

**Bloomington Historic Preservation Commission, Teleconference  
Meeting, Thursday October 14, 2021, 5:00 P.M.**

**AGENDA**

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

Meeting was called to order by Chair, **Jeff Goldin @ 5:00 p.m.**

**II. ROLL CALL**

**Commissioners Present:**

Lee Sandwiess  
Chris Sturbaum (Entered meeting @ 5:04 p.m.)  
Sam DeSollar  
John Saunders  
Matt Seddon  
Reynard Cross  
Doug Bruce  
Jeff Goldin

**Advisory Members Present:**

Duncan Campbell  
Jenny Southern

**Staff Present:**

Gloria Colom, HAND  
John Zody, HAND  
Brent Pierce, HAND  
John Hewett, HAND  
Dee Wills, HAND  
Daniel Dixon, City Legal Department  
Keegan Gulick, City Planning and Transportation Department

**Guests Present:**

CATS  
Barre Klapper  
Paul Pruitt  
Julia Dotson  
Jim Rosenbarger  
Paul & Elizabeth Ash  
Peter Dorfman  
Wayne & Dee Dee  
Lyndsi Thompson  
Jenny Stephens  
Karen Duffy  
Bob Shaw  
Terry Bradbury  
Noah Rogers  
Ann Connors  
Marti Crouch  
Janice Sorby

Katherin Pastel  
Jean Graham  
John Wiebke  
Ingrid Wiebke  
Phil Worthington  
Daniel & Whitney Sullivan  
Charles Morgan  
Greg Crohn  
Lisa Freeman  
Richard Lewis  
Bill Baus  
William Bianco  
Thomas Gallagher

### III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

#### A. September 23, 2021 Minutes

**Sam DeSollar** had two corrections from the **September 23, 2021 Minutes**:

1) **COA 21-51: Sam DeSollar** stated that a rail may not be required by code and if so, the **Petitioner** does not need to return.

**COA 21-59: Sam DeSollar** voted to **Abstain** not to **Approve**.

**Sam DeSollar** made a motion to approve **September 23, 2021 Minutes** with the two corrections.

**Doug Bruce** seconded.

**Motion Carries: 7 Yes (Sandwiess, Sturbaum, Bruce, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Goldin), 0 No, 1 Abstain (Saunders)**

### IV. CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS

#### Staff Approval

##### A. COA 21-63

Showers Building (Showers Brothers Historic District)

Petitioner: Greg Crohn, Facilities Manager, Monroe County Board of Commissioners

*Add flashing where it is missing*

**Gloria Colom** gave presentation. See packet for details.

#### Commission Review

##### A. COA 21-62

916 S Morton St. (McDoel Gardens Historic District)

Petitioner: Barre Klapper, Springpoint Architects

*Add garage and connector, modify mudroom roof, replace aluminum siding with lapsiding*

**Gloria Colom** gave presentation. See packet for details.

**Barre Klapper** gave details about the project. **Sam DeSollar** asked what portion of the application will require a variance. **Barre Klapper** stated that it was for a 5 foot rear yard set-back variance because of the current zoning.

**Duncan Campbell** asked how the percentage for additions work, and if it is accumulative or if the next addition is started over. **Barre Klapper** explained in more detail. See packet for details.

**Chris Sturbaum** asked about the dimensions of the lap siding. **Elizabeth Ash** from the **Neighborhood Association** stated that the **Poole's** came to the neighborhood meeting to explain what they were wanting to do, and explained the issue of the variance. **Elizabeth Ash** stated that what they were going to do to the rear of the structure. All of the materials were appropriate, so the neighborhood put it up to a vote and it was approved 100 percent. It helped that the owners were there to explain what was being done and they explained the variance as well.

**Lee Sanwiess** commented that it looks great. **John Saunders** commented that it will make a nice addition to the area. **Doug Bruce** commented that it was fine. **Sam DeSollar** commented that he would support this and the variance application. **Matt Seddon** commented that he thought it was well done and would support it. **Jenny Southern** commented that it would be nice to send a note to the **BZA**. **Chris Sturbaum** commented that he supports it and the variance.

