian entrance per 100 feet of building frontage along the B-Line Trail. There will be pedestrian access to the building from an entrance on the north side of the building from $8^{\text {th }}$ Street and a large plaza area and additional entrance from the east side of the building along the B-Line trail. The site has approximately 150 ' of frontage along the Trail and is required 2 pedestrian entrances. There are two pedestrian entrances shown along the B-Line Trail façade and this requirement has been met. The UDO outlines specific design features for the pedestrian entrances and compliance with this section has been fulfilled with the proposed entrances.

Bicycle Parking: The UDO requires bicycle parking be provided at a minimum of $20 \%$ per number of vehicular spaces provided or one space per 5 bedrooms, whichever is more. Based on the 74 bedrooms, there would be 15 bicycle parking spaces required. Since there are more than 25 dwelling units, a minimum of one-half of the bicycle parking spaces must be covered and onequarter of the spaces must be long-term Class I facilities. The petitioner has shown several areas for bicycle parking around the north side of the building. Bicycle parking should also be provided along the B-Line trail façade as well. Compliance with this section will be reviewed prior to issuance of a grading permit. A condition of approval has been proposed to that effect.

Architecture/Materials: The building will be finished in primarily brick with masonry accents and cast stone or stone elements. These are all permitted materials in the DCO. The overall building features a base element that is separated from the upper floors by a masonry accent
band. There is also a masonry cap along the top of the building to define that area as well. Additional architectural review comments are provided in a memo from Schmidt \& Associates that is included in the packet. This petition meets the Architectural standards outlined in the UDO.

Façade Articulation: The UDO requires that building facades shall incorporate exterior horizontal belt course design elements for the building base, middle, and cap. In addition, exterior vertical banding is required to visually define walls and modules. The proposed building meets these requirements with varied design elements and differences in building materials to define the base, middle, and cap of the building. Vertical modules have been shown along the building and include the use of different materials for the recessed modules. The maximum allowed length of the façade modules is $65^{\prime}$ and a minimum $20^{\prime}$. The $8^{\text {th }}$ Street side is only $55^{\prime}$ wide and therefore meets the maximum façade modulation length standards.

Upper Floor Façade Stepback: BMC 20.02.060(a)(5) requires that the first three stories of building façade in the DCO character area must comply with the build-to-range and that the portions of the building façade facing the street above three stories shall step back from the lower story vertical façade/wall plane a minimum of 15 feet. The submitted elevations and site plan show compliance with this requirement.

Void-to-Solid Percentage: The DCO sets a minimum first floor void-to-solid requirement of $60 \%$, consisting of transparent glass or façade openings, for facades facing a street or the B-Line. The proposed building facades along both of these frontages meet this requirement with $85 \%$ void-to-solid shown along the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street frontage and $60 \%$ shown along the B-Line Trail frontage.

Streetscape: Street trees and pedestrian-scaled lighting are required along the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street frontage. One street light is required along the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street frontage and has been included as a condition of approval.

Pedestrian Facilities/Alternative Transportation: A new sidewalk and tree plot will be installed along the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street frontage and has been shown to be designed to meet the light hardscaping requirements discussed previously. The retail space on the ground floor features an open plaza area that merges with the B-Line trail to create a seamless interaction area. Any work to the B-Line trail area must be coordinated with the Parks Department and City prior to construction.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN: The Comprehensive Plan designates this property as Downtown. The Downtown designation "is a mixed use, high intensity activity center serving regional, community-wide, and neighborhood markets. Bloomington must strive to improve downtown as a compact, walkable, and architecturally distinctive area in the traditional block pattern that serves as the heart of Bloomington while providing land use choices to accommodate visitors, business, shoppers and residents.' Land use policies for this area state that:

Goal 4.1 Maintain Historic Character. Encourage redevelopment that complements and does not detract from the Downtown's historic, main street character. The building has been designed to complement and mirror the design of the historic Showers Building and Johnson Creamery Building.

Goal 2.3 Resilent Public Spaces: Ensure public spaces are of high quality, engaging, and active. The design of this building and plaza space along the B-Line Trail actively engages with the trail and creates a quasi-public space. The design of the building also complements the B-Line Trail with the stepbacks and extended awning along the Trail.

