City of Bloomington
Office of the Common Council

February 14, 2018

Joe Hoffmann, President
City of Bloomington Plan Commission
City Hall, Suite 160
Bloomington, IN 47402

Dear Mr. Hoffmann,

On January 17, 2018, the Common Council approved Resolution 18-01 with 162 amendments. As you may recall, on July 6, 2017, the Plan Commission forwarded a proposal to adopt a new City Comprehensive Plan under Resolution 17-28. The Common Council began its deliberations in August 2017 and concluded them in January 2018 under Resolution 18-01.

I.C. 36-7-4-510 provides that, in the event the Common Council amends Plan Commission proposals to amend the City's Comprehensive Plan, the Council must return the proposal with a written statement of reasons for the amendments. Unless extended by the Common Council, the Plan Commission then has 60 days to file a report approving or rejecting the amendments. If you have any questions about how the Plan Commission should proceed under statute, please consult your attorney.

This letter and the accompanying materials are intended to commence your review of these changes. The accompanying materials include a signed copy of Resolution 18-01 and an amendment packet. This amendment packet is comprised of an Index of all amendments, including both amendments that were adopted and those that were not (the latter of which are indicated by gray rows in the Index). However, the amendment packet only includes copies of the amendments adopted by the Council (along with any attachments). Please see the Synopsis of the amendments (which also appear in the Index) for the written statement of reasons for the amendments. In addition to this information, please see the version of the Comprehensive Plan incorporating the adopted amendments which was prepared and will be distributed by Planning and Transportation staff.

Thank you for the hard work of your commission in helping formulate these important policies for the City.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Granger, District II, President
Bloomington Common Council

attachments: resolution 18-01; amendment packet, which includes the Index of Amendments and copies of the adopted amendments (with any attachments)

cc: Council Members; City Clerk
RESOLUTION 18-01

TO ADOPT THE CITY’S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 36-7-4-501, the Plan Commission is responsible for preparing comprehensive plans and amendments thereto and forwarding them to the Common Council; and

WHEREAS, on November 6, 2002, with the passage of Resolution 02-19, the Common Council adopted the City’s current comprehensive plan, which is known as the Growth Policies Plan (Plan); and

WHEREAS, almost 10 years later, the City Planning Department and Plan Commission were directed to evaluate and update this Plan, starting in 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission completed this process, conducted public hearings, and developed a new Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, on June 26, 2017, the Plan Commission approved the proposed new Comprehensive Plan which, on July 5, 2017, was certified to the Common Council; and

WHEREAS, at the Regular Session on August 9, 2017, the Common Council introduced Resolution 17-28, which proposed adoption of the new Comprehensive Plan, and then met over a series of seven meetings in one long Special Session held from the month of August and into December, where it undertook the review of, and consideration of two rounds of amendments to, the proposed new Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, at the Regular Session on December 20, 2017, the Council voted to extend the deliberations into January 2018; and

WHEREAS, that extension entailed the introduction of an amended and renumbered resolution (Resolution 18-01), affirmation and ratification of past actions in regard to the new Comprehensive Plan, conclusion of consideration of second-round amendments, and consideration of additional amendments before consideration of the Comprehensive Plan in its entirety and as amended by the Council; and

WHEREAS, in light of Common Council amendments to the Comprehensive Plan, upon adoption by the Common Council, the Plan must be returned to the Plan Commission with a written statement of reasons for the amendments and an opportunity for it to review and respond in accordance with IC 36-7-4-510;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION 1. The Council hereby affirms and ratifies actions it has taken in regard to its deliberations of the proposed new Comprehensive Plan in 2017. Those actions include but are not limited to the disposition of amendments along with the conduct of its deliberations.

SECTION 2. The City’s Comprehensive Plan, as certified by the Plan Commission, shall be adopted as amended by the Common Council.

SECTION 3. If any section, sentence or provision of this legislation, or the application thereof to any person or circumstances shall be declared invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any of the other sections, sentences, provisions, or applications of this legislation which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this legislation are declared to be severable.
SYNOPSIS

This resolution amends and adopts the City’s new Comprehensive Plan, which was certified to the Common Council in July 2017. This proposed City of Bloomington Comprehensive Plan replaces the existing Comprehensive Plan, which is known as the Growth Policies Plan. The Comprehensive Plan sets forth a vision for the future growth and development of Bloomington. It includes information and policies regarding Community Services & Economics, Culture & Identity, Environment, Downtown, Housing & Neighborhoods, Transportation, and Land Use. In light of Common Council amendments to the Comprehensive Plan, upon adoption by the Common Council, the Plan must be returned to the Plan Commission with a written statement of reasons for the amendments and an opportunity for it to review and respond in accordance with IC 36-7-4-510.
### Index of Amendments to Bloomington Common Council Res 18-01 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan)

*Legislation which, in 2017, came forward as Res 17-28*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Am #</th>
<th>Chapter &amp; Page Affected</th>
<th>Section of Chapter</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Synopsis (Including the Required Statement of Reason(s) for the Amendment)</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Date of Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Ch 4, P 53</td>
<td>Overview - Design</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes changes to the Downtown-Design section. It emphasizes form-based design guidelines instead of form-based code. In so doing, it favors compatibility of designs and stricter design guidelines over innovative design in charting the future success of the downtown. Note: Am 01 was revised after the amendment was released on Friday, September 8th and before the meeting on Tuesday, September 12th. The revision made a grammatical change in the second-to-last sentence in the affected paragraph.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>9 – 0 - 0</td>
<td>9/12/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. There were three rounds of amendments during the five months of Council deliberations on the proposed Comprehensive Plan. The first round of amendments addressed a chapter or chapters which had been introduced and discussed at an earlier meeting. These amendments initially appeared in Council Legislative Packets issued on the Thursday or Friday before the various meetings held in September and October of 2017. Please see last column in this table and Footnote 4 for more information about all of the meetings where deliberations on the Comprehensive Plan took place. The second-round amendments addressed the Comprehensive Plan as a whole and appeared in the December 1, 2017 Council Legislative Packet for consideration on December 5, 2017 (except for two amendments that were carried-over for consideration on January 10, 2018). The third, and last, round of amendments also addressed the Comprehensive Plan as a whole and appeared in the January 12, 2018 Council Legislative Packet for consideration on January 17, 2018. Please note that the suffix "- R", as it appears in this column was inserted to indicate amendments that had been, at some point, revised. Please also note that the suffix "- A" and "- B" as they appear in this column are intended to indicate an amendment to the numerical amendment.

2. There were two drafts of the Comprehensive Plan considered by the Council during its August to January deliberations. The first draft was the one forwarded to the Council in July 2017 (July Draft Plan). The second draft incorporated amendments adopted by the Council by the end of its meeting on December 5, 2017 and was released online on December 15, 2017 (December Draft Plan). The reference to page numbers (and, to some extent, specific policy numbers in the third column) for almost all first and second round amendments apply to the July Draft Plan and for all third round amendments apply to the December Draft Plan. A few exceptions will be found for first and second round amendments that were revised and reintroduced or reconsidered in January.

3. Please see the Memoranda and Minutes prepared by the Office of City Clerk to learn the votes of Council member on each amendment.

4. The Council considered legislation regarding the Comprehensive Plan at meetings and dates which are grouped in following manner (and include attendance at those meetings): 1) Regular Session on August 9, 2017 for introduction of legislation and initial adoption of procedures and a schedule (Cm. Sturbaum - Absent: 1 At-Large Seat - Vacant); 2) Seven meetings of one long Special Session, for substantive consideration of the Comprehensive Plan and associated amendments, which were held on - Tuesday, August 29, 2017 (All Present); Tuesday, September 12, 2017 (All Present); Monday, September 25, 2017 (Cm. Sturbaum - Left before the meeting ended); Tuesday, October 10, 2017 (Cm. Chopra - Absent); Tuesday, October 24, 2017 (Cms. Granger & Ruff - Absent); Tuesday, November 7, 2017 (Cms. Chopra & Sims - Absent); and, Tuesday, December 5, 2017 (Cms. Chopra & Sims - Absent); 3) Regular Session on Wednesday, December 20, 2017 where the schedule was amended under Council Schedule (All Present); 4) Organizational Meeting on Wednesday, January 10, 2018 for introduction of amended and renumbered resolution, affirmation and ratification of previous actions, and continuation of deliberations (All Present); and, 5) Regular Session on Wednesday, January 17, 2018 for conclusion of Council deliberations regarding the Comprehensive Plan (All Present).
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**02**

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes a change to the Downtown-Goals & Policies section. The change adds the word “compatible” before the word “architecture” in Policy 4.1.2 in order to emphasize that both traditional and innovative architecture must not only be of high-quality but also be compatible with its surroundings in order to support community character and urban design.

**Adopt**

9 – 0 - 0

9/12/17

**03**

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes a change to the Downtown-Goals & Policies section. The change adds a new Policy 4.4.5 advocating special design qualities that open residential uses up to a more diverse demographic.

*Note: Am 03 was revised after the amendment was released on Friday, September 8th and before the meeting on Tuesday, September 12th. The revisions are noted in bold above and add some missing text.*

**Adopt**

9 – 0 - 0

9/12/17

**04 5**

Programs Vitality & Sense of Place

Sturbaum

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes a change to the Downtown-Programs section. The change would clarify that downtown public restrooms should not just be considered but also be installed.

**Motion to Adopt**

DEFEATED

4 – 5 - 0

9/12/17

**04-R**

Same

Volan

Supplemental Note: After defeat at the meeting on September 12, 2017, the amendment was revised (now Am 04-R) to address concerns by dropping the word “guide” and adding the words “find the best options for” and readied for reconsideration at the meeting on December 5, 2017 by the addition of a co-sponsor who voted on the prevailing side in September.

**To Reconsider, Amend, and Adopt as Amended**

ADOPTED

7 – 0 - 0

12/5/17

Absent: Chopra & Sims

**05**

Programs Vitality & Sense of Place

Sturbaum

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes a change to the Downtown-Programs section. The change would add a bullet-point in the Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place section that would discourage development of large footprint projects in the downtown in order to encourage local developers and create a more pedestrian-friendly public realm.

*Note: Am 05 was revised after the amendment was released on Friday, September 8th and before the meeting on Tuesday, September 12th. The revisions affect the latter three lines of the bullet-point and are indicated in bold and strikeout above.*

**Adopt**

9 – 0 - 0

9/12/17

---

5 Rows with Gray-Shade indicate the ~ 28 Amendments that were not adopted. These include amendments (including amendments of amendments) that were either: 1) not assigned a number (one); 2) withdrawn (four); 3) not introduced (six); or defeated (seventeen). The latter, defeated amendments, include amendments to amendments that were adopted, but the amendments as amended were defeated.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Ch, P</th>
<th>Programs</th>
<th>Sturbaum</th>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Votes</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Ch 4, P 55</td>
<td>Programs Design</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes changes to the Downtown-Programs section. The change would add and delete text in the Downtown Design section to assure better urban design and the inclusion of more public space. <em>Note: Am 01 was revised after the amendment was released on Friday, September 8th and before the meeting on Tuesday, September 12th. The revision made changes to the first and third bullet-points.</em></td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>5 – 4 - 0</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>Ch 4, P 55</td>
<td>Programs Transportation &amp; Parking</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes a change to the Downtown-Programs section. The change would clarify that downtown public restrooms should not just be considered but also be installed.</td>
<td>Motion to Adopt</td>
<td>2 – 7 - 0</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-R</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Volan</td>
<td>In addition to the above, please note: After defeat on September 12, 2017, this amendment was revised (now Am 07-R) to address concerns by including “work with Bloomington Transit” and “where [shelters] are most needed” and readied for reconsideration at the meeting on December 5, 2017 with the addition of a co-sponsor who voted on the prevailing side in September.</td>
<td>To Reconsider, Amend, and Adopt as Amended</td>
<td>7 – 0 - 0 Via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Ch 4, P 55</td>
<td>Programs Design</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes changes to the Downtown-Program - Design section. The change would add a bullet-point that calls for mapping the available public space downtown (both indoor and outdoor) with the goal of enhancing the public realm. One technique the sponsor envisions during the implementation stage is the use of “Nolli” maps as part of each presentation of any new building in the downtown. <em>Note: Am 01 was revised after the amendment was released on Friday, September 8th and before the meeting on Tuesday, September 12th. The revisions strike reference to “Nolli” maps because it is an unfamiliar term for most people and is a more suitable matter for discussion during consideration of amendments to the UDO.</em></td>
<td>Adopt (as Amended)</td>
<td>8 – 1 - 0</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>This amendment to the amendment strikes the words “Prior to approving further development” and inserts “As a development tool,” to dispel the implication that adoption would forestall further development until this mapping was in place.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>Voice Vote 8 – 1 - 0</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Ch 4, P 52</td>
<td>Overview - Main Street Corridors</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Piedmont-Smith and amends Chapter 4 (Downtown), Overview – Main Street Corridors. It adds dates when certain landmark changes took place downtown in order to provide specificity to when important changes took place there.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>9 - 0 - 0 Via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>9/12/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Ch, P</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Sponsor</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Votes</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ch 4, P53</td>
<td>Overview - Design</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Piedmont-Smith and amends Chapter 4 (Downtown), Overview – Design. It rewords text to improve the clarity and better characterize the community's ongoing conversation about the Downtown's vibrancy.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>9 - 0 - 0 Via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>9/12/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ch 4, P53</td>
<td>Overview - Design</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Piedmont-Smith and amends Chapter 4 (Downtown), Overview – Design. It rewords text in the second paragraph to avoid nebulous and ungrammatical words.</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/12/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ch 4, P56</td>
<td>Programs – All Three Programs</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Piedmont-Smith and amends bullet-points in two of the three Chapter 4 (Downtown), programs. The changes add or delete words to clarify or correct text. (Please see below for the changes in context of that provision.) Note: On 25 September 2017, the sponsor revised the amendment by striking changes proposed under Downtown Design in favor of changes proposed for that section by Am 06.</td>
<td>Adopt (as Amended)</td>
<td>8 - 0 - 0</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>This amendment to the amendment removed proposed changes regarding Downtown Transportation and Parking as result of adoption of Am 22</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>8 - 0</td>
<td>Voice vote 9/25/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ch 4, P57</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Piedmont-Smith and amends Chapter 4 (Downtown), Outcomes, by correcting a typographical error in the second Outcome &amp; Indicators.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>9 - 0 - 0 Via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>9/12/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Ch 4</td>
<td>Entire</td>
<td>Rollo &amp; Volan</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Councilmembers Volan and Rollo and directs that the capitalization of &quot;Downtown&quot; shall be rendered consistent throughout the Chapter.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>9 - 0 - 0 Via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>9/12/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ch 4, P55</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies – Policy 4.1</td>
<td>Rollo &amp; Volan</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Volan and proposes changes to the Downtown Goals &amp; Policies. The changes restructure and combine text for directness and clarity. In particular, 'durable' was added because 'innovative' architecture (using materials like EIFS) often means cheaper and likely to become dilapidated sooner. 'Urban design' is generic and unclear; the term 'compact urban form' is better-known and a long-standing goal of this city.*</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>9 - 0</td>
<td>9/12/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ch 4, P55</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies – 4.2 / 4.3</td>
<td>Rollo &amp; Volan</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Volan and Cm. Rollo. It proposes changes to the Downtown Goals &amp; Policies. The changes swap the order of Goals 4.2 and 4.3, break one policy into two policies, add a new policy, and restructures another for directness</td>
<td>Adopt as Amended</td>
<td>9 - 0</td>
<td>9/12/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and clarity. Importantly, the second half of what was 4.3.1 "is expanded to cover bicycles as well as motorcycles, and acknowledges that we already have some dedicated parking for two-wheelers." Lastly, the added policy 4.3.3 "says that new projects should have a maximum parking requirement. This requirement is consistent with Planning policy for the past decade, and has been demonstratedly successful at reducing unnecessary parking and increasing use of public transit."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Amendments</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies – 4.3</td>
<td>Rollo &amp; Volan</td>
<td>This amendment to an amendment addressed part (d) regarding renumbered Policy 4.3.3 and part (e) regarding the newly renumbered Policy 4.3.4 as indicated in yellow on the amendment.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>8 – 0 – 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Ch 4, P 55</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies – Policies 4.2 / 4.3</td>
<td>Rollo &amp; Volan</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Volan and Cm. Rollo. It proposes changes to the Downtown Goals &amp; Policies. The changes swap the order of Goal 4.2 and Goal 4.3 which is proposed for the following reasons: &quot;To truly promote walking, biking and transit, any discussion of parking should be subsequent, if not subsidiary, to it; thus, the swap of the order of these two goals. Meanwhile, entertainment and shopping are two types of commerce.&quot; The changes reflect the need for Indiana University to collaborate with the City and other entities in Downtown. The reasons for that change are as follows: '&quot;Collaboration' implies that both sides are working together. As can be seen in its own master plan, IU does not collaborate with the city in planning, although it is always the city's hope that IU will rethink this stance. Meanwhile, the Chamber is collaborative and invested in the Downtown, and should be added.&quot;</td>
<td>Adopt as Amended</td>
<td>8 – 1 – 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Rollo &amp; Volan</td>
<td>This amendment to the amendment addressed the newly renumbered Policy 4.4.2 by adding the word “better” after the word “collaborate” and adding I.U. Foundation to the entities listed in the provision.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>9 – 0 – 0</td>
<td>9/12/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Ch 4, P 55</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies – Policy 4.4</td>
<td>Rollo &amp; Volan</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Volan and Cm. Rollo. It proposes changes to the Downtown Goals &amp; Policies by rephrasing the statement for Goal 4.4 and broadens the call for diversifying housing to include areas nearby the Downtown. As a whole, the changes are intended to restructure goal statement for directness and clarity.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>5 – 4 - 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>This amendment to the amendment qualified where diverse housing types would be encouraged in areas &quot;nearby&quot; the downtown.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>6 – 3 – 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Ch 4, P 55</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies – Policy 4.5</td>
<td>Rollo &amp; Volan</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Volan and Cm. Rollo. It proposes changes to the Downtown Goals &amp; Policies, Goal 4.5. The changes continue to add a heading to each goal, clarify that “inclusivity and safety” are modifiers of “sustainability,” and adds</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>7 – 1 – 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“Residents, merchants, and landowners” to those who should be part of any collaboration to promote a safe and welcome Downtown. These changes “emphasize ‘sustainability’ as the operative word here. Sustainability has three legs: economic, environmental, and social. As this document is being created, social sustainability is of greatest concern; Inclusivity and safety are two keys to it, and should be subsidiary to the word ‘sustainability.’ The changes also acknowledge that “public safety and social service professionals alone should not be expected to make everyone feel safe and welcome. That must fall upon anyone who claims Downtown as their own.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Sponsors</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Motion</th>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Ch 4, P 56</td>
<td>Programs – Vitality and Sense of Place</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Councilmembers Volan and Rollo and makes a number of changes to the Programs outlined in the “Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place” Chapter. Those changes include language changes made in the interest of clarity and action, the inclusion of “nearby areas in the mention of Downtown, and added specificity to the Program discussing the Convention Center. The rationale for each of the sections cited in the amendment are as follows: 1) Elimination of the reference to IU. IU simply does not partner for planning purposes, as can be seen in their master plan. Nor should the city want to encourage IU to expand its campus further into the city, placing more of the city’s most significant territory under state jurisdiction. IU’s partnership in Downtown development should not be solicited; 2) Deletion of the fiber Internet bullet point. Removal of this point does not prevent the city from utilizing its fiber. However, this point doesn’t say anything actionable or worth measuring; 3) Embellishment of the bullet point re: the Convention Center. The new Convention Center should feel like a downtown area, with compact, articulated, building-forward design that includes storefronts. It should not be a monolith. 5) Typographical correction; and 6) Addition of “nearby areas” to the Downtown reference. The definition of Downtown expanded vastly since the GPP. Areas like the Old Northeast Downtown neighborhood already provide such diversity of housing and should be considered part of Downtown for this purpose.</td>
<td>Motion to Adopt</td>
<td>4 – 5 - 0</td>
<td>9/12/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>5 – 4 – 0</td>
<td>9/12/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This amendment to Am 20 would amend Part (4) to incorporate Am 04:

This amendment is sponsored by Councilmember Volan and Rollo and makes a number of changes to the Programs outlined in the “Downtown Design” Chapter. The previous Program bullet points were passive, redundant and unclear, (e.g., “urban design guidelines”). They have been restructured and combined for directness and clarity.

Adopt, as amended

9 – 0 – 0
Voice Vote

9/25/17

This amendment is sponsored by Councilmembers Volan and Rollo and makes a number of changes to the Programs outlined in the “Downtown Transportation and Parking” Chapter. Sections 1, 3 and 4 cited in the amendment are language and structural changes made in the interest of directness and clarity. Sections 2, 5, and 6 make substantive programmatic changes to text. The change and the rationale for those changes are as follows:

1. Frankly, since there is already a master thoroughfare plan and a whole division to implement it, this point should be eliminated.

2. Eliminates reference to bike “sharing” and eliminates reference to bike and car sharing within “specific districts.” This point was unnecessarily narrow. Promoting “bike use” does not exclude the possibility of developing a bike sharing program. Both bike use and car sharing help decrease motor vehicle miles traveled. We want both employees and residents to reduce VMT everywhere, not just “in specific districts.”

5. Eliminates the call for a joint study by the Parking Commission and Plan Commission to address aesthetics of Downtown parking and open spaces. The best way to improve the aesthetics of parking spaces, lots or garages is to build anything in their place other than parking spaces, lots or garages. Improving the aesthetics of “open space/common areas” is already the jurisdiction of the Plan Commission, and should also be the jurisdiction of the Arts Commission and the Assistant Director for the Arts, not the Parking Commission.”

6. This change makes clear that there should be more covered bike parking for all Downtown users.

Adopt as Amended

7 – 1 – 0
Voice Vote

9/25/17
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Ch 6, P 73</th>
<th>Ch 6, P 70</th>
<th>Ch 6, P 73</th>
<th>Ch 6, P 73-74</th>
<th>Ch 6, P 74</th>
<th>Ch 6, P 74</th>
<th>Ch 6, P 74</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Overview – Pedestrian Transportation</td>
<td>Volan</td>
<td>This amendment clarifies the beginning of the “Pedestrian Transportation” subsection. It moves two sentences to the end of the first paragraph, strikes the lead sentence (“Bloomington is a walking town,” which is contradicted by the last sentence of the paragraph, “few people identify as pedestrians”), and characterizes the character of walking as a transportation option.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>7 – 1 - 0</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Overview – Motor Vehicle Transportation</td>
<td>Volan</td>
<td>This amendment adds a sentence at the end of “Motor Vehicle Transportation” subsection on p. 70 to make clear another characteristic of speed on a street: the higher it is, the fewer cars the street can carry.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>7 – 1 - 0</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Goal 6.1 &amp; Policy 6.1.2A</td>
<td>Volan</td>
<td>This amendment adds a title to Goal 6.1, and revises the text of the goal to reflect the existing degree of sustainability of the local transportation system, and to seek instead to improve upon what the city is already doing right. The amendment also adds a policy point after 6.1.2, to be numbered 6.1.2A, to call for seeing streets as public spaces as well as transportation vectors.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>6 – 1 - 1</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies, 6.1-6.4</td>
<td>IPS, Granger, &amp; Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment reorganizes the 11 policies under Goal 1 and the 6 policies under Goal 2 into 4 goals focusing on (1) general sustainability, including economic, environmental, and social; (2) public transit; (3) bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, including the attainment of platinum status as a Bicycle Friendly Community; and (4) the integration of all modes. The final 3 goals of the chapter are to be renumbered. The purpose of the amendment is to clarify and better categorize the policies, and to specifically articulate some implied goals. In addition, there are a few revisions in language to increase clarity and avoid redundancy. Explanations of those are in red italics. Red italics are not intended to be changes to text.</td>
<td>Adopt, as amended</td>
<td>8 – 0 - 0</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Goal 6.2 &amp; Policies 6.2.1 and 6.2.3-6.2.6</td>
<td>Volan</td>
<td>This amendment adds a short title to Goal 6.2 and modifies the text of the goal to make the goal more active. It also modifies the text of Policy 6.2.1 to render the text more active and strikes Policies 6.2.3, 6.2.4, and 6.2.6, as these are passive policies that state current practice. The amendment re-numbers 6.2.5 to reflect the foregoing deletions.</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td>Voice vote</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Policy 6.2.4</td>
<td>IPS &amp; Granger</td>
<td>This amendment deletes policy 6.2.4, a policy about use of the right-of-way that is not really related to transportation and is the common practice of the City's Board of Public Works.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>8 – 0 - 0</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Chapter, Page</td>
<td>Title/Section</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Votes</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Ch 6, P 74</td>
<td>Goal 6.3 &amp; Policies 6.3.1-6.3.3</td>
<td>Volan</td>
<td>Eliminates Goal 6.3, as the goal pronouncement is empty and moves the three policy points currently listed under Goal 6.3 to Goal 6.2, as those policies are consistent with the goal of 6.2 of “Efficient, Accessible, Safe Transport.”</td>
<td>Not introduced</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Ch 6, P 74</td>
<td>Goal 6.4 &amp; Policy 6.4.2</td>
<td>Volan</td>
<td>This amendment adds a title to Goal 6.4, deletes the current policy 6.4.2 as this policy is oxymoronic — parking is the least attractive, least environmentally sensitive form of urban development. The amendment replaces the text of the current policy 6.4.2 with a policy of increasing the overall mode share of transportation methods other than cars.</td>
<td>Failed</td>
<td>1 – 7 - 0</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Ch 6, P 75</td>
<td>Goal 6.5 &amp; Policy 6.5.1</td>
<td>Volan</td>
<td>This amendment adds a title to Goal 6.5, replaces the first word of the Goal, and replaces passive policy 6.5.1 with a policy to reduce one-way streets and extra car lanes whenever possible to improve efficiency, accessibility and safety.</td>
<td>Failed</td>
<td>2 – 6 - 0</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 80</td>
<td>Development Themes for Land Use Classifications</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
<td>This change deletes editorial language in the Land Use, “Development Themes for Land Use Classification” section that goes beyond the concepts of the vision statement. These could encourage more than is intended in the interpretation of these general themes.</td>
<td>Adopted as Amended</td>
<td>7 – 1 - 0</td>
<td>10/10/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>This amendment to the Amendment restores the latter part of deletions in the first paragraph and all the deletions in the third paragraph.</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>7 – 1 - 0</td>
<td>10/10/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Ch 7, p 82</td>
<td>Mixed Urban Residential – Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
<td>This amendment changes the “Land Use Development Approvals” section of the Mixed Urban Residential district by adding conditional use as a process in allowing higher densities within or on the edge of existing single family neighborhoods. <em>Note: See Am 111 for another approach to the issue.</em></td>
<td>Failed</td>
<td>1 – 7 - 0</td>
<td>10/10/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Ch 7, p 84</td>
<td>Land Use Districts - Downtown – Land Use Approvals</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
<td>This amendment deletes the last sentence of the first bullet point and the third bullet point under Downtown, “Land Use Development Approvals.” This is to delete references to higher density development downtown. It also defends the mixed use component of development.</td>
<td>Failed</td>
<td>3 – 5 – 0</td>
<td>10/10/17 (Carried-Over from 9/25/17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 86</td>
<td>Urban Village Center – Site Design</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
<td>This amendment deletes the word “significantly” from the last sentence under the Urban Village Center, “Site Design.” This change is intended to preserve the quality of life or livability of the neighborhoods surrounding these Urban Village Centers by not implying that a higher level of adverse impacts would be allowed there than would otherwise be considered acceptable in other single family neighborhoods.</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>8 – 0 via consent agenda</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Ch, P</td>
<td>Focus Area &amp; Strategy</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
<td>Text Description</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Vote</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 89</td>
<td>Urban Corridor – Land Use Approvals</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
<td>This amendment adds a sentence to the last bullet-point under Urban Corridors, “Land Use Development Approvals.” In order to account for the adverse impacts along Urban Corridors, the sentence calls for the conditional use process when higher density (up-zoning) proposals encroach into single family zoned neighborhoods.</td>
<td>Not Introduced</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10/10/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-R</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 92</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>This amendment has been revised since it appeared on the October 10th agenda but was not introduced. The initial amendment added a sentence to the last bullet-point under Urban Corridors, “Land Use Development Approvals;” that called for the conditional use process to mitigate the adverse impacts of higher density (up-zoning) proposals which encroach upon single family zoned neighborhoods. The revised amendment replaces the proposed text with one recommending that the greater residential density and diversity of housing types be located in order to preserve the character of existing single family neighborhoods and are more appropriate for the multi-family and commercial zones with the Urban Corridor district.</td>
<td>Not Introduced</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-R-A</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 92</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>This amendment restores the last sentence.</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>9 – 0 – 0</td>
<td>10/10/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 94</td>
<td>Focus Areas &amp; Strategies</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
<td>This amendment amends one of the four “strategies” for coordinating and attracting public and private investment under Focus Areas &amp; Strategies. It changes “Form Based Code” to “Form Based Guidelines” and makes other revisions that stress the importance of evaluating “uses” as well as form in this regard.</td>
<td>Failed (as amended)</td>
<td>2 – 5 - 1</td>
<td>10/10/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>This amendment to the amendment restores the last sentence.</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>8 – 0 – 0</td>
<td>10/10/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 95</td>
<td>Focus Areas &amp; Strategies – West 2nd Street – Former Bloomington Hospital</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
<td>This change adds text to West 2nd Street-Former Bloomington Hospital, “Background and Intent.” The additions call for the cooperation with SPEA and the use of an urban planner as well as adding the phrase “other forms of deep public participation”. Cm. Sturbaum notes, “This is a critical area for our community and there is no time to waste on starting the process. The reference to the charrette process and deep public participation intends to assure that the process will be motivated by quality-of-place.</td>
<td>Adopted (As Amended)</td>
<td>8 – 0 – 0</td>
<td>10/10/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
goals rather than strictly economic ones. The trust is that a better outcome will be found by including the broader community of IU as well as the local stakeholders and neighborhoods.”

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This amendment to the amendment restored the original first part of the sentence, kept it as one sentence, and adopted the latter part of the proposed amendment.</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>8 – 0- 0</td>
<td>10/10/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 95</td>
<td>Focus Areas &amp; Strategies: West 2nd Street – Former Bloomington Hospital</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This amendment comes at the request of Phil Stafford of the Commission on Aging and encourages that the Lifetime Community concept be applied to the Former Hospital Site, “Land Use Approvals.” Notably, this phrase is currently listed in the Switchyard North, “Land Use Approvals” section. This change adds that prioritization to the Former Hospital Site section.</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>8-0-0</td>
<td>9/25/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 96</td>
<td>Focus Areas &amp; Strategies: Switchyard North</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This amendment adds language to the intent of the Switchyard North section of the Plan. The added language is intended to give some protection to single family zoning in the areas to be up-zoned near the trail and to protect against loss of affordable living in this area.</td>
<td>Not Introduced</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>10/10/17 (carried-over from 9/25/17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Ch 7 P. 96-97</td>
<td>Focus Areas &amp; Strategies: Gateway South and Gateway North Sections</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This amendment adds process language to the vague term “branding” in both the Gateway South and Gateway North Sections. There should be community input regarding the branding decisions of our city’s gateways.</td>
<td>Failed</td>
<td>1 – 7 – 0</td>
<td>10/10/17 (carried-over from 9/25/17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-R</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This amendment was defeated at the 10 October 2017 meeting of the Special Session. It has been revised with the intent that it be reconsidered by the Council with support from a member who voted on the prevailing side in October. Both the initial and revised amendment address the “branding” in both the Gateway South and Gateway North Focus Areas and Strategies. The revisions recommend that the 2005 Downtown Vision and Infill Strategy Plan inform the “branding” process and that the process include robust public input.</td>
<td>Motions to:</td>
<td>7 – 0 - 0</td>
<td>Via Consent Agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reconsider</td>
<td>Amend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adopt as Amended</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>7 – 0 – 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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permanent affordable housing, necessary for those on fixed incomes, and home ownership for low-income families that have the means to increase their incomes. This change also provides consistency concerning the City’s commitment to incentivize affordability and home ownership across land use categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment Number</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Amendment Details</th>
<th>Vote Result</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 60</td>
<td>Overview – Housing Trends and Issues</td>
<td>This amendment deletes a statement justifying increasing density in single family neighborhoods in the first paragraph of this section. It also adds a clarifying statement in the second paragraph of the second column in this section that protects single family zoning and explains that density and diversity is already existing in the core neighborhoods. (Changes in the second paragraph are addressed in Am #44.) The sponsor notes that: “The call for higher densities and diverse housing types should not be aimed at single family neighborhoods. Prior to zoning changes, these neighborhoods were over-occupied and under-maintained so that home ownership was declining. Up-zoning single family neighborhoods will have a negative impact on affordable homeownership as buyers compete with rental investors in an already scarce housing market. There are new areas to zone for higher residential occupancy such as entry corridors and previous commercial zoned properties along the B-Line trail and elsewhere.”</td>
<td>Failed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 44               | Ch 5, P 60 | Housing Trends and Issues | This amendment makes two changes to the second paragraph in the first column of the section on Housing Trends and Issues. The first change deletes the statement that exploring “supply-side solutions to further enhance the availability of quality housing in Bloomington is an appropriate approach.” The sponsor notes that “This was not something that came from the “Envision Bloomington” exercise and clearly doesn’t represent Bloomington’s planning tradition. The think tank “Adam Smith Institute” put out a study on supply side solutions for the housing crisis. The summary is quoted below:

   *Recommended measures set out in the paper included the release of limited farmland for suburban development, *radical* liberalization of urban planning laws and the abolition of mandatory affordable housing provision in new housing development.

   “What such solutions have in common is that the obstacles to their implementation are political and regulatory, not economic,” the paper said. It concluded that radical...” | Failed | 3 – 5 – 0 | 10/10/17 |
liberalization would present the possibility of making an "immediate and significant impact" on the housing crisis by freeing up national income for "productive enterprise" while keeping risk with property lenders, purchasers and developers rather than taxpayers."

The second removes incorrect text regarding MFRs and established core neighborhoods. Multifamily rentals, MFRs, were happening on the outskirts of town in 2002 where autos were needed to get students or workers into town which added to fuel consumption and the parking problems. There were no MFR proposals in 2002 to be directed away from established core neighborhoods. This piece of inaccuracy fits the concept of supply-side economics that zoning is our problem, as well as trying to justify the persistent vision to up-zone our single-family neighborhoods that keeps reappearing in this Comprehensive Plan.

44-A

| Same | Same | Same | This amendment to the amendment would have revised the change to the first paragraph to read: "We should examine housing trends and increase housing supply." | Failed | 4 – 4 - 0 | 10/10/17 |

45

| Ch 5, p. 60-61 | "Overview: Housing Trends and Issues" | Piedmont-Smith | This amendment (1) clarifies the upper income limit for workforce housing and (2) adds a verb to one sentence where a verb is lacking. | Adopted | Voice Vote - Via Consent Agenda | 10/10/17 |

46

| Ch 5, p 61 | Housing & Neighborhoods – Overview – Neighborhoods | Sturbaum | This amendment deletes the language from the first paragraph in the second column of the Neighborhoods section referring to Bloomington's neighborhoods as "monocultures." It also adds text to the last paragraph in that column which makes it clear that the addition of multifamily housing options will not be aimed at single family neighborhoods.

The call for higher densities and diverse housing types should not be aimed at single family neighborhoods. Prior to zoning changes, these neighborhoods were over-occupied and under-maintained so that home ownership was declining. Up-zoning single family neighborhoods will have a negative impact on affordable homeownership as buyers compete with rental investors in an already scarce housing market. There are new areas to zone for higher residential occupancy such as entry corridors and previous commercially-zoned properties along the B-Line trail and elsewhere. Core |

Failed | 1 – 7 – 0 | 10/10/17 |
neighborhoods are anything but mono-cultures, especially on the west side, where most of these neighborhoods with affordable housing exist.

|   |   |   | This amendment was rejected at the October 10, 2017 meeting of the Special Session and has been revised with the intent for the Council to reconsider it with the support of a co-sponsor who voted on the prevailing side in October. The revisions keep the text supporting income-diverse neighborhoods in the first paragraph of the second column of the Neighborhoods section. The revisions also replace proposed text in the third paragraph of that column with language recommending that new, more dense housing types be located in multi-family and commercial zones along with opportunities that arise with new, greenfield development. Otherwise, the synopsis and rationale remain the same as Am 46. | Adopt as Amended by Am 46-R-A & Am 46-R-B | 6 – 0 – 1 | 12/05/17 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 46-R | Same | Same | Sandberg and Sturbaum | This amendment would amend Am 46-R by striking the word “core” in the first sentence of the new language in the last paragraph of the displayed text. | Adopted | 6 – 0 – 1 | 12/5/17 |
| 46-R-A | Same | Same | Same | This amendment strikes the last two sentences of the last paragraph that indicate where these new housing types should be located because it does not foresee the evolution of these new housing types. | Adopted | 7 – 0 - 0 | 12/5/17 |
| 46-R-B | Same | Same | Same | This amendment revises Goal 5.1 to target more accurately local housing affordability needs. | Adopted | Voice Vote – via Consent Agenda | 10/10/17 |
| 47 | Ch 5, P 63 | Housing & Neighborhood – Goals and Policies | Granger and Sandberg | This amendment deletes the language of Policy 5.1.1 and replaces it with language to recognize more accurately, the housing needs of low-income households. The original language of this passage emphasized “expanding” options for middle income households, while “sustaining” programs for low-income households. This amendment adds in parity for all income levels while retaining the call for income diversity and inclusivity. | Adopted | 8 – 0 - 0 | 10/10/17 |
| 48 | Ch 5, P 63 | Policy 5.1.1 | Granger and Sandberg | This amendment deletes Policy 5.1.3 and adds a clarifying statement to Policy 5.3.1 to: “Avoid impacting single family neighborhoods with increased density beyond 3 unrelated occupants.” The idea that we can insert a large variety of housing types into a single family neighborhood is inconsistent with the 3 unrelated zoning that created home ownership and revitalization of these neighborhoods in the first place. They were being destroyed by rental investors who were renting houses with over-occupancy and | Adopted as Amended | 6 – 1 - 0 | 10/24/17 |
| 49 | Ch 5, P 63 | Housing & Neighborhoods Goals & Policies – Goal 5.1 (Policy 5.1.3) and Goal 5.3 (Policy 5.3.1) | Sturbaum | (carried-over from 10/10/17) |   |   |   |   |
The property value was based on the rental occupancy and the instability of this condition made single family home ownership decline. Up-zoning these neighborhoods which have established a healthy balance of rental and home ownership and a livable density would destabilize these successful neighborhoods and have a negative impact on affordable home ownership.

