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## Bloomington Historic Preservation Commission Meeting

Zoom: https://bloomington.zoom.us/j/95852185508?pwd=M3J2aDgrdjdXaWh1QUN3eWRKYThKQT09
Meeting ID: 95852185508 Passcode: 082945
Thursday February 24, 2022, 5:00 P.M.
AGENDA
I. CALL TO ORDER
II. ROLL CALL
III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
A. JANUARY 27, 2022
IV. CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS

Staff Review
A. COA 22-13

414 W Dodds St. (McDoel Historic District)
Petitioner: Robert Shaw
Window replacement, non-contributing structure.

## Commission Review

B. COA 22-14

820 W Kirkwood Ave. (Near West Side Conservation District)
Petitioner: Justin Fox
Addition to house - multi-family units.
C. COA 22-03

2001 E Hillside Dr., Lot 8 (The Reverend James Faris House Historic District)
Petitioner: Jacob Bower-Bir
Partial Demolition and new construction.
D. COA 22-15

600 W Howe St. (Greater Prospect Hill Historic District)
Petitioner: Julia A. Karr
Partial Demolition and new construction.
V. DEMOLITION DELAY
A. DD 22-08

416 W 1st St. (653 S Rogers St.) (Contributing)
Petitioner: Melissa Brown
Full demolition.
B. DD 22-09

200 E Kirkwood Ave. (Contributing)
Petitioner: Thomas Ritman
Full demolition.

## VI. NEW BUSINESS

A. HPC Subcommittee - Maple Heights Historic District Guidelines
B. Johnson's Creamery - nomination as local Historic District
C. Neighborhood Input Guidelines
VII. OLD BUSINESS
VIII. COMMISSIONER COMMENTS
IX. PUBLIC COMMENTS ANNOUNCEMENTS
X. ADJOURNMENT

Auxiliary aids for people with disabilities are available upon request with adequate notice. Please call 812-349-3429 or email, human.rights@bloomington.in.gov.
Next meeting date is March 10, 2022 at 5:00 P.M. and will be a teleconference via Zoom. Posted: 2/17/2022

## Bloomington Historic Preservation Commission, Teleconference Meeting, Thursday January 27, 2022, 5:00 P.M. <br> MINUTES

## I. CALL TO ORDER

Meeting was called to order by Chair John Saunders @ 5:03 p.m.

## II. ROLL CALL

## Commissioners Present:

Matt Seddon
Marleen Newman
Reynard Cross
Sam DeSollar
John Saunders
Daniel Schlegel (Entered meeting @ 5:15 p.m.)

## Advisory Members Present:

Duncan Campbell

## Staff Present:

Gloria Colom, HAND
John Zody, HAND
Brent Pierce, HAND
Dee Wills, HAND
Daniel Dixon, City Legal Department
Lauren Clemens, Economic \& Sustainable Development

## Guests Present:

CATS
William Bianco
Amy Applegate
Glenda Murray
Henry Hoover
Jayne York
Brian Allen
Shawn Gobert
Rusty Peterson
Jacob Bower-Bir
Richard lewis
Douglas Wissing
Eoban Binder
Ryan Strauser

Steve Wyatt
Karen Duffy

## III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. January 13, 2022 Minutes

Sam DeSollar made a motion to approve January 13, 2022 Minutes.
Marleen Newman seconded.
Motion Carries: 5 Yes (Saunders, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Newman)
0 No, 0 Abstain.

## IV. CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS

## Staff Review

## A. COA 22-10

520 S Hawthorne Dr. (Elm Heights Historic District)
Petitioner: John and Amy Applegate
Silver Maple Removal.
Gloria Colom gave presentation. See packet for details.

## Commission Review

A. COA 22-03

2001 E Hillside Dr., Lot 8 (The Reverend James Faris House Historic District)
Petitioner: Jacob Bower-Bir
Partial Demolition and new construction.
Gloria Colom gave presentation. See packet for details.
William Bianco gave presentation. See packet for details.
Jacob Bower-Bir gave presentation. See packet for details.
Marleen Newman asked about the style of the windows for the kitchen.
William Bianco explained in more detail the plan for the windows. Sam DeSollar asked what the built date of the kitchen was. William Bianco stated that it was turn of the century but hard to tell the date. Sam DeSollar asked the Petitioner if he had any documentation of what the roof line was going to look like. Jacob Bower-Bir stated that he did not have any current drawings of what the roof line will look like. Sam DeSollar asked if there were any site plans showing the garden area that the Petitioner wants to preserve. More discussion ensued about the garden area in relation to the set back. Sam DeSollar asked about the deck from the previous pictures. Jacob Bower-Bir stated that the deck pictures were gone.
Duncan Campbell asked how extending the roof line alleviates the water issue.
William Bianco explained in more detail how extending the roofline would help move the water farther away and it also covers the brick that is damaged
from water. Duncan Campbell asked how they would keep the water off of the windows. William Bianco explained how the new roofline would help. More discussion ensued about the roofline and the windows. See packet for details. Jacob Bower-Bir presented photos of the slope in the back yard. Marleen Newman agreed with Duncan Campbell about moving the roofline out and how it would not affect the windows.

Sam DeSollar stated that his issues were with the main house and how the addition connects and how it relates with the main existing house. Sam DeSollar commented that he did not think that moving the parapet out two feet would help with the water, and also stated that he would just change the roofline. More discussion ensued. See packet for details. Both Duncan Campbell and Marleen Newman chose the option one diagram. Gloria Colom spoke about granting an extension of the 30 day period. John Saunders asked William Bianco if he would be agreeable to come back in two weeks. William Bianco stated that he would be.