Goal 3.2 Built Environment and Green Space: Drive increased efficiency and reduced environmental impacts in the built environment. The incorporation of the four elements of the Sustainable Development Incentives will reduce the heat island effect of the petition as well as provide solar energy to reduce the building's energy demands. These items directly further some of the stated goals of the Comprehensive Plan to improve the site design of buildings in the built environment.

SITE PLAN REVIEW: The Plan Commission shall review the major site plan petition and approve, approve with conditions, or deny the petition in accordance with Section 20.06.040(g) (Review and Decision ), based on the general approval criteria in Section 20.06.040(d)(6)(B) (General Compliance Criteria).

### 20.06.040(d)(6)(B) General Compliance Criteria

i. Compliance with this UDO
ii. Compliance with Other Applicable Regulations
iii. Compliance with Utility, Service, and Improvement Standards
iv. Compliance with Prior Approvals
v. Consistency with Comprehensive Plans and Other Applicable Plans
vi. Consistent with Intergovernmental Agreements
vii. Minimization or Mitigation of Adverse Impacts
viii. Adequacy of Road Systems
ix. Provides Adequate Public Services and Facilities
x. Rational Phasing Plan

PROPOSED FINDING: The petition meets all of the UDO requirements with the exception of the percentage of the building required to be at the build-to-line. If a variance from that standard is not approved, then the proposed elevations and site plans must be revised and approved. No problems have been identified with meeting all stormwater and utility connections. No adverse impacts on adjacent properties has been identified. The site is adjacent to existing public roads and alleys and will be easily accessed, with Bloomington Transit service immediately nearby also. The petition is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan which encourages infill development and reuse of underutilized properties. No phasing is planned.

CONCLUSION: This petition meets all of the UDO requirements for the Downtown Core Downtown Character Overlay. This project provides a high quality building along the B-Line Trail and the placement of the plaza space along the B-Line Trail facade allows for the visitors to the building to actively engage between the Trail and building. The development provides housing immediately adjacent to the developing Trades District employment area. The incorporation of the Sustainable Development Incentives provides several environmentally friendly design features for this project as well.

RECOMMENDATION: The Department recommends approval of SP-27-21 with the following conditions of approval:

1. A total of 15 bicycle parking spaces are required and will need to be shown on the site plan before issuance of a grading permit.
2. One street light and street trees not more than $40^{\prime}$ from center are required along the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street frontage and will need to be shown on the site plan before issuance of a grading permit.

# MEMORANDUM 

Date: $\quad$ October 18, 2021
To: Bloomington Plan Commission
From: Bloomington Environmental Commission
Subject: SP-27-21: Johnson Creamery Apartments 335 W. $8^{\text {th }}$ Street

The purpose of this memo is to convey the environmental concerns and subsequent recommendations for conditions of approval for this development petition. The Environmental Commission's (EC) objective is that the results of our review and suggestions will lead to enhancement of the ecosystem services provided, and the climate-change mitigation attributes of the site.

The EC commends the Petitioner for incorporating green building features in the structure and for eliminating the parking lot adjacent to $8^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ and the B-Line Trail. To become a greener and more walkable community, these sorts of actions ought to be applauded for their positive impact on our environment. We hope to see future projects follow the example set.

## Comments

## 1.) LANDSCAPE PLAN

The Landscape Plan needs to be finalized with the grading permit. Some plant species will need to be changed (example is Ulmus x 'Frontier'), and the amount of pervious surface needs to be calculated to determine the quantity of interior plants.

## Recommended Conditions of Approval

1.) Revise the Landscape Plan prior to the Grading Permit issuance.