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>This amendment to the amendment revises Policy 5.3.1 to avoid “placing these higher-density forms in single-family neighborhoods.”</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>6 – 1 - 0</td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49-B</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>This amendment to the amendment removes the words “within neighborhoods in Policy 5.1.3 and reflects that in the synopsis.”</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>5 – 1 - 1</td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 64 Goal 5.1</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment clarifies the language of Goal 5.4 since most people don’t know what “security of housing tenure” means.</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td>7 – 0 - 0</td>
<td>10/24/17 (carried-over from 10/10/17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 64 Programs - Affordable Housing</td>
<td>Granger and Sandberg</td>
<td>This amendment adds a program bullet, which shall be inserted as the third bullet point under “Affordable Housing.” The new program calls for developing a strategy for following-up on the housing evaluation, residential market analysis, and housing inventory described in first and second bullet points.</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td>7 – 0 - 0</td>
<td>10/24/17 (carried-over from 10/10/17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 64 Programs – Affordable Housing</td>
<td>Granger and Sandberg</td>
<td>This amendment adds a program bullet to “Affordable Housing,” to be listed as the fourth bullet point to designate a citizen commission to acquire information on housing needs and to make policy recommendations to the Mayor and City Council on affordable housing in the community.</td>
<td>ADOPTED (Amended by Am S2A)</td>
<td>7 – 0 - 0</td>
<td>10/24/17 (carried-over from 10/10/17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 64 Programs, “Affordable Housing”</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment revises the bullet point currently listed as the last program point under “Affordable Housing.” The revision recognize the diverse affordable housing programs administered and clients served by the BHA and target affordable housing expansion options the City could play a key role in supporting.</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>Voice Vote – via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>10/10/17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 53-R      | Ch 5, p 64 | Programs – Affordable Housing  
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This amendment was adopted via the consent agenda on 10 October and is being revived for Council reconsideration to make a technical correction. With this reconsideration, the phrase “Project-based Section 8” shifts to “Section 8 Project-Based Vouchers” as HUD is no longer providing new funding for Project-Based Section 8 and this program is not under the authority of the Bloomington Housing Authority.  
Motion to Reconsider, Amend & Adopt as Amended ADOPTED  
7 – 0 – 0  
Via Consent Agenda  
12/5/17 |
| 54        | Ch 5, p 64 | Programs – Affordable Housing  
This amendment adds a program bullet to “Affordable Housing,” to recognize that housing needs and solutions are a multi-jurisdictional concern. For that reason, the amendment adds a program bullet calling upon the City of Bloomington and Monroe County governments to partner in an effect to maximize affordable housing efforts.  
Adopted  
Voice Vote – via Consent Agenda  
10/10/17 |
| 55        | Ch 5, p 65 | Programs – Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life  
This amendment inserts a new bullet-point at the end of the Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life program supporting affordable home ownership. While affordable rental is a good thing for citizens, many have the goal that is referred to as “the American Dream”: Home Ownership. By working with Habitat and with Bloomington Restorations and other affordable housing providers, while providing home ownership counseling and assistance, the city of Bloomington has helped a large number of citizens become homeowners. This frees individuals from ever-increasing rental costs and they can build equity in a home of their own. This allows bank loans for business or for home improvement and creates stability in our neighborhoods and our community. In the rush for affordable rentals, let us not forget the life-changing hand-up that this kind of support can give some of our citizens when home ownership becomes increasingly difficult to achieve.  
Adopted  
8 – 0 – 0  
Voice Vote via Consent Agenda  
10/10/17 |
| 56        | Ch 5, p 65 | Programs – Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life  
This amendment inserts a sentence at the end of the first bullet-point under the Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life program that calls for an increase in Council Sidewalk Committee funding. There is a call to identify and prioritize sidewalk improvements. The Council Sidewalk Committee already has done that. We need the funding to follow through on more than two or three sidewalk projects per year.  
Adopted as Amended  
6 - 1 - 0  
10/24/17  
(Carried-over from 10/10/17) |
| 56-A      | Same | Same  
Same  
This amendment would amend Am 56 by keeping the initial bullet-point and replacing the word “identify” and replace with the word “Implement.”  
Adopted  
6 - 1 - 0  
10/24/17 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Chapter, Page</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 65</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators</td>
<td>Sandberg</td>
<td>This amendment provides clarification of the intent of the “Percentage Ownership” indicator and connects it to the outcome, “Increase the range of affordable housing options that are universally designed and environmentally sustainable.”</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>7 - 0 – 0</td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 65</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment clarifies what is meant by “non-monoculture neighborhood characteristics” and how they can be measured.</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>Voice Vote – via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>10/10/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 42</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>Granger, Piedmont-Smith, and Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment adds greenhouse gas emissions as a concern for Bloomington and acknowledges that climate protection and the attendant reduction in greenhouse gas emissions has long been a policy priority of the City.</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>7 – 0 - 0</td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 44</td>
<td>Overview: Energy</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
<td>The amendment revises the Energy Overview to introduce the concept of risk of dependence on fossil fuels - a depleting energy resource.</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td>Voice Vote – via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 45</td>
<td>Overview: Urban Ecology</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment revises the Urban Ecology Overview by adding terms for clarification and by adding recognition that habitat for non-human organisms is an important part of ecosystem services.</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td>Voice Vote – via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Ch 3, p. 46</td>
<td>Overview: Air Quality and Emissions</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment revises the Air Quality and Emissions Overview to clarify the threat of particulate pollutants vs. threat of CO2 emissions.</td>
<td>NOT INTRODUCED: Merged with Am 63</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 46</td>
<td>Overview: Air Quality and Emissions</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment clarifies the distinction between the human health effect of polluted air and the long-term environmental impacts of greenhouse gas emissions. It also clarifies that one of our main transportation goals is to encourage more non-motorized and public transit modes in the city.</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td>Voice Vote – via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 48</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies – Air Quality and Emissions</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith and Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment inserts a new goal (Goal 3.7) and three policies under Air Quality and Emissions and renumbers the current Goal 3.7 and related policies. This amendment makes reduction of greenhouse gas emissions a specific goal for the city and adds three policies to help achieve that goal. These policies come from the Bloomington</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td>Voice Vote – via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Ch.</td>
<td>P.</td>
<td>Programs – Solid Waste</td>
<td>Council Members</td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 49</td>
<td>Granger &amp; Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment changes the first bullet-point under “Programs – Solid Waste.” The change is intended to correct ambiguous and disingenuous language, since we have already “modernized” and upgraded the system (including “smart technology”).</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>6 – 1 - 0</td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>This amendment to the Am 65 deletes the bullet-point entirely</td>
<td>Failed</td>
<td>2 – 5 - 0</td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 49</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment adds another bullet-point under “Programs: Solid Waste.” In particular, the amendment adds development of a “pay as you throw” solid waste pickup program to the list of ways to implement the city’s solid waste goals.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>5 – 2 - 0</td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>This amendment would drop “Develop” and replace with “Consider developing.”</td>
<td>Failed</td>
<td>3 – 4 - 0</td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Ch. 3 P.49</td>
<td>Volan</td>
<td>This amendment inserts a new bullet-point under “Programs: Solid Waste” regarding the re-establishment of one or more recycling drop-off facilities within city limits. When the Downtown Bloomington Recycling Center (DBRC) was operating, it was collecting 300 tons of recyclables a year. It served a great many local residents and businesses unable to be served by city sanitation who wanted a place to recycle that was nearer than one of the Monroe County Solid Waste Management District’s drop-off points, all of which are rural. It proved the demand for such recycling services, and it did so while using fewer than 20 parking spaces. Yet since the end of November 2016 the DBRC remains closed, despite there being a responsible not-for-profit organization of experienced volunteers prepared to manage it, and despite no obvious other use for the land it occupied except parking for city and city employees’ vehicles. There is underutilized parking to be found all over the city. This amendment calls for an explicit commitment to establishing one or more similar recycling drop-off points, whether operated by the city or by a volunteer not-for-profit. It is consistent with Policy 3.5.1, which calls for “taking greater control of waste management infrastructure and critical waste streams.” While the District continues to explore a local Materials Recovery Facility (MRF), this is an obvious, demonstrably successful way to divert more of the waste stream, and will likely continue to be of use</td>
<td>Adopt as Amended</td>
<td>7 – 0 - 0</td>
<td>11/7/17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Environmental Action Plan of the Environmental Commission (2017). The first two policies are not specifically mentioned elsewhere in this chapter. The third is mentioned elsewhere, but is also important in achieving this newly articulated goal.
even if a MRF is created. The city should identify small plots of land in its inventory (particularly in and/or adjacent to public parking), or negotiate with a property owner or developer, for locating similarly sized centers Downtown, on the Eastside, the Southside, and/or wherever large numbers of unserved residences may be concentrated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment</th>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>67-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Amend the Amendment by striking “nearer-by” with “closer” to avoid use of an awkward phrased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 49</td>
<td>Programs – Air Quality and Emissions</td>
<td>This amendment adds a program under “Air Quality and Emissions.” It adds a program with a goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 49</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators – Air Quality ...</td>
<td>This amendment modifies the title for “Outcome: Air quality is maintained at a high level” and adds another indicator. The revised title addresses the reduction of carbon emissions. The new indicator would track whether our efforts in employing alternative energy, conservation of energy, and sequestration, has yielded a reduction of carbon emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>ToC, P 5</td>
<td>Table of Contents</td>
<td>This amendment adds chapter numbers to the Table of Contents, capitalizes the chapter titles, and adds dashes to separate chapter titles from page numbers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Intro, P 8</td>
<td>Plan Framework</td>
<td>This amendment moves “Objectives” above “Goals,” since in the Comprehensive Plan, “Objectives” appear before “Goals.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Intro, P 15</td>
<td>Major Objectives</td>
<td>This amendment seeks to clarify that some of the 16 statements adopted in City Council Res. 13-01 could fall under more than one Objective listed in this comprehensive plan. For example, “Fortify our strong commitment to equality, acceptance, openness and public engagement” is listed under Objective 1 (Community Services) but could also fall under Objective 2 (Culture &amp; Identity).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Intro, P 15-17</td>
<td>Major Objectives &amp; Chapters</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Intro, P 18</td>
<td>Community Profile</td>
<td>Volan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Intro, P 22</td>
<td>Community Profile, Future Projections</td>
<td>Volan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Intro, P 22</td>
<td>Community Profile, Future Projections</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith and Sturbaum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Ch 1, P 28</td>
<td>Goal 1.1</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Author</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Ch 1, P 28</td>
<td>Policy 1.2.1</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Ch 1, P 29</td>
<td>Goal 1.5</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Ch 2, P 34</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>Volan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Ch 2, P 35</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Ch 2, P 38</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Ch 2, P 39</td>
<td>Goal 2.3</td>
<td>Sandberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Ch 1, P 28</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| Ch 6, P 73 | “Goals & Policies” section of each chapter, the objectives listed there are not the same as those listed under the 6 major headings on page 15. This amendment seeks to rectify this discrepancy. Other objectives addressed by a certain chapter (outside those listed specifically on pg. 15 under the appropriate heading) can also be maintained in each chapter’s list with a special explanation. After all, the text before the list on pg. 15 now says “Note that some of the vision statements adopted by the City Council could fall under more than one of the headings below, but in this plan we have matched them most closely with the appropriate plan objective.” This wording passed as Am. 72 on Nov. 7. So the amendment keeps those additional objectives in the lists under “Goals & Policies” with a special explanation. Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change. | Adopt | 7 – 0 – 0 Via Consent Agenda | 12/5/17 |

| 85 | Ch 1, P 28 | Goals & Policies Piedmont-Smith | This amendment deletes a duplicate policy point (Policy 1.1.3) and rewords Goal 1.1 accordingly. Currently Policy 1.1.3 and Policy 1.3.3 are exactly the same, and the policy seems to fit better under Goal 1.3 than under Goal 1.1. After deleting Policy 1.1.3, the Goal 1.1 statement no longer needs to include “food production opportunities,” so this amendment also does away with that reference. Note that Goal 1.3 and subsequent policies were renumbered Goal 1.4 (and Policies 1.4.1, 1.4.2, and 1.4.3) through the adoption of Amendment 77 on Nov. 7. Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change. | Adopt | 7 – 0 – 0 Via Consent Agenda | 12/5/17 |

| 86 | Ch 1, P 32 | Outcomes & Indicators Council Office | This amendment makes a minor clean up to text by adding a missing public health outcome header under “Outcomes and Indicators.” The addition was passed by the Plan Commission, but inadvertently not included in the Comprehensive Plan certified to the Council. | Adopt | 7 – 0 – 0 Via Consent Agenda | 12/5/17 |

<p>| 87 | Ch 2, P 38 | Goals &amp; Policies Piedmont-Smith | This amendment deletes an objective from the 2013 Vision Statement from the preliminary text in Chapter 2 because the objective is not actually addressed in this chapter. Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change. | Adopt | 7 – 0 – 0 Via Consent Agenda | 12/5/17 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Chapter, Paragraph</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Votes</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Ch 2, P 38</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment seeks to address the Vision Statement objective “Offer a wide variety of excellent educational opportunities for our residents at every stage of life.” Educational opportunities in Bloomington are discussed in the opening text of this chapter but are not reflected in any of the goals or policies. This amendment adds a new goal and three policies intended to achieve that goal. Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>7–0–0</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 47</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment deletes an objective from the 2013 Vision Statement from the preliminary text in Chapter 3 because the objective is not actually addressed in this chapter. Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>7–0–0</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Ch 4, P 55</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment adds a policy to Goal 4.1 to address the problem of amalgamating lots to create large, inappropriately-scaled buildings that detract from the historic character and main-street feel of the Downtown. Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change. See Am 15 for previous changes to Goal 4.1.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>7–0–0</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Ch 4, P 55</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment adds a new goal and three new policies to address the 2013 Vision Statement objective “Celebrate our rich, eclectic blend of arts, culture, and local businesses.” Currently there is no goal or policy in Ch. 4, Downtown, that deals with local business, although there are several paragraphs in the opening text that describe the benefits of locally-owned businesses. This amendment seeks to make up for this deficiency and also to underline the importance of the arts Downtown. Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>7–0–0</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 58</td>
<td>Chapter Overview</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith &amp; Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment clarifies the diverse nature of existing neighborhoods and presents the challenges of exercising legislation in neighborhoods with covenants. “Supply-end strategies” is loaded with various meanings and potentially confusing concepts. Note: This amendment is CONA’s Am. #1.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>6–0–1</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 60</td>
<td>Housing Trends and Issues</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith, Rollo &amp; Sturbaum</td>
<td>This amendment deletes generalized, confusing and inaccurate language. Core neighborhoods are diverse whereas other residential zones are single-use. The majority of the apartments are currently being built in the downtown and along corridors, not</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>6–1–0</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
inside neighborhoods. The amendment also makes clear that existing neighborhoods close to the city center should not bear the burden of increased density needed as the city grows. Note: This amendment reflects CONA’s Am. #2 but was revised.

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 60</td>
<td>Housing Trends and Issues</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith, Rollo &amp; Sturbaum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This amendment removes mention of “supply side” housing solutions, since “supply side” has negative or confusing connotations. Also, the amendment corrects a reference to the 2002 GPP and describes inappropriate development that has occurred since the GPP was adopted. Note that this amendment includes CONA’s Am. #3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adopt as Amended</td>
<td>7 – 0 – 0</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 94-A | Same | Same | Same |
|      | This amendment to Am 94 deletes a sentence stating that “[m]uch of this new MFR housing is inappropriately scaled to its surroundings.” |
|      | Adopt Amendment to Amendment | 7 – 0 – 0 | 12/5/17 |

| 95 | Ch 5, P 60-61 | Housing Trends and Issues | Piedmont-Smith & Rollo |
|     | This amendment recognizes that existing older neighborhood housing stock is affordable and should be protected. Also, long term affordability is a strategy that can discourage home ownership and not allow owners to realize full value of their home. The amendment also breaks one long paragraph into two paragraphs. Notes: 
- the red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.
- this amendment is CONA’s Am. #4, slightly revised.
- this amendment was revised after released in the December 1, 2017 packet. |
|     | Adopt (as revised) | 7 – 0 – 0 | 12/5/17 |

| 96 | Ch 5, P 62 | Neighborhoods | Piedmont-Smith & Rollo |
|     | This amendment corrects the use of words that have specific meaning in historic preservation. Demolition Delay was developed to protect housing stock 50+ years old, not just in designated historic districts. In addition, it was developed to protect the fabric of a neighborhood, not just individual buildings. Note: This is CONA’s Am. #5. |
|     | Adopt | 7 – 0 – 0 | Via Consent Agenda | 12/5/17 |

| 97 | Ch 5, P 63 | Goals & Policies – Goal 5.2 | Piedmont-Smith & Rollo |
|     | This amendment adds language to acknowledge that new developments and redevelopments have both a positive and negative impact on the surrounding neighborhood. Note: This is CONA’s Am. 6 |
|     | Adopt | 7 – 0 – 0 | Via Consent Agenda | 12/5/17 |

<p>| 98 | Ch 5, P 63 | Goals &amp; Policies – Goal 5.2 | Piedmont-Smith |
|     | This amendment seeks to address the vision statement objective #15 “Encourage healthy lifestyles by providing high quality public places, green space and parks, and an array of recreational activities and events” at an appropriate place in the Goals and |
|     | Adopt | 7 – 0 – 0 | 12/5/17 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Goals &amp; Policies – Policy No.</th>
<th>Amendment Details</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 63</td>
<td>Goal 5.2 Piedmont-Smith and Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment removes language that opens the door to incompatible development in historic neighborhoods. The words “complementary” and “contemporary” are subjective and incompatible with historic character found in older neighborhoods. The amendment also adds language to make clear that design elements of the prevailing fabric should be used in new and renovated buildings.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>7 - 0 - 0</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 63</td>
<td>Policy 5.2.5 Piedmont-Smith and Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment deletes language encouraging incompatible architecture in neighborhoods and replaces it with language encouraging the maintenance of built character. The original text negates the intent of demolition delay in unprotected older neighborhoods. Note: This is CONA's Am. #8</td>
<td>Accept Withdrawal</td>
<td>7 - 0 - 0</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-R</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 63</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies Piedmont-Smith &amp; Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment deletes language encouraging incompatible architecture in neighborhoods and replaces it with language encouraging the maintenance of built character. The original text negates the intent of demolition delay in unprotected older neighborhoods. Note: This is a revision to the previously-withdrawn amendment #100. The only change made with this revision is to shift the word “density” in the original amendment submission to “distribution.”</td>
<td>Revised and Reintroduced</td>
<td>5 – 3 - 1</td>
<td>1/17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-R-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same Same</td>
<td>The amendment to Am 100-R corrects the number of Policy from 5.2.5 to 5.2.6 was offered and adopted.</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 63 &amp; 65</td>
<td>Goals and Policies – New Policy 5.2.6 Programs – Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life Granger and Sandberg</td>
<td>This amendment adds the prioritization of “visitability” standards to the Comprehensive Plan by adding a new policy and two new program points. The new policy encourages the addition of visitability and accessibility features, where practicable, and where not otherwise required, in all single and multi-family residential housing construction and modification. The two new program points call for working with community partners to develop ongoing educational programs regarding visitability and accessibility and to develop visitability standards. The term “visitable” describes a housing unit that enables persons with disabilities to visit, with an accessible entrance, bathroom and common area, while “accessible” addresses the configuration of a unit to accommodate persons with disabilities.</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>7 – 0 – 0</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Ch, P</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies – Program –</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith &amp; Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment is intended to encourage the redevelopment of non-residential developed land (parking lots and other underutilized property) instead of land that is already developed for housing. As currently worded, Goal 5.3 could imply that land already development as single-family housing be redeveloped with greater density, which would threaten the integrity of our core neighborhoods.  Note: This is CONA’s Am. 9, with an additional sentence added to the synopsis.</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>7 – 0 – 0 via Consent Agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 63, 65</td>
<td>Goals and Policies – Goal 5.3.2 &amp; Programs – Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith &amp; Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment has two parts: 1) By revising Policy 5.3.2, it clarifies awkward language as well as encourages the creation of community activity centers for seniors. The language about types of housing that may benefit seniors is moved to a program point. 2) By adding a program point under Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life it appropriately situates encouraging a range of senior housing options in the “Programs” section of the chapter.  Note: This is a revised version of CONA’s Am. #10.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>6 – 0 – 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 64</td>
<td>Programs – Affordable Housing</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith &amp; Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment removes the encouragement of placing these alternative housing types everywhere in the city rather than defining appropriate compatible locations.  Note: This is CONA’s Am. #11.</td>
<td>Accept Withdrawal</td>
<td>7 – 0 – 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 104-R | Ch 5, P 64 | Programs – Affordable Housing | Piedmont-Smith & Rollo | This amendment removes the encouragement of placing these alternative housing types everywhere in the city rather than defining appropriate compatible locations.  Note: This is a revision to the previously-withdrawn amendment #104.  
Note further: This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced, to add senior populations to the populations the City wishes to attract by expanding compact housing solutions. | ADOPT | 9 - 0 - 0 | 1/17/18 |
<p>| 105 | Ch 5, P 64 | Programs – Affordable Housing | Piedmont-Smith &amp; Rollo | Adaptive reuse should be encouraged. Zoning does not need to be changed to obtain this goal.  Note: This is CONA’s Am. #12. | Adopt | 7 – 0 – 0 via Consent Agenda | 12/5/17 |
| 106 | Ch 5, P 65 | Programs – Affordable Housing | Piedmont-Smith &amp; Rollo | This amendment strives to make the language of this particular program point more inclusive by expanding the amenities and making them available to everyone.  Note: This is CONA’s Am. #13. | Adopt | 7 – 0 – 0 via Consent Agenda | 12/5/17 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 65</td>
<td>Programs – Affordable Housing</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment adds another program under “Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life” discouraging covenants in single-family neighborhoods that thwart community goals of sustainable development (both environmental, through green building practices, and economic, through affordable housing models that allow higher density and/or shared amenities). We have seen in 2017 that covenants restrict the implementation of ADUs and pocket neighborhoods, and co-housing is also restricted by covenants although it is encouraged implicitly in this document.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>7 – 0 – 0</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 65</td>
<td>Programs – Affordable Housing</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith &amp; Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment moves a bullet point from the Housing Chapter, “Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life,” to the Land Use Chapter, “Overview,” as the bullet is applicable to the entire city, not just neighborhoods. In so moving, the amendment also renders the bullet as a new paragraph to make clear that this paragraph is an action item that attaches to the whole of Chapter 7. Note: red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change. Note further: This is CONA’s Am. #14</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>7 – 0 – 0 Via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 65</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith &amp; Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment moves a bullet point from the Housing and Neighborhoods Chapter, “Outcomes and Indicators” to the Land Use Chapter, “Overview,” as the bullet is applicable to the entire city, not just neighborhoods. In so moving, the amendment also renders the bullet as a new paragraph to make clear that this paragraph is an action item that attaches to the whole of Chapter 7. Note: red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change. Note further: This is CONA’s Am. #15.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>7 – 0 – 0 Via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 81</td>
<td>Exhibit 7 – Future Land Use Map</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment adds a note to the Future Land Use Map to clarify that this is not a zoning map. Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.</td>
<td>Adopt</td>
<td>7 – 0 – 0 Via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 82</td>
<td>Mixed Urban Residential – Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>Sturbaum</td>
<td>This amendment is proposed as a second-round amendment following the defeat of Am 33, which recommended the conditional use process as a way of mediating the incursion of higher densities within or on the edges of existing single family neighborhoods located in Mixed Urban Residential land use districts. In lieu of that approach, this amendment recommends that “these denser uses are more appropriate in existing multi-family and commercial zones and new areas of development.” It also</td>
<td>Accept Withdrawal</td>
<td>7 - 0 - 0</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment Number</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Votes</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Ch 7, p 84</td>
<td>Land Use Districts - Downtown – Land Use Approvals</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment revisits the concerns expressed in the defeated amendment 34. While Amendment 34 deleted the provision on higher densities and increased heights in the downtown, this amendment revises the passage to narrow the focus to building heights downtown. It reflects a desire to increase heights above one story but to limit heights to five stories, or less depending on the overlay district.</td>
<td>Not Introduced</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112-R</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>This amendment revisits some of the concerns expressed in the defeated Amendment 34. While Amendment 34 deleted both the suggestions for increased heights and for diversified density calculations, this amendment deletes only the suggestion for increased heights Downtown. Diversified density calculations are an issue that came up at the Plan Commission when the new Bloomington Bagel Company building was approved in the summer of 2016. The micro-units that were proposed and approved for that development should be encouraged by not counting them equivalent to a standard-sized studio apartment. However, increased building heights downtown are not supported by many in the community and should only be approved if other community benefits are offered.</td>
<td>Introduced in Revised Form</td>
<td>9 – 0 – 0</td>
<td>01/10/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 94</td>
<td>Focus Areas &amp; Strategies</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment is meant to allay concerns that Form Based Code would replace use-based zoning in Bloomington.</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td>7 – 0 – 0 Via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 96</td>
<td>Focus Areas &amp; Strategies – Switchyard North</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment seeks to protect the affordable single-family neighborhoods near the future Switchyard Park from rezoning for more intensive land use purposes, which may well make living there less affordable.</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td>7 – 0 – 0 Via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>12/5/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Intro &amp; Exec Summary, P 6</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Ruff</td>
<td>This amendment clarifies that the Comprehensive Plan includes methods to “foster” -- not “create” -- a healthy local economy and include actions that not only protect, but also enhance the natural environment.</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td>9 – 0 – 0 Via Consent Agenda</td>
<td>1/17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Page</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Text</td>
<td>Adoption Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Intro &amp; Executive Summary, P 7</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
<td>The amendment adds text to the Executive Summary to note that the Comprehensive Plan differs from a “Growth Policies Plan” as it provides a framework and tools for realizing general community well-being and quality of life that is not simply achievable by standard measures of growth. It notes that our challenge is to flourish while developing in a sustainable fashion. It refers to the community-derived Vision Statement as providing the core principles to guide our course.</td>
<td>ADOPTED as amended 9 – 0 - 0 01/17/18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Changes “previous” to “its predecessor” prior to mention of the Growth Policies Plan</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0 - 0 1/17/18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Intro &amp; Executive Summary, P 10</td>
<td>Ruff</td>
<td>This amendment adds two paragraphs before Resolution 13-01, the 2013 resolution adopting the Council’s Vision Statement. One of these paragraphs clarifies the meaning of the terms “growth” and “development” as used in both the Vision Statement and throughout the document to make clear that the terms are qualitative, not quantitative. The other makes it clear that Bloomington’s enhancement as a regional economic hub should not come at the cost of the health and wellbeing of surrounding regional economies. Note: This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced to move the interpretive guidance to p. 10, rather than p. 8.</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 - 0-0 1/17/18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Plan Fr., Com Prof &amp; Ch 1 P 1, 8, 24, 31, 32 &amp; 33 Programs, Future Projections, Programs, Economic Development, Outcomes &amp; Indicators</td>
<td>Ruff</td>
<td>The existing Comprehensive Plan is silent on the role and importance of labor. This amendment corrects this oversight by making a number of changes to add the voice and important nature of labor throughout the document. First, the amendment includes labor organizations as one of the community’s key stakeholders and agents when it comes to the programs outline in the Plan. Secondly, the amendment acknowledges and includes labor’s role as an important participant in adapting to evolving trends in the manufacturing sector and workplace. Lastly, the amendment adds a new paragraph to recognizing the importance of supporting workers and the role they play in a healthy local economy in improving the overall well-being of the community. It also expresses support for the need for collaboration and cooperation of labor and management to maximize progress towards improving the economy and our larger community goals. The amendment add two new Outcome.</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 - 0-0 1/17/18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment</td>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Vote</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119-120</td>
<td>Com Prof, P 20</td>
<td>Existing Conditions</td>
<td>Ruff</td>
<td>This amendment deletes a sentence discussing the Bloomington MSA falling behind the average MSA. This sentence does not clearly cite a referent and does not otherwise follow from the previous statement discussing Bloomington’s low unemployment rate.</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td>9 - 0 - 0</td>
<td>1/17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-A</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Added language making clear that “imports” are goods and services from outside of the community</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td>9 - 0 - 0</td>
<td>01/17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121-122</td>
<td>Com Prof, P 21</td>
<td>Existing Conditions</td>
<td>Ruff</td>
<td>This amendment re-frames the last sentence to eliminate the assertion that Bloomington must be “positioned to grow” based on future demographic and economic projections.</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td>9 - 0 - 0</td>
<td>1/17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Com Prof, P 22</td>
<td>Future Projections</td>
<td>Sandberg &amp; Volan</td>
<td>This amendment provides housing and household projections for Bloomington. Envision Tomorrow is an open-access planning package that allows professional planners to analyze how their community’s current growth pattern and future decisions affecting growth will impact a range of measures from public health, fiscal resiliency and environmental sustainability. The Balanced Housing Model is a component of the Envision Tomorrow package which can be used to analyze a community’s existing housing supply, using data such as age, household income and tenure (rental or owner-occupied) collected from the U.S. Census Bureau. It can also be used when identifying</td>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td>9 - 0 - 0</td>
<td>01/17/18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
future housing needs based on population and age and income cohorts. This amendment uses the 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates. The chart below shows the projected data for the City of Bloomington.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Com Prof, P 21</td>
<td>Future Projections</td>
<td>This amendment re-frames the last sentence to make clear that the preservation of green space and environmental protection are challenges posed by the quantitative growth measures of population increase and an expansion of the built environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Com Prof, P 24</td>
<td>Future Projections</td>
<td>This amendment deletes the word “best” in the passage asserting that the best and highest-paid jobs require an advanced degree; “best” is subjective in this context. This amendment also inserts the word “retain” in the passage reading that Bloomington has the right environment to attract an educated workforce.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Com Serv &amp; Econ, P 27</td>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>This amendment makes a number of clarifying changes to the first three paragraphs of the “Economic Development” section, including: changing “creating” to “maintaining” in the discussion of a positive business environment; shifting “graduates” to “residents” in the passage calling jobs that encourage people to stay in the community; and, using the word “quality” to describe job creation. The amendment also eliminates the phrase “…such as concerns related to regulation” used in this same sentence with the term “economic competitiveness” as it implies that local regulations likely reduce or inhibit economic competitiveness. It plays too much to the trope that regulations are often at odds with community good. Further, the amendment makes import substitution as part of the City’s approach to strengthen economic health. Because this paragraph notes the importance of primary employers --- which have been defined as businesses that bring income into the community from outside the local economy — it is just as important to include the economic strategy of import substitution which reduces the leakage of local income from inside the local economy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>Ch 1, P 27</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>The commonly understood terminology for a wage that a worker can live off of is “living wage” not “livable wage.” This amendment makes that correction in the second paragraph in the right column of pg. 27.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>Ch 1, P 29</td>
<td>Goal 1.6</td>
<td>This amendment adds language to Goal 1.6 to make clear that local governments have an important role to play in resident participation in policymaking. This engagement helps create more informed and engaged citizens, and importantly, helps engender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Ch, P, Program</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Amendment Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Ch 1, P 29, Goal 1.6</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment adds a policy to Goal 1.6. In order for the City of Bloomington to improve its capacities to design and deliver effective and inclusive public engagement in support of City Planning and services, attention should be paid to developing staff capacities for such design and delivery, learning and retaining learning from experiences gained, and ensuring that this knowledge building is not isolated within one City department.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>Ch 1, P 29, Policy 1.7.2</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>“Traded/basic employers” is not a well-known term, nor does it come up in a simple Google search. This amendment seeks to use more commonplace language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Ch 1, P 29, Goal 1.7; Programs; and Economic Development</td>
<td>Ruff</td>
<td>This amendment changes all references to “human capital” to “worker” or “workers and their skills” wherever it appears in the document, as the use of “human capital” carries a de-humanizing and commodified connotation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Ch 1, P 30, Programs, Municipal Services</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment adds a new bullet point to include the implementation of a quality of life survey asking about residents' well-being and needs that could be address via policy initiatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131-A</td>
<td>Same, Same</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Adds the word “recurring” prior to the Quality of Life Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Ch 1, P 31, Programs</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>During the annexation process of 2017, cut short by the actions of our state legislature and governor, there was significant dissatisfaction expressed by county government officials about the lack of inclusion in the development of the original proposal. This amendment adds back in language that is substantially similar to the language deleted by the Council with Am#79, adopted via a consent agenda. While #79 deleted this language to rid the passage of perceived redundancy, it is nonetheless worth highlighting the importance of promoting participatory citizenship; hence, the reinsertion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment</td>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Amendments Made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Ch 2, P 40</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 44</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 44</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 47</td>
<td>Goal &amp; Policies</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 47</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Ch 3, P 49</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 58</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Ch, P</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Author</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 60</td>
<td>Housing Trends &amp; Issues</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 63</td>
<td>Policy 5.1.3</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 64</td>
<td>Goal 5.4</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 65</td>
<td>Programs</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>Ch 5, P 66</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Amendment Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>Ch 6, P 73</td>
<td>Overview, Transportation Investments</td>
<td>This amendment corrects a sentence that currently has no verb and moves this sentence to a more appropriate location within the text of the overview, under the heading of Transportation Investments. It also clarifies the sentence that introduces the Master Thoroughfare Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>Ch 6, P 73</td>
<td>Overview, Transportation Investments</td>
<td>This amendment adds context to the discussion of community challenges presented by I-69 by making it clear that I-69 will have the practical effect of moving the City of Bloomington closer to Indianapolis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>Ch 6, 73</td>
<td>Overview, Motor Vehicle Transportation</td>
<td>This amendment corrects a typographical error in the first full paragraph on pg. 73, under the heading Motor Vehicle Transportation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>Ch 6, P 74</td>
<td>General Policies, Master Thoroughfare Plan</td>
<td>This amendment clarifies the policy of the Master Thoroughfare Plan associated with retrofitting existing roadways to accommodate non-single occupancy vehicle travel. Specifically, the amendment adds language making clear that the City has limited interest in widening roadways and seeks to decrease per-capita VMT (vehicle miles traveled). Note: This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced to make it clear that plans for bicyclists, pedestrian, transit users, and shared riders are not only prioritized before any roadway widening, but are considered before any such plans for widening (emphasis added)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Number not assigned to an amendment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Ch 6, P 77</td>
<td>Programs</td>
<td>This amendment clarifies the 5th, 6th, and 15th bullet points under Programs, specifically under the heading “General” (Ch. 7). 5th bullet: Clarify that this point is addressing events in the ROW. 6th bullet: Replace it with two separate bullet points to make the meaning more clear. Note that this clarification was approved by City traffic engineer Andrew Cibor. 15th bullet: Correct a typo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Ch 6, P 78</td>
<td>Programs, Motor Vehicles</td>
<td>This amendment makes it clear that safety improvements made to reduce motor vehicle crashes should not decrease safety for bicycles and pedestrians.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Amendment Text</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Adoption Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>Ch 6, P 79</td>
<td>This amendment clarifies the desired outcome of efficient and effective management of public parking by specifying a desired utilization rate and by including neighborhood parking zones.</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0 - 0 Via Consent Agenda 1/17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 82</td>
<td>This amendment adds language in the paragraph under the heading Transform. The current text says this theme should apply to land that is currently mostly undeveloped, but if you read through Ch. 7, it is also applied to land that is underdeveloped, like the Urban Corridors. There is very little completely undeveloped land in the city, so I think underdeveloped should be added here.</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0 - 0 Via Consent Agenda 1/17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 83</td>
<td>This amendment re-draws the Future Land Use Map to slightly contract the area designated “Employment Center” and replace it with “Neighborhood Residential” just south of S. Strong Drive and west of S. Rogers Street. The change is denoted by the area circled in red. This minor change reflects the new Habitat for Humanity project scheduled to be built on the old Thompson site. (Includes Map as an Attachment)</td>
<td>Ruff &amp; Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0 - 0 Via Consent Agenda 1/17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 84</td>
<td>This amendment acknowledges that in addition to aging infrastructure in much of the Mixed Urban Residential district, there are many areas that totally lack essential urban amenities.</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0 - 0 Via Consent Agenda 1/17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 85</td>
<td>This amendment removes the word “unique” when describing development patterns. Unique means “one of a kind” whereas the intent is to be consistent with the overall character.</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0 - 0 Via Consent Agenda 1/17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 85</td>
<td>This amendment adds language to emphasize that property in the Mixed Urban Residential area should only be converted to multifamily or commercial if the property is along neighborhood edges or high volume roads.</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0 - 0 Via Consent Agenda 1/17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>ADOPTED Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 85</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment changes the word “desired” to “prevailing” regarding what type of infill is compatible. The word “desire” relates to an individual’s wishes, rather than respecting the existing historic pattern.</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0 - 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 85</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
<td>The second bullet under Land Use Development Approvals mixes two important ideas: the importance of ADUs and the importance of safeguarding owner-occupied residential from conversion to multifamily. This amendment splits this into two separate bullet points.</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0 - 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 85</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith &amp; Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment adds sidewalks to the list of important infrastructure that should be preserved/repaired/upgraded in the Urban Mixed Residential areas.</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0 - 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 85</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith &amp; Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment changes, in the text of several Land Use Districts, the word “could” to “should” in the bullet point relaying that utilities could be placed underground where feasible. The shift of the term “could” to “should” mirrors the language used regarding utilities in the discussion of the Village Center and Parks/Open Space. The intent is to make the language consistent in all land use sections where it occurs, and to make it clear the city prefers underground utilities to above-ground utilities.</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0 - 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 86</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith &amp; Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment removes the word “innovation” when describing what’s desired in downtown architecture. Much like “unique” it is ill-defined and open-ended. In addition, this amendment adds “human scale buildings” to the list of desirable attributes of the existing main-street appeal of downtown Bloomington.</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0 - 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 86</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment makes minor language modifications to the “Urban Services” section to make clear that the list of services cited is representative, not exhaustive.</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0 - 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 87</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment deletes a statement asserting that in the Downtown Gateway, Downtown Edges, and Showers Technology Park, higher density and minimal mixed-use aspects are appropriate as mixed-use features should not be minimized in these areas.</td>
<td>ADOPTED 9 – 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Amendment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>Ch 7</td>
<td>P 88</td>
<td>Land Use Districts, Neighborhood Residential</td>
<td>This amendment removes redundant language at the end of the first paragraph on pg. 88 and clarifies language about the “20-minute neighborhood” in the second paragraph.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>Ch 7</td>
<td>P 88</td>
<td>Neighborhood Residential, Land Use Development</td>
<td>This amendment removes a bullet-point that suggests maintaining existing context in large tracts of land in Neighborhood Residential. This bullet contradicts text in the narrative that suggests higher densities may be appropriate in this district.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>Ch 7</td>
<td>P 90</td>
<td>Urban Village Center, Site Design</td>
<td>This amendment highlights the importance of Urban Village Centers fitting into the existing context. Outreach to the neighborhood is important, but equally important is blending the Urban Village Center with the surrounding context.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>Ch 7</td>
<td>P 90</td>
<td>Urban Village Center, Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>This amendment highlights the importance of locating Urban Village Centers along high volume roads. While neighborhoods can support Urban Village Centers, they cannot sustain them. These centers require the exposure of higher volume roads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>Ch 7</td>
<td>P 90</td>
<td>Urban Village Center, Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>This amendment relates to parking at Urban Village Centers. On-site parking for residents is important. Equally important is on-street parking for successful commercial retail. The last bullet-point needs to be split into two separate bullets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>Ch 7</td>
<td>P 92</td>
<td>Land Use Districts, Urban Corridor, Land Use</td>
<td>This amendment adds a new bullet point making clear that the Urban Corridor district is particularly suited for residential use and is suited for both higher densities and taller building heights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Sponsor</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 92</td>
<td>Land Use Districts, Urban Corridor, Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>Rollo</td>
<td>This amendment adds a bullet to Land Use Developments Approvals for Urban Corridor. On-street parking will provide convenient and essential parking needs for successful commercial/retail while creating a more pedestrian friendly buffer between speeding traffic and the public realm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 97</td>
<td>Land Use Districts, Parks/Open Space, Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment deletes the fourth bullet and clarifies the fifth bullet to make clear that the City prioritizes park and open space access for City residents and is not calling for increased residential densities around existing parks nor calling for increased densities that might drive up housing costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 98</td>
<td>Focus Areas &amp; Strategies, Introduction</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment slightly revises the language describing the Sustainability strategy for development of the Focus Areas. It affects the last sentence under the heading Sustainability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>Ch 7, P 98</td>
<td>Focus Areas &amp; Strategies</td>
<td>Piedmont-Smith</td>
<td>This amendment clarifies what is meant by the development strategy of “Livability or Lifetime Community.” Sponsor consulted with Phil Stafford, who serves on the Bloomington Commission on Aging and is an expert in this area, on the revised text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>Chs 1 – 6</td>
<td>Goals</td>
<td>Volan</td>
<td>This amendment is sponsored by Cm Volan. To be consistent throughout the document, it adds titles to goals for chapters where those titles have not yet been entered. In the process it reorders the words in one goal statement to conform to the title. It also moves two policy statements from one goal to another for better coherence. Lastly it adds a new policy (Policy 6.7.4). Note: This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced to add the words “and Civic Spaces” to the title for Goal 1.4 so that the title now reads: “Goal 1.4 Parks and Civic Spaces – Maintenance, Improvement, Expansion”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>Entire Plan</td>
<td>Cover to Cover</td>
<td>Volan</td>
<td>This amendment brings forward Cm. Volan’s recommendations for reorganizing, formatting and indexing changes to the Bloomington Comprehensive Plan. While the notes below are extensive, they are largely stylistic, and intended solely to clarify the ideas in the Plan, but not make any substantive changes. The directions are intended to</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
provide guidance on formatting done by staff before the Comprehensive Plan is returned to the Plan Commission for its review and response to Council amendments