Sam DeSollar made a motion to extend COA 22-03 for 30 days.
Daniel Schlegel seconded.
Motion Carries: 6 Yes (Saunders, DeSollar, Schlegel, Seddon, Cross, Newman) 0 No, 0 Abstain.
B. COA 22-07

622/624 E 8th St. (University Courts Historic District)
Petitioner: Brian Allen, Stasny \& Horn, IGP
Full demolition of the garage.
Gloria Colom gave presentation. See packet for details.
Brian Allen explained the there was a storm in June which caused the tree to come down onto the garage.

No questions from the Commissioners
Sam DeSollar commented that he wanted the Petitioner to aware that if they ever want to put another structure up that they will be subject to the new setbacks.

Matt Seddon made a motion to approve COA 22-07.
Marlene Newman seconded.
Motion Carries: 6 Yes (Saunders, DeSollar, Schlegel, Seddon, Cross, Newman), 0 No, 0 Abstain.
C. COA 22-06

731 W 3rd St. (Greater Prospect Hills Historic District)
Petitioner: Doug Wissing
Add a half story with dormers to the garage.
Gloria Colom gave presentation. See packet for details.
Jane York stated that they really tried to pick up some of the detailing of the
original house, around the garage door openings, and some of the front porch detailing. Jane York explained the type and size of siding they will use, along with roof and gable detailing. Sam DeSollar asked if there was a drawing that would show the new garage relation to the existing house both in proximity and height. Duncan Campbell asked about the height of the new building and the house, and the house next door are.

Sam DeSollar asked for clarification for the existing peek of the house height and the new garage height.

Matt Seddon made a motion to approve COA 22-06.
Sam DeSollar seconded.
Motion Carries: 6 Yes (Saunders, DeSollar, Schlegel, Seddon, Cross, Newman), 0 No, 0 Abstain.
D. COA 22-08

322 W 2nd St. (Henley House Historic District)
Petitioner: Lauren Clemens \% Mark DeLong, Amethyst House Director Window replacement.

Gloria Colom gave presentation. See packet for details.
Staff recommendation is for the owners to come back with more documentation of the individual windows, including photographs, and if a commission study could be done.

John Saunders asked Gloria Colom if this was a situation where they should do a walk -through. Gloria Colom stated that she would highly recommend a site visit if possible. Duncan Campbell raised a concern with a walk through because it will take time to inspect every window. John Saunders suggested that they have the Petitioner to document what is there, where the Commissioners can review what is there, and then if they think there needs to be a site visit they can do it at that point. Duncan Campbell stated that his preference would be to have someone document the windows who is an authority, not a window vendor. More discussion ensued. See packet for details. Lauren Clemens stated that she was there on behalf of the Amethyst House and wanted to see what the concerns of the Commissioners were so she can discuss them with the Owner, and also discuss the cost of a window survey. Gloria Colom stated that there was facade grant that could be applied and there is the Bloomington Historic Preservation Commission fund that would offset the cost of an expert.

Sam DeSollar made a motion to continue COA 22-08 to the next Historic Preservation Commission Meeting. Marleen Newman seconded.
Motion Carries: 6 Yes (Saunders, DeSollar, Schlegel, Seddon, Cross, Newman), 0 No, 0 Abstain.
E. COA 22-12

215 n Rogers St. (Near West Side Conservation District)

Petitioner: Lauren Clemens c/o Mark DeLong, Amethyst House Director Window replacement.

Gloria Colom gave presentation. See packet for details.
Marleen Newman asked if there any historic windows left and if they were in the front. Lauren Clemens stated that the historic windows were all in the front where all of the facade is original, but the rest becomes new construction because of a fire. Sam DeSollar asked what type of window the Petitioner was purposing to replace.
Duncan Campbell asked if there was any information about the condition of the current windows.

Sam DeSollar commented that this was a conservation district so that they only had prevue over the size and configuration of the windows, and would encourage the Petitioner to restore whatever Historic windows are left. Marleen Newman asked what was wrong with the windows, and if it was just that they do not slide well or if
the strings have broken. Matthew Seddon commented that this Petition meets the guidelines, so he would approve.

Matt Seddon made a motion to approve COA 22-12.
Sam DeSollar seconded.
Motion Carries: 5 Yes (Sunders, DeSollar, Schlegel, Seddon, Cross), 0 No, 1 Abstain (Newman)
F. CA 22-09

500 W 7th St. (Near West Side Conservation District)
Petitioner: Glenda Murray
Yard Installation at school.
Gloria Colom gave presentation. See packet for details.
Sam DeSollar asked what the height of the columns on the new structure was. Gloria Colom stated that the size was $14^{\prime} \mathrm{X} 18$ '. Glenda Murray stated that she did not have an exact height, but it is about 12 or 14 feet total. Duncan Campbell asked why this was sited so far away from the school, and has the immediate adjacent neighbors talked about this. Glenda Murray stated that the neighbors they spoke with were quite happy about this combination. The reason they picked this site was because they did not have many other choices and because they wanted close to the swift tower and the garden that the students created.

Sam DeSollar commented that he really appreciated them building the site and incorporating the outdoors into the education.

Matthew Seddon made a motion to approve COA 22-09.
Sam DeSollar seconded.
Motion Carries: 6 Yes (Saunders, DeSollar, Schlegel, Seddon, Cross, Newman), 0 No, 0 Abstain.
G. COA 22-11

931 W Howe St. (Greater Prospect Hill Historic District)
Petitioner: Rusty Peterson
Room addition and deck on the rear of the house.
Gloria Colom gave presentation. See packet for details.
Sam DeSollar asked about the roofline on the back and how it was arrived at. Rusty Peterson stated that currently there is a shed roof that falls towards the back of the house and they could get more pitch on the shed roof by going the other direction and also the home owners are interested in possibly extending the roof line over the deck area in the future.