City of Bloomington
Planning \& Transportation

Peerless Development
105 South York Street
Suite 450
Elmhurst, IL 60126


Peerless

October 4, 2021

Petitioner's Statement -335 West $8^{\text {th }}$ Street, Bloomington, Indiana

The proposed development at 335 W Eighth Street is approximately 45,000 square feet, five story apartment building. The site is part of the larger Johnson Creamery property and will be located on the northern end of the site where a parking lot currently exists. It is bound by 8 "nstreet to the north, the B-Line to the east and an alley to the west. The existing Johnson Creamery building, and associated smokestack will not be altered as part of this project. The first level of the building will consist of approximately (18) covered parking spaces for residents along with the main entrance lobby for residents and service / mechanical areas, an amenity space and 2 residential units. Floors 2-5 will consist of all residential units. The total unit count is approximately 60 units -44 studios, 6 one-bedroom units, 6 two-bedroom units, and 4 three-bedroom units.

The architecture of the building is meant to reference the character of the surrounding area and the properties' industrial historical character. The exterior will contain masonry accents, and other façade materials that appear industrial in nature, color, and design. Due to an existing city-owned box culvert that begins on the Northwest part of the site and continues to run diagonally South and East, the property façade facing $8^{\text {th }}$ street will mirror the movement of the culvert to avoid it altogether. Our previous design of this property anticipated relocation of this box culvert, however, the complex logistics, its proximity to the existing smokestack, and the final costs associated with this relocation work proved to be practically unfeasible. The exterior of the building will be a mix of masonry with stone accents, metal siding and fiber cement panels. The north $8^{\text {th }}$ street façade features the main building entry and will present to the street a primarily elevation of storefront glazing at the ground floor level with fiber cement and metal panel accents above. Starting at the fourth level, the building façade steps back to scale down the building along the street that is within $15^{\prime}$ of the $8^{\text {th }}$ street property line. For the upper two levels, the exterior materials switch to a fiber cement panel system to further scale the building back. The east façade faces the B-Line and is slightly stepped in response to the angle of the B-Line fronting the site. The façade closest to the historical smokestack is clad in masonry to create a visual connection with the existing building and a harmonious backdrop for the smokestack. The stepping of the façade, along with variation in material and residential balconies create depth and interest along this primary façade to architecturally engage B-Line. Here, the façade is a combination metal siding and a wood grained panel that to provide a mix of industrial and natural materials. The south and west facades front the rest of Johnson Creamery property and the alley, respectively. These elevations are a bit quieter, but with the same mix of materials to create a cohesive property.

Peerless Development
105 South York Street
Suite 450
Elmhurst, IL 60126

Peerless

## Standard Variances

Dimensional Standards: The standard Build-to-Range in the MD-DC is that a minimum of 70\% of the Building Façade should fall at a maximum distance of 0-5' from the lot line. Peerless is asking for a variance to allow the building to be set back diagonally from $8^{\text {th }}$ Street on account of trying to avoid the box culvert. This wouldn't conform to the standard in the MD-DC code. This variance is being requested on account of a development hardship.

The maximum height in the DCO is 4 stories, not to exceed 50 feet. The proposed building is 5 stories and approximately 60 feet tall. We are proposing to utilize the Sustainable Development Incentives in Section 20.04.110(d) in order to allow for a taller structure.

To meet the requirements of the sustainable development incentives and allow for increased height, the project will include the following sustainable elements into the design:

1. Light Colored Hardscaping - through the use of concrete work and pavers, more than $80 \%$ of the horizontal hardscaping will have an SRI of greater than 29.
2. Covered Parking - On site parking will provide at least $75 \%$ under roof cover with a combination of photovoltaic solar panels, vegetation, and membrane surfaces with an SRI higher than 32.
3. Cool or Vegetated Roof - The roof of the proposed project will be a combination of photovoltaic solar panels, vegetation, and low slope roofing materials with an initial SRI of greater than 82 . This will constitute more than $70 \%$ of the total roof area of the project.
4. Solar Energy - A solar photovoltaic system will cover more than $35 \%$ of the roof area of the proposed project.

Parking: The residential units we are proposing would require approximately 45 on-site parking spaces. We are proposing to utilize Section $20.04 .060(\mathrm{~g})$ of the UDO that allows for adjustments to the minimum number of parking spaces required based on five different factors. We are proposing to utilize Section \#2 (Proximity to Transit) and Section \#5 (Modification of Minimum Parking Requirement Based on Parking Study) of that provision to allow for a reduction of required on-site parking.

