



Jack Hopkins Social Services Funding Program

Elaboration of Criteria for Evaluating and Awarding Grants

In 1993 Jack Hopkins wrote a letter outlining a set of criteria for the use of these social services funds. Those criteria have since served as the basis for allocating the funds. The following is an elaboration of those criteria. These interpretations have been approved by the Jack Hopkins Social Services Committee.

Program Focus

The program should address a previously-identified priority for social services funds (as indicated in the [Service Community Assessment of Needs \(SCAN\)](#), the City of Bloomington Housing and Neighborhood Development Department's [2020-2024 Consolidated Plan](#), or any other community-wide survey of social service needs.)

This investment in the program should lead to broad and long lasting benefits to the community. Again, in the words of Jack Hopkins, "priority should be given to projects or programs where investments now will have a positive, long-term spillover effect (such as reduced susceptibility to ...diseases, decreased absences from school, reducing lost time (from work) .., etc.)

Priorities

The Common Council prioritizes programs that provide food, housing, healthcare, or other services to city residents who are of low or moderate income, under 18-years of age, elderly, affected with a disability, or otherwise disadvantaged.

- I. City Residency - Programs must primarily serve City residents. Individual programs have occasionally been located outside of the City but, in that case, funds have never been used for capital projects (e.g. construction, renovation, or improvement of buildings).
- II. Low Income - Programs primarily serving low-income populations are given a high priority.
- III. Emergency Services – Programs primarily providing emergency services (e.g. food, housing, and medical services) are given a high priority.

Scope of Funding

The Jack Hopkins Social Services Funding Program seeks to encourage innovation and address changing community needs. Further, the Jack Hopkins Social Services Funding Program recognizes that in the current economic climate, operational funding essential to a non-profit's continued provision of services is difficult to come by. The Jack Hopkins Social Services Funding Program will consider requests for both one-time investments and operational expenses.

One-Time Investment

Applications requesting funds for projects that address changing circumstances in the community are encouraged. Requests should provide a one-time investment that, through matching funds or other fiscal leveraging, make a significant contribution to the project. EXAMPLES – capital improvement etc.

Operational Expenses

Applications requesting operational expenses will also be considered. These expenses are recurring rather than non-recurring and examples typically include outlays for personnel, rent, utilities, maintenance, supplies, client services, and other ongoing budget items.

Paid Time Off and Bonuses

Paid time off and bonuses shall not be considered eligible for Jack Hopkins Social Services Funding.

Agencies seeking funding for operational expenses should be aware that future funding may be unavailable or inadequate to cover their needs and therefore they should not rely solely on Jack Hopkins Social Services Funding for their operational expenses. Any request for operational funding should be accompanied by a well-developed plan for future funding.

Fiscal Leveraging

In the words of Jack Hopkins, who originally proposed these criteria, investments “should be leveraged wherever possible by matching funds from other sources.” Agencies may demonstrate such leveraging by using matching funds, working in partnership with other agencies, or through other means.

Applications from City Agencies and Other Property Tax Based Entities

Over the years the Council has not funded applications submitted by city departments. This is based on the theory that the departments have other, more appropriate avenues for requesting funds and should not compete against other agencies, which do not have the benefit of city resources at their disposal. Except on rare occasions, the Council has not directly or indirectly funded agencies that have the power to levy property taxes or whose primary revenues derive from property taxes.

Expenses Incurred Prior to the Allocation of Jack Hopkins Funds

Expenses incurred prior to the allocation of Jack Hopkins Funds (mid-June) will not be considered.

Collaborative Projects

The Committee encourages social service agencies to collaborate in order to solve common problems and better address local social service needs. To serve these ends, the Committee will allow agencies to submit an application for funding as a Collaborative Project in addition to submitting a standard application.

Collaborative Project Applicants

Applicants pursuing such funding should:

- I. Declare that they are seeking funds as a Collaborative Project and describe the project
- II. Describe each agency's mission, operations, and services, and how they do or will complement one another
- III. Describe the existing relationships between the agencies and how the level of communication and coordination will change as a result of the project
- IV. Identify challenges to the collaboration and set forth steps that address the greatest challenges to its success
- V. Address the following standard criteria and explain how the collaborative project will:
 - Serve a previously-recognized community need
 - Achieve fiscal leveraging or efficiencies
 - Provide a broad and long lasting benefits to the community
- VI. Complete a Memorandum of Understanding signed by authorized representatives of collaborating agencies and detailing the allocation of duties between them

Other Policies

Agency Acting as Fiscal Agent Must have 501(c) (3) Status

The agency that acts as the fiscal agent for the grant must be incorporated as a 501(c)(3) corporation. This policy is intended to assure that grant funds go to organizations:

- I. With boards who are legally accountable for implementing the funding agreements
- II. With the capability of raising matching funds which is an indicator of the long-term viability of the agency.

Given its mission, the presence of a board, and its general viability, an exception has historically been made for the Bloomington Housing Authority.

Funding of Events and Celebrations Discouraged

Historically the Council has not funded applications that promote or implement events or celebrations. This policy is based upon the conclusion that these occasions do not engender the broad and long-lasting effects required above.

One Application per Agency – Exception for Collaborative Projects

Except as noted below, each agency is limited to one application. This policy is intended to:

- I. Spread funds among more agencies
- II. Assure the suitability and quality of applications by having the agency focus and risk their efforts on one application at a time
- III. Lower the administrative burden by reducing the number of applications of marginal value.

As noted above, an exception to this rule applies to agencies that submit an application as a Collaborative Project. Those agencies may also submit one other application that addresses the standard criteria.

Improvements to Real Property not Owned by the Applicant Agency is Discouraged

Applicants are advised that the Committee typically does not grant funds to agencies for capital improvements to real property not owned by the agency. Applications for construction, renovation, or improvements to a building not owned by the applicant agency will be given a low priority.

\$1,000 Minimum Dollar Amount for Request

This is a competitive funding program involving many hours on the part of staff and the committee members deliberating upon and monitoring proposals. The \$1,000 minimum amount was chosen as a good balance between the work expended and the benefits gained from awarding these small grants.

Funding Agreement – Reimbursement of Funds

Agencies that are granted funds will be expected to enter into a funding agreement with the City of Bloomington. The Housing and Neighborhood Development (HAND) Department has been monitoring funding agreements since 2001. In order to be consistent with the practices it employs in monitoring CDBG and other funding programs, the funding agreements provide for a reimbursement of funds. Rather than receiving the funds before performing the work, agencies either perform the work and seek reimbursement, or enter into the obligation and submit a request for the city to pay for it.

Expenditure Before the End of the Year

In order to avoid having the City unnecessarily encumber funds, agencies should plan to expend and verify these grants before December of the year the grant is awarded, unless specifically approved in the funding agreement or granted an extension by the Director of HAND. Please note that funds encumbered from one calendar year to the next cannot be reimbursed by use of the City's credit cards.

Proportionality of Funding Request Relative to Clients Served

In making funding decisions, the Committee may consider the amount of funding requested relative to the number of clients that would be served by a given project.