Sam DeSollar stated that his main comment is about the roof and that it will be seen from the alley. This will seem odd.

Matt Seddon made a motion to approve COA 22-11.
Marleen Newman seconded.
Motion Carries: 4 Yes (Saunders, Seddon, Cross, Newman), 0 No, 1 Abstain (DeSollar)

## V. DEMOLITION DELAY

## Commission Review

A. DD 22-07

311 W 7th St. (Notable)
Petitioner: Henry Hoover
Partial Demo of wood ramp.
Gloria Colom gave presentation. See packet for details.
Sam DeSollar asked if this proposal also included the construction of the new ramp as well as the demolition of the existing ramp. Gloria Colom stated that this was just a Demo-Delay. Duncan Campbell asked if this ramp was out of compliance.

Sam DeSollar made a motion to release DD 22-07.
John Saunders seconded.
Motion Carries: 5 Yes (Saunders, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Newman), 0 No, 0 Abstain.
B. DD 22-01

319 E 19th St. (Contributing)
Petitioner: Ryan Strauser, Strauser Construction Co., Inc.
Full demolition of primary structure on the lot.
C. DD 22-02

401 E 19th St. (Contributing)
Petitioner: Ryan Strauser, Strauser Construction Co., Inc.
Full demolition of primary structure on the lot.
D. DD 22-03

403 E 19th St. (Contributing)
Petitioner: Ryan Strauser, Strauser Construction Co., Inc.
Full demolition of primary structure on the lot.
E. DD 22-04

405 E 19th St. (Contributing)
Petitioner: Ryan Strauser, Strauser Construction Co., Inc.
Full demolition of primary structure on the lot.
F. DD 22-05

407 E 19th St. (Contributing)
Petitioner: Ryan Strauser, Strauser Construction Co., Inc.
Full demolition of primary structure on the lot.
Gloria Colom Gave presentation for DD 22-01, DD 22-02, DD 22-03, DD 2204, DD 22-05. See packet for details.

Matt Seddon made a motion to release DD 22-01, DD 22-02, DD 22-03, DD 22-04, DD 22-05.
Marlene Newman seconded.
Motion Carries: 5 Yes (Saunders, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Newman), 0 No, 0 Abstain.
G. DD 22-06

421 E 19th St. (Contributing)
Petitioner: Ryan Strauser, Strauser Construction Co., Inc.
Full demolition of primary structure on the lot.
Gloria Colom gave presentation. See packet for details.
Matt Seddon thanked Gloria Colom for doing all of the extra work on finding out
more information on these properties. Marleen Newman also thanked Gloria Colom for all of her work on these properties.

John Saunders made a motion to release DD 22-06.
Motion Carries: 5 Yes (Saunders, DeSollar, Seddon, Cross, Newman), 0 No, 0 Abstain.

## VI. NEW BUSINESS

Gloria Colom announced that Maple Heights is elevating to Historic District. See packet for details.

Gloria Colom addressed updates about Historic Preservation Violations and issues in the Elm Heights District. See packet for details.

## VII. OLD BUSINESS

## VIII. COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

IX. PUBLIC COMMENTS
X. ANNOUNCEMENTS
XII. ADJOURNMENT

## Meeting was adjourned by John Saunders @ 7:41 p.m. <br> END OF MINUTES

Video record of meeting available upon request.

| STAFF APPROVAL | Address: 414 W Dodds St. |
| :---: | :---: |
| COA 22-13 | Petitioner: Robert Shaw |
|  | Parcel \# 53-04-05-100-143.000-009 |
| RATING: NON-CONTRIBUTING | Survey: 1980's; gambrel roof kit house |
|  |  |
| Background: McDoel Historic District |  |
| Request: Window replacement |  |
| Guidelines: McDoel Historic District Guidelines (pg. 12) <br> - Non-Contributing Principle structures and additions <br> (this section is reviewed by staff) <br> Non-contributing principal structures are reviewed by staff for minor exterior changes. |  |
| STAFF APPROVAL : COA 22-13 <br> - The house is a non-contributing structure with double hung aluminum windows with wood sash. <br> - Windows to be replaced with metal single hung windows of same size, color, and look. <br> - Staff consulted with the HPC chair to confirm the level of review. |  |

# APPLICATION FORM CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS 

Case Number: COA 22-13

Date Filed:
1/20/2022

Scheduled for Hearing: 2/10/2022
***************

## Address of Historic Property: <br> 414 W. Dodds St.

Petitioner's Name: Robert Shaw
Petitioner's Address: 2005 S. Rogers St.
Phone Numberle-mail: 8123400617 bobshaw@bluemarble.net
Owner's Name: Shaw Family LLC
Owner's Address:
2005 S. Rogers St.
Phone Numberl-mail: Same

## Instructions to Petitioners

The petitioner must attend a preliminary meeting with staff of the Department of Housing and Neighborhood Development during which the petitioner will be advised as to the appropriateness of the request and the process of obtaining a Certificate of Appropriateness. The petitioner must file a "complete application" with Housing and Neighborhood Department Staff at least twelve (12) days before a scheduled regular meeting. The Historic Preservation Commission meets the second Thursday of each month at 5:00 P.M. in the McCloskey Room (meetings are currently held via Zoom until further notice. The link is sent the week before the meeting). The petitioner or his designee must attend the scheduled meeting in order to answer any questions or supply supporting material. You will be notified of the Commission's decision and a Certificate of Appropriateness will be issued to you. Copies of the Certificate must accompany any building permit application subsequently filed for the work described. If you feel uncertain of the merits of your petition, you also have the right to attend a preliminary hearing, which will allow you to discuss the proposal with the Commission before the hearing during which action is taken. Action on a filing must occur within thirty days of the filing date, unless a preliminary hearing is requested.