Section \#5 allows for Modification of Minimum Parking Requirements based on a Parking Study. To understand the parking needs for the building, we contracted the firm Desman to perform a parking analysis. In the study, it was recommended based on the projected auto ownership for rental unit analysis they performed to utilize the following ratios of parking spaces per Dwelling Unit Type (the difference being the number of bedrooms) found on page 3. Desman recommended utilizing the following ratios: studio use .5, 1 BR use .90, 2-BR use $1.35,3-\mathrm{BR}$

Peerless Development
105 South York Street
Suite 450
Elmhurst, IL 60126


Peerless
use 1.35. Based on this, the recommended number of required parking spaces is reduced by 4.10 parking spaces to 40.90 on-site parking spaces.
Section \#2 allows for a $15 \%$ reduction if there is a fixed transit station within $1 / 4$ mile. There is a fixed transit stop at $7^{\text {th }}$ and Morton which is within a $1 / 4$ mile of this site. This $15 \%$ reduction allows for a reduction of 6 parking spaces from the reduced amount of 40.90 spaces to 35 (34.77) on-site parking spaces.

The second part of the study Desman provided allowed for a further modification to the minimum number of spaces (Section \#5). In their study, they have provided evidence on ways to reduce the required parking amount by implementing a car sharing program. The program requires a partnership with a car sharing company like Zip Car, and by implementing such program, the evidence suggests that we can eliminate between 16 to 20 spaces. Peerless would like to utilize a car sharing program for one space in our garage and thereby eliminate 16-20 spaces based on the research by Desman. This reduction would reduce the number of required on-site spaces to 15-19 spaces.

We look forward to partnering with the City of Bloomington on this project.

Sincerely,


Michael Cordaro
Founder + Principal

# MEMORANDUM 

DATE: September 23, 2021
TO: Joseph Patrick
Peerless Development
FROM: Gerald Salzman
RE: Parking Study - 335 W. $8^{\text {th }}$ Street Development - Bloomington, IN

The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize the findings of a parking study conducted by DESMAN for the multi-family residential development known as the $335 \mathrm{~W} .8^{\text {th }}$ Street in downtown Bloomington. The project site is adjacent to the City Hall and less than 1000 feet from the Country Courthouse Square. There is a public parking garage within easy walking distance and a transit stop adjacent to the site. The project will consist of approximately, 60 apartment units and 18 on-site parking spaces which are designed to appeal to University students.

A parking analysis was conducted to determine the potential parking demand for the development based on the Bloomington Indiana Unified Development Ordinance. The site is located within the MD Zoning District.

## Assumptions

The projected land use for the development is shown in Table 1.
Table 1 - Land Use

| Land Use | Number |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Units |  |  |
| Studio | 44 | Dwelling Units |
| 1 Bedroom | 6 | Dwelling Units |
| 2 Bedrooms | 6 | Dwelling Units |
| 3 Bedrooms | $\underline{4}$ | Dwelling Units |
|  |  |  |

Source: Peerless
The minimum parking requirements for the given land uses shown in Table 1 were derived from the City of Bloomington's Unified Zoning Ordinance, Chapter 20.10, Table 04-9 which specifies the minimum number of permitted parking spaces by land use. An allowance for proximity to transit per Chapter 20.04.060 (B) was applied. The summary of minimum parking requirements for the uses listed in Table 1 can be found in Table 2.

Table 2 - Minimum Number of Permitted Parking Spaces by Land Use According to UDO

| Land Use | Number | Units | Parking <br> Ratio | Parking <br> Spaces |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Studio | 44 | Dwelling Units | 0.50 | 22 |
| 1 Bedroom | 6 | Dwelling Units | 1.00 | 6 |
| 2 Bedrooms | 6 | Dwelling Units | 1.50 | 9 |
| 3 Bedrooms | $\underline{4}$ | Dwelling Units | 2.00 | $\underline{8}$ |
| Subtotal | 60 |  |  | 45 |
| Transit Proximity Reduction |  |  | 0.15 | $\underline{-9}$ |
| Total | 60 |  |  | 36 |

Source: Bloomington, Indiana - Code of Ordinances- Title 20-UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE-Chapter 20.04.110.