**Chris Sturbaum** made a motion to approve **COA 21-62**. **Note: The Commission** strongly supports the variance for this project.

**Sam DeSollar** seconded.

**Motion Carries: 8 Yes (Sandwiess, Strubbaum, Saunders, Bruce, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Goldin), 0 No, 0 Abstain.**

#### **B. COA 21-61**

208 E 16th St. (Garden Hills Historic District)

Petitioner: Lisa Freeman

*Renovate front porch and entryway, add retaining wall and 6 diagonal parking spaces, replace windows, siding, eliminate existing sidewalk in yard*

**Gloria Colom** gave presentation. See packet for details.

**Lisa Freeman** showed a rendering of the structure and explained more details of the project. **Noah Rogers** stated that they had reached out to the **City Planning Department** about the parking situation, but had not gotten a response as of yet.

**John Saunders** asked the **Petitioner** what zone the property was in. **Lisa Freeman** replied that it was Mixed Use Student Housing. **Duncan Campbell** stated that he didn't see any detail specification about any of the materials for this building. Normally with this kind of makeover we want to know what kind of windows, doors and siding are being used. **Lisa Freeman** stated that they did put that information in the packet and gave details about the materials being used. See packet for details.

**Jenny Southern** stated that she was really bothered by this project, and that for what they plan on doing to the house, that they might as well tear it down and start over. There is nothing there that looks like the original house. **Lisa Freeman** stated that there had been a couple of additions over the years and that there wasn't any historical significance and described other alterations made to the house. More discussion ensued about the parking situation and the reason for a second story to the house. **Chris Sturbaum** asked if this was the best they could do for the front porch, and asked about the wall facing the street. **Chris Sturbaum** stated that the front porch had no detail what so ever. **Chris Sturbaum** asked if they could hear public comments before moving on.

**Kerry Slough** speaking for the **Garden Hill Neighborhood Association Subcommittee** has reviewed this application for a **COA**. We are pressed to find merit in this proposal. They are sure that this project would put the contributing structure to non-contributing. We cannot afford to lose another contributing house after what happened to us last year with the house that was developed without permits and was allowed to stand.

**Kerry Slough** stated that she was in agreement with **Chris Sturbaum** about the porch. There is no president for a parking lot in front of a residence in **Garden Hill** anywhere. We believe our guidelines are clear on line of sight and neighborhood character issues, and we hope you will reject this proposal in its entirety. **Julia Dotson** stated that she lives one street over on **15<sup>th</sup> Street**, and I am wondering about the height of the building and how that looks to the buildings on either side and around. I am wondering if there is a possibility of seeing a picture of that. **Ann Connors** asked if creating a parking lot off of an alley approved zoning and can any alleyway become a multiple car parking lot. **Keegan Gulick** replied that a public alley cannot be used for parking. You can have a driveway come off of an alleyway, but you cannot obstruct the right of way. **Chris Sturbaum** asked if what the **Petitioner** was showing for a parking lot will be legal. **Keegan Gulick** stated that he would recommend sending the plans to **City Planning Department**. **Noah Rogers** stated that they had done that.

**Lee Sandwiess** stated that she cannot support this. **John Saunders** stated that he would deny this request. **Doug Bruce** stated that he was still on the fence about this project and explained why. **Sam DeSollar** stated that this proposal was very clear and thanked the **Petitioner** for the clarification. **Sam DeSollar** stated that he found it very clear that a lot of what they are doing is in direct contravention to both the letter and the spirit of the design guidelines, and given that the **Neighborhood** has voiced serious opposition to this, I will not support this. **Matt Seddon** agreed with what **Sam DeSollar** stated about the Design Guidelines and I also would not want to override the **Neighborhood Associations** impassioned argument, which I thought was valid, so I would not support this. **Reynard Cross** stated that as things stand now he could not support this application. **Duncan Campbell** commented that he thought this was a compatibility issue. More discussion ensued. See packet for details. **Jenny Southern** commented that this just doesn't seem like the right thing to do. **Chris Sturbaum** commented that this was a direct conflict of needs and wants, and objectives. More discussion ensued.