## Please respond to the following questions and attach additional pages for photographs, drawings, surveys as requested.

## A "Complete Application" consists of the following:

1. A legal description of the lot. 015-16410-00 SEMINARY PT ( $40^{\prime} \times 150$ ') LOT 38
2. A description of the nature of the proposed modifications or new construction: In the process of maintenance and repair after the death of a longtime tenant, we discovered that the windows are fogged inside of the thermal glass. There is one aluminium clad casement which will remain. The other six double-hung windows are aluminium clad frames with wood sashes. The glass was built into the frames and can't be replaced. I propose to replace them with clad windows of the same brown color they are presently. They will remain exactly the same size and looks. Nothing on the exterior will change.
3. A description of the materials used. Quaker clad single hung Brighton Series
4. Attach a drawing or provide a picture of the proposed modifications. You may use manufacturer's brochures if appropriate.
5. Include a scaled drawing, survey or geographic information system map showing the footprint of the existing structure and adjacent thoroughfares, Geographic Information System maps may be provided by staff if requested. Show this document to Planning Department Staff in order to ascertain whether variances or zoning actions are required.
6. Affix at least three photographs showing the existing full facade at each street frontage and the area of modification. If this petition is a proposal for construction of an entirely new structure or accessory building, include photographs of adjacent properties taken from the street exposure.

If this application is part of a further submittal to the Board of Zoning Appeals for a Conditional Use or development standard variance, please describe the use proposed and modification to the property which will result.





| Quotation: | Phone: | 812-339-9737 |  | 6002 WEST STATE ROAD 46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fax: | 1-812-935-7656 |  |  |
| Quote Name: | WESTPLEX |  | Quote \#: | SQGZL005291_1 |
| User Name: | Terry Dods |  | Cutoff Ord | nday 12 P.M. CST |



Quote Information
Total Value: $\quad \$ 4,582.33$ Status: Open

## Header:

Terms:

Pricing:

All terms and conditions of this quote, including units, quantities, and accessories, are verified and accepted by the undersigned for purchase. Any changes made are rejected unless accepted and approved by Quaker.
$\qquad$


| 3 | 1 | EA | Quaker Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



Series: Brighton

Exact Size: 22 X 47 1/2 Rough Opening: 22 3/4 X 48

Color:Toffee,Paint Type:2604,Interior Finish:Pre Painted White,Fill Nail Holes:Yes,
Glass:EnergyBasic (Dual Silver),Argon Filled,
Hardware:White,Sash:Sweep Lock,
Jamb Liner:Beige,Jamb Liner Cover Exterior:Yes,
Screen:Half Screen,Material:BetterVue (TM),Ship:Screen With Product, Install Acc:Extruded Fin - Jambs/Hinged Head and Sill,Depth:4 9/16" Jamb Depth,

Unit:1-Single Hung No Plough Exact Size: $22 \times 47$ 1/2,NOT Egress,


[^0]
## Terms:

- CUSTOMER REVIEW: This quote is based on the products as listed. Customer must review quote and verify, including but not limited to: quantities, sizes, types, finishes, glazing, and adherence to specifications and job requirements and any relevant local or national codes.
- PRICE ADJUSTMENTS: Quaker reserves the right to adjust its pricing in any of the following circumstances:
- if this quote expires prior to Customer approval;
- if changes are made to quantities, products, or other terms and conditions of this quote;
- if the Customer requests delivery dates extending beyond agreed timeframes or beyond 6 months from the date of this quote;
- if the order is not fully defined for entry (if applicable, shop drawings must be approved within 30 days after Customer submits its purchase order or otherwise approves this quote); or
- in the event of any extraordinary increase in cost associated with manufacturing, supplying, or distributing the quoted products, upon notice to the Customer at any time prior to Quaker's entry of Customer's order.
- ORDERING PROCESS: This quote is an offer by Quaker Window Products Co. ("Quaker"), a Missouri corporation, to sell to the Customer the products described herein at the prices indicated, subject to the terms and conditions stated in this document, including the referenced Quaker warranty and the limitations of liability described therein. Unless otherwise agreed, this offer shall expire after the quote availability date listed on the quote. In order to accept such offer, and before Quaker begins to fabricate such products, Customer must:
- Review and approve this quote;
- Provide any additional details or information Quaker requires regarding the job or the Customer;
- If applicable, complete and submit a credit application subject to approval by Quaker's credit department; and
- If applicable, submit approved Shop Drawings which are incorporated into the order.
- Quaker's entry of a sales order will serve to acknowledge completion of these steps. Any purchase order or other document that Customer may use to indicate acceptance of this quote, shall be considered for Customer's internal purposes only, and notwithstanding any language to the contrary in any such document, any resulting order shall remain subject to the governing terms and conditions stated in this quote. Quaker expressly objects to, and does not accept, any conflicting, modified, or additional terms or conditions proposed by Customer unless such proposed terms and conditions are specifically approved in writing by Quaker's credit department, legal counsel, or an appropriate officer of the company.
- TAXES: Unless otherwise indicated, sales or use taxes are not included in this quote. If taxes are not included, it shall be Customer's responsibility to ensure payment of any applicable state, municipal, or local sales or use or other taxes, and Customer shall indemnify Quaker against any claimed failure to pay such taxes when due.
- PAYMENT TERMS: Payment terms are subject to approval by Quaker's credit department, based in part on Customer's credit application and its terms and conditions, including interest of $18 \%$ per annum plus all costs of collection, such as attorney fees and court costs. It is understood and agreed that the goods quoted are specially manufactured or fabricated to order, and will be paid for by Customer in accordance with these terms and conditions.
- LEAD TIME ESTIMATES: Any lead times provided are estimates only. An estimated delivery date will be established by Quaker and communicated to Customer once the sales order is entered. Quaker shall not be responsible for any delay damages or any other associated costs resulting from the delivery of goods after the estimated delivery date.
- CHANGE ORDERS: If the Customer requests any change to an order after placing an order, then the Customer will be responsible for all costs incurred by Quaker in processing such change, and Quaker may require submittal of a written change order documenting the requested changes.
- WARRANTY: The sale of the quoted products is subject to Quaker's Limited Warranty for Single-Family Dwellings dated $1 / 1 / 2022$, which contains the relevant manufacturer's warranty and various limitations of liability, and is hereby incorporated by reference. This warranty may be enclosed with this quote and is available at www.quakerwindows.com/warranty-information/. Customer will provide this warranty to the end user as appropriate. This warranty is not applicable to orders involving parts or service for purposes of repair or replacement.
- UNDELIVERABLE PRODUCT STORAGE FEE: If Customer is not willing or able to accept delivery of an order that is ready for shipment, then for any delivery delayed by more than 14 calendar days from the estimated delivery date, an undeliverable product storage fee of $\$ 1,000$ per trailer per week will be added to the order, which may be invoiced at that time. For deliveries delayed beyond 4 weeks, such fee shall increase to $\$ 1,500$ per week.
- DELIVERY \& DETAINAGE FEE: Upon delivery, the Driver is responsible for removing straps and otherwise preparing products to be unloaded, unless Customer performs such tasks. Upon request, Customer must assist Driver inside the trailer when necessary to handle large items or otherwise ensure safety and avoid product damage. Customer will provide Driver sufficient opportunity to scan each item in an orderly fashion during the unloading process, in order to properly document which items were delivered and whether any products were damaged during transit. Any damaged product must be photographed and documented using Driver's electronic scanner or the paper delivery ticket. Customer is responsible for inspecting products during delivery, and unloading products from the trailer in a safe and efficient manner. If Customer is unable or unwilling to do so, and the Driver opts to assist or unload such products, then Customer assumed all risk and shall be responsible for any resulting damage or loss. If offloading time exceeds 4 hours for a full trailer at a jobsite, or 2 hours for other deliveries, then Customer will be responsible for payment of detention fees in the amount of $\$ 150$ per hour. Quaker is not responsible for any product loss or damage occurring after delivery.
- PRODUCT HANDLING \& STORAGE: Customer is solely responsible for proper handling and storage of the product after delivery. In order to retain warranty coverage, products must be stored in an upright and secured position not exposed to outside weather, both during and after delivery. Specifically, QUAKER window and door units must be kept in an upright / vertical position, and may be damaged by laying in a flat or horizontal position. For pre-fabricated wall panels, such panels must be erected and installed before QUAKER window and door units are installed and incorporated into the building envelope.
- PRODUCT RATING INFORMATION: Individual units greater than gateway size may have a reduced rating per Quaker's calculations based on AAMA 2502-07 Comparative Analysis Procedure for Window and Door Products. Overall Design Pressure of mulls/assemblies may be less than the computed values of
individual units per Quaker's calculations based on AAMA 450-10 Voluntary Performance Rating Method for Mulled Fenestration Assemblies. Thermal ratings are based on NFRC test size.
- Any vertical or horizontal mulling system is designed for lateral wind loading only and does not provide structural down loading. Headers must be sized to allow for a maximum deflection of $1 / 8$ of an inch. Please consult an architect or engineer for design requirements and building code compliance, particularly when combining multiple units together.
- FIELD TESTING: In order to be warranted for performance by Quaker, any field testing of QUAKER products must be conducted as specified in the abovedescribed warranty.
- FORCE MAJEURE: Quaker will not be liable for any nonperformance, delay in performance, or damage that results from any cause beyond Quaker's reasonable control, including, without limitation, any: Act of God; pandemic or other public health emergency; act of the Customer; restraint of government, such as embargo or other trade restrictions or governmental regulations or demands (whether or not later proven to be invalid); fire; flood; vehicle accident; strike or other labor disturbance; machinery or equipment breakdown; plant shutdown or slowdown; war; riot; terrorist act; delay in transportation; delays of suppliers or carriers; or inability to obtain necessary labor, materials or manufacturing facilities at customary prices ("Force Majeure Events").
- CREDIT CARD SURCHARGE: Payments made by credit card will be assessed a surcharge of at least 3\%.
- COASTAL AREAS: In coastal environments, there is greater than normal risk of corrosion or deterioration, which shall not be Quaker's responsibility, except for manufacturing defects causing abnormal corrosion or deterioration beyond what is expected for a coastal environment based on the products selected and proper maintenance. Customer is responsible for appropriate product selection based on the project location.
- ALTITUDE LIMITATIONS: Products to be installed over 3,000 feet above sea level are subject to maximum altitude limitations based on the dimensions of the glass, its thickness, and whether it is annealed or tempered. Customer is responsible for confirming that such limitations are not exceeded based on local elevation. Contact Quaker for additional details.
- SPECIAL COLORS: Certain colors or finishes (such as dark or special colors, micas, metallics, and high chroma shades of red, orange and yellow) have shortened warranty periods or require a clear top coat to achieve the necessary performance requirements to qualify for full warranty coverage. Please consult your Quaker representative to confirm based on your selection.

c. Cornice heights, porch heights, and foundation heights in the same
block face and opposing block face should be considered when
designing new construction.
d. New construction at the end of a block should also take into account
building heights on adjacent blocks.
e. If the area immediately contiguous to new construction does not offer
adequate context to establish an appropriate new building height, the
larger historic area context should be assessed.
f. Porch height can have an impact on the height relationships between
buildings and should align with contiguous porch foundation and roof
heights in a similar manner to building heights.
g. Foundation and floor line heights should be consistent with contiguous
properties.