|    |    |    | Volan |    | 177 | Ch 1, P 3, 31 | Acknowledgments and Programs |  This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Volan and contains two formatting provisions not included in Am 176. The first provision calls for the Acknowledgments to be more comprehensive as was done with the 2002 Growth Policies Plan. The second provision addresses a photograph on Page 31 of children in the Council Chambers visiting from Mongolia. Cm. Volan is concerned that it may inaccurately imply a level of diversity we do not currently have within the City. In the absence of a caption, which staff was disinclined to do, the amendment calls for replacement of the photograph. |    | ADOPT AS AMENDED (WITH NOTE) | 9 – 0 - 0 | 1/17/18 |
| 177-A | Same | Same | Volan | Note: Council amended the amendment to include Council Office staff in the acknowledgments. It also requested that staff would share final acknowledgments with Council President prior to return of Plan to the Plan Commission. |    |     |     |     | ADOPT | Unanimous Consent | 1/17/18 |
| 178 | Appendix, P 106-127 | Entire | Volan | The Appendix imports programs identified in each chapter of the Comprehensive Plan and sets forth an implementation chart for the programs one chapter at a time. The implementation charts are administrative in nature and list: 1) the program; 2) the “lead department or agency’; 3) the “expected timing” (short, mid, long, ongoing); and, whether it: 4) is a “policy, ordinance, or other legislation” 5) a study or a plan; 6) involves interagency coordination; 7) involves development review; and 8) involves ongoing public education and outreach. The Appendix in the December 15th draft of the Comprehensive Plan reflects changes in the implementation charts based upon changes in programs (i.e. additions, deletions, and modifications) made by the Council as of December 5th. This amendment addresses changes in the program implementation charts needed to reflect subsequent amendments made by the Council. It authorizes the Planning & Transportation staff to complete the implementation charts to account for subsequent changes in programs and submit them to the Council President for review and approval prior the return of the amendments to Comprehensive Plan to the Plan Commission for its statutory review and response (in accordance with IC 36-7-4-510). |    | ADOPT | 9 – 0 - 0 | Via Consent Agenda | 1/17/18 |
Amendment Form for **Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan)**

Amendment #: 01
Submitted By: Cm. Sturbaum, District I
Date: September 1, 2017
Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Overview – Design, page 53, shall be amended by striking text from and adding text to the following language in the second paragraph:

   **Attitudes of complacency and standardization can begin to erode Downtown’s success and should be avoided.** The 2005 Downtown Vision and Infill Strategy Plan, developed as a result of the 2002 Growth Policies Plan, established character areas and helped to better guide specific building design and architecture features. The character areas described in that plan became overlay districts in the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO), which created height, design, and bulk regulations for each character area. These regulations have helped to shape many of the newer developments in Downtown. However, details on building height, mass, design, and uses are coming under scrutiny as Downtown continues to grow and evolve. Avoiding standardized templates or boilerplate proposals for new building projects recognizes the need for alternative compliance with the UDO; there is a need for clearer policy guidance for each character area. Form-based codes and/or Fine-tuning of design guidelines, building height, massing, and other site details, such as the ability for student-oriented housing to be adaptively reused for other market segments, are in order as Bloomington moves forward. The community also cannot lose sight of the need to better define its expectations for the Downtown public realm. After all, an active and lively public realm is what makes downtowns so unique.

   Guiding new developments in these areas will help Downtown maintain and strengthen its economic vitality and visual attractiveness as a great place to be.

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes changes to the Downtown-Design section. It emphasizes form-based design guidelines instead of form-based code. In so doing, it favors compatibility of designs and stricter design guidelines over innovative design in charting the future success of the downtown.

*Note: Am 01 was revised after the amendment was released on Friday, September 8th and before the meeting on Tuesday, September 12th. The revision made a grammatical change in the second-to-last sentence in the affected paragraph.*

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: 9 – 0 Adopted

(September 12, 2017)
Changes to Chapter 4 (Downtown), Overview – Design (assuming adoption of Am 01)

Design
Downtown Bloomington has a certain aesthetic that continues to define its character. Since the adoption of the last Comprehensive Plan, architecture, parking, historic preservation, student housing, an emerging population of persons experiencing homelessness, employment, hotels, convention center expansion, retail mix, and other hot topic issues have played out. These issues are good proxies for Downtown’s vibrancy. They stimulate a continuous community dialogue among residents, businesses, and visitors over the look and feel of Downtown. This look and feel is especially important in regards to both real and perceived safety concerns. Public discourse, information exchange, and positive economic change are good ways to channel this energy into practices that will sustain Downtown’s prominence as the inclusive heart of Bloomington.

Attitudes of complacency and standardization can begin to erode Downtown’s success and should be avoided. The 2005 Downtown Vision and Infill Strategy Plan, developed as a result of the 2002 Growth Policies Plan, established character areas and helped to better guide specific building design and architecture features. The character areas described in that plan became overlay districts in the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO), which created height, design, and bulk regulations for each character area. These regulations have helped to shape many of the newer developments in Downtown. However, details on building height, mass, design, and uses are coming under scrutiny need some revision as Downtown continues to grow and evolve. Avoiding standardized templates or boilerplate proposals for new building projects recognizes the need for alternative compliance with the UDO and much There is a need for clearer policy guidance for each character area. Form-based codes and/or Fine-tuning of design guidelines, building height, massing, and other site details, such as the ability for student-oriented housing to be adaptively reused for other market segments, are in order as Bloomington moves forward. The community also cannot lose sight of the need to better define its expectations for the Downtown public realm. After all, an active and lively public realm is what makes downtowns so unique special. Guiding new developments in these areas will help Downtown maintain and strengthen its economic vitality and visual attractiveness as a great place to be.
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 02
Submitted By: Cm. Sturbaum, District I
Date: September 1, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Goals & Policies, page 55, shall be amended by adding text to Policy 4.1.2, so that it reads as follows:

   Policy 4.1.2: Recognize the significance of both traditional and innovative, high-quality **compatible** architecture in supporting community character and urban design.

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes a change to the Downtown-Goals & Policies section. The change adds the word “compatible” before the word ‘architecture” in Policy 4.1.2 in order to emphasize that both traditional and innovative architecture must not only be of high-quality but also be compatible with its surroundings in order to support community character and urban design. (See below for the change in context of Goal 4.1)

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: 9 – 0 – 0
Adopt

(September 1, 2017)
Changes in Context of Goal 4.1

Goal 4.1 Ensure that the Downtown retains its historic character and main street feel, encouraging redevelopment that complements and does not detract from its character.

Policy 4.1.1: Ensure that public investments in infrastructure and technology do not detract from historic preservation and that they enhance pedestrian-friendly character in the downtown.

Policy 4.1.2: Recognize the significance of both traditional and innovative, high-quality compatible architecture in supporting community character and urban design.

Policy 4.1.3: Recognize historic preservation as an economic development tool and encourage public and private investment in maintaining historic buildings downtown.
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 03

Submitted By: Cm. Sturbaum, District I

Date: September 1, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Goals & Policies, page 55, shall be amended by adding Policy 4.4.5 which reads as follows:

   Policy 4.4.5 Encourage special design qualities such as universal design, 1-2 bedroom limits, adequate storage space, and use of sustainable and enduring materials, that promote housing which is built for all citizens and for a range of ages and affordability options.

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes a change to the Downtown-Goals & Policies section. The change adds a new Policy 4.4.5 advocating special design qualities that open residential uses up to a more diverse demographic. (See below for the change in context of Goal 4.4)

Note: Am 03 was revised after the amendment was released on Friday, September 8th and before the meeting on Tuesday, September 12th. The revisions are noted in bold above and add some missing text.

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: Adopt

9 – 0 – 0

(September 12, 2017)

Note: Sponsor expressed interest in revisiting Am 03 at the end of the process.
Changes in Context of Goal 4.4

Goal 4.4 Encourage a range of diverse housing types downtown, with an emphasis on affordable and workforce housing.

Policy 4.4.1: Work with social service agencies and state and federal grant sources to incentivize the development of housing for lower-income individuals and families.

Policy 4.4.2: When considering redevelopment petitions, weigh the benefits of more affordable housing in existing buildings against the benefits of building new structures with more expensive residential units.

Policy 4.4.3: Work with developers early in the development process to encourage building and marketing housing to appeal to non-student residents such as young professionals, families, and the elderly.

Policy 4.4.4: Until such time as a reasonable balance of different housing types is achieved in the Downtown and nearby areas, strongly discourage new student-oriented housing developments in these areas.

Policy 4.4.5 Encourage special design qualities such as universal design, 1-2 bedroom limits, adequate storage space, and use of sustainable and enduring materials, that promote housing which is built for all citizens and for a range of ages and affordability options.
Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 04 - R
Submitted By: Cm. Sturbaum, District I & Cm Roll, District VI
Date: November 27, 2017
Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs – Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place, page 56, shall be amended by striking text from, and adding text to, the first bullet-point in the second column which will now reads as follows:

   - Consult with stakeholders to find the best options for considering the installation of public restrooms downtown.

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes a change to the Downtown-Programs section. The change would clarify that downtown public restrooms should not just be considered but also be installed. (See below for the changes in context of Programs – Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place.)

Supplemental Note: After defeat at the meeting on September 12, 2017, the amendment was revised (now Am 04-R) to address concerns by dropping the word “guide” and adding the words “find the best options for” and readied for reconsideration at the meeting on December 5, 2017 by the addition of a co-sponsor who voted on the prevailing side in September.

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: 4 (Chopra, Granger, Sims & Sturbaum) - 5
Motion to Adopt Defeated

December 5, 2017 Special Session Action: Reconsider – Amend – Adopt as Amended
7 – 0 (via Consent Agenda)
Absent: Cm. Chopra & Sims
ADOPTED
Changes in Context of Programs – Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place

Programs
Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place

- Develop measures that limit the pace and extent of student housing in Downtown to steer market forces towards more non-student and affordable housing opportunities.
- Conduct a retail market assessment to identify what is currently missing, based on market demand, in the Downtown landscape to help encourage more retail diversity and promote business development.
- Assist local businesses with means of securing additional financial capital to expand and/or remain in Downtown.
- Create targeted marketing of Downtown in regional markets towards capturing new businesses, as well as those that are considering relocating to Bloomington.
- Develop partnerships with Downtown Bloomington, Inc., the Greater Bloomington Chamber of Commerce, Indiana University, and local real estate organizations to identify potential Downtown redevelopment sites.
- Utilize the City of Bloomington’s Gigabit-class fiber Internet services to promote and increase both Downtown business and visitor activity.
- Draft an updated future land use study and facility needs assessment (10-15 year outlook) for the Monroe County Convention Center.
- Ensure that all affordable housing developments proposed for the Trades District or anywhere else in the Downtown area have an age- and ability-friendly component.
- Ensure ADA compliance in public spaces and incentivize universal design in private spaces to assure the built environment will serve a market of all ages and abilities.
- Consult with stakeholders to considering find the best options for guide the installation of public restrooms downtown.
- Develop strategies to stabilize and diversify the downtown residential population by identifying and encouraging missing housing forms in the downtown area (such as row houses, condominiums, and live/work space).
**Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan)**

Amendment #: 05

Submitted By: Cm. Sturbaum, District I

Date: September 1, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs – Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place, page 56, shall be amended by adding a bullet-point to the bottom of the first column which will now read as follows:

   - Except for as necessary for the development of the Convention Center site, discourage large footprint buildings (i.e. with a maximum size greater than of a quarter of a block) in order to encourage local developers and businesses and better urban form create a more pedestrian-friendly public realm.

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes a change to the Downtown-Programs section. The change would add a bullet-point in the Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place section that would discourage development of large footprint projects in the downtown in order to encourage local developers and create a more pedestrian-friendly public realm. (See below for the changes in context of Programs – Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place.)

Note: Am 05 was revised after the amendment was released on Friday, September 8th and before the meeting on Tuesday, September 12th. The revisions affect the latter three lines of the bullet-point and are indicated in bold and strikeout above.

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: Adopt 9 – 0

(September 12, 2017)
Changes in Context of Programs – Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place (assuming adoption of Am 04)

Programs
Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place

• Develop measures that limit the pace and extent of student housing in Downtown to steer market forces towards more non-student and affordable housing opportunities.
• Conduct a retail market assessment to identify what is currently missing, based on market demand, in the Downtown landscape to help encourage more retail diversity and promote business development.
• Assist local businesses with means of securing additional financial capital to expand and/or remain in Downtown.
• Create targeted marketing of Downtown in regional markets towards capturing new businesses, as well as those that are considering relocating to Bloomington.
• Develop partnerships with Downtown Bloomington, Inc., the Greater Bloomington Chamber of Commerce, Indiana University, and local real estate organizations to identify potential Downtown redevelopment sites.
• Utilize the City of Bloomington’s Gigabit-class fiber Internet services to promote and increase both Downtown business and visitor activity.
• Draft an updated future land use study and facility needs assessment (10-15 year outlook) for the Monroe County Convention Center.
• Ensure that all affordable housing developments proposed for the Trades District or anywhere else in the Downtown area have an age- and ability-friendly component.
• Ensure ADA compliance in public spaces and incentivize universal design in private spaces to assure the built environment will serve a market of all ages and abilities.
• Except for as necessary for the development of the Convention Center site, discourage large footprint buildings (i.e. with a size greater than a quarter of a block) in order to encourage local developers and businesses and better urban form create a more pedestrian-friendly public realm.
• Consult with stakeholders to guide the installation of public restrooms downtown.
• Develop strategies to stabilize and diversify the downtown residential population by identifying and encouraging missing housing forms in the downtown area (such as row houses, condominiums, and live/work space).
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 06

Submitted By: Cm. Sturbaum, District I

Date: September 1, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs – Downtown Design, page 56, shall be amended by adding text to, and deleting text from, the existing language so that the section will now read as follows:

Downtown Design

- Update and revise the Downtown overlay districts and the Vision and Infill Strategy Plan with “form-based code” guidelines for building forms and massing that relate to the street and the pedestrian, whether through traditional architectural forms or other compatible innovative new designs.
- Provide guidance for urban design guidelines using an architectural inventory of celebrated structures currently in the Downtown area.
- Investigate the option of creating a design or architectural review committee with representatives from the Common Council for Downtown approvals.
- Update the Historic Preservation Commission’s 2012 Preservation Plan for Historic Bloomington.
- Enact preservation measures on targeted buildings or areas in Downtown, as identified in future versions of the Preservation Plan for Historic Bloomington.
- Prioritize opportunities for streetscape and other public improvements that enhance Downtown focus areas and gateways.

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes changes to the Downtown-Programs section. The change would add and delete text in the Downtown Design section to assure better urban design and the inclusion of more public space. *(See below for the changes in context of Programs – Downtown Design.)*

Note: Am 01 was revised after the amendment was released on Friday, September 8th and before the meeting on Tuesday, September 12th. The revision made changes to the first and third bullet-points.

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: Motion to Adopt

5
4 – (Chopra, Granger, Piedmont-Smith & Sims)
ADOPTED

(September 25, 2017)
Changes in Context of Programs – Downtown Design

Downtown Design

• Update and revise the Downtown overlay districts and the Vision and Infill Strategy Plan with “form-based code” guidelines for building forms and massing that relate to the street and the pedestrian, whether through traditional architectural forms or other compatible innovative new designs.
• Provide guidance for urban design guidelines using an architectural inventory of celebrated structures currently in the Downtown area.
• Investigate the option of creating a design or architectural review committee with representatives from the Common Council for Downtown approvals.
• Update the Historic Preservation Commission’s 2012 Preservation Plan for Historic Bloomington.
• Enact preservation measures on targeted buildings or areas in Downtown, as identified in future versions of the Preservation Plan for Historic Bloomington.
• Prioritize opportunities for streetscape and other public improvements that enhance Downtown focus areas and gateways.
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 07 - R

Submitted By: Cm. Sturbaum, District I & Cm. Volan, District 6

Date: November 28, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs – Downtown Transportation and Parking, page 56, shall be amended by adding another bullet-point which will read as follows:

   **Downtown Transportation and Parking (New Bullet-Point)**
   - Work with Bloomington Transit to add more bus shelters where they are most needed.

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes changes to the Downtown-Programs section. The change would add another bullet-point in the Downtown Transportation and Parking section to assure more bus shelters with the comfort, convenience, safety, and resulting higher use of the transit system that will likely flow from that investment. (See below for the changes in context of Programs – Downtown Design.)

Supplemental Note: After defeat on September 12, 2017, this amendment was revised (now Am 07-R) to address concerns by including “work with Bloomington Transit” and “where [shelters] are most needed” and readied for reconsideration at the meeting on December 5, 2017 with the addition of a co-sponsor who voted on the prevailing side in September.

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: 2 (Rollo & Sturbaum) - 5
Motion to Adopt
Defeated

December 5, 2017 Special Session Action: Reconsider – Amend – Adopt as Amended 7 – 0 (via Consent Agenda)
Absent: Cm. Chopra & Sims
ADOPTED
Changes Downtown Transportation and Parking (Illustrative) – New Bullet-point (with revisions since September highlighted)

- Continue to improve multimodal connectivity with the Downtown area.
- Promote programs to encourage bike sharing and car sharing among employees or residents within specific districts.
- Develop a Parking Management Plan/Program for the Downtown area that supports alternative transportation modes.
- Work with the City’s Parking Commission to implement Downtown parking strategies and policies.
- Encourage covered vehicle parking in parking lots or structures through the use of tree canopies or photo-voltaic solar panel canopies.
- Task the Parking Commission and Plan Commission to undertake a joint planning study that develops guidelines and innovative approaches for improving the aesthetics of Downtown public parking and open space/common areas.
- Encourage covered bicycle parking for visitors downtown.
- **Work with Bloomington Transit to add more bus shelters and create funding for them where they are most needed.**
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 08  
Submitted By:  Cm. Sturbaum, District I  
Date:  September 1, 2017  

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs – Downtown Design, page 56, shall be amended by adding another bullet-point which would read as follows:

Downtown Design

- **As a development review tool, Prior to approving further development,** map the available public space downtown (indoor and outdoor) including plazas, outside dining, and mini-parks to identify areas where more public space is needed.

- **Create “Nolli” maps of new project proposals and their context showing both spaces the public has access to versus private space where the public is excluded, such as offices and apartment-serving uses along with private residential space. This map will illustrate the presence of public space on ground floors and plazas, outside dining, and mini-parks as well as the lack of same, which creates pedestrian impermeability and public access desserts.**

  - Note: “Nolli” maps were utilized by Giambattista Nolli in his famous black and white map of Rome in 1748. His map utilizes the mass to void relationship which included public spaces. Thus, when one views the Nolli map, not only is the void of street elements apparent against the mass of buildings, but the voids of public spaces are visible as well.

**Synopsis**

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Sturbaum and proposes changes to the Downtown-Program - Design section. The change would add a bullet-point that calls for mapping the available public space downtown (both indoor and outdoor) with the goal of enhancing the public realm. One technique the sponsor envisions during the implementation stage is the use of “Nolli” maps as part of each presentation of any new building in the downtown. (See below for the changes in context of Programs – Downtown Design.)

Note: Am 01 was revised after the amendment was released on Friday, September 8th and before the meeting on Tuesday, September 12th. The revisions strike reference to “Nolli” maps because it is an unfamiliar term for most people and is a more suitable matter for discussion during consideration of amendments to the UDO.

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action:  Motion to Amend the Amendment (Am 8 A)  
(in blue font above)  
8 – 1 (Chopra)  
Am 08A Adopted  
Motion to Adopt Am 08 as Amended  
8 – 1 (Chopra)  
Adopted

(September 25, 2017)
Changes in Context of Programs – Downtown Design

Downtown Design *(Assuming Adoption of Am 06 – bold and strikeout text)*

- Update and revise the Downtown overlay districts Vision and Strategy Plan with “form-based code” guidelines for building forms and massing that relate to the street and the pedestrian, whether through traditional architectural forms or other compatible innovative new designs.
- Provide guidance for urban design guidelines using an architectural inventory of celebrated structures currently in the Downtown area with representatives from the Common Council.
- Investigate the option of Create a design or architectural review committee for Downtown approvals.
- Update the Historic Preservation Commission’s 2012 Preservation Plan for Historic Bloomington.
- Enact preservation measures on targeted buildings or areas in Downtown, as identified in future versions of the Preservation Plan for Historic Bloomington.
- Prioritize opportunities for streetscape and other public improvements that enhance Downtown focus areas and gateways.
- Prior to approving further development, map the available public space downtown (indoor and outdoor) including plazas, outside dining, and mini-parks to identify areas where more public space is needed.
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 09

Submitted By: Cm. Piedmont-Smith, District V

Date: September 5, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Overview – Main Street Corridors, page 52, shall be amended in the following manner:

   a) Amend the last five sentences in the first paragraph by adding and deleting text so that those sentences read as follows:

   Through a joint venture between Bloomington Transit and the Central Emergency Dispatch Center, a new, all-in-one central transit station and emergency dispatch facility was **built-opened** along South Walnut Street and 3rd St. in **2014**. The project included extensive streetscape improvements along South Walnut Street. This has transformed South Walnut into a more pedestrian-friendly and lively area. Parking meters returned in **2013** and deserve mention, as vehicular parking demands have increased relative to a limited public parking supply. By some metrics, a parking ‘problem’ is a good indicator of a vibrant downtown.

   b) Amend the first two sentences of the second paragraph by adding text so that those sentence will read as follows:

   Several galleries and venues, such as the Bloomington Playwrights Project and the Buskirk-Chumley Theater, along with an array of locally owned bars and restaurants, offer many arts and entertainment options. Ivy Tech Community College purchased the John Waldron Arts Center in **2010**, a move that added another important player to the Downtown arts community.

   "Synopsis"

   This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Piedmont-Smith and amends Chapter 4 (Downtown), Overview – Main Street Corridors. It adds dates when certain landmark changes took place downtown in order to provide specificity to when important changes took place there. (Please see below for the changes in context of that provision.)

   *Note: This amendment was adopted pursuant to the Council’s Consent Agenda September 12, 2017.*

   **September 12, 2017 Special Session Action:** Adopt via Consent Agenda 9 – 0 – 0 Adopted
Main Street Corridors

Downtown is a highly walkable district that is enhanced by a mix of commercial, entertainment, residential, spiritual, and cultural amenities with robust multimodal access. Along with changes in downtown housing, changes in hospitality, entertainment, transportation, and commercial establishments continue to enhance Downtown. There are grocery stores that provide everyday items. Over 700 hotel rooms welcome overnight visitors to stay, shop, and enjoy a walkable Downtown. Plus over 900 businesses featuring retail, restaurants, and professional services – each sector topping over 100 establishments – offer a wide variety of choices for customers and also signify a healthy local economy. Through a joint venture between Bloomington Transit and the Central Emergency Dispatch Center, a new, all-in-one central transit station and emergency dispatch facility was built-opened along South Walnut Street and 3rd St. in 2014. The project included extensive streetscape improvements along South Walnut Street. This has transformed South Walnut into a more pedestrian-friendly and lively area. Parking meters returned in 2013 and deserve mention, as vehicular parking demands have increased relative to a limited public parking supply. By some metrics, a parking ‘problem’ is a good indicator of a vibrant downtown.

Several galleries and venues, such as the Bloomington Playwrights Project and the Buskirk-Chumley Theater, along with an array of locally owned bars and restaurants, offer many arts and entertainment options. Ivy Tech Community College purchased the John Waldron Arts Center in 2010, a move that added another important player to the Downtown arts community. The Monroe County Convention Center regularly books conventions, trade shows, professional training seminars, special events, and even religious services, which, combined, have brought more than 1.2 million people into Downtown since it first opened in 1991. The Farmers Market, Fourth Street Festival of the Arts, Lotus World Music & Arts Festival, Taste of Bloomington, and the Fourth of July parade are all long-standing events in Downtown. Clearing the way for anticipated technology-based employment in the Certified Technology Park and incorporated “Trades District,” the recent clearance of vacant warehouses north of City Hall is another positive sign for further business and residential growth in Downtown. These are just a few highlights that demonstrate change, vitality, and positive opportunities for increased business investment and residential livability of Downtown Bloomington.
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 10

Submitted By: Cm. Piedmont-Smith, District V

Date: September 5, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Overview – Design, page 53, shall be amended by adding and deleting text to the language of the first paragraph so that the paragraph reads as follows:

   Downtown Bloomington has a certain aesthetic that continues to define its character. Since the adoption of the last Comprehensive Plan, architecture, parking, historic preservation, student housing, an emerging population of persons experiencing homelessness, employment, hotels, convention center expansion, retail mix, and other hot topics have generated community conversations have played out. These issues are good proxies for reflect the complex nature of Downtown’s vibrancy. They stimulate a continuous community dialogue among residents, businesses, and visitors over the look and feel of Downtown. This look and feel is especially important in regards to both real and perceived safety concerns. Public discourse, information exchange, and positive economic change are good ways to channel this energy into practices that will sustain Downtown’s prominence as the inclusive heart of Bloomington.

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Piedmont-Smith and amends Chapter 4 (Downtown), Overview – Design. It rewords text to improve the clarity and better characterize the community’s ongoing conversation about the Downtown’s vibrancy. (Please see below for the changes in context of that provision.)

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: 9 – 0 – 0

Adopt – Via Consent Agenda

(September 12, 2017)
Changes to Chapter 4 (Downtown), Overview – Design

Downtown Bloomington has a certain aesthetic that continues to define its character. Since the adoption of the last Comprehensive Plan, architecture, parking, historic preservation, student housing, an emerging population of persons experiencing homelessness, employment, hotels, convention center expansion, retail mix, and other hot topics have generated community conversations. These issues are good proxies for reflecting the complex nature of Downtown’s vibrancy. They stimulate a continuous community dialogue among residents, businesses, and visitors over the look and feel of Downtown. This look and feel is especially important in regards to both real and perceived safety concerns. Public discourse, information exchange, and positive economic change are good ways to channel this energy into practices that will sustain Downtown’s prominence as the inclusive heart of Bloomington.

Attitudes of complacency and standardization can begin to erode Downtown’s success and should be avoided. The 2005 Downtown Vision and Infill Strategy Plan, developed as a result of the 2002 Growth Policies Plan, established character areas and helped to better guide specific building design and architecture features. The character areas described in that plan became overlay districts in the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO), which created height, design, and bulk regulations for each character area. These regulations have helped to shape many of the newer developments in Downtown. However, details on building height, mass, design, and uses are coming under scrutiny as Downtown continues to grow and evolve. Avoiding standardized templates or boilerplate proposals for new building projects recognizes the need for alternative compliance with the UDO and much clearer policy guidance for each character area. Form-based codes and/or fine-tuning of design guidelines, building height, massing, and other site details, such as the ability for student-oriented housing to be adaptively reused for other market segments, are in order as Bloomington moves forward. The community also cannot lose sight of the need to better define its expectations for the Downtown public realm. After all, an active and lively public realm is what makes downtowns so unique. Guiding new developments in these areas will help Downtown maintain and strengthen its economic vitality and visual attractiveness as a great place to be.
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 12
Submitted By: Cm. Piedmont-Smith, District V
Date: September 5, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs – Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place, Downtown Design, Downtown Transportation and Parking, page 56, shall be amended by adding and deleting text to various bullet-points so that the following bullet-points shall read as follows:

   Programs –
   Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place
   - Create targeted marketing of Downtown in regional markets towards capturing new businesses, as well as those that are considering relocating to Bloomington.
   - Consult with stakeholders to considering the installation of public restrooms downtown.

   Downtown Design
   (Note: no change proposed for Downtown Design after 25 September 2017 revision.)

   Downtown Transportation and Parking
   (Note: no change proposed for Downtown Transportation and Parking after passage of Am 22)

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Piedmont-Smith and amends bullet-points in two of the three Chapter 4 (Downtown), programs. The changes add or delete words to clarify or correct text. (Please see below for the changes in context of that provision.)

Note: On 25 September 2017, the sponsor revised the amendment by striking changes proposed under Downtown Design in favor of changes proposed for that section by Am 06.

25 Sept 2017 Special Session Action:

Amend Am 12 to Delete Changes to Downtown Development and Planning – Bullet-point 8 – 0 (Voice Vote)
Adopted
Adopt as Amended
8-0
ADOPTED AS AMENDED

(25 September 2017)
Changes to Chapter 4 (Downtown) – Bullet-Points in All Three Programs

Programs

Downtown Vitality and Sense of Place

- Develop measures that limit the pace and extent of student housing in Downtown to steer market forces towards more non-student and affordable housing opportunities.
- Conduct a retail market assessment to identify what is currently missing, based on market demand, in the Downtown landscape to help encourage more retail diversity and promote business development.
- Assist local businesses with means of securing additional financial capital to expand and/or remain in Downtown.
- Create targeted marketing of Downtown in regional markets towards capturing new businesses, as well as those that are considering relocating to Bloomington.
- Develop partnerships with Downtown Bloomington, Inc., the Greater Bloomington Chamber of Commerce, Indiana University, and local real estate organizations to identify potential Downtown redevelopment sites.
- Utilize the City of Bloomington’s Gigabit-class fiber Internet services to promote and increase both Downtown business and visitor activity.
- Draft an updated future land use study and facility needs assessment (10-15 year outlook) for the Monroe County Convention Center.
- Ensure that all affordable housing developments proposed for the Trades District or anywhere else in the Downtown area have an age- and ability-friendly component.
- Ensure ADA compliance in public spaces and incentivize universal design in private spaces to assure the built environment will serve a market of all ages and abilities.
- Consult with stakeholders to considering the installation of public restrooms downtown.
- Develop strategies to stabilize and diversify the downtown residential population by identifying and encouraging missing housing forms in the downtown area (such as row houses, condominiums, and live/work space).

Downtown Design (no change proposed after 9/25/17 revision)

Downtown Transportation and Parking

- Continue to improve multimodal connectivity with the Downtown area.
- Promote programs to encourage bike sharing and car sharing programs among employees or residents within specific districts.
- Develop a Parking Management Plan/Program for the Downtown area that supports alternative transportation modes.
- Work with the City’s Parking Commission to implement Downtown parking strategies and policies.
- Encourage covered vehicle parking in parking lots or structures through the use of tree canopies or photo-voltaic solar panel canopies.
- Task the Parking Commission and Plan Commission to undertake a joint planning study that develops guidelines and innovative approaches for improving the aesthetics of Downtown public parking and open space/common areas.
- Encourage covered bicycle parking for visitors downtown.
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 13

Submitted By: Cm. Piedmont-Smith, District V

Date: September 5, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Outcomes and Indicators, page 57, shall be amended by correcting a typographical error in the second Outcome & Indicators so that the provision reads as follows:

Outcome: The Downtown business environment is vibrant and sustainable.

• Retail Revenue
• Restaurant Revenue
• Employment levels and salary and wage levels
• Downtown safety incidents and crime reporting
• Public and private capital improvement investments
• Increased in assessed value for Downtown properties
• Occupancy rate for Downtown commercial spaces
• Office and professional services revenue
• Parking turnover and utilization rates

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Piedmont-Smith and amends Chapter 4 (Downtown), Outcomes, by correcting a typographical error in the second Outcome & Indicators. (Please see below for the changes in context of that provision.)

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: 9 – 0 – 0
Adopted – Via Consent Agenda

(September 12, 2017)
Changes to Chapter 4 (Downtown) – Outcomes & Indicators (Illustrative and without reflecting other changes that may be made to this section of Chapter 4)

Outcome: Downtown events are frequent and well attended.
• Number of Downtown public events
• Number of Downtown events visited by both residents and non-residents
• Number of Downtown events visited by attendees, by age range
• Number of cultural and arts venues in Downtown

Outcome: The Downtown business environment is vibrant and sustainable.
• Retail Revenue
• Restaurant Revenue
• Employment levels and salary and wage levels
• Downtown safety incidents and crime reporting
• Public and private capital improvement investments
• **Increased in assessed value for Downtown properties**
• Occupancy rate for Downtown commercial spaces
• Office and professional services revenue
• Parking turnover and utilization rates

Outcome: Downtown buildings and sites with blight or disrepair have been identified and remedied.
• Number of properties with code violations
• Percentage of properties in Downtown with code violations
• Map of Downtown properties with known environmental contamination issues
• Map of Downtown abandoned properties and/or “shovel ready” clear sites

Outcome: Downtown facilities provide services and year-round community programming that is age- and ability-friendly.
• Number of early childhood education facilities in Downtown
• Number of Downtown facilities that offer ongoing community programming for all ages
• Number of participants in Downtown community programming by age range
• Number of hours per month the public library is open

Outcome: Residential growth Downtown is inclusive of all ages and abilities.
• Demographic profile of residential units in the Downtown overlay districts
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 14

Submitted By: Cms. Volan, District VI and Rollo, District IV

Date: September 7, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), shall be modified by rendering consistent the capitalization of “Downtown,” where appropriate, throughout the Chapter.

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Councilmembers Volan and Rollo and directs that the capitalization of “Downtown” shall be rendered consistent throughout the Chapter.

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: 9 – 0 – 0

Adopted– Via Consent Agenda

(September 7, 2017)

Note: This amendment was adopted pursuant to the Council’s Consent Agenda September 12, 2017.
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 15
Submitted By: Cm. Volan, District VI and Cm. Rollo, District IV
Date: September 6, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Goals & Policies, page 55, shall be amended by revising Goal 4.1 and the associated policies so that they read as follows:

   a) The statement of Goal 4.1 is deleted and revised as follows:

   **Goal 4.1 Ensure that the Downtown retains its historic character and main street feel, encouraging redevelopment that complements and does not detract from its character.**

   **GOAL 4.1: Maintain Historic Character.** Encourage redevelopment that complements and does not detract from the downtown's historic, main-street character.

   b) Delete Policy 4.1.1 and renumber the following policies.