## Conclusion

Based on the above analysis and the City's Unified Development Ordinance, the $335 \mathrm{~W} .8^{\text {th }}$ Street Development would require 36 spaces. Based on discussions with the development team, we believe that the location of the site in downtown and the specific orientation of the project within the market, it is likely that the actual demand will be substantially lower. Given the location of the site in proximity to the available public parking garage we believe that the actual demand will be substantially lower. Any demand from tenants exceeding the 18 spaces provided as well as any visitor demand can be accommodated in the City garage. Given the low auto ownership anticipated, we recommend that at least one car share space be provided in the City garage. If auto use by tenants remains low, additional car share spaces may be desirable. Auto use characteristics should be reviewed after move in to determine the number of spaces needed for similar projects going forward.
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LEGEND
(1) RESIDENT ENTRY + BIKE PARKING
(2) FENCED RESIDENT AMENITY PATIO
(3) PARKING
(4) NEW 8TH STREET SIDEWALK AND
VEGETATED BUFFER
(5) ORNAMENTAL AND TREE
PLANTINGS
(6) OUTDOOR FITNESS

(3) Parkn

| 335 W 8th Unit Matrix |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor | Amenity | SF*** | Studios | SF/unit* | 1 bd | SF/unit | 2 bd | SF/unit | 3 bd | SF/unit | Support | SF/unit** | Corridor | SF/unit |
| 1 | 1 | 1615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 812 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1080 | 1 | 535 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 512 | 2 | 720.5 | 1 | 810 | 1 | 1320 | 1 | 546 | 1 | 780 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 512 | 2 | 720.5 | 1 | 810 | 1 | 1320 | 1 | 546 | 1 | 780 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 512 | 1 | 752 | 1 | 810 | 1 | 1320 | 1 | 546 | 1 | 780 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 512 | 1 | 752 | 1 | 810 | 1 | 1320 | 1 | 546 | 1 | 780 |
| TOTAL | 1 | 1615 | 44 | 22608 | 6 | 4386 | 6 | 4864 | 4 | 5280 | 5 | 3264 | 5 | 3655 |
| BEDS |  |  | 44 |  | 6 |  | 12 |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]| NRSF | 37,138 |
| :--- | :--- |
| GSF | 45,672 |

[^1]CONCEPT PLAN - LEVELS 2 \& 3

CONCEPT PLAN - LEVEL 4 \& 5













|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  |  |  |  |  | $0$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 发 | 亭 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{y}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $5$ |  |  | 颜 |  | － |  | 営 | 辰苞 |  | 遃含 | 8 |  |  |  | － |  |  | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | m | m | $\infty$ |  | \％ | 8 | \％ |  | － | 言蠋 |  | \％${ }^{\text {O }}$ | ¢ |  |  |  | $\frac{\square}{ \pm}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left.\right\|_{0} ^{2}$ | 8 | 8 | ¢ | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | 道 | 莬 | － | 嵩 |  | \％ | 碳 |  | 年 |  |  |  |  | \％ |  |  |  |
|  | $0^{2}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{9}^{6}$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\oplus$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



# BLOOMINGTON PLAN COMMISSION STAFF REPORT <br> LOCATION: 2306 \& 2310 West $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ Street 

CASE \#: DP-29-21
DATE: October 14, 2021

PETITIONER: Alacrity Investments c/o Sukhvinder Mehta<br>3907 East Breckenmore Drive<br>CONSULTANT: Tom Nugent, Bynum Fanyo Associates<br>528 N. Walnut Street

REQUEST: The petitioner is requesting a Primary Plat approval to change one lot to two lots and for the secondary plat approval to be delegated to planning staff.

REPORT: The site is located at 2306 \& 2310 W. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street and is zoned Mixed-use Corridor (MC). The site currently contains an assisted living facility that was constructed in 2014. Surrounding land uses include Mixed-use Corridor (MC) and Residential Multifamily (RM).