Case Number: $\qquad$

Date Filed: $\qquad$ 1/27/2022

Scheduled for Hearing: 2/10/2022


Instructions to Petitioners
The petitioner must attend a preliminary meeting with staff of the Department of Housing and Neighborhood Development during which the petitioner will be advised as to the appropriateness of the request and the process of obtaining a Certificate of Appropriateness. The petitioner must file a "complete application" with Housing and Neighborhood Department Staff at least twelve (12) days before a scheduled regular meeting. The Historic Preservation Commission meets the second Thursday of each month at 5:00 P.M. in the McCloskey Room (meetings are currently held via Zoom until further notice. The link is sent the week before the meeting). The petitioner or his designee must attend the scheduled meeting in order to answer any questions or supply supporting material. You will be notified of the Commission's decision and a Certificate of Appropriateness wilt be issued to you. Copies of the Certificate must accompany any building permit application subsequently filed for the work described. If you feel uncertain of the merits of your petition, you also have the right to attend a preliminary hearing, which will allow you to discuss the proposal with the Commission before the hearing during which action is taken. Action on a filing must occur within thirty days of the filing date, unless a preliminary hearing is requested.

Please respond to the following questions and attach additional pages for photographs, drawings, surveys as requested.

A "Complete Application" consists of the following:

1. A legal description of the lot. $\qquad$ $013-46230-00$ DAVISLOT 17
2. A description of the nature of the proposed modifications or new construction:

3. A description of the materials used.

4. Attach a drawing or provide a picture of the proposed modifications. You may use manufacturer's brochures if appropriate.
5. Include a scaled drawing, survey or geographic information system map showing the footprint of the existing structure and adjacent thoroughfares, Geographic Information System maps may be provided by staff if requested. Show this document to Planning Department Staff in order to ascertain whether variances or zoning actions are required.
6. Affix at least three photographs showing the existing full facade at each street frontage and the area of modification. If this petition is a proposal for construction of an entirely new structure or accessory building, include photographs of adjacent properties taken from the street exposure.

If this application is part of a further submittal to the Board of Zoning Appeals for a Conditional Use or development standard variance, please describe the use proposed and modification to the property which will result.
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| STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS | Address: 2001 E Hillside Dr., Lot 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| COA 22-03 | Petitioner: Jacob Bower-Bir |
|  | Parcel: 53-08-03-300-00 |
| RATING: NOTABLE | Survey: C. 1842, Federal Style, I-House |
|  |  |
| Background: Rev. James Faris House Historic District |  |
| Request: Partial demolition, stabilization of foundation, and new construction. |  |
| Guidelines: The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties <br> - New additions and related new construction that meet the Standards can be any architectural style-traditional, contemporary, or a simplified version of the historic building. However, there must be a balance between differentiation and compatibility to maintain the historic character and the identity of the building being enlarged. New additions and related new construction that are either identical to the historic building or in extreme contrast to it are not compatible. Placing an addition on the rear or on another secondary elevation helps to ensure that it will be subordinate to the historic building. New construction should be appropriately scaled and located far enough away from the historic building to maintain its character and that of the site and setting. In urban or other built-up areas, new construction that appears as infill within the existing pattern of development can also preserve the historic character of the building, its site, and setting (pg. 26). |  |
| Staff Recommendation: Conditional | pproval COA 22-03 |

- The proposal entails minimal impact on the historic material of the original house.
- The proposed addition balances the needs of the owners while respecting the original building's form and proportions. The new space reads as new, and due to its placement does not detract from the prominence of the original building.
- Although the garage does not have a setback, the connecting porch and height differential, as well as the approach to the house conveys the primary site hierarchy of the historic building.
- The porch reconstruction's design is respectful of the current porch.
- Staff's main concern is with the proposed porch extension connecting with the existing window sash and proposes that the porch be set back three inches to avoid vulnerable materials connecting.


## FARIS HOUSE RENOVATION

2001 E Hillside Dr, Bloomington, IN 47401

| TEAM C | TACT INFORMATION: | SHEET LIST: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| name: | emal: | shem | Sheit tile |
| Jacoob owerbrir | powestremmailcom |  |  |
| Patrickomono | prdmonadegnalicom |  | Sticter |
| danel green | greendmosismengomalicom | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {a }}$ | Semors |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {a }}$ | (till |


| Arch Workers Co-op |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Doscripion | Oato |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\square$ |  |  |
| - |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| - |  |  |
| GENERAL INFORMATION |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Propert mumer |  | ${ }^{2021}$ |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { O210102022 }}{\text { DAN GREEN }}$ |
|  |  |  |
| G-001 |  |  |
| sale |  |  |





| (4) | neswomemoso |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | \% |
|  | - |
|  | - |
|  | - |
|  | - |
|  | - |
|  | - |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SECOND FLOOR } \\ \text { PLAN } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |
|  | A-103 |







## Background: Greater Prospect Hill Historic District

## Request: Partial Demolition and new construction

## Guidelines: Greater Prospect Hill Historic District Guidelines

Criteria for Demolition (pg. 12)
When considering a proposal for demolition, the BHPC shall consider the following criteria for demolition as guidelines for determining appropriate action. The HPC shall approve a Certificate of Appropriateness or Authorization for demolition as defined in this chapter only if it finds one or more of the following:

1. The structure poses an immediate and substantial threat to public safety as interpreted from the state of deterioration, disrepair, and structural stability of the structure. The condition of the building resulting from neglect shall not be considered grounds for demolition.
2. The historic or architectural significance of the structure is such that, upon further consideration by the Commission, it does not contribute to the historic character of the district.
3. The demolition is necessary to allow development which, in the Commission's opinion, is of greater significance to the preservation of the district than is retention of the structure, or portion thereof, for which demolition is sought.
4. The structure or property cannot be put to any reasonable economically beneficial use without approval of demolition.
5. The structure is accidentally damaged by storm, fire or flood. In this case, it may be rebuilt to its former configuration and materials without regard to these guidelines if work is commenced within 6 months.
Removal of Historic Material (pg. 26)
6. Retain historical character-defining architectural features and detailing, and retain detailing on the public way façade such as brackets, cornices, dormer windows, and gable end shingles.
7. Avoid removing or altering historic material or distinctive architectural features, like those listed. If materials are original and in good shape, means with which to keep them intact should be explored. If the existing material cannot be retained because of its condition, document the material and its condition and apply for a COA. If the desire is to restore or renovate to a certain design or style, provide a replacement plan and apply for a COA.