**John Saunders** made a motion to Deny COA 21-61.

**Matt Seddon** seconded.

**Motion Carries: 8 Yes ( Sandwiess, Sturbaum, Saunders, Bruce DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Goldin), 0 No, 0 Abstain.**

**C. COA 21-64**

1302 E 2nd St. (Elm Heights Historic District)

Petitioner: John Weibke

*New Fence*

**Gloria Colom** gave presentation. See packet for details.

**John Weibke** stated that the other option was a rod iron fence, and that he is trying to get the same look and feel of the other homes in the neighborhood. He would rather use aluminum if it is approved. **Doug Bruce** asked if this meets the materials that are allowed by **City Planning Department**. **Jenny Southern** asked where the fence would go because there is a large tree approximately where the fence is going. **John Weibke** stated that the fence would be between the tree and the street. More discussion ensued about the type of metal that could be used according to the design guidelines. **Jeff Goldin** asked if there was any feedback from the **Neighborhood Association**. **Jenny Southern** stated that she was on the commission, and did not think there would be a problem with aluminum, but that they would not want spikes on top of the fencing because of deer.

**John Saunders** commented that he was fine with either product. **Doug Bruce** commented that he does not have a problem with aluminum either. **Sam DeSollar** commented that he does not have a problem with the aluminum, wood or rod iron. **Duncan Campbell** commented that he actually preferred the metal fence. **Chris Sturbaum** agreed that the metal fence would look more appropriate. **Jeff Goldin** also agreed.

**Sam DeSollar** made a motion to approve **COA 21-24** with either metal or wood or even cast iron with a preference to either of the two metals. **Chris Sturbaum** asked to amend the motion by not preferring the wood.

**John Saunders** seconded.

**Motion Carries: 8 Yes (Sandwiess, Sturbaum, Saunders, Bruce, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Goldin), 0 No, 0 Abstain.**

#### **D. COA 21-65**

914 W Kirkwood Ave. (Near West Side Conservation District)

Petitioner: Paul Pruitt

*Shed Demolition and new construction*

**Gloria Colom** gave presentation. See packet for details.

**Paul Pruitt** stated that he would be interested to hear what the **City Planning Department** comments are regarding parking. **Doug Bruce** stated that this was his Client and that he would make some comments and then leave the meeting before other comments are made. **Doug Bruce** gave presentation. See packet for details.

**Sam DeSollar** stated that he would like to hear what the **Planning Department** has to say about the parking situation. **Keegan Gulick** stated that the issue is how they define the use, so if we consider this to be a 4-plex because it is four units on a lot, it will have to have a pitched roof per the architectural requirements of the **UDO**. If we consider it to be multi-family then it can have a flat roof but it will need more parking for the parking requirements for the multi-family in the **UDO**. **Jeff Goldin**

stated that this project will change depending on what the **Planning Department** decides. **Chris Sturbaum** suggested that that go through the process before tabling.

**Jenny Southern** asked if the property line could be moved towards the store. **Keegan Gulick** said that it would take a lot line adjustment. Both of the lots would have to meet code. **Chris Sturbaum** asked what the process was with communicating with the **Neighborhood Design Committee** and if there was a meeting with the neighborhood what the thinking was. **Paul Pruitt** stated that he sent

the packet to the Neighborhood Association on two occasions and did not hear back.