   **Policy 4.1.1:** Ensure that public investments in infrastructure and technology do not detract from historic preservation and that they enhance pedestrian-friendly character in the downtown.

   c) Revise renumbered Policy 4.1.1 to read as follows:

   **Policy 4.1.2 1:** Recognize the significance of both traditional architecture, and innovative yet durable, high-quality architecture, and compact urban form in supporting community character and urban design.

   d) Revise renumbered Policy 4.1.2 to read as follows:

   **Policy 4.1.2 2:** Recognize historic preservation as an economic development tool and encourage public and private investment in maintaining historic buildings downtown, and utilize historic preservation as an economic development tool.

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Volan and proposes changes to the Downtown Goals & Policies. The changes restructure and combine text for directness and clarity. In particular, ‘durable’ was added because ‘innovative’ architecture (using materials like EIFS) often means cheaper and likely to become dilapidated sooner. ‘Urban design’ is generic and unclear; the term ‘compact urban form’ is better-known and a long-standing goal of this city."

(Please see below for the current language for that provision.)

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: Adopt
9 – 0 – 0
Adopted

(September 12, 2017)
Current Text for Goal 4.1 and Associated Policies

Goal 4.1 Ensure that the Downtown retains its historic character and main street feel, encouraging redevelopment that complements and does not detract from its character.

Goal
Policy 4.1.1: Ensure that public investments in infrastructure and technology do not detract from historic preservation and that they enhance pedestrian-friendly character in the downtown.

Policy 4.1.2: Recognize the significance of both traditional and innovative, high-quality architecture in supporting community character and urban design.

Policy 4.1.3: Recognize historic preservation as an economic development tool and encourage public and private investment in maintaining historic buildings downtown.
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 16 (Am 16a)  
Submitted By: Cm. Volan, District VI and Cm. Rollo, District IV  
Date: September 6, 2017  

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Goals & Policies, page 55, shall be amended by reordering and revising Goal 4.2 and Goal 4.3, with the renumbered Goal 4.2 and associated policies as set forth below:
   a) The numbers for Goal 4.2 and Goal 4.3 shall be switched.  
   b) The previous goal statement shall be deleted and replaced as follows:

   **Goal 4.2: Encourage attractive, cost effective, convenient, and environmentally friendly public and private motor vehicle and bicycle parking facilities.**

   **GOAL 4.3: Optimize Parking.** Encourage attractive, cost-effective, convenient, and environmentally friendly public and private motor vehicle and bicycle parking facilities.

   c) The new Policy 4.3.1 shall be amended as indicated below:

   **Policy 4.3.1:** Provide sufficient bicycle and vehicular parking in the immediate Downtown area to support vibrant economic activity. **Increase efficiency of our parking inventory by providing dedicated parking for two wheeled motorized vehicles.**

   d) The new Policy 4.3.2 shall be amended as indicated below:

   **Policy 4.3.2:** Increase efficiency of **our** parking inventory by providing more dedicated parking for two-wheeled **motorized and non-motorized** vehicles.

   e) A new Policy 4.3.3 shall be added and read as follows and subsequent Policies shall be renumbered accordingly:

   **Policy 4.3.3: Limit** Establish a maximum for new parking facilities in residential projects. **to a maximum of 0.5 spaces per bedroom.**

   f) The renumbered Policy 4.3.4 shall read as follows:

   **Policy 4.3.4:** Update City policies and codes as necessary to address the needs and impacts of emerging forms of transportation like ride sharing, autonomous vehicles, and electric vehicles.

   g) The renumbered Policy 4.3.5 shall read as follows:

   **Policy 4.3.5:** Design vehicle parking areas in light of facilities to anticipate potential changing mobility solutions¹, and to reduce stormwater runoff, **to increase compatibility with street trees, and add visual interest to streets and other public locations.**

   h) The last policy shall be renumbered as follows:

   **Policy 4.3.6:** Address the special parking needs of downtown churches and social service organizations through creative and collaborative solutions.

¹ Sponsors’ Note for Deliberations and not for text of Comprehensive Plan: If “mobility solutions” and “visual interest” are not defined elsewhere in this Plan, definitions must be written.
Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Volan and Cm. Rollo. It proposes changes to the Downtown Goals & Policies. The changes swap the order of Goals 4.2 and 4.3, break one policy into two policies, add a new policy, and restructures another for directness and clarity. Importantly, the second half of what was 4.3.1 “is expanded to cover bicycles as well as motorcycles, and acknowledges that we already have some dedicated parking for two-wheelers.” Lastly, the added policy 4.3.3 “says that new projects should have a maximum parking requirement. This requirement is consistent with Planning policy for the past decade, and has been demonstratedly successful at reducing unnecessary parking and increasing use of public transit.”

(Please see below for the current language for that provision.)

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: Amend Part (d) and Part (e) as indicated in Yellow 8 – 0 (Granger – Out of the room)
Adopted
Adopt Am 16 as Amended (Am 16a)
9 – 0
Adopted

(September 12, 2017)

Current Text for Goals 4.2 and 4.3 and Associated Policies

Goal 4.2 Encourage attractive, cost effective, convenient, and environmentally friendly public and private motor vehicle and bicycle parking facilities.

Policy 4.2.1: Provide sufficient bicycle and vehicular parking in the immediate Downtown area to support vibrant economic activity. Increase efficiency of our parking inventory by providing dedicated parking for two wheeled motorized vehicles.

Policy 4.2.2: Update City policies and codes as necessary to address the needs and impacts of emerging forms of transportation like ride sharing, autonomous vehicles, and electric vehicles.

Policy 4.2.3: Design vehicle parking areas in light of potential changing mobility solutions, and to reduce stormwater runoff, increase compatibility with street trees, and add visual interest to streets and other public locations.

Policy 4.2.4: Address the special parking needs of downtown churches and social service organizations through creative and collaborative solutions.

Goal 4.3 Integrate housing, entertainment, employment, shopping, and commerce in a way that promotes walking, biking, and transit for all ages and abilities.

Policy 4.3.1: Create opportunities for an enhanced residential, retail, and restaurant presence within Downtown that caters to a diverse range of residents and visitors.

Policy 4.3.2: Collaborate with Indiana University and Downtown Bloomington, Inc., to integrate planning efforts.
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 17 (17a)

Submitted By: Cm. Volan, District VI and Cm. Rollo, District IV

Date: September 6, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Goals & Policies, page 55, shall be amended by switching Goal 4.3 and Goal 4.2 along with the associated policies, and making other changes so that the renumbered Goal 4.2 as indicated below:

   a) The renumbered statement of Goal 4.3 shall be deleted and replaced as follows:

   Goal 4.3 Integrate housing, entertainment, employment, shopping, and commerce in a way that promotes walking, biking, and transit for all ages and abilities.

   Goal 4.2: Promote Walking, Biking and Public Transit. Promote walking, biking and public transit for all ages and abilities by integrating housing and employment with entertainment, shopping and other forms of commerce.

   b) The renumbered Policy 4.2.1 otherwise remains unchanged as indicated below:

   Policy 4.3 2.1: Create opportunities for an enhanced residential, retail, and restaurant presence within Downtown that caters to a diverse range of residents and visitors.

   c) The renumbered Policy 4.4.2 shall be revised to read as follows:

   Policy 4.3 2.2: Collaborate better with Indiana University, the I.U. Foundation, Downtown Bloomington, Inc., and the Chamber of Commerce in, and appeal to Indiana University to integrate planning efforts.

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Volan and Cm. Rollo. It proposes changes to the Downtown Goals & Policies. The changes swap the order of Goal 4.2 and Goal 4.3 which is proposed for the following reasons: “To truly promote walking, biking and transit, any discussion of parking should be subsequent, if not subsidiary, to it; thus, the swap of the order of these two goals. Meanwhile, entertainment and shopping are two types of commerce.” The changes reflect the need for Indiana University to collaborate with the City and other entities in Downtown. The reasons for that change are as follows: “Collaboration’ implies that both sides are working together. As can be seen in its own master plan, IU does not collaborate with the city in planning, although it is always the city's hope that IU will rethink this stance. Meanwhile, the Chamber is collaborative and invested in the Downtown, and should be added.”

(Please see below for the current language for that provision.)

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: Amend Part (c) as indicated above

9 – 0 – 0

Adopted

Adopt Am 17 as Amended (Am 17a)

8 – 1 (Granger) – 0

Adopted

(September 12, 2017)
**Current Text for Goals 4.2 and 4.3 and Associated Policies**

**Goal 4.2 Encourage attractive, cost effective, convenient, and environmentally friendly public and private motor vehicle and bicycle parking facilities.**

**Policy 4.2.1:** Provide sufficient bicycle and vehicular parking in the immediate Downtown area to support vibrant economic activity. Increase efficiency of our parking inventory by providing dedicated parking for two wheeled motorized vehicles.

**Policy 4.2.2:** Update City policies and codes as necessary to address the needs and impacts of emerging forms of transportation like ride sharing, autonomous vehicles, and electric vehicles.

**Policy 4.2.3:** Design vehicle parking areas in light of potential changing mobility solutions, and to reduce stormwater runoff, increase compatibility with street trees, and add visual interest to streets and other public locations.

**Policy 4.2.4:** Address the special parking needs of downtown churches and social service organizations through creative and collaborative solutions.

**Goal 4.3 Integrate housing, entertainment, employment, shopping, and commerce in a way that promotes walking, biking, and transit for all ages and abilities.**

**Policy 4.3.1:** Create opportunities for an enhanced residential, retail, and restaurant presence within Downtown that caters to a diverse range of residents and visitors.

**Policy 4.3.2:** Collaborate with Indiana University and Downtown Bloomington, Inc., to integrate planning efforts.
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 18 (Am 18a)  
Submitted By: Cm. Volan, District VI and Cm. Rollo, District IV  
Date: September 6, 2017  
Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Goals & Policies, page 55, shall be amended by revising the statement for Goal 4.4 (with the associated policies remaining as proposed) so that the statement will read as follows:

Goal 4.4 Encourage a range of diverse housing types downtown, with an emphasis on affordable and workforce housing.

GOAL 4.4: Diversify Housing. Encourage a range of diverse housing types in the Downtown and nearby areas where appropriate, with an emphasis on affordable and workforce housing.

(Note: Policies 4.4.1 – 4.4.4 continue unchanged)

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Volan and Cm. Rollo. It proposes changes to the Downtown Goals & Policies by rephrasing the statement for Goal 4.4 and broadens the call for diversifying housing to include areas nearby the Downtown. As a whole, the changes are intended to restructure goal statement for directness and clarity. 

(Please see below for the current language for that provision.)

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: Amend as indicated in yellow above
6 – 3 (Chopra, Granger & Sandberg)  
Adopted
Adopt Am 18 as Amended (Am 18a)  
5 – 4 (Chopra, Granger, Piedmont-Smith & Sandberg)  
Adopted

(September 12, 2017)

Res17-28 - Legis - Am 18a - ADOPTED
**Current Text for Goals 4.4 and Associated Policies**

**Goal 4.4** Encourage a range of diverse housing types downtown, with an emphasis on affordable and workforce housing.

**Policy 4.4.1:** Work with social service agencies and state and federal grant sources to incentivize the development of housing for lower-income individuals and families.

**Policy 4.4.2:** When considering redevelopment petitions, weigh the benefits of more affordable housing in existing buildings against the benefits of building new structures with more expensive residential units.

**Policy 4.4.3:** Work with developers early in the development process to encourage building and marketing housing to appeal to non-student residents such as young professionals, families, and the elderly.

**Policy 4.4.4:** Until such time as a reasonable balance of different housing types is achieved in the Downtown and nearby areas, strongly discourage new student-oriented housing developments in these areas.
** Amendment Form for Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 19  
Submitted By: Cm. Volan, District VI and Cm. Rollo, District IV  
Date: September 6, 2017  
Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Goals & Policies, page 56, shall be amended in regard to Goal 4.5 by revising the statement for Goal 4.5 and revising Policy 4.5.2, so that Goal 4.5 and its associated policies will read as follows:
   a) The statement for Goal 4.5 shall be revised to read as follows:

   **Goal 4.5: Promote a Sustainable Downtown.** Seek to establish Downtown as a model of inclusivity, safety, and sustainability, with special attention to inclusivity and safety.

   b) Policy 4.5.1 shall remain unchanged and read as follows:

   Policy 4.5.1: Pursue sustainability projects that can serve as models for private residents, non-profits, and businesses throughout the community.

   c) Policy 4.5.2 shall be revised to read as follows:

   Policy 4.5.2: Collaborate with public safety and social services professionals, as well as residents, merchants and landowners, to work toward an environment where everyone feels safe and welcome.

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Volan and Cm. Rollo. It proposes changes to the Downtown Goals & Policies, Goal 4.5. The changes continue to add a heading to each goal, clarify that “inclusivity and safety” are modifiers of “sustainability,” and adds “residents, merchants, and landowners” to those who should be part of any collaboration to promote a safe and welcome Downtown. These changes “emphasize 'sustainability' as the operative word here. Sustainability has three legs: economic, environmental, and social. As this document is being created, social sustainability is of greatest concern; Inclusivity and safety are two keys to it, and should be subsidiary to the word 'sustainability.” The changes also acknowledge that "public safety and social service professionals alone should not be expected to make everyone feel safe and welcome. That must fall upon anyone who claims Downtown as their own." (Please see below for the current language for that provision.)

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: Adopt  
7 – 1 - 1  
Adopted

(September 12, 2017)
Current Text for Goals 4.4 and Associated Policies

Goal 4.5: Seek to establish Downtown as a model of inclusivity, safety, and sustainability.

Policy 4.5.1: Pursue sustainability projects that can serve as models for private residents, non-profits, and businesses throughout the community.

Policy 4.5.2: Collaborate with public safety and social services professionals to work toward an environment where everyone feels safe and welcome.
Amendment #: 21
Submitted By: Cm. Volan, District VI and Cm. Rollo, District IV
Date: September 7, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs, “Downtown Design” page 56, second bullet point, shall be amended by deleting and adding text as follows:

   • Provide guidance for urban design guidelines using Create an architectural inventory of celebrated structures currently in the Downtown area to provide guidance for development petitions.

2. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs, “Downtown Design,” page 56, third bullet, shall be amended by deleting and adding text as follows:

   • Investigate the option of Create a design or architectural review committee for Downtown approvals.

3. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs, “Downtown Design,” page 56, fourth bullet point, shall be amended by deleting and adding text as follows:

   • Update the Historic Preservation Commission’s 2012 Preservation Plan for Historic Bloomington, and implement the measures identified in the update.

4. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs, “Downtown Design,” page 56, shall be amended by deleting the fifth bullet point.

   • Enact preservation measures on targeted buildings or areas in Downtown, as identified in future versions of the Preservation Plan for Historic Bloomington.
5. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs, “Downtown Design,” page 56, sixth bullet, shall be amended by deleting and adding text as follows:

Prioritize opportunities for Make streetscape and other public improvements that enhance to Downtown focus areas and gateways.

Synopsis

This amendment is sponsored by Councilmember Volan and Rollo and makes a number of changes to the Programs outlined in the “Downtown Design” Chapter. The previous Program bullet points were passive, redundant and unclear, (e.g., "urban design guidelines"). They have been restructured and combined for directness and clarity.

September 12, 2017 Special Session Action: None
September 25, 2017 Special Session Action: Am01 to Am 21, approved by voice vote.
ADOPTED: Am 21, as amended – 7-1-1

(September 25, 2017)
** Amendment Form for  
Res 17-28 (To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan) **

Amendment #: 22

Submitted By: Cm. Volan, District VI and Cm. Rollo, District IV

Date: September 7, 2017

Proposed Amendment:

1. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs, “Downtown Transportation and Parking” page 56, first bullet point, shall be amended by deleting and adding text as follows:

   • Continue to improve Create a plan for improving multimodal connectivity with the Downtown area.

2. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs, “Downtown Transportation and Parking” page 56, second bullet point, shall be amended by deleting and adding text as follows:

   • Create and promote programs to encourage bike sharing and car sharing among employees residents within specific districts.

3. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs, “Downtown Transportation and Parking” page 56, third and fourth bullet points, shall be amended by being combined and by deleting and adding text as follows:

   • Develop a Parking Management Plan/Program for the Downtown area that supports alternative transportation modes.
   • Work with Coordinate with the City’s Parking Commission to implement Downtown parking strategies and policies that includes programs to promote alternative transportation modes.

4. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs, “Downtown Transportation and Parking” page 56, fifth bullet point, shall be amended by deleting and adding text as follows:

   • Encourage covered vehicle parking in Cover public parking lots and structures through the use of tree canopies of trees and/or photo-voltaic solar panel canopies, and incentivize private lots to do the same.
5. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs, “Downtown Transportation and Parking” page 56, shall be amended by deleting the sixth bullet point.

- Task the Parking Commission and Plan Commission to undertake a joint planning study that develops guidelines and innovative approaches for improving the aesthetics of Downtown public parking and open space/common areas.

6. Chapter 4 (Downtown), Programs, “Downtown Transportation and Parking” page 56, seventh bullet point shall be amended by deleting and adding text as follows:

- Encourage Increase covered bicycle parking for visitors downtown bicycles.

**Synopsis**

This amendment is sponsored by Councilmembers Volan and Rollo and makes a number of changes to the Programs outlined in the “Downtown Transportation and Parking” Chapter. Sections 1, 3 and 4 cited in the amendment are language and structural changes made in the interest of directness and clarity. Sections 2, 5, and 6 make substantive programmatic changes to text. The change and the rationale for those changes are as follows:

1. Frankly, since there is already a master thoroughfare plan and a whole division to implement it, this point should be eliminated.
2. Eliminates reference to bike “sharing” and eliminates reference to bike and car sharing within “specific districts.” This point was unnecessarily narrow. Promoting “bike use” does not exclude the possibility of developing a bike sharing program. Both bike use and car sharing help decrease motor vehicle miles traveled. We want both employees and residents to reduce VMT everywhere, not just “in specific districts.”
3. Eliminates the call for a joint study by the Parking Commission and Plan Commission to address aesthetics of Downtown parking and open spaces. The best way to improve the aesthetics of parking spaces, lots or garages is to build anything in their place other than parking spaces, lots or garages. Improving the aesthetics of "open space/common areas” is already the jurisdiction of the Plan Commission, and should also be the jurisdiction of the Arts Commission and the Assistant Director for the Arts, not the Parking Commission."
4. This change makes clear that there should be more covered bike parking for all Downtown users.

**September 12, 2017 Special Session Action:** None

**September 25, 2017 Special Session Action:** Amendment to Am 22, voice vote Adopted
ADOPTED, Am 22, as amended, 7-1-0
(September 25, 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #23

Name of Sponsor(s): CM Volan

Submitted: 9/19/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6: Transportation</td>
<td>Overview – “Pedestrian Transportation”</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment clarifies the beginning of the “Pedestrian Transportation” subsection. It moves two sentences to the end of the first paragraph, strikes the lead sentence (“Bloomington is a walking town,” which is contradicted by the last sentence of the paragraph, “few people identify as pedestrians”), and characterizes the character of walking as a transportation option.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Pedestrian Transportation
Bloomington is a walking town. Nearly 15% of Bloomington workers walk to work regularly. This percentage is among the highest in the nation (American Community Survey). Beyond walking to work, nearly everyone is a pedestrian at some point in their day, whether by parking a vehicle and walking to the final destination, walking to a transit stop, or walking the entire way. Despite the fact that most people walk every day for a portion of their trips, few people identify as pedestrians.

Nearly 15% of Bloomington workers walk to work regularly. This percentage is among the highest in the nation (American Community Survey).

Special Session Action: Adopted, 7-1-0
(25 September 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #24

Name of Sponsor(s): CM Volan

Date Submitted: 9/19/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6: Transportation</td>
<td>Overview – “Motor Vehicle Transportation”</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds a sentence at the end of “Motor Vehicle Transportation” subsection on p. 70 to make clear another characteristic of speed on a street: the higher it is, the fewer cars the street can carry.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Motor Vehicle Transportation
The City of Bloomington owns and maintains more than 230 center lane miles of streets and 82 traffic signals. This infrastructure, complemented by numerous other State and County facilities, provides an extensive network for motor vehicle use. Personal motor vehicles, delivery vehicles, emergency response vehicles, bikes, and public transit all use this network to reach destinations within and around the City.

With the exception of areas of new development, this network has very few opportunities for new connections. Investments in infrastructure for motor vehicles should focus on maintenance, improved efficiency within existing space, and reductions in crash risk and severity. High motor vehicle speeds are a constant cause for complaints from nearby residents, other drivers, and people using other transportation modes. The increased headway requirement for higher speeds also decreases the carrying capacity of streets.

Special Session Action: 7-1-0
(25 Sept 2017)

Roll call vote on the introduction: Move forward to
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow:

Amendment Number: #25
Name of Sponsor(s): CM Volan
Date Submitted: 9/19/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6: Transportation</td>
<td>Goal 6.1 &amp; Policy 6.1.2A</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)
This amendment adds a title to Goal 6.1, and revises the text of the goal to reflect the existing degree of sustainability of the local transportation system, and to seek instead to improve upon what the city is already doing right. The amendment also adds a policy point after 6.1.2, to be numbered 6.1.2A, to call for seeing streets as public spaces as well as transportation vectors.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

1) Add goal title and revise text of Goal 6.1 as follows:

Goal 6.1 Create and maintain a sustainable IMPROVE TRANSPORT SUSTAINABILITY. Improve the sustainability of the transportation system.

2) Add a new policy, Policy 6.1.2A as follows:

Policy 6.1.1: In land use decisions, require sufficient density to promote infill, redevelopment, and reuse of vacant or under-utilized parcels and also to support multimodal transportation.

Policy 6.1.2: Locate transit and multimodal facilities near higher-density developments and employment and retail centers.

Policy 6.1.2A: Encourage the concept of streets as not merely for transportation, but as important public spaces where community thrives.

Special Session Action: Adopted, 6-1-1
(25 Sept 2017)
This amendment reorganizes the 11 policies under Goal 1 and the 6 policies under Goal 2 into 4 goals focusing on (1) general sustainability, including economic, environmental, and social; (2) public transit; (3) bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, including the attainment of platinum status as a Bicycle Friendly Community; and (4) the integration of all modes. The final 3 goals of the chapter are to be renumbered. The purpose of the amendment is to clarify and better categorize the policies, and to specifically articulate some implied goals. In addition, there are a few revisions in language to increase clarity and avoid redundancy. Explanations of those are in red italics. Red italics are not intended to be changes to text.

Note: This amendment was revised on 25 September 2017 to reword Goals 6.2, 6.3 & 6.4 and add a new policy 6.2.4. The amendment also divides the amendment into four sections in order to facilitate consideration by division of the question.
Section One

Goal 6.1: IMPROVE TRANSPORT SUSTAINABILITY. Create and maintain a sustainable
improve the sustainability of the transportation system.

Policy 6.2.1: Policy 6.1.1: Maintain a local Master Thoroughfare Plan, as required by
state law, that plans for all modes of transportation.

Policy 6.1.3: Policy 6.1.2: Balance economic, environmental, accessibility, and equity
issues in local transportation decisions.

Policy 6.1.4: Policy 6.1.3: In land use decisions, require sufficient density to promote
through infill, redevelopment, and reuse of vacant or under-utilized parcels and also to
support multimodal transportation and discourage urban sprawl.

Policy 6.1.5: Policy 6.1.4: Locate transit and multimodal facilities near higher-density
developments, employment and retail centers, social services, and community
facilities. (adding language from the current 6.1.4)

Policy 6.1.11: Policy 6.1.5: Ensure City transportation and land use decisions are
coordinated with anticipated developments in automated/autonomous vehicles, such
that City decisions complement multimodal transportation, improve safety and
increase mobility, and support urban growth without encouraging sprawling
development with longer commutes. (Discouraging sprawl is already covered in the new
6.1.3)

Policy 6.2.5: Policy 6.1.6: Prioritize safety and accessibility over capacity in
transportation planning, design, construction, and maintenance decisions.

Policy 6.2.6: Policy 6.1.7: Evaluate city roads, sidewalks, paths, trails, ramps, and
traffic devices regularly and implement an adequately funded maintenance program.

Section Two

New Goal 6.2: Maintain, improve, and expand an accessible, safe, and efficient public
transportation system.

Policy 6.1.4: Policy 6.2.1: Support public transit access to regional destinations, high-
density residential areas, social services, community facilities, and employment centers.
(This last phrase is incorporated into new Policy 6.1.4.)

Policy 6.1.5: Policy 6.2.2: Encourage the provision of seating, lighting, and signage
(including real-time arrival information) at transit stops to increase rider comfort, safety,
and convenience.

Policy 6.1.10: Policy 6.2.3: Continue to Support the adoption and use of technologies
that reduce emissions of greenhouse gases and pollutants from vehicles.

Section Three

New Goal 6.3: Maintain, improve, and expand an accessible, safe, and efficient
network for pedestrians, and attain platinum status as a Bicycle Friendly Community,
as rated by the League of American Bicyclists.

Policy 6.1.6: Policy 6.3.1: Prioritize pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure within
Bloomington and to connect with surrounding communities.

Policy 6.1.7: Policy 6.3.2: Encourage and require (where legally feasible) new private
developments to dedicate easements or right of way and provide improvements for
pedestrian and bicycle facilities to complete the connectivity in the networks.

Policy 6.1.8: Policy 6.3.3: Enhance the pedestrian and bicycle network with benches,
pedestrian-scaled lighting, bicycle parking, street trees and landscaping, interpretive
stations, public art, and/or other features to further improve the physical conditions that
support walking and biking.

Policy 6.1.9: Policy 6.3.4: Encourage, and when possible require, Require pedestrian-
friendly design features. (Pedestrian-friendly design is already required in various parts
of the UDO, and should continue to be required.)

Policy 6.3.5: Require provision of covered bicycle parking in new construction.

Section Four

New Goal 6.4: Continue to integrate all modes into the transportation network and to
prioritize bicycle, pedestrian, public transit, and other non-automotive modes to make
our network equally accessible, safe, and efficient for all users.

Policy 6.2.2: Policy 6.4.1: Consider all ages, all abilities, and all modes, including
pedestrians, bicyclists, transit vehicles, emergency responders, and freight when
planning, designing, modifying, and constructing transportation facilities.

Policy 6.2.3: Policy 6.4.2: As capacity needs increase, focus on multimodal
improvements and optimization of the existing transportation system rather than
adding more lanes for passenger vehicles.

Existing goals 6.3, 6.4, and 6.5 shall be renumbered to follow new Goals outlined above.
Special Session Action: Am 01 to Am 26 approved by voice vote (Am 01 - reflects congruence with Am 25)
Adopt AM 26 as amended, 8-0-0

(25 Sept 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #28

Name of Sponsor(s): Granger and Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 9/17/17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6: Transportation</td>
<td>Policy 6.2.4</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment deletes policy 6.2.4, a policy about use of the right-of-way that is not really related to transportation and is the common practice of the City’s Board of Public Works.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikethrough)

Policy 6.2.4: Permit the use of public right of way and parks for activities such as construction, maintenance, and special events as appropriate.

Special Session Action: Adopted, 8-0-0
(25 Sept 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #32

Name of Sponsor(s): Chris Sturbaum

Date Submitted: 9/18/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Use</td>
<td>Development Themes for Land use Classifications</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This change deletes editorial language in the Land Use, “Development Themes for Land Use Classification” section that goes beyond the concepts of the vision statement. These could encourage more than is intended in the interpretation of these general themes.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)
Development Themes for Land Use Classifications

1) Strike sentence from first paragraph:

Maintain

Locations where the prevailing existing built and natural attributes should be maintained, protected, and replicated throughout. Generally these areas are mostly uniform in both the built and natural contexts, but can vary from one to another in regards to their attributes (architectural style, block pattern, natural features, etc.). These attributes vary depending on the time period when the built context was created, any natural or man-made barriers that define their respective borders and edges, and other key elements that influenced an area such as socioeconomic conditions. For example, an older residential area versus a newer residential area can have very different built and natural attributes that should be maintained, protected, and replicated. This does not mean that infrastructure investments and mixing of complementary land uses should not be introduced into older, well established areas of the community, or that newer neighborhoods should not evolve in the future as housing needs and demographic changes cause new requirements for different housing options and consumer needs. Past examples of Maintain areas include Restaurant Row, single-family residential in all platted vacant lots, and areas with neighborhood conservation districts.

(Second paragraph inserted for context – no change)

Enhance

Locations that draw upon the existing, more desired surrounding land uses to enhance the area over time. Generally these areas have lost a contextual uniformity in both the built and natural contexts, but have desired remnant attributes to build upon and enhance. These areas can vary greatly from one to another in regards to their characteristics (architectural style, site design, natural features, etc.) because of the general lack of a strong contextual uniformity. Important attributes may vary based upon the time period the built context was created. Likewise, we need to identify important natural or man-made barriers that define their respective borders and edges, and other key elements that influenced an area such as socioeconomic conditions. Careful examination of these features and elements are necessary when determining which ones to enhance and replicate. Past examples of enhanced areas include the Broadview Neighborhood, the Downtown Edges, and North Walnut Street/College Avenue. Efforts to modernize infrastructure in the Broadview Neighborhood have established new sidewalks and walking paths at the neighborhood edges, new sanitary sewer lines, and a neighborhood park (all were originally lacking in this residential neighborhood). At the edge of the Prospect Hill Neighborhood, historic rehabilitation of the I-Fell building, along with some sidewalk and on-street parking improvements, supports an appropriate pedestrian-scaled, mixed-use area as a transition from the Downtown into the older neighborhoods. Storm water improvements and public space investments at Miller Showers Park, along with redevelopment activity, continue towards mixing uses along North Walnut Street/College Avenue to create a higher density context near Indiana University.

2) Strike sentence from third paragraph:

Transform

Locations that establish a brand new identity for land use and natural attributes in order to significantly change the area from its current condition. Generally these areas are mostly undeveloped or have great opportunities to redevelop into a transformed built and natural environment. These areas can have the most variability from one to another in regards to their desired attributes (architectural style, site design, natural features, etc.) because of their opportunity to establish a new contextual identity. Natural and man-made barriers, such as environmental constraints, neighborhood edges, and historic considerations, should still be respected when defining borders and transition areas. Development principles in these areas include introducing mixed-use, green building principles, re-establishing grid circulation where feasible, promoting higher densities, and diversifying housing types. Past examples of transformed areas include properties adjacent to the B-Line Trail south of 6th Street to Allen Street, and the redevelopment of the area southwest of Patterson Drive and 3rd Street. Additionally, while the land use map has defined areas of use, we must recognize that some use areas are transitional in nature and the community must be prepared to rethink existing land use designations.

Special Session Action:

Amend Am #32 by keeping all but the middle part of the changes in the first paragraph and keeping the original text in the third paragraph.

7 – 1 (Volan)
Amendment Adopted

Adopt as Amended

7 – 1 (Volan)
Adopted

(10 October 2017)
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #35

Name of Sponsor(s): Chris Sturbaum

DateSubmitted: 9/18/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Use</td>
<td>Urban Village Center – Site Design</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent: (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment deletes the word “significantly” from the last sentence under the Urban Village Center, “Site Design.” This change is intended to preserve the quality of life or livability of the neighborhoods surrounding these Urban Village Centers by not implying that a higher level of adverse impacts would be allowed there than would otherwise be considered acceptable in other single family neighborhoods.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Urban Village Center

Site Design: An Urban Village Center represents the smallest scale of commercial and mixed land use that serves as a high activity node and destination within a neighborhood. The introduction of an Urban Village Center into a primarily residential area requires a high level of site design, compatible building mass and scale, and responsiveness to the needs of the surrounding residents. Sidewalks, street trees, pedestrian-scale lighting, outdoor seating or plaza space, and decorative features such as public art must be standard elements in site design. Urban Village Centers must not significantly detract from the livability of these neighborhoods through unreasonable traffic, lighting, noise, litter, or other impacts.

Special Session Action: Adopt

8 – 0 (Via Consent Agenda – Roll Call Vote)

ADOPTED

(25 Sept. 2017)
# Amendment Form

**Resolution 17-28:** To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)  
*Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment Number:</th>
<th>#36 - R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Sponsor(s):</td>
<td>Chris Sturbaum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted:</td>
<td>9/18/17 - Revised 11/27/17; Updated 1/4/18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7: Land Use</td>
<td>Urban Corridor - Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Synopsis and Legislative Intent** *(brief description of amendment and its motivation)*

This amendment has been revised since it appeared on the October 10th agenda, but was not introduced. The initial amendment added a sentence to the last bullet-point under Urban Corridors, “Land Use Development Approvals,” that called for the conditional use process to mitigate the adverse impacts of higher density (up-zoning) proposals which encroach upon single family zoned neighborhoods. The revised amendment replaces the proposed text with one that recommends that the greater residential density and diversity of housing types be located in order to preserve the character of existing single family neighborhoods and are more appropriate for the multi-family and commercial zones with the Urban Corridor district.
Urban Corridor – Land Use Development Approvals
(Note: The eight bullet-points associated with this section are included below for context; the amendment adds a sentence to the last entry in this excerpt.)

The following provide additional land development policy guidance:

- Buildings should be developed with minimal street setbacks, with parking located behind the building, and with an emphasis on minimizing pedestrian obstacles to accessing businesses.

- To increase pedestrian and transit accessibility, street cuts should be limited as much as possible to reduce interruptions of the streetscape, tree plots, and sidewalks.

- Affordable housing units are an important component of the Urban Corridor district.

- Access to public transit service is an important component of the Urban Corridor district.

- Connections to a network of City trails, paths, and bikeways create access to other destinations and provide active, healthy means of transportation.

- Districts are located along major roadways in order to provide convenient pedestrian, bicycle, transit, automobile, and truck (e.g. delivery) access.

- In new development or redevelopment projects, utilities could be placed underground where feasible and located so as to minimize potential conflicts with trees and other landscaping features.

- Consider opportunities for infill and redevelopment to increase residential densities, with housing types such as duplex, triplex and four-plex buildings, courtyard apartments, bungalow courts, townhouses, row houses and live/work spaces. In order to preserve the character of existing single family neighborhoods, this increased residential density and diversity in housing types should be located outside those neighborhoods. In order to preserve the character of existing single family neighborhoods, this mixed-density development is more appropriate for the multi-family and commercial zones within the Urban Corridor district.

Special Session Action – 10 October 2017
None – Not Introduced

Special Session Action – 5 December 2017
None – Not Considered – Council Recessed Until 10 January 2018

Special Session Action – 10 January 2018
Motion to Amend Am 36-R (as indicated in bold and strikeout above)
9 – 0
AMENDMENT TO AMENDMENT ADOPTED

Motion to Adopt Am 36-R as Amended (Am 36-Ra)
9 – 0
ADOPTED
**AMENDMENT FORM**

**Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan** (linked)  
*Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.*

Amendment Number: #38  
Name of Sponsor(s): Chris Sturbaum  
Date Submitted: 9/18/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Use</td>
<td>West 2nd Street-Former Bloomington Hospital – Background and Intent</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Synopsis and Legislative Intent** (*brief description of amendment and its motivation*)

This change adds text to West 2nd Street-Former Bloomington Hospital, “Background and Intent.” The additions call for the cooperation with SPEA and the use of an urban planner as well as adding the phrase “other forms of deep public participation”. As sponsor, Cm. Sturbaum notes, “This is a critical area for our community and there is no time to waste on starting the process. The reference to the charrette process and deep public participation intends to assure that the process will be motivated by quality-of-place goals rather than strictly economic ones. The trust is that a better outcome will be found by including the broader community of IU as well as the local stakeholders and neighborhoods.”

**Amendment** (*indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout*)

West 2nd Street – Former Bloomington Hospital

*Background and Intent:* The IU Health Bloomington Hospital is expected to vacate the original hospital site in or around 2020 or 2021. This change will present a redevelopment challenge and opportunity. The hospital site encompasses nearly an entire large city block, and with adjacent supportive professional offices and parking lots, the Focus Area encompasses a much larger expanse. Because of the challenges and opportunities presented by this move, the City of Bloomington should cooperate with the SPEA Capstone program at the earliest convenience to explore the widest range of ideas for the new site. A detailed Focus Area plan will be developed in a process that includes a community charrette with an urban planner and other forms of deep public participation. Once the plan is completed, it shall serve as the primary planning policy document for redevelopment of this Focus Area. Until that time, land use policy shall defer to the underlying districts.

**Special Session Action:**  
Amend the Amendment to strike text within this quote “... the City of Bloomington ... A” and leave the rest of the amendment  
8 – 0  
Amendment to Amendment Adopted  
8 – 0  
ADOPTED AS AMENDED  
(10 October 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow:

Amendment Number: #39

Name of Sponsor(s): Chris Sturbaum

Date Submitted: 9/18/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7: Land Use</td>
<td>Focus Areas &amp; Strategies: West 2nd Street – Former Bloomington Hospital</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment comes at the request of Phil Stafford of the Commission on Aging and encourages that the Lifetime Community concept be applied to the Former Hospital Site, “Land Use Approvals.” Notably, this phrase is currently listed in the Switchyard North, “Land Use Approvals” section. This change adds that prioritization to the Former Hospital Site section.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

West 2nd Street -
Former Bloomington Hospital

**Land Use Development Approvals:** Until a Focus Area plan is developed, land development activity is not encouraged. The Focus Area plan will better define the intent and context in order to identify the most appropriate development theme. However, in the interim, locations should utilize the underlying Land Use District designations within this chapter and apply the Maintain development theme for approvals. **Furthermore, the aforementioned principles of Sustainability and Livability or Lifetime Community should be used to coordinate and attract investments and interests.**

Special Session Action: ADOPTED, 8-0-0
(25 Sept 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #41 - R

Name of Sponsor(s): Cms. Sturbaum & Rollo

Date Submitted: 9/17/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7: Land Use</td>
<td>Focus Areas &amp; Strategies: Gateway South and Gateway North</td>
<td>96-97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment was defeated at the 10 October 2017 meeting of the Special Session. It has been revised with the intent that it be reconsidered by the Council with support from a member who voted on the prevailing side in October. Both the initial and revised amendment address the “branding” in both the Gateway South and Gateway North Focus Areas and Strategies. The revisions recommend that the 2005 Downtown Vision and Infill Strategy Plan inform the “branding” process and that the process include robust public input.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Gateway South

Land Use Development Approvals: Locations should utilize the underlying Land Use District designations within this chapter and apply the Transform development theme for approvals. Emphasis should be on architectural and site design characteristics that establish Gateway South branding. The product of the branding should be informed by the 2005 Downtown Vision and Infill Strategy Plan, which was a successful local effort at establishing form-based design guidelines, and should also include a robust public process. Approvals should also consider the importance and economic impact of the Convention Center, such that land uses should complement and not substantially hinder Convention Center activities. Streetscape development from a multimodal standpoint should be highly emphasized on the primary facades and walkways of new development.