Recommended for New Construction:

1. The basic outline of a new building, including general roof shape, should reflect building outlines typical of the area.
2. The outline of new construction should reflect the directional orientations characteristic of the existing building in its context.
Recommended Massing:
3. The total mass and site coverage of a new building should be consistent with surrounding buildings.
4. The massing of the various parts of a new building should be characteristic of surrounding buildings.

Materials:

1. Building materials, whether natural or man-made, should be visually compatible with surrounding historic buildings.
2. When hardboard or concrete board siding is used to simulate wood clapboard siding, it should reflect the general directional and dimensional characteristics found historically in the neighborhood. No products imitating the "grain" of wood should be used.
3. Brick, limestone, clapboard, cement board, wood, shingles, stucco

## Staff Recommendation: Conditional approval of COA 22-15

- The new construction consists of an addition to the back of the primary building in a developed site.
- The proposed extended roof would be covered in the same shingles as the house.
- The proposed beadboard is vertical instead of horizontal which breaks with the patterning of the rest of the house. However, it is also replacing the identical existing beadboard currently installed on the later addition of the building.


# APPLICATION FORM CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS 

| Case Number: | COA 22-15 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date Filed: | $2 / 2 / 2022$ |

Scheduled for Hearing: 2/24/2022

## Address of Historic Property: 600 W Howe St.

Petitioner's Name: Julia A. Karr
Petitioner's Address: 600 W Howe St.
Phone Number/-mail:812-325-1181/Juliarts2003@yahoo.co
owner's Name: Julia A. Karr
owner's Address: 600 W. Howe St.
Phone Number/-mail: 812-325-1181/Juliarts2003@yahoo.co

## Instructions to Petitioners

The petitioner must attend a preliminary meeting with staff of the Department of Housing and Neighborhood Development during which the petitioner will be advised as to the appropriateness of the request and the process of obtaining a Certificate of Appropriateness. The petitioner must file a "complete application" with Housing and Neighborhood Department Staff at least twelve (12) days before a scheduled regular meeting. The Historic Preservation Commission meets the second Thursday of each month at 5:00 P.M. in the McCloskey Room (meetings are currently held via Zoom until further notice. The link is sent the week before the meeting). The petitioner or his designee must attend the scheduled meeting in order to answer any questions or supply supporting material. You will be notified of the Commission's decision and a Certificate of Appropriateness will be issued to you. Copies of the Certificate must accompany any building permit application subsequently filed for the work described. If you feel uncertain of the merits of your petition, you also have the right to attend a preliminary hearing, which will allow you to discuss the proposal with the Commission before the hearing during which action is taken. Action on a filing must occur within thirty days of the filing date, unless a preliminary hearing is requested.

Please respond to the following questions and attach additional pages for photographs, drawings, surveys as requested.

A "Complete Application" consists of the following:

1. A legal description of the lot. 015-19470-00 East \& Marshall Lot 20
2. A description of the nature of the proposed modifications or new construction:

Remove old added rooms from the back \& pour a foundation \& rebuild rooms on back in thi same style as what was there with an additional $5^{\prime}$ out onto what is now deck and adju to allow a door out onto the deck.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. A description of the materials used.

Wood bead board or board and batten LP Smart Siding, Pella windows and french doors, asphalt shingles to match existing.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Attach a drawing or provide a picture of the proposed modifications. You may use manufacturer's brochures if appropriate.
5. Include a scaled drawing, survey or geographic information system map showing the footprint of the existing structure and adjacent thoroughfares, Geographic Information System maps may be provided by staff if requested. Show this document to Planning Department Staff in order to ascertain whether variances or zoning actions are required.
6. Affix at least three photographs showing the existing full facade at each street frontage and the area of modification. If this petition is a proposal for construction of an entirely new structure or accessory building, include photographs of adjacent properties taken from the street exposure.

[^1]

The dark line is around the section that will be taken down and have foundation put under it and replaced.





## KARR RESIDENCE <br> ARCHITECT'S PROJECT NUMBER 2021-08 SEPTEMBER 14, 2021








| STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS | Address: 416 W 1st St (653 S Rogers) |
| :---: | :---: |
| DD 22-08 | Petitioner: Karen Valiquett |
| Start Date: $1 / 13 / 2022$ | Parcel: $53-08-05-100-034.000-009$ |
| RATING: CONTRIBUTING | Survey: c. 1925, Dormer Front Bungalow |
|  |  |
| Background: Structure is in slightly altered and in good condition |  |
| Request: Full Demolition |  |
| Guidelines: According to the demolition delay ordinance, BHPC has 90 days to review the demolition permit application from the time it is forwarded to the Commission for review. |  |
| Staff Recommendation: Release of DD 22-08 <br> The building is in good condition, but does not meet the architectural criteria for single property designation. |  |




Background: The structure has always been a bank, originally built for the Bloomington National Savings \& Loan Association. The facade has barely been altered, mainly the addition of a red curtain and an ATM machine. The limestone facing on the west facade maintains the palimpsest of the original National Savings sign.

