

## Errata

**Pg. xi, Table E-3**

	Scenario 1 IPF	Scenario 2 MRF	Scenario 3 MWF	Scenario 4 OCF
Tons/Year – Year 1	5,400 – 6,410	7,460 – 14,630	81,510 – 102,640	<del>5,430 – 6,590</del> <b>2,560 – 3,720</b>
Tons/Day – Year 1*	21 – 25	29 – 56	314 – 395	<del>21 – 25</del> <b>10 – 14</b>
County Recovery Rate	11% – 12%	11% – 12%	22% – 27%	12% – 15%
Recovery Rate Increase	2% – 3%	2% – 3%	13% – 18%	3% – 6%

**Pg. xii, first bullet**

“The MWF receives all recyclable and mixed waste from the District, City, and IU. ~~It receives either all or none of the City’s and IU’s recyclables.~~ The MWF also receives a portion of mixed waste and recyclables from private haulers and the adjacent counties.”

**Pg xii, third bullet under OCF**

“The OCF would handle approximately ~~2,000~~ **2,560** to ~~2,100~~ **3,720** tons of ~~recyclables~~ **compostables** in the first year and increases the Countywide recovery rate by 3 to 6 percent.”

**Pg. xiii, Table E-4**

Additional note under table added for clarification: “**Rows may not appear to add due to rounding.**”

**Pg. 24, Section 3.5.1, second to last sentence**

“The amount of mixed waste generated by the District is **not** enough to justify a MWF.”

**Pg. 60, Table 5-13**

	2020 Year 1	2024 Year 5	2029 Year 10	2034 Year 15
<b>Low Projections</b>				
Facility Input				
	<del>2,871</del>	<del>2,966</del>	<del>3,078</del>	<del>3,178</del>
Recyclables – Source-Separated	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Recyclables in Mixed Waste	0	0	0	0
Organics – Source-Separated	2,561	2,646	2,747	2,835
Organics in Mixed Waste	0	0	0	0
Mixed Waste	0	0	0	0
	<del>5,432</del>	<del>5,613</del>	<del>5,825</del>	<del>6,013</del>
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,561</b>	<b>2,646</b>	<b>2,747</b>	<b>2,835</b>
Facility Output				
	<del>2,871</del>	<del>2,966</del>	<del>3,078</del>	<del>3,178</del>
Recovered Recyclables	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Recovered Organics	2,485	2,567	2,664	2,750
Residue & Mixed Waste	77	79	82	85
	<del>5,432</del>	<del>5,613</del>	<del>5,825</del>	<del>6,013</del>
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,561</b>	<b>2,646</b>	<b>2,747</b>	<b>2,835</b>
<b>High Projections</b>				
Facility Input				
	<del>2,871</del>	<del>2,966</del>	<del>3,078</del>	<del>3,178</del>
Recyclables – Source-Separated	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Recyclables in Mixed Waste	0	0	0	0
Organics – Source-Separated	3,724	3,847	3,993	4,112
Organics in Mixed Waste	0	0	0	0
Mixed Waste	0	0	0	0
	<del>6,594</del>	<del>6,814</del>	<del>7,701</del>	<del>7,300</del>
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,724</b>	<b>3,847</b>	<b>3,993</b>	<b>4,112</b>
Facility Output				
	<del>2,871</del>	<del>2,966</del>	<del>3,078</del>	<del>3,178</del>
Recovered Recyclables	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Recovered Organics	3,612	3,732	3,873	3,998
Residue & Mixed Waste	112	115	120	124
	<del>6,594</del>	<del>6,814</del>	<del>7,701</del>	<del>7,300</del>
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,724</b>	<b>3,847</b>	<b>3,993</b>	<b>4,112</b>

**Pg. 62, Table 5-14**

	Scenario 1 IPF	Scenario 2 MRF	Scenario 3 MWF	Scenario 4 OCF
<b>Input Materials</b>	Source-segregated recyclables	Single stream recyclables	Single stream recyclables & mixed waste	Food waste
<b>Tons/Year</b>				
Year 1 (2020)	5,400 – 6,410	7,460 – 14,630	81,510 – 102,640	<del>5,430 – 6,590</del> <b>2,560 – 3,720</b>
Year 15 (2034)	5,950 – 7,050	8,180 – 16,070	86,240 – 108,590	<del>6,010 – 7,300</del> <b>2,840 – 4,120</b>
<b>Tons/Day*</b>				
Year 1	21 – 25	29 – 56	314 – 395	<del>21 – 25</del> <b>10 – 14</b>
Year 15	23 – 27	31 – 62	332 – 418	<del>23 – 28</del> <b>11 – 16</b>
<b>Increased County Recovery</b>	2% – 3%	2% – 3%	13% – 18%	3% – 6%

**Pg. 76, Section 6.5.2, first paragraph, last sentence**

“Based on the assumptions, the OCF would handle approximately ~~21~~ **10** to ~~25~~ **14** tons per day initially, increasing to ~~23~~ **11** to ~~28~~ **16** tons per day (see Table 5-14) of organics consisting of food waste and yard waste.”

**Pg. 86, Table 7-1**

	Scenario 1 IPF	Scenario 2 MRF	Scenario 3 MWF	Scenario 4 OCF
Tons/Year – Year 1	5,400 – 6,410	7,460 – 14,630	81,510 – 102,640	<del>5,430 – 6,590</del> <b>2,560 – 3,720</b>
Tons/Day – Year 1*	21 – 25	29 – 56	314 – 395	<del>21 – 25</del> <b>10 – 14</b>
County Recovery Rate	11% – 12%	11% – 12%	22% – 27%	12% – 15%
Recovery Rate Increase	2% – 3%	2% – 3%	13% – 18%	3% – 6%