**Peter Dorfman** stated that he was the **President of the Neighborhood Association**.

**Peter Dorfman** explained the communication that the committee has had with **Paul Pruitt** and **Gloria Colom**. **Peter Dorfman** said that he told **Gloria Colom** that the application was in material conflict with our guidelines. **Gloria Colom** stated that the application had not been submitted yet. **Peter Dorfman** contacted **Paul Pruitt** and gave him his impressions of the project. **Peter Dorfman** stated that he did not see this new application until **October 7, 2021**. On **October 8, 2021** the **Design Review Committee** met, and on **October 9, 2021** they drafted a memo which is a part of this packet tonight. Pages 68 & 68. More discussion ensued about the **Design Guidelines** by **Peter Dorfman**. See packet for details. **Bill Baus** stated that he is also on the **Design Review Committee**, and that this building does not meet any of the guidelines for the **Near West Side Historic District**. **Bill Baus** stated that these are not townhouses that are being proposed, that it is clearly an apartment building. The Neighborhood committee very unanimously opposes this project. More discussion ensued. See packet for details.

**Jenny Stephens** stated that she was also on the **Design Review Committee** and I wanted to make it known how many of us felt strongly enough about this to come to the meeting. **Ann Connors** stated that this building did not fit in with their neighborhood and the parking has not been addressed yet.

**Jeff Goldin** asked **Doug Bruce** to step out of the meeting.

**John Saunders** commented that he was not supportive of this project. **Sam DeSollar** commented that he will not have any comments until they hear back from planning. **Matt Seddon** commented that he agreed with the **Neighborhood Association** and the **Design Review Committee** that this does not meet the guidelines and I would not support it. **Duncan Campbell** commented that he supports the **Design Committee** as well. **Chris Sturbaum** said that he thought giving this more time was good. **Jeff Goldin** agreed with **Sam DeSollars** comment.

**Jeff Goldin** made a motion to Deny **COA 21-65**.

**John Saunders** seconded.

**Motion Carries: 7 Yes (Sandwiess, Sturbaum, Saunders, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Goldin), 0 No, 0 Abstain.**

**E. COA 21-66**

1017 W Howe St. (Greater Prospect Hill Historic District)

Petitioner: Daniel and Whitney Sullivan

*House restoration*

**Daniel Sullivan** stated that they were just hoping to restore the house as closely as they can. **Chris Sturbaum** asked if they could have staff review on porch designs.

**Jeff Goldin** stated that it could be made part of the motion.

**Lee Sandwiess** commented that she could support this. **John Saunders** commented that he thought this was a great thing. **Doug Bruce** commented that this was fine and glad to see it go back to the more historic pattern. **Sam DeSollar** commented that he would support this. **Matt Seddon** commented that he could support this. **Duncan Campbell** commented that he thought the intention is the right one. **Jenny Southern** commented that when pulling off the siding, if the **Petitioners** found any indications of designs, it would be nice if they would come forward to staff. **Chris Sturbaum** commented that this is exactly what they want to see happen.

**Richard Lewis** stated that he was a resident of **Prospect Hill** and a member of the **Greater Prospect Hill Historic Design Review Committee**. **Richard Lewis** stated that at least three of the committee members have approved of this. More discussion ensued. See packet for details. **John Hewett** stated that he is the **Program Manager** that is dealing with this project through our **HUD** funded programs. In this particular case, the **Historic Preservation Program Manager** has helped me to understand more of what I need to provide. I'm not as versed in what needs to be provided for these reviews, so I am willing to come back with anything, or any information you need concerning what has to be provided for the design review. **Jeff Goldin** stated that he is also a member of the **Prospect Hill Review Committee**, and I am okay with maybe requiring some other things. I think that can be handled at **Gloria's** level.

**Matt Seddon** made a motion to approve **COA 21-66** as is.

**John Saunders** seconded.

**Motion Carries: 7 Yes (Sandwiess, Saunders, Bruce, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Goldin), 1 No (Sturbaum), 0 Abstain.**