Gateway North

Land Use Development Approvals: Locations should utilize the underlying Land Use District designations within this chapter and apply the Transform development theme for approvals. Emphasis should be on architectural and site design characteristics that establish Gateway North branding. The product of the branding should be informed by the 2005 Downtown Vision and Infill Strategy Plan, which was a successful local effort at establishing form-based design guidelines, and should also include a robust public process. Streetscape development from a multimodal standpoint should be highly emphasized on the primary facades and walkways of new developments.

Special Session Action – 10 October 2017 1 – 7 – 0 Failed

Special Session Action – 5 December 2017 Reconsider – Amend – Adopt as Amended 7 – 0 (via Consent Agenda) Absent: Cm. Chopra & Sims ADOPTED
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #42

Name of Sponsor(s): Susan Sandberg

Date Submitted: 9/19/2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7: Land Use</td>
<td>Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>82; 84; 86; 89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds language to the “Land Use Development Approvals” subsections in the Mixed Urban Residential, Downtown, Neighborhood Residential, and Urban Corridor Sections of the Land Use Chapter. The added language supports the idea that low-income families require a continuum of affordable housing options. This includes permanent affordable housing, necessary for those on fixed incomes, and home ownership for low-income families that have the means to increase their incomes. This change also provides consistency concerning the City’s commitment to incentivize affordability and home ownership across land use categories.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

1) Page 82, Bullet 4 under Mixed Urban Residential, "Land Use Approvals," shall be revised as follows:
   Support incentive programs that increase owner occupancy and affordability (including approaches promoting both permanent affordability and home ownership for all income levels).

2) Page 84, add a new Bullet, to follow Bullet 1 under Downtown, "Land Use Approvals," to read as follows:
   Support incentive programs that increase owner occupancy and affordability (including approaches promoting both permanent affordability and home ownership for all income levels).

3) Page 86, add a new Bullet under Neighborhood Residential, "Land Use Approvals" to read as follows:
   Support incentive programs that increase owner occupancy and affordability (including approaches promoting both permanent affordability and home ownership for all income levels).

4) Page 89, add a new Bullet, following Bullet 3 under Urban Corridor, "Land Use Approvals," to read as follows:
   Support incentive programs that increase owner occupancy and affordability (including approaches promoting both permanent affordability and home ownership for all income levels).

Special Session Action: ADOPTED, 7-0-0
(10 October 2017)
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

**Amendment Number:** #45

**Name of Sponsor(s):** Isabel Piedmont-Smith, District 5

**Date Submitted:** Oct. 1, 2017

### Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Overview, under heading “Housing Trends and Issues”</td>
<td>60-61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment (1) clarifies the upper income limit for workforce housing and (2) adds a verb to one sentence where a verb is lacking.

### Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

**Bottom of pg. 60, last sentence:**

Local policy makers have affirmed affordable housing as a major focus area of the City’s administration, while the City Council formed the Affordable Living Committee to specifically address this challenge. Increasing housing supply, along with offering a range of housing programs for extremely low income households through (workforce) households with upwards of up to 120% of annual median income, will help ensure accessible mixed-income neighborhoods.

### Special Session Action: Adopted via Consent Agenda by voice vote

(10 October 2017)
This amendment was rejected at the October 10, 2017 meeting of the Special Session and has been revised with the intent for the Council to reconsider it with the support of a co-sponsor who voted on the prevailing side in October. The revisions keep the text supporting income-diverse neighborhoods in the first paragraph of the second column of the Neighborhoods section. The revisions also replace proposed text in the third paragraph of that column with language recommending that new, more dense housing types be located in multi-family and commercial zones along with opportunities that arise with new, greenfield development.

As stated in the original, unrevised amendment, the call for higher densities and diverse housing types should not be aimed at single family neighborhoods. Prior to zoning changes, these neighborhoods were over-occupied and under-maintained so that home ownership was declining. Up-zoning of single family neighborhoods will have a negative impact on affordable homeownership as buyers compete with rental investors in an already scarce housing market. There are new areas to zone for higher residential occupancy such as entry corridors and previous commercially-zoned properties along the B-Line trail and elsewhere.
Neighborhoods

This amendment makes changes to the first and last paragraph in the second column of the Neighborhoods section as follows:

The 2002 Growth Policies Plan recognized the importance of preserving and enhancing neighborhoods, as well as improving the quality of life for both current and future residents. This has not changed. New neighborhoods will be established as the community grows. Embracing innovation and creativity will foster vibrant new neighborhoods. All of Bloomington’s neighborhoods must avoid monocultures that serve only a small range of household incomes and attract a limited segment of the market. Monocultures are not a healthy characteristic of a community. Ensuring a diversity of housing types and sizes. Serving a mix of household incomes should help define Bloomington’s most vibrant neighborhoods.

Through the City’s Neighborhood Planning Initiative, several neighborhoods participated in a comprehensive planning process to construct clear visions of overall needs and priorities, as well as a step-by-step outline of the methods needed to achieve their goals. The Planning and Transportation Department collaborated with the following neighborhoods to draft plans through the Neighborhood Planning Initiative: McDoel (2002), Broadview (2003), Prospect Hill (2005), and Green Acres (2006). The Downtown Vision and Infill Strategy Plan (2005) focused on the emergent Downtown residential market. Now that 1,900 new housing units have been constructed Downtown within the past decade (almost all of them apartments), the market dynamic is shifting. More market opportunities may exist to convert single-family homes from student-rental to owner-occupied. This can allow more people to have a chance to live in urban neighborhoods, which are often closer to employment, shopping, and other amenities. This may also have the added benefit of reducing automobile traffic and the negative environmental impacts of traffic congestion.

Changing markets and consumer demands create opportunities to further the diversification of existing housing stock and neighborhoods. Accessory dwelling units (ADUs), tiny homes, and co-operative housing are some examples of housing options used throughout the country. Through experimentation and pilot programs the City supports ADUs, tiny homes, cooperative housing, and other housing options that address long-term affordability, aging in place, and create fewer monocultures of housing stock. This should not be done at the cost of losing naturally occurring affordable home ownership and existing affordable rentals in single family core neighborhoods. New housing types should be developed in multi-family and commercial zones. These mixed density residential projects will also be suitable in new, greenfield developments.
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #47

Name of Sponsor(s): Dorothy Granger, District 2 and Susan Sandberg, At-Large

Date Submitted: 10/2/2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Goal 5.1</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment revises Goal 5.1 to target more accurately local housing affordability needs.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Goal 5.1 Housing Affordability: Sustain Improve access to a wide range of affordable housing types for a continuum of needs in Bloomington, including people experiencing homelessness, low-income, and moderate-income households. and strive for permanent affordability in rental and owner-occupied housing options, with policies that recognize differences among the needs of extremely low-income (0-30% Area Median Income), very low-income (31%-50% AMI), low-income (51%-80% AMI) and moderate-income (81%-120% AMI) households for households making less than 120% of the annual median income.

Special Session Action: Adopted via Consent Agenda by a Voice Vote (10 October 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #48
Name of Sponsor(s): Dorothy Granger, District 2 and Susan Sandberg, At-Large
Date Submitted: 10/2/2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Policy 5.1.1</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment deletes the language of Policy 5.1.1 and replaces it with language to recognize more accurately, the housing needs of low-income households. The original language of this passage emphasized “expanding” options for middle income households, while “sustaining” programs for low-income households. This amendment adds in parity for all income levels while retaining the call for income diversity and inclusivity.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Policy 5.1.1: Promote an income-diverse and inclusive city by expanding programs that serve middle-income and workforce households and by sustaining programs that serve extremely low to low-income households for long-term affordability.

Expand and sustain housing programs designed to serve the identified long-term housing affordability needs of moderate-income, low-income, and extremely low-income households, to achieve an income-diverse and inclusive city.

Special Session Action: ADOPTED, 8-0-0
(10 October 2017)
This amendment deletes the term “within neighborhoods” within Policy 5.1.3 and adds a clarifying statement to Policy 5.3.1 to: “Avoid impacting single family neighborhoods with increased density beyond 3 unrelated occupants.” The idea that we can insert a large variety of housing types into a single family neighborhood is inconsistent with the 3 unrelated zoning that created home ownership and revitalization of these neighborhoods in the first place. They were being destroyed by rental investors who were renting houses with over-occupancy and not maintaining them. The property value was based on the rental occupancy and the instability of this condition made single family home ownership decline. Up-zoning these neighborhoods which have established a healthy balance of rental and home ownership and a livable density would destabilize these successful neighborhoods and have a negative impact on affordable home ownership.
Housing & Neighborhoods Goals & Policies

This amendment makes two changes to this section:

1) First, the amendment deletes Policy 5.1.3, which is shown in context below:

Goal 5.1 Housing Affordability: Sustain access to a wide range of housing types in Bloomington and strive for permanent affordability for households making less than 120% of the annual median in- come.

Policy 5.1.1: Promote an income-diverse and inclusive city by expanding programs that serve middle-income and workforce households and by sustaining programs that serve extremely low to low-income households for long-term affordability.

Policy 5.1.2: Establish affordable housing in locations with close proximity to schools, employment centers, transit, recreational opportunities, and other community resources to increase access.

Policy 5.1.3: Encourage a wide range of housing types to provide a more diverse mix of housing opportunities and household income levels within neighborhoods.

2) Second the amendment adds a sentence to the end of Policy 5.3.1, which is shown in context below:

Goal 5.3 Housing Supply: Help meet current and projected regional housing needs of all economic and demographic groups by increasing Bloomington’s housing supply with in infill development, reuse of developed land for housing, and developments on vacant land if it is at least partially surrounded by existing development.

Policy 5.3.1: Encourage opportunities for infill and redevelopment across Bloomington with consideration for increased residential densities, complementary design, and underutilized housing types such as accessory dwelling units, duplex, triplex, and fourplex buildings, courtyard apartments, bungalow courts, townhouses, row houses, and live/work spaces. Avoid placing the higher-density forms in single-family neighborhoods. forms impacting existing single-family neighborhoods with increased density beyond 3 unrelated occupants.

Policy 5.3.2: Enable people who are elderly and moving into later life to remain in their own homes to “age in place,” and consider options to meet their needs through shared housing, accessory dwellings, smaller homes and lots, adult foster homes, and other assisted residential living arrangements.

Policy 5.3.3: Support home modifications (e.g. Universal Design standards) for non-student-oriented, multifamily housing to accommodate the needs of older adults and people with disabilities, including designs that allow for independent living, various degrees of assisted living, and/or skilled nursing care.

Policy 5.3.4: Redirect new student-oriented housing developments away from the Downtown and nearby areas, and toward more appropriate locations closely proximate to the IU campus that already contain a relatively high percentage of student-oriented housing units, are within easy walking distance to the campus, and have direct access to university-provided parking as well as the university transit system.

Special Session Action:
Am 49A - To Amend Policy 5.3.1 (see green shaded area)
6 – I (Chopra) – ADOPTED
Am 49B – To Amend Policy 5.1.3 to remove “within neighborhoods” and reference to that policy in the Synopsis.
5 – I (Chopra) – I (Volan) – ADOPTED
Adopt Am 49 as Amended by Am49A and Am49B
6 – I (Chopra) – ADOPTED AS AMENDED BY AM49A AND AM49B
(24 October 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #50

Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith, District 5

Date Submitted: Oct. 1, 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Housing &amp; Neighborhoods – Goals – Goal 5.4</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment clarifies the language of Goal 5.4 since most people don't know what "security of housing tenure" means.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Goal 5.4 Neighborhood Stabilization: Promote a variety of homeownership and rental housing options, security of housing tenure, mitigate against unforeseen eviction and rapid price changes, and encourage opportunities for community interaction that are also aimed towards different stages of life, ages, and household incomes, and strategies that avoid monocultures within neighborhoods.

Special Session Action: adopted, 7-0-0
(24 October 2017)

Note: This amendment was modified after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before introduction, to change the word “protect” to “mitigate.”
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #51

Name of Sponsor(s): Dorothy Granger, District 2 and Susan Sandberg, At-Large

Date Submitted: 10/3/2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Programs - Affordable Housing</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds a program bullet, which shall be inserted as the third bullet point under "Affordable Housing." The new program calls for developing a strategy for following-up on the housing evaluation, residential market analysis, and housing inventory described in first and second bullet points.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

(Shown in partial context)

Affordable Housing

- Evaluate the range of housing types and household income levels throughout the city to identify opportunities where greater diversity in income and housing types should be encouraged.
- Conduct a residential market analysis and housing inventory to help identify gaps in current and future market demand for all income levels.
- Develop a detailed Housing Strategy for the City of Bloomington, based on findings in the completed housing evaluation, residential market analysis, and housing inventory. Update Chapter 5, "Housing and Neighborhood Development" to coordinate with the final Housing Strategy.
- To the extent permitted by law, develop and implement programs that require and/or incentivize affordable housing within new developments, with rezones, and with changes to development standards through land development activities. ...

Special Session Action: ADOPTED, 7-0-0
(10 October 2017)
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #52
Name of Sponsor(s): Dorothy Granger, District 2 and Susan Sandberg, At-Large
Date Submitted: 10/2/2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Programs - Affordable Housing</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)
This amendment adds a program bullet to "Affordable Housing," to designate a citizen commission to acquire information on housing needs and to make policy recommendations to the Mayor and City Council on affordable housing in the community. If Am 51 is adopted, then this shall be the fourth bullet point. If Am 51 is not adopted, then this shall become the third bullet point.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

(Shown in partial context)

Affordable Housing
- Evaluate the range of housing types and household income levels throughout the city to identify opportunities where greater diversity in income and housing types should be encouraged.
- Conduct a residential market analysis and housing inventory to help identify gaps in current and future market demand for all income levels.
- Develop a detailed Housing Strategy for the City of Bloomington, based on findings in the completed housing evaluation, residential market analysis, and housing inventory. Update Chapter 5, “Housing and Neighborhood Development” to coordinate with the final Housing Strategy. (Am 51, if adopted)
- Create a Housing Commission to acquire information on and study residents’ housing needs and opportunities, make policy recommendations to the Mayor and City Council regarding issues affecting affordable housing and supportive services in the community.
- To the extent permitted by law, develop and implement programs that require and/or incentivize affordable housing within new developments, with rezones, and with changes to development standards through land development activities. ...

Special Session Action: ADOPTED, AS AMENDED, 7-0-0
Am 52 was amended by Am 52A to remove the words "or other advisory body" via voice vote.
(24 October 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: # 53-R

Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Piedmont-Smith, District 5

Date Submitted: November 28, 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5: Housing &amp; Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Programs, “Affordable Housing”</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment was adopted via the consent agenda on 10 October and is being revived for Council reconsideration to make a technical correction. With this reconsideration, the phrase “Project-based Section 8” shifts to “Section 8 Project-Based Vouchers” as HUD is no longer providing new funding for Project-Based Section 8 and this program is not under the authority of the Bloomington Housing Authority.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Blue text indicates the additions made by Am 53; bold text indicates the change with this reconsideration.

- Work with Bloomington Housing Authority to ensure ample affordable community housing options are available to BHA clients, including, but not limited to, Public Housing, Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers, and Project-based Section 8 Project-Based Vouchers.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017:

Motion to Reconside
Motion to Amend
Motion to Adopt as Amended

Absent: Cm. Chopra and Sims.

7 – 0 – 0 (Via Consent Agenda)

ADOPTED
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #54

Name of Sponsor(s): Dorothy Granger, District 2 and Susan Sandberg, At-Large

Date Submitted: 10/2/2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Programs - Affordable Housing</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds a program bullet to “Affordable Housing,” to recognize that housing needs and solutions are a multi-jurisdictional concern. For that reason, the amendment adds a program bullet calling upon the City of Bloomington and Monroe County governments to partner in an effect to maximize affordable housing efforts.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

(Shown in partial context)

Affordable Housing (partial excerpt)

- Support the development of senior housing organized around affinities such as LGBTQ housing, Senior Arts Colony housing, and senior and intergenerational cooperative housing.
- Develop policies and enforcement programs around new housing options that assure neighborhood stability and preserve neighborhood character.
- Work with Bloomington Housing Authority to ensure ample affordable community housing options are available to BHA clients. (Subject to change via Am 53)
- Partner with Monroe County government to coordinate and maximize affordable housing strategies and to leverage available resources.

Special Session Action: Adopted via Consent Agenda by Voice Vote
(10 October 2017)
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #55

Name of Sponsor(s): Chris Sturbaum

Date Submitted: 10/5/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PROGRAMS - NEIGHBOURHOOD CHARACTER AND QUALITY OF LIFE</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment inserts a new bullet-point at the end of the Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life program supporting affordable home ownership. While affordable rental is a good thing for citizens, many have the goal that is referred to as “the American Dream”: Home Ownership. By working with Habitat and with Bloomington Restorations and other affordable housing providers, while providing home ownership counseling and assistance, the city of Bloomington has helped a large number of citizens become homeowners.

This frees individuals from ever-increasing rental costs and they can build equity in a home of their own. This allows bank loans for business or for home improvement and creates stability in our neighborhoods and our community. In the rush for affordable rentals, let us not forget the life-changing hand-up that this kind of support can give some of our citizens when home ownership becomes increasingly difficult to achieve.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life

- Identify priority street and sidewalk improvements that would make a substantial contribution to the quality of neighborhoods.
- Evaluate access to health care and other community services and amenities for older adults and people with disabilities.
- Survey existing neighborhoods to measure livability by examining the connection to neighbors for safety and assistance, home modification policies, assistance with utilities and weatherization, ease of transportation options, number of older adults who suffer from cost-burdened housing, and connection to social services as needed.
- Identify individual potential high-value properties or sites where redevelopment could significantly enhance neighborhood and community quality and consider pre-planning potential development options.
- Continue to support and promote affordable home ownership as another method of permanent affordability that can help to raise and keep citizens from poverty while they build equity and security in the local community.

Special Session Action: Adopted Via Consent Agenda: 8 – 0 (Chopra: absent)
(10 October 2017)
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #56

Name of Sponsor(s): Chris Sturbaum

Date Submitted: 10/5/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PROGRAMS - NEIGHBOURHOOD CHARACTER AND QUALITY OF LIFE</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment inserts a sentence at the end of the first bullet-point under the Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life program that calls for an increase in Council Sidewalk Committee funding. There is a call to identify and prioritize sidewalk improvements. The Council Sidewalk Committee already has done that. We need the funding to follow through on more than two or three sidewalk projects per year.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life

- **Identify Implement** priority street and sidewalk improvements that would make a substantial contribution to the quality of neighborhoods. [Increase Council Sidewalk Committee funding.]
- Evaluate access to health care and other community services and amenities for older adults and people with disabilities.
- Survey existing neighborhoods to measure livability by examining the connection to neighbors for safety and assistance, home modification policies, assistance with utilities and weatherization, ease of transportation options, number of older adults who suffer from cost-burdened housing, and connection to social services as needed.
- Identify individual potential high-value properties or sites where redevelopment could significantly enhance neighborhood and community quality and consider pre-planning potential development options.

Special Session Action:

*Amend Am 56A – which would keep first bullet-point and replace “identify” with “implement.”*

6 – 1 (Volan) – ADOPTED

*Adopt AM 56 as amended by AM56A

6-1 (Volan) – ADOPTED AS AMENDED BY AM56A

(24 October 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #57

Name of Sponsor(s): Susan Sandberg, At-Large Representative

Date Submitted: 10/03/2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment provides clarification of the intent of the “Percentage Ownership” indicator and connects it to the outcome, “Increase the range of affordable housing options that are universally designed and environmentally sustainable.”

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

(Shown in partial context)

Outcomes & Indicators
Outcome: Increase the range of affordable housing options that are universally designed and environmentally sustainable.

- Block group measures of housing cost burden (</> 30% of income to gross housing costs) relative to Median Household Income.
- Availability and demand for subsidized housing by block group
- Percentage of dwelling units occupied
- Percentage of dwelling units in poor condition
- Housing and Transportation (H +T) Affordability Index Score relative to Median Household Income
- Long-term affordability – greater than 10 years
- Percentage of home ownership in households making 80% or less of the area median income.
- Basic passage: Percentage of housing units with no-step entrance
- Neighborhood housing type diversity index
- Evaluate the cumulative impact of regulations and the development review process and how it affects the ability of housing developers to meet current and future housing demand

Special Session Action: ADOPTED, 7-0-0
(24 October 2017)

Note: Please note that this amendment was revised after issuance in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced to remove the word “Increase in” prefacing “percentage of home ownership.”
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #58

Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith, District 5

Date Submitted: Oct. 1, 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Outcomes and Indicators</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment clarifies what is meant by “non-monoculture neighborhood characteristics” and how they can be measured.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Outcome: Increased diversity within neighborhoods

- Index of housing by category and costs by neighborhood or census-determined geography
- Non-monoculture neighborhood characteristics
- Neighborhood Diversity Index to view changes to racial and ethnic diversity in neighborhoods over time.
- Participation of individuals from diverse backgrounds in neighborhood association leadership.
- Neighborhood-level cultural events.
- Ratio of ownership to rental units by city and neighborhood

Special Session Action: Adopted via Consent Agenda by a Voice Vote (10 October 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #59

Name of Sponsor(s): Dave Rollo, Dorothy Granger, and Isabel Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 10/15/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds greenhouse gas emissions as a concern for Bloomington and acknowledges that climate protection and the attendant reduction in greenhouse gas emissions has long been a policy priority of the City.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Overview

All life depends on the vitality and interplay between three main categories of the natural environment: air, water, and land. While these are broad categories of interrelated systems, Residents of Bloomington have long held the protection of these vital systems close to their hearts and high among their priorities. This chapter is organized around some of the threats and opportunities to the natural environment and associated ecological services regarding breathable air, drinkable water, energy consumption, food production, waste mitigation, and ecologic protection: a stable climate.

Bloomington residents consistently express their hopes for a better natural environment on local, regional, and global levels. These hopes include: reducing air-contaminating pollutants by lessening our reliance on fossil fuels; reducing waste and increasing recycling and composting; protecting both water quality and quantity for humans and nature; and enhancing urban ecology through increased biodiversity. Bloomington has also committed to climate protection and a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions through The U.S. Mayor’s Climate Protection Agreement (signed in 2006), the work of the Peak Oil Task Force (2009), the support of the International Paris Climate Accord (2017), and the Commission on Sustainability (ongoing), as well as the Bloomington Environmental Action Plan of the City’s Environmental Commission (2017).

In order to foster a healthy environment, protect our climate, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions, we need to work together to improve natural resource stewardship. The biggest source of greenhouse gas emissions in Bloomington is the use of fossil fuels, which provide most of our electricity and are needed for most transportation options. For example, Therefore, the City’s has engaged in efforts to reduce energy use and to diversity its sources of energy: are important and should be increased. The Green Building Ordinance, City facility and community-wide solar initiatives (2017 Solarize Bloomington Initiative), and community-based efforts like Earth Care and the Monroe County Energy Challenge have all targeted a cleaner, reduced energy footprint.

Developing a long-term environmental plan, as part of a larger sustainability plan, is a priority that The City recognizes that environmental protections and enhancements are critical parts of our urban infrastructure. These and other efforts will contribute towards a more sustainable Bloomington.

This chapter highlights key components of the environment and sets goals for creating an environmentally sustainable community through in the categories of energy, the built environment, water, urban ecology, waste, air quality, and food and agriculture.

Special Session Action: ADOPTED, 7-0-0
(24 October 2017)
The amendment revises the Energy Overview to introduce the concept of risk of dependence on fossil fuels - a depleting energy resource.

Energy use plays a key role in community development. Reliability, efficiency, and a diverse portfolio of energy services all contribute to community resiliency. The use of fossil fuels impacts water quality, air quality, floral and faunal health, as well as human health. Furthermore, fossil energy sources, such as petroleum, are depleting and will inevitably decline in quality (net energy) and overall availability. Reducing our reliance on these energy sources is a prudent strategy for community adaptation to this decline.
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #61

Name of Sponsor(s): Rollo

Date Submitted: 10/17/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 3</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3: Environment</td>
<td>Overview: Urban Ecology</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment revises the Urban Ecology Overview by adding terms for clarification and by adding recognition that habitat for non-human organisms is an important part of ecosystem services.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Urban Ecology

The amount of land and resources it takes to support urban population growth degrades the natural environment to the point that it needs protection and enhancement. As urban populations increase, cities will increasingly become test beds of how to preserve local ecological functions in the midst of urban growth.

Bloomington's growth over the last generation has carried with it challenges in balancing dense infill development with greenspace preservation. Going forward, the community has an opportunity to integrate urban ecosystem services into the built environment by increasing the use of native plants for landscaping, protecting waterways, optimizing green infrastructure, and enhancing urban forests and providing habitat for native fauna.

Special Session Action: 7-0-0, adopted via consent
(24 October 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s [Comprehensive Plan](linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: 63
Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith and Dave Rollo
Date Submitted: 10/15/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment clarifies the distinction between the human health effect of polluted air and the long-term environmental impacts of greenhouse gas emissions. It also clarifies that one of our main transportation goals is to encourage more non-motorized and public transit modes in the city.

Amendment (indicate text added in **bold** and text to be deleted via *strikeout*)

**Air Quality and Emissions – note this amendment breaks the first paragraph into 2 separate paragraphs**

Air quality is essential to the health of humans, flora, and fauna in our community. Human activity affects air quality through the generation and use of energy, both in the built environment and the transportation sector, possibly the most important of all environmental issues facing humankind. Air quality is directly affected by the built environment, from the way we generate energy, to the energy we use for heating and cooling buildings, to the energy used in the transportation sector. Both the pollutants in the air we breathe and the greenhouse gases emitted through energy production are a concern in the city of Bloomington. All of these activities emit gases. On the one hand, we cannot survive without oxygen (O2) for much longer than three minutes, and health problems such as asthma, emphysema, lung cancer, and other respiratory disorders are associated with polluted air. On the other hand, energy production through the use of fossil fuels creates greenhouse gas emissions that lead to global climate change, whose effects we have already seen throughout the world.

Reducing pollution and particulate matter benefits everyone is directly beneficial to human health, but carbon dioxide (and related methane emissions) is the primary threat to a stable climate. Simple reduction in emissions through efficient use of energy can improve air quality. Efficiency is an important strategy that our City should undertake in all processes. For instance, energy-efficient buildings and the use of alternative energy sources can reduce air emissions from the building sector. In the transportation sector, reducing miles traveled by vehicles with internal-combustion engines is one effective strategy for improving air quality. Together these two sectors contribute approximately 38% of greenhouse gas emissions.

For decades, transportation policy and infrastructure investments have focused on supporting motorized vehicles. That focus created a legacy that we are now working to overcome. Chapter 6, Transportation, focuses on a shift to retrofitting our infrastructure and policies to emphasize non-motorized and public transportation, and to create a diverse, safe, efficient, and well connected transportation system that also stands to benefit air quality and emissions.

Special Session Action: 7-0-0, adopted via consent (24 October 2017)

*Note: This amendment was revised subsequent to its issuance in the Legislative Packet to combine Amendments 62 and 63*
**AMENDMENT FORM**

**Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan** (linked)

*Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.*

**Amendment Number:**  64

**Name of Sponsor(s):** Isabel Piedmont-Smith and Dave Rollo

**Date Submitted:** 10/15/17

**Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3:Environment</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies: Air Quality and Emissions</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Synopsis and Legislative Intent** *(brief description of amendment and its motivation)*

This amendment inserts a new goal (Goal 3.7) and three policies under Air Quality and Emissions and renumbers the current Goal 3.7 and related policies. This amendment makes reduction of greenhouse gas emissions a specific goal for the city and adds three policies to help achieve that goal. These policies come from the Bloomington Environmental Action Plan of the Environmental Commission (2017). The first two policies are not specifically mentioned elsewhere in this chapter. The third is mentioned elsewhere, but is also important in achieving this newly articulated goal.

**Amendment** *(indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)*

**Air Quality and Emissions**

*Note: Goal 3.6 and Policy 3.6.1 are listed to provide context for the proposed new goal and policies below:*

Goal 3.6: Protect local air quality from pollutants.
Policy 3.6.1: Ensure that the air we breathe is safe for all Bloomington residents and visitors.

1) **Insert new goal and policies as follows:**

**Goal 3.7: Reduce greenhouse gas emissions.**

Policy 3.7.1: Promote energy-saving retrofitting of public and private buildings and informed decision-making for building renters based on energy consumption.
Policy 3.7.2: Reduce vehicle miles travelled per capita.
Policy 3.7.3: Utilize tree, shrub, and native prairie perennials to sequester carbon in order to reduce our carbon dioxide emissions.

2) **Renumber existing goal and policies under Goal 3.7 as follows:**

**Food and Agriculture**

Goal 3.7.3.8: Promote and protect local food culture and Bloomington’s food system.
Policy 3.7.3.8.1: Work to provide residents with access to safe, nutritious, and affordable food, including through a sustainable, resilient local food sector.
Policy 3.7.2.3.8.2: Support diverse, native-plant conservation and restoration efforts, to foster the plant pollinating network of animals, which greatly influences crop production.

**Special Session Action:**  6 – 0 Roll Call Vote via Consent Agenda - ADOPTED (24 October 201)
Granger and Rollo

17 October 2017

Chapter 3: Environment

Programs: Solid Waste

This amendment changes the first bullet-point under “Programs – Solid Waste.” The change is intended to correct ambiguous and disingenuous language, since we have already “modernized” and upgraded the system (including “smart technology”).

Reword the first bullet-point to read as follows:

Modernize Improve the City’s sanitation system, including upgrading to safe, more efficient equipment, and integrating smart technology.

Special Session Action:
Amend Am 65 by adoption of Am65A (which deletes the bullet-point entirely).
2 (Sims and Piedmont-Smith) – 5 FAILED
Adopt Am 65
6–1 (Piedmont-Smith)
ADOPTED

(24 October 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: 66
Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith
Date Submitted: 10/15/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3: Environment</td>
<td>Programs: Solid Waste</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)
This amendment adds another bullet-point under "Programs: Solid Waste." In particular, the amendment adds development of a "pay as you throw" solid waste pickup program to the list of ways to implement the city’s solid waste goals.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Solid Waste
- Modernize the City’s sanitation system, including upgrading to safer, more efficient equipment, and integrating smart technology.
- Develop a City-wide program for organic waste (composting), possibly partnering with a private company.
- Assess rules, regulations, and incentives for providing adequate space for recyclable materials collection in new multifamily, mixed use, and commercial developments and within existing apartment buildings.
- Develop safeguards to ensure the City’s recycling contractors are having materials recycled according to regulations.
- Develop "pay as you throw" pricing for solid waste pick-up for city sanitation customers.

Note: The program title and existing bullet-points are included to provide context for this change.

Special Session Action:
Amend Am 66 by adoption of Am66A – which would delete “develop” and add “Consider developing” 3 (Sturbaum, Sandberg & Rollo) – 4
Failed
Adopt 5 – 2 (Sims & Sturbaum)
Adopted

(24 October 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: 67
Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Volan
Date Submitted: 10/17/17
Comp Plan

Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3; Environment</td>
<td>Programs: Solid Waste</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment inserts a new bullet-point under “Programs: Solid Waste” regarding the re-establishment of one or more recycling drop-off facilities within city limits. When the Downtown Bloomington Recycling Center (DBRC) was operating, it was collecting 300 tons of recyclables a year. It served a great many local residents and businesses unable to be served by city sanitation who wanted a place to recycle that was nearer than one of the Monroe County Solid Waste Management District’s drop-off points, all of which are rural. It proved the demand for such recycling services, and it did so while using fewer than 20 parking spaces.

Yet since the end of November 2016 the DBRC remains closed, despite there being a responsible not-for-profit organization of experienced volunteers prepared to manage it, and despite no obvious other use for the land it occupied except parking for city and city employees’ vehicles. There is underutilized parking to be found all over the city.

This amendment calls for an explicit commitment to establishing one or more similar recycling drop-off points, whether operated by the city or by a volunteer not-for-profit. It is consistent with Policy 3.5.1, which calls for “taking greater control of waste management infrastructure and critical waste streams.” While the District continues to explore a local Materials Recovery Facility (MRF), this is an obvious, demonstrably successful way to divert more of the waste stream, and will likely continue to be of use even if a MRF is created. The city should identify small plots of land in its inventory (particularly in and/or adjacent to public parking), or negotiate with a property owner or developer, for locating similarly sized centers Downtown, on the Eastside, the Southside, and/or wherever large numbers of unserved residences may be concentrated.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Solid Waste – add new bullet-point as follows:

- Create and manage, or see to the creation and management of, one or more recycling drop-off points, on and/or adjacent to underutilized parking lots, to give the many residences and businesses in the city unserved by city sanitation pickup a closer nearer-by location to recycle.
Special Session Action:
Amend Am 67 by striking the words “nearer-by” with “closer.”
Adopt - 7 - 0 (with Cm. Chopra and Cm. Sims absent)
ADOPTED

Adopt Am 67 as amended
Adopt - 7 - 0 (with Cm. Chopra and Cm. Sims absent)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED

(7 November 2017)
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: 68

Name of Sponsor(s): Rollo

Date Submitted: 10/17/17 (Revised 11/7/17)

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3: Environment</td>
<td>Programs: Air Quality and Emissions</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds a program under “Air Quality and Emissions.” It adds a program with a goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Air Quality and Emissions - add new program that reads as follows:

- Develop a carbon emission goal for our community to reduce our carbon footprint, determine the best quantitative methods to measure greenhouse gas emissions and periodically report on our status.

Special Session Action:

Adopt 7 – 0 (with Cm. Chopra and Sims absent)

ADOPTED

(7 November 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: 69

Name of Sponsor(s): Rollo

Date Submitted: 10/17/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3: Environment</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators: Air Quality is maintained at a high level</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment modifies the title for “Outcome: Air quality is maintained at a high level” and adds another indicator. The revised title addresses the reduction of carbon emissions. The new indicator would track whether our efforts in employing alternative energy, conservation of energy, and sequestration, has yielded a reduction of carbon emissions.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Outcome: Air Quality is maintained at a high level, and our carbon emissions are significantly reduced.

- Tracking of greenhouse gas emissions indicate that our community is emitting fewer greenhouse gases over time.

Special Session Action:
6 – 0 Roll Call Vote via Consent Agenda
ADOPTED
(24 October 2017)
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #70

Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 10/30/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table of Contents</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds chapter numbers to the Table of Contents (TOC). Other matters of style regarding the TOC shall be left to the discretion of Planning and Transportation.

Amendment (indicate text added in **bold** and text to be deleted via *strikeout*)

```
| chapter 1: community services & economics | 26 |
| chapter 2: culture & identity | 34 |
| chapter 3: environment | 42 |
| chapter 4: downtown | 50 |
| chapter 5: housing & neighborhoods | 58 |
| chapter 6: transportation | 66 |
| chapter 7: land use | 78 |
| appendix | 98 |
```

Special Session Action: 7-0-0, Adopted via Consent
(07 November 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #71
Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith
Date Submitted: 10/30/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plan Framework</td>
<td>(e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment moves “Objectives” above “Goals,” since in the Comprehensive Plan, “Objectives” appear before “Goals.”

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

**Vision Statement**
Provides the “big picture,” the overall outcome for Bloomington by the year 2040. Resolution 13-01, adopted in 2013, lists 16 statements that form the vision behind the plan. These sixteen statements were organized into six main objectives to further organize and guide the plan.

**Objectives**
Provide the general policy essence and intent of the Plan and support the 16 concepts from the Vision Statement. They also serve as statements for the future development of Bloomington.

**Goals**
Provide a means to further state priorities that directly support the collective efforts and ideals of the community reflected in the objectives.

**Policies**
Provide a course of principle or action that can outline avenues or opportunities to achieve the intent of a goal.

**Programs**
Provide examples of more specific implementation strategies taken by city officials, business leaders, community organizations, and residents to help accomplish the goals.

**Objectives**
Provide the general policy essence and intent of the Plan and support the 16 concepts from the Vision Statement. They also serve as statements for the future development of Bloomington.

Special Session Action: 7-0-0, Adopted via Consent
(07 November 2017)
This amendment seeks to clarify that some of the 16 statements adopted in City Council Res. 13-01 could fall under more than one Objective listed in this comprehensive plan. For example, “Fortify our strong commitment to equality, acceptance, openness and public engagement” is listed under Objective 1 (Community Services) but could also fall under Objective 2 (Culture & Identity).

The 16 statements adopted by Resolution 13-01 are categorized below into six major objectives of the Plan. These objectives correspond with the first six chapters that follow, which serve as the strategic component of this plan. Note that some of the vision statements adopted by the City Council could fall under more than one of the headings below, but in this plan we have matched them most closely with the appropriate plan objective.
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #73

Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 10/30/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Objectives &amp; Chapters</td>
<td></td>
<td>15-17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

For each objective/chapter, this amendment achieves consistency between the heading text of the list of major objectives (pg. 15) and the last sentence in each chapter description on the following two pages. In some cases, the text on pg. 15 (list of objectives) is used for pg. 16-17 (list of chapters), and in some cases the text on pg. 16-17 (list of chapters) is used for pg. 15 (objectives). The goal is consistency, and there are no substantive changes in wording proposed. Note: Objective/Chapter 4 does change the word “town” to “city” and with this change accepts the wording on pg. 15.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Page 15

Objective 1: Community Services - Fortify Community and Economic Vibrancy

- Support Comprehensive Community Services and Economic Vibrancy

Objective 2: Culture & Identity - Sustain and Celebrate the Arts and Education

- Nurture Education and Cultural Vibrancy

Objective 3: Environment - Work Toward a Resilient, Environmentally Responsible Community

Objective 4: Downtown - Nurture our Vibrant Town City Center

Objective 5: Housing & Neighborhoods - Enhance Quality of Place for Neighborhoods and Development

Objective 6: Transportation - Reduce Dependence on the Automobile

- Provide Multimodal Transportation Options

Pages 16-17: Last sentences of each paragraph:

01/Community Services & Economics

...supports Objective 1: Support Comprehensive Community Services and Economic Vibrancy.