The bank along with the buildings around it are representative of the localized interpretations of the International style of modernist architecture using locally sourced limestone.

## Request: Full Demolition

Guidelines: According to the demolition delay ordinance, BHPC has 90 days to review the demolition permit application from the time it is forwarded to the Commission for review.

Staff Recommendation: Have a discussion about the possibility of nominating 200 E Kirkwood Ave. as a local historic district.

Staff believes that the building falls under at least one of the following categories stipulated in Title 8 of the City Ordinances (8.08.010(C): (1)Historic:
(A)Has significant character, interest, or value as part of the develo.................... he............. or cultural characteristics of the city, state, or nation; or is associated with a person who played a significant role in local, state, or national history; or
(B)Is the site of an historic event; or
(C)Exemplifies the cultural, political, economic, social, or historic heritage of the community.
(2)Architecturally worthy:
(A)Embodies distinguishing characteristics of an architectural or engineering type; or
(B)Is the work of a designer whose individual work has significantly influenced the development of the community; or
(C)Is the work of a designer of such prominence that such work gains its value from the designee's reputation; or
(D)Contains elements of design, detail, materials, or craftsmanship which represent a significant innovation; or
(E)Contains any architectural style, detail, or other element in danger of being lost; or (F)Owing to its unique location or physical characteristics, represents an established and familiar visual feature of a neighborhood or the city; or
(G)Exemplifies the built environment in an era of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style.



NEW CONVENIENCE - The Bloomington National Savings and Loan Association offers convenience and beauty to its customers at its new building, 200 E . Kirkwood Ave. The limestone of fice has its own parking area, a drivein window, and night depository. The association will have open house from 5 to 9 p.m. Thursday and Friday nights. A special showing of the building for realtors, contractors, and public and bank officials will be tonight.
(H-T Photo)

## To Give Away Money!

## Savings, Loan Firm Sets Open House At New Home




COOL AND SPACIOUS-The interior of the Bloomington National Savings and Loan Association's new building is air-conditioned and spacious. Staff members in the picture are, standing at counter, Mrs. Opal Schwab (left) and Mrs. Leannah McNay. Seated at his desk is Richard Monfort, secretarytreasurer and managing officer of the association. The main business lobby is decorated in brown, blue, and yellow. The building has space for expansion.
<

# HISTORIC \& CONSERVATION DISTRICT <br> NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION INVOLVEMENT 

## Elm Heights



Pg. 9- "In the Elm Heights neighborhood, plans are also presented to a neighborhood design subcommittee for its comment before the public hearing at which a decision is to be made. The BHPC will consider these comments along with the regular staff report in their deliberations."

## Garden Hill

HAND $\longrightarrow \quad$| BHPC |
| :--- |\(\underset{Appeal}{\substack{GARDEN HILL DESIGN <br>

REVIEW COMMITTEE}}\)

Pg. 5 - "Applications for a COA are referred to the Bloomington Historic Preservation Commission (BHPC). Staff members of Housing \& Neighborhood Development (HAND) or BHPC members review the applications for any potential conflicts. If none are found, the Certificate of Appropriateness is issued. Otherwise, the application is reviewed by the Garden Hill Design Review Committee to suggest changes to bring the application into compliance."

## Greater Prospect Hill

HAND
DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE
BHPC

The Design Review Committee will review items that require BHPC approval (Demolition, New Construction, Additions, Moving of a Structure). Other items reviewed by HAND staff (Changes to Public Way Façade, Removal of Original Materials) do not require review by the Design Review Committee, unless either 1) in the case of denial by HAND, the homeowner wishes to appeal to BHPC - which triggers Design Review Committee review - or 2) HAND staff feel they need extra assistance from BHPC, at which point either the homeowner or HAND can enlist the Design Review Committee before the "appeal" moves on to BHPC.

## Matlock Heights



The Neighborhood Design Review Committee will review items that require BHPC approval (Demolition, New Construction, Additions, Moving of a Structure). Please consult the MHHD Design Guidelines when beginning a project and then consult with HAND. Other items reviewed by HAND staff do not require review by the Neighborhood Design Review Committee, unless either 1) in the case of denial by HAND, the homeowner wishes to appeal to BHPC - which triggers Neighborhood Design Review Committee review - or 2) HAND staff feel they need extra assistance from BHPC, at which point either the homeowner or HAND can enlist the Neighborhood Design Review Committee before the "appeal" moves on to BHPC.

## McDoel Gardens

HAND
NEIGHBORS

BHPC

Pg. 5 "The Historic Preservation Commission should interpret the guidelines flexibly rather than rigidly and should seek the input of residential owners when reviewing projects where the guidelines are applicable"

## Near West Side

$$
\text { HAND } \quad \longrightarrow \begin{aligned}
& \text { NEIGHBORHOOD } \\
& \text { DESIGN SUBCOMMITTEE }
\end{aligned} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text { BHPC }
$$

While a COA application must be submitted to staff, the petitioner always has the option to first consult with the neighborhood design review committee. This group can be reached at nwsna.btown@gmail.com. The design review committee will provide feedback based on the district design guidelines and will advise the petitioner on the appropriateness of the project. It is important to note that while this is not a required first step, the design review committee will always be consulted on the appropriateness of a proposed COA. This typically occurs after staff has received the COA application and written a report, but before the Historic Preservation Commission formally discusses the project. Staff will relay any feedback to the Commissioners during discussion of the item at the meeting, although design review committee members sometimes attend the meeting themselves to give their feedback. Please see the above flow chart for clarification."


[^0]:    Quote Grand Total

[^1]:    If this application is part of a further submittal to the Board of Zoning Appeals for a Conditional Use or development standard variance, please describe the use proposed and modification to the property which will result.