**B. COA 21-67**

807 S Roger St. (McDoel Historic District)  
Petitioner: Terry Bradbury  
*Restoration of the historic gas station*

**Gloria Colom** gave presentation. See packet for details.

**Terry Bradbury** stated that this is a three interlocking pieces to this project, and this is the most straight forward part. **Terry Bradbury** gave more details about the gas station renovation. **Sam DeSollar** asked if the **Petitioner** could remind him of what the wall materials are for the connector between the existing gas station and the addition to the rear. **Terry Bradbury** stated that it would probably be storefront type material or at least that sort of expression or glass of some nature. **Sam DeSollar** asked if they are proposing store front. **Bob Shaw** stated the glass was so the tenant could display wares, but it would only be seen from the north and south perspective. **Jenny Southern** asked what the **Petitioner** was planning for the front of the building. **Bob Shaw** said that he will leave the concrete slab and would like to be able to put gas pumps back up. **Elizabeth Ash** spoke for the **Neighborhood Association** about this project and also announced that as of **July 14, 2021**, **McDoel Gardens** is a **National Registered Historic District**, which means commercial or residential additions, renovations, of existing historic structures; 20 percent of those costs are tax deductible on your Federal Tax Returns. **Elizabeth Ash** stated that they approved this project. **Janice Sorby** asked what the facade would look like on the new addition, how big is the addition in relation to the historic gas station and also had questions about the existing windows. **Terry Bradbury** addressed these questions. See packet for details.

**Doug Bruce** commented that he was glad to see that somebody actually owned this property and is going to do something with it. **Sam DeSollar** commented that he too was glad to see something happening with this property, but he was concerned about things changing on the project without it being brought to the commission. **Matt Seddon** commented that he loved the spirit of this, and the building, but it should be specific with the drawings. **Duncan Campbell** agreed and stated that this project wasn't ready to approve. **Jenny Southern** agreed with the other **Commissioners**. **Chris Sturbaum** commented that he loved the project.

**Matt Seddon** made a motion to **Deny COA 21-67** with the expectation that they will look favorably upon a resubmission with all of the details.

**Sam DeSollar** seconded.

**Motion Carries: 7 Yes (Sandwiess, Sturbaum, Saunders, Bruce, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross), 1 No (Goldin), 0 Abstain.**

**C. COA 21-68**

805 S Roger St. (McDoel Historic District)  
Petitioner: Terry Bradbury  
*Full Demolition*

**Gloria Colom** gave presentation. See packet for details.

**Terry Bradbury** stated that they will probably want to table this, because he thought that he may have misunderstood what was said at the last meeting. **Terry Bradbury** explained the issues with trying to use this building as a mixed use structure, or trying to renovate it. See packet for details.

**Duncan Campbell** asked about the complication that was mentioned with coding and permitting, and asked if they will have to go to the State anyway. **Terry Bradbury** stated that they will not because it is a townhouse which fall under one and two family code. **Duncan Campbell** asked if they would have to gut the whole building in order to save it. **Terry Bradbury** stated that is exactly what you would have to do. **Bob Shaw** explained in more detail that current shape of what the building was in. More discussion ensued about whether to save the building and remodel or to build a new structure. See packet for details. **Elizabeth Ash** stated that she would love for the **Neighborhood Association** and **Historic Commission** to be able to visit the building, and stated that it should not be demolished.

**John Saunders** commented that the **Petitioner** should save as much of the structure as they could. **Doug Bruce** agreed with the **Petitioner** on the condition of the building and that the columns and the porch were the most recognizable things on this building. **Sam DeSollar** commented that he thought a walk through would be helpful, but he also thinks that a structural engineer should take a look at this structure. **Matt Seddon** commented that he thought they should move to deny and have more discussion because he hears good intentions for this structure. **Reynard Cross** commented that they should take a second look at this and take a site visit.

**Duncan Campbell** commented that he thought there was a lot of choices to explore with this structure, and a site visit might help. **Jenny Southern** agreed. **Chris Sturbaum** commented that there might be real significant problems with the rebuilding of this structure. We also have never explored keeping part of a building façade. **Jeff Goldin** commented that he also has mixed feeling about this, and thinks that a site visit is in order.

**Matt Seddon** made a motion to **Deny COA 21-68** with the understanding that there will be a site visit and a structural inspection, and additional conversations.