02/Culture & Identity

...supports Objective 2: Nurture Education and Cultural Vibrancy.

03/Environment

...supports Objective 3: Protect Environmental Quality Work Toward a Resilient, Environmentally Responsible Community.
04/Downtown
...supports Objective 4: Create a Vibrant Downtown for Residents and Visitors
Nurture our Vibrant City Center.

05/Housing & Neighborhoods
...supports Objective 5: Enhance Quality of Place for Neighborhoods and Development

06/Transportation
...supports Objective 6: Provide Multimodal Transportation Options

Special Session Action:  7-0-0, Adopted via Consent
(07 November 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number:  #74

Name of Sponsor(s):  CM Volan

Date Submitted:  10/31/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intro/Exec Summary</td>
<td>Community Profile</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (Brief description of amendment and its motivation)

Bloomington’s higher percentage of Asian and foreign-born persons gives it diversity; Bloomington’s lower percentage of African-Americans and Hispanics does not. Due to this asymmetry, this amendment deletes the assertion that Bloomington’s variation in race and ethnicity gives Bloomington its rich heritage and cultural diversity.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

The City’s demographics reflect fairly closely those of Indiana and the U.S., with notable exceptions of the community’s higher percentage of Asian persons and its lower percentage of African-Americans and Hispanics, Exhibit 2. Bloomington’s foreign-born population percentage resembles the nation’s, and is more than twice as high as the state of Indiana’s.

This variation in race and ethnicity gives Bloomington its rich heritage and cultural diversity. It also which likely speaks to the international attraction of Indiana University as one of the world’s great research universities.

Special Session Action: 7-0-0, Adopted via Consent
(07 November 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number:  #75

Name of Sponsor(s):  CM Volan

Date Submitted:  10/31/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Profile</td>
<td>Future Projections</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

College students are adults. Their range should have been measured from 18-24, but the changes as indicated should suffice to make clear that ages 25-54 refer to the non-student population and ages 20-25 refer to the student population.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

According to research completed by the City of Bloomington Commission on Aging, within the years 2020 to 2040 the population of the Bloomington metro area age 55 and above will grow by 20%. The non-student adult population (25-54) will grow by 13%, and the youth population (0-20) by only 7%. (The college age population which corresponds most closely with college students, 20-25, was not included in this study.) The fastest growing group will need a community that prioritizes health care, supportive housing, and increased mobility services.

Special Session Action:  7-0-0, Adopted via Consent
(07 November 2017)
This amendment seeks to delete a controversial paragraph about future housing needs in Bloomington because (1) the data is for the Bloomington Metropolitan Statistical Area and not for the City of Bloomington only, (2) the data is misleading regarding replacement of 6,100 housing units, which has been interpreted by some as condoning such replacement, (3) the paragraph is not essential background information for the Plan, as it is widely known and accepted that more housing is currently needed and will be needed for the foreseeable future. It also makes a related minor, non-substantive change to the following paragraph to shift the reference from “this growth” to “future growth.”

One of the major pressure points of this population growth is the increased demand for housing. Currently, there is a greater demand for housing than the market has supplied. Much of this demand appears to be driven by IU students. This has priced housing rents and home sale prices out of the affordable range for many Bloomington households. It will be important for Bloomington to provide opportunities and locations for a growing student housing demand while ensuring there is a full mix of housing choices and price points for the balance of Bloomington households.

Between 2010 and 2030, Ratio Architects Inc. project that the Bloomington area will need about 7,500 new housing units. In addition, some current housing will need to be replaced, a figure projected at 6,100 units. Add replacement to new housing, and there will be demand for 13,600 new housing units between 2010 and 2030.

It will be critical important that this future growth is managed as much as possible within the City's existing developed sewer service utility area or “footprint” (Exhibit 6). This will limit the negative environmental impact of further suburban sprawl over Bloomington's unique and environmentally sensitive karst geology.
This amendment divides Goal 1.1 into two goals: One focused on recreation and well-being, the other focused on health and safety. The amendment also would add one policy under the second goal – a policy about supporting mental health and addictions services.

**Goal 1.1** Prioritize programs and strategies that support sustainable, **recreational, cultural and food production opportunities**, and safety of residents and visitors.

**Policy 1.1.1:** Promote City stewardship of its parks, facilities, programs, and services as well as partnerships with local groups for present and future generations.

**Policy 1.1.2:** Continue and enhance programs that embrace Bloomington’s diversity of cultures, languages, gender orientations, and abilities, and support populations that have traditionally been excluded.

**Policy 1.1.3:** Continue and expand the city’s commitment to public land use for the production and distribution of local food.

**Policy 1.1.4:** Prioritize appropriate staffing, resources, and training for the City Police and Fire Departments.

**Goal 1.2** Support programs and strategies that sustain and enhance the health and safety of residents and visitors.

**Policy 1.2.1:** Work with community partners to facilitate access to mental health services and addictions treatment.

**Policy 1.2.2:** Prioritize appropriate staffing, resources, and training for the City Police and Fire Departments.

*Subsequent Goals and Policies shall be renumbered accordingly.*
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)  
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #78
Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith
Date Submitted: 10/30/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 1: Community Services &amp; Economics</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies: Policy 1.2.1</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment seeks to improve the wording of Policy 1.2.1 without changing its meaning.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Policy 1.2.1: Encourage partnerships with non-profits in Monroe County and adjacent communities to develop solutions to shared problems, serve community service needs, provide social services, and leverage State and Federal Resources.

(Note: The numbering of the underlying Goal and subordinate policies may change depending on outcome of other amendments – e.g. Am 77).

Special Session Action: 7-0-0, Adopted via Consent  
(07 November 2017)
This amendment removes an unnecessary and unclear phrase from the end of Goal 1.5. If we commit to public engagement we will obviously promote more participatory citizenship. We don’t have to spell that out.

Goal 1.5 Commit to, and plan for, transparency, open government, and effective, accessible and inclusive public engagement so that exemplary services are provided to our residents, businesses, non-profit organizations, and visitors to promote more participatory citizenship.

(Note: The numbering of this Goal and subordinate policies may change depending on outcome of other amendments – e.g. Am 77.)
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment Number:</th>
<th>#80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Sponsor(s):</td>
<td>CM Volan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted:</td>
<td>10/31/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2: Culture &amp; Identity</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

Indiana means “land of the Indians.” The least we could do is not pretend that they weren’t here first. This amendment makes clear that references to “settlers” are to “European settlers” and makes other edits for clarity.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Bloomington is the county seat of Monroe County, Indiana. It was settled by Europeans in 1816, the same year Indiana became a State. President James Monroe chose it as the site of the Indiana Seminary. Located on a trading route with available spring water, the new settlement attracted European settlers. Following the creation of Monroe County in 1818, the settlement was given the designation of a town. designated a town and the seat of Monroe County.

No precise evidence or record suggests the basis on which the name Bloomington was adopted. One theory suggests the name reflected the blooming flowers and foliage, while another theory suggests that the name was given in honor of William Bloom, one of the first European settlers.

(Note: the remainder of the second paragraph is not affected by this amendment.)

Special Session Action: 7-0-0, Adopted via Consent
(07 November 2017)
**AMENDMENT FORM**

**Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan** (linked)

*Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment Number:</th>
<th>#82</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Sponsor(s):</td>
<td>Isabel Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted:</td>
<td>10/30/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 2: Culture &amp; Identity</td>
<td>Goal 2.1</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Synopsis and Legislative Intent** *(brief description of amendment and its motivation)*

This amendment replaces the undefined terms Urban Centers and Neighborhood Villages with the term Urban Village Centers, which is defined in Chapter 7. It also clarifies that we definitely want cultural spaces in our Downtown.

**Amendment** *(indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)*

Goal 2.1 Support cultural spaces in all areas of Bloomington, especially Urban Village Centers *(see Chapter 7)*, Downtown, Neighborhood Villages, and around the Indiana University campus, where they are publicly accessible to a broad and diverse range of people (ages, incomes, backgrounds, and races) and can help activate the public realm. These cultural spaces should be collaborative, whenever possible, and incorporate or represent a broad range of art types, art forms, and artists.

**Special Session Action:** 7-0-0, adopted via consent

(07 November 2017)
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #83

Name of Sponsor(s): Susan Sandberg

Date Submitted: 10/22/2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 2; Culture &amp; Identity</td>
<td>Goal 2.3</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)
This amendment adds a new policy to Goal 2.3 "Ensure public spaces are of high quality, engaging, and active." The new policy makes clear that we should ensure that all public spaces are maintained, updated and are responsive to cultural and technological changes. Keeping these public spaces well maintained and relevant will encourage a high volume of users.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Goal 2.3 Ensure public spaces are of high quality, engaging, and active.

Policy 2.3.1: Incorporate an arts component that engages sight, sound, and/or texture into the planning, construction, or renovation of all city facilities as deemed feasible.

Policy 2.3.2: Consider the use of natural art and beauty through the preservation of trees, waterways, and other environmental features.

Policy 2.3.3: Ensure public spaces are accessible to all ages and abilities and serve to connect all generations.

Policy 2.3.4: Ensure public spaces and facilities are well-maintained and frequently updated and that these spaces and facilities are responsive to cultural and technological changes.

Special Session Action: ADOPTED, 7-0-0
(07 November 2017)
This amendment seeks to align the Vision Statement objectives (City Council Res. 13-01) given at the beginning of the “Goals & Policies” section of chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 with the Vision Statement objectives listed under each Major Objective on page 15. On page 15, the 16 statements from Res. 13-01 are broken up into 6 major objectives that correspond with chapters in the document. However, when you go the beginning of the “Goals & Policies” section of each chapter, the objectives listed there are not the same as those listed under the 6 major headings on page 15. This amendment seeks to rectify this discrepancy.

Other objectives addressed by a certain chapter (outside those listed specifically on pg. 15 under the appropriate heading) can also be maintained in each chapter’s list with a special explanation. After all, the text before the list on pg. 15 now says “Note that some of the vision statements adopted by the City Council could fall under more than one of the headings below, but in this plan we have matched them most closely with the appropriate plan objective.” This wording passed as Am. 72 on Nov. 7. So the amendment keeps those additional objectives in the lists under “Goals & Policies” with a special explanation.

Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.

Chapter 1, Community Services & Economics, pg. 28 – “Goals & Policies”
Add numbers and change order. Remove quotation marks and semicolons in list. Added text in bold.

The policies in this chapter most closely respond to the adopted 2013 Vision Statement objectives to:
1. Fortify our strong commitment to equality, acceptance, openness, and public engagement
2. Deliver efficient, responsive, and forward-thinking local government services
3. Meet basic needs and self-sufficiency for all residents
4. Fortify our progress toward improving public safety and civility
5. Invest in diverse high quality economic development that provides equitable job opportunities to our residents, supports an entrepreneurial small business climate, enhances the community’s role as a regional hub, and is responsive towards larger concerns of sustainability
6. Enhance the community’s role as a regional economic hub

The policies in this chapter also respond to the following 2013 Vision Statement objectives:
8. Offer a wide variety of excellent educational opportunities for our residents at every stage of life
11. Ensure all land development activity makes a positive and lasting community contribution
15. Encourage healthy lifestyles by providing high quality public places, green space, and parks, and an array of recreational activities and events
Chapter 2, Culture & Identity, pg. 38 – “Goals & Policies”

Add numbers and change order. Remove quotation marks and semicolons in list. Added text in bold.

The policies in this chapter most closely respond to the adopted 2013 Vision Statement objectives to:

7. Celebrate our rich, eclectic blend of arts, culture, and business
8. Offer a wide variety of excellent educational opportunities for our residents at every stage of life

The policies in this chapter also respond to the following 2013 Vision Statement objectives:

6. Enhance the community’s role as a regional economic hub
9. Nurture a resilient, environmentally responsible community by judiciously using our scarce resources, enhancing our natural assets, protecting our historic resources, and supporting a vital local food system
10. Nurture our vibrant and historic downtown as the flourishing center of the community
11. Ensure all land development activity makes a positive and lasting community contribution
12. Recognize the many virtues of historic preservation, rehabilitation, and reuse of our historic structures
15. Encourage Healthy Lifestyles by providing high quality public places, greenspaces, and parks and an array of recreational activities and events
16. Provide a safe, efficient, accessible, and connected system of transportation that emphasizes public transit, walking, and biking to enhance options to reduce our overall dependence on the automobile

Chapter 3, Environment, pg. 47 – “Goals & Policies”

Add numbers and change order. Remove quotation marks and semicolons in list. Added text in bold.

The policies in this chapter most closely respond to the adopted 2013 Vision Statement objective to:

9. Nurture a resilient, environmentally responsible community by judiciously using our scarce resources, enhancing our natural assets, protecting our historic resources, and supporting a vital local food system.

The policies in this chapter also respond to the following 2013 Vision Statement objectives:

11. Ensure all land development activity makes a positive and lasting community contribution
15. Encourage healthy lifestyles by providing high quality public places, green space, and parks and an array of recreational activities and events

Chapter 4, Downtown, pg. 55 – “Goals & Policies”

Add numbers and change order. Remove quotation marks and semicolons in list. Added text in bold.

The policies in this chapter most closely respond to the adopted 2013 Vision Statement objective to:

10. Nurture our vibrant and historic downtown as the flourishing center of the community

The policies in this chapter also respond to the following 2013 Vision Statement objectives:

6. Enhance the community’s role as a regional economic hub
7. Celebrate our rich, eclectic blend of arts, culture, and local businesses.
11. Ensure all land development activity makes a positive and lasting community contribution
16. Provide a safe, efficient, accessible, and connected system of transportation that emphasizes public transit, walking, and biking to enhance options to reduce our overall dependence on the automobile
Chapter 5, Housing & Neighborhoods, pg. 63 – “Goals & Policies”
Add numbers and change order. Remove quotation marks and semicolons in list. Added text in bold.

The policies in this chapter most closely respond to the adopted 2013 Vision Statement objective to:

11. Ensure all land development activity makes a positive and lasting community contribution
12. Recognize the many virtues of historic preservation, rehabilitation, and reuse of our historic structures
13. Embrace all of our neighborhoods as active and vital community assets that need essential services, infrastructure assistance, historic preservation, and access to small-scaled mixed-use centers
14. Offer a wide variety of quality housing options for all incomes, ages, and abilities
15. Encourage healthy lifestyles by providing high quality public places, green space and parks, and an array of recreational activities and events

The policies in this chapter also respond to the following 2013 Vision Statement objective:
3. Meet basic needs and ensure self-sufficiency for all residents

Chapter 6, Transportation, pg. 73 – “Goals & Policies”
Add numbers and change order. Remove quotation marks and semicolons in list. Added text in bold.

Policies in this chapter most closely respond to the adopted 2013 Vision Statement objectives to:

16. Provide a safe, efficient, accessible, and connected system of transportation that emphasizes public transit, walking, and biking to enhance options to reduce our overall dependence on the automobile.

The policies in this chapter also respond to the following 2013 Vision Statement objectives:
3. Meet basic needs and ensure self-sufficiency for all residents
4. Fortify our progress toward improving public safety and civility
5. Invest in diverse high quality economic development that provides equitable job opportunities to our residents, supports an entrepreneurial small business climate, enhances the community’s role as a regional hub, and is responsive towards larger concerns of sustainability
6. Enhance the community’s role as a regional economic hub
11. Ensure all land development activity makes a positive and lasting community contribution

05 December 2017 Special Session Action: Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)  

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

**AMENDMENT FORM**

**Amendment Number:** #85

**Name of Sponsor(s):** Isabel Piedmont-Smith

**Date Submitted:** 11/20/17

**Synopsis and Legislative Intent** (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment deletes a duplicate policy point (Policy 1.1.3) and rewords Goal 1.1 accordingly. Currently Policy 1.1.3 and Policy 1.3.3 are exactly the same, and the policy seems to fit better under Goal 1.3 than under Goal 1.1. After deleting Policy 1.1.3, the Goal 1.1 statement no longer needs to include “food production opportunities,” so this amendment also does away with that reference. Note that Goal 1.3 and subsequent policies were renumbered Goal 1.4 (and Policies 1.4.1, 1.4.2, and 1.4.3) through the adoption of Amendment 77 on Nov. 7.

*Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.*

**Amendment** (indicate text added in **bold** and text to be deleted via **strikeout**)

Goals 1.1 and policies under this goal are as revised via Amendment 77. Also, Goal 1.3 was renumbered Goal 1.4 via Am. 77.

Goal 1.1 Prioritize programs and strategies that support inclusive recreational, and cultural and food production opportunities-activities.

Policy 1.1.1: Promote City stewardship of its parks, facilities, programs, and services as well as partnerships with local groups for present and future generations.

Policy 1.1.2: Continue and enhance programs that embrace Bloomington’s diversity of cultures, languages, gender orientations, and abilities, and support populations that have traditionally been excluded.

Policy 1.1.3: Continue and expand the city’s commitment to public land use for the production and distribution of local food.

Goal 1.4 Enhance the everyday importance and plan for the future of City parks, trails, and community centers/spaces, libraries, and civic buildings by investing in their expansion, maintenance and improvement.

Policy 1.4.1: Increase accessibility of parks, trails, recreation facilities, libraries, and arts/cultural centers for all users, both in terms of getting to the facilities and getting around in the facilities.

Policy 1.4.2: Maintain existing facilities with investments that improve their usefulness, efficiency, and appearance. Avoid deferred maintenance of City infrastructure.

Policy 1.4.3: Continue and expand the city’s commitment to public land use for the production and distribution of local food.

**Special Session Action – 5 December 2017: Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0**
This amendment makes a minor clean up to text by adding a missing public health outcome header under "Outcomes and Indicators." The addition was passed by the Plan Commission, but inadvertently not included in the Comprehensive Plan certified to the Council.

### Outcomes & Indicators

**Outcome: Public safety is enhanced**
- Annual composite index score of crimes against persons and property
- Annual indices of crimes against persons or property by age, ability, gender, and ethnicity
- Community survey of perceptions on public safety

**Outcome: Public health is enhanced**
- Tobacco use (estimated smoking rate)
- Obesity prevalence (estimated obesity rate)
- Healthcare professional shortage areas (severity of clinician shortage)
- Preventable hospitalization rate (number of hospital admissions for conditions that could be effectively treated through outpatient care per 1,000 patients)

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017:  
*Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0*
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #87

Name of Sponsor(s): Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 11/20/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 2: Culture &amp; Identity</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies – preliminary text</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment deletes an objective from the 2013 Vision Statement from the preliminary text in Chapter 2 because the objective is not actually addressed in this chapter.

Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Goals & Policies

The policies in this chapter respond to the adopted 2013 Vision Statement objectives to:

- “Ensure all land development activity makes a positive and lasting community contribution”;
- “Encourage Healthy Lifestyles by providing high quality public places, greenspaces, and parks and an array of recreational activities and events”;
- “Celebrate our rich, eclectic blend of arts, culture, and business”;
- “Provide a safe, efficient, accessible, and connected system of transportation that emphasizes public transit, walking, and biking to enhance options to reduce our overall dependence on the automobile”;
- “Recognize the many virtues of historic preservation, rehabilitation, and reuse of our historic structures”;
- “Nurture our vibrant and historic downtown as the flourishing center of the community”;
- “Enhance the community’s role as a regional economic hub”; and to
- “Nurture a resilient, environmentally responsible community by judiciously using our scarce resources, enhancing our natural assets, protecting our historic resources, and supporting a vital local food system.”

Note that this is objective 9 in the list on page 15.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0

Absent: Cm. Chopra & Sims
This amendment seeks to address the Vision Statement objective “Offer a wide variety of excellent educational opportunities for our residents at every stage of life.” Educational opportunities in Bloomington are discussed in the opening text of this chapter but are not reflected in any of the goals or policies. This amendment adds a new goal and three policies intended to achieve that goal. See Amendment 84, which proposes to add this overlooked objective to the Preface of this Goals & Policies section.

Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.

Add a new goal and policies as follows:

Goal 2.4 Maintain and improve educational opportunities for children and adults in our community as well as partnerships between the city and educational institutions.

Policy 2.4.1: Reach out to Indiana University to continue and strengthen research partnerships to address community problems and long-range planning.

Policy 2.4.2: Encourage job training programs for local business through Ivy Tech and other educational institutions.

Policy 2.4.3: Support public primary and secondary education through community outreach and involvement of children and teens in city events.
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number:  #89

Name of Sponsor(s):  Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted:  11/20/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 3: Environment</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies – preliminary text</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment deletes an objective from the 2013 Vision Statement from the preliminary text in Chapter 3 because the objective is not actually addressed in this chapter.

*Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.*

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

**Goals & Policies**
The policies in this chapter respond to the adopted 2013 Vision Statement objectives to:
- "Ensure all land development activity makes a positive and lasting community contribution;"
- "Encourage healthy lifestyles by providing high quality public places, green space, and parks and an array of recreational activities and events;" and to
- "Nurture a resilient, environmentally responsible community by judiciously using our scarce resources, enhancing our natural assets, protecting our historic resources, and supporting a vital local food system."

*Note that this is objective 15 in the list on page 15.*

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017:  Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0

Absent: Cm. Chopra & Sims.
This amendment adds a policy to Goal 4.1 to address the problem of amalgamating lots to create large, inappropriately-scaled buildings that detract from the historic character and main-street feel of the Downtown.

Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.

Goal 4.1 Maintain Historic Character. Encourage redevelopment that complements and does not detract from the downtown’s historic, main-street character.

Policy 4.1.1: Recognize the significance of traditional architecture, innovative yet durable, high-quality architecture, and compact urban form in supporting community character.

Policy 4.1.2: Provide public and private investment in maintaining historic buildings downtown and utilize historic preservation as an economic development tool.

Policy 4.1.3: Encourage that large, amalgamated lots Downtown be developed as a set of smaller buildings to fit in with the historic character and pedestrian-friendly feel of this area and to provide for more options for reuse in the future.
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #91
Name of Sponsor(s): Piedmont-Smith
Date Submitted: November 20, 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 4 – Downtown</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds a new goal and three new policies to address the 2013 Vision Statement objective “Celebrate our rich, eclectic blend of arts, culture, and local businesses.” Currently there is no goal or policy in Ch. 4, Downtown, that deals with local business, although there are several paragraphs in the opening text that describe the benefits of locally-owned businesses. This amendment seeks to make up for this deficiency and also to underline the importance of the arts Downtown.

Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Goal 4.2 Encourage and support local businesses, the arts, and cultural events Downtown.  
Policy 4.2.1: Whenever fiscally feasible, use local vendors for city events and purchases. 
Policy 4.2.2: Collaborate with Downtown Bloomington Inc. and other local business associations to support locally owned businesses. 
Policy 4.2.3: Continue to support the Buskirk-Chumley Theater, the Bloomington Entertainment and Arts District, and other downtown arts and cultural organizations.

Subsequent goals and policies in this chapter shall be renumbered.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0
Absent: Cm. Chopra and Sims
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #92 – Revised after issuance in Legislative Packet on 12/1/17

Name of Sponsor(s): Cms. Piedmont-Smith and Rollo

Date Submitted: Nov. 27, 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5 – Housing and Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Chapter Overview</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment clarifies the diverse nature of existing neighborhoods and presents the challenges of exercising legislation in neighborhoods with covenants. “Supply-end strategies” is loaded with various meanings and potentially confusing concepts.

Note: This amendment is CONA’s Am. #1.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Bloomington has a strong housing market and unique neighborhoods. Much of the city’s recent growth has occurred in the downtown and near the university. Growth is restricted in many post-WWII neighborhoods, built with larger lots and single-use development, due to both covenants and zoning that restrict infill growth and diverse land uses. Each neighborhood’s unique character, strengths and assets must be respected and considered. This chapter contains goals, policies, and programs that express Bloomington’s long-term commitment to revitalizing its housing stock and neighborhoods as well as providing smart-growth, supply-end strategies for future development and redevelopment. This chapter will set the stage for Housing and Neighborhoods by considering today’s context, looking into housing trends and issues, and analyzing Bloomington’s neighborhoods.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Motion to Adopt
Absent: Cm. Chopra and Sims 6-0-1 (Volan) ADOPTED
This amendment deletes generalized, confusing and inaccurate language. Core neighborhoods are diverse whereas other residential zones are single-use. The majority of the apartments are currently being built in the downtown and along corridors, not inside neighborhoods. The amendment also makes clear that existing neighborhoods close to the city center should not bear the burden of increased density needed as the city grows.

Note: This amendment reflects CONA’s Am. #2 but was revised.

Some of Bloomington’s neighborhoods are relatively diverse, both economically and by housing types, although they could benefit from greater diversity in housing types within each neighborhood, whereas others are comprised almost entirely of single-family homes and limited in development by covenants. Most core neighborhoods are stable but are trending towards a lower percentage of new single-family homes and a higher proportion of apartments. With greater density in the city comes the challenge to preserve neighborhood character and the opportunity to strengthen neighborhoods as active community centers by developing small commercial nodes as community gathering places. Existing core neighborhoods should not be the focus of the city’s increasing density.
This amendment removes mention of “supply side” housing solutions, since “supply side” has negative or confusing connotations. Also, the amendment corrects a reference to the 2002 GPP and describes inappropriate development that has occurred since the GPP was adopted.

Note that this amendment includes CONA’s Am. #3.

We should examine housing trends and increase housing supply. Examining housing trends and exploring supply-side solutions to further enhance the availability of quality housing in Bloomington is an appropriate approach. The two most dominant trends in Bloomington are the decrease in construction of new, single-family, detached dwellings and the increase in multifamily residential (MFR) rental housing development in the Downtown and neighboring areas. Much of this new MFR housing is inappropriately scaled to its surroundings. The recent trend has been toward large-scale monolithic development that disrupts the neighborhood pedestrian realm and disregards street life and the existing urban form. On the multifamily side, construction of new units has been strong for many decades. However, policies in the 2002 Growth Policies Plan redirected the location of new MFR units away from established core neighborhoods and larger tracts of land in suburban locations. Rather, new MFR construction was encouraged and guided towards Downtown and near-campus areas. In response, approximately 1,900 units or 2,500 new bedrooms have been added in these areas. The consensus in the community in 2016 is that Downtown housing is catering largely to Indiana University students.

Special Session Actions – 05 December 2017: Amend Am 94 by adoption of Am 94-A (which strikes the whole blue sentence) 7 - 0 - 0 - Adopted
Absent: Cm. Chopra and Sims
Adopt Am 94 as Amended by Am 94-A 7 - 0 - 0
ADOPTED AS AMENDED
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #95 -- Revised after issuance in the 12/1/17 Legislative Packet.

Name of Sponsor(s): Cms. Piedmont-Smith and Rollo

Date Submitted: Nov. 27, 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5 – Housing and Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Housing Trends and Issues</td>
<td>60-61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)
This amendment recognizes that existing older neighborhood housing stock is affordable and should be protected. Also, long term affordability is a strategy that can discourage home ownership and not allow owners to realize full value of their home. The amendment also breaks one long paragraph into two paragraphs.

Note: red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.

Note further: This amendment is CONA’s Am. #4, slightly revised.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

In addition to these local trends, national trends in the housing market are rapidly changing. The surge of the Baby Boomer generation into retirement, the consequent downsizing of their residential footprint, and the increase in energy and transportation costs are all factors that are shifting markets toward the need for fewer detached housing units on large lots. The desire and preference for smaller, more sustainably designed units, a growing interest in attached housing and co-housing arrangements, and the need for both physical accessibility of housing units and proximity of such units to basic day-to-day service hubs are increasingly important issues. Therefore, walkability or preference towards livability has increased significantly as a factor in housing choice for residential neighborhoods. After many years of development that focused specifically on Indiana University students, the City has started to reevaluate housing markets across the city and especially in Downtown. Affordable housing for the community has become a major issue that both administration and City Council are working to address.

Local policy makers have affirmed affordable housing as a major focus area of the City’s administration, while the City Council formed the Affordable Living Committee to specifically address this challenge. Increasing housing supply, along with offering a range of housing programs for extremely low income households through (workforce) households with up to 120% of annual median income, will help ensure accessible mixed-income neighborhoods. Bloomington's older urban, small scale, compact, single-family housing stock located primarily around the city center and university provide some of the city’s more affordable housing stock and must be protected. Building a growing stock of affordable housing requires assuring sustainability and long term affordability so unaffordable stock is not the only option for future generations. Mixed income neighborhoods are fundamental to successful, sustained, and permanent affordable housing stock.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Adopt 7 – 0 - 0
ADOPTED
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #96
Name of Sponsor(s): Cms. Piedmont-Smith and Rollo
Date Submitted: Nov. 27, 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5 – Housing &amp; Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Neighborhoods</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)
This amendment corrects the use of words that have specific meaning in historic preservation. Demolition Delay was developed to protect housing stock 50+ years old, not just in designated historic districts. In addition, it was developed to protect the fabric of a neighborhood, not just individual buildings.

Note: This is CONA’s Am. #5.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)
The City’s Demolition Delay Ordinance was specifically developed to address this situation and protect the fabric of its older neighborhoods and historic neighborhoods districts. The City of Bloomington applies the demolition delay to certain structures and neighborhoods that have been designated recognized as historically important significant. Protected structures are also subject to review, in some cases, when additions, major renovations, or exterior remodeling are planned.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0
Absent: Cms. Chopra & Sims
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #97

Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Isabel Piedmont-Smith and Rollo

Date Submitted: Nov. 27, 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5 – Housing &amp; Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds language to acknowledge that new developments and redevelopments have both a positive and negative impact on the surrounding neighborhood.

Note: This is CONA’s Am. 6

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Policy 5.2.1: Evaluate all new developments and redevelopments in light of their potential to contribute to positively or adversely impact the overall health and well-being of the people who live in the surrounding neighborhood.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0

Absent: Cms. Chopra & Sims
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #98

Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 11/20/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5 – Housing &amp; Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies – Goal 5.2</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment seeks to address the vision statement objective #15 “Encourage healthy lifestyles by providing high quality public places, green space and parks, and an array of recreational activities and events” at an appropriate place in the Goals and Policies of Chapter 5, since this objective is listed as being met in Chapter 5 on page 15.

Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Goal 5.2 Housing Planning and Design: Guide growth, change, and preservation of residential and business areas through planning policies that create and sustain neighborhood character and green space, and that build a sense of community, civic involvement, and neighborhood pride.

Policy 5.2.1: Evaluate all new developments and redevelopments in light of their potential to contribute to the overall health and well-being of the people who live in the surrounding neighborhood.

Policy 5.2.2: Ensure that expansion of existing neighborhoods and development of new neighborhoods includes access to green space and recreation areas.

Subsequent policies shall be renumbered.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0

Absent: Cms. Chopra & Sims
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #99 -- Revised after issuance in the 12/1/17 Legislative Packet

Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith and Dave Rollo

Date Submitted: Nov. 27, 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5 – Housing &amp; Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Goals &amp; Policies</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment removes language that opens the door to incompatible development in historic neighborhoods. The words “complementary” and “contemporary” are subjective and incompatible with historic character found in older neighborhoods. The amendment also adds language to make clear that design elements of the prevailing neighborhood fabric should be used in new and renovated buildings.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Policy 5.2.2: In historic neighborhoods and districts, preserve or enhance authentic design characteristics, such as building form, by encouraging new or remodeled structures to be historically compatible with or complementary to the neighborhood and adjacent structures, including those using more contemporary design characteristics. Design elements from the prevailing existing neighborhood fabric should be utilized in new building and renovation, even if the overall building design is more contemporary.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017 (Revised after 12/1/17 Packet was distributed): Motion to Adopt

Absent: Cms. Chopra & Sims. 7 – 0 – 0

ADOPTED
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #100-R

Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith and Dave Rollo

Date Submitted: January 9, 2018

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 – Housing &amp; Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Housing &amp; Neighborhoods Goals &amp; Policies</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment deletes language encouraging incompatible architecture in neighborhoods and replaces it with language encouraging the maintenance of built character. The original text negates the intent of demolition delay in unprotected older neighborhoods.

Note: This is a revision to the previously-withdrawn amendment #100. The only change made with this revision is to shift the word “density” in the original amendment submission to “distribution.”

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Blue text indicates the additions made by the withdrawn Am 100; bold text indicates the additional proposed changes with this reintroduction.

Policy 5.2.6: Encourage diverse architectural designs, from traditional to contemporary, except as restricted in designated historic areas. Existing residential neighborhoods, or any portions of a neighborhood having a consistent built character, should be maintained at their prevailing pattern of development, building distribution, and scale. This built character may be complemented by both traditional and contemporary architecture.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018:
Motion anticipated to reintroduce and adopt this revised Am 100-R.

AM 100-R INTRODUCED

AMEND Am 100-R to correct Policy Number from Policy 5.2.5 to Policy 5.2.6
ADOPTED BY Unanimous Consent
ADOPT AM 100-R AS AMENDED
5 – 3 (Ruff, Sims & Volan) – 1 (Chopra)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #101
Name of Sponsor(s): Cms. Granger and Sandberg
Date Submitted: 11/28/2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5: Housing &amp; Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Both Goals &amp; Policies (Goal 5.2) and Programs (Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life)</td>
<td>63 and 65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds the prioritization of “visitability” standards to the Comprehensive Plan by adding a new policy and two new program points. The new policy encourages the addition of visitability and accessibility features, where practicable, and where not otherwise required, in all single and multi-family residential housing construction and modification. The two new program points call for working with community partners to develop ongoing educational programs regarding visitability and accessibility and to develop visitability standards.

The term “visitable” describes a housing unit that enables persons with disabilities to visit, with an accessible entrance, bathroom and common area, while “accessible” addresses the configuration of a unit to accommodate persons with disabilities. Accessible housing presumes that a person with a disability will live in the unit, while visitable housing provides merely the ability to visit it.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

ADD A NEW POLICY AS FOLLOWS (p. 63)

Policy 5.2.6: Encourage the addition of visitability and accessibility features, where practicable, and where not otherwise required, in all single and multi-family residential new housing construction and modification.

ADD TWO NEW PROGRAM POINTS UNDER “NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER AND QUALITY OF LIFE” AS FOLLOWS (p. 65)

- Work with community partners to develop visitability standards. At minimum, such standards shall include: at least one zero-step entrance; main floor doors that provide at least 32” of clear passage; and, at least one half bath on ground floor that is sufficiently convenient for use by a person using a wheelchair or other mobility device.
- Work with community partners to develop ongoing educational programs for consumers, developers, and builders on the topics of accessibility and visitability.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Motion to Adopt: 7 - 0 - 0
Absent: Cms. Chopra & Sims.
ADOPTED
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: # 102
Name of Sponsor(s): Cms. Piedmont-Smith and Rollo
Date Submitted: Nov. 27, 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5 – Housing &amp; Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Goal 5.3: Housing Supply</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment is intended to encourage the redevelopment of non-residential developed land (parking lots and other underutilized property) instead of land that is already developed for housing. As currently worded, Goal 5.3 could imply that land already development as single-family housing be redeveloped with greater density, which would threaten the integrity of our core neighborhoods.

Note: This is CONA’s Am. 9, with an additional sentence added to the synopsis.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Goal 5.3 Housing Supply: Help meet current and projected regional housing needs of all economic and demographic groups by increasing Bloomington’s housing supply with infill development, reuse of non-residential developed land for housing, and developments on vacant land if it is at least partially surrounded by existing development.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0
Absent: Cms. Chopra & Sims
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #103

Name of Sponsor(s): Cms. Piedmont-Smith and Rollo

Date Submitted: Nov. 27, 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5 – Housing &amp; Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Policy 5.3.2 and Programs under “Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life”</td>
<td>63, 65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment has two parts:
1) By revising Policy 5.3.2, it clarifies awkward language as well as encourages the creation of community activity centers for seniors. The language about types of housing that may benefit seniors is moved to a program point.
2) By adding a program point under Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life it appropriately situates encouraging a range of senior housing options in the “Programs” section of the chapter.

Note: This is a revised version of CONA’s Am. #10.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

PAGE 63:

Goal 5.3 Housing Supply: Help meet current and projected regional housing needs of all economic and demographic groups by increasing Bloomington’s housing supply with infill development, reuse of developed land for housing, and developments on vacant land if it is at least partially surrounded by existing development.

... Policy 5.3.2: Enable seniors people who are elderly and moving into later life to remain in their own homes to "age in place," and consider locating a variety of housing options for seniors near gathering places such as the public library, parks, recreation or community centers, and other community resources options to meet their needs through shared housing, accessory dwellings, smaller homes and lots, adult foster homes, and other assisted residential living arrangements.

PAGE 65:

Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life

- Encourage a variety of housing options to meet the needs of seniors such as shared housing, accessory dwelling units, smaller homes and lots, adult foster homes and other assisted residential living arrangements.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Motion to Adopt: 6-0-1 (Volan) Absent: Cms. Chopra & Sims ADOPTED
### AMENDMENT FORM

**Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan** *(linked)*

*Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.*

Amendment Number:  #104-R

Name of Sponsor(s):  Isabel Piedmont-Smith and Dave Rollo

Date Submitted:  January 9, 2018

### Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Programs</td>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Synopsis and Legislative Intent *(brief description of amendment and its motivation)*

This amendment removes the encouragement of placing alternative housing types everywhere in the city rather than defining appropriate compatible locations.

*Note:* This is a revision to the previously-withdrawn amendment #104.

*Note further:* This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced, to add senior populations to the populations the City wishes to attract by expanding compact housing solutions.