The site currently contains one lot and has an assisted living facility structure and parking area on the eastern portion of the lot. The existing lot maintains frontage on W. $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Street. There is one access point to the property from W. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street. There is one sinkhole on the eastern portion of the lot and one sinkhole immediately to the north of the lot. Both sinkholes required Karst Conservancy Easements. There is an existing retention pond on the eastern portion of the lot. There is an existing five-foot wide sidewalk along the lot frontage that was installed by the City with a previous project along the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street corridor. The petitioner is requesting to subdivide the lot into two lots so that the existing building and parking area are on their own lot and a second vacant lot is created. Both lots are to utilize a shared access driveway from W. $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Street.

PRIMARY PLAT REVIEW: The Plan Commission shall review the primary subdivision petition and approve, approve with conditions, or deny the petition in accordance with Section 20.06.040(g) (Review and Decision), based on the general approval criteria in Section 20.06.040(d)(6) (Approval Criteria) and the following standards:
i. All subdivision proposals shall be consistent with the need to minimize flood damage.
ii. All subdivision proposals shall have public utilities and facilities such as sewer, gas, electrical, and water systems located and constructed to minimize flood damage.
iii. All subdivision proposals shall have adequate drainage provided to reduce exposure to flood hazards.
iv. Base flood elevation data shall be provided for subdivision proposals and other proposed development (including manufactured home parks and subdivisions), which is greater than the lesser of 50 lots or five acres.
v. All subdivision proposals shall minimize development in the SFHA and/or limit intensity of development permitted in the SFHA.
vi. All subdivision proposals shall ensure safe access into/out of SFHA for pedestrians and vehicles (especially emergency responders)

PROPOSED FINDING: The subdivision proposal does allow for adequate development area
outside of the Karst Conservancy Easements that are on the eastern portion of the lot. However, no new construction has been submitted for the western portion of the property. There is no floodplain on the site.
20.06.040(d)(6)(B) General Compliance Criteria
i. Compliance with this UDO
ii. Compliance with Other Applicable Regulations
iii. Compliance with Utility, Service, and Improvement Standards
iv. Compliance with Prior Approvals
v. Consistency with Comprehensive Plans and Other Applicable Plans
vi. Consistent with Intergovernmental Agreements
vii. Minimization or Mitigation of Adverse Impacts
viii. Adequacy of Road Systems
ix. Provides Adequate Public Services and Facilities
x. Rational Phasing Plan

PROPOSED FINDING: The petition does meet all Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) requirements related to design and configuration of lots in the MC zoning district. This petition was taken to the Development Review Committee where concerns were raised regarding identification of the centerline of the road and the dedicated right-of-way on W. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street, inclusion of a pedestrian and a utility easement in the access easement, verification that the minimum forty percent landscape area requirement is met on the lot that will contain the existing and building areas, and identification of the existing easements with language from the current code for their respective restrictions. These concerns were shared with the petitioner, and changes were made to address the above concerns. The petition does meet the General Compliance Criteria requirements.

## PLAT REVIEW:

Right-of-Way Dedication: Right-of-way dedication along W. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street is required and shown to exceed the minimum requirements of the Transportation Plan. The Transportation Plan requires a 10 -foot wide sidewalk along the W. $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Street frontage. The petitioner has chosen to dedicate 50 feet in order for the entirety of the required sidewalk to be in right-of-way. The petitioner will install or bond for the required right-of-way improvements with the secondary plat. A condition of approval is included.

Easements: The plat includes a Utility, Pedestrian and Access Easement over the shared driveway. The plat also includes the required Karst Conservancy Easements around two karst features, one protecting the karst feature on the eastern lot and one protecting the karst feature north of the property.

Development Standards: Each of the proposed lots can meet development standards such as minimum lot size, and setbacks for development.

CONCLUSION: By subdividing the lot into two lots, the granting of this proposal would allow the western lot to be sold and promote development along the W. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street corridor. There is no new construction proposed with this petition.

RECOMMENDATION: The Department recommends adopting the proposed findings and approving DP-29-21 with the following conditions:

1. Approval of the secondary plat for this subdivision is delegated to staff.
2. In conjunction with the approval of a secondary plat, the petitioner shall install or provide a financial performance guarantee, by performance bond or an irrevocable, for the required public facility improvements and installations.