**Sam DeSollar** seconded.

**Motion Carries: 8 Yes (Sandwiess, Sturbaum, Saunders, Bruce, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Goldin), 0 No, 0 Abstain.**

**D. COA 21-69**

805 S Roger St. (McDoel Historic District)  
Petitioner: Terry Bradbury  
*New Construction of a multi-family structure*

**This COA has been withdrawn.**

**E. COA 21-70**

1302 E 2nd St. (Elm Heights Historic District)  
Petitioner: Noah Rogers  
*Roofed screened back deck*

**Gloria Colom** gave presentation. See packet for details.

**Noah Rogers** gave more details about the materials used and design of the screened porch.

**Doug Bruce** asked if this would be screened and wood materials and if it would be painted. **Sam DeSollar** asked if they were cutting an opening in the nook to install a double French door and if they were cutting into the limestone. **Jenny Southern** asked what was being used for the foundation materials. **Noah Rogers** explained the materials used.



**John Wiebke** stated that this was going to be nothing other than a screened porch. **Chris Sturbaum** asked how they were going to put a door in without hitting the window beside it. **Doug Bruce** stated that they really like to see some drawings of how things are going to look, and they need to really see more details. **Sam DeSollar** stated that there are a bunch of things they could run into, and he didn't have enough information here to see what is being done. **Sam DeSollar** stated that they need a scaled drawing of measurements and materials being used. **Duncan Campbell** agreed with what **Sam DeSollar** and also stated that they need to have a record of it.

**Sam DeSollar** made a motion to **Table COA 21-70**.

**Duncan Campbell** seconded.

**Motion Carries: 7 Yes (Sandwiess, Saunders, Bruce, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Goldin) 1 No (Sturbaum), 0 Abstain.**

**F. COA 21-71**

1208 E 1st St. (Elm Heights Historic District)

Petitioner: James Rosenbarger

*New porch*

**Gloria Colom** gave presentation. See packet for details.

**James Rosenbarger** explained in more details the reason for the owners wanting to add the porch and the type of style that the house is, and the reasons for going to a more modern style porch. See packet for details.

**Sam DeSollar** asked which picture was the preferred version.

**Lee Sandwiess** commented that she thinks this is appropriate. The mid-century roof on this wonderful house, and will support this. **John Saunders** agreed. **Doug Bruce** commented that he thought this was a great sympathetic proposal. **Sam DeSollar** commented that he likes this better. **Matt Seddon** commented that it meets the guidelines and it is also attractive and creative. **Reynard Cross** commented that he liked it and has his vote. **Duncan Campbell** commented that it was very nice and was all for it. **Jenny Southern** commented that she was good with it. **Chris Sturbaum** commented that it kind of finishes the house. **Jeff Goldin** commented that he liked it and appreciated **Jim Rosenbarger's** drawings.

**John Saunders** made a motion to approve **COA 21-71**.

**Matt Seddon** seconded.

**Motion Carries: 8 Yes (Sandwiess, Sturbaum, Saunders, Bruce, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Goldin) 0 No, 0 Abstain.**

**G. COA 21-72**

106 W 6th St. (Courthouse Square Historic District)

Petitioner: Project Corporate

*New Sign and Awning*

**Gloria Colom** gave presentation. See packet for details.

The **Petitioner** was not present at the meeting.

**Matt Seddon** made a motion to **Continue COA 21-72**.

**Sam DeSollar** seconded.

**Motion Carries: 8 Yes (Sandwiess, Sturbaum, Saunders, Bruce, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Godin) 0 No, 0 Abstain.**

## V. DEMOLITION DELAY

### Commission Review

#### A. DD 21-15

518 E 2nd St (Notable)

Petitioner: Lyndsi Thompson, Chickering Rentals Llc

*Full demolition of secondary structure (garage) on the lot.*

**Gloria Colom** gave presentation. See packet for details.

**Sam DeSollar** asked the **Petitioner** if she has had an engineer look at the garage. **Lyndsi Thompson** replied that she had not had an engineer look at the garage, but that they have a contractor that they use for these kind of jobs and she had him look at the garage and a roofer. **Sam DeSollar** stated that the description said repair, so what was their evaluation. **Lyndsi Thompson** stated that they were trying to be in compliance with **HAND**, and their evaluation would be a renovation. Basically redo the entire structure. **Lyndsi Thompson** gave details and stated that she does not think that they could get all of this done in time for compliance with **HAND**. They made the recommendation to apply for the Demo Delay. **Duncan Campbell** asked for clarification, about this starting with **HAND** because of disrepair. **Lyndsi Thompson** replied that this was correct and they are trying to be in compliance with **HAND** for their rental permit. More discussion ensued about compliance with **HAND**.