### Amendment *(indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)*

Blue text indicates the additions made by Am 104; **bold text** indicates the change with this reintroduction.

- Seek to expand compact urban housing solutions such as pocket neighborhoods, tiny houses, accessory dwelling units, and similar housing solutions, wherever they can be implemented in a manner that does not primarily attract student populations workforce and senior populations that would adversely affect or otherwise complements the surrounding neighborhood.

### Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018:  ADOPT 9-0-0.
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #105

Name of Sponsor(s): Cms. Piedmont-Smith and Rollo

Date Submitted: Nov. 27, 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5 – Housing &amp; Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Programs - Affordable Housing</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

Adaptive reuse should be encouraged. Zoning does not need to be changed to obtain this goal.

*Note: This is CONA’s Am. #12.*

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Programs – Affordable Housing – revise the following bullet-point:
- Adopt zoning regulations that allow for flexible and safe *Encourage* reuse of existing structures in order to maintain or increase the city’s housing supply

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0

Absent: Cms. Chopra & Sims
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #106

Name of Sponsor(s): Cms. Piedmont-Smith and Rollo

Date Submitted: Nov. 27, 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5 – Housing &amp; Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Programs - Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment strives to make the language of this particular program point more inclusive by expanding the amenities and making them available to everyone.

Note: This is CONA’s Am. #13.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Programs – Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life – revise the following bullet-point:

- Evaluate multi-modal access to public schools, grocery stores, parks, restaurants, health care and other community services and amenities for older adults and people with disabilities all ages and abilities.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0

Absent: Cms. Chopra * & Sims
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #107
Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Piedmont-Smith
Date Submitted: 11/24/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5, Housing and</td>
<td>Programs – Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhoods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)
This amendment adds another program under “Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life” discouraging covenants in single-family neighborhoods that thwart community goals of sustainable development (both environmental, through green building practices, and economic, through affordable housing models that allow higher density and/or shared amenities). We have seen in 2017 that covenants restrict the implementation of ADUs and pocket neighborhoods, and co-housing is also restricted by covenants although it is encouraged implicitly in this document.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life - add bullet-point:
- Identify priority street and sidewalk improvements that would make a substantial contribution to the quality of neighborhoods.
- Evaluate access to health care and other community services and amenities for older adults and people with disabilities.
- Discourage covenants in single-family residential neighborhoods that restrict green building practices or alternative, affordable housing types.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017:
Motion to Adopt: 7-0-0
Absent: Cms. Chopra & Sims
ADOPTED
**AMENDMENT FORM**

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s [Comprehensive Plan](linked) (linked)

*Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.*

**Amendment Number:** #108

**Name of Sponsor(s):** Cms. Piedmont-Smith and Rollo

**Date Submitted:** November 28, 2017

**Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Ch. 5, Housing &amp; Neighborhoods</td>
<td>a) Programs -- Neighborhood Character &amp; Quality of Life</td>
<td>a) 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Ch. 7, Land Use</td>
<td>b) Overview text</td>
<td>b) 79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Synopsis and Legislative Intent** *(brief description of amendment and its motivation)*

This amendment moves a bullet point from the Housing Chapter, “Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life,” to the Land Use Chapter, “Overview,” as the bullet is applicable to the entire city, not just neighborhoods. In so moving, the amendment also renders the bullet as a new paragraph to make clear that this paragraph is an action item that attaches to the whole of Chapter 7.

*Note: red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.*

*Note further: This is CONA’s Am. #14*

**Amendment** *(indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)*

*Delete the following bullet from p.65, Housing and Neighborhoods, Programs, “Neighborhood Character and Quality of Life.”*

- Identify individual potential high-value properties or sites where redevelopment could significantly enhance neighborhood and community quality and consider preplanning potential development options.

*Add the following paragraph (not to be listed as a bullet) immediately following the bulleted list on p.79, Land Use, “Overview.”*

In addition, the city should identify individual potential high-value properties or sites where redevelopment could significantly enhance neighborhood and community quality and consider preplanning potential development options.

**Special Session Action – 05 December 2017:** Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0

**Absent:** Cms. Chopra & Sims
This amendment moves a bullet point from the Housing and Neighborhoods Chapter, "Outcomes and Indicators" to the Land Use Chapter, "Overview," as the bullet is applicable to the entire city, not just neighborhoods. In so moving, the amendment also renders the bullet as a new paragraph to make clear that this paragraph is an action item that attaches to the whole of Chapter 7.

Note: red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.

Note further: This is CONA’s Am. #15

Delete the following bullet from p.65, Housing and Neighborhoods, "Outcomes and Indicators”

- Evaluate the cumulative impact of regulations and the development review process and how it affects the ability of housing developers to meet current and future housing demand

Add the following paragraph (not to be listed as a bullet) to immediately precede the last paragraph on p. 79, Land Use, "Overview:"

As land is developed and redeveloped, the city should evaluate the cumulative impact of regulations and the development review process and how it affects the ability to meet current and future housing demand. This evaluation should include the impact of regulations for all stakeholders.
This amendment adds a note to the Future Land Use Map to clarify that this is not a zoning map.

Note that red text is intended to be instructional only, and is not intended to be a text change.

Add the following bold text.

exhibit 7
Future Land Use Map
This is not a zoning map. The zoning map will be included in the Unified Development Ordinance to follow from this Comprehensive Master Plan.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0

Absent: Cms. Chopra & Sims
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

**Amendment Number:**
#112-R

**Name of Sponsor(s):**
Isabel Piedmont-Smith

**Date Submitted:**

**Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Land Use Districts &gt; Downtown &gt; Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Synopsis and Legislative Intent** (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment revisits some of the concerns expressed in the defeated Amendment 34. While Amendment 34 deleted both the suggestions for increased heights and for diversified density calculations, this amendment deletes only the suggestion for increased heights Downtown. Diversified density calculations are an issue that came up at the Plan Commission when the new Bloomington Bagel Company building was approved in the summer of 2016. The micro-units that were proposed and approved for that development should be encouraged by not counting them equivalent to a standard-sized studio apartment. However, increased building heights downtown are not supported by many in the community and should only be approved if other community benefits are offered.

**Amendment** (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

*Make the following changes to the 4th bullet-point:*

- In order to develop higher residential densities Downtown, increased building heights and diversified density calculations for new unit types should be considered in the Downtown Core character area.

**Special Session Action – 05 December 2017**
None – Not Considered before Council
Recessed Until 10 January 2018

**Regular Session Action – 10 January 2018:**
Motion to Adopt
Introduced in Revised Form 9 – 0
All Present at the Meeting ADOPTED
**Amendment Number:** #113

**Name of Sponsor(s):** Cm. Piedmont-Smith

**Date Submitted:** 11/22/17

### Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch 7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Focus Areas and Strategies</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Synopsis and Legislative Intent

This amendment is meant to allay concerns that Form Based Code would replace use-based zoning in Bloomington.

### Amendment

Form Based Code

To better respond to the relationship of buildings to the street, architectural massing, shape and design, and the location of on-site parking. A form-based code focuses on the physical shape and configuration of the built environment rather than land uses. Using this approach can offer more predictability than flexible Planned Unit Development processes offer. **This strategy should not be used exclusively but rather in balance with use-based zoning. Land use decisions should be based both on compatible uses as well as on form.**

**Special Session Action – 05 December 2017:** Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0

**Absent:** Cms. Chopra & Sims
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #114

Name of Sponsor(s): CM Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 11/22/17

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch 7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Focus Areas &amp; Strategies: Switchyard North, <em>Background and Intent</em></td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment seeks to protect the affordable single-family neighborhoods near the future Switchyard Park from rezoning for more intensive land use purposes, which may well make living there less affordable.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Switchyard North

Background and Intent: The B-Line Trail connects a number of redevelopment opportunities throughout the community, drawing private investment and acting as a “string of future redevelopment pearls.” The Trades District, Gateway South, and the Switchyard North are three Focus Areas that are part of the B-Line’s redevelopment pearls. Investment in the B-Line Trail has already spurred redevelopment opportunities. Coupled with the pending development of the Switchyard Park, the adjacent corridors near the Switchyard should attract redevelopment interest. This Focus Area extends roughly from South Drive to 1st Street and along mostly the west side of South Walnut Street to Morton Street. The City is making a long-term investment in the Switchyard Park, and redevelopment interests must focus on capitalizing on both the direct and indirect benefits of that commitment. These interests must serve multiple needs related to entrepreneurship, employment, single-family and multifamily housing, and green building. In this area, existing single-family zoning should be respected and any changes to use should be weighed against the value of the existing affordable housing.

Special Session Action – 05 December 2017: Adopted via Consent Agenda, 7-0-0

Absent: Cms. Chopra & Sims
This amendment clarifies that the Comprehensive Plan includes methods to “foster” -- not “create” -- a healthy local economy and include actions that not only protect, but also enhance the natural environment.

The Plan establishes the framework and provides direction for City elected and appointed officials and staff to make decisions regarding the desired location and intensity of growth, development and redevelopment opportunities, transportation facilities, parks, and other public services. Methods to help foster create a healthy local economy, actions to protect and enhance the natural environment, and providing equitable access to housing and delivery of public services are also integral parts of the Plan.
**AMENDMENT FORM**

**Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan**

*Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.*

Amendment Number:  #116

Name of Sponsor(s):  Dave Rollo

Date Submitted:  1/9/18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chapter</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction and executive summary</td>
<td>Executive Summary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)**

The amendment adds text to the Executive Summary to note that the Comprehensive Plan differs from a “Growth Policies Plan” as it provides a framework and tools for realizing general community well-being and quality of life that is not simply achievable by standard measures of growth. It notes that our challenge is to flourish while developing in a sustainable fashion. It refers to the community-derived Vision Statement as providing the core principles to guide our course.
Executive Summary

Unlike its predecessor, the Growth Policies Plan, the Bloomington Comprehensive Plan addresses not merely the physical growth of our community, but recognizes the variety of human and natural systems interactions necessary to achieve a sustainable community with a high quality of life for our residents.

We acknowledge that healthy natural systems are the foundation for flourishing human societies. Globally, the scale of human impact is undermining this foundation, and we must reverse the course of environmental degradation to ensure a livable future. Our community has resolved to do our share to protect the biosphere, and critical to this protection is recognizing that infinite growth is neither possible nor desirable in a finite world.

To track our community’s progress toward greater sustainability and resilience, we require measures of success that are inclusive of environmental, social, and economic well-being. Measures of quality of life based on equity, human fulfillment, and community resilience should replace inadequate progress measures based on aggregate growth in conversion of our natural world to built capital, and corresponding increases in resources and energy.

Within a framework of sustainability, our Vision Statement establishes core principles to achieve and maintain civic engagement, human flourishing, and a high quality of life for our community as we develop into the future. These principles serve to guide us in decisions concerning our major objectives within the Comprehensive Plan.

The Bloomington Comprehensive Plan is a tool used by the mayor, City staff, Plan Commission, City Council, ....

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: 9-0-0, as amended
Amendment to Am 116 to change “previous” to “its predecessor” Adopted by unanimous consent
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #117

Name of Sponsor(s): Andy Ruff

Date Submitted: 09 January 2018

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds two paragraphs before Resolution 13-01, the 2013 resolution adopting the Council’s Vision Statement. One of these paragraphs clarifies the meaning of the terms “growth” and “development” as used in both the Vision Statement and throughout the document to make clear that the terms are qualitative, not quantitative. The other makes it clear that Bloomington’s enhancement as a regional economic hub should not come at the cost of the health and well-being of surrounding regional economies.

Note: This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced to move the interpretive guidance to p. 10, rather than p. 8.

Vision Statement

The vision statement provides the “big picture,” the overall outcome for Bloomington by the year 2040. Resolution 13-01, adopted in 2013, lists 16 statements that form the vision behind the plan. These were, and are, core visions of the Council. However, this vision was approved almost five years before the consideration of this Comprehensive Plan. Upon reflection, the Council feels it necessary to be clear about two concepts reflected both in this Plan and in the Vision Statement.

Vision Statement – Interpretive Guidance on “Growth” and “Development”

First, when it comes to the use of the terms “growth” and “development” throughout the document, when they are used in the quantitative context of physical growth (expansion of population and/or our built environment) these terms are to be interpreted as value-neutral. No part of this Plan or Vision should be construed as advocacy for population growth, growth of our built environment, or growth in consumption as goals or end points in themselves. Rather, the vision of growth and development for our community is a qualitative one. Qualitative growth includes: growth in social and economic equity; growth in environmental quality and integrity; growth in community health; growth in opportunities for quality education, quality employment, and civic engagement; and, growth in access to amenities for all. This is what we mean by “economic development.” Simple physical growth should not be confused with economic development nor be understood as a means to foster economic development. It is the intent of the Council that this position on growth and development shall inform the interpretation of this Plan.

Vision Statement and Major Objectives – Guidance on Regional Economic Hub

Second, the Council wishes to clarify the intent of the eighth bullet listed in the Council’s 2013 Vision Statement, reading “Enhance the community’s role as a regional economic hub.” This statement should be interpreted in a regional context which includes the effects of such a strategy on other regional communities. Policies and decisions for enhancing our community’s economic role in the region should be primarily based on accurate assessments of providing truly regional benefits and should not come at the expense of the economic health and well-being of other communities in our region. Any discussion of the enhancement of Bloomington as a regional hub should be accompanied by a review of the economic impact of such hub on surrounding communities.
Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018:   Adopt, 9-0-0
**Synopsis and Legislative Intent** (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

The existing Comprehensive Plan is silent on the role and importance of labor. This amendment corrects this oversight by making a number of changes to add the voice and important nature of labor throughout the document.

First, the amendment includes labor organizations as one of the community’s key stakeholders and agents when it comes to the programs outline in the Plan. Secondly, the amendment acknowledges and includes labor’s role as an important participant in adapting to evolving trends in the manufacturing sector and workplace. Lastly, the amendment adds a new paragraph to recognizing the importance of supporting workers and the role they play in a healthy local economy in improving the overall well-being of the community. It also expresses support for the need for collaboration and cooperation of labor and management to maximize progress towards improving the economy and our larger community goals. The amendment add two new Outcome indicators and otherwise adds in the voice and important nature of labor throughout the document.

**Note:** The proposed amendment #125 also adds language to Chapter 1, “Economic Development,” p. 27 calling for the City to pursue labor/management strategies to increase wage growth. Please consult that change for a complete labor-specific changes proposed by the sponsor.

**Note further:** This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced to slightly re-word the language of suggested indicators to make those bullets consistent with other indicator bullets.
Page 8: Amend the description of "Programs" under "Plan Framework" such that the entire provision shall read as follows:

Programs
Provide examples of more specific implementation strategies taken by city officials, business leaders, community organizations, labor organizations, and residents to help accomplish the goals.

Page 24, ¶4: Amend the paragraph on the manufacturing industry such that the entire paragraph shall read as follows:

The manufacturing industry will likely continue to evolve and change as technology advances. Jobs that remain in manufacturing will require ongoing training and advanced degrees, and in adapting to new and evolving trends, labor should play an important role along with management. Future projections indicate that professional office and institutional employment will lead to new job growth over the next few decades. Retail and accommodation employment will continue to grow as well.

Page 24: Add the following paragraph at the end of the page:

As our economic landscape changes, we must incorporate the ideas of both employers and workers. Employees and employers must be supported by local government and community to sustain our economy. Employees must have a say in their workplaces and in the future of their economic sectors. With this vision and capital provided we will be able to work together for a sustainable local economy.

Page 28: Under Goal 1.3 add a new policy to read as follows in bold:

Goal 1.3 Engage the community by working with regional partners, schools, businesses, and non-profits to create partnerships that provide community services and programs for all age groups.

Policy 1.3.6 Engage labor in educating youth and workforce about available apprenticeship programs and opportunities in the trades.

Page 31: Amend the last bullet point so that it reads as follows:

- Promote partnerships with higher education institutions and union apprentice programs that aim to enhance entrepreneurship and competitiveness.

Page 31: Add a new bullet point that shall read as follows:

- Encourage and support collective bargaining and a general understanding of labor's role in a robust, healthy, equitable economy and overall community well-being.

Page 32: Add a new bullet point under the last "Outcome" section that shall read as follows in bold:

Outcome: Income inequality is reduced across and between all ages, races, and genders.
- Rate of unionization of workers and percentage of union membership in the workforce

Page 33: Add a new bullet point that shall read as follows:

Outcome: Career Pathways and training are engaging residents and businesses.
- Number, availability, and awareness of union and other apprenticeship and outreach programs
This amendment deletes a sentence discussing the Bloomington MSA falling behind the average MSA. This sentence does not clearly cite a referent and does not otherwise follow from the previous statement discussing Bloomington's low unemployment rate.

While construction permits for housing mirrored the national economy, Bloomington’s economic performance has lagged behind the national recovery. Overall, the unemployment rate continues to be lower than the state of Indiana’s over the last 10 years. According to the Indiana Business Research Center (IBRC), in the years between 2005 and 2015, the Bloomington Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) fell farther behind the average metro area. Real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) has shrunk since its peak in 2010, showing only a minimal upturn in 2014 and 2015, an upturn that can be largely attributed to the City’s population increase. Similarly, real per capita personal income has yet to fully recover since 2008, despite demonstrating a recent growth trend; it remains well below the state and national averages (reflecting the impact of the student population). The poverty rate remains above that of comparable college towns of similar size.
This amendment introduces the key concept of “import substitution” relative to the health of the local economy for the following reasons. The importance of “basic/traded” sector business is emphasized in several places in the plan. However, reducing the leakage of income from the local economy can have a similar effect on the local economy as money coming in from export. The draft talks in places about local business and the importance of local business, but it does not make the linkage to the economic concept of import substitution - that income retained in local economy that otherwise would have leaked out can have a similar benefit to the local economy as income brought in through exports.

Note: This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced to shift the phrase “concentrations of industries” to “industrial sectors and the clustering of those sectors” in the first sentence of the passage.

When reviewing the resiliency and sustainability of local economies, it is important to understand the concentration and concept of import substitution as well as the importance of industrial sectors and the clustering of those sectors. Critical industries that bring in trade and dollars from outside the local economy. Encouraging local production for local consumption to replace some imports – goods and services imported from outside the local economy -- has the community benefits of reducing dependence on imports, reducing leakage of income from the local economy, and developing a more diverse, resilient, and sustainable local economy. Energy purchase and consumption for example represents a large amount of economic leakage, and energy conserved or produced locally represents money not lost from the local economy. Education and awareness of the concept of import substitution as it relates to the local economy is critical, as benefits are not realized if income prevented from leakage is subsequently leaked in other ways.

Exhibit 4 indicates the location quotient, which is a measure of the amount of industrial concentration relative to the national economy. Generally, any industry that has a Location Quotient (LQ) of greater than one is considered to have an employment concentration important to the local economy.
Regular Session Action – 10 January 2018: Amendment to Am 120 to explain more clearly the definition of “imports.”

ADOPT, 9-0-0, as amended

Adopted by unanimous consent
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number:  #121
Name of Sponsor(s):  Andy Ruff
Date Submitted:  03 January 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Profile</td>
<td>Existing Conditions</td>
<td>21, last¶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment re-frames the last sentence to eliminate the assertion that Bloomington must be “positioned to grow” based on future demographic and economic projections.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

The future projections on the demographics and economics of Bloomington are optimistic. With our highly-educated workforce, Bloomington will be positioned to take advantage of the expanding creative, knowledge-driven economy of the 21st century.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018:  ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

**Amendment Number:** #122

**Name of Sponsor(s):** Susan Sandberg and Steve Volan

**Date Submitted:** January 5, 2018

**Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Profile</td>
<td>Future Projections</td>
<td>22, 2nd column, 1st paragraph</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Synopsis and Legislative Intent** (Brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment provides housing and household projections for Bloomington.

Envision Tomorrow is an open-access planning package that allows professional planners to analyze how their community’s current growth pattern and future decisions affecting growth will impact a range of measures from public health, fiscal resiliency and environmental sustainability. The Balanced Housing Model is a component of the Envision Tomorrow package which can be used to analyze a community’s existing housing supply, using data such as age, household income and tenure (rental or owner-occupied) collected from the U.S. Census Bureau. It can also be used when identifying future housing needs based on population and age and income cohorts. This amendment uses the 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates. The chart below shows the projected data for the City of Bloomington.

*Note:* This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced, to change the word “fraternities” to “Greek housing” in the last paragraph.
By 2040, the population of Bloomington is expected to reach over 100,000 individuals. These individuals will need services to meet their needs, especially housing. As we look to the future, projections are a way to create an estimate of a future situation based on a study of present data, although it is not a guarantee as to what will exactly happen over time. Using current housing and population data for the City of Bloomington from the American Community Survey and anticipating the future population, we are able to generate a forecast of how much housing will be needed by 2040.

Exhibit 6 identifies the existing housing stock and existing households by a scale of income brackets and projects the number of households and required units by the target date (2040). According to the projected housing needs, 12,225 additional units will be needed to meet the growing population demand by 2040. This is approximately 556 units per year. This number includes both rental and owner occupied units. With a growing population and aging of the existing housing stock, the projection also estimates that around 2,610 existing units will become obsolete by 2040 and need to be at a minimum renovated to meet code.

In exhibit 6, the blue bars indicate the existing occupied affordable housing stock per income bracket, the green bars indicate the number of households in 2015 in each income bracket, and the red bars indicate the projected number of households per income bracket by the year 2040. Examining the relationship between the blue bars and green bars per income bracket show the existing affordable housing supply per household within an income bracket. The red bar indicates the projected number of households per income bracket in 2040. To understand the potential housing need by 2040, the blue bar should be compared to the red bar.

Students living off-campus counted by the American Community Survey are included in the projection. Institutional housing, including but not limited to university dormitories and Greek housing, are excluded from the housing projection, meaning there is a large population that lives in Bloomington not considered in this projection. Estimating the impact of universities on data sets can be challenging due to data collection methods. It is important to acknowledge this large part of the overall population of Bloomington that is not considered in the projected housing need.
2015 Households and Housing Stock Compared with 2040 Demand by Income Level

Exhibit 6

Source: Envision Tomorrow Scenario analysis; U.S. Census Bureau ACS 2010-2015 5-year estimates, Bloomington (city), Indiana.
Regular Session Action – 10 January 2018: ADOPTED, 9-0-0
This amendment re-frames the last sentence to make clear that the preservation of green space and environmental protection are challenges posed by the quantitative growth measures of population increase and an expansion of the built environment.

With additional housing comes the challenge of preserving vital green space and protecting the environment. These are real challenges facing Bloomington in the near future as it adjusts to its population increase and expansion of the built environment.
This amendment deletes the word “best” in the passage asserting that the best and highest-paid jobs require an advanced degree; “best” is subjective in this context. This amendment also inserts the word “retain” in the passage reading that Bloomington has the right environment to attract an educated workforce.

While Bloomington has seen a reduction in manufacturing employment in past decades, and some project a continuing trend, it is not a foregone conclusion. Most communities realize that real sustained economic growth comes from within the local economy. Most of the best and highest paid jobs require an advanced college or associate level degree. Developing educational programs and job training opportunities is critical in establishing a strong future workforce. People who have this level of education are in high demand not only in Indiana and the nation but around the world. Young, highly sought-after professionals demand a high quality of life where they can live, work, and play. Bloomington has the right environment to attract and retain this workforce.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s [Comprehensive Plan](linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment Number:</th>
<th>#125</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Sponsor(s):</td>
<td>Andy Ruff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted:</td>
<td>03 January 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Services &amp; Economics</td>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>27, 1st 3 ¶s under subsection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Synopsis and Legislative Intent
(brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment makes a number of clarifying changes to the first three paragraphs of the “Economic Development” section, including: changing “creating” to “maintaining” in the discussion of a positive business environment; shifting “graduates” to “residents” in the passage calling jobs that encourage people to stay in the community; and, using the word “quality” to describe job creation. The amendment also eliminates the phrase “…such as concerns related to regulation” used in this same sentence with the term “economic competitiveness” as it implies that local regulations likely reduce or inhibit economic competitiveness. It plays too much to the trope that regulations are often at odds with community good. Further, the amendment makes import substitution as part of the City’s approach to strengthen economic health. Because this paragraph notes the importance of primary employers -- which have been defined as businesses that bring income into the community from outside the local economy -- it is just as important to include the economic strategy of import substitution which reduces the leakage of local income from inside the local economy.

**Note:** This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced to change the phrase “labor/management strategies” to “labor/management partnerships.”
Economic Development

Retaining, developing, and attracting quality jobs for all is essential for the health of Bloomington’s economy. The City of Bloomington will continue to promote a diverse economy to ensure Bloomington’s long-term economic vitality. The City will aim to increase opportunities for its residents by focusing on innovation and entrepreneurship, retaining and supporting existing businesses, attracting and retaining human capital workers, supporting the growth of future-oriented jobs, encouraging arts, entertainment and culture, and creating maintaining a positive business climate through local policy. Innovation and entrepreneurship are essential qualities to promote. A robust digital infrastructure; smart city technology that integrates information and communication; the strong performance and interactivity of community services; and a vigorous sharing or access economy, will all play important roles in the City’s future success.

The City of Bloomington will aim to capitalize on the talent and intelligence attracted by the City’s educational institutions and its proximity to NSWC Crane by cultivating Bloomington’s emerging tech and startup scene, by pursuing programs to leverage these institutions’ intellectual property, and by pursuing labor/management partnerships and other strategies to increase wage growth, including initiatives proposed by the Mayor’s 2017 Wage Growth Task Force. Bloomington needs jobs that will not only encourage its graduates residents to stay in Bloomington and recruit outside talent, but also enable all workers to earn a livable wage. In the next 20 years, we aim to strengthen and spread Bloomington’s reputation as an excellent place to live, work, and play.

The City will continue to address issues affecting business growth, quality job creation, and Bloomington’s long-term economic competitiveness, such as concerns related to regulation, and The City will pursue opportunities to more effectively use incentives to promote economic vitality.

The City of Bloomington will continue working with businesses to facilitate retention and expansion of primary employers and key industries such as healthcare, manufacturing, and technology services, while exploring opportunities to further diversify its economy by strengthening other sectors and also supporting import substitution as part of that strategy. This will ensure Bloomington’s prosperity and its role as a regional economic leader.
Rescue 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #126

Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 1/8/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 1</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

The commonly understood terminology for a wage that a worker can live off of is “living wage” not “livable wage.” This amendment makes that correction in the second paragraph in the right column of pg. 27.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

...Bloomington needs jobs that will not only encourage its graduates to stay in Bloomington and recruit outside talent, but also enable all workers to earn a livable living wage.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #127

Name of Sponsor(s): Dave Rollo and Isabel Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 1/9/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Community Services &amp; Economics</td>
<td>Goal 1.6</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds language to Goal 1.6 to make clear that local governments have an important role to play in resident participation in policymaking. This engagement helps create more informed and engaged citizens, and importantly, helps engender greater trust in government.

Note: This amendment adds back in language that is substantially similar to the language deleted by the Council with Am#79, adopted via a consent agenda. While #79 deleted this language to rid the passage of perceived redundancy, it is nonetheless worth highlighting the importance of promoting participatory citizenship; hence, the reinsertion.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Goal 1.6 Commit to, and plan for, transparency, open government, and effective, accessible and inclusive public engagement so that exemplary services are provided to our residents, businesses, non-profit organizations, and visitors, while also promoting more participatory citizenship.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #128

Name of Sponsor(s): Dave Rollo

Date Submitted: 1/9/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Community Services &amp; Economics</td>
<td>Goal 1.6: new Policy 1.6.4</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds a policy to Goal 1.6. In order for the City of Bloomington to improve its capacities to design and deliver effective and inclusive public engagement in support of City Planning and services, attention should be paid to developing staff capacities for such design and delivery, learning and retaining learning from experiences gained, and ensuring that this knowledge building is not isolated within one City department.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Policy 1.6.4: Promote staff public engagement knowledge and competencies; and support City departments to assess and document their public engagement experiences and to share their learning across departments.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #129

Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 1/8/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 1</td>
<td>Policy 1.7.2</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

“Traded/basic employers” is not a well-known term, nor does it come up in a simple Google search. This amendment seeks to use more commonplace language.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Policy 1.7.2: Prioritize the growth of local “traded/basic” employers, meaning businesses that meet economic demand generated outside the community, through strategies that sustain a positive business climate for long term employment opportunities.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #130

Name of Sponsor(s): Andy Ruff

Date Submitted: 09 January 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Community Services &amp; Economics 1</td>
<td>Goal 1.7, Policy 1.7.1 Programs, Economic Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>Community Services &amp; Economics</td>
<td>31, 3rd from last bullet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment changes all references to “human capital” to “worker” or “workers and their skills” wherever it appears in the document, as the use of “human capital” carries a de-humanizing and commodified connotation.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

- **p. 29: Amend text to read as follows:**
  Policy 1.7.1: Make full use of the human capital workers and their skills that Indiana University, Ivy Tech, and NSWC Crane attract and generate through programs that foster retention and expansion of key industries.

- **p. 31: Amend text to read as follows:**
  Leverage marketing strategies that highlight local assets, programs, and other attributes to attract and retain human capital workers.

- **p. 108: Appendix: Amend text to read as follows:**
  Leverage marketing strategies that highlight local assets, programs, and other attributes to attract and retain human capital workers.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #131

Name of Sponsor(s): Dave Rollo

Date Submitted: 1/9/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Community Services</td>
<td>Programs – Municipal Services – 1st bullet</td>
<td>30, 2nd bullet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds a new bullet point to include the implementation of a quality of life survey asking about residents’ well-being and needs that could be addressed via policy initiatives.

Note: This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced to separate out the new language into a separate bullet point.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

- Survey community health and satisfaction levels regularly, identifying changing needs and quality of local services.
- Implement a recurring Quality of Life Survey to determine our residents’ overall quality of life and to ask about needs and concerns that could be addressed by policy initiatives.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018:

ADOPT: 9-0-0, as amended

Amendment to add "recurring" before Quality of Life Survey:

Adopted by unanimous consent
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #132

Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 1/8/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 1</td>
<td>Programs</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

During the annexation process of 2017, cut short by the actions of our state legislature and governor, there was significant dissatisfaction expressed by county government officials about the lack of inclusion in the development of the original proposal. This amendment calls for greater inclusion of the county government in future annexation proposals by the city.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Annexation
Develop an annexation strategy after consultation with the county government that provides efficient community services and maintains an equitable service to all residents of Bloomington.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPT: 9-0-0
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment Number:</th>
<th>#133</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Sponsor(s):</td>
<td>Isabel Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted:</td>
<td>1/8/18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 2</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment corrects a typographical error by replacing the word “and” with the word “at” in the first bullet point under the second outcome in Ch. 2.

### Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Outcome: Attendance at cultural events is high.
- Number of residents to number of attendees and at cultural events within the City

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment Number:</th>
<th>#134</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Sponsor(s):</td>
<td>Isabel Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted:</td>
<td>1/9/18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 3</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment clarifies text that indicates how much energy is used by the City of Bloomington Utilities to treat and distribute water. The current text is unclear what the 46% and 60% refers to.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

In 2015, to prepare and transport clean water for human consumption, the City of Bloomington Utilities Department accounted for 46% of energy use and 60% of greenhouse gas emissions by the city government as a whole, according to a City operations inventory through the Department of Economic and Sustainable Development.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED, 9-0-0
Water

Water is a vital natural resource for human survival. Most of us now live in an urban ecosystem, and we all need to be more cognizant of how water functions in it. Consider the hidden environmental costs associated with both drinking water and surface water. In 2015, to prepare and transport clean water for human consumption, the City of Bloomington Utilities Department accounted for 46% of energy use and 60% of greenhouse gas emissions according to a City operations inventory through the Department of Economic and Sustainable Development. These represent large portions of our environmental footprint and have impacts on the City’s budget.

Human consumption is not the only use for the water sanitized and transported by our Utilities Department. Commercial and industrial processes have a range of needs for water. Heating and cooling, cleaning, and manufacturing all require water. And, of course, clean water is necessary to support the plants and animals in our ecosystems and food systems. Access to clean water is an essential component of a sustainable community, and Lake Monroe— a reservoir created in 1964 with an estimated lifespan of just 100 years— is the sole source of water supply to the city and is a critical contributor to Bloomington’s ability to flourish into the future.

This amendment adds language to make it clear that Lake Monroe is the community’s only source of water.
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #136

Name of Sponsor(s): Dave Rollo

Date Submitted: 1/9/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 -- Environment</td>
<td>Goals and Policies: Water (2nd bullet)</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amends expands the collaborating entities working to prolong the life of Lake Monroe and to improve water quality to include all those that control and participate in protecting the Lake.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

- Increase the use of modern best practices for water quality and quantity control.
- Work with the Army Corps of Engineers, regional, state and federal governments to prolong the life of Lake Monroe and create and implement a plan to improve water quality in the Lake.
- Create and implement a plan to reduce water leakage in the City of Bloomington Utilities infrastructure.
- Prevent sanitary sewer overflows to ensure compliance with applicable state and federal requirements and to avoid pollution of surface or ground water.
- Utilize Low Impact Development measures such as rainwater harvesting and storm runoff infiltration, when feasible, as mitigation strategies for stormwater discharge.
- Assess karst features and regulations to protect sinkholes and other karst features.
- Simplify floodplain regulations without making them less restrictive.
- Develop an assistance and education program for private property owners to install raingardens.
- Incorporate a stream classification system into the UDO to use in waterway and riparian buffer protection and enhancement.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #137
Name of Sponsor(s): Dave Rollo
Date Submitted: 1/9/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 -- Environment</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators (new Outcome-#6)</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds a new Outcome and two new indicators to the Environment chapter. The Outcome states that water quality is improved. The indicators reflect that, as a consequence of working with state, local, and federal governmental agencies, a management plan will be put in place to protect the lake and that would reduce runoff of fertilizers and sediment that would reduce or eliminate the algal blooms that are commonplace today.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

The following new Outcome and Indicators is to be added and listed as the sixth outcome, following the Outcome discussing the reduction of water consumption:

Outcome: Water quality in Lake Monroe is improved.
- A watershed management plan has been created and implemented
- Toxic algal blooms have been reduced or eliminated.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #138

Name of Sponsor(s): Dave Rollo

Date Submitted: 1/9/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 Environment</td>
<td>Outcomes and Indicators: New Outcome and Indicator- #7</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds a new Outcome and Indicator to the Environment chapter calling for CBU to meet or exceed federal drinking water standards 100% of the time. As we improve water quality, we aim never to deliver water failing at federal standards.

**Note:** This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced to add an indicator to the new outcome.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

The following new Outcome to be added and listed as the seventh outcome, following the Outcome discussing the water quality at Lake Monroe. Note: If AM #137 is not approved, then this shall become the sixth bullet.

Outcome: The City of Bloomington Utilities department meets or exceeds federal drinking water standards 100% of the time.

- Measurements of water quality as compared with federal standards.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED: 9-0-0
This amendment clarifies text regarding high rental housing rates in Bloomington, in the last paragraph on pg. 58 under the heading “Today’s Context.”

Current Census figures estimate that Bloomington’s rental percentage is about 66% of the total housing units within the city. This increased rental demand has pushed apartment rents to disproportionate very high levels, especially compared to surrounding areas.
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #140

Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 1/8/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment clarifies text regarding "livability" as an increasingly used criterion for people seeking a place to live in Bloomington. According to livability.org, "Livability is the sum of the factors that add up to a community's quality of life—including the built and natural environments, economic prosperity, social stability and equity, educational opportunity, and cultural, entertainment and recreation possibilities." The text the amendment seeks to clarify is in the last paragraph on page 60, about half-way through the paragraph.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

The desire and preference for smaller, more sustainably designed units, a growing interest in attached housing and co-housing arrangements, and the need for both physical accessibility of housing units and proximity of such units to basic day-to-day service hubs are increasingly important issues. Therefore, walkability or preference towards livability Livability, or an overall assessment of a neighborhood’s quality of life, has increased significantly as a factor in housing choice for residential neighborhoods.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow:

Amendment Number: #141
Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith
Date Submitted: 1/8/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5</td>
<td>Policy 5.1.3</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment seeks to clarify the intention of this policy as intermingling residents of different income levels in the same neighborhoods or multi-family housing developments. We don’t just want to see a wide range of housing types in the city, but we would like to see a wide range within each neighborhoods, as feasible.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Policy 5.1.3: Encourage a wide range of housing types to provide a more diverse mix of housing opportunities and household income levels, preferably within neighborhoods and multi-family housing developments.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
This amendment adds a missing word in Goal 5.4, where the wording is currently incorrect due to incorporating a previous amendment.

Goal 5.4 Neighborhood Stabilization. Promote a variety of homeownership and rental housing options, mitigate against unforeseen eviction and rapid price changes, and promote opportunities for community interaction that are also aimed towards different stages of life, ages, and household incomes.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
**AMENDMENT FORM**

**Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan** (linked)  
*Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.*

**Amendment Number:** #143

**Name of Sponsor(s):** Isabel Piedmont-Smith

**Date Submitted:** 1/8/18

**Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5</td>
<td>Programs</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)**

This amendment specifies that student-oriented multi-family housing should be built in such a way that the space may be adopted to other uses in the future. As currently written, part of the sentence refers to student-oriented housing while the other part refers to “any multifamily housing.” This is a program point under the heading Affordable Housing, the 4th bullet in the left column on page 65.

**Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)**

- Adopt zoning regulations that ensure, to the extent possible, that any student-oriented multifamily housing developments can successfully transition to serving other populations as the student market evolves and demand changes.

**Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018:**  ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #144

Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 1/8/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 5</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment seeks to incorporate employment in the second outcome statement at the end of Ch. 5, since 2 of the indicators (the 6th and 7th) deal directly with employment, and indeed employment should be located close to housing when possible.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Outcome: Improved access of neighborhood housing to community amenities, employment, and essential services.