PETITIONER'S STATEMENT FOR 2306 \& 2310 West Third Street
Alacrity Investments c/o Sukhvinder Mehta

The purpose of this petition is to re-subdivide and to replat Tract 2B of the Final Plat Amendment of a part of Lots 100 and 101 in Maple Grove Baby Farms, as recorded at Instrument 2017003585.

A 50 foot dedication will be made from the centerline of West Third Street for public right of way. No other right of way will be dedicated. There will be a 50 foot access easement centered along the center of an existing asphalt driveway.

The main purpose for the re-subdivision of Tract $2 B$ is to separate the area being occupied by a business on the east side of the existing asphalt driveway from the vacant remainder of Tract 2B on the west side of the existing asphalt driveway.

Setbacks will be applied per ordinance.
We are also asking at this time for Secondary Plat Approval to be delegated to the Planning Department staff.

Thank you,
Sukhvinder Mehta


| OF TRACT 2, |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| OVE BABY FARMS PLAT AMENDMENT |  |
| pLAT ECTION 31, T9N, RIW |  |
| OWNER CERTIFICATION: | CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL OF PLAN COMMISSION |
| THE UNDERSIGNED, ALACRITY INVESTMENTS, LLC., AN INDIANA CORPORATION, BY SUKHVINDER MEHTA, AGENT, BEING THE OWNER OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED REAL ESTATE, DOES HEREBY LAYOFF, PLAT AND SUBDIVIDE THE SAME INTO LOTS AND STREETS IN ACCORDANCE' WITH THIS PLAT. THIS WITHIN PLAT SHALL BE KNOWN AND DESIGNATED AS ??????? | UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF INDIANA CODE 36-7-4 700 SERIES, ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF INDIANA AND ORDINANCE ADOPTED BY THE COMMON COUNCLL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA, THIS PLAT WAS GIVEN APPROVAL BY THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON AS FOLLOWS: |
| aLL ADDITIONAL ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAYS SHOWN AND NOT PREVIOUSLY dedicated are hereby dedicated to the public. |  |
| (COVENANTS AND RESTRICTIONS??) | approved by the city plan Commission at a meeting held: |
| IN WITNESS WHEREOF, ALACRITY INVESTMENTS, LLC., AN INDIANA CORPORATION, BY SUKHVINDER MEHTA, AGENT, HAS HEREUNTO |  |
| EXECUTED THIS _____ DAY OF _______ 2021 |  |
| BY:-_- | SCOIT ROBINSON, DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND IRANSPORTATION |
| NAME: SUKHAVINDER MEMTA |  |
| NOTARY CERTFICATION: |  |
| BEFORE ME, A NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF INDIANA AND MONROE COUNTY, PRESONALLY APPEARED SUKHVINDER MEHTA, AGENT OF ALACRITY INVESTMENTS, LLC., BEING THE OWNER OF THE DESCRIBED REAL ESTATE AND WHO ACKNOWLEDGED THE EXECUTIONVF ACT AND DEED FOR THE USES AND PURPOSES THEREIN EXPRESSED. | SURVEYOR'S CERTFICATE: |
|  | THIS SURVEY WAS PERFORMED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE UNDERSIGNED, AND TO THE BEST OF THIS SURVEYOR'S <br> KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF WAS EXECUTED ACCORDING TO SURVEY |
| WTTNESS MY HAND AND NOTARIAL SEAL THIS______ DAY OF | REQUIREMENTS IN $865 / \mathrm{AC} 1.12$ FOR THE STATE OF INDIANA. |
| 2021. | DATED THIS _-_-_-_DAY OF _---_-_-_-_-_---_, 2021 |
| notary public |  |
| COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: | C. D. $\overline{-C \bar{G}} \overline{A H A M}$ |
| MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: | REGISTERED LAND SURVEYOR 29500014 STATE OF INDIANA |


[^0]:    | TOTAL UNITS | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |
    | :--- | ---: |
    | TOTAL BEDS | $\mathbf{7 4}$ |

[^1]:    *Studio Total SF accounts for one studio that is a non-standard size ( 532 SF)
    **Includes stairs and elevators
    ***Includes fitness and lobby space