**Jenny Southern** asked if this was going to be replaced with another garage. **Lyndsi Thompson** stated that they would leave that up to the **Commission** and that they had thought about planting some trees there. **Chris Sturbaum** stated that they will not be able to build anything back there because of zoning setbacks. More discussion ensued about the value of these small garages like these, and the **Petitioners** issue with repairing this one because of the 30 day deadline.

**John Saunders** commented that if they were to sell this property that the new owners may want to have that garage, and suggested an extension instead. **Sam DeSollar** stated that he did not see this house being designated, but would encourage the **Petitioner** to apply for the extension of time. **Matt Seddon** commented that he would not worry about a small garage like this with nothing particularly special about it, and that he would support releasing the Demo Delay. **Reynard Cross** commented that he would support the Delay. **Duncan Campbell** commented that this was a 1920's one car garage that told the story of how people lived and how they use to drive. The garage is as significant as the house. **Duncan Campbell** commented that this seemed to be caught in an administrative glitch, and the Owner should try to get the extension with **HAND**.

More discussion ensued. See packet for details. **Jeff Goldin** asked **John Zody** if there were any other options for the **Petitioner**. **John Zody** stated that he concurred with **Daniel Dixon**. If the Rental Permit has expired there is the **Board of Housing Quality Appeals** that you would go to. More discussion ensued as to the other options available.

**John Zody** asked **Lyndsi Thompson** if the structure was unsafe. **Lyndsi Thompson** explained that the walls and frame of the garage would need repaired before they could re-roof. **John Zody** stated that they do have to be mindful if this structure is unsafe. **Sam DeSollar** commented that if it was unsafe, that would totally change the conversation. **John Zody** told **Lyndsi Thompson** that he recommended that she get ahold of **Mike Arnold** again, and **himself**.

**No action was taken by the Commission. This COA has been tabled to the next meeting.**

**VI. NEW BUSINESS**

**H. Discussion of the Nomination for the James Faris House (2001 E Hillside Dr, Lot 8)**

**Gloria Colom** gave presentation. See packet for details.

**Duncan Campbell** asked if the bolded criteria is going to be put in with the nomination, and would add **2A** and maybe **F. Jenny Southern** asked if there could be mention of the fence.

**John Saunders** made a motion to **designate the James Faris House as Historic.**

**Chris Sturbaum** seconded.

**Motion Carries: 7 Yes (Sandwiess, Sturbaum, Saunders, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Goldin), 0 No, 0 Abstain.**

**Matt Seddon** made a motion for **Interim Protection** for the **James Faris House.**

**Sam DeSollar** seconded

**Motion Carries: 7 Yes (Sandwiess, Sturbaum, Saunders, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Goldin), 0 No, 0 Abstain.**

**I. Issues with historic sites and structures list and demolition delays**

**Gloria Colom** had a brief discussion about possible Historic structures that have not been surveyed, and are contributing. The concern is that there might be structures that are not coming before the **Commission** and are going to Demo Delay. Discussion ensued. See packet for details.

**VII. OLD BUSINESS**

**VIII. COMMISSIONER COMMENTS**

**Duncan Campbell** asked if there was any way to make the Agenda smaller.

**IX. PUBLIC COMMENTS**

**X. ANNOUNCEMENTS**

**XII. ADJOURNMENT**

Meeting was adjourned by **Jeff Goldin @ 9:24 p.m.**

**END OF MINUTES**

**Video record of meeting available upon request.**