- Percentage of population within 0.25-mile walk of public and private indoor or outdoor recreation and socialization spaces
- Walk Scores™ of US Census block groups within the city
- Walk and transit scores of parks, schools, community service providers, health care facility locations, and subsidized housing
- Percentage of dwelling units within a 0.25-mile and 0.5 mile walk of a grocery store and/or farmers market
- Number of parks within a half-mile by US Census block group
- Number of jobs accessible within a 45-minute transit commute (EPA Smart Location Database)
- Mix of jobs within a mile by block group (US Census)
- Annual comprehensive inventory of sidewalk conditions by neighborhood (multiple extant methodologies)

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT, 9-0-0
This amendment corrects a sentence that currently has no verb and moves this sentence to a more appropriate location within the text of the overview, under the heading of Transportation Investments. It also clarifies the sentence that introduces the Master Thoroughfare Plan.

Transportation investments are significant undertakings, and costs are always a limiting factor. The daily operation and maintenance costs of these facilities are substantial. The total costs associated with network improvements must account for engineering, design, land acquisition, construction, inspection, and maintenance.

The city does not have the space or resources to significantly expand roads and intersections within the built-out, urban environment. Many medium and large-sized cities with similar challenges are using transportation demand management to reduce travel demand, or to redistribute travel demand in space or time. Cities also manage congestion and improve transportation long-term by investing in multiple transportation modes such as walking, bicycling, and public transportation rather than solely building motor vehicle capacity. While investments in active and public transportation most obviously benefit users of those modes, every person walking, cycling, or in a bus represents one less car on the street. **We must also consider the impact of the growing “shared” or “access” economy and “driverless” or autonomous vehicles in the future.**

The on-going construction of Interstate 69 through Bloomington presents a number of challenges to the community. Alterations to highway access points and local roadway configurations near the corridor will impact residents and the businesses they seek to access. Traffic diverted to new routes on local roads may force the City to re-evaluate its priorities for future transportation projects. In addition, bicycle and pedestrian accessibility across the Interstate 69 corridor are important future considerations. The city must also carefully manage development around highway interchanges to ensure that only the most appropriate land uses develop in those areas. Making new investments in the transportation network should be carefully evaluated, not only considering fiscal, locational, and demand contexts, but also the implications for climate change, economic prosperity, and community health.

One tool to foster such evaluations evaluate and guide progress toward our transportation objectives is the Master Thoroughfare Plan. Understanding that the “shared” or “access” economy and “driverless” or autonomous vehicles will also have long-term effects.
This amendment adds context to the discussion of community challenges presented by I-69 by making it clear that I-69 will have the practical effect of moving the City of Bloomington closer to Indianapolis.

The on-going construction of Interstate 69 through Bloomington presents a number of challenges to the community. The completion of Interstate 69 will have the practical effect of moving the City of Bloomington closer to Indianapolis. This fact will likely create significant physical growth and development pressures and may bring to Bloomington some of the challenges of being a bedroom community to a major metropolitan area. Alterations to highway access points and local roadway configurations near the corridor will impact residents and the businesses they seek to access. Traffic diverted to new routes on local roads may force the City to re-evaluate its priorities for future transportation projects. In addition, bicycle and pedestrian accessibility across the Interstate 69 corridor are important future considerations. The city must also carefully manage development around highway interchanges to ensure that only the most appropriate land uses develop in those areas. Making new investments in the transportation network should be carefully evaluated, not only considering fiscal, locational, and demand contexts, but also the implications for climate change, economic prosperity, and community health.
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #147

Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 1/8/18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 6</td>
<td>Overview – Motor Vehicle Transportation</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment corrects a typographical error in the first full paragraph on pg. 73, under the heading Motor Vehicle Transportation.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Speed is directly related to crash severity. Enforcement and education are critical to achieve low speed driving behavior. Urban infrastructure can also be designed to encourage low flow that lowers speeds and minimizes crash risk and severity for all users.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED, 9-0-0
This amendment clarifies the policy of the Master Thoroughfare Plan associated with retrofitting existing roadways to accommodate non-single occupancy vehicle travel. Specifically, the amendment adds language making clear that the City has limited interest in widening roadways and seeks to decrease per-capita VMT (vehicle miles traveled).

Note: This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced to make it clear that plans for bicyclists, pedestrian, transit users, and shared riders are not only prioritized before any roadway widening, but are considered before any such plans for widening (emphasis added).

Recognize both the City’s constrained ability and limited interest in expanding or widening most roadways within an urban and built context, such that retrofitting existing roadways and designing innovative solutions for pedestrians, transit users, shared riders, and bicyclists are prioritized and considered before plans for roadway widening.
**AMENDMENT FORM**

**Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan** (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment Number:</th>
<th>#150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Sponsor(s):</td>
<td>Isabel Piedmont-Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted:</td>
<td>1/9/18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 6</td>
<td>Programs</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment clarifies the 5th, 6th, and 15th bullet points under Programs, specifically under the heading “General” (Ch. 7).

- **5th bullet:** Clarify that this point is addressing events in the ROW.
- **6th bullet:** Replace it with two separate bullet points to make the meaning more clear. Note that this clarification was approved by City traffic engineer Andrew Cibor.
- **15th bullet:** Correct a typo.

### Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

- Encourage appropriate community events at appropriate locations and times in the public Right of Way.
- Enhance the understanding of and standards for approvals, maintenance of traffic, and ADA compliance.
- Enhance the understanding of maintenance of traffic and ADA compliance standards.
- Enhance the standards for maintenance of traffic and ADA compliance approvals.
- Inspect all capital projects (City and non-City) to **assure** compliance with applicable standards and specifications.

### Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018:

ADOPTEO VIA CONSENT: 9 - 0
Reso18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #151

Name of Sponsor(s): Andy Ruff

Date Submitted: 03 January 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6- Transportation</td>
<td>Programs, Motor Vehicles</td>
<td>78, 2nd bullet point</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment makes it clear that safety improvements made to reduce motor vehicle crashes should not decrease safety for bicycles and pedestrians.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Motor Vehicles
• Make safety improvements in infrastructure, design, or regulations that reduce crashes without reducing safety for bicycles and pedestrians.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED Via Consent Agenda: 9 - 0
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #152

Name of Sponsor(s): Isabel Piedmont-Smith & Stephen Volan

Date Submitted: 1/9/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. 6</td>
<td>Outcomes &amp; Indicators</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment clarifies the desired outcome of efficient and effective management of public parking by specifying a desired utilization rate and by including neighborhood parking zones.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Outcome: Public parking demands are managed efficiently and effectively, to an optimum level of 85% of supply.

- Downtown public parking utilization rates.
- Neighborhood parking zone utilization rates.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT AGENDA: 9 - 0
This amendment adds language in the paragraph under the heading Transform. The current text says this theme should apply to land that is currently mostly undeveloped, but if you read through Ch. 7, it is also applied to land that is underdeveloped, like the Urban Corridors. There is very little completely undeveloped land in the city, so I think underdeveloped should be added here.

### Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Transform
Locations that establish a brand new identity for land use and natural attributes in order to significantly change the area from its current condition. Generally these areas are mostly undeveloped or underdeveloped, and/or they have present great opportunities to redevelop into transformed built and natural environments...
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #154

Name of Sponsor(s): Andy Ruff and Isabel Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 05 January 2017

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Future Land Use Map</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment re-draws the Future Land Use Map to slightly contract the area designated “Employment Center” and replace it with “Neighborhood Residential” just south of S. Strong Drive and west of S. Rogers Street. The change is denoted by the area circled in red. This minor change reflects the new Habitat for Humanity project scheduled to be built on the old Thompson site.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

See map following page.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 - 0
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #155
Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Rollo
Date Submitted: 1/9/2018

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Land Use Districts, Mixed Urban Residential, Urban Services</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment acknowledges that in addition to aging infrastructure in much of the Mixed Urban Residential district, there are many areas that totally lack essential urban amenities.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

**Mixed Urban Residential –**

Urban Services: The Mixed Urban Residential district has complete access to a full complement of urban services. (Water, sewer, storm sewer, sanitation, police, fire, and other public services offer very good coverage.) Existing public streets, sidewalks, and other facilities provide access and mobility at a “20-minute neighborhood” level of service. However, aging infrastructure and capacity limits may result in deficient services. **Additionally, many of these areas were built without essential urban amenities such as storm sewers, curbs, and sidewalks.** Capital investments and upgrades are necessary to maintain adequate levels of services for this district, which is the main objective for these areas.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 - 0
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #156
Name of Sponsor(s): Dave Rollo
Date Submitted: 1/9/2018

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Land Use District, Mixed Urban Residential, Site Design</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)
This amendment removes the word “unique” when describing development patterns. Unique means “one of a kind” whereas the intent is to be consistent with the overall character.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

**Mixed Urban Residential - Site Design:** The majority of centrally located neighborhoods have been built out, so major changes will occur with redevelopment and property turnover. Redevelopment and rehabilitation of existing structures must respect the *unique prevailing* character and development pattern of adjacent properties. Although there may be various architectural styles that would be appropriately compatible with the existing architecture in these older neighborhoods, the district must continue to emphasize pre-WWII neighborhood characteristics regarding building mass, scale, landscaping, and other site planning features.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 – 0
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked) 
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #157

Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Rollo

Date Submitted: 1/9/2018

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Land Use Districts, Mixed Urban Residential, Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds language to emphasize that property in the Mixed Urban Residential area should only be converted to multifamily or commercial if the property is along neighborhood edges or high volume roads.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Land Use Development Approvals: New and redevelopment activity for this district is mostly limited to remodeling or new construction for single-family residential uses. In some instances development activity will involve larger lots (larger than one acre) where attached single-family residential, accessory dwelling, and minor subdivision is appropriate. Both instances require the Maintain theme for development approvals. A few locations may support increases in density and multifamily residential uses when adjacent to higher volume roads, or near major destinations, or located along neighborhood edges that may support small-scaled neighborhood mixed uses (see Urban Village Center). These instances require the Enhance theme for development approvals. It is important to protect the existing single-family housing stock within this district. The conversion of dwellings to multifamily or commercial uses should be discouraged should carefully balance market demand with overall neighborhood integrity towards single-family residential. The following provide additional land development policy guidance:

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 - 0
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #158

Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Rollo

Date Submitted: 1/9/2018

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Land Use Districts, Mixed Urban Residential, Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>85, 1st bullet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment changes the word “desired” to “prevailing” regarding what type of infill is compatible. The word “desire” relates to an individual’s wishes, rather than respecting the existing historic pattern.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Amend the 1st bullet-point under Mixed Urban Residential, Land Use Approvals to read as follows:

- Historic designations are common within this district, and adjacent infill activities must respect the historic character of adjacent properties. Vacant lots should be redeveloped with compatible infill that reflects the desired prevailing character of the neighborhood.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 – 0
Amend the second bullet-point under Mixed Urban Residential, Land Use Development Approvals to read as follows:

- Accessory dwelling units for single-family residential offer options to consider for affordability, aging in place, and to meet other housing needs. Safeguards should be considered to hinder or reverse the conversion of owner-occupied residential units to multifamily units.
- Safeguards should be considered to hinder or reverse the conversion of owner-occupied residential units to multifamily units.
This amendment adds sidewalks to the list of important infrastructure that should be preserved/repaired/upgraded in the Urban Mixed Residential areas.

**Amendment** ( indicate text added in **bold** and text to be deleted via **strikeout**)

*Add the following text to the sixth bullet-point:*

- Preserve, repair, or upgrade the capacity of aging utilities and **sidewalks** within the district.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 – 0
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #161

Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 05 January 2018

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Land Use Districts: Mixed Urban Residential 85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Downtown 87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neighborhood Residential 89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban Corridor 92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Activity Center 93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employment Center 95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment changes, in the text of several Land Use Districts, the word “could” to “should” in the bullet point relaying that utilities could be placed underground where feasible. The shift of the term “could” to “should” mirrors the language used regarding utilities in the discussion of the Village Center and Parks/Open Space. The intent is to make the language consistent in all land use sections where it occurs, and to make it clear the city prefers underground utilities to above-ground utilities.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikethrough)

Amend the following bullet-point as it appears in the Mixed Urban Residential, Downtown, Neighborhood Residential, Urban Corridor, Regional Activity Center, and Employment Center Land Use narratives:

- In new development or redevelopment projects, utilities should be placed underground where feasible and located so as to minimize potential conflicts with trees and other landscaping features.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 – 0
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #162

Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Piedmont-Smith & Dave Rollo

Date Submitted: 1/9/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Land Use District, Downtown, Background and Intent</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment removes the word “innovation” when describing what’s desired in downtown architecture. Much like “unique” it is ill-defined and open-ended. In addition, this amendment adds “human scale buildings” to the list of desirable attributes of the existing main-street appeal of downtown Bloomington.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

*Background and Intent:* The Downtown district is the vibrant core of Bloomington. It is crucial to the principle of a compact, urban, high intensity, mixed-use center that serves regional, community-wide, and neighborhood markets. The district serves as the social and economic heart of Bloomington by providing land use choices that accommodate residents, businesses, shoppers, and visitors. Seven unique “character areas” (zoning overlay areas) further identify architecturally distinctive areas within the Downtown (see the Downtown Vision and Infill Strategy Plan Map in the Appendix). These character areas help to further describe the many unique built-environment aspects needed to accommodate growth, sustain the vibrancy of the district, and to further slow sprawl at the city’s edge. Overall the district embodies a classic Main Street appeal (display windows, outdoor seating, trees, benches, street lights, on-street parking, walkable distances, and human scale buildings) while also welcoming innovation and progress through a well designed, vibrant, and appealing built environment.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 - 0
This amendment makes minor language modifications to the "Urban Services" section to make clear that the list of services cited is representative, not exhaustive.

Urban Services
Downtown Bloomington, as the developed core of the City, has the full a wide range of urban services including, but not limited to, utilities, a traditional grid roadway network, sidewalks, public open spaces, and transit services. Many However, some services must be enhanced or expanded in order to sustain a flourishing Downtown. Opportunities to improve the entire portfolio of public urban services to meet the growing demand must be planned well before reaching capacity levels of service.
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #164
Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Piedmont-Smith
Date Submitted: 05 January 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page</th>
<th>Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Land Use Districts, Downtown, Land Use Approvals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment deletes a statement asserting that in the Downtown Gateway, Downtown Edges, and Showers Technology Park, higher density and minimal mixed-use aspects are appropriate as mixed-use features should not be minimized in these areas.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

- For the past 10-15 years, housing pressure for this district has been strong for multifamily residential that targets Indiana University students. Preference towards other multifamily markets, affordable housing units, and owner-occupied housing is necessary to balance the housing market within the Downtown Gateway, Downtown Edges, and Showers Technology Park character areas. In these instances, higher density developments and minimal mixed-use aspects are appropriate.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 - 0
Amendment Number: #165

Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 05 January 2018

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Land Use Districts &gt; Neighborhood Residential . Background and Intent - Urban Services</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment removes redundant language at the end of the first paragraph on pg. 88 and clarifies language about the “20-minute neighborhood” in the second paragraph.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

...Public streets, sidewalks, and other facilities provide good access to other uses within the district, to area parks and schools, and to adjacent districts. Water, sewer, storm sewer, sanitation, police, fire, and other public services offer very good coverage.

Urban Services: Neighborhood Residential districts have full access to all modern public and private services including transit, police, fire, sewer, sanitation, water, storm sewer, natural gas, electric, and telecommunication services. Public streets, sidewalks, and other facilities provide limited access and mobility which in some cases meets the at a level of service using a “20-minute neighborhood” metric: Some destinations are accessible within a 20-minute walk.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 - 0
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #166

Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Rollo

Date Submitted: 1/9/2018

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Land Use Districts, Neighborhood Residential, Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>88, 1st bullet pt.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment removes a bullet-point that suggests maintaining existing context in large tracts of land in Neighborhood Residential. This bullet contradicts text in the narrative that suggests higher densities may be appropriate in this district.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Land Use Development Approvals: New and redevelopment activity for this district is mostly limited to remodeling existing or constructing new single-family residences. These instances require the Maintain development theme for development approvals. For larger lots (larger than one acre), attached single-family residential, accessory dwelling, and minor subdivision are appropriate residential uses and require the Enhance theme for development approvals. For larger tracts of land, single-family, attached single-family (duplex, triplex, and fourplex buildings), bungalow courts, townhouses, row houses), and multifamily residential uses may be appropriate, and in some instances small-scaled neighborhood mixed use is also appropriate (see Urban Village). These instances require the Enhance theme for development approvals. The following provide additional land development policy guidance:

- Developments larger than one acre should emphasize neighborhood context and draw upon surrounding densities, building scales and types, landscaping, and other surrounding neighborhood features.
- Optimize street, bicycle, and pedestrian connectivity to adjacent neighborhoods and other 20-minute walking destinations. ...

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: FINAL ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9-0
This amendment highlights the importance of Urban Village Centers fitting into the existing context. Outreach to the neighborhood is important, but equally important is blending the Urban Village Center with the surrounding context.

Site Design: An Urban Village Center represents the smallest scale of commercial and mixed land use that serves as a high activity node and destination within a neighborhood. The introduction of an Urban Village Center into a primarily residential area requires a high level of site design, compatible building mass and scale, and responsiveness to the needs of the surrounding residents. Sidewalks, street trees, pedestrian-scale lighting, outdoor seating or plaza space, and decorative features such as public art must be standard elements in site design. Urban Village Centers must not detract from the livability of these neighborhoods through unreasonable traffic, lighting, noise, litter, or other impacts.

Balancing the benefits of Urban Village Centers with the livability of neighborhoods necessitates careful planning and can vary from one location to another. It requires thorough neighborhood outreach, from preliminary concepts through final project approval. Creating a harmonious relationship between the new development and the existing fabric is essential. A particular focus on the seams between the commercial centers and abutting neighborhood should be sensitively treated drawing from the prevailing context.
**AMENDMENT FORM**

**Resolution 17-28:** To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

*Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment Number:</th>
<th>#168</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Sponsor(s):</td>
<td>Cm. Rollo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted:</td>
<td>1/9/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Urban Village Center – Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Synopsis and Legislative Intent** *(brief description of amendment and its motivation)*

This amendment highlights the importance of locating Urban Village Centers along high volume roads. While neighborhoods can support Urban Village Centers, they cannot sustain them. These centers require the exposure of higher volume roads.

**Amendment** *(indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)*

Land Use Development Approvals: Urban Village Centers typically involve larger lots and the assembling of multiple parcels of land, for a combined total of at least one acre and no more than five acres. Development activity for this district is mostly new construction through redevelopment; in some instances it may include the restoration of historic or other significant structures. Centers should locate along higher volume roads and along neighborhood edges or easily accessible destinations within a neighborhood. Urban Village Centers significant change the existing land use to a more active context; therefore the Transform theme should be used for development approvals. The following provide additional land development policy guidance:

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: FINAL ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 – 0
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #169
Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Rollo
Date Submitted: 1/9/2018

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Urban Village Center – Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)
This amendment relates to parking at Urban Village Centers. On-site parking for residents is important. Equally important is on-street parking for successful commercial retail. The last bullet-point needs to be split into two separate bullets.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

- On-site parking should primarily serve any residential units that are a part of the development and must be located beneath or on the side or rear of buildings, with access from an improved alley system or from minimal street cuts. On-street parking must be provided.
- Adequate public on-street parking must be provided to ensure successful commercial/retail.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 - 0
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number:  #170
Name of Sponsor(s):  Cm. Piedmont-Smith
Date Submitted:  05 January 2018

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Land Use Districts, Urban Corridor, Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment adds a new bullet point making clear that the Urban Corridor district is particularly suited for residential use and is suited for both higher densities and taller building heights.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

The following shall be added as the 3rd bullet point in the Land Use Development Approvals section:

- Development and redevelopment within the district is particularly suited to high-density residential and mixed residential/commercial use and taller building heights, with the possibility of three or four-story buildings.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018:  MOTION TO ADOPT
7 – 1 (CHOPRA) – 1 (VOLAN)
ADOPTED
**AMENDMENT FORM**

**Resolution 17-28: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan** (linked)

*Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment Number:</th>
<th>#171</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Sponsor(s):</td>
<td>Dave Rollo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted:</td>
<td>1/9/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Urban Corridor – Land Use Development Approvals</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Synopsis and Legislative Intent** *(brief description of amendment and its motivation)*

This amendment adds a bullet to Land Use Developments Approvals for Urban Corridor. On-street parking will provide convenient and essential parking needs for successful commercial/retail while creating a more pedestrian friendly buffer between speeding traffic and the public realm.

**Amendment** *(indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)*

- Create public on-street parking along the Urban Corridor district where feasible.

**Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018:** ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 – 0
This amendment deletes the fourth bullet and clarifies the fifth bullet to make clear that the City prioritizes park and open space access for City residents and is not calling for increased residential densities around existing parks nor calling for increased densities that might drive up housing costs.

• Adjacent land uses should support increased residential densities.
• Access to and from parks and open space should provide connections within ¼ to ½ mile for all City residents.
This amendment slightly revises the language describing the Sustainability strategy for development of the Focus Areas. It affects the last sentence under the heading Sustainability.

...Often, transforming an area depends upon changes in the highest and best use for the community as a whole. Sustainable development is almost always by definition the best long-term investment of private and public funds.
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #174

Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Piedmont-Smith

Date Submitted: 05 January 2018

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 – Land Use</td>
<td>Focus Areas &amp; Strategies (introduction)</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment clarifies what is meant by the development strategy of “Livability or Lifetime Community.” Sponsor consulted with Phil Stafford, who serves on the Bloomington Commission on Aging and is an expert in this area, on the revised text.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

Livability or Lifetime Community

To leverage opportunities outside of land use zoning and controls that can account for sustainability, demographics, and affordability, such that each area represents a diversity of household incomes and interests for city and private planning and investment to achieve a “Lifetime Community,” defined as a place that promotes social, physical, mental and economic well-being for all ages and abilities, persons of all abilities, across the entire lifespan. The Lifetime Community concept is part of the international effort to make cities more livable by emphasizing quality of life for people of all ages, abilities, and socio-economic backgrounds.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: MOTION TO ADOPT
9 – 0
ADOPTED
AMENDMENT FORM
Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)
Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: 175

Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Volan

Date Submitted: 1/9/18 – referencing the December 15th draft of the Comprehensive Plan

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>Goals</td>
<td>Passim</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment is sponsored by Cm Volan. To be consistent throughout the document, it adds titles to goals for chapters where those titles have not yet been entered. In the process it reorders the words in one goal statement to conform to the title. It also moves two policy statements from one goal to another for better coherence. Lastly it adds a new policy (Policy 6.7.4).

Note: This amendment was revised after it was issued in the Legislative Packet, but before it was introduced to add the words “and Civic Spaces” to the title for Goal 1.4 so that the title now reads: “Goal 1.4 Parks and Civic Spaces – Maintenance, Improvement, Expansion”.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

E.g., Except for as necessary for the development of the Convention Center site, discourage large footprint buildings (i.e. with a maximum size greater than of a quarter of a block) in order to encourage local developers and businesses and better urban form create a more pedestrian-friendly public realm.
Changes proposed by this Amendment:

1) Insert titles for goals in the following chapters, where titles do not yet appear and, in regard to Goal 1.4, reword the statement to conform to the title, as indicated below:

Chapter 1. Community Services & Economics
Goal 1.1: Inclusivity
Goal 1.2: Health & Safety
Goal 1.3: Partnerships
Goal 1.4: Parks and Civic Spaces -- Maintenance, Improvement, Expansion
   [Also, in regard to Goal 1.4, reorder the phrase at the end of that statement from “expansion, maintenance, improvement” to “maintenance, improvement and expansion.”]
Goal 1.5: Resilience
Goal 1.6: Public Engagement
Goal 1.7: Employment

Chapter 2. Culture & Identity
Goal 2.1: Public Space for Culture
Goal 2.2: Preservation
Goal 2.3: Resilient Public Spaces
Goal 2.4: Education for All

Chapter 4. Downtown: (where one goal needs a title)
Goal 4.2: Support Local Businesses

Chapter 6. Transportation
Goal 6.1: Increase Sustainability
Goal 6.2: Improve Public Transit
Goal 6.3: Improve the Bicycle and Pedestrian Network
Goal 6.4: Prioritize Non-Automotive Modes
Goal 6.5: Protect Neighborhood Streets
Goal 6.6: Optimize Public Space for Parking
Goal 6.7: Educate the Public
2) Move the following policies from one goal to another as follows:

a) Move Policy 1.4.1, about accessibility, from “Goal 1.4: Parks – Maintenance, Improvement, Expansion” to the end of “Goal 1.1: Inclusivity” and renumber affected provisions accordingly.

b) Move Policy 2.3.1, about public engagement with art, from “Goal 2.3: Resilient Public Spaces”, to Goal 2.1: Public Space for Culture” and renumber affected provisions accordingly.

3) Add a new policy as follows:

Add the Policy 6.7.4 under Goal 6.7: Educate the Public. The new policy reads as follows:

Policy 6.7.4: “Educate the public about the multiple entry points to the city’s parking system, including its menu of options for where to park, how to use the system, and wherever feasible, real-time space availability.”
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: 176

Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Volan

Date Submitted: 1/9/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entire Document</td>
<td>Cover to Cover</td>
<td>0 - 127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment brings forward Cm. Volan’s recommendations for reorganizing, formatting and indexing changes to the Bloomington Comprehensive Plan. While the notes below are extensive, they are largely stylistic, and intended solely to clarify the ideas in the Plan, but not make any substantive changes. The directions are intended to provide guidance on formatting done by staff before the Comprehensive Plan is returned to the Plan Commission for its review and response to Council amendments.

Amendment - Attached

See Attached Sheets for Amendment Instructions

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 – 0
AMENDMENT 176 - RECOMMENDED REORGANIZING, FORMATTING, INDEXING CHANGES

All page numbers referenced below refer to the Comp Plan draft of 2017 December 15. "GPP" refers to the 2002 Growth Policies Plan, which this plan is replacing.

FORMATTING, STYLE, AND MARGINALIA

-- **Upper Case for First Letter of Chapter and Exhibit Titles.** The first letter of all chapter and exhibit titles should be upper case.

-- **Put Titles for Parts Appearing Prior to Chapter 1 in Heading Format.** While there are different formats for chapters, headings, and subheadings, their use is inconsistent, particularly preceding Chapter 1. The Plan Framework, Vision Statement, Major Objectives, Chapters, and Community Profile all are formatted as chapters, but are not listed as such in the Table of Contents. Their titles should be downgraded to heading format.

-- **Distinguish Headings from Subheadings.** Subheadings are currently insufficiently distinguishable from headings. The Plan should provide a clearer distinction between Chapter, Heading, and Subheading formats.

Page Presentation

-- **Even (Left/Verso) – Odd (Right/Recto) with Footers on Every Page.** All pages should be checked to ensure that verso (left-side) pages are even numbered, recto (right)-side pages are odd-numbered, and the footers are flush to the outside of each page when the document is laid open as a book.

-- Verso footer should read: "[pg#] | City of Bloomington, Indiana"

-- **Rename Plan in Footer (and Elsewhere – see below).** Recto footer should read: "2018 Comprehensive Plan | [pg#]"

-- Every page should be checked to make sure it has a footer (e.g., p.14, 28).

-- There is a blank page between pages 5 and 6, throwing off verso and recto.

**Editing Pages 82 & 102**

-- Page 82 (which introduces the Focus Areas & Strategies and associated themes) should be in two columns. As an example, in order to retain the emphasis of transition to the new section, the three themes could be broken into three sections on one page, and have each item spread across two columns as indicated below:

```
Maintain
tex xte
tte xtt
------------
Enhance
tex xte
tte xtt
------------
```
-- Capitalize “a” in Front-Sheet for Appendices (Page 102) The "a" in the circle on p.102 (Appendices) should be capitalized.

USAGE
References to Bloomington Comprehensive Plan; 2018 Comprehensive Plan; City Council; and mayor.  
-- All references to "Bloomington Comprehensive Plan" should just read "Comprehensive Plan" (e.g., p. 7).  
-- All references to the "2040 Comprehensive Plan" should read the "2018 Comprehensive Plan."  
-- All references to "City Council" should read "Common Council."  
-- The word "Mayor" should be capitalized throughout when it refers to the Mayor of Bloomington.

COVER
Change Font, Change Title, Change Logo on Cover. 
-- Title font on the cover is not used anywhere else in the document. It should be replaced by Bookman font, which appears to be the one used for chapter titles and headings. 
-- Plan title should include "2018". Suggested format: "2018 Comprehensive Plan | City of Bloomington, Indiana" 
-- The Bicentennial logo should replace the regular city logo on the cover.

ORGANIZATION
Place Executive Summary First (see below for edits to Executive Summary); Treat remainder of Parts Prior to Chapter 1 as Introduction (with some retitling and editing); Put Chapters 1 – 6 under Section One: Policy Objectives; and Put Chapter 7 under Section Two: Land Use.  
-- The front matter after the Executive Summary should be put into an unnumbered chapter called Introduction. The six chapters should be put into a Section One: Policy Objectives. Because it is more significant than the policy chapters, the land use chapter should be renamed Section Two: Land Use.  
-- In the new Introduction, these should be these headings: Purpose of the Comprehensive Plan, Plan Framework, Vision Statement, Major Objectives, Chapters, Community Profile.  
-- "Major Objectives" should just be called "Objectives." The Heading should be merged with "Chapters," as each objective has a chapter of its own.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
Move ToC before Acknowledgments; Reformat and revise text on ToC; and, Remove tables on p84 & p102 
-- The Table of Contents should be moved to p.3. Acknowledgments should appear on p.5. 
-- The mini-tables of contents on pages 84 and 102 should be removed.
Acknowledgments

Executive Summary

INTRODUCTION
Plan Framework
Vision Statement
Objectives
Community Profile

SECTION I: OBJECTIVES
Chapter 1: Community Services & Economics
  Overview
  Goals & Policies
  Programs
  Outcomes & Indicators
Chapter 2: Culture & Identity
  Overview
  Goals & Policies
  Programs
  Outcomes & Indicators
Chapter 3: Environment
  Overview
  Goals & Policies
  Programs
  Outcomes & Indicators
Chapter 4: Downtown
  Overview
  Goals & Policies
  Programs
  Outcomes & Indicators
Chapter 5: Housing & Neighborhoods
  Overview
  Goals & Policies
  Programs
  Outcomes & Indicators
Chapter 6: Transportation
   Overview
   Goals & Policies
   Programs
   Outcomes & Indicators

SECTION II: LAND USE
Overview
Development Themes for Land Use Classifications
Land Use Districts
   Mixed Urban Residential
   Downtown
   Neighborhood Residential
   Urban Village Center
   Urban Corridor
   Regional Activity Center
   Employment Center
   Institutional/Civic
   Parks/OpenSpace
Focus Areas & Strategies

Appendices
   Appendix A. Downtown Character Map
   Appendix B. Monroe County Home Sales 2011-2016
   Appendix C. Programs Chart, 1. Community Services
   Appendix D. Programs Chart, 2. Culture & Identity
   Appendix E. Programs Chart, 3. Environment
   Appendix F: Programs Chart, 4. Downtown
   Appendix G: Programs Chart, 5. Housing & Neighborhoods
   Appendix H: Programs Chart, 6. Transportation

-----------------

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION "CHAPTERS" [FORMERLY
INTRODUCTION & EXECUTIVE SUMMARY)

Treat Executive Summary and Introduction like unnumbered chapters; Edit Page 7.
-- These should be broken up. "Executive Summary" should be its own page preceding the
Introduction and treated as an unnumbered chapter. "Introduction" should also be treated as an
unnumbered chapter.
-- The heading "Public Input" should be renamed either "How Public Input Was Taken" or
"History of the 2018 Plan"
-- line 3: "developing and adopting a new planning guide" should be replaced with "revising
comprehensive plan."
-- p. 7, col. 2, final paragraph: "The success of the 2040 Plan” should read “The success of the
2018 Comprehensive Plan".
OBJECTIVES HEADING [FORMERLY MAJOR OBJECTIVES/CHAPTERS HEADINGS]

Combine and Reformat Objectives and Chapters in New Introduction.
-- The headings "Major Objectives" and "Chapters" have many redundancies and do not need to be separate. They should be merged to a single heading called "Objectives." The paragraph describing each chapter from "Chapters" should be inserted before the principles from the Vision Statement for that objective.
-- The opening paragraph of the former Chapters heading should be merged with the first paragraph of the Objectives heading. The introductory text of the new "Objectives" heading should read as follows.

* *

-------------

"The sixteen principles of the Vision Statement adopted by the Common Council in Resolution 13-01 were reorganized for this Plan into six objectives. These objectives correspond with the six chapters in Section One, which serve as the strategic component of the plan.

"Each chapter includes general Goals, specific Policies to help fulfill each Goal, and descriptions of Programs for achieving each Goal and Policy. Each chapter also includes Outcomes and Indicators to help track the effectiveness of the Goals, Policies, and Programs. These performance measures may also help re-prioritize Goals, Policies, and Programs when necessary.

"The intent of these chapters is strategic. They identify aspirations, and steps toward achieving the principles in the Vision Statement by 2040. Strategies may need to be modified over time, but the Outcomes should remain consistent.

"Below is a general outline of each chapter. (Note that some of the principles from the Vision Statement could fall under more than one objective. Each has been placed under the objective that was deemed most closely appropriate.)"

-------------

* *

-- Each Objective title should be formatted as a subheading.

Here’s an example of how to combine objectives and chapters:

* *

"2 | Culture & Identity
Nurture education and cultural vibrancy
The City of Bloomington has a high quality of life, supported by its rich culture and identity. It is often cited as one of the most livable communities, both regionally and nationally. This chapter introduces priorities that help define the city's culture and identity, including its history, Indiana University, Tourism, and educational opportunities. Overall this chapter supports the following Vision Statement principles:

Principle 7: Celebrate our rich, eclectic blend of arts, culture, and local businesses.
Principle 8: Offer a wide variety of excellent educational opportunities for our residents at every stage of life."
-- The “Chapters” heading was the only one that had a picture behind its text. This is distracting and not done elsewhere in the document. The Little Five race should be a verso page somewhere instead.

COMMUNITY PROFILE HEADING

EXHIBIT 7 (p.83)
Renumber the Duplicate Exhibit 7s; Note Some Streets on Campus are IU-Managed.
-- There is already an Exhibit 7 on p.59. Exhibits should be correctly numbered.
-- While IU does not fall under the City's land use planning jurisdiction, some of the roads and rights-of-way going through it do. The notation at the bottom of the page should include an additional sentence like the following: “Some of the streets, however, are part of the City’s right-of-ways.”

BACK MATTER
Replace Appendix with Appendices (Lettered); Add Some Images at the End.
-- The page titled "Appendix" should be retitled "Appendices." The Appendices should be lettered instead of numbered.
-- If Plan staff have another image or two that can be appended to the end of the document, that would be preferable to a page of dry, appended text.
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City’s Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: 177

Name of Sponsor(s): Cm. Volan

Date Submitted: 1/9/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Acknowledgements</td>
<td>Page 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Programs</td>
<td>Page 31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

This amendment is sponsored by Cm. Volan and contains two formatting provisions not included in Am 176. The first provision calls for the Acknowledgments to be more comprehensive as was done with the 2002 Growth Policies Plan. The second provision addresses a photograph on Page 31 of children in the Council Chambers visiting from Mongolia. Cm. Volan is concerned that it may inaccurately imply a level of diversity we do not currently have within the City. In the absence of a caption, which staff was disinclined to do, the amendment calls for replacement of the photograph. Note: Council amended the amendment to include Council Office staff in the acknowledgments. It also requested that staff would share final acknowledgments with Council President prior to return of Plan to the Plan Commission.

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikeout)

This Amendment proposes the following changes to the following parts of the Comprehensive Plan

1) **Acknowledgements** – The Acknowledgements should be more extensive and include more names of persons who participated in the process similar to what was done for the Growth Policies Plan. In that regard, the persons should include: past mayors, past Council members, past Plan Commission members, past and present Directors of the Planning and Transportation Department (as it is currently named), and members of the Steering Committee.

2) **Photograph on Page 31 (within Chapter 1: Community Services & Economics)** – in absence of a caption indicating that these were children visiting from Mongolia, the photo should be replaced with another one suitable for the surrounding text.

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018:

Add Council Staff to acknowledgements

Amend - Adopted by Unanimous Consent

Note: Council also requested that the final version of acknowledgments be shared with Council President prior to return of Plan to Plan Commission.
AMENDMENT FORM

Resolution 18-01: To Adopt the City's Comprehensive Plan (linked)

Please complete all fields indicated in yellow.

Amendment Number: #178

Name of Sponsor(s): Offered by Council Office

Date Submitted: 1/8/18

Comp Plan Chapter, Section, and Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section (e.g., Overview, Goals &amp; Policies, or Programs)</th>
<th>Page #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>Programs Charts</td>
<td>106 - 127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synopsis and Legislative Intent (brief description of amendment and its motivation)

The Appendix imports programs identified in each chapter of the Comprehensive Plan and sets forth an implementation chart for the programs one chapter at a time. The implementation charts are administrative in nature and list: 1) the program; 2) the “lead department or agency”; 3) the “expected timing” (short, mid, long, ongoing); and, whether it: 4) is a “policy, ordinance, or other legislation” 5) a study or a plan; 6) involves interagency coordination; 7) involves development review; and 8) involves ongoing public education and outreach. The Appendix in the December 15th draft of the Comprehensive Plan reflects changes in the implementation charts based upon changes in programs (i.e. additions, deletions, and modifications) made by the Council as of December 5th.

This amendment addresses changes in the program implementation charts needed to reflect subsequent amendments made by the Council. It authorizes the Planning & Transportation staff to complete the implementation charts to account for subsequent changes in programs and submit them to the Council President for review and approval prior the return of the amendments to Comprehensive Plan to the Plan Commission for its statutory review and response (in accordance with IC 36-7-4-510).

Amendment (indicate text added in bold and text to be deleted via strikethrough)

Planning & Transportation staff shall complete the implementation charts in the Appendix to account for changes in programs made by the Council not currently reflected in the Appendix and to submit the implementation charts to the Council President for review and approval prior the return of the amendments to Comprehensive Plan to the Plan Commission for its statutory review and response (in accordance with IC 36-7-4-510).

Regular Session Action – 17 January 2018: ADOPTED VIA CONSENT: 9 - 0